# Backpropagation notes by deeplizard

## **Definitions and Notation**

We define

L = number of layers in the network

Layers are indexed as  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L - 1, L$ 

Nodes in a given layer l are indexed as  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ 

Nodes in layer l-1 are indexed as  $k=0,1,\dots,n-1$ 

 $y_i$  = the desired value of node j in the output layer L for a single training sample

 $C_0$  = loss function of the network for a single training sample (sum of squared errors)

 $w_{ik}^{(l)}$  = the weight of the connection that connects node k in layer l-1 to node j in layer l

 $w_j^{(l)}$  = the vector that contains all weights connected to node j in layer l by each node in layer

 $z_i^{(l)}$  = the input for node j in layer l

 $g^{(l)}$  = the activation function used for layer l

 $a_i^{(l)}$  = the activation output of node j in layer l

## **Observations**

### Loss $C_0$

Observe that the expression

$$\left(a_j^{(L)}-y_j\right)^2$$

is the squared difference of the activation output and the desired output for node j in the output layer L.

This can be interpreted as the loss for node *j* in layer *L*.

Therefore, to calculate the total loss, we should sum this squared difference for each node j in the output layer L.

This is expressed as

$$C_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_j^{(L)} - y_j)^2.$$

# Input $z_i^{(l)}$

We know that the input for node j in layer l is the weighted sum of the activation outputs from the previous layer l - l.

An individual term from the sum looks like this:

$$w_{ik}^{(l)}a_k^{(l-1)}$$

So, the input for a given node *j* in layer *l* is expressed as

$$z_j^{(l)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} w_{jk}^{(l)} a_k^{(l-l)}.$$

# Activation Output $a_j^{(l)}$

We know that the activation output of a given node j in layer l is the result of passing the input,  $z_i^{(l)}$ , to whatever activation function we choose to use  $g^{(l)}$ .

Therefore, the activation output of node j in layer l is expressed as

$$a_i^{(l)} = g^{(l)} \left( z_i^{(l)} \right).$$

### Expressing $C_0$ as a composition of functions

Recall the definition of  $C_{\theta}$ ,

$$C_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_j^{(L)} - y_j)^2.$$

So the loss of a single node j in the output layer L can be expressed as

$$C_{\theta_i} = \left(a_i^{(L)} - y_i\right)^2.$$

We see that  $C_{\theta_j}$  is a function of the activation output of node j in layer L.

So, we can express  $C_{\theta_j}$  as a function of  $a_j^{(L)}$  as

$$C_{\theta_j}(a_j^{(L)}).$$

Observe from the definition of  $C_{\theta_j}$  that  $C_{\theta_j}$  also depends on  $y_j$ . Since  $y_j$  is a constant, we only observe  $C_{\theta_j}$  as a function of  $a_j^{(L)}$ , and  $y_j$  as a parameter that helps define this function.

The activation output of node j in the output layer L is a function of the input for node j.

From an earlier observation, we know we can express this as

$$a_j^{(L)} = g^{(L)} \left( z_j^{(L)} \right).$$

The input for node *j* is a function of all the weights connected to node *j*.

So, we can express  $z_j^{(L)}$  as a function of  $w_j^{(L)}$  as

$$z_j^{(L)}\left(w_j^{(L)}\right)$$
.

Therefore,

$$C_{\theta_j} = C_{\theta_j} \left( a_j^{(L)} \left( z_j^{(L)} \left( w_j^{(L)} \right) \right) \right).$$

So, we can see that  $C_0$  is a composition of functions.

We know that

$$C_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{0_j},$$

so using the same logic, we observe that the total loss of the network for a single input is also a composition of functions.

This is useful in order to understand how to differentiate  $C_0$ .

To differentiate a composition of functions, we use the chain rule.

## **Calculations**

### Derivative of the loss with respect to weights

Let's look at a single weight that connects node 2 in layer L-I to node I in layer L.

This weight is denoted as

$$w_{12}^{(L)}$$
.

The derivative of the loss  $C_0$  with respect to this particular weight  $w_{12}^{(L)}$  is denoted as

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}}$$

Since  $C_0$  depends on  $a_1^{(L)}$ , and  $a_1^{(L)}$  depends on  $z_1^{(L)}$ , and  $z_1^{(L)}$  depends on  $w_{12}^{(L)}$ , then the chain rule tells us that to differentiate  $C_0$  with respect to  $w_{12}^{(L)}$  we take the product of the derivatives of the composed function.

This is expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_I^{(L)}}{\partial z_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_I^{(L)}}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}}\right).$$

Let's break down each term from the expression on the right hand side of the above equation.

The first term:  $\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_1^{(L)}}$ 

We know that

$$C_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-l} (a_j^{(L)} - y_j)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_I^{(L)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_I^{(L)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( a_j^{(L)} - y_j \right)^2 \right).$$

Expanding the sum, we see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( a_{j}^{(L)} - y_{j} \right)^{2} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \left( a_{0}^{(L)} - y_{0} \right)^{2} + \left( a_{I}^{(L)} - y_{I} \right)^{2} + \left( a_{2}^{(L)} - y_{2} \right)^{2} + \left( a_{3}^{(L)} - y_{3} \right)^{2} \right) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \left( a_{0}^{(L)} - y_{0} \right)^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \left( a_{I}^{(L)} - y_{I} \right)^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \left( a_{2}^{(L)} - y_{2} \right)^{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{I}^{(L)}} \left( \left( a_{2}^{(L)} - y_{2} \right)^{2} \right) \\
= 2 \left( a_{I}^{(L)} - y_{I} \right).$$

So the loss from the network for a single input sample will respond to a small change in the activation output from node I in layer L by an amount equal to two times the difference of the activation output  $a_I$  for node I and the desired output  $y_I$  for node I.

The second term:  $\frac{\partial a_1^{(L)}}{\partial z_1^{(L)}}$ 

We know that for each node j in the output layer L, we have

$$a_j^{(L)} = g^{(L)} \left( z_j^{(L)} \right),$$

and since j = I, we have

$$a_{I}^{(L)} = g^{(L)}(z_{I}^{(L)}).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial a_I^{(L)}}{\partial z_I^{(L)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I^{(L)}} \left( g^{(L)} \left( z_I^{(L)} \right) \right)$$
$$= g^{'(L)} \left( z_I^{(L)} \right).$$

So this is just the direct derivative of  $a_I^{(L)}$  since  $a_I^{(L)}$  is a direct function of  $z_I^{(L)}$ .

The third term:  $\frac{\partial z_1^{(L)}}{\partial w_{12}^{(L)}}$ 

We know that, for each node j in the output layer L, we have

$$z_j^{(L)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{jk}^{(L)} a_k^{(L-1)}.$$

Since j = 1, we have

$$z_{l}^{(L)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} w_{lk}^{(L)} a_{k}^{(L-l)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial z_I^{(L)}}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} w_{Ik}^{(L)} a_k^{(L-I)} \right).$$

Expanding the sum, we see

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} w_{Ik}^{(L)} a_k^{(L-I)} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} \left( w_{I0}^{(L)} a_0^{(L-I)} + w_{II}^{(L)} a_1^{(L-I)} + w_{I2}^{(L)} a_2^{(L-I)} + \dots + w_{I5}^{(L)} a_5^{(L-I)} \right) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} w_{I0}^{(L)} a_0^{(L-I)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} w_{I1}^{(L)} a_1^{(L-I)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} w_{I2}^{(L)} a_2^{(L-I)} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} w_{I5}^{(L)} a_5^{(L-I)} \\
= a_2^{(L-I)}$$

So the input for node I in layer L will respond to a change in the weight  $w_{I2}^{(L)}$  by an amount equal to the activation output for node 2 in the previous layer, L - I.

#### Combining terms

Combining all terms, we have

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_I^{(L)}}{\partial z_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_I^{(L)}}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}}\right) \\
= 2\left(a_I^{(L)} - y_I\right) \left(g^{\prime(L)}\left(z_I^{(L)}\right)\right) \left(a_2^{(L-I)}\right)$$

#### Conclude

So now, we've seen how to calculate the derivative of the loss with respect to one individual weight for one individual training sample.

To calculate the derivative of the loss with respect to this same particular weight,  $w_{12}$ , for all n training samples, we calculate the average derivative of the loss function over all n training samples.

This can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}}.$$

We would then do this same process for each weight in the network to calculate the derivative of *C* with respect to each weight.

### Derivative of the loss with respect to activation outputs

#### Motivation

We left off seeing how we can calculate the gradient of the loss function with respect to any weight in the network.

Recall, the weight we chose to work with to explain this idea was  $w_{I2}^{(L)}$ , and we saw that

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_I^{(L)}}{\partial z_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_I^{(L)}}{\partial w_{I2}^{(L)}}\right).$$

Suppose we choose to work with a weight that is not in the output layer, like  $w_{22}^{(L-I)}$ .

Then the gradient of the loss with respect to this weight would be

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{22}^{(L-l)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_2^{(L-l)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_2^{(L-l)}}{\partial z_2^{(L-l)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_2^{(L-l)}}{\partial w_{22}^{(L-l)}}\right).$$

The second and third terms on the right hand side would be calculated in the exact same way as we saw for  $w_{12}^{(L)}$ . The first term on the right hand side,  $\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_2^{(L-I)}}$ , will not be calculated in the same way as before.

We need to understand how to calculate this term in order to calculate the gradient of the loss function with respect to any weight that is *not* in the output layer.

The calculation of this term will be our focus.

#### Set up

We're going to show how we can calculate the derivative of the loss function with respect to the activation output for any node that is not in the output layer.

Let's look at a single activation output for node 2 in layer L-1.

This is denoted as

$$a_2^{(L-1)}$$
.

The derivative of the loss,  $C_{\theta}$ , with respect to this particular activation output  $a_{2}^{(L-I)}$  is denoted as

$$\frac{\partial C_{\theta}}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}}.$$

Observe that for each node j in L, the loss  $C_0$  depends on on  $a_j^{(L)}$ , and  $a_j^{(L)}$  depends on  $z_j^{(L)}$ .  $z_j^{(L)}$  depends on all of the weights connected to node j from the previous layer, L-I, as well as all the activation outputs from L-I. So,  $z_j^{(L)}$  depends on  $a_2^{(L-I)}$ .

The chain rule tells us that to differentiate  $C_0$  with respect to  $a_2^{(L-I)}$ , we take the product of the derivatives of the composed function. This derivative can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_2^{(L-I)}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-I} \left( \left( \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_j^{(L)}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial a_j^{(L)}}{\partial z_j^{(L)}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial z_j^{(L)}}{\partial a_2^{(L-I)}} \right) \right).$$

This equation looks almost identical to the equation we obtained for the derivative of the loss with respect to a given weight.

Recall that this previous derivative with respect to a given weight was expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{I,2}^{(L)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_I^{(L)}}{\partial z_I^{(L)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_I^{(L)}}{\partial w_{I,2}^{(L)}}\right).$$

The two differences between the derivative of the loss with respect to an activation output, and the derivative of the loss with respect to a weight are:

- 1. The summation operation.
- 2. The last term on the right hand side.

The reason for the summation here is due to the fact that a change in one activation output in the previous layer is going to affect each node j in the following layer L, so we need to sum up these effects.

We can see that the first and second terms on the right hand side of the equation are the same as the first and second terms in the last equation with regards to the  $w_{I2}^{(L)}$  when j = I. Since we've

already gone through the work to find how to calculate these two derivatives, we won't do it again here.

We'll only focus on breaking down the third term.

The third term:  $\frac{\partial z_{j}^{(L)}}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-1)}}$ 

We know for each node *j* in layer *L* that

$$z_j^{(L)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{jk}^{(L)} a_k^{(L-1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial z_{j}^{(L)}}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-I} w_{jk}^{(L)} a_{k}^{(L-I)}.$$

Expanding the sum, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-I} w_{jk}^{(L)} a_{k}^{(L-I)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} \left( w_{j0}^{(L)} a_{0}^{(L-I)} + w_{j1}^{(L)} a_{1}^{(L-I)} + w_{j2}^{(L)} a_{2}^{(L-I)} \cdots + w_{j5}^{(L)} a_{5}^{(L-I)} \right) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} w_{j0}^{(L)} a_{0}^{(L-I)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} w_{j1}^{(L)} a_{1}^{(L-I)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} w_{j2}^{(L)} a_{2}^{(L-I)} \cdots + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} w_{j5}^{(L)} a_{5}^{(L-I)} \\
= w_{j2}^{(L)}.$$

So the input for any node j in layer L will respond to a change in  $a_2^{(L-1)}$  by an amount equal to the weight connecting node 2 in layer L-1 to node j in layer L.

#### Combining terms

Combining all terms, we have

$$\frac{\partial C_{\theta}}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-I} \left( \left( \frac{\partial C_{\theta}}{\partial a_{j}^{(L)}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial a_{j}^{(L)}}{\partial z_{j}^{(L)}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial z_{j}^{(L)}}{\partial a_{2}^{(L-I)}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-I} \left( 2 \left( a_{j}^{(L)} - y_{j} \right) \left( g'^{(L)} \left( z_{j}^{(L)} \right) \right) \left( w_{j2}^{(L)} \right) \right).$$

Now we can use this result to calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to any weight connected

to node 2 in layer L-I, like we saw for  $w_{22}^{(L-I)}$ , for example, with the following equation.

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial w_{22}^{(L-l)}} = \left(\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial a_2^{(L-l)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial a_2^{(L-l)}}{\partial z_2^{(L-l)}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial z_2^{(L-l)}}{\partial w_{22}^{(L-l)}}\right)$$

Note, to find the derivative of the loss function with respect to this same particular activation output,  $a_2^{(L-I)}$ , for all n training samples, we calculate the average derivative of the loss function over all n training samples. This can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial a_2^{(L-I)}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-I} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial a_2^{(L-I)}}.$$