

Math 501

Homework 7

Trevor Klar

October 24, 2017

1. Recall for a set A in a space X , we define $\partial A = \overline{A} - \text{int}(A)$.

- (a) Prove that $x \in \partial A$ if and only if for every open set U containing x , we have $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF

Suppose $x \in \partial A$. By definition, $x \in \overline{A}$ and $x \notin \text{int}(A)$. Since $x \in \overline{A}$, then by definition either $x \in A$, or x is a limit point of A . If $x \in A$, then clearly for any open set U containing x , $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise if x is merely a limit point of A , then for any open U containing x ,

$$U \cap A - \{x\} \neq \emptyset \implies U \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $x \notin \text{int}(A)$, then by definition there is no open set U containing x such that $U \subset A$. That is, for every open set U containing x ,

$$U \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since this conclusion follows from definitions, and definitions are biconditional, then the converse is also true. ■

- (b) Prove that A is open if and only if $\partial A \cap A = \emptyset$. Prove that A is closed if and only if $\partial A \subseteq A$.

PROOF Suppose A is open. Then, $A = \text{int}(A)$, so

$$\partial A \cap A = (\overline{A} - A) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

Suppose A is closed. Then, $A = \overline{A}$, so

$$\partial A \cap A = (A - \text{int}(A)) \cap A = (A - \text{int}(A)) \subseteq A.$$

Suppose $\partial A \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $\text{int}(A) \subset A \subset \overline{A}$,

$$\partial A \cap A = (\overline{A} - \text{int}(A)) \cap A = \emptyset \implies (A - \text{int}(A)) = \emptyset \implies A \subset \text{int}(A) \implies A = \text{int}(A).$$

Suppose $\partial A \subseteq A$. Since $\text{int}(A) \subset A \subset \overline{A}$,

$$\partial A = (\overline{A} - \text{int}(A)) \subset A \implies \overline{A} \subset A \implies \overline{A} = A. \quad \blacksquare$$

2. Let X be a metric space with metric d , and suppose that for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, the closed ball $\overline{B}(x, r) = \{y : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ is compact. Prove that X is d -complete.

PROOF First note that since we have assumed that all closed balls in X are compact, then they are also all complete. Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any Cauchy sequence in X . We will show that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges. Since $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy, then there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n > N$, $d(x_m, x_n) < 1$. Let $n_0 = N + 1$. So, for all $n > N$, $d(x_{n_0}, x_n) < 1$. Now, let $M = \max\{d(x_{n_0}, x_n) : n \leq N\}$. So, for any x_n in our sequence, $d(x_{n_0}, x_n) \leq \max(M, 1)$, and thus $x_n \in \overline{B}(x_{n_0}, \max(M, 1))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since all closed balls in X are complete, and $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \overline{B}(x_{n_0}, \max(M, 1)) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges. ■

3. Prove Corollary 28: Let X be a complete metric space. Show that X is not the countable union of nowhere dense closed sets.

PROOF Let $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ denote any countable union of nowhere dense closed sets. Consider the complement of this union:

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_i^c) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (X - F_i)$$

Now, each $(X - F_i)$ must be a dense open set, so by the Baire Category Theorem, this countable intersection of dense open sets is dense in X . Thus, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (X - F_i) \neq \emptyset$, so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \neq X$. ■

- 3.5 Let X be a complete metric space with metric d , and suppose that X has no isolated points. Prove that X is uncountable.

PROOF Suppose that X is countable. Consider the collection $\{X - \{x\}\}_{x \in X}$. Since X has no isolated points, each of these sets is dense. And since X is Hausdorff, each of these sets is open (because singletons are closed in a Hausdorff space). Now,

$$\bigcap_{x \in X} \{X - \{x\}\} = \emptyset,$$

but this countable union of dense open sets should be dense in X by the Baire Category Theorem, so we have a contradiction. ■