

# Calculations for [1]

Justification of the complex Langevin method with the gauge cooling procedure.

## 2 Brief review of the Langevin method

System defined by  $\mathbf{x} : (\mathbb{N}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n) = (x_k : \mathbb{R})_{k=1}^n$  and action  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  with partition function

$$Z : (\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, Z(S) = \int d\mathbf{x} \exp(-S(\mathbf{x})) = \prod_k dx_k \exp(-S(\mathbf{x})) \quad (2.1)$$

### 2.1 $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$

Langevin equation

$$\frac{dx_k}{dt}(t; \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \eta_k(t) - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k}(\mathbf{x}(t; \boldsymbol{\eta})) \quad (2.2)$$

with gaussian noise  $\eta$  ( $\mu = 0$  and  $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$ ) obeying the distribution

$$\rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \rho_{\text{noise},0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta})\right), \rho_{\text{noise},0} = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta})\right) \text{ and } (\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \int dt \sum_k \eta_k(t) \eta_k(t) \quad (2.3)$$

which can be used for evaluating expectation values of variables depending on the noise

$$\langle \dots \rangle_{\text{noise}} = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \dots(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (2.4)$$

The random solution  $\mathbf{x}$  is then picked with probability by definition

$$\rho_L(\mathbf{x}; t) = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(t)) \rangle_{\text{noise}} = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(t; \boldsymbol{\eta})) \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (2.5)$$

The most generic requirement for noise can be defined

$$\langle \eta_k(t) \eta_{k'}(t') \rangle_{\text{noise}} = 2\delta_{kk'} \delta(t - t') \quad (2.6)$$

Byt derivating the noise probability distribution

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\text{noise}}}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \rho_{\text{noise},0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k(t)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta})\right) = -\frac{1}{4} \rho_{\text{noise},0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta})\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (2.7)$$

with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}|\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k(t)} \int ds \sum_l (\eta_l(s))^2 = \int ds \sum_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k(t)} (\eta_l(s))^2 = 2 \int ds \sum_l \delta_{kl} \delta(t - s) \eta_l(s) = 2\eta_k(t) \quad (2.8)$$

therefore

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\text{noise}}}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \eta_k(t) \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \text{ or } \eta_k(t) \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = -2 \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{noise}}}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (2.9)$$

Assuming we can integrate by parts (no boundary conditions)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta_k(t) \eta_{k'}(t') \rangle_{\text{noise}} &= \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta_k(t) \eta_{k'}(t') \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = -2 \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta_k(t) \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{noise}}}{\partial \eta_{k'}(t')}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = 2 \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \frac{\partial \eta_k(t)}{\partial \eta_{k'}(t')} \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \\ &= 2 \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \delta_{kk'} \delta(t - t') \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = 2 \delta_{kk'} \delta(t - t') \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = 2 \delta_{kk'} \delta(t - t') \end{aligned}$$

The other partial integration term integrates a total derivative

$$-2 \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \eta_k(t) \rho_{\text{noise}}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = -4 \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{noise}}}{\partial \eta_k(t)}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \quad (2.10)$$

resulting in a boundary term that is 0, if the distribution  $\rho_{\text{noise}}$  falls-off at infinity fast enough, holding true for the gaussian distribution.

## References

- [1] KEITARO NAGATA, JUN NISHIMURA, SHINJI SHIMASAKI.  
*Justification of the complex Langevin method with the gauge cooling procedure.*  
[arXiv:1508.02377v2](#) [hep-lat] 18 Sep 2015.