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**Abstract**

Climate change may alter how organisms disperse, which may in turn affect how species spread across a landscape, with important implications for models of population spread and species management. Wind dispersed plants have emerged as a useful study system for investigating the effects of climate change on dispersal, but while many previous studies in such systems have successfully quantified and modelled wind dispersal, such models often assume that propagules are released from only a single point on an individual. This simplifying assumption, while useful, has the potential to over- or under-estimate dispersal depending on plant morphology. Here, we investigate the effects of climate change on dispersal, specifically examining how projected dispersal patterns change when accounting for all sources of seed release on a plant. Using the wind-dispersed invasive thistles *Carduus nutans* and *Carduus acanthoides*, we quantify temperature-driven shifts in the entire distribution of flower head heights using a passive warming field experiment, and then project the effects of these flower head height distribution shifts on dispersal using the Wald analytical long distance (WALD) dispersal model. We also compare dispersal distances considering the entire distribution of flower head heights to those under the common practice of using only maximum seed release height for both warmed and ambient temperature plants.

An approximately 0.6 °C increase in ambient temperature increased *C. nutans* mean and maximum flower head heights by 13.5 cm (14.2%) and 14.0 cm (13.2%), respectively; larger mean and maximum flower head height increases of 26.0 cm (33.1%) and 31.1 cm (35.6%) were observed in *C. acanthoides*. Seeds from warmed individuals were more likely to exceed a given dispersal distance than those from their unwarmed counterparts; warmed *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* seeds were on average 1.34 and 1.71 times as likely, respectively, to travel 10 m or more, with this disparity becoming stronger at longer dispersal distances. Long-distance dispersal events were more likely to occur when kernels were derived with the common assumption that all seeds are released from the maximum height, than when considering the entire flower head height distribution. This has especially important implications in models of population spread. Spread models are often sensitive to long-distance dispersal events, so that overestimating the frequency of these events may overestimate spread rates.

**Introduction**

For plants, the short window of time in which seed or propagule dispersal occurs is typically the only part of their life cycle where movement is possible, with the remainder of that life cycle characterised by an otherwise sessile existence. Thus, the dispersal patterns of a particular plant are a crucial factor in determining the spatial distribution of the next generation of individuals (Nathan and Muller-Landau 2000). Such spatial distributions may shift as a result of climate change, which may alter dispersal patterns by affecting factors that directly or indirectly control dispersal; examples include structure of the maternal plant from which seeds come, the number of seeds produced, the shape and size of the seeds themselves, and the efficacy of dispersal vectors such as wind or water (Johnson *et al*. 2019; Snell *et al*. 2019). In recent years, wind-dispersed plants have emerged as a study system for investigating how climate change may affect plant dispersal, with experiments and modelling helping to illuminate possible shifts in dispersal patterns. For example, Kuparinen *et al*. (2009) use micrometeorological data to show that increased air temperatures can lead to higher rates of long-distance dispersal for a variety of plant types in a southern Finland boreal forest; Zhang *et al*. (2011) use a combination of field experiments and models to examine how increases in temperature affect reproduction and seed dispersal distances in the invasive thistle *Carduus nutans*, as well as how this leads to an increased rate of population spread in the northeastern United States; and Bullock *et al*. (2012) model how predicted changes in wind speed later in this century would affect the spread of various wind-dispersed flora in the British Isles. Such combinations of models and experiments illustrate that climate change can impact wind-driven dispersal by affecting factors central to this process such as seed release height, wind speed, and air turbulence.

Currently, the majority of dispersal studies on wind-dispersed plants model the process as occurring from a single release height, often the maximum observed seed release height; that is, for dispersal on the level of the individual plant, all seeds are assumed to be released from a single point on that plant. However, wind-dispersed plants usually have multiple reproductive structures and thus multiple points of seed release that are not necessarily the same height above the ground. Given that seed release height is an important factor in modelling dispersal distances (e.g., Katul *et al*. 2005; Kuparinen *et al*. 2006; Nathan *et al*. 2011) because it will determine how long a seed is suspended in the air and thus how far it is carried by wind, appropriately characterising seed release heights is necessary for accurately quantifying dispersal. By accounting for the distribution of seed release heights within individuals instead of using the maximum height, more representative dispersal estimates can be constructed. However, the need for using the distribution of seed release heights in dispersal models may or may not be necessary depending on plant morphology and variation in these seed release heights (Cousens and Rawlinson 2001). On one hand, for plants with a single small inflorescence or many small inflorescences at approximately the same height, estimation of seed release from a single point source may be appropriate; on the other hand, for plants where inflorescence height varies dramatically, or where individual flower height within an inflorescence varies dramatically, using the distribution of flower heights may be more appropriate.

Here, we present an approach combining field experiments and dispersal modelling to assess effects of climate change on dispersal when accounting for multiple release heights within individuals where seed release heights vary extensively. We focus our investigation on climate change in the form of increases in mean temperature, and seek to examine the effects of increased growing temperature on dispersal while accounting for the entire distribution of seed release heights rather than a point source at the maximum. In this investigation, we address three questions of interest. First, how does increased temperature affect the distribution of flower head heights (and thus seed release heights)? Second, how does increased growing temperature affect dispersal patterns and distances over the distribution of flower head heights? And third, are there differences in dispersal patterns and distances when using the distribution of seed release heights rather than the maximum height?

**Methods and Materials**

***Study******Species***

*Carduus nutans* L. (“musk thistle” or “nodding thistle”) and *Carduus acanthoides* L. (“plumeless thistle”) are two closely related invasive thistles in the Asteraceae family. Both species germinate in autumn or spring and bolt in the early summer (Zhang *et al*. 2012), reproduce exclusively by seed, and have monocarpic perennial life cycles that have been demonstrated (for *C. nutans*) to shift from biennial towards annual under warming conditions (Keller and Shea 2021). While sharing similar life histories, the two species display significant morphological differences in dispersal-related characteristics such as: number of flower heads; flower head size; number of seeds produced per flower head; and distribution of flower heads across an individual (Desrochers *et al*. 1988). These invasive thistles have high reproductive potential and are a considerable agricultural pest since they thrive in pastures, are unpalatable to most grazers, and decrease pasture productivity (Trumble and Kok 1982). In addition to pastures, these thistles occur in other highly disturbed areas such as drainages and roadsides. Both species can be found across the U.S. (Allen and Shea 2006), and are listed as noxious weeds in several states (Skinner *et al.* 2000).

Wind serves as the primary dispersal vector in both *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides*. Seeds of both species display a prominent pappus that, when remaining attached to the achene, decreases falling velocity and makes it possible for seeds to be carried long distances. For example, Skarpaas and Shea (2007) detected seeds travelling away from their parent plants at distances up to 96 m for *C. nutans* and 16 m for *C. acanthoides*, though longer distances are likely possible in extreme wind events. The achenes and pappi of both species differ in size; *C. nutans* seedsare on average larger than those of *C. acanthoides* (Skarpaas *et al*. 2011), and there is between- and within-species variation in plume loading and plume density that generates variation in seed terminal velocity. Here, we focus on wind-driven primary dispersal that may be affected by an additional potential source of variation; seed release height.

***Experimental Design***

Experiments measuring the effects of warming on the distribution of *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* flower head heights were conducted a field experiment at the Russell E. Larson Agricultural Research Farm in Rock Springs, Pennsylvania. The field site was previously a pasture, and contains rocky soils, and lies at the base of a mountain ridge dominated by deciduous forest. To simulate the disturbed habitats in which these thistles are often found, aboveground vegetation at the site was killed using an offset disk, and the soil surface was levelled using a roller harrow before any planting occurred.

After being started in a greenhouse for approximately one month, thistle rosettes for each species were planted in groups of four, each in a 2m x 2m plot. To simulate increased ambient temperatures, 100 *C. nutans* and 48 *C. acanthoides* were randomly chosen to be passively warmed using fibreglass open-top chambers (OTC) shortly after being planted in the field. A total of 272 *C. nutans* and 136 *C. acanthoides* were planted in 17 blocks, with each block consisting of 16 *C. nutans* and 8 *C. acanthoides*. The OTCs used in this experiment simulate an approximately 0.6 °C increase in temperature while not affecting soil moisture or snow depth (Zhang *et al*. 2011) and are built to the specifications listed in the International Tundra Experiment Manual (Molau and Mølgaard 1996). All OTCs were held into the ground with rebar and remained in place for the remainder of the thistle life cycle. Over the course of the experiment, plot vegetation was trimmed to prevent confounding with different vegetation interactions while simulating growth after invasion into newly disturbed ground; however, vegetation was not trimmed in winter due to snow cover.

As flowers began to set seed, mesh pollen bags were used to keep seeds from escaping into the environment while still allowing the flowers access to air, water, and sunlight. Once any particular individual either a) desiccated, b) collapsed under its own weight, or c) stopped producing new flower buds, the heights of all flower heads on the individual were measured before cutting the plant down. In instances where pollen bags caused flower heads to droop, the erect height of the flower head was measured. All flower head height measurements were taken over the course of three weeks, starting in mid-July and terminating in early August.

***Dispersal model***

Dispersal was modelled using the Wald analytical long-distance dispersal (WALD) model (Katul *et al*. 2005). This mechanistic model, based in fluid dynamics, predicts the distribution of propagule dispersal distances by wind and has been shown to be a suitable approximation of empirically determined kernels for wind-dispersed plants (Katul *et al*. 2005; Skarpaas and Shea 2007; Bullock *et al*. 2012). The dispersal kernel generated under this model is an inverse Gaussian distribution of the form

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | [1] |

where denotes a given dispersal distance. The location parameter and scale parameter are functions of seed release height , wind speed , seed terminal velocity , and turbulent flow parameter .

To correct wind speed measurements for use at any seed release height rather than at measurement height, we used the same procedure as Skarpaas and Shea (2007) and Bullock *et al*. (2012) and integrate wind speed over the logarithmic wind profile

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | [2] |

where is the friction velocity, is the height above the ground, d is the zero-plane displacement, is the roughness length, and is the von Karman constant. More information about calculating zero-plane displacement and roughness length can be found in Raupach (1994); suitable approximations of these values in grassland environments can be found in Wiernga (1993). Calculations for and were performed using the methods in Skarpaas and Shea (2007).

While the WALD model can be evaluated using mean measurements of wind speed and terminal velocity, failure to account for variation in these parameters may over- or under- estimate dispersal. To better account for the effects of variation in wind speed and terminal velocity we integrate over them using the same methods as Skarpaas and Shea (2007), who have applied this technique to both *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides*, to get a modified kernel

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | [3] |

where and are the probability density functions for seed terminal velocity and wind speed, respectively. Wind speed data were obtained from a local weather station, and the distribution of terminal velocities from seed drop experiments in a laboratory setting. We build upon this by also integrating across the distribution of seed release heights from the field experiment such that

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | [4] |

so the new dispersal kernel accounts for variation in wind speed and seed terminal velocity as well as all of the different flower head heights from which seeds can be released (Skarpaas *et al*. 2011). Note that, unlike in previous *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* studies, represents the distribution of all flower head heights, not just the maxima. Dispersal distances were then simulated for the various combinations of species, warmed/unwarmed treatment, and maximum/distributed flower heights so that dispersal kernels between these various combinations could be compared.

***Statistical Analyses***

All modelling and statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.0.3 (R Development Core Team, 2009). For each of the two thistle species, the effect of warming treatment on mean flower head height was assessed using a mixed-effects linear model, with the **lmer** function from the package **lme4** version 1.1-26 (Bates *et al*. 2012). Within each model, warming treatment or lack thereof was treated as a fixed effect, initial rosette diameter shortly after planting was treated as a covariate, and treatment block was treated as a random effect. Response variables and rosette diameter covariates for both models were plot-averaged, as has been done for analyses with a similar experimental design where multiple individuals are located within a single experimental unit (Zhang *et al*. 2011). Backward stepwise selection was subsequently applied after models were fit, eliminating fixed effects until Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) was minimised. A combination of Shapiro-Wilk tests and quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots was used to assess normality of data and model residuals, ensuring model assumptions were not violated; Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to assess the significance of differences between flower head height distributions for warmed and unwarmed groups, as well as differences between dispersal kernels for warmed and unwarmed groups.

**Results**

***Flower Heights***

In both species, individuals that received warming treatments had taller flower heads on average; a 14.1 3.9 cm, or 15.0%, increase in mean flower head height was observed in *C. nutans* (linear mixed-effects model, *n* = 57, *p* < 0.001), while a 21.2 4.6 cm (26.6%) increase mean flower head height was observed in *C. acanthoides* (linear mixed-effects model, *n* = 24, *p* < 0.001). The resulting increases in mean flower head height were associated with rightward shifts in the distributions of flower head height (Figure 1), resulting in significant differences between the warmed and unwarmed flower head height distributions for *C. nutans* (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, *p* < 0.001) and *C. acanthoides* (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, *p* < 0.001). Individuals that received warming treatments also generally displayed greater maximum flower head heights; a 14.0 4.4 cm, or 13.2%, increase in mean maximum flower head height was observed in *C. nutans* (linear mixed-effects model, *n* = 57, *p* = 0.002), while a 31.8 6.1 cm (36.7%) increase mean maximum flower head height was observed in *C. acanthoides* (linear mixed-effects model, *n* = 24, *p* < 0.001).

***Dispersal: Warmed vs. Unwarmed***

Shifts in the distributions of flower head height also resulted in different dispersal kernels for warmed and unwarmed individuals of each species (Figure 2). Dispersal kernels for warmed individuals displayed notably lower peaks and fatter tails compared those of unwarmed individuals, and were markedly different in both *C. nutans* (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, *p* < 0.001) and *C. acanthoides* (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, *p* < 0.001). The projected mean *C. nutans* dispersal distance increased 21.4% from 3.04 m to 3.69 m, while the mean *C. acanthoides* dispersal distance increased 38.2% from 2.46 m to 3.40 m (Table 1).

The frequency of longer-distance dispersal events was also affected by the warming-induced shift in flower head height distribution. The mean 95th and 99th percentile dispersal distances for *C. nutans* were 10.53 m and 24.99 m respectively for unwarmed individuals, with a shift to 12.75 and 30.36 m respectively for warmed individuals; for *C. acanthoides*, the mean 95th and 99th percentile dispersal distances were 8.47 m and 19.94 m respectively for unwarmed individuals, with a shift to 11.73 and 27.58 m for warmed individuals (Table 1). Warming also increased the probability that a seed would exceed a given distance, with this effect becoming more pronounced at higher dispersal distances (Figure 3); seeds warmed *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* were on average 1.34 and 1.71 times as likely to travel 10 m or more as their respective unwarmed counterparts, and on average 1.67 and 2.41 times as likely to travel 50 m or more as their respective unwarmed counterparts. Uncertainty in these relative frequencies of dispersal events also increases and becomes quite large at high dispersal distances, which echoes the idea that long-distance dispersal events are relatively rare and difficult to capture not only empirically, but in dispersal simulations as well.

***Dispersal: Incorporating Maximum Height vs. Height Distribution***

Using the maximum flower head height instead of the distribution of flower head heights in the WALD dispersal model resulted in a significantly different dispersal kernel (Figure 4); this was evident for warmed *C. nutans*, unwarmed *C. nutans*, warmed *C. acanthoides*, and unwarmed *C. acanthoides* (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, *p* < 0.001). The effects on the shape of the dispersal kernel when using the maximum flower head height instead of the distribution of flower head heights was similar to the effects of the warming treatment, as lower peaks and fatter tails were present when using the maximum flower head height. However, though Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests indicate that dispersal kernels constructed using the height distribution and dispersal kernels constructed using only the maximum height are distinct, visual inspection of the kernels in Figure 4 suggest that the change in kernel shape is not as noteworthy as the differences between warmed and unwarmed individuals that is shown in Figure 2.

The frequency of longer-distance dispersal events was also affected when using the maximum flower head height instead of the flower head height distribution. Using the maximum flower head height increased mean 95th and 99th percentile dispersal distances across the four combinations of species and warming treatment (Table 2), though not by much in comparison to the differences between warmed and unwarmed treatment groups when using the entire flower head height distribution. The probability of seeds exceeding a given dispersal distance increase is higher when using the maximum flower head height than when using the entire distribution of flower head heights (Figure 5) at lower distances, but not necessarily at higher distances; this is due to the massive increase in uncertainty at higher dispersal distances, causing inflation of bootstrap intervals that envelopes the null risk ratio of 1 associated with no significant difference.

**Discussion**

Our results demonstrate that increases in temperature, which are expected in the wake of climate change across much of the geographical range of *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides*, can dramatically increase mean flower head height and significantly shift the distribution of flower head heights in these two non-native, invasive thistles. Changes in flower head heights and distributions for *C.* *acanthoides* have not been previously studied; here, we show that even mild increases in average ambient temperatures have striking effects on *C.* *acanthoides* plant height (which was increased by 31.8 cm) and flower head distributions. For *C. nutans*, our results are consistent with a prior study conducted by Zhang *et al*. (2011) that observed similar changes in *C. nutans* dispersal and a 9% increase in maximum flower head height for warmed plants, compared to the approximately 13.2% increase in maximum flower head height that we measured. The 14.1% increase in mean flower head height that we observed is similar to the increases in maximum flower head height reported by both us and Zhang *et al*. (2011).

These changes in flower head height and distribution have important ramifications for dispersal. Such changes in flower head height generate dispersal kernel shifts and increase the distances that wind-dispersed seeds travel, especially along the right tail of the kernels. Seeds from warmed thistles had a higher probability of exceeding a given dispersal distance than those from their unwarmed counterparts; warmed *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* seeds were on average 1.67 and 2.41 times as likely, respectively, to travel 50 m or more. This disparity is stronger at longer threshold dispersal distances; in a patchy landscape such differences can sharply differentiate success or failure to reach suitable habitat. However, using the maximum height rather than height distribution when modelling dispersal kernels may overestimate dispersal at higher dispersal distances, though the resulting differences in dispersal outcomes are smaller than the differences generated by warming; for example, seeds from warmed and unwarmed *C. acanthoides* were on average 1.59 and 1.43 times as likely, respectively, to travel 50 m or more when using the maximum flower head height rather than the distribution of heights, while seeds were 2.41 times as likely to travel 50 m or more if they dispersed from warmed rather than unwarmed *C. acanthoides*.

Warming-induced height increases are only one response that may affect dispersal patterns in wind-dispersed plants under climate change. For example, increases in air temperature may increase seed dispersal distances by increasing air turbulence (Kuparinen *et al*. 2009). Shifts in wind speeds may shift dispersal patterns and the rates at which wind-dispersed species spread (Bullock *et al*. 2012), and increases in the frequency of extreme wind events may increase frequency of long-distance dispersal events (Soons *et al*. 2004). Furthermore, even wind dispersal itself is only one aspect of overall dispersal, as these kinds of plants typically may have multiple dispersal vectors, such as ants, that occur in parallel or series with wind dispersal (Rogers *et al*. 2019). Climate change may affect one or more dispersal vectors within a particular system, thus affecting the total dispersal kernel, or the probability distribution of dispersal distances when all dispersal vectors are taken into account.

How these changes play out will critically determine distributions of invasive species in future climates. Dispersal kernels like the ones in this study are often used to estimate the rate at which species spread, and are often included integrodifference and integral projection models of population spread (e.g. Kot *et al*. 1996; Neubert and Caswell 2000, Ellner and Rees 2006, Jongejans et al. 2011). These sorts of models can be highly sensitive to the right tails of dispersal kernels used in the model framework; population movement here can often be driven by a small handful of long-distance dispersal events (Kot *et al*. 1996; Clark *et al*. 1998, 2001). Because propagules dispersed long distance can escape density-dependent mortality (Janzen 1970; Connell 1971) from sources such as predation (Blundell and Peart 1998; Norghauer *et al*. 2010) or infection by pathogens (Augspurger 1983; Augspurger and Kelly 1984), or because they can simply find more suitable habitat, they may experience increased fitness and make significant contributions to population growth and spread. If warming due to climate change increases the likelihood or magnitude of dispersal events in the right tail of the kernel as we have shown, it may have strong effects on spread rates, even if increased dispersal distances are somewhat modest. The information used to construct dispersal kernels can affect estimates of spread rates too. For example, Teller *et al*. (2016) demonstrate that *C. nutans* seeds from warmed maternal plants are more likely to be released from the seed head and that ignoring this can underestimate the rate of population spread by approximately 11%. Modelling dispersal using maximum flower head height rather than the distribution of flower head heights may overestimate spread rates since models using the maximum height will assume that seeds are released from higher above the ground than they actually are; seeds will then spend more time in the air and thus be carried further by wind, leading to an overrepresentation of longer dispersal distances.

Given the sensitivity of population spread models to long distance dispersal events, a better understanding of how to quantify long distance dispersal is necessary for more accurate measurements of population spread; this improved accuracy is especially important since climate change and increased temperatures may, as our study demonstrates, make long-distance dispersal more common and necessitate new management strategies for invasive species like *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides*. However, quantifying long distance dispersal events can be quite challenging and comes with a large degree of uncertainty (Cain *et al*. 2000, Nathan *et al*. 2003, Nathan 2006). This is quite evident in Figures 3 and 5; as dispersal distance increases, the uncertainty greatly increases because long-distance dispersal events are inherently rare. Even with the approximately ten million dispersal events simulated in each figure panel, extremely rare long distance dispersal events may not even show up in the dispersal simulations; this same problem occurs real dispersal as well, as seed trapping or tracking may fail to detect such long-distance dispersal. The elusive nature of these long-distance dispersal events can be problematic for estimating how quickly species spread; if models of population spread are often heavily influenced by these long-distance dispersal events, yet we struggle to adequately capture them, then uncertainty in the frequency of such events can adversely affect our ability to measure spread rates. For example, Skarpaas and Shea (2007) caution that failure to adequately capture the right tail of the kernel, including long-distance dispersal events, may possibly result in underestimation of spread rates in *C. nutans*, especially for nonparametric models when compared to mechanistic models like WALD.

In addition to the implications for models of population spread, our findings have potential implications for invasive species management as well. For invaders like *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides,* climate change poses a significant challenge to managementby possibly altering how they grow, reproduce, and spread (Mainka and Howard 2010, Ziska *et al.* 2011). As a result, current invasive species management strategies may no longer match the phenology of their target species and become less effective or even entirely unsuitable in future climates (Hellmann *et al.* 2008). Concerns regarding invasive species control in future climates have become widespread among natural resource managers; a recent survey by Beaury *et* *al.* (2020) reports that many natural resource managers worry about how climate change will affect invasive species management, and 65% of managers have incorporated climate change into their management plans. One particular challenge for managers of invasive species lies within how climate change affects dispersal, as dispersal governs where and how quickly invasive species populations spread. Knowledge of how climate change may alter movement and dispersal patterns would be useful in helping invasive species managers improve predictions of spread speeds and make more informed management decisions (Caplat *et al*. 2013), ultimately saving time and money in the process.

Overall, accurately quantifying dispersal is crucial for understanding how organisms move across a landscape, as it is the dispersal process that drives this movement. Here, we demonstrate that the common simplifying assumption of representing seed release as a point source from a single flower head at the maximum height can result in markedly different dispersal patterns than when seed release is represented as a distribution of point sources within an individual; for species like *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* that have flower heads at a wide variety of heights, the latter of these two representations better matches these species’ morphology and may thus produce more accurate estimates of dispersal, likely resulting in more accurate estimates of species spread. We also show that even minor increases in growing temperature can drastically increase seed release heights, with this change in morphology resulting in seeds travelling longer distances from the parent plant. Developing a better understanding of how climate change affects these dispersal patterns will be important for better modelling and managing the spread of invasive plant species and better predicting how their populations will shift over the coming decades.
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**Table 1**. Select dispersal statistics from the first set of dispersal simulations, comparing warmed and unwarmed outcomes for *C. nutans* (“CN”) and *C. acanthoides* (“CA”). Values are given for the mean and lower/upper values of the 95% bootstrap interval (BI), and are rounded to the nearest hundredth.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | **95% BI Lower** | **Mean** | **95% BI Upper** |
| **Mean dispersal distance (m)** | |  |  |  |
| CN | Unwarmed | 2.84 | 3.05 | 3.28 |
|  | Warmed | 3.44 | 3.69 | 3.94 |
| CA | Unwarmed | 2.29 | 2.47 | 2.63 |
|  | Warmed | 3.18 | 3.39 | 3.60 |
|  | | | | |
| **95th percentile dispersal distance (m)** | |  |  |  |
| CN | Unwarmed | 9.75 | 10.53 | 11.35 |
|  | Warmed | 11.79 | 12.75 | 13.75 |
| CA | Unwarmed | 7.83 | 8.49 | 9.14 |
|  | Warmed | 10.88 | 11.68 | 12.47 |
|  | | | | |
| **99th percentile dispersal distance (m)** | |  |  |  |
| CN | Unwarmed | 22.36 | 24.98 | 27.77 |
|  | Warmed | 27.13 | 30.35 | 33.97 |
| CA | Unwarmed | 18.06 | 20.00 | 22.30 |
|  | Warmed | 24.87 | 27.55 | 30.74 |
|  | | | | |
| **10-m warmed/unwarmed risk ratio** | |  |  |  |
| CN |  | 1.15 | 1.36 | 1.59 |
| CA |  | 1.40 | 1.70 | 2.04 |
|  | | | | |
| **50-m warmed/unwarmed risk ratio** | |  |  |  |
| CN |  | 0.88 | 1.66 | 2.88 |
| CA |  | 1.14 | 2.44 | 4.68 |

**Table 2**. Select dispersal statistics from the second set of dispersal simulations, comparing warmed and unwarmed outcomes for *C. nutans* (“CN”) and *C. acanthoides* (“CA”). Values are given for the mean and lower/upper values of the 95% bootstrap interval (BI), and are rounded to the nearest hundredth.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | **95% BI Lower** | **Mean** | **95% BI Upper** |
| **Mean dispersal distance (m)** | | |  |  |  |
| CN | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 2.80 | 3.04 | 3.26 |
|  |  | Warmed | 3.46 | 3.70 | 3.97 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 3.22 | 3.44 | 3.65 |
|  |  | Warmed | 3.86 | 4.12 | 4.38 |
| CA | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 2.30 | 2.46 | 2.62 |
|  |  | Warmed | 3.20 | 3.41 | 3.62 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 2.47 | 2.66 | 2.85 |
|  |  | Warmed | 3.92 | 4.11 | 4.33 |
|  | | | | | |
| **95th percentile dispersal distance (m)** | | |  |  |  |
| CN | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 9.70 | 10.54 | 11.42 |
|  |  | Warmed | 11.79 | 12.75 | 13.75 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 11.01 | 11.86 | 12.75 |
|  |  | Warmed | 13.13 | 14.16 | 15.28 |
| CA | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 7.86 | 8.48 | 9.18 |
|  |  | Warmed | 10.93 | 11.72 | 12.61 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 8.52 | 9.22 | 9.97 |
|  |  | Warmed | 13.18 | 14.08 | 15.04 |
|  | | | | | |
| **99th percentile dispersal distance (m)** | | |  |  |  |
| CN | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 22.28 | 25.00 | 27.80 |
|  |  | Warmed | 27.13 | 30.37 | 33.72 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 25.17 | 28.09 | 31.37 |
|  |  | Warmed | 30.12 | 33.67 | 37.76 |
| CA | Height Dist. | Unwarmed | 17.71 | 19.99 | 22.15 |
|  |  | Warmed | 24.79 | 27.67 | 30.64 |
|  | Max. Height | Unwarmed | 19.67 | 21.83 | 24.29 |
|  |  | Warmed | 29.79 | 32.98 | 36.30 |
|  | | | | | |
| **10-m max./dist. risk ratio** | | |  |  |  |
| CN |  | Unwarmed | 1.00 | 1.18 | 1.37 |
|  |  | Warmed | 1.01 | 1.21 | 1.42 |
| CA |  | Unwarmed | 1.17 | 1.34 | 1.54 |
|  |  | Warmed | 0.94 | 1.16 | 1.41 |
|  | | | | | |
| **50-m max./dist. risk ratio** | | | | | |
| CN |  | Unwarmed | 0.80 | 1.31 | 2.14 |
|  |  | Warmed | 0.74 | 1.37 | 2.50 |
| CA |  | Unwarmed | 0.92 | 1.59 | 2.61 |
|  |  | Warmed | 0.57 | 1.43 | 3.03 |

**Figure 1.** Distribution of flower head heights for *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* under the control and warming treatments. Solid lines indicate mean probability density for a given height, and error bands indicate a 95% bootstrap interval.



**Figure 2.** Dispersal kernels for *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides* for the control and warming treatments, both using the distribution of flower head heights. Solid lines indicate mean probability density for a given distance, and error bands indicate a 95% bootstrap interval.



**Figure 3.** Relative risk of a seed exceeding a given distance when originating from a warmed maternal plant for *C. nutans* and *C. acanthoides*, as measured by the ratio of complimentary cumulative distribution functions for the warmed and unwarmed groups. Solid lines indicate the mean relative risk for a given distance, while the dotted lines indicate a relative risk of 1. Error bands indicate a 95% bootstrap interval.



**Figure 4.** Dispersal kernels for warmed and unwarmed *C. nutans*, as well as for warmed and unwarmed *C. acanthoides*. Solid lines indicate mean dispersal kernels generated using the entire distribution of flower heights, while the dotted lines indicate mean dispersal kernels generated using only the maximum flower height. Error bands indicate a 95% bootstrap interval.



**Figure 5.** Relative risk of a seed exceeding a given distance when modelling dispersal using the maximum flower head height rather than the flower head height distribution for warmed and unwarmed *C. nutans*, as well as for warmed and unwarmed *C. acanthoides*. Solid lines indicate the mean relative risk for a given distance, while the dotted line indicate a relative risk of 1. Error bands indicate a 95% bootstrap interval.

