

## Final Exam

1. Let the sequences  $\{a_n\}, \{r_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty.$$

Prove that the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{|x - r_n|}}$$

converges absolutely for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{a_n\}, \{r_n\}$  be given as above, and let  $g_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|x - r_n|}}$ . We will show that the integral

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n g_n(x) dx$$

is finite over any region of length 2,<sup>†</sup> which means the series is infinite on a set of measure 0.

First note that for any fixed  $n$ ,

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} g_n dx = \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} (x - r_n)^{-1/2} dx = \frac{2(\alpha + 2 - r_n)}{\sqrt{|\alpha + 2 - r_n|}} - \frac{2(\alpha - r)}{\sqrt{|\alpha - r|}},$$

and by differentiating with respect to  $\alpha$  we find that this value is greatest when

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha - r_n - 2|}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha - r_n|}},$$

which is to say that  $\alpha - r_n = 1$ . Thus we conclude that

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} g_n dx \leq \int_{r_n-1}^{r_n+1} g_n dx = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} dt = 4$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To finish the proof, observe that

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{\sqrt{|x - r_n|}} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} |a_n| g_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+2} g_n(x) dx \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$$

which is finite. Since the series is infinite only on a zero-measure subset of an arbitrary interval of length 2, then the union of all such subsets also has measure zero, and we're done. ■

---

<sup>†</sup>That is, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

2. Suppose  $f \in L^1[0, 1]^2$  with respect to two dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ . Prove that if

$$\int_{[0,a],[0,b]} f d\mu = 0$$

for all  $(a, b) \in [0, 1]^2$ , then  $f = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. in  $[0, 1]^2$ .

**Proof** Since we can decompose  $f$  as  $f = f^+ - f^-$ , then

$$f(x) = 0 \iff f^+(x) = f^-(x) = 0$$

so without loss of generality suppose  $f$  is nonnegative.

( $\int_R = 0$ ) Observe that the integral over any rectangle

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b] \times [c,d]} f d\mu &= \int_{[0,b] \times [0,d]} f d\mu - \int_{[0,a] \times [0,d]} f d\mu - \int_{[0,b] \times [0,c]} f d\mu + \int_{[0,a] \times [0,c]} f d\mu \\ &= 0 - 0 - 0 + 0. \end{aligned}$$

( $\int_U = 0$ ) Let  $U$  be open. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a cover of  $[0, 1]^2$  by  $2^{2n}$  squares of side length  $2^{-n}$  and denote it  $\{Q_n^i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . For any given  $n$ , there are finitely many  $Q_n^i \subseteq U$ , and so the union of all such is a countable union of cubes. To see that it covers  $U$ , let  $(x, y) \in U$ . Since  $U$  is open, some neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  is a subset of  $U$ , and certainly some sufficiently large  $n$  gives a cube fully contained in that neighborhood which contains  $n^\dagger$ . Then

$$\int_U f d\mu = \sum_{i,n: Q_n^i \subseteq U} \int_{Q_n^i} f d\mu = 0.$$

( $\int_G = 0$ ) Let  $G$  be a  $G_\delta$  set, so  $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_i$ , where each  $U_i$  is open. Then

$$\int_G f d\mu = 0$$

[I can't figure out how to prove this part.]

( $\int_B = 0$ ) Let  $B$  be any Borel set in  $[0, 1]^2$ . Since  $\mu$  is Radon, then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an open set  $U_n$  such that  $B \subseteq U_n$  and  $\mu(U_n \setminus B) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Since  $U_n$  is a decreasing sequence<sup>‡</sup> of sets with  $\mu(U_1) \leq \mu[0, 1]^2 = 1 < \infty$ , then  $\mu(U) = \lim_n (\mu(U - n))$  where  $U = \bigcap_n U_n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B &\subset U, \\ \text{and } \mu(U \setminus B) &< \frac{1}{n} \forall n, \\ \text{so } \mu(U \setminus B) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>†</sup>This can be made more rigorous, but this proof is getting absurdly long.

<sup>‡</sup>Although  $U_{n+1}$  is not necessarily a subset of  $U_n$  by default, we know that they both contain  $B$ , and intersecting them yields  $\tilde{U}_{n+1} = U_n \cap U_{n+1}$  so that  $B \subset \tilde{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$  and  $\mu(\tilde{U}_{n+1} \setminus B) \leq \mu(U_{n+1} \setminus B) < \frac{1}{n}$ . Starting with  $\tilde{U}_1 = U_1$  and constructing the rest inductively yields the desired  $\{\tilde{U}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . We drop the  $\sim$  notation above.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B f \, d\mu &= \int_U f \, d\mu - \int_{U \setminus B} f \, d\mu \\ &= \int_U f \, d\mu \\ &= 0 \text{ since } U \text{ is } G_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

( $\int_A = 0$ ) Let  $A = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : f(x, y) > 0\}$ , and suppose for contradiction that  $\mu(A) > 0$ . This gives us that

$$\int_A f \, d\mu > 0.$$

Since  $\mu$  is Borel-regular, there exists a Borel set  $B \supset A$  such that  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$ . This means that  $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A f \, d\mu &= \int_B f \, d\mu - \int_{B \setminus A} f \, d\mu \\ &= \int_B f \, d\mu \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } B \text{ is Borel.}\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts that  $\int_A f \, d\mu > 0$ , and so we conclude that  $\mu(A) = 0$ . ■

3. Let  $A \subset X$  be a closed subspace of a Banach space  $X$  and let  $k \in X$  be fixed. Is the distance

$$\text{dist}(k, A) = \inf\{\|k - a\| : a \in A\}$$

attained?

**Answer:** No. As a counterexample, let  $X = \ell_\infty$ , the space of all bounded sequences of real numbers, with norm  $\|x\| = \sup_n |x_n|$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} k &= 2, & 2, & 2, & 2, \dots \\ a_1 &= 0.9, & 2, & 2, & 2, \dots \\ a_2 &= 2, & 0.99, & 2, & 2, \dots \\ a_3 &= 2, & 2, & 0.999, & 2, \dots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is closed, since it has no accumulation points: For any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n - a_m\| &= \|(0, 0, \dots, 0, (1 + 10^{-n}), 0, \dots, 0, (1 + 10^{-m}), 0, 0, \dots)\| \\ &= \max\{(1 + 10^{-n}), (1 + 10^{-m})\} \\ &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next note that for every  $a_n$  the distance  $\|k - a_n\| = 1 + 10^{-n}$ , but

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(k, A) &= \inf_n \|k - a_n\| \\ &= \inf_n \{1 + 10^{-n}\} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\text{dist}(k, A)$  is never attained. ■