

- (a) *Main Idea*: Use Selection Sort to rearrange the processes by non-decreasing time. But instead of actually reordering the elements, keep track of the index of each element as it is found.

```

MIN-COMPLETION( $t_1, \dots, t_n$ ):
   $T \leftarrow [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ 
   $\pi \leftarrow []$ 
  # Loop invariant:  $\pi$  contains the indices of the  $i - 1$  smallest elements in  $T$ ,
  # in non-decreasing order of the elements.
  for  $i \leftarrow 1, 2, \dots, n$ :
    # Find the smallest element in  $T$  and store its index in  $\pi[i]$ .
     $\pi \leftarrow \pi + [1]$  # start with element  $T[1]$ 
    # Loop invariant:  $T[\pi[i]]$  is the smallest element in  $T[1 \dots j - 1]$ .
    for  $j \leftarrow 2, 3, \dots, n$ :
      if  $T[j] < T[\pi[i]]$ :
         $\pi[i] \leftarrow j$ 
    # "Remove" process number  $\pi[i]$  from the input,
    # without changing the index of other processes.
     $T[\pi[i]] \leftarrow \infty$  # now  $T[\pi[i]]$  cannot be the smallest element again
  return  $\pi$ 

```

- (b) $\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ are the *partial solutions* generated by the algorithm.
 For the example given on the handout, $\pi_0 = []$, $\pi_1 = [1]$, $\pi_2 = [1, 4]$, $\pi_3 = [1, 4, 3]$, $\pi_4 = [1, 4, 3, 2]$.
- (c) Partial solution π_k is *promising* iff some optimum solution π^* extends π_k (an optimum solution is any permutation of $[1, 2, \dots, n]$ that yields a minimum average completion time).
 Optimum solution π^* *extends* partial solution π_k iff $\pi^*[i] = \pi_k[i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.
- (d) We prove the loop invariant “ π_k is promising” by induction on k (the number of iterations performed by the main loop—the one over variable i).
- (e) There are **no** cases in the proof of the inductive step because the outcome of one iteration of the main loop is always the same: the smallest remaining element is found, its index is stored in $\pi[i]$, and it is changed to “ ∞ ” to remove it from consideration during future iterations.
- (f) There are two “sub”-cases:
- if $\pi^*[k + 1] = \pi_{k+1}[k + 1]$;
 - if $\pi^*[k + 1] \neq \pi_{k+1}[k + 1]$.
- (g) Since every partial solution is promising, in particular, π_n (the value returned by the algorithm) is promising. This means some optimum permutation π^* extends π_n : $\pi^*[i] = \pi_n[i]$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. But then $\pi_n = \pi^*$, i.e., π_n itself is optimum.