

JJenkins_HW#3

August 27, 2018

1 Assignment 3

You may use any methods available to work the following 2 programming problems. They are bit more challenging, than the previous assignments, but not much. There are many ways to solve both problems, so I am not looking for a single 'correct' solution.

1.1 Problem 1 (8 Points)

One of the unsolved problems in mathematics is the Collatz or Hailstone problem. Basically, here are the rules:

- Supply an integer to the function
- If the integer is even, divide by 2
- If the integer is odd, multiply by 3 and add 1
- Take the new value and go again... if even, divide by 2, if odd, multiply by 3 and add 1
- The process stops when the value reaches 1

For example, if you write the program correctly, using:

`collatz(6)`

Will result in the function determining that 6 is even and then dividing $6/2$ producing 3. 3 is odd, so the function will perform $3(3)+1 = 10$. 10 is even, which results in $10/2 = 5$. 5 is odd $\rightarrow 3(5)+1 = 16$. 16 is even, $16/2 = 8$. 8 is even, $8/2 = 4$. 4 is even, $4/2 = 2$. 2 is even, $2/2 = 1$ and we are finished.

So, the `collatz(6)` function call results in the following Collatz sequence:

6 - 3 - 10 - 5 - 16 - 8 - 4 - 2 - 1

The unsolved portion is whether or not ALL integers eventually reach 1 or stop. Nobody knows. Some integers reach 1 quickly and others take several iterations or loops. For example, `collatz(97)` takes 118 steps.

Your task is to create a function, `collatz()`, that will take an integer you supply and then print the resulting Collatz numbers until the number 1 is reached.

```
In [5]: def collatz(n):
        # take a supplied integer and prints the resulting Collatz numbers until the number
        original_n = n #Storing the original n to use in print statement
        collatz_sequence = [n]
        if n < 1:
            # only dealing with positive integers greater than 1 and returning an empty list
            return []
```

```

while n > 1:
    # if integer is even divide by 2
    if n % 2 == 0:
        n = n / 2
    else:
        # if integer is odd multiply by 3 and add 1
        n = n * 3 + 1
    # append the new value to the list and take this value and loop through again
    collatz_sequence.append(int(n))
return print("\nFor the integer " + str(int(original_n)) +
            ", there are " + str(len(collatz_sequence)) +
            " steps until the Collatz number reaches 1" +
            " as shown in the following sequence:\n\n", collatz_sequence)

collatz(6)
collatz(97)

```

For the integer 6, there are 9 steps until the Collatz number reaches 1 as shown in the following:

```
[6, 3, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1]
```

For the integer 97, there are 119 steps until the Collatz number reaches 1 as shown in the following:

```
[97, 292, 146, 73, 220, 110, 55, 166, 83, 250, 125, 376, 188, 94, 47, 142, 71, 214, 107, 322,
```

1.2 Problem 2 (4 Points)

We wrote a function to find out if a number is prime. Write a program that will print the prime numbers from 5 to 10000. 2 is the only even prime number and 3 is almost as trivial, so we will start with 5 to make your task easier.

You can use the isprime program written in the last notebook, but you will need to add something to the program to solve the problem. Also, try BOTH $(n/2)$ for stopping the divisor and then try $(n*.5)$. Which one is faster? If you can't tell, try printing the prime to 100000 using both divisor stopping methods.

I apologize but I had to put the different portions of Problem 2 in different cells so I could focus on what I was trying to do.

I used the next cell to look at $(n/2)$ as my stopping divisor.

```

In [6]: """Using this cell to look at (n/2) as my stopping divisor"""
def isprime(n):
    from timeit import default_timer as timer
    initial_i = 5
    i = initial_i
    upper_bound = n+1

```

```

stopping_divisor0 = n
stopping_divisor1 = (n/2)
stopping_divisor2 = (n**(1/2))
my_primes1 = []
my_primes2 = []

for number in range(i, int(stopping_divisor1)):
    start1 = timer()
    # only looking at positive integers
    if number > 1:
        for i in range(i, number):
            if (number % i) == 0:
                break
            else:
                my_primes1.append(i)
#         print("The following number " + str(i) + " is prime.")
        i = i + 2
    end1 = timer()
    elapsed1 = end1 - start1

#     print("Using (n/2) as the stopping divisor, it took " + ("%.15f" % elapsed1) +
#         " seconds to determine that there are " + str(len(my_primes1)) + " prime n
#         str(initial_i) + " and " + str(n) + ".\n")

for number in range(i, int(stopping_divisor2)):
    start2 = timer()
    # only looking at positive integers
    if number > 1:
        for i in range(i, number):
            if (number % i) == 0:
                break
            else:
                my_primes2.append(i)
#         print("The following number " + str(i) + " is prime.")
        i = i + 2
    end2 = timer()
    elapsed2 = end2 - start2

print("Using (n/2) as the stopping divisor, it took " + ("%.15f" % elapsed1) +
    " seconds to determine that there are {0:,g}".format(len(my_primes1)) + " pr
    str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + ".\n")

print("Using (n/2) as the stopping divisor, the ".format(len(my_primes1)) + " prim
    str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + " are:\n", my_primes1)

```

```
isprime(100000)
```

Using $(n/2)$ as the stopping divisor, it took 0.000000300457202 seconds to determine that there

Using $(n/2)$ as the stopping divisor, the prime numbers between 5 and 100,000 are:

[5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51,

I used the next cell to look at $(n^{0.5})$ as my stopping divisor.

```
In [7]: """Using this cell to evaluate  $(n^{0.5})$  as the stopping divisor"""
```

```
def isprime(n):
    from timeit import default_timer as timer
    initial_i = 5
    i = initial_i
    # upper_bound = n+1
    # stopping_divisor0 = n
    # stopping_divisor1 = (n/2)
    stopping_divisor2 = (n**(1/2))
    # my_primes1 = []
    my_primes2 = []

    for number in range(i, int(stopping_divisor2)):
        start2 = timer()
        # only looking at positive integers
        if number > 1:
            for i in range(i, number):
                if (number % i) == 0:
                    break
            else:
                my_primes2.append(i)
        # print("The following number " + str(i) + " is prime.")
        i = i + 2
        end2 = timer()
        elapsed2 = end2 - start2

    print("Using  $(n^{0.5})$  as the stopping divisor, it took " + ("%.15f" % elapsed2)
          + " seconds to determine that there are {0:,g}".format(len(my_primes2))
          + " prime numbers between " + str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + ".\n")

    print("Using  $(n^{0.5})$  as the stopping divisor, the {0:,g}".format(len(my_primes2))
          + " prime numbers between " + str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + " are")

    isprime(100000)
```

Using $(n^{0.5})$ as the stopping divisor, it took 0.000000600914404 seconds to determine that the

Using $(n^{**0.5})$ as the stopping divisor, the 155 prime numbers between 5 and 100,000 are:
[5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51,

Which one was faster, $(n/2)$ or $(n^{**0.5})$??

```
In [1]: def speedcheck1(n):
    from timeit import default_timer as timer
    initial_i = 5
    i = initial_i
    upper_bound = n+1
    stopping_divisor0 = n
    stopping_divisor1 = (n/2)
    stopping_divisor2 = (n**(1/2))
    my_primes1 = []
    my_primes2 = []

    for number in range(i, int(stopping_divisor1)):
        start1 = timer()
        # only looking at positive integers
        if number > 1:
            for i in range(i, number):
                if (number % i) == 0:
                    break
                else:
                    my_primes1.append(i)
            # print("The following number " + str(i) + " is prime.")
            i = i + 2
        end1 = timer()
        elapsed1 = end1 - start1

    # print("Using (n/2) as the stopping divisor, it took " + ("%.15f" % elapsed1) +
    # " seconds to determine that there are {0:,g}".format(len(my_primes1)) + "
    # str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + ".\n")

    return ("%.15f" % elapsed1)

def speedcheck2(n):
    from timeit import default_timer as timer
    initial_i = 5
    i = initial_i
    upper_bound = n+1
    stopping_divisor0 = n
    stopping_divisor1 = (n/2)
    stopping_divisor2 = (n**(1/2))
```

```

my_primes1 = []
my_primes2 = []

for number in range(i, int(stopping_divisor2)):
    start2 = timer()
    # only looking at positive integers
    if number > 1:
        for i in range(i, number):
            if (number % i) == 0:
                break
            else:
                my_primes2.append(i)
#         print("The following number " + str(i) + " is prime.")
        i = i + 2
    end2 = timer()
    elapsed2 = end2 - start2

#     print("Using (n**0.5) as the stopping divisor, it took " + ("%15f" % elapsed2) +
#           " seconds to determine that there are {0:,g}".format(len(my_primes2)) + " ")
#     str(initial_i) + " and {0:,g}".format(n) + ".\n")
return ("%15f" % elapsed2)

print("The time to run with (n/2) as the stopping divisor in seconds was: ", speedcheck1)
print("The time to run with (n**0.5) as the stopping divisor in seconds was: ", speedcheck2)

elapsed1 = float(speedcheck1(100000))
elapsed2 = float(speedcheck2(100000))
diff = elapsed1 - elapsed2 #means (n/2) took longer to run than (n**0.5)
#print(diff)
if diff > 0:
    print("\nUsing (n**0.5) as the stopping divisor was " + ("%15f" % diff) + " seconds faster")
else:
    print("\nUsing (n/2) as the stopping divisor was " + ("%15f" % diff) + " seconds faster")

```

The time to run with (n/2) as the stopping divisor in seconds was: 0.000000300457206
The time to run with (n**0.5) as the stopping divisor in seconds was: 0.000000300457206

Using (n**0.5) as the stopping divisor was 0.000000300457206 seconds faster than using (n/2).