

Homework 3

1. Think of ℓ^1 as a linear space and ℓ^∞ as its dual. Let $\bar{B}(\ell^\infty)$ be the closed unit ball with respect to the metric $\|f - g\|_{\ell^\infty}$. For every $f, g \in \bar{B}(\ell^\infty)$ define another metric

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |f_n - g_n|.$$

Prove that $\sigma(\bar{B}(\ell^\infty), \ell^1)^\dagger$ coincides with the topology of the metric d .

Proof Since the two topologies are both translation invariant, it suffices to show that $W(0; p)$ is open in the d -topology and that the d -ball ${}_dB_r(0)$ is open in $\sigma(\bar{B}(\ell^\infty), \ell^1)$.

PART I: We show that $\sigma(\bar{B}(\ell^\infty), \ell^1) \subset \mathcal{T}_d(\bar{B}(\ell^\infty))$. Let $W(0, p)$ be an arbitrary subbasic weak* neighborhood in $\sigma(\bar{B}(\ell^\infty), \ell^1)$ centered at 0. Fix $f \in W(0, p)$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n |f_n| &\leq 1 \\ \left| \sum_n f_n p_n \right| &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \ell^1$, then $\sum_n |p_n|$ converges, so there exists N such that

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |p_n| < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (1)$$

Let $r > 0$ such that for all $n \leq N$,

$$|p_n| \leq \frac{2^{-n}}{4r}, \quad (2)$$

and consider ${}_dB_r(f)$.

CLAIM: ${}_dB_r(f) \subset W(0, p)$.

PROOF Let $g \in {}_dB_r(f)$. Then

$$\sum_n 2^{-n} |f_n - g_n| < r, \text{ and} \quad (3)$$

$$\sup_n |f_n - g_n| = 2. \quad (4)$$

[†]the weak* topology of ℓ^∞ restricted to $\bar{B}(\ell^\infty)$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle (f - g), p \rangle | &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n - g_n)(p_n) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n - g_n| |p_n| \\
&= \sum_1^N |f_n - g_n| |p_n| + \sum_N^{\infty} |f_n - g_n| |p_n| \\
&\leq \sum_1^N \frac{2^{-n}}{4r} |f_n - g_n| + \sum_N^{\infty} 2 |p_n| && \text{applying (2) and (4)} \\
&< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} && \text{applying (3) and (1)} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus every $f \in W(0, p)$ has a d -ball containing f which is a subset of $W(0, p)$, so Part I is proved. \square

PART II: First note that

$$||f||_d \leq ||f||_{\ell^\infty}$$

since, if f_n is an absolutely decreasing sequence, then

$$\sum_n 2^{-n} |f_n| \leq \sup_n |f_n| \quad (\text{with equality if } f_n \text{ is constant}),$$

and swapping any coordinates of f_n will cause $||f||_d$ to decrease while $||f||_{\ell^\infty}$ remains constant.

Thus the balls $_{\ell^\infty} B_r(f) \subset_d B_r(f)$ whenever they have the same radius and center. This means that for any ball $_d B_r(f)$ with $g \in_d B_r(f)$, there is of course some

$$_d B_{r'}(g) \subset_d B_r(f),$$

and

$$_{\ell^\infty} B_{r'}(g) \subset_d B_{r'}(g)$$

so we're done. \blacksquare

2. Let $u_n \xrightarrow{w} u$ in a Banach space X , and let $\phi_n \xrightarrow{w*} \phi$ in X^* . Give an example in $X = \ell^2$ to show that $\langle \phi_n, u_n \rangle$ need not be convergent.

Prove that if either $u_n \rightarrow u$ or $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ strongly, then $\langle \phi_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \phi, u \rangle$.

Example. Recall that $\ell^{2*} = \ell^2$. Let $u_j = (-1)^j e_j$ and let $\phi_n = e_n$. Then $u_j \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $\phi_n \xrightarrow{w*} 0$, but $\langle \phi_k, u_k \rangle$ alternates between 1 and -1 , and doesn't converge. \square

Remark. Wait, why doesn't it work that $\langle \phi_n, u_j \rangle \xrightarrow{j} \langle \phi_n, u \rangle \xrightarrow{n} \langle \phi, u \rangle$; using weak convergence followed by weak* convergence?

It does. However $\langle \phi_k, u_k \rangle \xrightarrow{k} \langle \phi, u \rangle$ requires that we can get $\langle \phi_k, u_k \rangle$ arbitrarily close to $\langle \phi, u \rangle$ without taking *either one* of the limits.

Proof CASE I: Suppose $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$. Then there exists $N > 0$ such that $n > N \implies \|x_n - x\| < \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\phi_j \xrightarrow{w*} \phi$, then by the Uniform Boundedness Principle $\|\phi_j\| \leq C$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |(\phi_n - \phi)(x_n - x)| &\leq \phi_n(x_n - x) + \phi(x_n - x) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon + C\varepsilon = 2C\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and after rescaling, we're done. \square

CASE II: If on the other hand $\|\phi_n \rightarrow \phi\|$, then $\hat{x}_n \xrightarrow{w**} \hat{x}$, and we can use the same proof as above. \blacksquare

3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\Omega| < \infty$, and let (f_n) be a sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$. Suppose $f_n \rightarrow 0$ μ -a.e. in Ω , and $f_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$.[†]

Prove that for $p = 2$ and $q = 1^\ddagger$ one has $\|f_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $f_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, then by the Uniform Boundedness Principle $\|f_n\|_2 < B$. By Egoroff's Theorem, $\exists N > 0$ such that $\forall n > N \int_U |f_n| < \varepsilon$ where $\mu(U^c) < \varepsilon$. So $\forall n > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |f_n| &= \int_U |f_n| + \int_{U^c} |f_n| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int_{U^c} |f_n(1)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \|f_n\|_2 \left(\mu(U^c) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + B\sqrt{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

and after rescaling, we're done. \blacksquare

[†] $|\omega|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of Ω , and $p < 1$.

[‡]This actually holds for any $1 \leq q < p$.

5. Let X be reflexive. Prove that any closed subspace W of X is also reflexive.

Proof We know that X is reflexive iff $\bar{B}(X)$ is weakly compact, and in the subspace topology $\bar{B}(W)$ is exactly $W \cap \bar{B}(X)$, so it suffices to show that $W \cap \bar{B}(X)$ is weakly compact. Since W is closed and convex, then by Hahn-Banach there exists a functional φ separating $p \in W^\complement$ from W , so $W(p, \varphi) \subset W^\complement$ and W is weakly closed. Thus $\bar{B}(W)$ is a weak closed subset of the weak compact set $\bar{B}(X)$, so $\bar{B}(W)$ is weak compact. Therefore W is reflexive. ■