## Initial-Value Problems for ODEs

#### Euler's Method II: Error Bounds

## Numerical Analysis (9th Edition) R L Burden & J D Faires

Beamer Presentation Slides prepared by John Carroll Dublin City University

© 2011 Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning



Computational Lemmas



- Computational Lemmas
- Error Bound for Euler's Method



- Computational Lemmas
- Error Bound for Euler's Method
- 3 Error Bound Example

- Computational Lemmas
- Error Bound for Euler's Method
- 3 Error Bound Example

#### Lemma 1

For all  $x \ge -1$  and any positive m, we have

$$0 \leq (1+x)^m \leq e^{mx}$$

Proof of Lemma 1

#### Proof of Lemma 1

Applying Taylor's Theorem with  $f(x) = e^x$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ , and n = 1 gives

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2e^{\xi}$$

where  $\xi$  is between x and zero.

#### Proof of Lemma 1

Applying Taylor's Theorem with  $f(x) = e^x$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ , and n = 1 gives

$$e^x=1+x+\frac{1}{2}x^2e^\xi$$

where  $\xi$  is between x and zero. Thus

$$0 \le 1 + x \le 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2e^{\xi} = e^x$$

#### Proof of Lemma 1

Applying Taylor's Theorem with  $f(x) = e^x$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ , and n = 1 gives

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2e^\xi$$

where  $\xi$  is between x and zero. Thus

$$0 \le 1 + x \le 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 e^{\xi} = e^x$$

and, because  $1 + x \ge 0$ , we have

$$0 \le (1+x)^m \le (e^x)^m = e^{mx}$$

#### Lemma 2

If s and t are positive real numbers,  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a sequence satisfying

$$a_0 \ge -t/s$$

#### Lemma 2

If s and t are positive real numbers,  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a sequence satisfying

$$a_0 \ge -t/s$$

and

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

for each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., k - 1,

#### Lemma 2

If s and t are positive real numbers,  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a sequence satisfying

$$a_0 \ge -t/s$$

and

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

for each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., k - 1, then

$$a_{i+1} \leq e^{(i+1)s}\left(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}\right) - \frac{t}{s}$$

### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$a_{i+1} \le (1+s)a_i + t$$
  
  $\le (1+s)[(1+s)a_{i-1} + t] + t$ 

### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$a_{i+1} \le (1+s)a_i + t$$
  
 $\le (1+s)[(1+s)a_{i-1} + t] + t$   
 $= (1+s)^2 a_{i-1} + [1+(1+s)]t$ 

### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$\leq (1+s)[(1+s)a_{i-1} + t] + t$$

$$= (1+s)^2 a_{i-1} + [1+(1+s)]t$$

$$\leq (1+s)^3 a_{i-2} + [1+(1+s)+(1+s)^2]t$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2 (1/3)

For a fixed integer i, the inequality

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} & \leq & (1+s)a_i + t \\ & \leq & (1+s)[(1+s)a_{i-1} + t] + t \\ & = & (1+s)^2 a_{i-1} + [1+(1+s)]t \\ & \leq & (1+s)^3 a_{i-2} + \left[1+(1+s)+(1+s)^2\right]t \\ & \vdots \\ & \leq & (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1+(1+s)+(1+s)^2+\cdots+(1+s)^i\right]t \end{aligned}$$

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2 (2/3)



$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2 (2/3)

But

$$1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i (1+s)^j$$

is a geometric series with ratio (1 + s)

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2 (2/3)

But

$$1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i = \sum_{j=0}^i (1+s)^j$$

is a geometric series with ratio (1 + s) that sums to

$$\frac{1 - (1 + s)^{i+1}}{1 - (1 + s)} = \frac{1}{s}[(1 + s)^{i+1} - 1]$$



$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

#### Proof of Lemma 2 (3/3)



$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

### Proof of Lemma 2 (3/3)

Thus

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \frac{(1+s)^{i+1}-1}{s}t = (1+s)^{i+1}\left(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}\right) - \frac{t}{s}$$

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \left[1 + (1+s) + (1+s)^2 + \dots + (1+s)^i\right]t$$

### Proof of Lemma 2 (3/3)

Thus

$$a_{i+1} \le (1+s)^{i+1}a_0 + \frac{(1+s)^{i+1}-1}{s}t = (1+s)^{i+1}\left(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}\right) - \frac{t}{s}$$

and using Lemma 1 with x = 1 + s gives

$$a_{i+1} \leq e^{(i+1)s} \left(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}\right) - \frac{t}{s}.$$

4□ > 4ⓓ > 4≧ > 4≧ > ½
9

Computational Lemmas

- Error Bound for Euler's Method
- 3 Error Bound Example

#### **Theorem**

Suppose *f* is continuous and satisfies a Lipschitz condition with constant *L* on

$$D = \{ (t, y) \mid a \le t \le b \text{ and } -\infty < y < \infty \}$$

and that a constant M exists with

$$|y''(t)| \le M$$
, for all  $t \in [a, b]$ 

where y(t) denotes the unique solution to the initial-value problem

$$y' = f(t, y), \quad a \le t \le b, \quad y(a) = \alpha$$

Continued on the next slide:



11 / 25

#### Theorem (Cont'd)

Let  $w_0, w_1, ..., w_N$  be the approximations generated by Euler's method for some positive integer N. Then, for each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N,

$$|y(t_i)-w_i|\leq \frac{hM}{2L}\left[e^{L(t_i-a)}-1\right]$$

Prrof (1/3)



### Prrof (1/3)

When i = 0 the result is clearly true, since  $y(t_0) = w_0 = \alpha$ . Since y'(t) = f(t, y), we have:

$$y(t_{i+1}) = y(t_i) + hf(t_i, y(t_i)) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi_i)$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., N - 1. Also, Euler's method is:

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + hf(t_i, w_i)$$

Using the notation  $y_i = y(t_i)$  and  $y_{i+1} = y(t_{i+1})$ , we subtract these two equations to obtain

$$y_{i+1} - w_{i+1} = y_i - w_i + h[f(t_i, y_i) - f(t_i, w_i)] + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi_i)$$

$$y_{i+1} - w_{i+1} = y_i - w_i + h[f(t_i, y_i) - f(t_i, w_i)] + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi_i)$$

## Prrof (2/3)



$$y_{i+1} - w_{i+1} = y_i - w_i + h[f(t_i, y_i) - f(t_i, w_i)] + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(\xi_i)$$

#### Prrof (2/3)

Hence

$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le |y_i - w_i| + h|f(t_i, y_i) - f(t_i, w_i)| + \frac{h^2}{2}|y''(\xi_i)|$$

Now f satisfies a Lipschitz condition in the second variable with constant L, and  $|y''(t)| \leq M$ , so

$$|y_{i+1}-w_{i+1}| \leq (1+hL)|y_i-w_i| + \frac{h^2M}{2}$$



$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le (1 + hL)|y_i - w_i| + \frac{h^2M}{2}$$

## Prrof (3/3)

Referring to <u>lemma2</u> and letting s = hL,  $t = h^2M/2$ , and  $a_j = |y_j - w_j|$ , for each j = 0, 1, ..., N,

$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le (1 + hL)|y_i - w_i| + \frac{h^2M}{2}$$

## Prrof (3/3)

Referring to remark and letting s = hL,  $t = h^2M/2$ , and  $a_j = |y_j - w_j|$ , for each j = 0, 1, ..., N, we see that

$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le e^{(i+1)hL} \left( |y_0 - w_0| + \frac{h^2M}{2hL} \right) - \frac{h^2M}{2hL}$$

$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le (1 + hL)|y_i - w_i| + \frac{h^2M}{2}$$

### Prrof (3/3)

Referring to remark and letting s = hL,  $t = h^2M/2$ , and  $a_j = |y_j - w_j|$ , for each j = 0, 1, ..., N, we see that

$$|y_{i+1} - w_{i+1}| \le e^{(i+1)hL} \left( |y_0 - w_0| + \frac{h^2M}{2hL} \right) - \frac{h^2M}{2hL}$$

Because  $|y_0 - w_0| = 0$  and  $(i + 1)h = t_{i+1} - t_0 = t_{i+1} - a$ , this implies that

$$|y_{i+1}-w_{i+1}|\leq \frac{hM}{2L}(e^{(t_{i+1}-a)L}-1)$$

for each i = 0, 1, ..., N - 1.

Example

Comments on the Theorem

### Euler's Method: Error Bound Theorem

#### Comments on the Theorem

• The weakness of the error-bound theorem lies in the requirement that a bound be known for the second derivative of the solution.



#### Euler's Method: Error Bound Theorem

#### Comments on the Theorem

- The weakness of the error-bound theorem lies in the requirement that a bound be known for the second derivative of the solution.
- Although this condition often prohibits us from obtaining a realistic error bound, it should be noted that if  $\partial f/\partial t$  and  $\partial f/\partial y$  both exist, the chain rule for partial differentiation implies that

$$y''(t) = \frac{dy'}{dt}(t) = \frac{df}{dt}(t, y(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, y(t)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y(t)) \cdot f(t, y(t))$$

#### Euler's Method: Error Bound Theorem

#### Comments on the Theorem

- The weakness of the error-bound theorem lies in the requirement that a bound be known for the second derivative of the solution.
- Although this condition often prohibits us from obtaining a realistic error bound, it should be noted that if  $\partial f/\partial t$  and  $\partial f/\partial y$  both exist, the chain rule for partial differentiation implies that

$$y''(t) = \frac{dy'}{dt}(t) = \frac{df}{dt}(t, y(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, y(t)) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, y(t)) \cdot f(t, y(t))$$

• So it is at times possible to obtain an error bound for y''(t) without explicitly knowing y(t).

### **Outline**

Computational Lemmas

- 2 Error Bound for Euler's Method
- 3 Error Bound Example

Applying the Theorem

#### Applying the Theorem

• The solution to the initial-value problem

$$y' = y - t^2 + 1$$
,  $0 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(0) = 0.5$ 

was approximated in an earlier example using Euler's method with h = 0.2.

### Applying the Theorem

• The solution to the initial-value problem

$$y' = y - t^2 + 1$$
,  $0 \le t \le 2$ ,  $y(0) = 0.5$ 

was approximated in an earlier example using Euler's method with h = 0.2.

 Use the inequality in the error bound theorem to find bounds for the approximation errors and compare these to the actual errors.

Solution (1/4)

### Solution (1/4)

• Because  $f(t, y) = y - t^2 + 1$ , we have  $\partial f(t, y) / \partial y = 1$  for all y, so L = 1.

### Solution (1/4)

- Because  $f(t,y) = y t^2 + 1$ , we have  $\partial f(t,y)/\partial y = 1$  for all y, so L = 1.
- For this problem, the exact solution is  $y(t) = (t+1)^2 0.5e^t$ , so  $y''(t) = 2 0.5e^t$  and

$$|y''(t)| \le 0.5e^2 - 2$$
, for all  $t \in [0, 2]$ .

Error Bound

# Euler's Method: Error Bound Example

#### Solution (1/4)

- Because  $f(t,y) = y t^2 + 1$ , we have  $\partial f(t,y)/\partial y = 1$  for all y, so L = 1.
- For this problem, the exact solution is  $y(t) = (t+1)^2 0.5e^t$ , so  $y''(t) = 2 0.5e^t$  and

$$|y''(t)| \le 0.5e^2 - 2$$
, for all  $t \in [0, 2]$ .

• Using the inequality in the error bound for Euler's method with h = 0.2, L = 1, and  $M = 0.5e^2 - 2$  gives

$$|y_i - w_i| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{t_i} - 1).$$



$$|y_i - w_i| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{t_i} - 1).$$

#### Solution (2/4)

$$|y_i - w_i| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{t_i} - 1).$$

#### Solution (2/4)

Hence

$$|y(0.2) - w_1| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{0.2} - 1) = 0.03752$$

$$|y_i - w_i| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{t_i} - 1).$$

#### Solution (2/4)

Hence

$$|y(0.2) - w_1| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{0.2} - 1) = 0.03752$$
  
 $|y(0.4) - w_2| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{0.4} - 1) = 0.08334$ 

and so on.

Example

$$|y_i - w_i| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{t_i} - 1).$$

#### Solution (2/4)

Hence

$$|y(0.2) - w_1| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{0.2} - 1) = 0.03752$$
  
 $|y(0.4) - w_2| \le 0.1(0.5e^2 - 2)(e^{0.4} - 1) = 0.08334$ 

and so on.

 The folloiwing table lists the actual error computed in the original example, together with this error bound.

0.23013

0.39315

#### Solution (3/4)

$t_i$	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
Actual Error	0.02930	0.06209	0.09854	0.13875	0.18268
Error Bound	0.03752	0.08334	0.13931	0.20767	0.29117
	1 2	1 4	1.6	1.8	2.0

0.33336

0.66985

0.28063

0.51771

0.43969

1.08264

Actual Error

Error Bound

0.38702

0.85568

```
Solution (4/4)
```

#### Solution (4/4)

 Note that even though the true bound for the second derivative of the solution was used, the error bound is considerably larger than the actual error, especially for increasing values of t.

### Solution (4/4)

- Note that even though the true bound for the second derivative of the solution was used, the error bound is considerably larger than the actual error, especially for increasing values of t.
- The principal importance of the error-bound formula given in this theorem is that the bound depends linearly on the step size h.

### Solution (4/4)

- Note that even though the true bound for the second derivative of the solution was used, the error bound is considerably larger than the actual error, especially for increasing values of t.
- The principal importance of the error-bound formula given in this theorem is that the bound depends linearly on the step size h.
- Consequently, diminishing the step size should give correspondingly greater accuracy to the approximations.

# Questions?

# Reference Material

# Euler's Method: Computational Lemmas

#### Lemma 2

If s and t are positive real numbers,  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  is a sequence satisfying

$$a_0 \geq -t/s$$

and

$$a_{i+1} \leq (1+s)a_i + t$$

for each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., k - 1, then

$$a_{i+1} \leq e^{(i+1)s}\left(a_0 + \frac{t}{s}\right) - \frac{t}{s}$$

◆ Return to Euler's Error Bound Theorem

