

1 Gaussian Process

[1] introduces Gaussian Process regression as generalization of Bayesian regression.

1.1 Bayesian Regression

In linear regression setup, we assume output $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function of inputs $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, corrupted with additive iid normal noise,

$$y = f(x) + \epsilon \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = w^T x \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2) \quad (1)$$

The Bayesian setup considers $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ as a random variable, endowed with prior $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_p)$. Using Bayes rule, we can find the posterior of weights given data, which is again a normal random variable $p(w | X, y) = \mathcal{N}(w; A^{-1}b, A^{-1})$ where $A = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} X^T X + \Sigma_p^{-1}$ and $b = \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} X^T y$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ are design matrices. For test point x_* , the predictive distribution of $f_* = f(x_*)$ is the average likelihood of f_* under model $f(x; w)$ with respect to posterior of w .

$$p(f_* | x_*, X, y) = \int p(f_* | x_*, w) p(w | X, y) dw \quad (2)$$

We can think of the predictive distribution as a linear function $f_* = x_*^T w$ of weights, a normal random variable, and therefore is normal. Therefore, $f_* | x_*, X, y \sim \mathcal{N}(x_*^T A^{-1} b, x_*^T A^{-1} x_*)$. The natural extension to Bayesian linear regression is to kernelize it, for example assume a linear model in some feature space $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$ for some feature map $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$. We can write predictive distribution as

$$f_* | x_*, X, y \sim \mathcal{N}(k(x_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} y, \quad (3)$$

$$k(x_*, x_*) - k(x_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} k(X, x_*)) \quad (4)$$

where $k(X, X') = \Phi \Sigma_p \Phi^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n'}$ and $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}$ are the feature vectors.

1.2 Gaussian Process

Definition 1. (Gaussian Process) A Gaussian process is a stochastic process $\{X_t\}_{t \in T}$ such that, for every finite subset of indices $t_1, \dots, t_k \in T$, $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_k})$ is multivariate normal.

A Gaussian process $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(m, k)$ over $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$ is fully specified by the mean function $m : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and covariance function $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where

$$m(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)] \quad k(x, x') = \mathbb{E}[(f(x) - m(x))(f(x') - m(x')))] \quad (5)$$

The covariance function determines function's behavior, for example its stationarity, smoothness, and periodicity etc. For example, the squared exponential covariance function $k_{SE}(x, x') = \exp(-\frac{1}{2\ell^2} \|x - x'\|_2^2)$ enforces the prior knowledge that functions are smooth, i.e. inputs are close in the Euclidean sense will have similar outputs.

Example 1. (Intuition about covariance matrix for \mathcal{GP}) First consider $(y_1, y_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ where $\Sigma_{11} = \Sigma_{22} = 1$ and $\Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_{21} = \rho_{12}$. We know $y_1 | y_2 = a \sim \mathcal{N}(\rho_{12}a, 1 - \rho_{12}^2)$. When $\text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) = \rho_{12} \uparrow 1$, y_1 's samples conditioned on $y_2 = a$ fall close to a with high probability. When $\rho_{12} \downarrow 0$, $y_1 | y_2 = a$ will distribute like a unit normal, regardless of values that y_2 take. Extend this intuition to gaussian process: whenever $k(x_i, x_j)$ is large, y_i, y_j are correlated and so observing $y_i = a$ provides a strong prior on how y_j will behave.

1.3 Gaussian Process Regression

Instead of placing a prior over weights $p(w)$ to quantify randomness in function $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$, we model function directly as a Gaussian process, $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(m, k)$. The latter approach is more general. For example, $f(x) = \phi(x)^T w$ with prior $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_p)$ used in kernel Bayesian regression has

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x)] = \phi(x)^T \mathbb{E}[w] = 0 \quad \mathbb{E}[f(x)f(x')] = \phi(x)^T \Sigma_p \phi(x') \quad (6)$$

Therefore, $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(0, k)$ where $k(x, x') = \phi(x)^T \Sigma_p \phi(x')$. Note k is in fact a valid kernel. (Since Σ_p is psd, $\Sigma_p = UDU^T$ by SVD. We can write $k(x, x') = \langle \psi(x), \psi(x') \rangle$ where $\psi(x) = \Sigma_p^{1/2} \phi(x)$ and $\Sigma_p^{1/2} = UD^{1/2}U^T$). Since $y = f(x) + \epsilon$, the noisy output is a sum of two normal random variables and therefore, $y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), k(x, x) + \sigma_n^2)$. We can write the joint distribution of observed values and function values at some test locations as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I & k(X, X_*) \\ k(X_*, X) & k(X_*, X_*) \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (7)$$

We can derive the predictive distribution for $\mathbf{f}_* \mid \mathbf{y}$ by simply apply conditional distribution formula

$$\mathbf{f}_* \mid X, \mathbf{y}, X_* \sim \mathcal{N}(k(X_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} \mathbf{y} \quad (8)$$

$$k(X_*, X_*) - k(X_*, X)(k(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I)^{-1} k(X, X_*)) \quad (9)$$

which has exact form compared to (4) as derived by first deriving the posterior over weights $p(w \mid X, y)$ and integrate with respect to w as shown in (2).

References

- [1] Carl Edward Rasmussen and Williams Christopher. *Gaussian Process for Machine Learning*. MIT Press, 2006.