# Applied Stochastic Processes Notes

Trevor Winstral

Spring Semester 2021

# Contents

1	Introdu	action	5		
2	Markov	Chains and Generalities	7		
	2.1 Tra	ansition Probabilities	7		
	2.2 Exi	istence	9		
	2.3 Sin		10		
	2.4 n-S	Step Transition Probabilities	11		
			11		
	2.6 Rev	versibility	12		
		·	13		
		ong Markov Property	13		
3		Chains: Long Time Behavior	17		
		<i>1</i>	17		
		1	19		
	3.3 Cla	assification of States	19		
	3.4 Pos	sitive/Null Recurrence	19		
		tionary Distributions for Irreducible Chains	20		
	3.6 Per	riodicity	20		
	3.7 Co	upling Method	21		
	3.8 Co	nvergence for Irreducible Aperiodic Chains	21		
4	Renewal Processes 2				
	4.1 Def	finition and First Properties	23		
		newal Function	24		
		ackwell's Renewal Theorem			
		newal Equation			
		ymptotic Behavior			
5	Genera	l Poisson Point Processes	<b>2</b> 9		
	5.1 Int:	roduction	20		

4 CONTENTS

	5.2	Point Processes	29
	5.3	Poisson Point Processes	30
	5.4	Existence and Uniqueness	30
	5.5	Laplace Functional	31
	5.6		31
	5.7	Mapping and Restriction	31
	5.8	Marking	32
	5.9		32
	5.10	Exponential Random Variables	33
			33
	5.12	Markov Property	33
		Stationary and Independent Increments	34
	5.14	Finite Marginals Characterization	34
			35
	5.16	Properties of Poisson Process	35
6	Con	tinuous Time Markov Chains	37
	6.1	Definition via Generator	37
	6.2	Non-Rigorous Section: The Constructive Approach	38
	6.3		39
	6.4	Markov Properties	40
	6.5	Transition Probabilities	40

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

Mathematical Definition of Stochastic Processes We want to describe a process evolving in time. The most relevant for us will be: Discrete time  $(I = \mathbb{N})$  and Continuous time  $(I = \mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition 1.0.1.** Let  $(E,\xi)$  be a measurable space. A discrete stochastic process with state space E is a collection  $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of RVs with values in E.

**Definition 1.0.2.** A continuous stochastic process is a collection  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  of RVs with values in E.

In this class we will work with jump processes, ie when E is finite or countable. We will work with:

- (i) Discrete time Markov Chains  $I = \mathbb{N}$  and E finite or countable
- (ii) Poisson renewal processes  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $E = \mathbb{N}$
- (iii) Continuous Markov Chains  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$  and E finite or countable

We will not work with Brownian Motion.

Example 1.0.1 (Simple Random Walk). State Space  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , x, y are neighbors  $\Leftrightarrow ||x-y||_1 = 1$ . An electron is starting at 0, and each step it jumps uniformly to one of the neighbors. How should we define this?

**Definition 1.0.3** (SRW). Let  $(Z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  iid,  $\mathbb{P}[Z_n = \pm e_i] = \frac{1}{2d}$  where  $e_i$  is 1 in the i'th slot.  $X_n := \sum_{k=1}^n Z_n = X_n + Z_{n+1}, X_0 = 1$ .  $\forall m, nX_m \text{ and } X_n \text{ are dependent.}$  The  $X_n$  do satisfy the Markov property: Conditional on  $X_n = x$  then  $(X_{m+n})_{n\geq 0}$  is a SRW starting at x independent of  $(X_1, ..., X_m)$ .

Will the SRW return to 0?

Theorem 1.0.1 (Polya).

If 
$$d = 1, 2$$
 then  $\mathbb{P}[(X_n) \text{ visits } x \text{ infinitely many times}] = 1$   
If  $d \geq 3$  then  $\mathbb{P}[(X_n) \text{ visits } x \text{ only finitely many times}] = 1$ 

Example 1.0.2 (Poisson Process). We want to define and study  $N_t$  the number of cars passing a point during [0, t].

**Definition 1.0.4.**  $T_1$  = passage of time of the first car,  $T_2$  = time between car 1 and car 2, etc.

- $(T_i)$  are iid
- $(T_i)$  are memoryless:  $\mathbb{P}\left[T_1 \geq t + s | T_1 \geq s\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 \geq t\right]$
- Regularity:  $\mathbb{P}[T_1 \geq s]$  is 'nice'

This implies that  $\mathbb{P}[T_1 \ge s] = e^{-\lambda s}, \quad \lambda > 0$ 

Let 
$$(T_i)_{i\geq 1}$$
 iid  $exp(\lambda)$  RV.  $N_t=\sum_{i\geq 1}\chi_{T_1+\ldots+T_i\leq t}$  Dependencies:

- $N_{t+s} N_t \sim Pois(\lambda s)$
- Markov Property

LLN: 
$$\frac{N_t}{t} \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

# Chapter 2

# Markov Chains and Generalities

**Framework**:  $\Omega, F, \mathbb{P}$  Probability Space, E finite or countable set with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $2^E$  **Outset** We would like to define a class of processes such that the evolution of the process is path independent, but still location dependent. This means that the way a process continues past this point in time, does not depend on how it got to where it is now, but only on where it is at this point in time.

**Definition 2.0.1.** A sequence  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  of RVs with values in E is a homogeneous time Markov Chain (MC) if:

(i) 
$$\forall n \geq 0, \forall x_1, ..., x_{n+1} \in E, \quad \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_0 = x_0, ..., X_n = x_n] = \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n]$$

(ii) 
$$\forall m, n \ge 0 \forall x, y \in E$$
,  $\mathbb{P}[X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x] = \mathbb{P}[X_{n+1=y} | X_n = x]$ 

By convention when we write  $\mathbb{P}[A|B]$  we assume  $\mathbb{P}[B] > 0$ .

Remark 2.0.1. The first condition is eqv to  $\forall f: E \to \mathbb{R}bdd$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{n+1})|X_0,...,X_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{n+1})|X_n\right]$ 

Example 2.0.1. If  $X_n$  are iid in E then  $X_n$  is a MC

Example 2.0.2. SRW on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ 

## 2.1 Transition Probabilities

**Motivation** In a finite state space  $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then we write the probability to go from 1 to 2 as  $p_{12}$ . We would like to write these probabilities in a matrix.

**Definition 2.1.1.** A transition probability is a collection  $p = (p_{x,y})_{x,y \in E}$  st:

- $\bullet \ \forall x, y : p_{x,y} \in [0,1]$
- $\bullet \ \sum_{y \in E} p_{x,y} = 1$

We could also represent this as a weighted directional graph with vertices E and weighted oriented edges:  $\{(x,y) \in E : p_{x,y} > 0\}$ . We know there is a 1 to 1 correspondence between directional graphs and matrices.

**Matrix** So say  $E = \{1...N\}$  and  $p = (p_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le N}$  with  $p_{ij} \ge 0$  and  $\sum_j p_{ij} = 1$ . We call this a stochastic matrix.

**Operator** If E is finite or infinite then  $\forall f \in L^{\infty}(E)$  define  $Pf \in L^{\infty}(E)$  by  $\forall x \in EPf(x) = \sum_{y \in E} P_{x,y} f(y)$  with  $P \geq 0$  ( $\forall f \geq 0 : Pf \geq 0$ ) and satisfies P1 = 1.

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let p be a transition probability,  $\psi$  distribution on E, a sequence  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  of RVs with values in E is a Markov Chain with initial distribution  $\mu$  and transition probability p (written  $MC(\psi, p)$ ) if:  $\forall x_0...x_n \in E : \mathbb{P}[X_0 = x_0...X_n = x_n] = \mu(x_0)p_{x_0,x} * ... * p_{x_{n-1},x_n}$ 

**Proposition 2.1.1.** Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  seq of RV with values in E:  $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$  is a  $MC \Leftrightarrow \exists \mu, p \text{ st } (X_n)_n$  is a  $MC(\mu, p)$ 

*Proof.*  $\Longrightarrow$ : If  $X_n$  is a MC, then set  $p_{xy} = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x\right]$ .  $\sum_{y \in E} p_{xy} = 1$ , as the conditional probability is a probability measure itself, and  $p_{xy} \geq 0, \forall x, y \in E$  for the same reason. Thus we have that the collection of  $(p_{xy})_{x,y\in E}$  form a transition probability. Setting  $\mu(x) = \mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = x\right]$ , which is also clearly a probability measure on E. Now we only have to show that  $X_n$  is a  $MC(\mu, p)$ . For every  $x_0, \ldots, x_n \in E$ , and every  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{0} = x_{0}...X_{n} = x_{n}\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n} = x_{n}|X_{0} = x_{0}...X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\right] \mathbb{P}\left[X_{0} = x_{0}...X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left[X_{0} = x_{0}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{i} = x_{i}|X_{0} = x_{0}...X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}\right]$$

$$= \mu(x_{0}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{i} = x_{i}|X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}\right] = \mu(x_{0}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{x_{i-1}x_{i}}$$

Thus we have proven this implication, by using the Markov Property of Markov Chains.

 $\iff$ : Here we have to show the two aspects of a Markov chain, the Markov Property and homogeneity. For homogeneity we have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = y | X_n = x\right]$$

$$= \sum_{(u_0...u_{n-1}) \in E^n} \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = y | X_0 = u_0...X_{n-1} = u_{n-1}, X_n = x\right] \mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = u_0...X_{n-1} = u_{n-1} | X_n = x\right]$$

$$= p_{xy} \sum_{(u_0...u_{n-1}) \in E^n} \mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = u_0...X_{n-1} = u_{n-1}|X_n = x\right] = p_{xy}$$

here we have implicitly (sneakily) assumed that  $\mathbb{P}[X_n = x] > 0$ , as without this the conditional probability we are taking is not well-defined.

2.2. EXISTENCE 9

For the Markov Property we have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_0 = x_0 ... X_n = X_n\right]$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = x_0 ... X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}\right]}{\mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = x_0 ... X_n = x_n\right]} = \frac{\mu(x_0) p_{x_0 x_1} ... p_{x_n x_{n+1}}}{\mu(x_0) p_{x_0 x_1} ... p_{x_{n-1} x_n}}$$

$$= p_{x_n x_{n+1}} = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n\right]$$

where it is important to note that, again, we have implicitly assumed that  $\mu(x_0)p_{x_0x_1...p_{x_{n-1}x_n}} > 0$ .

Question Given  $\mu$ , p does  $MC(\mu, p)$  always exist (as a MC)?

2.2 Existence

**Theorem 2.2.1.** Let p be a transition probability on E. Then there exists:

- (i) a measurable space  $(\Omega, F)$
- (ii) a collection of prob meas  $(P_x)_x$  on  $(\Omega, F)$
- (iii) a seq of RV  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  on  $(\Omega, F)$  st  $\forall \in E$  under  $P_x$ ,  $(X_n)$  is  $MC(\delta_x, p)$

There are 2 approaches to prove this.

One could set  $\Omega = E^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}[(x_0...x_n)|x \in E^n] = \delta_x(x_0)p_{x_0,x_1}...p_{x_{n-1},x_n}$ . Instead we will work as follows:

Proof. We consider a measure  $\mu$  on E such that  $\forall x \in E : \mu(x) > 0$  on some abstract probability space (this part is of technical relevance)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . Now we look at a RV  $X_0$  with distribution  $\mu$ , and  $U_1, U_2, \ldots$  independent, uniformly distributed, RVs on [0,1] (we know these exist from previous probability lectures). Our goal is to use these uniform RVs to produce the probabilities given by the transition probabilities, in a way similar to Sklar's Theorem (knowledge of Sklar's is not needed here). To do this we enumerate  $E = \{x_i, i \in I\}$  where I is our index set (eg.  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ ) and set  $s_{ij} = \sum_{k < j} p_{x_i x_k}$ . Note here that  $s_{i,j+1} - s_{i,j} = p_{x_i x_j}$ . Finally, set  $\Phi : E \times [0, 1] \to E$ ;  $(x_i, u) \mapsto x_j$  if  $u \in (s_{i,j}, s_{i,j+1}]$ , a measurable function. Now we have  $X_0$  as needed and the tools to construct the sequence of RVs, along with the collection of probability measures we want.

What these tools have given us is that  $\mathbb{P}\left[\Phi(x,U_1)=y\right]=p_{xy}$ . So if we set  $X_{n+1}=\Phi(X_n,U_{n+1})$  for every n>0 (by induction), we find that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = x_0...X_n = x_n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_0 = x_0, \Phi(x_0, U_1) = x_1...\Phi(x_{n-1}, U_n) = x_n\right]$$
$$= \mu(x_0)p_{x_0x_1}...p_{x_{n-1}x_n}$$

by independence.

Now if we define  $\mathbb{P}_x$  as  $\mathbb{P}\left[\cdot | X_0 = x_0\right]$ , then we have  $\forall x \in E \ \mathbb{P}_x \left[X_0 = x_0...X_n = x_n\right] = \delta_x(x_0)p_{x_0x_1}...p_{x_{n-1}x_n}$ .

Framework for the rest of the chapter E is finite or countable, p transition probability,  $(\Omega, F, (P_x)_{x \in E})$  Prob. Spaces,  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  RV st it is a  $MC(\delta_x, p)$  under  $P_x$ .

For  $\mu$  Prob measure on E we write  $P_{\mu} = \sum_{x} \mu(x) P_{x}$ 

## 2.3 Simple Markov Property

Under  $P_{\mu}(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is  $MC(\mu, p)$ .  $P_{\mu}[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}|X_0 = x_0...X_n = x_n] = P_{\mu}[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}|X_n = x_n] = P_{x_n}[X_1 = x_{n+1}]$  ie Conditional on  $X_n = x$ ,  $x_{n+1}$  is sampled like the first step of a  $MC(\delta_x, p)$  indep of the past.

Notation  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0...X_n)$ 

**Theorem 2.3.1** (Simple Markov Property (SiMP)). Let  $\mu$  be a distribution on E. Let  $x \in E, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $f: E^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  meas bdd, for every Z bdd which is  $F_k$  meas RV:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{k+n})_{n \ge 0}) Z | X_k = x_k \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x_k} \left[ f((X_n)_{n \ge 0}) \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ Z | X_k = x \right] \right]$$

*Proof.* First note that using  $Z = \mathbbm{1}_{X_0 = x_0 \dots X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}}$  we only have to prove that  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{k+n})_{n \geq 0}) | X_0 = x_0 \dots X_k = x_k \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x_k} \left[ f((X_n)_{n \geq 0}) \right]$ . We will proceed using measure theoretic induction (see any book on measure theory). Approximate f by step functions  $f_k$ , using linearity, we only have to show our claim for the function  $\mathbbm{1}_A$  with  $A \subset E^{\mathbb{N}}$ , ie.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ (X_{k+n})_{n \ge 0} \in A | X_0 = x_0 ... X_k = x_k \right] = \mathbb{P}_{x_k} \left[ (X_n)_{n \ge 0} \in A \right]$$

The collection of sets of the form  $A = \{w \in E^{\mathbb{N}} : w_0 = y_0...w_N = y_N\}$  for  $N \geq 0$  and  $y_0,...,y_N \in E$  form a  $\pi$  system generating our  $\sigma$ -algebra. Furthermore, on such sets

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ (X_{k+n})_{n \geq 0} \in A | X_0 = x_0 ... X_k = x_k \right] \\
= \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ X_k = y_0 ... X_{k+N} = y_N | X_0 = x_0 ... X_k = x_k \right] \\
= \frac{\mu(x_0) p_{x_0 x_1} ... p_{x_{k-1} x_k} \delta_{x_k}(y_0) p_{y_0 y_1} ... p_{y_{N-1} y_N}}{\mu(x_0) p_{x_0 x_1} ... p_{x_{k-1} x_k}} \\
= \delta_{x_k}(y_0) p_{y_0 y_1} ... p_{y_{N-1} y_N} \\
= \mathbb{P}_{x_k} \left[ (X_n)_{n \geq 0} \in A \right]$$

Dynkin's Lemma then allows us to extend this property to the entire  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Corollary 2.3.2.  $\mu$  distribution on E,  $x \in E$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall f : E^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$  meas bdd:  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}\left[f((X_{k+n})_{n\geq 0}|X_k=x] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[f((X_n)_{n\geq 0}|X_k=x]\right]\right]$ 

## 2.4 n-Step Transition Probabilities

**Definition 2.4.1.** For every  $n \ge 0$ ,  $x, y \in E$ , define  $p_{xy}^{(n)} = P_x[X_n = y]$ 

Proposition 2.4.1 (Chapman Kolmogorov (CK)).

$$\forall m, n \ge 0 \ \forall x, y \in E \quad p_{xy}^{(m+n)} = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}^{(m)} p_{zy}^{(n)}$$

*Proof.* Fix m, n and  $x, y \in E$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p_{xy}^{(m+n)} &= \mathbb{P}_x \left[ X_{m+n} = y \right] = \sum_{z \in E} \mathbb{P}_x \left[ X_{m+n} | X_m = z \right] \mathbb{P}_x \left[ X_m = z \right] \\ &= \sum_{z \in E} \mathbb{P}_z \left[ X_n = y \right] \mathbb{P}_x \left[ X_m = z \right] = \sum_{z \in E} p_{xz}^{(m)} p_{zy}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.2. If E is finite:

- The matrix  $(p_{ij}^{(n)})_{ij \le 0} = P^n$
- $\forall \mu$  distribution on  $E : \forall f : E \to \mathbb{R}; \forall n \geq 0$ :  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}[f(X_n)] = \mu P^n f$ , with  $f = [f(1), ..., f(n)]^T$

## 2.5 Stationary Distributions

**Motivation**: write  $\mu_n$  as the law of  $X_n$  under  $P_\mu$ ,  $\mu_0 = \mu$  and  $\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n P$ . For n large  $\mu_n$  is a fixed point of the map  $\lambda \to \lambda P = \left(\sum_{x \in E} \lambda(z) p_{xy}\right)_{y \in E}$ 

**Definition 2.5.1.** Let  $\pi$  be a distribution on E, we say that  $\pi$  is stationary (for p) if:

$$\forall y \in E : \pi(y) = \sum_{x \in E} \pi(x) p_{xy}$$

**Linear Algebra interpretation** If E is finite and we write  $\pi = [\pi(1)...\pi(n)]^T$ , then  $\pi$  is stationary  $\Leftrightarrow \pi P = \pi$  is  $\pi$  is a left eigenvector of P for the eigenvalue 1.

**Probabilistic interpretation** If  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution, then  $\forall n \geq 0 \ P_{\pi}[X_n = x] = \pi(x)$ 

Basically, no matter how far along you are in the chain, the probability that you land on a value x is equal to the probability that you start at x.

## 2.6 Reversibility

**Definition 2.6.1.** A distribution  $\pi$  on E is said to be reversible (for p) if:

$$\forall x, y \in E : \pi(x)p_{xy} = \pi(y)p_{yx}$$

The probability of starting at y and going to x is equal to the probability of starting at x and going to y. More generally, one can prove by induction that  $\pi$  is reversible  $\Leftrightarrow \forall n; \forall x_0...x_n : \mathbb{P}_{\pi}[X_0 = x_0...X_n = x_n] = \mathbb{P}_{\pi}[X_0 = x_n...X_n = X_0]$ 

**Motivation** We want an easy criterion for invariance, such reversible systems appear often in physics.

**Proposition 2.6.1.** Let  $\pi$  be a distribution on E, then  $\pi$  reversible  $\implies \pi$  is stationary *Proof.* 

$$\sum_{x \in E} \pi(x) p_{xy} = \sum_{x \in E} \pi(y) p_{yx} = \pi(y) \sum_{x \in E} p_{yx} = \pi(y)$$

Example 2.6.1 (Gas in Containers). Imagine there are two containers A and B with gas particles, between them is a small hole through which the particles can pass through. At every step a single particle is selected uniformly at random and passes through this hole. To represent this mathematically, let  $X_n$  be the number of particles in A at time n, and let there be N total particles.  $X_n$  is a Markov Chain, proving this is straightforward, we can see that the system is autonomous (time plays no role, only the state of the system) and path-independent (again only the current state of the system matters in its evolution in the next step). The transition probabilities are given by  $p_{x,x+1} = 1 - \frac{x}{N}$ , as in order for  $X_n$  to grow by 1, the randomly selected particle must be from container B; this occurs with probability  $\frac{\# \text{ of particles in } B}{\# \text{ of total particles}} = \frac{N-x}{N}$ . The only other option is for the amount of particles in A to decrease by 1, by the fact that the transition probabilities must sum to 1 we find:  $p_{x,x-1} = \frac{x}{N}$ . Now we wonder if it is possible to find a stationary distribution, this would represent the equilibrium distribution of particles (see the different interpretations above). To find this distribution, we instead simplify and see if we can find a reversible distribution, ie.  $\pi(x)p_{x,x+1} = \pi(x+1)p_{x+1,x}$ . We then use this to calculate  $\pi(x)$  explicitly and see if this defines a proper distribution.

$$\pi(x+1) = \frac{\pi(x)(1-\frac{x}{N})}{\frac{x+1}{N}} = \pi(x)\frac{N-x}{x+1} \stackrel{\text{(Induction)}}{=} \pi(0)\frac{N...(N-x)}{(x+1)!}$$

Thus we find that  $\pi(x) = \binom{N}{x}\pi(0)$ , so we have to find  $\pi(0)$  such that  $\pi$  is a distribution.

$$\sum_{x \in E} \pi(x) \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \implies \pi(0) = \left(\sum_{x \in E} \binom{N}{x}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2^N}$$

Hence,  $\pi(x) = \binom{N}{x} \frac{1}{2^N}$ , the binomial distribution; which is (as we have shown) reversible. When  $X_{n+1} \sim X_n$  (equilibrium) then the number of particles in A is  $\sim Bin(N, \frac{1}{2})$ .

#### 2.7Communication Classes

Here we will will see p as a weighted oriented graph.

**Definition 2.7.1.** Let  $x, y \in E$ . Write:

- $x \to y$  if  $\exists n \ge 0$  st  $p_{xy}^{(n)} > 0$  "y can be reached from x"
- $x \leftrightarrow y$  if  $(x \to y \text{ and } y \to x)$  "x and y communicate"

**Proposition 2.7.1.**  $\leftrightarrow$  is an equivalence class on E

- *Proof.* Let  $x, y, z \in E$  and  $m, n \ge 0$  such that  $p_{xy}^{(m)} > 0$  and  $p_{yz}^{(n)} > 0$ . (i) Transitivity:  $p_{xz}^{(m+n)} \ge p_{xy}^{(m)} p_{yz}^{(n)} > 0 \implies x \to z$ , same for the other direction
- (ii) Reflexivity:  $p_{xx}^{(0)} = \mathbb{P}_x [X_0 = x] = 1 > 0 \implies x \leftrightarrow x$
- (iii) Symmetry: Trivial

Definition 2.7.2.

- The equivalence classes of  $\leftrightarrow$  are called communication classes.
- The chain p is called irreducible if there is a unique communication class.

**Motivation** We will see that p irreducible  $\implies p$  has at most one stationary distribution.

**Definition 2.7.3.** A communication class C is closed if

$$\forall x, y \in E : x \in C, x \to y \implies y \in C$$

ie. if you start in C you never leave.

#### Strong Markov Property 2.8

**Definition 2.8.1.** Let  $T: \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  RV with values in  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . We say that T is an  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ -stopping time if:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$$

Example 2.8.1 (Stopping Times).  $H_A = \inf(n \geq 0 : X_n \in A)$  (for A measurable) and  $H_x =$  $inf(n \ge 0 : X_n = x)$  are stopping times.

**Definition 2.8.2.** Let T be a stopping time.  $\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \{T = n\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$ 

**Theorem 2.8.1** (Strong Markov Property (SMP)). Let  $\mu$  be a distribution on E, T an  $\mathcal{F}_n$ stopping time. Let  $x \in E$ ,  $\forall f : E^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{R}$  meas and bdd,  $\forall Z$  which are  $\mathcal{F}_T$  meas and bdd, we
have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{T+n})_{n>0}) \cdot Z | T < \infty, X_T = x \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ f((X_n)_{n>0}) \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ Z | T < \infty, X_T = x \right]$$

"Conditioned on  $\{T < \infty, X_T = x\}$ ,  $(X_{T+n})_{n>0}$  is a  $MC(\delta_x, p)$  indep of  $F_T$ "

*Proof.* We will multiply each side of the equation by  $\mathbb{P}[T < \infty, X_T = x]$ .

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{T+n})_{n \geq 0}) Z \mathbb{1}_{T < \infty, X_{T} = x} \right] = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{k+n})_{n \geq 0} Z \mathbb{1}_{T = k, X_{T} = k}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ f((X_{k+n})_{n \geq 0}) Z \mathbb{1}_{T = k} | X_{k} = x \right] \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ X_{k} = x \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{SiMP}}{=} \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ f((X_{n})_{n \geq 0}) \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ Z \mathbb{1}_{T = k, X_{k} = x} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ f((X_{n})_{n \geq 0}) \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ Z \mathbb{1}_{T = k, X_{k} = x} \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ f((X_{n})_{n \geq 0}) \right] \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left[ Z \mathbb{1}_{T < \infty, X_{T} = x} \right]$$

**Application** Reflection Principle for the SRW. Consider the SRW on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

**Proposition 2.8.2.** Let  $k \geq 0$  even,  $a \geq 1$  odd:  $\mathbb{P}_0[max\{X_m : 0 \leq m \leq k\} \geq a] = \mathbb{P}_0[|X_k| \geq a]$ 

*Proof.* Define  $H_a = min\{n \ge 1 : X_n = a\}$ , this is a stopping time.

$$\mathbb{P}_0\left[\max_{0\leq m\leq k}X_m\geq a\right]=\mathbb{P}_0\left[H_a\leq k\right]=\mathbb{P}_0\left[X_k>a\right]+\mathbb{P}_0\left[H_a\leq k,X_k< a\right]$$

Now our goal is to show the term on the right is equal to  $\mathbb{P}_0[X_k > a]$ , as  $2\mathbb{P}_0[X_k > a] = \mathbb{P}_0[|X_k| > a]$  by symmetry. We can go from > to  $\geq$  because a is even and k is odd. At this point we note that  $X_{H_a+n} \sim a + (a - X_{H_a+n}) = 2a - X_{H_a+n}$ . Geometrically, this means that if we only look at the walk after hitting a, the walk has the same distribution if we inverse the direction of each step: 'looking path after hitting a, we cannot tell if it is the normal or the

inverted step walk'.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[H_{a} \leq k, X_{k} < a\right] &= \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k} < a, H_{a} = m\right] = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{a}\left[X_{k-m} < a\right] \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[H_{a} = m\right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k-m} < 0\right] \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[H_{a} = m\right] = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k-m} > 0\right] \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[H_{a} = m\right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{a}\left[X_{k-m} > a\right] \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[H_{a} = m\right] = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k-m} > a, H_{a} = m\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k} > a, H_{a} \leq k\right] = \mathbb{P}_{0}\left[X_{k} > a\right] \end{split}$$

Conclusion Now we have properly defined a Markov Chain, shown its existence, and introduced some concepts to help classify different types of chains. Importantly, we have also introduced the transition probability framework.

# Chapter 3

# Markov Chains: Long Time Behavior

**Outset** With the tools and classification concepts introduced previously, we would like to expand upon these to rigorously classify chains.

**Framework:** E finite or countable,  $p = (p_{xy})x, y \in E$  transition probabilities,  $(\Omega, F, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E})$ ,  $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 0} \sim MC(\delta_x, p)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mu} = \sum \mu(x)\mathbb{P}_x$ .

#### Questions:

- When does there exist a stationary distribution?
- What is the behavior of  $X_n$  for n large?
- If we fix  $x \in E$ , will the chain visit x infinitely many times?

## 3.1 Recurrence/Transience

Notation  $H_x = min\{n \ge 1 : X_n = x\}$ 

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $x \in E$ , we say that:

- x is recurrent if  $\mathbb{P}_x [H_x < \infty] = 1$
- x is transient if  $\mathbb{P}_x[H_x < \infty] < 1$

**Notation:** For  $x \in E$  write  $V_x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{1}_{X_n = x}$ , ie the total number of visits.

**Theorem 3.1.1** (Dichotomy Theorem).  $x \in E$ :

- if x is recurrent, then  $V_x = +\infty$   $P_x$ -a.s.
- if x is transient, then  $\mathbb{E}_x[V_x] < \infty$

Remark 3.1.2. It is impossible that  $\mathbb{P}_x[V_x < \infty] > 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x[V_x] = +\infty$ .

**Definition 3.1.2.**  $\rho_x = \mathbb{P}_x [H_x < \infty]$ , if x is recurrent then  $\rho_x = 1$ , otherwise if x is transient  $\rho_x < 1$ . Thus the number of visits is a geometric RV with parameter  $\rho_x < 1$ 

**Lemma 3.1.3.** For every  $i \geq 0, x \in E$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}_x[V_x \geq i] = \rho_x^i$ .

*Proof (Lemma)*. We will proceed by induction over i. Define  $H_x^{(i)}$  to be the i-th hit time of x. For i = 0 the claim is clear.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_x \left[ V_x \geq i+1 \right] &= \mathbb{P}_x \left[ V_x \geq i+1 \wedge V_x \geq i \right] = \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H_x^{(i_1)} < \infty \wedge H_x^{(i)} < \infty \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H_x^{(i+1)} < \infty | H_x^{(i)} < \infty, X_{H_x^{(i)}} = x \right] \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H_x^{(i)} < \infty \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{StMP}}{=} \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H_x^{(1)} < \infty \right] \rho_x^i = \rho_x^{i+1} \end{split}$$

 $Proof\ (Theorem).$  For x recurrent:

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[V_{x}=\infty\right]=\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty}\left\{V_{x}\geq i\right\}\right]=\lim_{i\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[V_{x}\geq i\right]=\lim_{i\to\infty}\rho_{x}^{i}=1$$

For x transient:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x} [V_{x}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \mathbb{P}_{x} [V_{x} = k] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}_{x} [V_{x} = k] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{x} [V_{x} = k]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_{x} [V_{x} \ge j] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_{x}^{k} = \frac{\rho_{x}}{1 - \rho_{x}} < \infty$$

To justify (\*) intuitively, we will write the values we are summing over in a table. In the sum on the left we sum over each row first (the inner sum), collect these values in a column, and then sum over that column (outer sum); meanwhile for the RHS we first sum over each column, collect these values in a row, and then sum over that row.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=1\right] & \sum_{x} \left[V_x=2\right] & \sum_{y=1}^{1} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=2\right] \\ \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=3\right] & \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=3\right] & \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=3\right] & \sum_{z=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=2\right] \\ \sum_{z=1}^{3} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_z=3\right] & \sum_{z=1}^{3} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_z=3\right] & \sum_{z=1}^{3} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_z=3\right] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=k\right] & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=k\right] & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_x\left[V_x=k\right] \end{array}$$

Such tricks with sums will be used again.

**Proposition 3.1.4.** If E is finite, then there exists a recurrent state  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Fix some  $y \in E$ .

$$\sum_{x \in E} V_x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in E} \mathbb{1}_{X_n = x} = \sum_{n \ge 0} 1 = \infty$$
$$\sum_{x \in E} \mathbb{E}_y \left[ V_x \right] = \mathbb{E}_y \left[ \sum_{x \in E} V_x \right] = \infty$$

Thus we know  $\exists x \in E$  such that  $\mathbb{E}_y[V_x] = \infty$  since the sum on the left is over a finite index set (E finite). Since we can write  $V_x = V_x \mathbb{1}_{H_x < \infty}$ , we find that (Strong Markov Property)  $\infty = \mathbb{E}_y[V_x] = \mathbb{E}_y[V_x \mathbb{1}_{H_x < \infty}] = \mathbb{E}_x[V_x] \mathbb{P}_y[H_x < \infty]$ , because a walk started from y is the same (in the distribution sense) after hitting x for the first time as a walk started from x.  $\mathbb{P}_y[H_x < \infty]$  must be  $\leq 1$ , thus the term of the left must be equal to  $\infty \implies \mathbb{E}_x[V_x] = \infty$ .

# 3.2 Recurrence/Transience for the SRW on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

**SRW on**  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ :  $E = \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $p_{xy} = \frac{1}{2d}$  if  $||x - y||_1 = 1, 0$  else

**Theorem 3.2.1.** For the SRW, every state is recurrent if d = 1, 2, otherwise they are transient.

## 3.3 Classification of States

**Theorem 3.3.1.** Let  $x, y \in E$  st  $x \to y$ . If x is recurrent then y is recurrent and  $\mathbb{P}_x[H_y < \infty] = \mathbb{P}_y[H_x < \infty] = 1$ . In particular  $x \leftrightarrow y$ .

Remark 3.3.2.  $x \neq y : x \to y \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}_x \left[ \exists n : X_n = y \right] \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H_y < \infty \right]$ 

**Corollary 3.3.3.** Let C communication class for p. Either  $\forall x$ : x is recurrent, or  $\forall x$ : x is transient.

Corollary 3.3.4. A recurrent class is always closed.

## 3.4 Positive/Null Recurrence

Notation  $x \in E : m_x = \mathbb{E}_x [H_x]$ 

**Definition 3.4.1.** Let  $x \in E$  be a recurrent state. We say that:

- positive recurrent if  $m_x < \infty$
- null recurrent if  $m_x = +\infty$

**Theorem 3.4.1.** Let  $x, y \in E, x \leftrightarrow y$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{xy}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{m_y}$ 

Remark 3.4.2. Write  $V_y^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{X_k=y}$ , "The number of visits to y up to time n". Thus the sum in the theorem is "Expected proportion of time spent at y".

If y is transient, null recurrent  $(m_y = \infty)$ , the theorem tells us that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x\left[\frac{V_y^{(n)}}{n}\right] = 0$ : "null density of visit"

**Definition 3.4.2** (inter-visit times). Let  $y \in E$ . Define  $H_y^0 = H_y$  and  $\forall i \geq 1 : H_y^i = min\{n \geq 1 : X_{H_y^0 + \ldots + H_y^{i-1} + n} = y\}$  if  $H_y^{i-1} < \infty$ , else  $+\infty$ 

**Lemma 3.4.3.** Let x, y st.  $x \leftrightarrow y$ , assume y is recurrent. Then  $\forall j \geq 1, t_0...t_j \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[H_{y}^{0}=t_{0}...H_{y}^{j}=t_{j}\right]=\mathbb{P}_{x}\left[H_{y}=t_{0}\right]\mathbb{P}_{y}\left[H_{y}=t_{1}\right]...\mathbb{P}_{y}\left[H_{y}=t_{j}\right]$$

 $\label{eq:linear_equation} \textit{Under } P_x, \ H^1_y, H^2_y, \dots \ \textit{are iid with law} \ \mathbb{P}_x \left[ H^i_y = t \right] = \mathbb{P}_y \left[ H_y = t \right]$ 

**Proposition 3.4.4** (Classification of recurrent classes). Let R be a recurrent class. Then either:

- $\forall x \in R : x \text{ is positive recurrent}$
- $\forall x \in R : x \text{ is null recurrent}$

**Proposition 3.4.5.** Let R be a recurrent class, if R is finite, then R is positive recurrent.

## 3.5 Stationary Distributions for Irreducible Chains

**Theorem 3.5.1.** Assume that p is irreducible.

- If the chain is transient or null recurrent, then there is no stationary distribution.
- if the chain is positive recurrent, then there exists a unique stationary distribution given by  $\forall x \in E : \pi(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_x[H_x]}$

## 3.6 Periodicity

**Definition 3.6.1.** Let  $x \in E$ . The period of x is defined by  $d_x = \gcd\{n \ge 0 : p_{xx}^{(n)} > 0\}$ 

**Proposition 3.6.1.** Let  $x, y \in E : x \leftrightarrow y \implies d_x = d_y$ 

Consequence if p is irreducible we have  $\forall x, y \in E : d_x = d_y$ 

**Definition 3.6.2.** We say that the chain p is aperiodic if  $\forall x \in E : d_x = 1$ 

**Proposition 3.6.2.** Let  $x \in E$ . We have  $d_x = 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists n_0 \ge 1$  st  $\forall n \ge n_0 : p_{xx}^{(n)} > 0$ 

## 3.7 Coupling Method

What is coupling? Define probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on the same space  $F_1, F_2$ . A coupling between  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  is a probability measure  $\overline{\mu}$  on  $F_1 \times F_2$ ,  $\overline{\mu}(A \times F_2) = \mu_1(A)$  and vice versa.

 $X_1, X_2$  two random variables on  $(\Omega, F, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $X_1 \sim \mu_1, X_2 \sim \mu_2$ , the law of  $(X_1, X_2)$  is a coupling!

Goal Define two MCs:  $X_n \sim MC(\mu, p)$ ,  $\tilde{X}_n \sim MC(\nu, p)$  on the same probability space st  $X_n = \tilde{X}_n$  for n large.

**Definition 3.7.1** (Product Chain). Define  $\forall \omega = (x, y), \quad \omega' = (x', y') \in E^2$ :  $\overline{p_{\omega,\omega'}} = p_{xx'}p_{yy'}$ 

**Notation** Consider:

- $(\Omega, F, (P_{\omega})_{\omega \in E^2})$  Probability Spaces
- $(W_n)_{n>0} = ((X_n, Y_n))_{n>0}$  RV on  $\Omega, F$  st  $\forall \omega \in E^2 : W_n$  is a  $MC(\delta_\omega, \overline{p})$  under  $P_w$

Remark 3.7.1. If  $\mu, \nu$  are distributions on E, then  $\mu \otimes \nu$  is a distribution on  $E^2$ .  $P_{\mu \otimes \nu} = \sum_{(x,y) \in E^2} \mu(x) \nu(y) P_{(x,y)}$ 

**Proposition 3.7.2.** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be distributions on E. Under  $P_{\mu \otimes \nu}$ :

- $(X_n)_{n>0}$  is a  $MC(\mu, p)$
- $(Y_n)_{n>0}$  is a  $MC(\nu, p)$

**Proposition 3.7.3.** If p is irreducible and aperiodic, then  $\overline{p}$  is irreducible and aperiodic.

Remark 3.7.4. Aperiodic is important! p irreducible  $\Rightarrow \bar{p}$  irreducible.

**Proposition 3.7.5.** If p is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive recurrent, then  $\bar{p}$  is irreducible, aperiodic, and positive recurrent.

**Definition 3.7.2.**  $T = min\{n \ge 0 : X_n = Y_n\}$  a stopping time.

**Proposition 3.7.6.**  $\forall \mu, \nu \text{ distributions on } E$ :

$$\forall n \geq 0 \sum_{x \in E} \left| \mathbb{P}_{\mu} \left[ X_n = x \right] - \mathbb{P}_{\nu} \left[ Y_n = x \right] \right| \leq 2 \mathbb{P}_{\mu \otimes \nu} \left[ T > n \right]$$

**Lemma 3.7.7.**  $\tilde{X}_n = Y_n \chi_{\{T < n\}} + X_n \chi_{\{T \ge n\}}$  is a  $MC(\nu, p)$ 

## 3.8 Convergence for Irreducible Aperiodic Chains

**Theorem 3.8.1.** Assume p is irreducible and aperiodic, and admits a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Then for every distribution  $\mu$  on E:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu} [X_n = x] = \pi(x), \forall x \in E$ .

Equivalently: Under  $P_{\mu}: X_n \stackrel{(law)}{\to} X_{\infty}$  where  $X_{\infty} \sim \pi$ Equivalently:  $\forall f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  bdd:  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} [f(X_n)] = \int_E f d\pi$ 

Note This theorem is important!!

**Theorem 3.8.2.** Assume that p is irreducible, aperiodic, and null recurrent or transient. Then for every distribution  $\mu$  and every  $x \in E$ :  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_{\mu}[X_n = x] = 0$ 

**Lemma 3.8.3.**  $\bar{p}$  irreducible and recurrent, then  $\forall \mu$  distribution on  $E: \forall i \geq 0, \forall x \in E: \lim_{n \to \infty} |\mathbb{P}_{\mu}[X_n = x] - \mathbb{P}_{\mu}[X_{n+i} = x]| = 0$ 

Conclusion We previously asked the following questions:

- If we fix  $x \in E$ , will the chain visit x infinitely many times?
- What is the behavior of  $X_n$  for n large?

Now we are equipped to answer them using our ideas of recurrence/transience and the theorem for existence (and uniqueness) of stationary distributions for an irreducible chain. We were also found that using coupling we find that if we let the chain evolve for a long time, then the distribution of  $X_n$  actually converges to the stationary distribution (where this distribution is 0 everywhere if a stationary distribution does not exist).

# Chapter 4

# Renewal Processes

**Outset** We want to model replacement times of a machine. First we wait  $T_1$  until we replace it, then we wait  $T_2$  until replacing the replacement, and so on.

**Questions:** After time t, how many replacements did we have to make  $(N_t)$ ? What about the expected number  $m(t) = \mathbb{E}[N_t]$ ? What about the 'excess time', ie if we are at time t, how long until the next replacement  $(E_t, e(t) = \mathbb{E}[E_t])$ ? Or the age of the machine  $(A_t, a(t) = \mathbb{E}[A_t])$ .

Case 1:  $T_1... \sim Exp(\lambda)$ :  $m(t) = t\lambda$ ,  $E_t \sim Exp(\lambda)$ ,  $e(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $A_t \sim Exp(\lambda)$ .

Case 2: More complicated.

## 4.1 Definition and First Properties

**Framework**  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  Probability space,  $T_1, T_2, ...$  iid RVs on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  'inter-arrival times', st  $\mathbb{P}[T_i = 0] < 1$ ,  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[T_1] \in (0, \infty]$ .  $F(t) = \mathbb{P}[T_1 \le t]$ ,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i, S_0 = 0$  'renewal times'.

**Definition 4.1.1.** The continuous stochastic process  $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$  defined by:

$$\forall t \ge 0 : N_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{S_k \le t}$$

is called the renewal process with arrival distribution F.

Example 4.1.1. (i)  $pp(\lambda), \lambda > 0, T_i \sim Exp(\lambda)$ 

- (ii)  $(T_i)_{i\geq 1}$  iid  $Exp(\lambda)$ ,  $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$  iid  $Ber(\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $T_i'=X_iT_i$ , where  $(T_i)$  and  $(X_i)$  are indep.
- (iii) 'Fat Tailed'  $\mathbb{P}\left[T_i \geq t\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t}} \mathbb{1}_{t \geq 0}$

**Proposition 4.1.1.**  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a counting process with jump times  $S_1, S_2, ...$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} N_t = +\infty$ .

**Proposition 4.1.2.** There exists c > 0 st  $\forall t \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{cN_t}\right] \leq e^{\frac{1+t}{c}}$ , thus the expectation is finite  $\forall t$ .

**Theorem 4.1.3** (Law of Large Numbers). We have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu}$ .

#### 4.2 Renewal Function

**Definition 4.2.1.** The renewal function is defined by  $\forall t \geq 0 : m(t) = \mathbb{E}[N_t]$ .

Remark 4.2.1.  $m(t) < \infty$  because  $N_t$  has exponential moment (you can use Jensen).

**Proposition 4.2.2.** m(t) is non-decreasing, non-negative, and right continuous.

**Theorem 4.2.3** (Elementary Renewal Theorem).  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{m(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu}$ 

### 4.3 Blackwell's Renewal Theorem

**Definition 4.3.1.** We say the law of  $T_1$  is arithmetic if  $\exists a > 0 : \mathbb{P}[T_1 \in a\mathbb{Z}] = 1$ . It is non-arithmetic if this probability is < 1.

**Theorem 4.3.1** (Blackwell). Assume that the law of  $T_1$  is non-arithmetic, then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} m(t+h) - m(t) = \frac{h}{\mu}$ .

Remark 4.3.2.  $\frac{m(t)}{t} \approx \frac{m(\lfloor t \rfloor)}{\lfloor t \rfloor} = \frac{1}{\lfloor t \rfloor} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} m(k) - m(k-1) \xrightarrow{Blackwell} \frac{1}{\mu}$ . "Blackwell is stronger than elementary renewal."

## 4.4 Renewal Equation

#### Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral

Notation  $\mathcal{M} = \{f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ right continuous, non-decreasing} \}$  'measures on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ '.  $\nu((a, b]) = f(b) - f(a)$ 

For all  $h \in L^1(df)$  or  $h \ge 0$  meas, we can define  $\int h df$ .

Example 4.4.1. •  $m \in \mathcal{M} \to \int hdm$  can be defined

• If T is a RV on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the  $F_T(t) = \mathbb{P}[T \leq t]$ 

**Definition 4.4.1.** Let  $G \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  st either  $\forall t : \int_0^t |h(t-s)| dG(s) < \infty$  or  $h \ge 0$  a.e. we define:

$$h * G = \int_0^t h(t-s)dG(s)$$

Remark 4.4.1. Let X, Y be two indep RV on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then with  $F_X, F_Y$  their respective cdf's:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X + Y \le t\right] = \int_{s=0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left[X + s \le t\right] dF_y(s)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} F_X(t - s) dF_Y(s)$$

So  $F_{X+Y} = F_X * F_Y$ .

Why is this useful?

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E} [N_t] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_n \mathbb{1}_{T_1 + \dots T_n \le t} \right]$$
$$= \sum_n F_{T_1 + \dots + T_n}(t) = F^{*n}(t).$$

#### Renewal Equation

**Definition 4.4.2.** Let  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  meas. loc. bdd,  $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  st  $\forall t \geq 0: \int_0^t |g(t-s)| dF(s) < \infty$ . We say that g is a solution of the (h, F) renewal equation if:

$$\forall t \ge 0 : g(t) = h(t) + \int_0^t g(t-s)dF(s)$$

**Proposition 4.4.2** (First Example). m is a solution of the (F, F) renewal equation, ie m = F + m \* F.

Example 4.4.2 (Excess Time, 2nd Example).  $E_t = S_{N_{t+1}} - t$ , the time left to wait until next renewal. Define for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $e_x(t) = \mathbb{P}\left[E_t \leq x\right]$ . We can separate  $e_x$  into 2 parts, one for the probability if there has already been a renewal before time t, and one if that hasn't occured:  $e_x(t) = \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 > t, E_t \leq x\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 \leq t, E_t \leq x\right] = A + B$ .

 $A = \mathbb{P}[T_1 > t, T_1 \le t + x] = F(t + x) - F(t)$ . Observe that  $E_t$  is meas wrt  $T_1, T_2, ...$ .  $E_t = \phi_t(T_1, T_2, ...)$ .

$$\mathbb{P}\left[T_{1} \leq t, E_{t} \leq x\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[T_{1} \leq t, \phi_{t}(T_{1}, T_{2}, ...) \leq x\right] \\
= \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left[\phi_{t}(s, T_{2}, ...) \leq x\right] dF(s) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{P}\left[E_{t-s} \leq x\right] dF(s) \\
= \int_{0}^{t} e_{x}(t-s) dF(s) = (e_{x} * F)(t)$$

Thus  $e_x(t) = h_x(t) + (e_x * F)(t)$  with  $h_x(t) = F(t+x) - F(t)$ . So  $e_x$  is a solution of the  $(h_x, F)$  renewal equation.

**Exercise** Show that the age  $a_x(t) = \mathbb{P}[A_t \leq x]$  is the solution to some (h, F) renewal equation.

#### Well-Posedness of the Renewal Equation

**Theorem 4.4.3.** Let  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  meas, loc bdd. Then there exists a unique  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$  meas, loc bdd, solution of g = h + g \* F given by g = h + h \* m.

Intuitive Proof. Assume g is a solution.

$$\begin{split} g = & h + g * F \\ = & h + (h + g * F) * F \\ \dots \\ \stackrel{(*)}{=} & h + h * F + h * F^{*2} + h * F^{*3} + \dots \\ = & h + h * m \end{split}$$

We must only show that (\*) can be made rigorous. Otherwise this is just an intuitive proof, we can use this as a way to find a candidate for g, and then prov that it is actually a legitimate solution as follows.

Rigorous Proof. g = h + h \* m is meas. loc. bdd., because h is. We have h + g \* F = h + (h + h \* m) \* F = h + h \* F + h \* m \* F = h + h \* (F + m \* F) = h + h \* m = g

**Uniqueness**  $g_1, g_2$  are 2 solutions, then  $g_1 - g_2 = (g_1 - g_2) * F = (g_1 - g_2) * F^{*n}$ . We have for every  $t \ge 0$ :  $|g_1(t) - g_2(t)| = \left| \int_0^t (g_1 - g_2)(t - s) dF^{*n}(s) \right| \le \sup_{[0,t]} |g_1 - g_2| \int_0^t dF^{*n}(s)$ . Where we can see the integral term is equal to  $\mathbb{P}[T_1 + ... + T_n \le t]$  which converges to 0.

## 4.5 Asymptotic Behavior

**Motivation** We want to study the behavior of g(t) when t is large and when g is a solution to the (h, F) renewal equation.

Case 1  $h = \mathbbm{1}_{[a,b]}$ , and g a solution.  $g(t) = h(t) + \int_0^t h(t-s) dm(s)$ .  $h(t-s) = \mathbbm{1}_{[a,b]}(t-s) = \mathbbm{1}_{s \in [t-b,t-a]}$ . So g(t) = h(t) + m(t-a) - m(t-b) and with Blackwell's Theorem we find that this tends towards  $0 + \frac{b-a}{\mu}$ . Now we need to figure out how this generalizes.

**Idea** Extend to simple functions  $\sum \lambda_i \mathbb{1}_{I_i}$  (this is easy), then try to extend to directly integrable Riemann functions.

**Definition 4.5.1.**  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  meas., h is directly Riemann Integrable (dRi) if  $\forall \Delta > 0$ :  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta sup_{[k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta]}h < \infty$  and  $\lim_{\Delta \to 0} \Delta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} sup_{[k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta]}h = \lim_{\Delta \to \infty} \Delta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} inf_{[k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta]}h$ .  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is dRi iff  $h_+$  and  $h_-$  are dRi. See notes for example for integrable but not dRi function.

**Proposition 4.5.1.** Let  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that h is continuous at a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists H$  non-decreasing st  $0 \le |h| \le H$  and  $\int_0^\infty H < \infty$ , then h is dRi.

**Theorem 4.5.2** (Smith Key Renewall Theorem). Let h be dRi, F non-arithmetic. Then g = h + h \* m satisfies  $\lim_{t \to \infty} g(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} h(u) du$ .

Remark 4.5.3. The case  $h = \mathbb{1}_{[0,b]}$  corresponds to the Blackwell Theorem.

The idea of the proof is to use an approximation of h by functions of the form  $h_{c,\Delta} = \sum_{k\geq 0} c_k \mathbb{1}_{[k\Delta,(k+1)\Delta)}$ .

**Application** Let  $\mu < \infty$ . Let  $E_t$  be the excess time (time until next renewal) and  $e_x(t) = \mathbb{P}\left[E_t \leq x\right]$ . What is  $\lim_{t\to\infty} e_x(t)$ ? We know that  $e_x = h_x + e_x * F$ , where  $h_x(t) = F(t+x) - F(t)$ . Remark 4.5.4.  $\mu = \mathbb{E}\left[T_1\right] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 > t\right] dt$ 

With this we have that  $h_x(t) \leq 1 - F(t) = \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 > t\right]$ , and 1 - F(t) is non-increasing in t and continuous ae (because it is the difference of two monotone functions).  $\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left[T_1 > t\right] dt = \mathbb{E}\left[T_1\right] = \mu < \infty$ . So (by the proposition)  $h_x$  is dRi. Now we can apply the theorem and get that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[E_t \leq x\right] = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty h_x(t) dt = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty F(t+x) - F(t) dt$ , with  $F(t+x) - F(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \in (t,t+x]}\right]$ , we find that the limit is equal to  $\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{T_1 \in (t,t+x]}\right] dt = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_{t \in [T_1-x,T_1)}\right] dt = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{max\{T_1-x,0\}}^\infty dt\right] = T_1$  if  $T_1 \leq x$  and x if  $T_1 > x$ . Thus we get for t large:  $\mathbb{P}\left[E_t \leq x\right] \approx \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{T_1,x\}\right]$ .

Remark 4.5.5.  $G(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \mathbb{E} \left[ \min\{T_1, x\} \right]$  is the delay distribution in the proof of Blackwell's Theorem.

Conclusion We have now used renewal processes to define a general structure to model a real life process mathematically. Using this object enabled us to implement the LLN and make statements about the asymptotic behavior of such processes over large periods of time.

# Chapter 5

# General Poisson Point Processes

Reference Lectures on the Poisson Process (Penrose), Poisson Processes (Kingman)

### 5.1 Introduction

**Question** How can we represent points on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  mathematically?

- (i) A set of points  $S = \{S_1, S_2, ...\}$
- (ii) 'Time point of view', ie  $T_1, T_2, ...$  where  $T_i = \text{time between the } (i-1)$ 'th and i'th point.
- (iii) Cadlag formulation with values in  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $N_t = \text{number of points in } [0, t]$ .
- (iv) Measure  $N: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathbb{N}$  with N(A) = number of points in A.

**Goal** Define  $\Omega \to$ 'set of points'. For a general state space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $[0,1]^2$ , a manifold, etc. (ii) and (iii) are specific to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , so they do not generalize. (i) is not very easy to describe. (iv) is actually nice, so we will use this point of view.

**Framework** (E,d) a Polish space (separable, complete, metric space).  $\mathcal{E}$  Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.  $\mu: \sigma$  finite measure on  $(E,\mathcal{E})$ , ie  $\exists B_i \uparrow E: \mu(B_i) < \infty$  where  $B_i \uparrow E \Leftrightarrow B_1 \subset B_2 \subset ...: \bigcup_{i>1} B_i = E$ .

Example 5.1.1. Of such spaces:

- (i)  $E = \{0\}, \mu = \delta_0$
- (ii)  $E = \mathbb{R}_+, \mu = \lambda \mathcal{L}$
- (iii)  $E = \mathbb{R}^2, \mu(dx) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|x|^2}dx$  'Gaussian'

**Goal** We wish to define a point process on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  where the 'number of points around x '  $\approx \mu(dx)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

### 5.2 Point Processes

Notation  $\mathcal{N} = \{ \nu : \nu = \sigma \text{-finite measure st } \forall B \in \mathcal{E} : \nu(B) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\} \}$ . Measure Structure Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N})$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the sets  $\{ \nu \in \mathcal{N} : \nu(B) = k \} = \mathcal{N}_k$  for

 $B \subset E$  meas and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\to (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}))$  measured space.

**Proposition 5.2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{N}_{<\infty} = \{ \nu \in \mathcal{N} : \nu(E) < \infty \}$ , there exists meas maps  $\tau : \mathcal{N}_{<\infty} \to \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X_i : \mathcal{N}_{<\infty} \to E$  st  $\forall \nu \in \mathcal{N}_{<\infty} : \nu = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau(\nu)} \delta_{X_i(\nu)}$ .

**Definition 5.2.1.** A point process on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is a RV N with values in  $\mathcal{N}$ . 'N is a random  $\sigma$ -finite measure',  $N \leftrightarrow$  'random set of points'.

This means  $N: \Omega \to \mathcal{N}$  meas, for any fixed  $B \subset E: N(B): \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is measurable. Thus a stochastic process corresponds to  $(N(B))_{B \in \mathcal{E}}$ . N(B) = number of points in B'.

Example 5.2.1. Point Processes:

- N = 0 a.s.  $\rightarrow$  empty set
- E = [0, 1], X RV on [0, 1].  $N = \delta_X$  is a point process.
- $X_1,...X_n$  iid RV on  $[0,1], N = \delta_{X_1} + ... + \delta_{X_n}$  is a point process.

#### 5.3 Poisson Point Processes

**Setup**  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  Polish,  $\mu$  fixed  $\sigma$ -finite measure (think of  $\lambda \mathcal{L}$ ),  $\mathcal{N} = {\sigma$  finite counting measure},  $(\Omega, F, \mathbb{P})$  abstract prob space.

**Definition 5.3.1.** A Poisson process with intensity  $\mu$  on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$   $(ppp(\mu))$  is a point process st:

- (i)  $\forall B_1...B_k \subset E$  meas and disjoint:  $N(B_1)...N(B_k)$  are indep.
- (ii)  $\forall B \subset E \text{ meas } N(B) \sim Pois(\mu(B)).$

## 5.4 Existence and Uniqueness

**Question** Does there always exist a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E?

Spaces with finite measure

**Proposition 5.4.1.** Let  $Z \sim Pois(\mu(E))$ ,  $(X_i)_{i\geq 1}$  iid where  $X_i \sim \frac{\mu(.)}{\mu(E)}$ . Then  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \delta_{X_i}$  is a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E.

#### Superposition

**Lemma 5.4.2.** Let  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i, \lambda_i \geq 0$ .  $X_i \sim Pois(\lambda_i), i \geq 1$  indep, then  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \sim Pois(\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 5.4.3.** Let  $N_i$ ,  $i \ge 1$  be a sequence of indep  $ppp(\mu_i)$  where  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures. Then  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$  is a  $ppp(\mu)$ .

Corollary 5.4.4.  $\mu$   $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , then  $\exists ppp(\mu)$  on E.

#### Uniqueness

Let N be a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E, define  $P_N = \text{law of } N \ (\rightarrow \text{a probability meas on } \mathcal{N}).$ 

**Proposition 5.4.5.** Let N, N' be two  $ppp(\mu)$  on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  then  $P_N = P_{N'}$ .

**Theorem 5.4.6** (Representation of ppp as Proper Processes). Let N be a  $ppp(\mu)$  on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , there exists some  $RV \tau \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  st:  $X_n \in E, n \geq 1 : N = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau}$ 

## 5.5 Laplace Functional

N a random meas on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  for  $u: E \to \mathbb{R}$  what should we interpret  $\int_E u dN$  as?

**Lemma 5.5.1.**  $X \sim Pois(\lambda), \lambda > 0$ , then  $\forall u \geq 0 : \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-uX}\right] = exp(-\lambda(1 - e^{-u}))$ .

**Definition 5.5.1.** Let N be a point process on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , for every  $u : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$  define  $L_N(u) = \mathbb{E}\left[exp(-\int u(x)N(dx)\right]$ 

Remark 5.5.2.  $\int_E u(x)N(dx) = \int_E udN$  is a RV.

**Theorem 5.5.3** (Characterization via Laplace Functional). Let  $\mu$   $\sigma$ -finite meas on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Let N be a point process on E. TFAE:

- (i) N is a  $ppp(\mu)$
- (ii)  $\forall u: E \to \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ meas: } L_N(u) = exp(-\int_E 1 e^{-u(x)}\mu(dx))$

## 5.6 Simple Processes

Remark 5.6.1. For  $x \in E$ ,  $\{x\}$  is meas. because E is Polish.

**Definition 5.6.1.** A measure  $\eta \in \mathcal{N}$  is said to be simple if  $\forall x \in E : \eta(\{x\}) \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 5.6.2.**  $\{\eta : \eta \text{ is simple}\}\$ is measurable in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.6.3.** Assume that  $\mu$  is a diffuse  $(\forall x : \mu(\{x\} = 0) \sigma$  finite measure. Then every  $ppp(\mu)$  is simple a.s.

Consequence  $\exists \tau$ ,  $X_i$  RV,  $X_i \neq X_j$  if  $i \neq j$  a.s.:  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \delta_{x_i}$  a.s.

## 5.7 Mapping and Restriction

 $(E, \mathcal{E}), (F, \mathcal{F})$  Polish spaces,  $\mu$   $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $E, T : E \to F$  meas,  $T \# \mu$  push forward measure of  $\mu$  under T  $[T \# \mu(B) = \mu(T^{-1}(B))]$ .

**Theorem 5.7.1.** Assume that  $T \# \mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Let N be a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E, then T # N is a  $ppp(T \# \mu)$  on F.

Example 5.7.1.  $E = \mathbb{R}, \ F = \mathbb{Z}, \ T : E \to F; x \to \lfloor x \rfloor, \ \mu = \mathcal{L}, \ T \# \mu = |.|.$ 

**Notation** If  $\nu$  is a measure on  $E, C \subset E$  meas.  $\nu_C : \nu(. \cap C)$ 

**Theorem 5.7.2** (Restriction). Let  $C_1, C_2, ... \subset E$  meas. and disjoint. If N is a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E, then  $N_{C_1}, N_{C_2}...$  are indep ppp with resp. intensities  $\mu_{C_1}, \mu_{C_2}, ...$ 

## 5.8 Marking

**Motivation** Cars on a highway, at time 0 the position of the cars is a ppp(1) on  $\mathbb{R}$  (that means on average 1 car per kilometer of highway). We put an observer (Olga) at 0 on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Case 1: All of the cars have speed 50 km/h, we want to study X = number of cars seen by Olga in 1 hour. What is the law of X?  $X \sim Pois(50)$ .

Case 2: The cars have a random speed  $\sim \mathcal{U}([50, 100])$ . What is the law of X? It may at first seem complicated, but it is not!

**Framework**  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  Polish,  $\mu = \sigma$ -finite.  $(F, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  Polish, Probability space.

**Definition 5.8.1.** Let  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \delta_{X_i}$  a  $ppp(\mu)$  on E.  $Y_i$  iid RV with law  $\nu$  indep of N. The marked point process is the PP on  $E \times F$  defined by  $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \delta_{(X_i, Y_i)}$ .

Remark 5.8.1.  $X_i$  corresponds to the position of the cars in Case 2, and  $Y_i$  to their speeds.

**Theorem 5.8.2.** The marked process is a  $ppp(\mu \otimes \nu)$ .

Conclusion The General PPP we have defined gives us a very general way to talk about a random processes on a large class of spaces (Polish), which fulfill a Markov-like property. This tool will allow us to make much stronger statements in more specific cases.

### 5.9 Standard Poisson Process

In discrete time processes  $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , the law is characterised by the law of  $(X_{n_1},...X_{n_k};n_1...n_k\in\mathbb{N})$ . In continuous time processes we have  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ , we need to define  $X_t: \forall t\in\mathbb{R}$  which is not countable.

Outset We would like to define a renewal process which also fulfills the Markov property, enabling us to not have. Furthermore we would like a simple continuous time process which is in some way a 'universal' stationary process on  $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{N}$  with independent increments and jumps of size 1. We would also like to see if any of the ideas from the previous chapter can be specified to this context.

**Applications** Queuing processes, insurance claims, compound Poisson process.

**Framework**  $(\Omega, F, \mathbb{P})$  probability space, time space:  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ 

There are 2 points of view: random points on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (reminiscent of PPP) or continuous time stochastic process (renewal process).

## 5.10 Exponential Random Variables

**Note** We will use the 2nd point of view here.

**Definition 5.10.1.** Let  $\lambda > 0$ , a real RV T is exponential with parameter  $\lambda$  (we write  $T \sim Exp(\lambda)$ ) if it has density  $f(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \chi_{\{t \geq 0\}}$ .  $\Leftrightarrow \forall t \geq 0 \mathbb{P}[T > t] = e^{-\lambda t}$ 

**Proposition 5.10.1** (Memoryless Property). Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $T \sim Exp(\lambda)$ . Then  $\forall s, t \geq 0$ :  $\mathbb{P}[T > s + t | T > t] = \mathbb{P}[T > s]$ 

**Proposition 5.10.2** (Minimum of indep Exponentials). Let  $n \geq 0, T_1...T_n$  indep with  $T_i \sim Exp(\lambda_i), \lambda_i > 0$ :

- $min\{T_1...T_n\} \sim Exp(\lambda_1 + ... + \lambda_n)$
- $\mathbb{P}\left[T_1 = min\{T_1...T_n\}\right] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + ... + \lambda_n}$

**Reminder** X a real RV with density f, Y a RV with values in some measurable space E indep of X. Then  $\forall \phi : \mathbb{R} \times E \to \mathbb{R}$  meas + bdd we have:  $\mathbb{E}\left[\phi(X,Y)\right] = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(x,Y)\right] f(x) dx$ 

**Proposition 5.10.3** (Sum of Exponentials). Let  $\lambda > 0, n \geq 1$ . Let  $T_1...T_n$  be iid  $Exp(\lambda)$  RVs. Then  $S_n = T_1 + ... + T_n$  is  $\Gamma(n, \lambda)$  distributed. ie  $S_n$  is continuous with density  $f_{S_n}(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$ 

We can check that  $\Gamma(1,t) = Exp(\lambda)$ 

### 5.11 Definition of Poisson Processes

Setup  $\lambda > 0, (T_i)_{i>0}$  iid  $Exp(\lambda), S_n = T_1 + \dots + T_n$ 

**Definition 5.11.1.** The stochastic process  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $N_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{S_i \leq t}$  is called the Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$   $(pp(\lambda))$ . The RVs  $T_1, T_2, ...$  are the inter-arrival times and  $S_1, S_2, ...$  the arrival times/jump times.

#### **Elementary Properties**

- The mapping  $t \to N_t$  is a.s. right continuous, with values in N
- For fixed  $t \geq 0$   $N_t \sim Pois(\lambda t)$  ie  $\mathbb{P}[N_t = n] = \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$

**Comment** "A property hold a.s."  $\Leftrightarrow \exists$  meas set  $A : \mathbb{P}[A] = 1$  and  $\forall \omega \in A$  the property holds.

## 5.12 Markov Property

**Theorem 5.12.1** (Markov Property of N). Fix  $t \ge 0$ , the stochastic process  $N^{(t)} = (N_s^{(t)})_{s \ge 0}$  defined by  $N_s^{(t)} = N_{t+s} - N_t$  is a Poisson process, independent of  $(N_u)_{0 \le u \le t}$ .

## 5.13 Stationary and Independent Increments

**Motivation** We want to describe the law of  $(N_{t_0}, ..., N_{t_k})$ , the key here is that they are not totally independent. If we have 5 points at time  $t_0$  then we know at time  $t_1$  there will be at least 5 points. So we look at the law of  $(N_{t_1} - N_{t_0}, ..., N_{t_k} - N_{t_{k-1}})$  ie the increments.

**Definition 5.13.1.** A stochastic process  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is said to have indep and stationary increments if

- $\forall k \geq 1, \forall 0 = t_0 < ... < t_k : X_{t_1} X_{t_0}, ..., X_{t_k} X_{t_{k-1}}$  are indep
- $\forall s < t, \forall n \ge 0 : X_t X_s \stackrel{law}{=} X_{t+h} X_{s+h}$

**Theorem 5.13.1** (Marginals of Poisson Process). We have the following:

(i) 
$$\forall k \geq 1, \forall 0 = t_0 < ... < t_k : N_{t_1} - N_{t_0}, ..., N_{t_k} - N_{t_{k-1}}$$
 are indep

(ii) 
$$\forall s \leq t : N_t - N_s \sim Pois(\lambda(t-s))$$

In particular  $N = (N_t)_{t>0}$  has indep and stationary increments.

We know the law of  $(N_{t_1},...,N_{t_k}$  for every fixed  $t_1...t_k$ .

$$\mathbb{P}\left[N_{t_1} = m_1...N_{t_k} = m_k\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[N_{t_1} = m_1, N_{t_2} - N_{t_1} = m_2 - m_1, ..., N_{t_k} - N_{t_{k-1}} = m_k - m_{k-1}\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda(t_0 - t_{i-1}))^{m_i - m_{i-1}}}{m_i - m_{i-1}} e^{-\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})}$$

## 5.14 Finite Marginals Characterization

**Motivation** Let  $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$  a stochastic process. Does the last formula from above ensure that the process is  $pp(\lambda)$ ? No, we can define  $\tilde{N}_t = \sum_{i\geq 1} \chi_{S_i < t}$ , we could also just change the value of the process as some random points, thus when we fix  $t_1, ..., t_k$  we have 0 probability to see these.

In order to get a characterization we need to add some regularity assumptions.

**Definition 5.14.1.** Let  $N = (N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous stoch process with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that N is a counting process if the following holds a.s.:

- (i)  $N_0 = 0$  a.s.
- (ii)  $t \to N_t$  is non decreasing, right continuous, with values in N

In this case, we can define the jump times by setting  $S_1 = min\{t : N_t > 0\}$  and by induction  $S_{i+1} = min\{t \ge S_i : N_t > N_{S_i}\}$ .

Example 5.14.1.  $pp(\lambda)$  is a counting process.

Remark 5.14.1. The condition (ii) is almost sure in the following manner:  $\exists A$  meas. with  $\mathbb{P}[A] = 1$  st  $\forall \omega \in A : t \to N_t(w)$  is non decreasing, right continuous, with values in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.14.2.** Let  $\lambda > 0$ : Let N be a counting process, the following are equivalent:

(i) N is  $pp(\lambda)$ 

(ii) 
$$\forall k \geq 1, \forall t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k, \forall n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N} :$$
  

$$\mathbb{P}\left[N_{t_1} - N_{t_0} = n_1, \dots, N_{t_k} - N_{t_{k-1}} = n_k\right] = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1}))^{n_i}}{n_i!} e^{-\lambda(t_i - t_{i-1})}$$

Remark 5.14.3. By def N is a  $pp(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow N$  is a counting process with jumps of size 1 a.s. and  $S_1, S_2 - S_1, \dots$  are iid  $exp(\lambda)$ .

## 5.15 Microscopic Characterization

**Theorem 5.15.1.** Let N be a counting process, let  $\lambda > 0$ . TFAE:

- (i) N is  $pp(\lambda)$
- (ii) N has indep and stationary increments and  $\mathbb{P}[N_t = 1] = \lambda t + o(t)$  and  $\mathbb{P}[N_t \geq 2] = o(t)$

## 5.16 Properties of Poisson Process

**Theorem 5.16.1** (Law of Large Numbers). Let N be a  $pp(\lambda), \lambda > 0$ , then:  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N_t}{t} = \lambda$ .

**Motivation** If we want to specify (and remove) certain points, for instance if the PP is describing arrival times at a bakery then say we want to differentiate between customers who are younger than 45 and those who are older. If we just look at one of these groups, what type of process are they?

**Theorem 5.16.2** (Thinning). Let  $(N_t)_{t\geq 0} \sim pp(\lambda)$  with jump times  $(S_i)_{i\geq 0}$ . Let  $(X_i)_{i\geq 0}$  iid Ber(p) indep of N (this is the differentiation, called the marking of N). Define  $N_t^1 = \sum_{i\geq 1} \chi_{S_i\leq t, X_i=1}$  and  $N_t^0 = \sum_{i\geq 1} \chi_{S_i\leq t, X_i=0}$ .  $(N_t^0)$  and  $(N_t^1)$  are indep Poisson processes with respective rates  $\lambda_0 = (1-p)\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1 = p\lambda$ .

Let  $(N_t^0)$  and  $(N_t^1)$  be indep Poisson processes with respective rates  $\lambda_0 > 0, \lambda_1 > 0$ . Let  $N_t = N_t^0 + N_t^1$ .

**Theorem 5.16.3.**  $N_t$  is a counting process and we define for every  $i: X_i = \mathbb{1}_{\{i'th \ jump \ of \ N_t \ is \ a \ jumping \ time \ of \ N_t^1 \}}$ Then  $N_t$  is a  $pp(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)$  and  $(X_i)$  is a marking of N with  $\forall i: \mathbb{P}[X_i = 1] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0 + \lambda_1}$ .

Conclusion We successfully defined a renewal process with the Markov property, we also found that this object is also a PPP, thus giving us a process which has the asymptotic behavior (LLN, etc) from the renewal process perspective and getting the Strong and Weak Markov Property from the Poisson Point Process perspective.

# Chapter 6

# Continuous Time Markov Chains

**Framework**  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  Probability space, E finite or countable.

**Outset** We will now be extending the theory of Discrete Markov Chains developed in Chapters 1 and 2 and generalizing the theory of Poisson Processes in Chapter 5. Instead of jumping at every step (studying  $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ ), we will now make jumps at random times on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with the continuous

Discrete Time MC	Continuous Tim
Time	
$\mathbb{N}$	$\mathbb{R}_{+}$
Initial Distribution	
$X_0 \sim \mu$	$X_0 \sim \mu$
Memoryless Property	
$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}   X_0 = x_0,, X_n = x_n\right] =$	$\forall t_0$
$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}   X_n = x_n\right]$	$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{t_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} \right]$ $\mathbb{P}\left[X\right]$
	$\mathbb{P}[X]$
	ı. [71
Transition Probabilities	
$\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = y   X_n = x\right] = p_{x,y}$	$\mu$ -scopic genera
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\mu$ -scopic general $\mathbb{P}[X_{t+h} = y   X_t = x]$ for $h$ small the pr
	for $h$ small the pr
	Time $\mathbb{N}$ Initial Distribution $X_0 \sim \mu$ Memoryless Property $\mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}   X_0 = x_0,, X_n = x_n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}   X_n = x_n\right]$ Transition Probabilities

### 6.1 Definition via Generator

**Definition 6.1.1.** Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be a cont. time stochastic process with values in E. We say that X is a jump process without explosion if a.s.

- (i)  $t \mapsto X_t$  is right continuous
- (ii)  $\forall t > 0$  the number of discontinuity points of  $s \mapsto X_s$  on [0,t] is finite.

**Definition 6.1.2.** Jump times:  $S_0 = 0, S_{i+1} = \inf\{t > S_i, X_t = X_{S_i}\}$ , with condition (ii) implying that  $S_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  a.s.

**Definition 6.1.3.** Skeleton:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \bar{X}_n := X_{S_n} \text{ if } S_n < \infty, \text{ if } \exists n_0 : S_n = \infty \ \forall n \geq n_0 \text{ then } \forall n \geq n_0 : X_n = X_{n_0-1}.$ 

**Definition 6.1.4.** A generator (Q-matrix) is a family  $q = (q_{xy})_{x,y \in E}$  where:

- (i)  $q_{xy} \ge 0 \forall x \ne y$
- (ii)  $\forall x : \sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy} < \infty$
- (iii)  $q_{xx} = -q(x) = -\sum_{y \neq x} q_{xy}$

**Definition 6.1.5.** Let  $\mu$  be a distribution on E, q a generator, let X be a jump process without explosion. We say that X is a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  (Continuous Time Markov Chain without explosion with initial distribution  $\mu$  and generator q) if:

- (i)  $X_0 \sim \mu$
- (ii)  $\forall t_1 < \dots t_{n+1} : \forall x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in E : \mathbb{P}\left[X_{t_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} | X_{t_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{t_n} = x_n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[X_{t_{n+1}} = x_{n+1} | X_n = x_n\right]$
- (iii)  $\forall x, y \in E : \forall t > 0 : \text{as } h \to 0^+$ :  $\mathbb{P}[X_{t+h} = y | X_t = x] = \delta_{xy} + q_{xy}h + o(h)$  uniformly in  $t \geq 0, y \in E$ .

Remark 6.1.1. In (iii): 
$$\forall x, \exists \varphi_x : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ st } \varphi_x(h) \stackrel{h \to 0^+}{\to} 0 \text{ and } \forall h > 0, \forall y \in E : \mathbb{P}\left[X_{t+h} = y | X_t = x\right] = \begin{cases} 1 - q(x)h + h\varphi_{x,x,t}(h) \\ q_{xy}h + h\varphi_{x,y,t}(h) \end{cases}$$
 where  $0 \le \varphi_{x,z,t}(h) \le \varphi_x(h)$ .

Example 6.1.1 (Poisson Process). Let  $(N_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a  $pp(\lambda)$ . Then N is a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  with  $\mu = \delta_0$  and  $q = (q_{xy})_{x,y\in\mathbb{N}} = \lambda$  if y = x + 1,  $-\lambda$  if y = x, and 0 otherwise.

**Question** Does  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  exist for arbitrary  $\mu$  and q?

## 6.2 Non-Rigorous Section: The Constructive Approach

Example 6.2.1 (2 State Markov Chain).  $E = \{1, 2\}, q = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & -\beta \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta > 0.$   $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}, X_t \sim CTMC(\delta_1, q)$ ?  $X_0 = 1, T_1 \sim Exp(\alpha), T_2 \sim Exp(\beta)$  (see notes for reasoning). This gives us the candidate  $X_t = \begin{cases} 1, t \in [S_i, S_{i+1}) \\ 2, t \in [S_{i+1}, S_{i+2}) \end{cases}$ .

**Idea**  $q_{xy}$  should represent the parameter for the time taken to jump from x to y. Since we want our process to have the Markov property, it is natural to see  $q_{xy}$  as the parameter in the exponential RV representing the waiting time to jump from x to y.

Example 6.2.2 (3 State Markov Chain). We start at  $X_0 = 1$ , we have probability  $\alpha$  to jump to 2, and probability  $\beta$  to jump to 3. Thus we have  $T_{12} \sim Exp(\alpha)$ ,  $T_{13} \sim Exp(\beta)$ , then we shall actually jump at  $T_1 = min\{T_{12}, T_{13}\} \sim Exp(\alpha + \beta)$ .  $\mathbb{P}[\text{jump from } 1 \to 2] = \mathbb{P}[T_1 = T_{12}] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{q_{12}}{q(1)}$ . The skeleton  $(\overline{X_n})$  is a Discrete time MC with transition probabilities  $\kappa_{xy} = \frac{q_{xy}}{q(x)}$ .

## 6.3 Definition by Skeleton and Holding Time

Note q is a fixed generator.

#### Discrete Chain Associated to 2

**Definition 6.3.1.** Let  $x, y \in E$ , if q(x) > 0 we define  $\kappa_{xy} = \frac{q_{xy}}{q(x)}$  and  $\kappa_{xx} = 0$ , if q(x) = 0 then  $\kappa_{xy} = \begin{cases} 0, x \neq y \\ 1, x = y \end{cases}$ .

Remark 6.3.1.  $\kappa$  is transition probability (check for the cases where q(x) = 0 and  $q(x) \neq 0$ ).

Example 6.3.1. (i) The  $pp(\lambda)$ , with  $\kappa_{i,i+1} = 1$ .

- (ii) The 2-State MC, with  $\kappa_{1,2} = \kappa_{2,1} = 1$
- (iii) The 3-State MC, more complicated (see notes).

#### Something can go wrong

Let  $\mu$  probability measure on E, q generator. Our goal is to define  $(X_t)$  a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$ . Let  $Y = (Y_n)$  be a discrete  $MC(\mu, \kappa)$ ,  $H_1, H_2, ...$  iid Exp(1) RVs, set  $T_i = \frac{1}{q(Y_i)}H_i$ , conditional on Y  $T_i \sim Exp(q(Y_i))$  and they are independent.

We define  $S_i = T_1 + T_2 + ... + T_i$  for i > 1, and  $X_t = Y_n$  if  $t \in [S_n, S_{n+1})$ . Now have we defined  $X_t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ ? No, as  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_n$  could be finite.

**Definition 6.3.2.** We say that q has no explosion if  $\forall$  choice of  $\mu: S_{\infty} = +\infty$  a.s.

Remark 6.3.2. This is only a condition on q.

Question Does there exist q with explosion? (Answer later)

**Question** If q has no explosion, is  $(X_t)$  a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$ ? (Also later)

#### Birth Chain

 $E=\mathbb{N}$ , fix  $(\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$ , and  $q_{i,i+1}=\lambda_i$ ,  $q_{i,i}=-\lambda_i$ , and otherwise  $q_{i,j}=0$ . We get that  $\kappa_{i,j}=\delta_{i,i-1}$ ,  $Y_n=n$ , and  $T_i\sim Exp(\lambda_i)$ . Now we set  $S_\infty=\sum_{i=1}^\infty T_i$  and we ask, is  $S_\infty<\infty$  or  $S_\infty=\infty$  a.s. Remark 6.3.3.  $pp(\lambda)$  is a birth chain with  $\lambda_i=\lambda$ .

**Theorem 6.3.4.** The birth chain q has no explosion  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \infty$ .

#### Non-Explosion Characterization

Fix q a generator on  $E\left(\kappa_{xy} = \frac{q_{xy}}{q(x)}\right)$ .

**Theorem 6.3.5.** For  $x \in E$ , let  $Y = (Y_n^{(x)})_{n \geq 0}$  be a  $MC(\mu, \kappa)$ . Then q has no explosion  $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{q(Y_n^{(x)})} < \infty$  a.s.

Remark 6.3.6.  $\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{q(Y_n)}$  is a RV.

**Application** Sufficient Condition: q is non-explosive if

- E is finite (2 and 3 State MC)
- $inf_{x \in E: q(x) \neq 0}q(x) > 0$  (Poisson, 2 and 3 State MC)
- The chain  $\kappa$  is irreducible and recurrent.

#### **Key Theorem**

**Theorem 6.3.7** (Characterization of CTMC). Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be a jump process without explosion. Let q be a non-explosive generator. Then TFAE:

- (i) X is a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$
- (ii) The skeleton of X ( $Y = \overline{X_n}$ ) is a discrete time  $MC(\mu, \kappa)$  and conditioned on Y, the holding times satisfy  $S_i S_{i-1} \sim Exp(q(Y_i))$  are indep.

#### Consequences

- Existence of CTMC for non-explosive q
- Uniqueness of the law of a  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  (if X, Y are  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  then  $\forall t_1 < ... < t_n : (X_{t_1}, ..., X_{t_n} \sim (Y_{t_1}, ..., Y_{t_n}))$
- There exist constructive algorithms (see Morris)

## 6.4 Markov Properties

Framework  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E})$ ,  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  st under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ , X is  $CTMC(\mu, q)$  with q non-explosive. (Such probability measures exist, take  $\mu$  with  $\mu(x) > 0 \forall x \in E$ , consider  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} = CTMC(\mu, q)$  then let  $\mathbb{P}_x = \mathbb{P}[.|X_0 = x].$ )

**Simple Markov Property** Fix  $t \geq 0, x \in E$ ; Conditionally on  $X_t = x$  we have that  $(X_{t_s})_{s\geq 0}$  is a  $CTMC(\delta_x, q)$  indep of  $(X_n)_{n\leq t}$ 

**Strong Markov Property** The same applies if we replace t by a random stopping time T.

#### 6.5 Transition Probabilities

 $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $CTMC(\delta_x, q)$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ , then we define for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x, y \in E$ :  $p_{xy}(t) = \mathbb{P}_x[X_t = y]$ . In the discrete case this corresponds to  $p_{xy}^{(n)} = p_{xy}(t)$ .

Remark 6.5.1. We have

- $\forall t \geq 0 : (p_{xy}(t))_{x,y \in E}$  is a transition probability  $\sum_{y} p_{xy}(t) = \sum_{y} \mathbb{P}_x [X_t = y] = 1$ .
- $\forall x : p_{xx}(t) \ge e^{-q(x)t} \forall t$
- $\forall x, y \in E : p_{xx}(h) = 1 q(x)h + o(h) \text{ and } p_{xy}(h) = q_{xy}h + o(h) \text{ for } x \neq y.$

**Proposition 6.5.2** (Chapman Kolmogorov (CK) Equations).  $\forall t, s \geq 0 : p_{xy}(t+s) = \sum_{z} p_{xz}(t) p_{zy}(s)$ **Question** Knowing q, what is  $p_{xy}(t)$ ?

**Theorem 6.5.3** (Backward/Forward equations).  $\forall x, y \in E : p_{xy} \text{ is } C^1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } \forall t \geq 0 \text{ we}$ have the backward equation:

$$p'_{xy}(t) = \left(\sum_{z \neq x} q_{xy} p_{zy}(t)\right) - q(x) p_{xy}(t)$$

And the forward equation:

$$p'_{xy}(t) = \left(\sum_{z \neq y} p_{xz}(t)q_{zy}\right) - p_{xy}(t)q(y)$$

**Application** Let us look at what happens when E is finite  $(E = \{1...k\})$ . Then P(t) =

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_{11}(t) & \dots & p_{1k}(t) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{k1}(t) & \dots & p_{kk}(t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & \dots & q_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_{k1} & \dots & q_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \text{ So we get that } p'_{xy}(t) = \sum_{z \in E} q_{xz} p_{zy}(t) \implies P'(t) = QP(t) \text{ (from backward equation) we also get } P'(t) = P(t)Q \text{ (from forwards equation)}.$$

**Theorem 6.5.4.** If E is finite, we have  $\forall t \geq 0 : P(t) = exp(tQ)$ .