## NOTES ON ORDINALS

## NEIL STRICKLAND

0. There is a class of objects called ordinals, of which the first few are

$$0, 1, 2, \ldots, \omega, \omega + 1, \omega + 2, \ldots, 2\omega, \ldots, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^\omega, \ldots$$

- 1. There are too many ordinals for the class of ordinals to be a set; it is "roughly the same size" as the class of all sets.
- 2. Algebraic operations with ordinals (e.g.  $\omega^2$ ) must be treated with caution. For example,  $1 + \omega = \omega \neq \omega + 1$ . The reason for this phenomenon is actually quite easy to understand, but I shall not go into it here.
- 3. There is a linear order relation on ordinals. In other words, for any pair of ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  precisely one of the alternatives  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\alpha > \beta$  is true.
- 4. The ordinals are well-ordered by this relation any nonempty collection S of ordinals has a least element  $\alpha$ , so  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta$  for any  $\beta \in S$ .
- 5. For any ordinal  $\kappa$ , the collection  $S(\kappa)$  of ordinals  $\alpha < \kappa$  is a set.
- 6. For any set X there is an ordinal  $\kappa$  and a bijection  $S(\kappa) @>>> X$ , so  $X = \{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  say.
- 7. For any set X there is an ordinal  $\lambda$  so large that there is no injective map  $S(\lambda) @>>> X$ .
- 8. An ordinal  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal iff  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  for some  $\beta$  iff there is no ordinal  $\gamma$  with  $\beta < \gamma < \alpha$ . A limit ordinal is an ordinal (such as  $\omega$ ) which is not a successor.
- 9. Transfinite induction over ordinals is valid. Suppose we have a statement  $P(\alpha)$  about ordinals  $\alpha$ , and we can show that  $P(\alpha)$  is true whenever  $P(\beta)$  is true for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . Then  $P(\alpha)$  is true for all  $\alpha$ . Indeed, consider the collection S of ordinals for which P is false. If S were nonempty, it would have a least element  $\alpha$ . This would mean that  $P(\beta)$  holds for all  $\beta < \alpha$ , leading swiftly to a contradiction.
- 10. Transfinite recursion is valid. We can define a function f of ordinals by specifying  $f(\alpha)$  in terms of the values  $f(\beta)$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .