

# Topology Fall 2017 Selected Solutions

Zach Wagner

April 21, 2020

## Abstract

Qualls are annoying, but collaboration before the qualls doesn't have to be. Let me know if you find errors in any of these solutions and I'll correct them.

## 1 Problem 4

A collection,  $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is called a **basis for a topology on  $X$**  if the following holds:

1. Given  $x \in X$ , there is a  $B_\beta$  in our collection that contains  $x$ .
2. Given two basis elements  $B_\alpha, B_\beta$  whose intersection is non-empty, for every  $y \in B_\alpha \cap B_\beta$ , there is a basis element  $B_\gamma \ni y$  such that,

$$y \in B_\gamma \subseteq B_\alpha \cap B_\beta$$

Let  $X$  be the power set of the naturals. We show that the given collection of subsets of  $X$  is a basis. Let  $E \in X$ . Then  $E \in [\emptyset, X]$ . Now, suppose  $[A, B], [C, D]$  have non-empty intersection and pick  $E \in [A, B] \cap [C, D]$ . Then  $A \subseteq E$  and  $C \subseteq E$ . Hence,  $A \cup C \subseteq E$ . Moreover,  $E \subseteq B$  and  $E \subseteq D$ . Since  $B \cap D$  has finite complement and  $E \subseteq B \cup D$ , we have  $[A \cup C, B \cap D]$  is a basis element containing  $E$ . If  $F \in [A \cup C, B \cap D]$ , then  $A \subseteq F$  and  $C \subseteq F$ . Moreover,  $F \subseteq B$  while  $F \subseteq D$ . Thus,

$$E \in [A \cup C, B \cap D] \subseteq [A, B] \cap [C, D]$$

This shows the given collection is a basis.

Now, fix an element  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the elements  $\{x\}$ ,  $X$  and  $\emptyset$ ,  $X \setminus \{x\}$  are disjoint basis elements whose union is  $X$ . Thus,  $X$  is disconnected. Moreover, if  $E, F \in X$  are differing elements, then suppose  $y \in E$  and  $y \notin F$  (without loss of generality). Then the aforementioned construction inducing a separation on  $X$  will produce disjoint open sets containing  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. This shows  $X$  is Hausdorff.

Finally, let  $(E_1, E_2) \in f^{-1}([A, B])$  for some fixed basis element  $[A, B]$ . Then  $E_1 \cap E_2 \in [A, B]$ . Thus,

$$(E_1, E_2) \in [A, B \cup E_1] \times [A, B \cup E_2] \quad (1)$$

Moreover, if  $K_1, K_2$  are elements with the property that,

$$(K_1, K_2) \in [A, B \cup E_1] \times [A, B \cup E_2]$$

Then  $A \subseteq K_1 \cap K_2$ . But also, we have,

$$K_1 \cap K_2 \subseteq (B \cup E_1) \cap (B \cup E_2) = B \cup (E_1 \cap E_2) = B$$

so that  $(K_1, K_2) \in f^{-1}([A, B])$ . We conclude that the open set on the right hand side of (1) is contained entirely in  $f^{-1}([A, B])$ . Thus, we have furnished an open neighborhood of  $(E_1, E_2)$  contained in  $f^{-1}([A, B])$ , so  $f$  is continuous.

## 2 Problem 6

We show the proof for part b) here. Suppose  $f$  is not surjective. Since  $M$  is compact,  $f(M)$  is compact and since  $M$  is a metric space, it is Hausdorff. Hence,  $f(M)$  is closed. Let  $y \notin f(M)$ . Since  $M \setminus f(M)$  is open, let  $\epsilon > 0$  have the property that  $B_\epsilon(y) \cap f(M) = \emptyset$ . Thus, for every  $z \in f(M)$ ,  $d(y, z) > \epsilon$ . Put  $x_1 := y$  and let  $x_2 := f(x_1)$ . Having defined  $x_n$ , let  $x_{n+1} := f(x_n)$ . Fix  $n, m > 0$  and suppose  $n > m$ . Since  $f$  is an isometry, we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) = d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{m-1})) = d(x_{n-1}, x_{m-1}) = \dots = d(x_{n-m+1}, x_1)$$

Since,

$$d(x_{n-m+1}, x_1) = d(x_{n-m+1}, y) > \epsilon$$

we conclude that  $d(x_n, x_m) > \epsilon$  for any  $n, m$ . Thus, no subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges. But  $M$  is a compact metric space, hence sequentially compact!!

We conclude that  $y$  is surjective.

### 3 Problem 7

A metric space is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in the metric space is convergent. The contraction mapping principle states that, if  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction mapping, then  $f$  has a unique fixed point. That is, if there is an  $\alpha < 1$  so that,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ , then there is a unique  $z \in X$  so that  $f(z) = z$ . We will prove this principle.

Pick  $x_0 \in X$  and define  $x_n := f(x_{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Observe,

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(f(x_n), f(x_{n-1})) \leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

Moreover, for  $m > n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \alpha^{m-1} d(x_1, x_0) + \dots + \alpha^n d(x_1, x_0) = d(x_1, x_0) \alpha^n \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-1} \alpha^j \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The latter sum in (2) is bounded by  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha}$  since  $\alpha < 1$ , so  $d(x_m, x_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, so since  $X$  is complete,  $x_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z$ .  $f$  is continuous (being Lipschitz) so,

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$$

Uniqueness is immediate: if  $w, z$  are two fixed points, then,

$$d(w, z) = d(f(w), f(z)) \leq \alpha d(w, z) < d(w, z)$$

which is impossible. Thus,  $d(w, z) = 0$ , so  $w = z$ .

For the second part of this exercise, introduce the following function,

$$g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} f(x_j) + b_1, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} f(x_j) + b_n \right)$$

Given  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we examine the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})|^2 \\ &= \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} (f(x_j) - f(y_j)), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} (f(x_j) - f(y_j)) \right) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} (f(x_j) - f(y_j)) \right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Applying mean value theorem gives, for each  $j$ , a  $c_j$  so that,

$$f(x_j) - f(y_j) = f'(c_j)(x_j - y_j) < M(x_j - y_j) \tag{4}$$

Combining (3) and (4) yields,

$$|g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})|^2 < \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} M(x_j - y_j) \right)^2 \quad (5)$$

By Cauchy Schwartz,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} M(x_j - y_j) \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n M^2(x_j - y_j)^2 \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= M^2 |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 \sum_{k,j} a_{kj}^2 < \alpha |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 \quad (7)$$

where  $\alpha$  is chosen so that,

$$\sum_{kj} a_{kj}^2 < \frac{\alpha}{M^2} < \frac{1}{M^2}$$

Thus, combining (5), (6), and (7) yields,

$$|g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| < \alpha |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$$

Thus,  $g$  is an  $\alpha$  contraction map on a complete metric space. As such, a fixed point of  $g$  exists. But this is exactly the desired point due to how  $g$  was defined.