

Homework 3

Deadline: Friday, Feb. 8, at 11:59pm.

Submission: You need to submit three files through MarkUs <https://markus.teach.cs.toronto.edu/csc411-2019-01>:

- Your answers to Questions 1 and 2 as a PDF file titled `hw3_writeup.pdf`. You can produce the file however you like (e.g. L^AT_EX, Microsoft Word, scanner), as long as it is readable.
- Your completed code files `q1.py` and `q2.py`

Neatness Point: One of the 10 points will be given for neatness. You will receive this point as long as we don't have a hard time reading your solutions or understanding the structure of your code.

Late Submission: 10% of the marks will be deducted for each day late, up to a maximum of 3 days. After that, no submissions will be accepted.

Collaboration. Weekly homeworks are individual work. See the Course Information handout http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~mren/teach/csc411_19s/syllabus.pdf for detailed policies.

Data. In this assignment we will be working with the Boston Housing dataset¹. This dataset contains 506 entries. Each entry consists of a house price and 13 features for houses within the Boston area. We suggest working in python and using the `scikit-learn` package² to load the data.

Starter Code. Starter code written in Python is provided for Question 2.

1. [3pts] **Robust Regression.** One problem with linear regression using squared error loss is that it can be sensitive to outliers. Another loss function we could use is the *Huber loss*, parameterized by a `hyperparameter δ` :

$$L_\delta(y, t) = H_\delta(y - t)$$

$$H_\delta(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}a^2 & \text{if } |a| \leq \delta \\ \delta(|a| - \frac{1}{2}\delta) & \text{if } |a| > \delta \end{cases}$$

- (a) [1pt] Sketch the Huber loss $L_\delta(y, t)$ and squared error loss $L_{SE}(y, t) = \frac{1}{2}(y - t)^2$ for $t = 0$, either by hand or using a plotting library. Based on your sketch, why would you expect the Huber loss to be more robust to outliers?
- (b) [1pt] Just as with linear regression, assume a linear model:

$$y = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b.$$

Give formulas for the partial derivatives $\partial L_\delta / \partial \mathbf{w}$ and $\partial L_\delta / \partial b$. (We recommend you find a formula for the derivative $H'_\delta(a)$, and then give your answers in terms of $H'_\delta(y - t)$.)

- (c) [1pt] Write a Python function `gradient_descent(X, y, lr, num_iter, delta)` which takes as input:

¹<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~delve/data/boston/bostonDetail.html>

²http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.datasets.load_boston.html

- a training set \mathbf{X} : given as a design matrix, an ndarray of shape (N, D)
- a target vector \mathbf{y} : an ndarray of shape $(N,)$
- scalar `lr`: learning rate value
- `num_iter`: integer value specifying number of iterations to run gradient descent
- `delta`: hyperparameter for the Huber loss

and returns the value of the parameters \mathbf{w} and b after performing (full batch mode) gradient descent to minimize this model's cost function for `num_iter` iterations. Initialize \mathbf{w} and b to all zeros inside the function. Your code should be vectorized, i.e. you should not have a `for` loop over training examples or input dimensions. You may find the function `np.where` helpful.

Submit your code as `q1.py`.

2. [6pts] Locally Weighted Regression.

- (a) [2pts] Given $\{(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{(N)}, y^{(N)})\}$ and positive weights $a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(N)}$ show that the solution to the *weighted* least squares problem

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \min \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N a^{(i)} (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \quad (1)$$

is given by the formula

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{X} is the design matrix (defined in class) and \mathbf{A} is a diagonal matrix where $\mathbf{A}_{ii} = a^{(i)}$.

- (b) [2pts] Locally reweighted least squares combines ideas from k-NN and linear regression. For each new test example \mathbf{x} we compute distance-based weights for each training example $a^{(i)} = \frac{\exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(i)}\|^2 / 2\tau^2)}{\sum_j \exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{(j)}\|^2 / 2\tau^2)}$, computes $\mathbf{w}^* = \arg \min \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N a^{(i)} (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$ and predicts $\hat{y} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}^*$. Complete the implementation of locally reweighted least squares by providing the missing parts for `q2.py`.

Important things to notice while implementing: First, do not invert any matrix, use a linear solver (`numpy.linalg.solve` is one example). Second, notice that $\frac{\exp(A_i)}{\sum_j \exp(A_j)} = \frac{\exp(A_i - B)}{\sum_j \exp(A_j - B)}$ but if we use $B = \max_j A_j$ it is much more numerically stable as $\frac{\exp(A_i)}{\sum_j \exp(A_j)}$ overflows/underflows easily. This is handled automatically in the `scipy` package with the `scipy.misc.logsumexp` function³.

- (c) [1pt] Randomly hold out 30% of the dataset as a validation set. Compute the average loss for different values of τ in the range $[10, 1000]$ on both the training set and the validation set. Plot the training and validation losses as a function of τ (using a log scale for τ).
- (d) [1pt] How would you expect this algorithm to behave as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$? When $\tau \rightarrow 0$? Is this what actually happened?

³<https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy-0.14.0/reference/generated/scipy.misc.logsumexp.html>