Computer Animation Project Assignment 3

♦ Topic

Inverse Kinematics

♦ Implementation (see commands in code)

InverseJacobianIkSolver::Solve()

```
math::Vector6dColl_t InverseJacobianIkSolver::Solve(
        const math::Vector3d_t &target_pos,
       const int32_t start_bone_idx,
       const int32_t end_bone_idx,
       const math::Vector6dColl_t &original_whole_body_joint_pos6d
    std::vector<int> path;
    int dofnum = 0;
    for (int current = end_bone_idx; current != start_bone_idx; current = skeleton_->bone_ptr(current)->parent->idx) {
       path.insert(path.begin(), current);
       dofnum += skeleton_->bone_ptr(current)->dof;
    path.insert(path.begin(), start_bone_idx);
   dofnum += skeleton_->bone_ptr(start_bone_idx)->dof;
   math::Vector6dColl_t amc = original_whole_body_joint_pos6d;
    PoseColl_t pose = fk_solver_->ComputeSkeletonPose(amc);
    math::Vector3d_t end_effect = pose[end_bone_idx].end_pos();
    for (int it = 0; (end_effect - target_pos).norm() >= distance_epsilon_ && it < max_iteration_num_; ++it) {
       pose = fk_solver_->ComputeSkeletonPose(amc);
       end_effect = pose[end_bone_idx].end_pos();
```

```
// there are dofnum dofs in the path
// Jacobian = [J1 J2 ... Jdofnum]
// Ji = ai x (end_effect-pi)
// ai: the unit vector of the rotation axis of dof i
// pi: the start position of bone with dof i
math::MatrixN_t Jacobian(3, dofnum);
int dof = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < path.size(); ++i) {
    int current = path[i];
    const acclaim::Bone *cb = skeleton_->bone_ptr(current);

math::Vector3d_t delta_pos = end_effect - pose[current].start_pos();
    if (cb->dofx) {
        Jacobian.col(dof) = pose[current].rotation().col(0).normalized().cross(delta_pos);
        dof++;
    }
    if (cb->dofy) {
        Jacobian.col(dof) = pose[current].rotation().col(1).normalized().cross(delta_pos);
        dof++;
    }
    if (cb->dofz) {
        Jacobian.col(dof) = pose[current].rotation().col(2).normalized().cross(delta_pos);
        dof++;
    }
}
```

```
math::VectorNd_t d_endeffect = target_pos - end_effect;
   math::VectorNd_t d_theta = linear_system_solver_->Solve(Jacobian, d_endeffect);
   dof = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < path.size(); ++i) {</pre>
        int current = path[i];
        const acclaim::Bone *cb = skeleton_->bone_ptr(current);
        if (cb->dofx) {
            amc[current][0] += step_*math::ToDegree(d_theta[dof]);
            dof++;
        if (cb->dofy) {
            amc[current][1] += step_*math::ToDegree(d_theta[dof]);
            dof++;
        if (cb->dofz) {
            amc[current][2] += step_*math::ToDegree(d_theta[dof]);
            dof++;
        }
return amc:
```

PseudoinverseSolver::Solve()

DampedLeastSquaresSolver::Solve()

```
math::VectorNd_t DampedLeastSquaresSolver::Solve(
    const math::MatrixN_t &coef_mat,
    const math::VectorNd_t &desired_vector
) const
{
    // find argmin_dt(|Jdt-de|^2+k^2*|dt|^2)
    // k: a non-zero real damping constant
    // dt = J* (JJ*+k^2I)^-1 de
    math::MatrixN_t I(coef_mat.rows(), coef_mat.rows());
    I.setIdentity();
    return coef_mat.transpose()*(coef_mat*coef_mat.transpose() + pow(damping_constant_, 2)*I).inverse()*desired_vector;
}
```

♦ Result and Discussion

• effect of step

step 越大,每次 iteration 調整的角度越大,使 end effect 越快接近 target position,完成 IK 所需的 iteration 次數越少;step 越小,每次 iteration 調整的角度越小,使 end effect 越慢接近 target position,完成 IK 所需的 iteration 次數越多。

但是過大或過小的 step 可能會造成在 iteration 最大次數的限制下,無法求得接近 target position 的 end effect。

以下是在使用 pseudoinverse solver 完成第一圈動作,每一個 frame 進行 IK 所需的 iteration 次數:

step	1000	100	1	0.01	0.001
iteration	failed	<15	<150	(max) 2000	failed

• effect of distance epsilon

distance epsilon 越小,則 iterative IK 停止時的 end effect 越接近 target position,輸出之動作位置更精確,然而所需的 iteration 次數越多。

過大的 distance epsilon 會無法使 end effect 接近 target position。

• comparison between pseudoinverse solver and damped least squares solver

	pseudoinverse solver	damped least squares solver
accuracy	<	<
convergence speed		>

使用 damped least squares solver 可以避免 (near) singular Jacobian matrix 無法求得反矩陣的問題。

• why damped least squares method can avoid singularities

$$d\theta = J^{T}(JJ^{T} + \lambda^{2}I)^{-1}de$$

因為不確定 J 是否是 row/column linearly independent,所以 JJ^T 仍可能會有 (near) singularity 無法 求得反矩陣的問題。在後面加上 $\lambda^2 I$ 形同對原本矩陣加上雜訊,以確保 $JJ^T + \lambda^2 I$ 是 row/column linearly independent 可以求得反矩陣。

• effects of damping constant to the damped least squares method

 λ^2 I 在此扮演的角色是雜訊,因此 λ 不宜過大以避免 $JJ^T + \lambda^2$ I 跟 JJ^T 有太大的差異而求得誤差過大的 $d\theta$,可能輸出不正確的動作位置;而過小的 λ 則會失去雜訊功能,無法避免 (near) singularity。

♦ Vedio

left: PseudoinverseSolver

right: DampedLeastSquaresSolver with damping constant 0.01

step = 1

distance epsilon = 0.001