11,837,492 members (58,080 online)



articles

0&A

forums

lounge

Search for articles, questions, tips



Sign in

Ask a Question

All Unanswered Next

stl map and unordered_map difference

See more: C++ Linux





i want to understand that major difference between map and unordered_map in C++.

which one i suppose to use and which one is best?

Posted 14-Nov-13 20:05pm ranjithkumar81 1.3K

Add a Solution

4 solutions

Top Rated

Most Recent

Solution 1



An ordered map is usually implemented on the basis of an ordered tree, for example red-black-tree in STL's case. Tree manipulations are relatively expensive, but the tree uses very little storage.

An unordered map is usually implemented as a hash table; the newer STL implementation offer such a map as well. Hash table are very fast, but have some storage overhead and can only grew to a certain number of nodes before they become inefficient.

So if you have a set of values for which you can estimate the maximum number of nodes and for which you don't need to iterate through the nodes in an ordered fashion, the unordered map is usually the best choice. In all other cases you better use an ordered (tree-based) map.

[AMENDMENT - A comment by pasztorpisti]

Depending on the scenario and the allocators in use trees can extremely fragment memory while a simple yet effective hashmap is only 2 arrays. Most of the time I use only hashmaps and a special kind of ordered map (built on top of an orderedset) that is an array that is always sorted. Depending on the frequency of different operations and the number of items trees may become the better solution but in my opinion that is relatively rare.

Posted 14-Nov-13 20:21pm **Edited** 17-Nov-13 4:24am v2 nv3 **32.6K**

Comments

CPallini at 15-Nov-13 3:19am

5.

pasztorpisti at 17-Nov-13 8:29am

+5, but be careful saying that "the tree uses very little storage". Depending on the scenario and the allocators in use trees can extremely fragment memory while a simple yet effective hashmap is only 2 arrays. Most of the time I use only hashmaps and a special kind of ordered map (built on top of an orderedset) that is an array that is always sorted. Depending on the frequency of different operations and the number of items trees may become the better solution but in my opinion that is relatively rare.

nv3 at 17-Nov-13 8:57am

I can fully agree what you said and have the same tendency of using hashmaps whenever possible. May I take you comment and add it literaly to the main entry?

pasztorpisti at 17-Nov-13 9:21am

Of course. :-)

Solution 2



What't the difference between using Google[^] or not?

Posted 14-Nov-13 21:21pm

CPallini 362.5K

Comments

hello, i could not find the proper answer in Google as per my search.

Solution 3



Hi,

map:

- Usually implemented using red-black tree.
- Elements are sorted.
- Relatively small memory usage (doesn't need additional memory for the hash-table).
- Relatively fast lookup: O(log N).

unordered_map:

- Usually implemented using hash table.
- Elements are not sorted.
- Requires additional memory to keep the hash-table.
- Fast lookup O(1), but constant time depends on the hash-function which could be relatively slow.

refrence: (http://learninger.com[^])

Posted 16-Nov-13 21:28pm **Edited** 16-Nov-13 23:06pm v2 fkassaie 1.6K

Solution 4



None of these is "best". All of these data structures have their own characteristics. These characteristics are often described by listing the time complexity of the operations (insert, remove, add, ...) provided by the data structure. Here is a relatively brief yet good list of such a list: http://stackoverflow.com/questions/7294634/what-are-the-time-complexities-of-various-datastructures[^]

Each data structure has its own advantages and disadvantages, there is no perfect. Which data structure to use? Analyze the activity of your program, which operations does it use often? Choose a data structure whose advantages include most of the operations you often use. It will have a few slow operations but you know that you won't use those often...

Sometimes reality is different than what you would expect. Often data structures built from arrays are much faster if constructed/organized in a smart way because of better locality / cache-friendliness but this is also a hardware dependent thing (I referred to today's average desktop computers).

Posted 17-Nov-13 2:38am pasztorpisti 37.9K

Add your solution here	
Preview	
Existing Members Sign in to your account Your Email Password Forgot your password?	Or Join us Download, Vote, Comment, Publish. Your Email Optional Password I have read and agree to the Terms of Service and Privacy Policy Please subscribe me to the CodeProject newsletters
Submit your solution!	
When answering a question please:	

- 1. Read the question carefully.
- 2. Understand that English isn't everyone's first language so be lenient of bad spelling and grammar.
- 3. If a question is poorly phrased then either ask for clarification, ignore it, or **edit the question** and fix the problem. Insults are not welcome.

Let's work to help developers, not make them feel stupid.

This content, along with any associated source code and files, is licensed under The Code Project Open License (CPOL)

Advertise | Privacy | Mobile Web01 | 2.8.151022.1 | Last Updated 17 Nov 2013 Copyright © CodeProject, 1999-2015 All Rights Reserved. Terms of Service

CodeProject, 503-250 Ferrand Drive Toronto Ontario, M3C 3G8 Canada +1 416-849-8900 x 100

Layout: fixed | fluid