



closure of (ABDE)+ is {A,B,E,D} Therefore it is not a candidate key; closure of (ABEF)+ is {A,B,E,F,C,D} Therefore it is candidate key;

THEREFORE CANDIDATE KEY IS (ABEF)+;

(ABEF)+ ITSELF IS A SUPERKEY;

Add two attribute C,D to (ABEF)+

(ABCEF)+ is a super key;

(ABDEF)+ is a super key;

(ABCDEF)+ is a super key;

(ABEF)+, (ABCEF)+, (ABDEF)+, (ABCDEF)+ are superkey's

## Question3:

Minimal cover of F

Every dependency in F must have a single attribute on the right hand side.

$$Z \rightarrow UT \Rightarrow Z \rightarrow U, Z \rightarrow T$$

Remove all extraneous attributes such as  $P \rightarrow Y$  and  $PQ \rightarrow Y$  then Q is extraneous attribute So,  $X \rightarrow Z$  and  $XY \rightarrow Z$  then Y is extraneous attribute so remove it  $\Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$ 

So, In given Functional dependencies: -

1. Convert Right hand side attribute into single

 $Z \rightarrow U$ ,  $Z \rightarrow T$ ,  $ZW \rightarrow X$ ,  $ZW \rightarrow Y$ 

2. Remove extraneous attribute:  $X\rightarrow Z$ ,  $XY\rightarrow Z$ 

$$Z \rightarrow T$$
,  $ZU \rightarrow T \Rightarrow Z \rightarrow T$ 

3. Remove redundant Functional dependencies :

$$X \rightarrow Z, Z \rightarrow T$$

So, minimal cover of given F is :-

 $X \rightarrow Z$ ,  $Z \rightarrow U$ ,  $Z \rightarrow T$ ,  $ZW \rightarrow X$ ,  $ZW \rightarrow Y$ ,  $WT \rightarrow Z$ 

Question4:

Equivalent conditions are FD1 covers FD2 and FD2 covers FD1.

Equivalent with FD2: Check if FD2 covers FD1:

Part of relations in FD1: BC $\rightarrow$ D, AB $\rightarrow$ C, C $\rightarrow$ A, D $\rightarrow$ E, BE $\rightarrow$ C, D $\rightarrow$ G, are already in FD2, so we do not need to find them in FD2.

The rest of relations in FD1: ACD $\rightarrow$ ACDBEG so, ACD $\rightarrow$ B.

 $CG \rightarrow CGADBEG$  so, $CG \rightarrow B$ ,  $CG \rightarrow D$ .

 $CE \rightarrow CEA$  so,  $CE \rightarrow A$ , but  $CE \rightarrow G$  in FD1 is not covered by FD2.

Finally, FD1 and FD2 are not equivalent.

Equivalent conditions are FD1 covers FD3 and FD3 covers FD1. Equivalent with FD3: Check if FD3 covers FD1:

Part of relations in FD1: BC $\rightarrow$ D, CG $\rightarrow$ D, AB $\rightarrow$ C, C $\rightarrow$ A, D $\rightarrow$ E, BE $\rightarrow$ C, D $\rightarrow$ G, CE $\rightarrow$ G, are already in FD3, so we do not need to find them in FD3.

The rest of relations in FD1: ACD $\rightarrow$ ACDGEB so,ACD $\rightarrow$ B. CG $\rightarrow$ CGDEAB so,CG $\rightarrow$ B.

 $CE \rightarrow CEGADB$  so,  $CE \rightarrow A$ .

As a result, FD3 covers FD1.

Check if FD1 covers FD3:

Similarly, AB $\rightarrow$ C, C $\rightarrow$ A, D $\rightarrow$ G, BE $\rightarrow$ C, CG $\rightarrow$ D, CE $\rightarrow$ G,BC $\rightarrow$ D, D $\rightarrow$ E are not in FD1. CD $\rightarrow$ CDAEGB so,CD $\rightarrow$ B.

As a result, FD1 covers FD3.

Finally, FD1 and FD3 are equivalent.