

Math417
Mathematical Programming

Homework V

Frédéric Boileau

Prof. Tim Hoheisel

26 octobre 2016

Contents

1	2
2	3
3	4
4 Simplex algorithm iteration	5

1

$$\min c^T x \quad s.t. \quad Ax = b \quad (1)$$

Let $x = x^+ - x^-$ and $x^+, x^- \geq 0$
Then we have

$$\min c^T (x^+ - x^-) \quad : \quad A(x^+ - x^-) = b \quad (2)$$

We put this problem in standard form by substitution:

$$\hat{x} = (x^+, x^-) \quad \hat{c} = (c, -c) \quad \hat{A} = [A \mid -A]$$

And the problem defined by the hat versions of c , x and A and the same b vector is clearly equivalent. However now our vector \hat{x} is restrained to be non negative so we can take the dual.

$$\max b^T y \quad : \quad \hat{A}^T y \leq \hat{c} \quad (3)$$

The constraints of the dual can be rewritten as follows:

$$A^T y \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad -A^T y \leq -c \quad \Rightarrow \quad A^T y = c$$

Thus

$$\max b^T y \quad \hat{A}^T y = c \quad (4)$$

is the simplified dual problem.

2

	$\inf(P) = -\infty$	$\inf(P) \in \mathbb{R}$	$\inf(P) = +\infty$
$\sup(D) = -\infty$	✓	×	✓
$\sup(D) \in \mathbb{R}$	×	✓	×
$\sup(D) = +\infty$	×	×	✓

There are only two theorems we need to invoke to show that the situations mentioned above are impossible. Strong Duality: (1,2)(2,3) because for a LP a solution exists for the primal iff it exist for the dual. Weak Duality: (2,1)(3,1)(3,2) because for a LP the supremum of the dual is always less or equal to the infimum of the primal.

(1,1) Unbounded Primal which means infeasible dual:

Let $c = (-1,-1)$ $Ax = b$, $A = I$, $b = (0,1)$

(1,3) For a problem infeasible for both the primal and the dual simply take a 2x2 matrix with all entries equal to 1 for A (so $A = A^T$) and b and c with different entries. (e.g. $b = (1,2)$ and $c = (3,4)$)

(2,2) A solution exists for the primal (\iff exists for the dual)

Look for example (lp from third homework set)

(3,3) Unbounded dual so infeasible primal

$$b = (1,1) \quad A^T = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{Bmatrix} \quad c = (1,1)$$

Clearly we can let the second component of y go to infinity and so the problem is unbounded.

(2,2) From a past assignment where we solved the problem graphically:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & 5x_1 + 7x_2 + 4x_3 + 8x_4 + 9x_5 + 10x_6 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_1 + x_2 = 11 \\ & x_3 + x_4 = 10 \\ & x_5 + x_6 = 9 \\ & x_1 + x_3 + x_5 = 18 \\ & x_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

3

We have that 3.10 holds we know that $\exists r \text{ s.t. } u_r < 0$ Hence we have that :

$$c^\top z = c^\top x + t u_r \leq c^\top x \quad (5)$$

Since $u_r < 0$ and $t \geq 0$ by construction. We need to have that

$$Az(t) = b \quad (6)$$

Let J be the set of indices with $|J| = m$ and K its complement. We start the process by choosing a BFP x with $x_K = 0$. So we construct z_J the following way:

$$z_J = B^{-1}(b - t a_r) = x_J - t d \quad (7)$$

Where we have defined d as :

$$d := B^{-1} a_r \quad (8)$$

With B being the square matrix with the linearly independent columns a_J and N the matrix with the column vectors a_K . Now we have

$$Az(t) = B z_J + N z_K = b \quad (9)$$

Now assume $d_i \leq 0 \quad \forall i \in J$ Well then it is easy to see that the feasible vector $z(t)$ is also non-negative for any positive t :

$$x_j - t d_j \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

Therefore we can make t as large as we want. Looking at 5 we can see that therefore the objective function can be made as small as possible, i.e. the problem is unbounded. ■

4 Simplex algorithm iteration

Our aim is to find for which index $r \in K$ is the vector

$$u_k := c_k - a_k^T y \quad (11)$$

Smaller than zero. The first step is to compute y which is defined as

$$y := (B^T)^{-1} c_J \quad (12)$$

So we need to compute $(B^T)^{-1}$ which is pretty straightforward:

$$(B^T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow (B^T)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$\therefore y = (0, 0, -2, 0) \quad (14)$$

We then compute the different possible u_r :

$$u_2 = -3 - (1, 3, 0, 0)^T (0, 0, -2, 0) = -3 \quad (15)$$

$$u_3 = -4 - (1, 1, 0, 1)^T (0, 0, -2, 0) = -4 \quad (16)$$

$$u_6 = 0 - (0, 0, 1, 0)^T (0, 0, -2, 0) = 1 \quad (17)$$

From this we can see that u_6 is not a contender. We can choose between $r = 2$ or $r = 3$ and choose the later. Now let's compute d_J

$$d_J = B^{-1} a_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (0, 1, 1, 1) \quad (18)$$

Now we take choose the value of \hat{t} :

$$s = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \frac{x_j}{d_j} \mid d_j > 0 \right\} = 4 \Rightarrow \hat{t} = \frac{x_4}{d_4} = 2 \quad (19)$$

Remember

$$x = (2, 0, 0, 2, 6, 0, 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_1 &= x_1 - 2 \times 0 = 2 \\
\hat{x}_2 &= 0 = 0 \\
\hat{x}_3 &= \hat{t} = 2 \\
\hat{x}_4 &= x_4 - 2 \times 1 = 0 \\
\hat{x}_5 &= x_5 - 2 \times 1 = 1 \\
\hat{x}_6 &= 0 = 0 \\
\hat{x}_7 &= x_7 - 2 \times 1 = 1
\end{aligned}$$

We can now write down \hat{x}

$$\hat{x} = (2, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1)$$

Both \hat{x} and x are not degenerate as all the basic components are non-zero for their respective index sets:

$$J = \{1, 4, 5, 7\} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$$

Dump

Let's derive the dual

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & c^\top x + \lambda^\top (b - Ax) \\
 g \quad & : \quad \lambda \mapsto \inf \{ c^\top x + \lambda^\top (b - Ax) \} \\
 g(\lambda) \leq & c^\top \bar{x} + \lambda^\top (b - A\bar{x}) = c^\top \bar{x} \\
 g(\lambda) = & \lambda^\top b + \inf \{ (c - A^\top \lambda)^\top x \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\inf \{ (c - A^\top \lambda)^\top x \} = \begin{cases} 0, & x \geq 0 \quad c - A^\top \lambda > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \quad c - A^\top \lambda < 0 \\ 0, & c - A^\top \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

So we can formulate the dual problem is as following:

$$\max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m} g(\lambda) = b^\top \lambda \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} x \neq 0 & A^\top \lambda \neq c \\ x = 0 & A^\top \lambda = c \end{cases} \quad (20)$$