Part 2: Analysis of Recursive Algorithms

- use induction here
- 1. Complexity of Recursive Algorithms
- 2. Correctness





Outline

Induction on Recurrences

Complexity of Recursive Algorithms



Recursively Defined Functions

$$f(4)$$
 need $f(3)$ need $f(1)$ \downarrow need $f(2)$

Define:

$$f(n) = egin{cases} 2 & n = 0 \ 7 & n = 1 \ 2f(n-2) + f(n-1) & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Write out a few values of f(n). We want to show $f(n) < 2^{g(n)}$. Conjecture for g(n)?

onjecture for
$$g(n)$$
.

 $f(n) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline f(n) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 7 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 97 & 191 \\ \hline Conjecture: $f(n) < 2^{n+2} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$





 $f(n) < 2^{n+2}$ We'll use complete induction because f(n) depends on more than f(n-1).

Inductive Step: Let n & IN

Assume H(n): VielN, Ozicn, f(i) < 2i+2 Show H(n) > C(n): f(n) < 2n+2

Let n>1.

By definition, f(n) = 2·f(n-2) + f(n-1)

 $< 2 \cdot 2^{(n-2)+2} + 2^{(n-1)+2}$ (by H(n), n>1)

= 2n+1+ 2n+1

= an+a

So C(n) holds.

Base case: n=0, n=1. 2 = 20+2, 7 = 21+2

So the claim holds In en.





 $f(n) < 2^{n+2}$

Reminders about Algorithm Complexity

- ▶ Running time is measured by counting steps in an algorithm
- ► Sufficient to count chunks of instructions (sequences that are always executed together in constant time) as one step
- Measure as a function T(n) of input "size" n (# of input elements)
- For now, just concerned with worst-case (maximum over all inputs of the same size)
- Often, there is no simple algebraic expression for T(n) asymptotic notation \longrightarrow bounds on T(n)





Reminders about Algorithm Complexity

Asymptotic Notation

- Dupper bound T(n) ∈ O(f(n)) → if some ceRt, BEIN S.L. T(n) ≤ c.f(n), YneIN, n>B
- ► Lower bound T(n) ∈ S(f(n)) = if J ce R+, BeiN s.t. T(n) > c.f(n), YneIN, n>B
- ► Tight bound T(n) ∈ Θ(f(n)) → T(n) ∈ Θ(f(n))
 and T(n) ∈ Ω(f(n))



Recursive Algorithms

Recursive Binary Search

```
we should prove

m-b+1= [n/2]

e-(m+1)+1=[n/2)

-I'll post this

later See
                                n= e-b+1
\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}^{(r)} RecBinSearch(x, A, b, e):
                                                                                      page at
       1. \int if b == e:
                      if x <= A[b]: return b
else: return e + 1</pre>
                 else:
                      m = (b + e) // 2 # midpoint
if x <= A[m]:</pre>
                              return RecBinSearch(x, A, b, m) (collaboration):
       6.
                       else:
                              return RecBinSearch(x, A, m+1, e)
       7.
                                                       T(LM21)
```





Complexity of RecBinSearch

We can represent T(n) of RecBinSearch as the recurrence $(really \Theta(1))$ $T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{really } \Theta(1) \\ 1 + \text{max}(T(\Gamma^{1/2}I), T(L^{1/2}J)) \end{cases}$

How do me solve T(n) to get a closed form representation to move towards finding a bound?
We'll use Repeated Substitution/unwinding to Geomputer Science University of TORONT

Solving Recurrence Relations

Example: Recursive Factorial

```
Fact(n):
    if n == 0 or n == 1:
        return 1
    else:
        return n * Fact(n-1)
```

Worst case running time

T(n) = 3 | if n=0 orn=1

2 | + T(n-1) if n>1

what's the ?



Solving Recurrence Relations

Unwinding
$$T(n)$$
, $1 \le n \ge 0$ or $n = 1$
 $T(n) = \{1 + T(n-1) = 1 + 1 + T(n-2)\}$
 $= \{1 + 1 + 1 + T(n-3)\}$
Pattern: after i substitutions,
 $T(n) = i + T(n-i)$
 $T(n) = (n-1) + T(n-(n-i)) = n-1+1=n$
So our quest is $T(n) = n$.
We need to prove it.

Computer Science UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Solving Recurrence Relations

Proving T(n) = n by Induction

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n = 1 \\ 1 + T(n-1) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Inductive Step: Let ne N, n > 1

Assume H(n): T(k) = k, 14 k< n, K&IN

Show H(n) -> C(n): T(n) = n

Let n>1, T(n)=1+T(n-1)

= 1+ (n-1)

=n.

(by H(n), n>1
because

| 5n-1 < n)

Conclude C(n).

Base cose: T(1)=1

Conclude T(n)=n YneN, n>1





Recursive Binary Search

Unwinding T(n)

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 1 + \max(T(\Gamma 1/2), T(L^{n/2})) \end{cases}$$
where

Make some simplifying assumptions

Then
$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 1 + T(n/2) & n > 1 \end{cases}$$
 (because we)

$$T(n) = T(a^{k}) = 1 + T(a^{k-1}) = 1 + 1 + T(a^{k-2})$$

$$= |+ ... +| + T(2k-k)$$

$$= |+ ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| + ... +| +$$

T(n) eIN, and we made simplifications

Recursive Binary Search To prove $T(n) \in \Omega$ (lgn), need Lower bound on T(n)Lower bound on T(n)Pick B=2, c=1, prove by Complete Induction. Inductive Step: Let nEN, n>B Assume H(n): T(i) > c.lg(i), Vi B \(i < n \) Show H(n) -> c(n): T(n) > c.lg(n) (because T is monotopically incr.) $T(n) = | + T(\Gamma^{n/2}])$ = 1 + c.lq(\(\Gamma\)/27) (because n≥B>1→n>2 B≥[½]<n by H(n) $\geq 1 + c \cdot lg(\frac{\eta}{2})$ (because lg is mon. incr.) = 1 + c(lg(n) - lg(2))= $c \cdot lgn + 1 - c^{-1}$ (log identity) Bose core T(n) = 52 (lgn) = c.lq(n)

So C(n) holds.

<□ > <□ > <□ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Computer Science

Recursive Binary Search To prove $T(n) \in O(lgn)$,

Upper bound on T(n) need $f \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in \mathbb{N}$ Upper bound on T(n)T(n) < c. lgn B=? c=? $T(n) = 1 + T(\Gamma n_2 T)$ (want to say that by H(n)) < 1+ c. lg(["/27) what is $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ less than? $\leq (n+1)$ $\leq |+ c \cdot lg(\frac{n+1}{2})$ = $|+ c \cdot (lg(n+1) - lg(2))$ sproblem, we want colg(n) need to get rid of 41 = c.lg(n+1) +1-c $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \le \frac{n+1}{2} \le 50 \quad \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1 \le \frac{n+1}{2} - 1 = \frac{n-1}{2}$ Change our proof to show T(n) \(\le c \cdot \leg(n-1) \left(\le C \cdot \leg(n) \right) \) $T(n) = 1 + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) \le 1 + c \cdot lg(\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1) \stackrel{?}{\le} 1 + c \cdot lg(\lceil n-1 \rceil) + 2$ $= c \cdot lg(n-1) + 2 \qquad = c \cdot lg(n-1) + 2 \qquad =$

Recursive Binary Search

Base case: T(i) = 1 \(\pm\) eg(1-1) = lg(0) > not defined. Upper bound on T(n)T(2) = 1+T([2/27]) = 1+T(1)=2 240 lg(2-1)=lg(1)=0 in H(n), fi, B\(\frac{1}{2}\)i\ What if we add 2: T(n) & lg(n-1)+2? This would solve all our problems. (Tryz) > B



Recursive Binary Search

Upper bound on T(n)





Notes

Totes
$$|C(x)| + |C(x)| + |C(x$$

$$= e - e + b = e + f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e + b = f - e - b + 1$$