

Website Vulnerability Scanner Report

✓ <https://cyprus.com/>

! The Light Website Scanner didn't check for critical issues like SQLi, XSS, Command Injection, XXE, etc. [Upgrade](#) to run Deep scans with 40+ tests and detect more vulnerabilities.

Summary

Overall risk level:

Medium

Risk ratings:



Scan information:

Start time: Feb 24, 2024 / 15:59:39
Finish time: Feb 24, 2024 / 16:00:34
Scan duration: 55 sec
Tests performed: 18/18
Scan status: **Finished**

Findings

🚩 Insecure cookie setting: missing HttpOnly flag

CONFIRMED

URL	Cookie Name	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	PHPSESSID	The server responded with Set-Cookie header(s) that does not specify the HttpOnly flag: Set-Cookie: PHPSESSID=2d4a642a16d568514aef2b0dae2b05

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that an attacker who injects malicious JavaScript code on the page (e.g. by using an XSS attack) can access the cookie and can send it to another site. In case of a session cookie, this could lead to session hijacking.

Recommendation:

Ensure that the HttpOnly flag is set for all cookies.

References:

<https://owasp.org/www-community/HttpOnly>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-1004](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Insecure cookie setting: missing Secure flag

CONFIRMED

URL	Cookie Name	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	PHPSESSID	Set-Cookie: PHPSESSID=2d4a642a16d568514aef2b0dae2b05; path=/

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk exists that an attacker will intercept the clear-text communication between the browser and the server and he will steal the cookie of the user. If this is a session cookie, the attacker could gain unauthorized access to the victim's web session.

Recommendation:

Whenever a cookie contains sensitive information or is a session token, then it should always be passed using an encrypted channel.

Ensure that the secure flag is set for cookies containing such sensitive information.

References:

https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/stable/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/06-Session_Management_Testing/02-Testing_for_Cookies_Attributes.html

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-614](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Strict-Transport-Security

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	Response headers do not include the HTTP Strict-Transport-Security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that lack of this header permits an attacker to force a victim user to initiate a clear-text HTTP connection to the server, thus opening the possibility to eavesdrop on the network traffic and extract sensitive information (e.g. session cookies).

Recommendation:

The Strict-Transport-Security HTTP header should be sent with each HTTPS response. The syntax is as follows:

```
Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=<seconds>[; includeSubDomains]
```

The parameter `max-age` gives the time frame for requirement of HTTPS in seconds and should be chosen quite high, e.g. several months. A value below 7776000 is considered as too low by this scanner check.

The flag `includeSubDomains` defines that the policy applies also for sub domains of the sender of the response.

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Content-Security-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	Response does not include the HTTP Content-Security-Policy security header or meta tag

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if the target application is vulnerable to XSS, lack of this header makes it easily exploitable by attackers.

Recommendation:

Configure the Content-Security-Header to be sent with each HTTP response in order to apply the specific policies needed by the application.

References:

https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html
<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/Content-Security-Policy>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

Missing security header: Referrer-Policy

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	Response headers do not include the Referrer-Policy HTTP security header as well as the <meta> tag with name 'referrer' is not present in the response.

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that if a user visits a web page (e.g. "http://example.com/pricing/") and clicks on a link from that page going to e.g. "https://www.google.com", the browser will send to Google the full originating URL in the **Referer** header, assuming the Referrer-Policy header is not set. The originating URL could be considered sensitive information and it could be used for user tracking.

Recommendation:

The Referrer-Policy header should be configured on the server side to avoid user tracking and inadvertent information leakage. The value **no-referrer** of this header instructs the browser to omit the Referer header entirely.

References:

https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/Referer_header:_privacy_and_security_concerns

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Missing security header: X-Content-Type-Options

CONFIRMED

URL	Evidence
https://cyprus.com/	Response headers do not include the X-Content-Type-Options HTTP security header

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that lack of this header could make possible attacks such as Cross-Site Scripting or phishing in Internet Explorer browsers.

Recommendation:

We recommend setting the X-Content-Type-Options header such as **X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff**.

References:

<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Content-Type-Options>

Classification:

CWE : [CWE-693](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Robots.txt file found

CONFIRMED

URL
https://cyprus.com/robots.txt

▼ Details

Risk description:

There is no particular security risk in having a robots.txt file. However, it's important to note that adding endpoints in it should not be considered a security measure, as this file can be directly accessed and read by anyone.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to manually review the entries from robots.txt and remove the ones which lead to sensitive locations in the website (ex. administration panels, configuration files, etc).

References:

<https://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/05/19/robotstxt/>
































Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

🚩 Server software and technology found

UNCONFIRMED ⓘ

Software / Version	Category
 Google Analytics UA	Analytics
 Google Font API	Font scripts
 jQuery UI 1.12.1	JavaScript libraries
 Leaflet \1	Maps
 Livefyre \1	Comment systems
 Google Maps	Maps
 MySQL	Databases
 Nginx 1.21.6	Web servers, Reverse proxies
 PHP	Programming languages
 Lodash 1.13.6	JavaScript libraries
 YouTube	Video players
 Contact Form 7	WordPress plugins
 Font Awesome	Font scripts
 Bootstrap	UI frameworks
 jQuery Migrate 3.4.1	JavaScript libraries
 core-js 3.31.0	JavaScript libraries
 Isotope	JavaScript libraries
 Jetpack	WordPress plugins
 jQuery 3.7.1	JavaScript libraries
 Modernizr 2.6.2	JavaScript libraries
 Open Graph	Miscellaneous
 OWL Carousel	JavaScript libraries
 Select2	JavaScript libraries
 Twitter Emoji (Twemoji) 14.0.2	Font scripts
 Priority Hints	Performance
 WordPress 6.4.3	CMS, Blogs
 wpBakery	Page builders, WordPress plugins
 reCAPTCHA	Security
 RSS	Miscellaneous
 Cart Functionality	Ecommerce
 Yoast SEO 22.1	SEO, WordPress plugins

▼ Details

Risk description:

The risk is that an attacker could use this information to mount specific attacks against the identified software type and version.

Recommendation:

We recommend you to eliminate the information which permits the identification of software platform, technology, server and

operating system: HTTP server headers, HTML meta information, etc.

References:

https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/stable/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/02-Fingerprint_Web_Server.html

Classification:

OWASP Top 10 - 2013 : [A5 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

OWASP Top 10 - 2017 : [A6 - Security Misconfiguration](#)

 Website is accessible.

 Nothing was found for vulnerabilities of server-side software.

 Nothing was found for client access policies.

 Nothing was found for absence of the security.txt file.

 Nothing was found for use of untrusted certificates.

 Nothing was found for enabled HTTP debug methods.

 Nothing was found for secure communication.

 Nothing was found for directory listing.

 Nothing was found for domain too loose set for cookies.

 Nothing was found for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy.

Scan coverage information

List of tests performed (18/18)

- ✓ Checking for website accessibility...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Strict-Transport-Security...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Content Security Policy...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - Referrer...
- ✓ Checking for missing HTTP header - X-Content-Type-Options...
- ✓ Checking for HttpOnly flag of cookie...
- ✓ Checking for Secure flag of cookie...
- ✓ Checking for website technologies...
- ✓ Checking for vulnerabilities of server-side software...
- ✓ Checking for client access policies...
- ✓ Checking for robots.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for absence of the security.txt file...
- ✓ Checking for use of untrusted certificates...
- ✓ Checking for enabled HTTP debug methods...
- ✓ Checking for secure communication...
- ✓ Checking for directory listing...
- ✓ Checking for domain too loose set for cookies...
- ✓ Checking for unsafe HTTP header Content Security Policy...

Scan parameters

Target: https://cyprus.com/
Scan type: Light
Authentication: False

Scan stats

Unique Injection Points Detected: 1709
URLs spidered: 2
Total number of HTTP requests: 10
Average time until a response was received: 525ms
