

10-703 Deep Reinforcement Learning and Control

Assignment 2: Model-Free Learning

Fall 2018

September 28th, 2018

Due October 12th, 2018

Instructions

You may work in teams of **2** on this assignment. **Only one person should submit the writeup and code on Gradescope.** Additionally you should upload your code to Autolab, please make sure the same person who submitted the writeup and code to Gradescope is the one who submits it to Autolab. Make sure you **mark your partner as a collaborator on Gradescope and form teams on Autolab** and that both names are listed in the writeup. Writeups should be typeset in Latex (using the template we provide) and submitted as PDF. All code, including auxiliary scripts used for testing should be submitted with a README.

Introduction

In Homework 1, you learned about and implemented various model-based techniques to solve MDPs. These “planning” techniques work well when we have access to a model of the environment; however getting access to such a model is often unfeasible. In this Homework, you will explore an alternative to the model-based regime, i.e. model-free learning. In particular, you will learn about Temporal Difference learning and its variants, and implement a version of TD learning called Q-learning. We will look at implementing Q learning with both tabular methods and deep function approximators.

You will work with the OpenAI Gym environments, and learn how to train a Q-network from state inputs on a gym environment. The goal is to understand and implement some of the techniques that were found to be important in practice to stabilize training and achieve better performance. We also expect you to get comfortable using Tensorflow or Keras to experiment with different architectures, and understand how to analyze your network’s final performance and learning behavior.

Question 1 [6 pts]

The first question will review your understanding of convolutional neural networks. You'll understand how they function and how they are trained. We will be looking at 1D convolutional neural network and the ideas can be extended to higher dimensions as well.

Question 1.a [2 pts]

Consider an input vector $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5] = [2, 1, -2, 3, 1]$. Apply a convolution filter with weights $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, w_3] = [1, 0, -1]$ with bias 0, no padding and stride $S = 2$. Calculate the output \mathbf{y} using forward propagation.

Solution

Question 1.b [4 pts]

Next, we will compute the new weights of the filter after one step of backpropagation. For this purpose, let's define a loss function $L(y) = \sum_{y_i \in \mathbf{y}} (y_i^G - y_i)^2$, where $y^G = [y_1^G, y_2^G \dots]$ is the ground truth. Assuming the ground truth to be $y^G = [3, 3]$ and learning rate $\alpha = 0.01$, compute the new weights of the filter after one round of backpropagation and gradient descent. Also, perform forward propagation using the new weights and verify that the loss decreases. Report the loss value using the original weights, values of the new weights, output obtained using the new weights and loss value using the new weights.

(Hint: You should first try solving the problem without the hint. The rough guideline of calculations you would need to do is as follows:

- S1. Analytically represent the output $[o_1, o_2]$ in terms of the input and the filter.
- S2. Compute the gradient of the loss with respect to the weights of the filter.
- S3. Use gradient descent to find the new weights of the filter.)

Solution

Question 2 [3 pts]

In class, we introduced *Policy Iteration* and *Value Iteration*, the two most popular DP methods. Either of these can be used to reliably compute optimal policies and value functions for finite MDPs give complete knowledge of the MDP.

Will the convergence proofs for *Policy Iteration* and *Value Iteration* still hold in general, if we replace a tabular representation of $V(s)$ or $Q(s, a)$ by a function approximator such as a deep neural network? Briefly explain why or why not, in 2 to 5 sentences.

Question 3 [8 pts]

The objective of this question is to understand different Bellman equations, their strengths and limitations.

Question 3.a [6 pts]

Consider the Bellman Equation for Value function,

$$V(s_1) = \max_{a_1} \left(R(s_1, a_1) + \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a_1, s_2) V(s_2) \right)$$

If we continue expanding the value function $V(s_2)$ using its own bellman equation, we obtain a repeating structure as follows,

$$V(s_1) = \max_{a_1} \left(R(s_1, a_1) + \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a, s_2) \max_{a_2} \left(R(s_2, a_2) + \gamma \sum_{s_3} T(s_2, a_2, s_3) V(s_3) \right) \right)$$

There are a few more ways in which we can group this repeating sequence. First, we can capture the sequence starting at $R(s, a)$ and ending at max, and observe that it too has a repeating substructure property, as shown,

$$V(s_1) = \max_{a_1} \underbrace{\left(R(s_1, a_1) + \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a, s_2) \max_{a_2} \underbrace{\left(R(s_2, a_2) + \gamma \sum_{s_3} T(s_2, a_2, s_3) V(s_3) \right)}_{Q(s_2, a_2)} \right)}_{Q(s_1, a_1)}$$

We'll call this repeating expression, the state-value function $Q(s, a)$ and use it to rewrite the bellman equation as,

$$Q(s_1, a_1) = R(s_1, a_1) + \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a_1, s_2) \max_{a_2} Q(s_2, a_2)$$

Next, we can capture another pattern by grouping the expression beginning at γ and ending at $R(s, a)$, as shown,

$$V(s_1) = \max_{a_1} \left(\underbrace{R(s_1, a_1) + \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a, s_2)}_{C(s_1, a_1)} \max_{a_2} \left(\underbrace{R(s_2, a_2) + \gamma \sum_{s_3} T(s_2, a_2, s_3)V(s_3)}_{C(s_2, a_2)} \right) \right)$$

We'll call this repeating expression the continuation function $C(s, a)$, which can be written in terms of the value function as follows,

$$C(s_1, a_1) = \gamma \sum_{s_2} T(s_1, a_1, s_2) V(s_2)$$

Your task is to derive the recurrence relation (bellman equation) for the Continuation function $C(s, a)$. Also, express the three functions in terms of each other, and $R(s, a)$, and fill up the following table. We've partially filled the table for you.

	V(s)	Q(s,a)	C(s,a)
V(s)	$V(s) = V(s)$	$V(s) = \max_a Q(s, a)$	(i)
Q(s,a)	(ii)	$Q(s,a) = Q(s,a)$	(iii)
C(s,a)	$C(s, a) = \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') V(s')$	(iv)	$C(s,a) = C(s,a)$

Solution

Question 3.b [2 pts]

Use the relation between the functions and your understanding of MDPs to answer if the following is true or false. Provide a brief explanation in one or two sentences. (No points will be awarded without any explanation)

1. For computing the optimal action, knowing $Q(s, a)$ is better than knowing $V(s)$ if we lack knowledge of the transition function $T(s, a, s')$ or reward function $R(s, a)$.
2. For computing the optimal action, knowing $V(s)$ is better than knowing $C(s, a)$ if we lack knowledge of the transition function $T(s, a, s')$ even if we know the reward function $R(s, a)$.

Solution

Question 4 [2+2+4+4=12 pts]

The objective of this question is to understand Importance Sampling and how it works. If you are able to correctly reason about the results you obtain in this question, you'll find yourself capable of drawing analogies on how importance sampling is applied to Monte Carlo Learning.

In this question, you'll find the expectation of the function $f(x) = \frac{3}{2}x^2(1+x) \forall x \in \mathcal{R}$ with respect to the probability distribution function $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1+x)$, $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ using different sampling methods.

1. Find the expectation and the variance of $f(x)$, analytically using the formulation $\mathbb{E}_p[g(x)] = \int g(x)p(x)dx$ and $Var[g(x)] = \int (g(x) - \mathbb{E}_p[g(x)])^2 p(x)dx$.
2. Write a program to sample from the distribution $p(x)$ ¹ and compute the expectation and the variance of $f(x)$, using 10, 1000, 10000 samples. Report the values you obtain for each case. What do you observe as the number of samples increases (in terms of expectation and variance)?
3. Now suppose you don't know how to sample from the distribution $p(x)$ but you know the ratio $r(x) = p(x)/q(x)$. Here, $q(x)$ is some proposal distribution, from which you know how to sample. Use importance sampling to compute the expectation and the variance of $f(x)$ using the following proposal distributions² for 10, 1000, 10000 samples:
 - $q(x) = N(3, 1)$
 - $q(x) = N(0, 1)$
 - $q(x) = \frac{15}{16}x^2(1+x)^2$

Report the values you obtain for each case. Which proposal distribution gives the lowest variance? Why do you think that is? Which of them converges the slowest? Why do you think that is?

4. Using the same proposal distributions, perform weighted importance sampling, for 10, 1000 and 10000 samples. Report the values you obtain for each case. What difference do you see from the importance sampling case, in terms of expectation? Is weighted importance sampling a biased or an unbiased estimator?

For each of the above cases, fix and report the random seed so that your answer can be validated when we run your code.

Solution

¹Consider using the `rv_continuous` class from `scipy.stats` to sample from a custom distribution

²Consider using `normal` class from `numpy.random` to sample from a normal distribution

Question 5 [2+2+7=11 pts]

This question will help you develop intuition about why TD methods are often more efficient than Monte Carlo methods.

Suppose, this semester, you are determined to finish every assignment well before the deadline. Therefore, as you work on assignments, you try to predict how long it will take you to finish it, given external parameters like number of other assignments out at the time, number of submissions on autolab, and everything else that might be relevant.

Suppose you start working on this homework, and are intimidated by the number of pages. Based on your first impression, you predict that it will take you 40 hours to finish it. As you start working on the theory problems 1 and 2, which you finish within 2 hours, you realize that the questions are too easy. You estimate, it will take you only 20 hours of total time to finish the homework. Brimming with confidence, you don't work on the assignment for next four days, during which your peers finish all the theory questions. You realize it's high time to start again. However, it takes you 5, 5, 7 and 8 hours to answer questions 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively. Your predicted total time after finishing each problem were 25, 28, 40 and 50 hours, respectively. Next, you start working on the programming questions. It turns out, you understood the concepts amazingly well in the lecture and it took only 5 hours for you to code up DQN, DDQN and Dueling Networks. However, you understand that training the networks would be time consuming and being a cautious person, you keep your prediction the same. Finally, you submit the assignment way before the deadline and finish training the network in only 13 hours, way ahead of your prediction. Here is a table summarizing your journey,

State ID	State	Elapsed time	Predicted Time to Go	Predicted total time
S_0	start hw	0	40	40
S_1	finished Q.1,2	2	18	20
S_2	finished Q.3	7	18	25
S_3	finished Q.4	12	16	28
S_4	finished Q.5	19	21	40
S_5	finished Q.6	27	23	50
S_6	finished coding	32	18	50
S_7	finished training/hw	45	0	45

Think of this being one episode of your simulation where rewards are the elapsed times for each question of the assignment. Consider discount factor of $\gamma = 1$, and thus the return for each state is the actual time to go from that state. The value of each state is the expected time to go. Based on the above table, calculate the following,

1. The Monte Carlo error for states S_0 to S_6
2. The target value for TD(0) update for states S_0 to S_6

3. The λ -return for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and states S_0 to S_6 (You might want to refer to section 12.1 from the Textbook)

Solution

Question 6 [60 pts]

For this question, you will be coding up the theoretical concepts learned so far, and see reinforcement learning in action. Please note that the implementations you are asked here may be readily available online. Even Googling for queries like "DQN code", "Cartpole tensorflow", etc. constitute an integrity violation. You are expected to comply with the University Policy on Academic Integrity and Plagiarism³. See the Academic Integrity Section on the course site for more information: <http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/course/10-703/>

Before starting your implementation, make sure you have the environments correctly installed. For this assignment you will solve Cartpole (**Cartpole-v0**) and Mountain Car-v0 (**MountainCar-v0**) using DQN, Double DQN and Dueling Networks.

Try to keep your implementation modular. Once you have the basic DQN working it will only be a little bit of work to get double DQN and dueling networks working. Please write your code in the file `DQN_implementation.py`, the template code provided inside is just there to give you an idea on how you can structure your code but is not mandatory to use.

Note: We highly recommend you to solve them on your own laptop since these environments are simple enough.

Submission: You should submit your report, video captures, and code through Gradescope. Your code should be reasonably well-commented in key places of your implementation. Make sure your code also has a README file.

6.1 [30pts] Implement a deep Q-network with experience replay.

The deep Q-network should be similar to that described in [1, 2]. While these papers use a convolutional architecture to address the image based state representation of Atari environments, a multi-layer Perceptron with 3 hidden layers should suffice for the environments we are working with. For the deep Q-network, look at the `QNetwork` and `DQN_Agent` classes in the code. You will have to implement the following:

- Create an instance of the Q Network class.
- Create a function that constructs a policy from the Q values predicted by the Q Network - both epsilon greedy and greedy policies.
- Create a function to train the Q Network, by interacting with the environment.
- Create a function to test the Q Network's performance on the environment.

³<https://www.cmu.edu/policies/>

- Create a function to perform two-step look ahead estimation

For the replay buffer, you should use the experimental setup of [1, 2] to the extent possible. Use this as an opportunity to work out any bugs with your replay memory. You can refer to the `ReplayMemory` class in the code. You may need the following functions:

- Appending a new transition from the memory.
- Sampling a batch of transitions from the memory to train your network.
- Initially burn in a number of transitions into the memory.
- You will also need to modify your training function of your network to learn from experience sampled *from the memory*, rather than learning online from the agent.

Train your network on both the `CartPole-v0` environment and the `MountainCar-v0` environment (separately) until convergence. Use the full state of each environment (such as angular displacement and velocity in the case of Cartpole) as inputs. Answer following questions in your report:

- Describe your implementation, including the optimizer, the neural network architecture and any hyperparameters you used.
- For each of the environments, we want you to generate a *performance plot across time for at least 10000 episodes*⁴. To do this, you should periodically run (e.g., every 100 or 200 episodes) the policy induced by the current Q-network for 20 episodes and average the total reward achieved. Note that in this case we are interested in total reward without discounting or truncation. During evaluation, you should use a tinier ϵ value in your ϵ -greedy policy. We recommend 0.05. The small amount of randomness is to prevent the agent from getting stuck. Also plot the training curve and briefly comment on its behavior.
- In addition, report the average reward achieved by choosing actions based on two-step look ahead estimation using the value function derived from the Q-function you implemented above **for CartPole only** (i.e., evaluate all four states which can be reached in two actions and choose the next action which will lead to the state with highest value). Please include the performance plot **in the same plot as in question (b)**. Compare it with the one without look-ahead and comment on the behaviors.
- We want you to generate a *video capture* of an episode played by your trained Q-network at different points of the training process (0/3, 1/3, 2/3, and 3/3 through the training process) of both environments, i.e. `Cartpole-v0` and `MountainCar-v0`.

⁴An episode is defined as 200 successful time steps in Cartpole, and reaching the top of the mountain in Mountain Car. You can use the `Monitor` wrapper to generate both the performance curves and the video captures. Look at the OpenAI Gym tutorial for more details on how to use it. We recommend that you periodically checkpoint your network files and then reload them after training to generate the evaluation curves. It is recommended you do regular checkpointing anyways in order to ensure no work is lost if your program crashes.

- (e) Construct a *table* with the average total reward per episode in 100 episodes achieved by your fully trained model. Also show the information about the standard deviation, i.e., each entry should have the format $\text{mean} \pm \text{std}$. There should be an entry per model. Briefly comment on the results of this table.

6.2 [15pts] Implement the double Q-network as described in [3]. Train your network (separately) on both the `CartPole-v0` environment and the `MountainCar-v0` environment. Please answer the same questions as in **6.1**.

6.3 [15pts] Implement the dueling deep Q-network as described in [4]. Train your network (separately) on both the `CartPole-v0` environment and the `MountainCar-v0` environment.

Please answer the same questions as in **6.1**. Also, please compare double Q-network with basic DQN and dueling Q-network. In addition, please discuss how adding the two stream architecture affects model performance.

We recommend you to follow closely the hyperparameter setup described below.

Guidelines on references

We recommend you to read all the papers mentioned in the references. There is a significant overlap between different papers, so in reality you should only need certain sections to implement what we ask of you. We provide pointers for relevant sections for this assignment for your convenience.

The work in [1] contains the description of the experimental setup. Read paragraph 3 of section 4 for a description of the replay memory; read Algorithm 1; read paragraphs 1 and 3 of section 4.1 for preprocessing and model architecture respectively; read section 5 for the rest of the experimental setup (e.g., reward truncation, optimization algorithm, exploration schedule, and other hyperparameters). The methods section in [2], may clarify a few details so it may be worth to read selectively if questions remain after reading [1].

In [3], read "Double Q-learning" for the definition of the double Q-learning target; read paragraph 3 of "Empirical results" for some brief comments on the experimental setup followed.

In [4], look at equation 11 and read around three paragraphs up and down for how to set up the dueling architecture; read paragraph 2 of section 4.2 for comments on the experimental setup and model architecture. It may be worth to skim additional sections of all these papers.

Guidelines on hyperparameters

In this assignment you will implement improvements to the simple update Q-learning formula that make learning more stable and the trained model more performant. We briefly comment

on the meaning of each hyperparameter and some reasonable values for them.

- Discount factor γ : 1. for MountainCar, and 0.99 for CartPole.
- Learning rate α : 0.001 for Cartpole and 0.0001 for Mountaincar; typically a schedule is used where learning rates get increasingly smaller.
- Exploration probability ϵ in ϵ -greedy: While training, we suggest you start from a high epsilon value, and anneal this epsilon to a small value (0.05 or 0.1) during training. We have found decaying epsilon linearly from 0.5 to 0.05 over 100000 iterations works well. During test time, you may use a greedy policy, or an epsilon greedy policy with small epsilon (0.05).
- Number of training sampled interactions with the environment: For MountainCar-v0, we recommend training for 3000 to 5000 episodes, though you should see improvements much before this. For CartPole-v0, you should see improvement around 2000 episodes. However, for the plots, report the training curve for 10000 episodes.

Look at the average reward achieved in the last few episodes to test if performance has plateaued; it is usually a good idea to consider reducing the learning rate or the exploration probability if performance plateaus.

- Replay buffer size: 50000; this hyperparameter is used only for experience replay. It determines how many of the last transitions experienced you will keep in the replay buffer before you start rewriting this experience with more recent transitions.
- Batch size: 32; typically, rather doing the update as in (2), we use a small batch of sampled experiences from the replay buffer; this provides better hardware utilization.

In addition to the hyperparameters you also have the choice of which optimizer and which loss function to use. We recommend you use Adam as the optimizer. It will automatically adjust the learning rate based on the statistics of the gradients its observing. Think of it like a fancier SGD with momentum. Both Tensorflow and Keras provide versions of Adam.

For the loss function, you can use Mean Squared Error.

The implementations of the methods in this homework have multiple hyperparameters. These hyperparameters (and others) are part of the experimental setup described in [1, 2]. For the most part, we strongly suggest you to follow the experimental setup described in each of the papers. [1, 2] was published first; your choice of hyperparameters and the experimental setup should follow closely their setup. [3, 4] follow for the most part the setup of [1, 2]. We recommend you to read all these papers. We have given pointers for the most relevant portions for you to read in a previous section.

Guidelines on implementation

This homework requires a significant implementation effort. It is hard to read through the papers once and know immediately what you will need to be implement. We suggest you to think about the different components (e.g., replay buffer, Tensorflow or Keras model

definition, model updater, model runner, exploration schedule, learning rate schedule, ...) that you will need to implement for each of the different methods that we ask you about, and then read through the papers having these components in mind. By this we mean that you should try to divide and implement small components with well-defined functionalities rather than try to implement everything at once. Much of the code and experimental setup is shared between the different methods so identifying well-defined reusable components will save you trouble. We provide some code templates that you can use if you wish. Contrary to the previous assignment, abiding to the function signatures defined in these templates is not mandatory you can write your code from scratch if you wish.

Please note, that while this assignment has a lot of pieces to implement, most of the algorithms you will use in your project will be using the same pieces. Feel free to reuse any code you write for your homeworks in your class projects.

This is a challenging assignment. **Please start early!**

References

- [1] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Alex Graves, Ioannis Antonoglou, Daan Wierstra, and Martin Riedmiller. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5602*, 2013.
- [2] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529–533, 2015.
- [3] Hado Van Hasselt, Arthur Guez, and David Silver. Deep reinforcement learning with double q-learning. 2016.
- [4] Ziyu Wang, Tom Schaul, Matteo Hessel, Hado van Hasselt, Marc Lanctot, and Nando de Freitas. Dueling network architectures for deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06581*, 2015.