

1. (a) Define the decision problem  $D_0$  as follows.

Input: A string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , where  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ .

Output: Does  $x = 0$ ?

To show that  $D_0 \leq_p \overline{D_0}$ , we wish to construct in polynomial time a function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  such that  $x$  is a yes-instance of  $D_0$  if and only if  $f(x)$  is a yes-instance of  $\overline{D_0}$  (or equivalently a no-instance of  $D_0$ ). The reduction function  $f$  is simply defined as follows.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This function can trivially be computed in constant time, which means that it is computable in polynomial time. Moreover, if  $x$  is a yes-instance of  $D_0$ , then  $x = 0$ , so  $f(x) = 1$ , which is a no-instance of  $D_0$ . Conversely, if  $x$  is a no-instance of  $D_0$ , then  $f(x) = 0$ , which is the only yes-instance of  $D_0$ . Therefore  $D_0 \leq_p \overline{D_0}$ .

- (b) The problem of determining whether a binary input string  $x$  is equal to the string 0 is clearly decidable in constant time, and in particular  $D_0 \in P$ . Since  $P \subseteq NP$ , it follows that  $D_0 \in NP$ .
- (c) Let  $D_1$  be NP-complete and suppose  $D_1 \leq_p \overline{D_1}$ . Then there is some reduction function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  computable in polynomial time such that  $x$  is a yes-instance of  $D_1$  if and only if  $f(x)$  is a yes-instance of  $\overline{D_1}$ . This implies that we also have  $\overline{D_1} \leq_p D_1$ , by using the exact same reduction function  $f$ .

Since  $D_1 \in NP$ , it follows that  $\overline{D_1} \in \text{coNP}$ . Moreover, a language  $L$  is NP if and only if  $\overline{L}$  is coNP. Since  $D_1$  is NP-complete, we have  $L \leq_p D_1$ , and equivalently (by the same reasoning as above),  $\overline{L} \leq_p \overline{D_1}$ . Therefore  $\overline{D_1}$  is coNP-complete.

Let  $A \in NP$ . Then  $A \leq_p D_1 \leq_p \overline{D_1}$ . Since  $\overline{D_1} \in \text{coNP}$  it follows that  $A \in \text{coNP}$ . Therefore  $NP \subseteq \text{coNP}$ .

Similarly, let  $B \in \text{coNP}$ . Then  $B \leq_p \overline{D_1} \leq_p D_1$ , since  $\overline{D_1}$  is coNP-complete. Then  $B \in NP$ . Hence  $\text{coNP} \subseteq NP$ .

Therefore, combining these two statements, it follows that  $NP = \text{coNP}$ , under the assumption that such a problem  $D_1$  exists.