

# Functional Programming 2014/2015

## Assignment 3: Type classes

Ruud Koot

September 16, 2014

In this assignment we'll present a few type classes and ask you to implement some instances of them.

## 1 Containers

### 1.1 Functors

Recall the rose tree data structure from the previous assignment:

```
data Rose α = α ▷ [Rose α]
```

Similarly to how we might want to apply a function uniformly to all elements in a list, we might also want to apply a function uniformly to all the elements in a rose tree, or any other container-like data structure for that matter. For this purpose Haskell has a *Functor* type class, exposing a single function *fmap* that generalizes the *map* function:

```
class Functor f where  
  fmap :: (α → β) → f α → f β
```

We see that *fmap* generalizes *map* by giving a *Functor* instance for lists:

```
instance Functor [] where  
  fmap = map
```

Verify that *fmap* and *map* have the same type if we instantiate *f* to *[]*.

**Exercise 1.** Write a *Functor* instance for the *Rose* data type.

### 1.2 Monoids

A *monoid* is an algebraic structure over a type *m* with a single associative binary operation  $(\diamond) :: m \rightarrow m \rightarrow m$  and an identity element *empty*  $:: m$ .

```
class Monoid m where  
  empty :: m  
  (◇)    :: m → m → m
```

Lists are monoids:

```
instance Monoid [] where
  mempty = []
  (◊)      = (++)
```

Verify that  $(++)$  is an associative operation (i.e., that  $\forall xs\ ys\ zs. (xs ++ ys) ++ zs \equiv xs ++ (ys ++ zs)$ ) and that the empty list  $[]$  is indeed an identity element with respect to list concatenation  $(++)$  (i.e., that  $\forall ls. [] ++ ls \equiv ls$  and  $\forall ls. ls ++ [] \equiv ls$ ).

Numbers also form a monoid, both under addition with 0 as the identity element, and under multiplication with 1 as the identity element (verify this). However, we are only allowed to give one instance per combination of type and type class. To overcome this limitation we create some **newtype** wrappers:

```
newtype Sum    α = Sum    { unSum    :: α }
newtype Product α = Product { unProduct :: α }
```

Now we can give two instances:

```
instance Num α ⇒ Monoid (Sum α) where
  mempty      = Sum 0
  Sum n1 ◊ Sum n2 = Sum (n1 + n2)
```

**Exercise 2.** Complete the second instance by writing a *Monoid* instance for numbers under multiplication.

### 1.3 Foldable

If  $f$  is some container-like data structure storing elements of type  $m$  that form a monoid, then there is a way of folding all the elements in the data structure into a single element of the monoid  $m$ .

```
class Functor f ⇒ Foldable f where
  fold :: Monoid m ⇒ f m → m
```

In the case of lists:

```
instance Foldable [] where
  fold = foldr (◊) mempty
```

**Exercise 3.** Write a *Foldable* instance for *Rose*.

It might be the case that we have a container-like data structure storing elements of type  $\alpha$  that do not yet form a monoid, but where we do have a function of type  $\alpha \rightarrow m$  that makes them into one. In such situation it would be convenient to have a function  $foldMap :: Monoid m \Rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow m) \rightarrow f \alpha \rightarrow m$  that first injects all the elements of the container into a monoid and then folds them into a single monoidal value.

**Exercise 4.** Add a default implementation of  $foldMap$  to the *Foldable* type class, expressed in terms of  $fold$  and  $fmap$ .

**Exercise 5.** Write functions  $fsum, fproduct :: (Foldable f, Num \alpha) \Rightarrow f \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  that compute the sum, respectively product, of all numbers in a container-like data structure.

## 2 Poker

If we want to implement a poker game, we need to represent playing cards, hands and have way of ranking hands:

```
data Rank = R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 | R8 | R9 | R10 | J | Q | K | A
  deriving (Bounded, Enum, Eq, Ord)
data Suit = S | H | D | C
  deriving (Bounded, Enum, Eq, Ord, Show)
data Card = Card { rank :: Rank, suit :: Suit }
type Deck = [Card]
```

### 2.1 Show

**Exercise 6.** Define a *Show* instance for *Rank* that shows the ranks as "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9", "J", "Q", "K", "A" respectively.

**Exercise 7.** Give a *Show* instance for *Card* showing *Card* { rank = R2, suit = H } as "2H".

**Exercise 8.** Define constants *fullDeck*, *piquetDeck* :: *Deck* that give a full 52-card deck and a 32-card Piquet deck (with cards of ranks from 7 up to and including the Ace).

### 2.2 Ord

A poker hand can be represented as:

```
newtype Hand = Hand { unHand :: [Card] }
```

and the various hand categories as:

```
data HandCategory
  = HighCard      [Rank]
  | OnePair       Rank [Rank]
  | TwoPair       Rank Rank Rank
  | ThreeOfAKind  Rank Rank Rank
  | Straight      Rank
  | Flush         [Rank]
  | FullHouse     Rank Rank
  | FourOfAKind   Rank Rank
  | StraightFlush Rank
  deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

If you are not familiar with the ranking of poker hands then [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List\\_of\\_poker\\_hands&oldid=574969062](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_poker_hands&oldid=574969062) would be a good place to refer to.

The fields stored together with each category are used to distinguish between hands of the same category. For example, for a *HighCard* the field of type *[Rank]* contains all five cards in the hand, sorted from high to low. In the

case of a *TwoPair* the first *Rank* is that of the high pair, the second *Rank* that of the low pair, and the third *Rank* that of the kicker (the card that isn't part of any of the two pairs).

Convince yourself that the derived *Ord* instance correctly ranks poker hands represented as a *HandCategory*.

We are now going to write a function that converts hands of type *Hand* into hands of type *HandCategory*. First we'll need a few helper functions:

**Exercise 9.** Write a function `sameSuits :: Hand → Bool` that returns *True* if all cards in a *Hand* are of the same suit.

**Exercise 10.** Write a function `isStraight :: [Rank] → Maybe Rank` that return a *Just* with the highest ranked card, if the *Hand* is a straight (or a straight flush). Note that the Ace can count both as the highest and as the lowest ranked card in a straight!

**Exercise 11.** Write a function `ranks :: Hand → [Rank]` that converts a *Hand* into a list of *Ranks*, ordered from high to low.

**Exercise 12.** Write a function `order :: Hand → [(Int, Rank)]` that converts a *Hand* into a list of *Ranks* paired with their multiplicity, order from high to low using a lexicographical ordering. For example, the hand `["7H", "7D", "QS", "7S", "QH"]` should be ordered as `[(3, R7), (2, Q)]`.

**Exercise 13.** Finally, write a function `handCategory :: Hand → HandCategory` that converts a *Hand* into a *HandCategory*.

**Exercise 14.** Using `handCategory`, write an *Ord* instance for *Hand*.

## 2.3 Combinatorics

**Exercise 15.** Write a function `combs :: Int → [α] → [[α]]` that returns all the combinations that can be formed by taking *n* elements from a list.

**Exercise 16.** Write a function `allHands :: Deck → [Hand]` that returns all combinations of 5-card hands that can be taken from a given deck of cards

## 2.4 Data.Set

The *Ord* class on *Hand* induces an equivalence relation on poker hands. This can be useful when using functions or data structures that require an *Ord* instance on the data they are working with.

One example of such a data structure is *Data.Set*: a data structure that can only store unique elements. Uniqueness is determined by the equivalence relation induced by an *Ord* instance.

**Exercise 17.** Write a function `distinctHands :: Deck → Set Hand` that constructs a maximal set of distinct hands from deck. (Hints: You will need the `empty` and `insert` functions from *Data.Set*. Use `foldl` instead of `foldr` to avoid a stack overflow when applying this function to large decks.)

### 3 Questions

**Question 1.** Do numbers<sup>1</sup> form a monoid under subtraction? If so, give the identity element. Do numbers form a monoid under division?

Does *Bool* form a monoid under conjunction ( $\wedge$ )? Does *Bool* form a monoid under the biconditional ( $\equiv$ )?

**Question 2.** Sheldon wants to implement Rock-paper-scissors-lizard-Spock in Haskell. He defined a data type:

```
data Gesture = Rock | Paper | Scissors | Lizard | Spock
```

and now wants to define an *Ord* instance for this data type that specifies which of two gestures beats the other.

Explain why this is not a good idea. The answer can be found by carefully reading the documentation of *Data.Ord* or imagining what happens if you sort a list of gestures using such an ordering.

---

<sup>1</sup>If you're now asking yourself: "But what kind of numbers do you mean exactly, Sir?" then please consider both various Haskell types having a *Num* instance (*Integer*, *Rational*, *Float*, ...) as well as various mathematical classes of numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , ...).