____ (R)
/__ / ___/ / ___/
__/ / /___/ / /___/
Statistics/Data Analysis

User: sec3 Project: Ae

1 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1
> TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	
R-sq: within = 0.0211 between = 0.0995 overall = 0.0574	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	12 12.0 12
corr(u_i, Xb) = 0.0323	Wald chi2(6) = Prob > chi2 =	26754.28 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0093433	.0118309	0.79	0.430	0138448	.0325314
Turnover	26.02909	7.16638	3.63	0.000	11.98325	40.07494
LTFCF 1	6632632	.2196502	-3.02	0.003	-1.09377	2327567
LTLeverage	.3146274	.1615589	1.95	0.051	0020223	.6312771
LTRevenue	1.231944	.1718853	7.17	0.000	.8950552	1.568833
LTCash	3431614	.4166313	-0.82	0.410	-1.159744	.473421
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.074247	.1225911	-33.23	0.000	-4.314521	-3.833973
sigma u	1.0367693					
sigma e	1.208834					
rho	.42382429	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	
F test that a	all u_i=0:	F(318,350	3) =	8.19	Prob > F	= 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ TQ_diff_1

TQ_diff_2

2 . estimates store fix3

3 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1 > TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effe Group variable: I	ects IV regression FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0. between = 0. overall = 0.	.1310	av	n = g = x =	12 12.0 12
corr(u_i, X)	= 0 (assumed)	Wald chi2(6) Prob > chi2	=	120.71 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0212858	.0110315	1.93	0.054	0003356	.0429072
Turnover	23.16499	6.800019	3.41	0.001	9.837196	36.49278
LTFCF_1	6493858	.2168015	-3.00	0.003	-1.074309	2244626
LTLeverage	.0383346	.1540568	0.25	0.803	2636111	.3402803
LTRevenue	1.312935	.1361556	9.64	0.000	1.046075	1.579795
LTCash	8691681	.3913813	-2.22	0.026	-1.636261	1020749
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.020676	.115628	-34.77	0.000	-4.247303	-3.794049
sigma u	.89994042					
sigma e	1.208834					
rho	.35659677	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	
Instrumented:	TQ					
Instruments:	-	TFCF_1 LTLev	verage LTI	Revenue L	TCash TQ TQ_d	iff_1

- 4 . estimates store ran3
- 5 . hausman fix3 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fix3	ran3	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0093433	.0212858	0119425	.0042749
Turnover	26.02909	23.16499	2.864106	2.262024
LTFCF_1	6632632	6493858	0138774	.0352606
LTLeverage	.3146274	.0383346	.2762928	.0486601
LTRevenue	1.231944	1.312935	0809904	.1049105
LTCash	3431614	8691681	.5260067	.1428368

 $\mbox{$b$ = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \mbox{B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg} \label{eq:basis}$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

6 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1
> TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1871		n =	12
between = 0.1087 overall = 0.1388		g = x =	12.0 12
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1512	Wald chi2(6) Prob > chi2	=	50910.63 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
TQ	.0200377	.0092566	2.16	0.030	.0018952	.0381802
Turnover	9.954855	5.607031	1.78	0.076	-1.034723	20.94443
LTFCF 1	-4.04659	.171856	-23.55	0.000	-4.383422	-3.709759
LTLeverage	.2887611	.1264049	2.28	0.022	.041012	.5365103
LTRevenue	2.256065	.1344844	16.78	0.000	1.99248	2.519649
LTCash	2648147	.3259755	-0.81	0.417	903715	.3740855
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.806199	.0959162	-50.11	0.000	-4.994191	-4.618206
sigma_u sigma e	1.0196932 .94580097					
rho	.53754169	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	
F test that	all u_i=0:	F(318,3503	3) = 1	2.69	Prob > F	= 0.0000
Instrumented:	TQ					
Instruments:		TFCF 1 LTLev	erage LTI	Revenue L	TCash TQ TQ d	diff 1
	TQ diff 2	3				· _ -

7 . estimates store fixed3

8 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1 > TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-e	ffects IV re	gression		Number	of obs =	3,828
Group variable	: FirmID			Number	of groups =	319
R-sq:				Obs per	group:	
within =	0.1860			•	min =	12
between =	0.1202				avg =	12.0
overall =	0.1468				max =	12
				Wald ch	i2(6) =	831.28
corr(u_i, X)	= 0 (as	sumed)		Prob >	` '	
LTITA 1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval
					[33,0 60,11	
TQ	.0285837	.0088598	3.23	0.001	.0112188	.0459485
Turnover	9.388688	5.431824	1.73	0.084	-1.257491	20.03487
LTFCF_1	-3.974532	.1708981	-23.26	0.000	-4.309486	-3.639578
LTLeverage	.0970387	.1228686	0.79	0.430	1437793	.3378567
LTRevenue	2.063188	.1144913	18.02	0.000	1.838789	2.287587
LTCash	5406156	.3136468	-1.72	0.085	-1.155352	.0741208
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.631555	.0993841	-46.60	0.000	-4.826344	-4.436765
sigma u	.89650833					
sigma e	.94580097					
rho	.47326324	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	
Instrumented:	TQ					
Instruments:	-	TFCF_1 LTLev	erage LTI	Revenue L	TCash TQ TQ_	diff_1

9 . estimates store random3

10 . hausman fixed3 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fixed3	random3	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0200377	.0285837	0085459	.002681
Turnover	9.954855	9.388688	.5661677	1.390713
LTFCF_1	-4.04659	-3.974532	0720584	.0181194
LTLeverage	.2887611	.0970387	.1917224	.0296904
LTRevenue	2.256065	2.063188	.1928764	.0705534
LTCash	2648147	5406156	. 2758009	.0888016

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(6) =
$$(b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$$

= 70.14
Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

11 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1 > TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID					of obs = of groups =	3,509 319
dioup variable	. I II III II II			Number	or groups -	313
R-sq:				Obs per	group:	
•	= 0.1768				min =	11
between =					avg =	11.0
overall =	= 0.1376				max =	11
				Wald ch	i2(6) =	40734.44
<pre>corr(u_i, Xb)</pre>	= -0.1287			Prob >	chi2 =	0.0000
LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
ТО	.0089549	.0100597	0.89	0.373	0107617	.0286715
Turnover	13.71592	6.285329	2.18	0.029	1.396899	26.03494
LTFCF 2	-3.409862	.1653336	-20.62	0.000	-3.73391	-3.085814
LTLeverage	.3781087	.1423943	2.66	0.008	.0990209	.6571965
LTRevenue	2.266715	.1520347	14.91	0.000	1.968733	2.564698
LTCash	-1.22512	.362194	-3.38	0.001	-1.935007	5152325
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.740518	.1084062	-43.73	0.000	-4.95299	-4.528046
sigma_u	1.0812251					
sigma e	.9927736					
rho	.54257036	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	oui)	
	.5425.050	(11 0002011	J. Va. 10.			
F test that a	all u_i=0:	F(318,3184	4) = 1:	1.94	Prob > F	= 0.0000

Instrumented:

Turnover LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ TQ_diff_1 Instruments:

TQ_diff_2

12 . estimates store fix4

13 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ = TQ_diff_1 > TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID					of obs = of groups =	
R-sq: within = between = overall =				Obs per	group: min = avg = max =	11.0
corr(u_i, X)	= 0 (as	sumed)		Wald ch	` '	
LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
TQ Turnover LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ _cons sigma_u sigma_e rho	.0208209 11.86287 -3.351507 .1592072 2.103276 -1.418325 0 -4.579464 .95365668 .9927736 .47991136	.0095869 6.059428 .1642065 .138197 .1267019 .3468411 (omitted) .1094374	2.17 1.96 -20.41 1.15 16.60 -4.09 -41.85	0.030 0.050 0.000 0.249 0.000 0.000	.002031 0133863 -3.673345 1116539 1.854945 -2.098121 -4.793957	-3.029668 .4300682
Instrumented: Instruments:	TQ Turnover L [*] TQ_diff_2				TCash TQ TQ_	diff_1

14 . estimates store ran4

15 . hausman fix4 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
	fix4	ran4	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0089549	.0208209	011866	.0030478
Turnover	13.71592	11.86287	1.853044	1.66994
LTFCF_2	-3.409862	-3.351507	0583551	.0192728
LTLeverage	.3781087	.1592072	.2189015	.0343184
LTRevenue	2.266715	2.103276	.1634388	.0840308
LTCash	-1.22512	-1.418325	.1932054	.104335

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

$$chi2(6) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$$

= 64.00

Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1880 between = 0.1050 overall = 0.1365	Obs per group: min avg max	=	12 12.0 12
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1588	Wald chi2(7) Prob > chi2	=	50960.44 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0199464	.0092528	2.16	0.031	.0018112	.0380816
Amihud	.0149616	.0120794	1.24	0.215	0087136	.0386369
AmihudXHigh_FLR	.0447206	.0247195	1.81	0.070	0037288	.09317
LTFCF_1	-4.038206	.1716979	-23.52	0.000	-4.374727	-3.701684
LTLeverage	.2526939	.127088	1.99	0.047	.0036059	.5017818
LTRevenue	2.273663	.1345694	16.90	0.000	2.009912	2.537414
LTCash	3069104	.3255898	-0.94	0.346	9450548	.3312339
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.778917	.094429	-50.61	0.000	-4.963994	-4.593839
sigma u	1.0237805					
sigma_e	.94537708					
rho	.5397527	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	oui)	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3502) = 12.68 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_FLR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

 $TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2$

17 . estimates store fix5

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1868 between = 0.1172 overall = 0.1452	Obs per group: min avg max	=	12 12.0 12
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) Prob > chi2	=	831.98 0.0000

Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	Z	Std. Err.	Coef.	LTITA_1
.0459154	.0111885	0.001	3.22	.008859	.028552	TQ
.0322056	0149909	0.475	0.71	.0120401	.0086073	Amihud
.0885744	008871	0.109	1.60	.024859	.0398517	AmihudXHigh FLR
-3.63007	-4.300312	0.000	-23.19	.1709833	-3.965191	LTFCF 1
.309773	1750934	0.586	0.54	.1236927	.0673398	LTLeverage
2.292494	1.844307	0.000	18.09	.1143357	2.0684	LTRevenue
.0343618	-1.19429	0.064	-1.85	.3134372	5799639	LTCash
				(omitted)	0	TQ
-4.408828	-4.791	0.000	-47.18	.0974947	-4.599914	_cons
					.88377855	sigma u
					.94537708	sigma e
	oui)	nce due to	of variar	(fraction	.46636221	rho

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_FLR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

19 . estimates store ran5

20 . hausman fix5 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b) fix5	(B) ran5	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
	1173		DITTELCHEC	
TQ	.0199464	.028552	0086056	.0026706
Amihud	.0149616	.0086073	.0063543	.0009736
AmihudXHi~LR	.0447206	.0398517	.0048689	•
LTFCF_1	-4.038206	-3.965191	0730145	.0156483
LTLeverage	.2526939	.0673398	.1853541	.0291803
LTRevenue	2.273663	2.0684	.2052628	.0709667
LTCash	3069104	5799639	.2730535	.088124

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

(v_b-v_b is not positive definite)

21 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Amihud AmihudXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (T > Q = $TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2$), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression	Number of obs	=	3,509
Group variable: FirmID	Number of groups	=	319
R-sq:	Obs per group:		
within = 0.1757	min	=	11
between = 0.1148	avg	=	11.0
overall = 0.1376	max	=	11
	Wald chi2(7)	=	40664.70
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1312	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	Z	Std. Err.	Coef.	LTITA_2
.0287242	0107425	0.372	0.89	.0100682	.0089908	TQ
.0306328	0196938	0.670	0.43	.0128386	.0054695	Amihud
.063972	0392702	0.639	0.47	.0263378	.0123509	AmihudXHigh FLR
-3.092745	-3.741283	0.000	-20.65	.1654466	-3.417014	LTFCF 2
.6446668	.0819461	0.011	2.53	.1435538	.3633064	LTLeverage
2.579798	1.982795	0.000	14.98	.1522995	2.281296	LTRevenue
5647497	-1.984242	0.000	-3.52	.362122	-1.274496	LTCash
				(omitted)	0	TQ
-4.495957	-4.916662	0.000	-43.85	.1073247	-4.706309	_cons
					1.0809946	sigma u
					.99357409	sigma e
	oui)	nce due t	of variar	(fraction	.54206444	rho

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) = 11.85 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

22 . estimates store fix6

23 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash T
> Q (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,828 319
R-sq:	Obs per group:		
within = 0.1890	min	=	12
between = 0.1095	avg	=	12.0
overall = 0.1399	max	=	12
	Wald chi2(7)	=	51024.75
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1532	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ТО	.0200124	.009247	2.16	0.030	.0018887	.0381361
Turnover	.3655432	6.517332	0.06	0.955	-12.40819	13.13928
TurnoverXHig~LR	24.76544	8.605183	2.88	0.004	7.89959	41.63129
LTFCF 1	-4.033801	.1717351	-23.49	0.000	-4.370396	-3.697206
LTLeverage	.2287403	.1279844	1.79	0.074	0221045	.4795851
LTRevenue	2.28343	.1346809	16.95	0.000	2.019461	2.5474
LTCash	2937879	.3257928	-0.90	0.367	93233	.3447542
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.79536	`.0958907	-50.01	0.000	-4.983303	-4.607418
sigma u	1.0197641					
sigma e	.94481935					
rho	.53809247	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3502) = 12.70 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TO

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

24 . estimates store fix7

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,509 319
R-sq:	Obs per group:		
within = 0.1744	min	=	11
between = 0.1292	avg	=	11.0
overall = 0.1469	max	=	11
	Wald chi2(7)	=	710.86
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0209202	.0095932	2.18	0.029	.0021179	.0397226
Amihud	0002997	.0127698	-0.02	0.981	025328	.0247286
AmihudXHigh_FLR	.0086496	.0264902	0.33	0.744	0432701	.0605694
LTFCF_2	-3.357033	.1644422	-20.41	0.000	-3.679334	-3.034732
LTLeverage	.1516769	.1394005	1.09	0.277	1215431	.4248969
LTRevenue	2.102766	.1266113	16.61	0.000	1.854612	2.350919
LTCash	-1.457104	.3468044	-4.20	0.000	-2.136828	7773798
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.543398	.1077454	-42.17	0.000	-4.754575	-4.332221
sigma_u sigma_e rho	.94146998 .99357409 .47309294	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u i)	
1110	. 7, 303234	(11 000000	Oi vai Lai	ince due t	υ u_±/	

Instrumented: T(

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

26 . estimates store ran6

27 . hausman fix6 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fix6	ran6	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0089908	.0209202	0119294	.003056
Amihud	.0054695	0002997	.0057692	.001328
AmihudXHi~LR	.0123509	.0086496	.0037013	•
LTFCF_2	-3.417014	-3.357033	0599808	.018202
LTLeverage	.3633064	.1516769	.2116295	.0342812
LTRevenue	2.281296	2.102766	.1785306	.0846445
LTCash	-1.274496	-1.457104	.1826082	.1042068

 $\mbox{\bf b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \mbox{\bf B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg}$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1879 between = 0.1210 overall = 0.1480	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	12 12.0 12
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	841.12 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0283611	.0088517	3.20	0.001	.011012	.0457102
Turnover	.026643	6.33094	0.00	0.997	-12.38177	12.43506
TurnoverXHig~LR	24.42553	8.506952	2.87	0.004	7.752213	41.09885
LTFCF_1	-3.962353	.1707864	-23.20	0.000	-4.297088	-3.627618
LTLeverage	.0342399	.1246901	0.27	0.784	2101481	.278628
LTRevenue	2.086982	.1146862	18.20	0.000	1.862201	2.311763
LTCash	5671063	.3134864	-1.81	0.070	-1.181528	.0473158
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.617889	.0994133	-46.45	0.000	-4.812735	-4.423042
sigma_u sigma_e rho	.89581454 .94481935 .47339498	(fraction	of vania	aca dua t	o u i)	
1110	.4/333436	(IT accion	OI Val.Tal	ice due t	.u_1)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

29 . estimates store ran7

30 . hausman fix7 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fix7	ran7	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0200124	.0283611	0083487	.0026745
Turnover	.3655432	.026643	.3389002	1.54752
TurnoverX~LR	24.76544	24.42553	.3399058	1.296509
LTFCF_1	-4.033801	-3.962353	0714482	.0180266
LTLeverage	.2287403	.0342399	.1945003	.0288512
LTRevenue	2.28343	2.086982	.1964481	.0706117
LTCash	2937879	5671063	.2733184	.0886972

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B) = 72.51 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Number of obs = 3,509 Group variable: FirmID Number of groups = 319

R-sq: Obs per group:

 within = 0.1770
 min = 11

 between = 0.1135
 avg = 11.0

 overall = 0.1375
 max = 11

Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	z	Std. Err.	Coef.	LTITA_2
.0286592	0107753	0.374	0.89	.01006	.008942	TQ
24.70928	-3.849879	0.152	1.43	7.285634	10.4297	Turnover
27.46967	-10.28644	0.372	0.89	9.631838	8.591611	TurnoverXHig~LR
-3.091279	-3.739883	0.000	-20.64	.1654632	-3.415581	LTFCF 2
.6394541	.0730614	0.014	2.47	.1444906	.3562577	LTLeverage
2.574464	1.977143	0.000	14.93	.1523806	2.275804	LTRevenue
5265431	-1.947311	0.001	-3.41	.3624475	-1.236927	LTCash
				(omitted)	0	TQ
-4.523378	-4.948784	0.000	-43.64	.1085237	-4.736081	_cons
					1.0815371	sigma u
					.99280546	sigma e
.54269767 (fraction of variance due to u i)					rho	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) = 11.94 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ diff 1 TQ diff 2

32 . estimates store fix8

33 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash T
> Q (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,509 319
R-sq: within = 0.1758 between = 0.1273 overall = 0.1464	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	11 11.0 11
corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)	/	716.75 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0207287	.0095878	2.16	0.031	.001937	.0395204
Turnover	9.024415	7.05377	1.28	0.201	-4.80072	22.84955
TurnoverXHig~LR	7.479865	9.501472	0.79	0.431	-11.14268	26.10241
LTFCF 2	-3.356648	.1643236	-20.43	0.000	-3.678716	-3.034579
LTLeverage	.139311	.1406037	0.99	0.322	1362672	.4148891
LTRevenue	2.110601	.1270551	16.61	0.000	1.861578	2.359625
LTCash	-1.427202	.3470594	-4.11	0.000	-2.107426	746978
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.574971	.1096572	-41.72	0.000	-4.789896	-4.360047
sigma u	.95494733					
sigma e	.99280546					
rho	.48057052	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_FLR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

34 . estimates store ran8

35 . hausman fix8 .

	Coeffi			
	(b) fix8	(B) ran8	(b-B) Difference	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.</pre>
TQ	.008942	.0207287	0117868	.003046
Turnover	10.4297	9.024415	1.405285	1.8234
TurnoverX~LR	8.591611	7.479865	1.111746	1.579345
LTFCF_2	-3.415581	-3.356648	0589329	.019386
LTLeverage	.3562577	.139311	.2169468	.0332887
LTRevenue	2.275804	2.110601	.1652022	.084124
LTCash	-1.236927	-1.427202	.1902749	.1044889

 $\mbox{$b$ = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \\ \mbox{B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg} \\$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

36 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression	Number of obs	=	3,828
Group variable: FirmID	Number of groups	=	319
R-sq:	Obs per group:		
within = 0.1875	mir	1 =	12
between = 0.1059	avg	5 =	12.0
overall = 0.1369	max	(=	12
	Wald chi2(7)	=	50925.08
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1579	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
TQ	.0199466	.0092567	2.15	0.031	.0018038	.0380895
Amihud	.0227048	.0113061	2.01	0.045	.0005452	.0448643
AmihudXHigh_PR	1631945	.1633955	-1.00	0.318	4834438	.1570548
LTFCF_1	-4.039444	.171767	-23.52	0.000	-4.376101	-3.702787
LTLeverage	.2540482	.1271273	2.00	0.046	.0048834	.5032131
LTRevenue	2.273268	.1346586	16.88	0.000	2.009342	2.537194
LTCash	3048835	.3256939	-0.94	0.349	9432319	.3334648
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.778085	.0944661	-50.58	0.000	-4.963235	-4.592935
sigma u	1.0229743					
sigma e	.94568406					
rho	.53919992	(fraction	of varia	nce due 1	to u_i)	
F test that all	l u_i=0:	F(318,3502)	= 12.	67	Prob > F	= 0.0000
Instrumented:	TQ	dVU; ah DD 13	FFCF 1 1 T	Lovonogo	LTPovonuo LT	Cash TO

Instruments: ${\bf Amihud\ AmihudXHigh_PR\ LTFCF_1\ LTLeverage\ LTRevenue\ LTCash\ TQ}$

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

37 . estimates store fix9

38 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID			Number of obs = 3,828 Number of groups = 319			
R-sq:				Obs per gr	oup:	
within = 6	3.1863			, 0	min =	12
between = 6	3.1182				avg =	12.0
overall = 0	.1456				max =	12
				Wald chi2(7) =	829.67
corr(u_i, X)	= 0 (assu	med)		Prob > chi		0.0000
LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Con	f. Interval]
TQ	.0285853	.0088619	3.23	0.001	.0112163	.0459542
Amihud	.0156365	.0112303	1.39	0.164	0063746	.0376475
AmihudXHigh PR	1546223	.1640797	-0.94	0.346	4762127	.166968
LTFCF_1	-3.966435	.1710784	-23.18	0.000	-4.301742	-3.631128
LTLeverage	.0675262	.1237287	0.55	0.585	1749776	.31003
LTRevenue	2.066567	.1143097	18.08	0.000	1.842524	2.290609
LTCash	5803898	.3135148	-1.85	0.064	-1.194867	.0340879
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.597897	.0974005	-47.21	0.000	-4.788798	-4.406995
sigma_u	.88080441					
sigma_e	.94568406					
rho	.46452324	(fraction	of varia	ance due to	u_i)	

Instrumented:

 ${\bf Amihud\ AmihudXHigh_PR\ LTFCF_1\ LTLeverage\ LTRevenue\ LTCash\ TQ}$ Instruments:

39 . estimates store ran9

40 . hausman fix9 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b) fix9	(B) ran9	(b-B) Difference	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.</pre>
TQ	.0199466	.0285853	0086386	.0026748
Amihud	.0227048	.0156365	.0070683	.0013066
AmihudXHi~PR	1631945	1546223	0085722	•
LTFCF_1	-4.039444	-3.966435	0730093	.0153652
LTLeverage	.2540482	.0675262	.186522	.0291983
LTRevenue	2.273268	2.066567	.2067015	.0711775
LTCash	3048835	5803898	.2755063	.0882327

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

 $chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$ = 93.15

Prob>chi2 = **0.0000**

(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

41 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression

Group variable: FirmID

R-sq:

within = 0.1756
between = 0.1150

Number of obs = 3,509

Number of groups = 319

Number of groups = 111

avg = 11.0

overall = **0.1377** max = **11**

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0090106	.0100697	0.89	0.371	0107256	.0287468
Amihud	.0076277	.0119804	0.64	0.524	0158534	.0311089
AmihudXHigh_PR	0236809	.1725263	-0.14	0.891	3618262	.3144644
LTFCF_2	-3.41597	.1655301	-20.64	0.000	-3.740403	-3.091537
LTLeverage	.3629222	.1435558	2.53	0.011	.0815579	.6442864
LTRevenue	2.280879	.1523599	14.97	0.000	1.982259	2.579499
LTCash	-1.274033	.3621381	-3.52	0.000	-1.983811	5642554
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.70581	.1073376	-43.84	0.000	-4.916188	-4.495433
sigma u	1.0808113					
sigma e	.99360547					
rho	.54196455					

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) = 11.85 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TO

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

42 . estimates store fix10

43 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	
R-sq:	Obs per group:	
within = 0.1744	min =	11
between = 0.1294	avg =	11.0
overall = 0.1470	max =	11
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0209878	.0095946	2.19	0.029	.0021828	.0397929
Amihud	.0012007	.01188	0.10	0.919	0220838	.0244852
AmihudXHigh_PR	0185831	.1730618	-0.11	0.914	357778	.3206118
LTFCF_2	-3.356013	.1645476	-20.40	0.000	-3.678521	-3.033506
LTLeverage	.150349	.1394134	1.08	0.281	1228963	.4235943
LTRevenue	2.101682	.1265633	16.61	0.000	1.853622	2.349742
LTCash	-1.457929	.3468204	-4.20	0.000	-2.137684	7781733
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.542269	.1076402	-42.20	0.000	-4.75324	-4.331298
sigma_u sigma_e	.93855376 .99360547					
rho	.47153079	.47153079 (fraction of variance due to u_i)				

Instrumented: TO

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

44 . estimates store ran10

45 . hausman fix10 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fix10	ran10	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0090106	.0209878	0119772	.0030565
Amihud	.0076277	.0012007	.006427	.0015473
AmihudXHi~PR	0236809	0185831	0050978	•
LTFCF_2	-3.41597	-3.356013	0599568	.0180082
LTLeverage	.3629222	.150349	.2125732	.0342371
LTRevenue	2.280879	2.101682	.1791968	.0848249
LTCash	-1.274033	-1.457929	.1838956	.1042096

 $\mbox{\bf b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \mbox{\bf B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg}$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

46 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ > (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Number of obs 3,828 Group variable: FirmID Number of groups = 319 R-sq: Obs per group: within = **0.1871** min = 12 between = **0.1087** avg = 12.0 overall = **0.1387** max = 12

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
TQ	.0200322	.0092582	2.16	0.030	.0018865	.038178
Turnover	10.05437	5.784127	1.74	0.082	-1.282314	21.39105
TurnoverXHig~PR	6430611	9.158077	-0.07	0.944	-18.59256	17.30644
LTFCF 1	-4.04646	.1718905	-23.54	0.000	-4.383359	-3.70956
LTLeverage	.2885978	.1264443	2.28	0.022	.0407715	.5364241
LTRevenue	2.255925	.1345181	16.77	0.000	1.992275	2.519576
LTCash	2651677	.3260606	-0.81	0.416	9042347	.3738993
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.805921	.0960113	-50.06	0.000	-4.9941	-4.617742
sigma u	1.0197055					
sigma e	.94593534					
rho	.53747702 (fraction of variance due to u_i)					

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3502) = 12.68 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

47 . estimates store fix11

48 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ > (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1860 between = 0.1202 overall = 0.1468	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	12 12.0 12
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	831.17 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0285608	.0088611	3.22	0.001	.0111935	.0459282
Turnover	9.398089	5.623002	1.67	0.095	-1.622792	20.41897
TurnoverXHig~PR	050124	9.123547	-0.01	0.996	-17.93195	17.8317
LTFCF_1	-3.974712	.1709196	-23.25	0.000	-4.309708	-3.639715
LTLeverage	.0975366	.122911	0.79	0.427	1433645	.3384377
LTRevenue	2.063597	.114546	18.02	0.000	1.839091	2.288103
LTCash	5398813	.3137055	-1.72	0.085	-1.154733	.0749702
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.631945	.0995067	-46.55	0.000	-4.826975	-4.436915
sigma u	.89805041					
sigma e	.94593534					
rho	.47404933	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

49 . estimates store ran11

50 . hausman fix11 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
	fix11	ran11	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0200322	.0285608	0085286	.0026825
Turnover	10.05437	9.398089	.6562774	1.355718
TurnoverX~PR	6430611	050124	5929371	.7945222
LTFCF_1	-4.04646	-3.974712	0717478	.0182435
LTLeverage	.2885978	.0975366	.1910612	.0296825
LTRevenue	2.255925	2.063597	.1923284	.0705289
LTCash	2651677	5398813	.2747136	.0889065

 $\mbox{$b$ = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \\ \mbox{B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg} \\$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

51 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ
> (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,509 319
R-sq:	Obs per group:		
within = 0.1768	mi	n =	11
between = 0.1136	av	g =	11.0
overall = 0.1376	ma	x =	11
	Wald chi2(7)	=	40722.71
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1289	Prob > chi2	=	0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.008935	.0100614	0.89	0.375	010785	.0286549
Turnover	14.10942	6.443624	2.19	0.029	1.480152	26.73869
urnoverXHig~PR	-2.909402	10.46513	-0.28	0.781	-23.42067	17.60187
LTFCF 2	-3.410438	.1653706	-20.62	0.000	-3.734558	-3.086317
LTLeverage	.3775291	.1424302	2.65	0.008	.098371	.6566872
LTRevenue	2.266633	.152057	14.91	0.000	1.968607	2.564659
LTCash	-1.225846	.362256	-3.38	0.001	-1.935855	5158377
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.739582	.1084741	-43.69	0.000	-4.952188	-4.526977
sigma u	1.0812792					
sigma e	.99291749					
rho	.54252327	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	oui)	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) = 11.94 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

 ${\tt TQ_diff_1\ TQ_diff_2}$

52 . estimates store fix12

53 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ
> (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,509 319
R-sq: within = 0.1756 between = 0.1274 overall = 0.1464	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	11 11.0 11
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	716.07 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0207865	.0095883	2.17	0.030	.0019938	.0395791
Turnover	12.18726	6.235567	1.95	0.051	0342238	24.40875
TurnoverXHig~PR	-2.271235	10.41548	-0.22	0.827	-22.6852	18.14273
LTFCF_2	-3.351964	.1642198	-20.41	0.000	-3.673829	-3.030099
LTLeverage	.1591467	.1382346	1.15	0.250	1117882	.4300816
LTRevenue	2.103516	.1267527	16.60	0.000	1.855085	2.351947
LTCash	-1.41813	.3468875	-4.09	0.000	-2.098017	7382428
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.579093	.1095445	-41.80	0.000	-4.793796	-4.364389
sigma_u sigma_e rho	.95510086 .99291749 .48059444	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	ou i)	
rho	.48059444	(fraction	ot varia	nce due t	:o u_1)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_PR LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

54 . estimates store ran12

55 . hausman fix12 .

1		cients ——	<i>(</i> , -)	
	(b) fix12	(B) ran12	(b-B) Difference	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.</pre>
			DITTELENCE	J.L.
TQ	.008935	.0207865	0118515	.0030491
Turnover	14.10942	12.18726	1.922161	1.624192
TurnoverX~PR	-2.909402	-2.271235	6381665	1.018186
LTFCF_2	-3.410438	-3.351964	0584741	.019475
LTLeverage	.3775291	.1591467	.2183824	.0343156
LTRevenue	2.266633	2.103516	.1631166	.0839946
LTCash	-1.225846	-1.41813	.1922835	.1043955

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B) = 64.77 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

56 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ
> = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	- • -
R-sq: within = 0.1873 between = 0.1059 overall = 0.1368	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	= 12.0
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1573		= 50909.94 = 0.0000

Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	Z	Std. Err.	Coef.	LTITA_1
.0383778	.0020126	0.029	2.18	.009277	.0201952	ТО
.0448136	.0004842	0.045	2.00	.0113087	.0226489	Amihud
.2402987	2806887	0.879	-0.15	.1329074	020195	AmihudXHigh KZ
-3.700822	-4.374212	0.000	-23.50	.1717863	-4.037517	LTFCF 1
.504669	.0054903	0.045	2.00	.1273438	.2550797	LTLeverage
2.532452	2.004756	0.000	16.85	.1346189	2.268604	LTRevenue
.3325441	9445894	0.348	-0.94	.3258053	3060226	LTCash
				(omitted)	0	TQ
-4.591277	-4.961588	0.000	-50.56	.0944689	-4.776433	_cons
					1.0228143	sigma u
					.94581562	sigma e
	oui)	nce due t	of variar	(fraction	.53905306	rho

F te	est that all	u_i=0:	F(318,3502) =	12.66	Prob > F	= 0.0000
------	--------------	--------	---------------	-------	----------	----------

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

57 . estimates store fix13

58 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = 3,828 Number of groups = 319
R-sq:	Obs per group:
within = 0.1860	min = 12
between = 0.1183	avg = 12.0
overall = 0.1456	max = 12
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = 828.24 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0288757	.0088797	3.25	0.001	.0114719	.0462796
Amihud	.0154709	.0112349	1.38	0.169	0065491	.0374909
AmihudXHigh_KZ	0181685	.1328972	-0.14	0.891	2786422	.2423052
LTFCF_1	-3.963893	.1711545	-23.16	0.000	-4.29935	-3.628437
LTLeverage	.0668261	.1239376	0.54	0.590	1760871	.3097393
LTRevenue	2.061803	.1141716	18.06	0.000	1.838031	2.285575
LTCash	5841049	.3136261	-1.86	0.063	-1.198801	.030591
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.595564	.0972562	-47.25	0.000	-4.786183	-4.404945
sigma_u sigma_e rho	.87633535 .94581562 .46192445	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

59 . estimates store ran13

60 . hausman fix13 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))</pre>
	fix13	ran13	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0201952	.0288757	0086805	.0026859
Amihud	.0226489	.0154709	.007178	.0012902
AmihudXHig~Z	020195	0181685	0020266	.0016461
LTFCF_1	-4.037517	-3.963893	0736233	.0147197
LTLeverage	.2550797	.0668261	.1882535	.0292563
LTRevenue	2.268604	2.061803	.206801	.0713239
LTCash	3060226	5841049	.2780823	.0882483

 $\mbox{\bf b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \mbox{\bf B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg}$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

 $chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$ 66.63 Prob>chi2 = 0.0000 (V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

61 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Number of obs 3,509 Group variable: FirmID Number of groups = 319 R-sq: Obs per group: within = **0.1756** min = 11 between = **0.1150** avg = 11.0 overall = **0.1377** max = 11 Wald chi2(7) 40661.67 $corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1309$

Prob > chi2

0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0090227	.0100906	0.89	0.371	0107546	.0288
Amihud	.0076399	.0119841	0.64	0.524	0158485	.0311282
AmihudXHigh KZ	.0025856	.1411689	0.02	0.985	2741004	.2792717
LTFCF_2	-3.416508	.165705	-20.62	0.000	-3.741283	-3.091732
LTLeverage	.3627454	.1437986	2.52	0.012	.0809053	.6445856
LTRevenue	2.280291	.1523201	14.97	0.000	1.981749	2.578833
LTCash	-1.274196	.3621725	-3.52	0.000	-1.984041	5643513
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.70549	.1073171	-43.85	0.000	-4.915828	-4.495152
sigma_u sigma_e	1.0807957 .99360835					
rho	.54195596	(fraction	of varia	nce due 1	to u_i)	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) =11.85 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented:

Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ Instruments:

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

62 . estimates store fix14

63 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ (TQ > = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,509 319
R-sq: within = 0.1743 between = 0.1295 overall = 0.1471	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	11 11.0 11
corr(u i, X) = 0 (assumed)	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	710.38 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0210615	.0096134	2.19	0.028	.0022195	.0399035
Amihud	.0011526	.0118848	0.10	0.923	0221411	.0244464
AmihudXHigh KZ	.008787	.1408834	0.06	0.950	2673394	.2849133
LTFCF 2	-3.355449	.1647944	-20.36	0.000	-3.678441	-3.032458
LTLeverage	.1481433	.1396435	1.06	0.289	1255528	.4218395
LTRevenue	2.100255	.1264079	16.61	0.000	1.8525	2.34801
LTCash	-1.459378	.3468662	-4.21	0.000	-2.139224	7795331
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.54087	.1074825	-42.25	0.000	-4.751531	-4.330208
sigma u	.93405221					
sigma e	.99360835					
rho	.46913391	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	oui)	

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Amihud AmihudXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

64 . estimates store ran14

65 . hausman fix14 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
	fix14	ran14	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0090227	.0210615	0120388	.0030664
Amihud	.0076399	.0011526	.0064872	.0015397
AmihudXHig~Z	.0025856	.008787	0062013	.0089745
LTFCF_2	-3.416508	-3.355449	0610582	.0173478
LTLeverage	.3627454	.1481433	.2146021	.0343183
LTRevenue	2.280291	2.100255	.1800358	.0849851
LTCash	-1.274196	-1.459378	.185182	.1041765

 $\mbox{$b$ = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg} \\ \mbox{B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg} \\$

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

66 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ > (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	- ,
R-sq: within = 0.1872 between = 0.1086 overall = 0.1388	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	12.0
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1506	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	30300.00

Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	Z	Std. Err.	Coef.	LTITA_1
.0383097	.0020194	0.029	2.18	.0092579	.0201646	TQ
24.97973	.1838646	0.047	1.99	6.325591	12.5818	Turnover
9.03261	-24.28388	0.370	-0.90	8.499261	-7.625636	TurnoverXHigh~Z
-3.707357	-4.381117	0.000	-23.53	.1718808	-4.044237	LTFCF 1
.5290255	.032243	0.027	2.21	.1267326	.2806343	LTLeverage
2.518476	1.991267	0.000	16.77	.1344947	2.254872	LTRevenue
.3685882	909485	0.407	-0.83	.3260451	2704484	LTCash
				(omitted)	0	TQ
-4.61638	-4.992456	0.000	-50.08	.0959394	-4.804418	_cons
					1.0195978	sigma u
					.9458273	sigma e
	oui)	nce due t	of variar	(fraction	.53748133	rho

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3502) = 12.69 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

 ${\tt TQ_diff_1\ TQ_diff_2}$

67 . estimates store fix15

68 . xtivreg LTITA_1 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ > (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs = Number of groups =	3,828 319
R-sq: within = 0.1862 between = 0.1201 overall = 0.1469	Obs per group: min = avg = max =	12 12.0 12
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) = Prob > chi2 =	831.95 0.0000

LTITA_1	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0287204	.0088609	3.24	0.001	.0113534	.0460874
Turnover	11.98962	6.148178	1.95	0.051	0605922	24.03982
TurnoverXHigh~Z	-7.586504	8.394555	-0.90	0.366	-24.03953	8.866522
LTFCF_1	-3.972834	.1709285	-23.24	0.000	-4.307848	-3.637821
LTLeverage	.0897446	.1231037	0.73	0.466	1515342	.3310234
LTRevenue	2.062042	.1144642	18.01	0.000	1.837697	2.286388
LTCash	5474066	.3137283	-1.74	0.081	-1.162303	.0674896
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.629962	.0993444	-46.61	0.000	-4.824674	-4.435251
sigma u	.89505666					
sigma e	.9458273					
rho	.47244147 (fraction of variance due to u_i)					

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_1 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

69 . estimates store ran15

70 . hausman fix15 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b) fix15	(B) ran15	(b-B) Difference	<pre>sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.</pre>
TQ	.0201646	.0287204	0085558	.0026821
Turnover	12.5818	11.98962	.5921798	1.487618
TurnoverXH~Z	-7.625636	-7.586504	0391315	1.329992
LTFCF_1	-4.044237	-3.972834	0714027	.0180685
LTLeverage	.2806343	.0897446	.1908897	.0301103
LTRevenue	2.254872	2.062042	.1928295	.0706171
LTCash	2704484	5474066	.2769582	.0887689

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

71 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ
> (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), fe

Fixed-effects (within) IV regression Number of obs 3,509 Group variable: FirmID Number of groups = 319 R-sq: Obs per group: within = **0.1807** min = 11 between = **0.1136** avg = 11.0 overall = **0.1394** max = 11 Wald chi2(7) 40931.09 $corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1263$ Prob > chi2 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ТО	.0094439	.0100381	0.94	0.347	0102305	.0291183
Turnover	26.82646	7.116879	3.77	0.000	12.87763	40.77528
TurnoverXHigh~Z	-36.51152	9.369772	-3.90	0.000	-54.87594	-18.14711
LTFCF_2	-3.381732	.1651245	-20.48	0.000	-3.70537	-3.058094
LTLeverage	.3376117	.1424578	2.37	0.018	.0583996	.6168239
LTRevenue	2.261663	.1517027	14.91	0.000	1.964332	2.558995
LTCash	-1.247351	.361435	-3.45	0.001	-1.95575	5389511
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.733392	.108181	-43.75	0.000	-4.945423	-4.521361
sigma u	1.0808811					
sigma e	.99056959					
rho	.54351546	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)	

F test that all $u_i=0$: F(318,3183) = 12.02 Prob > F = 0.0000

Instrumented: TQ

Instruments: Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

72 . estimates store fix16

73 . xtivreg LTITA_2 Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ > (TQ = TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2), re

G2SLS random-effects IV regression Group variable: FirmID	Number of obs Number of groups		3,509 319
R-sq: within = 0.1795 between = 0.1269 overall = 0.1480	Obs per group: min avg max	=	11 11.0 11
<pre>corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)</pre>	Wald chi2(7) Prob > chi2	=	734.14 0.0000

LTITA_2	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
TQ	.0211986	.0095684	2.22	0.027	.0024449	.0399522
Turnover	24.65098	6.887898	3.58	0.000	11.15095	38.15102
TurnoverXHigh~Z	-35.82197	9.24233	-3.88	0.000	-53.9366	-17.70733
LTFCF_2	-3.327835	.1639708	-20.30	0.000	-3.649211	-3.006458
LTLeverage	.1270409	.1381745	0.92	0.358	1437762	.397858
LTRevenue	2.099862	.1265036	16.60	0.000	1.851919	2.347804
LTCash	-1.44194	.3462011	-4.17	0.000	-2.120481	7633979
TQ	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-4.575231	.1092943	-41.86	0.000	-4.789444	-4.361018
sigma_u	.95353036					
sigma_e	.99056959	/ C				
rho	.48095479	(fraction	ot varia	nce due t	:0 u_1)	

Instrumented: ΤQ

Instruments:

Turnover TurnoverXHigh_KZ LTFCF_2 LTLeverage LTRevenue LTCash TQ

TQ_diff_1 TQ_diff_2

74 . estimates store ran16

75 . hausman fix16 .

	Coeffi	cients ——		
	(b)	(B)	(b-B)	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
	fix16	ran16	Difference	S.E.
TQ	.0094439	.0211986	0117547	.0030349
Turnover	26.82646	24.65098	2.175471	1.790761
TurnoverXH~Z	-36.51152	-35.82197	6895527	1.540113
LTFCF_2	-3.381732	-3.327835	0538976	.0194852
LTLeverage	.3376117	.1270409	.2105708	.0346703
LTRevenue	2.261663	2.099862	.1618016	.083729
LTCash	-1.247351	-1.44194	.194589	.1038268

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtivreg B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtivreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

 $chi2(7) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^{-1}](b-B)$ 70.86

Prob>chi2 = 0.0000 76 .