

# Statistics-III

TRISHAN MONDAL

## Assignment-8

### Problem 1

```
corn = read.table("corn.txt")
# Getting the correlation matrix
A = matrix(data=NA ,nrow = 10, ncol=10)
for(i in 1:10){
  for(j in 1:10){
    A[i,j] = cor(corn[,i],corn[,j])
  }
}
```

Now we need to check which variable has the maximum correlation with  $Y$ .

```
x = c()
for(i in 1:9){
  x[i] = A[10,i]
}
#Finding the maximum
which.max(abs(x))

## [1] 1

max(abs(x))

## [1] 0.7517696
```

We got  $X_1$  has a maximum correlation with  $Y$ .

```
lm.corn1 = lm(corn[,10]~corn[,1])
summary(lm.corn1)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = corn[, 10] ~ corn[, 1])
```

```
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -20.501  -5.445   0.861   6.727  14.040
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    32.494      3.156  10.295 1.59e-11 ***
## corn[, 1]       1.028      0.162   6.347 4.58e-07 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.86 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.5652, Adjusted R-squared:  0.5511
## F-statistic: 40.29 on 1 and 31 DF,  p-value: 4.583e-07
```

In this case,  $R^2 = 56.5\%$ . Now we will look at the partial correlation coefficient  $r_{iy.1}$ .

```
#The following vector will give us the values of  $r_{iy.1}$  for different i
y = c()
partial.cor = function(x,y,z){
  return((x-y*z)/(sqrt((1-y^2)*(1-z^2))))
}

for(i in 2:9){
  y[i] = partial.cor(A[i,10],A[i,1],A[1,10])
}
y

## [1]      NA  0.24183786 -0.10890392 -0.13792990  0.07878379  0.46162737
## [7] -0.30711144  0.24886731 -0.42650034

which.max(abs(y))

## [1] 6

summary(lm(corn[,10]~corn[,6]+corn[,1]))

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = corn[, 10] ~ corn[, 6] + corn[, 1])
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -17.789  -6.174   2.843   6.197  10.169
```

```
##
## Coefficients:
##             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  24.4560      4.0065   6.104 1.04e-06 ***
## corn[, 6]    3.1446      1.1032   2.850 0.00782 **
## corn[, 1]    0.8453      0.1595   5.299 1.00e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 7.99 on 30 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.6578, Adjusted R-squared:  0.635
## F-statistic: 28.84 on 2 and 30 DF, p-value: 1.032e-07
```

In this case, We will choose  $X_6$  and  $R^2$  value is 65.78%.  $X_6$  will also have an important contribution. Now, we need to compute  $r_{iy.16}$ . The values are computed as following,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{2y.16} &= 0.300038611141932 \\ r_{3y.16} &= 0.179398775787862 \\ r_{4y.16} &= 0.0128078684982457 \\ r_{5y.16} &= 0.0759728637870637 \\ r_{7y.16} &= 0.158816380491146 \\ r_{8y.16} &= 0.284062595649432 \\ r_{9y.16} &= 0.287927197687353 \end{aligned}$$

We will take  $X_2$ . The  $R^2$  value is 68.8%. So as of now  $Y \sim X_1 + X_6 + X_2$ . Now will look at  $r_{iy.162}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} r_{3y.162} &= 0.152797944791066 \\ r_{4y.162} &= 0.12459244201669 \\ r_{5y.162} &= 0.251774564688212 \\ r_{7y.162} &= 0.0499070701252337 \\ r_{8y.162} &= 0.241092329779549 \\ r_{9y.162} &= 0.327243940162817 \end{aligned}$$

We can take  $X_9$ . In this case  $R^2$  value 72.19% which is  $> 70\%$ . So, we need  $Y \sim X_1 + X_6 + X_2 + X_9$  corresponding terms appear in regression.

## Problem 2

We will assign  $\mu_i$  to the Temperature and we will assign  $y_{ij}$  to the density of  $j$ -th brick at  $\mu_i$  temperature. We fit a linear model corresponding this by  $y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$  where  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ .

We will assume the null hypothesis as  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$ . Now,

$$RSS_{H_0} = \sum_i \sum_i (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2 = RSS = 0.2975$$

$$RSS = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 = 0.484375$$

For this case  $n = 16$  and  $k = 4$  So, we will use the formula  $\frac{(RSS_{H_0} - RSS)/(k-1)}{RSS/(\sum_i n_i - k)} \sim F_{k-1, n-k} = F_{3,12}$ . In this case  $F$ - value is, 2.5126.

```
qf(0.90,3,12)
## [1] 2.605525
```

we can accept the null hypothesis. So, firing temperature does not affect the density of bricks.