1 Gaussian Process

[1] introduces Gaussian Process regression as generalization of Bayesian regression.

1.1 Bayesian Regression

In linear regression setup, we assume output $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function of inputs $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, corrupted with additive iid normal noise,

$$y = f(x) + \epsilon \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = w^T x \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2) \tag{1}$$

The Bayesian setup considers $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as a random variable, endowed with prior $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_p)$. Using Bayes rule, we can find the posterior of weights given data, which is again a normal random variable $p(w \mid X, y) = \mathcal{N}\left(w\,; A^{-1}b, A^{-1}\right)$ where $A = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} X^T X + \Sigma_p^{-1}$ and $b = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} X^T y$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ are design matrices. For test point x_*, the predictive distribution of $f_* = f(x_*)$ is the average likelihood of f_* under model $f(x; w)$ with respect to posterior of w.

$$p(f_* \mid x_*, X, y) = \int p(f_* \mid x_*, w) p(w \mid X, y)\, dw \tag{2}$$

We can think of the predictive distribution as a linear function $f_* = x_*^T w$ of weights, a normal random variable, and therefore is normal. Therefore, $f_* \mid x_*, X, y \sim \mathcal{N}(x_*^T A^{-1} b, x_*^T A^{-1} x_*)$. The natural extension to Bayesian linear regression is to kernelize it, for example assume a linear model in some feature space $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$ for some feature map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^D$. We can write predictive distribution as

$$f_* \mid x_*, X, y \sim \mathcal{N}(k(x_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} y, \tag{3}$$

$$k(x_*, x_*) - k(x_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} k(X, x_*)) \tag{4}$$

where $k(X, X') = \Phi \Sigma_p \Phi'^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n'}$ and $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}$ are the feature vectors.

1.2 Gaussian Process

Definition 1. *(Gaussian Process) A Gaussian process is a stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ such that, for every finite subset of indices $t_1, \cdots, t_k \in T$, $(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_k})$ is multivariate normal.*

A Gaussian process $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(m, k)$ over $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is fully specified by the mean function $m : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and covariance function $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ where

$$m(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[f(x)\right] \qquad k(x, x') = \mathbb{E}\left[(f(x) - m(x))(f(x') - m(x'))\right] \tag{5}$$

The covariance function determines function's behavior, for example its stationarity, smoothness, and periodicity etc. For example, the squared exponential covariance function $k_{\text{SE}}(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2\ell^2} \|x - x'\|_2^2)$ enforces the prior knowledge that functions are smooth, i.e. inputs are close in the Euclidean sense will have similar outputs.

Example 1. *(Intuition about covariance matrix for $\mathcal{GP}$) First consider $(y_1, y_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ where $\Sigma_{11} = \Sigma_{22} = 1$ and $\Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_{21} = \rho_{12}$. We know $y_1 \mid y_2 = a \sim \mathcal{N}(\rho_{12} a, 1 - \rho_{12}^2)$. When $Cov(y_1, y_2) = \rho_{12} \uparrow 1$, y_1's samples conditioned on $y_2 = a$ fall close to a with high probability. When $\rho_{12} \downarrow 0$, $y_1 \mid y_2 = a$ will distribute like a unit normal, regardless of values that y_2 take. Extend this intuition to gaussian process: whenever $k(x_i, x_j)$ is large, y_i, y_j are correlated and so observing $y_i = a$ provides a strong prior on how y_j will behave, or in other words, reduce the uncertainty of values that y_j can take dramatically.*

1.3 Gaussian Process Regression

Instead of placing a prior over weights $p(w)$ to quantify randomness in function $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$, we model function directly as a Gaussian process, $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k)$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the two views. For example, $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$ with prior $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_p)$ used in kernel Bayesian regression has

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(x)\right] = \phi(x)^T \mathbb{E}\left[w\right] = 0 \qquad \mathbb{E}\left[f(x)f(x')\right] = \phi(x)^T \Sigma_p \phi(x') \tag{6}$$

Therefore, $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k)$ where $k(x, x') = \phi(x)^T \Sigma_p \phi(x')$. Note k is in fact a valid kernel. (Since Σ_p is psd, $\Sigma_p = UDU^T$ by SVD. We can write $k(x, x') = \langle \psi(x), \psi(x') \rangle$ where $\psi(x) = \Sigma_p^{1/2}\phi(x)$ and $\Sigma_p^{1/2} = UD^{1/2}U^T$). Since $y = f(x) + \epsilon$, we have $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{f} + \sigma_n^2 I \sim \mathcal{N}(0, k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)$. We can write the joint distribution of observed values and function values at some test locations as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I & k(X, X_*) \\ k(X_*, X) & k(X_*, X_*) \end{bmatrix}\right) \tag{7}$$

We can derive the predictive distribution for $\mathbf{f}_* \mid \mathbf{y}$ by simply apply conditional distribution formula

$$\mathbf{f}_* \mid X, \mathbf{y}, X_* \sim \mathcal{N}(k(X_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1}\mathbf{y} \tag{8}$$

$$k(X_*, X_*) - k(X_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} k(X, X_*)) \tag{9}$$

which has exact form compared to (4) as derived by first deriving the posterior over weights $p(w \mid X, y)$ and integrate with respect to w as shown in (2). More compactly, for a single test point x_*,

$$\mathbf{f}_* \mid X, \mathbf{y}, x_* \sim \mathcal{N}(k_*^T (K + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1}\mathbf{y} \tag{10}$$

$$k(x_*, x_*) - k_*^T (K + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} k_*) \tag{11}$$

where $k_* = k(x_*, X) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$. Given data $(X, \mathbf{y})$, marginal likelihood $p(\mathbf{y} \mid X)$ quantifies how likely data is observed under our additive noise model $\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}, \sigma_n^2 I)$ on average with respect to a Gaussian process prior over the function values $\mathbf{f} \mid X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K)$,

$$p(\mathbf{y} \mid X) = \int p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f}, X) p(\mathbf{f} \mid X)\, d\mathbf{f} \tag{12}$$

Analogously in Bayesian linear regression, the marginal likelihood marginalize over weights $p(\mathbf{y} \mid X) = \int p(\mathbf{y} \mid X, w) p(w)\, dw$. We can obtain a closed form expression by reading off (7), i.e. $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, K + \sigma_n^2 I)$. In empirical Bayes setup, kernel hyperparameters can be found by maximizing log marginal likelihood,

$$\log p(\mathbf{y} \mid X) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T (K + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1}\mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{2}\log |K + \sigma_n^2 I| - \frac{n}{2}\log 2\pi \tag{13}$$

References

[1] Carl Edward Rasmussen and Williams Christopher. *Gaussian Process for Machine Learning*. MIT Press, 2006.