

Final Exam

1. Let X be a nonempty topological space and let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of Borel-regular measures on X . Assume for any $A \subset X$ the sequence $\mu_n(A) \searrow \mu(A)$. Prove that if $\mu_1(X) < \infty$, then μ is a measure on X .

Proof (Null empty set) Observe that

$$\mu(\emptyset) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\emptyset) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

(Monotonicity and subadditivity) Suppose that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$. Letting

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= A_1 \\ B_n &= A_n \setminus B_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

gives $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$. Thus since every $B_i \subseteq A_i$, then $\mu_n(B_i) \leq \mu_n(A_i)$ for all n , so $\mu(B_i) \leq \mu(A_i)$, which means it suffices to show that $\mu(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(B_i)$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_n(B_i) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_n(B_i) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x=1}^\infty \mu_n(B_{[x]}) dx \\ &\leq \int_{x=1}^\infty \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(B_{[x]}) dx \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_{x=1}^\infty} \right\} \text{By reverse Fatou's lemma} \\ &= \int_{x=1}^\infty \mu(B_{[x]}) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(B_i). \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we will justify the above use of reverse Fatou's lemma.

Observe that $\mu_n(B_{[x]})$ is a countable sequence of real-valued measurable functions of x , and $\mu_1(B_{[x]})$ dominates the sequence since $\mu_n(B) \leq \mu_1(B)$ for all sets B , and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \mu_1(B_{[x]}) dx &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_1(B_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_1(B_i) \\ &= \mu_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i\right) \\ &\leq \mu_1(X) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\int_1^\infty} \right\} \text{Since } B_i \text{ sets are disjoint}$$

Thus $\mu_1(B_{[x]})$ is dx -summable, and the conditions of reverse Fatou's lemma are satisfied. ■

2. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue-measurable. Prove that there exists a Borel-measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = g(x)$ Lebesgue-a.e. in \mathbb{R} .

Proof Approximate f by simple functions as follows. Let $A_k^n = [\frac{n}{2^k}, \frac{n+1}{2^k}]$ ■