

Commands

Concrete syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} com &::= \text{SKIP} \\ &| \text{string} ::= aexp \\ &| com \;;\; com \\ &| \text{IF } bexp \text{ THEN } com \text{ ELSE } com \\ &| \text{WHILE } bexp \text{ DO } com \end{aligned}$$

Commands

Abstract syntax:

datatype *com* = *SKIP*
 | *Assign string aexp*
 | *Seq com com*
 | *If bexp com com*
 | *While bexp com*

Big-step semantics

Concrete syntax:

$$(com, initial-state) \Rightarrow final-state$$

Intended meaning of $(c, s) \Rightarrow t$:

Command c started in state s terminates in state t

“ \Rightarrow ” here not type!

Big-step rules

$$(SKIP, s) \Rightarrow s$$

$$(x ::= a, s) \Rightarrow s(x := \text{aval } a \ s)$$

$$\frac{(c_1, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2 \quad (c_2, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3}{(c_1;; c_2, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3}$$

Big-step rules

$$\frac{bval\ b\ s \quad (c_1, s) \Rightarrow t}{(IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t}$$

$$\frac{\neg\ bval\ b\ s \quad (c_2, s) \Rightarrow t}{(IF\ b\ THEN\ c_1\ ELSE\ c_2, s) \Rightarrow t}$$

Big-step rules

$$\frac{\neg \text{bval } b \ s}{(\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s) \Rightarrow s}$$
$$\frac{\text{bval } b \ s_1 \quad (c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_2 \quad (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s_2) \Rightarrow s_3}{(\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c, s_1) \Rightarrow s_3}$$

Well-typed commands

Notation:

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash c \\ \textit{tyenv} \vdash \textit{com} \end{array}$$

Read: *In context Γ , c is well-typed.*

The rules:

$$\Gamma \vdash \textit{SKIP} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : \Gamma \ x}{\Gamma \vdash x ::= a}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash c_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash c_2}{\Gamma \vdash c_1 ;; c_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b^{\text{bool}} \quad \Gamma \vdash c_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash c_2}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{IF } b \textit{ THEN } c_1 \textit{ ELSE } c_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash b^{\text{bool}} \quad \Gamma \vdash c}{\Gamma \vdash \textit{WHILE } b \textit{ DO } c}$$

Syntax-directedness

All three sets of typing rules are *syntax-directed*:

- There is exactly one rule for each syntactic construct (*SKIP*, *::=*, ...).
- Well-typedness of a term $C\ t_1 \dots t_n$ depends only on the well-typedness of its subterms t_1, \dots, t_n .

A syntax-directed set of rules

- is executable by backchaining without backtracking and
- backchaining terminates and requires at most as many steps as the size of the term.

Syntax-directedness

The big-step semantics is not syntax-directed:

- more than one rule per construct and
- the execution of *WHILE* depends on the execution of *WHILE*.