First properties of intersection homology

Trishan Mondal

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore

Abstract

In this talk, we will discuss the homological properties of intersection homology like pushforward maps, excision and Mayer-Vietoris. We will compute the intersection homology of cones. We then discuss Whitney stratifications for complex quasi-projective varieties and the associated pseudomanifold structure on their underlying topological space. We will conclude with a discussion of Poincaré duality, Lefschetz hyperplane and hard Lefschetz theorems in the context of intersection homology.

1. Functoriality of Intersection Homology

In the last talk we have seen the definition of Intersection Homology, including some examples. Now we want to see how different Intersection homology theory is from ordinary homology theory. In order to see this we will begin with 'functoriality'. Suppose we have a continuous map $f : X \to Y$. Does it naturlly induce a map $f_* : I^p S_*(X) \to I^q S_*(Y)$ in 'intersection chain complexes'? where p and q are different perversity (GM) for the spaces X and Y. For any $\sigma \in I^p S_i(X)$ we expect $f \circ \sigma \in I^q S_i(Y)$. We will see with an example, it is not the case. What can go wrong?

Filtration of X and Y could be arbitraty and perversity depends on filtration, so does $\bar{p}$-allowable chains. For **example**, consider the space $X = \{\text{pt}\}$ with natural stratification and Y is a stratified space. $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous map. We have $IS_i(X) = S_i(X)$ and $f(\sigma)$ will be allowable with respect to S if, $i \le i - \mathbf{Codim}(S) + \bar{q}(S)$. But for GM perversity it is not possible.

There is one more problem. We know ordinary homology theory is homotopy invariant but Intersection Homology is not a homotopy invariant. We will shortly see a result regarding Intersection homology of open cone of compact manifold. We know open cone over any sapce is contractible but the Intersection homology of open cone is not same as Intersection homology of point. One more constrcutive example.

Example – Let, $X = \mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^4$ and $Y = \mathbb{S}^4 \cup_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \mathbb{C}P^2$. Now if we look at the inclusion $\mathbb{C}P^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$, it is a cofibration. Thus if we contract $\mathbb{C}P^1$ in Y we will get, $\mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^4 = X$. So, X and Y is homotopy equaivalent. But from talk 3 we know,

$$I^p H_k(X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F} & \text{for } k = 0, 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Again normalizaion of the space Y is $\mathbb{S}^4 \sqcup \mathbb{C}P^2$. Both $\mathbb{S}^4$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2$ are manifold, so $IH_*(Y) \cong H_*(Y)$. For index 2 we will have the intersection homology group as $\mathbb{F}$, which doesn't match with intersection homology group of X.

To relove the issue we will define a special class of map between stratified space so that it will naturally induce map in intersection chain complexes. Thus we will come up with the following definitions.

DEFINITION. 1.1 (STRATUM-PRESERVING MAP) A continuous map between startified spaces, $f : X \to Y$ is called startum preserving, if for each stratum T of Y, the inverse image $f^{-1}(T)$ is union of strata of X. Equivalently image $f(S)$ is contained in a single starta of Y.

DEFINITION. 1.2 ($(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$-STRATIFIED MAP) A stratum preserving map $f : X \to Y$ is said to be $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$-stratum preserving if for any stratum $S \subset X$ contained in startum $T \subset Y$ satisfying ,

$$\bar{p}(S) - \mathbf{Codim}_X(S) \le \bar{q}(T) - \mathbf{Codim}_Y(T)$$

Example 1.1 – Let, $Y = X \times I$ be the space where X comes with it's stratifications and I is trivially filtered. The strata of Y have form $S \times I$ where S is a strata of X. The codimension of S in X is equal to codimension of $S \times I$ in Y. Let's take the perversity $q(S \times I) = p(S)$. Let, $f : X \to X \times I$ be the inclusion $x \mapsto (x, i_0)$. Then f is (p, q)-stratified.

Example 1.2 – (*Placid Maps*) A stratified map $f : X \to Y$ is called placid if for ecah stratum $T \subset Y$ we have $\mathbf{Codim}_Y(T) \le \mathbf{Codim}_X(f^{-1}(T))$. Now any placid map is a (p, p)-stratified map (using growth conditions of GM perversity).

Prop 1.1: If X and Y are filtered space and $f : X \to Y$ is (p, q)-stratified then f induces a map of intersection chain complexes (singular) $f_* : I^p S_*(X) \to I^q S_*(Y)$.

proof. If $\sigma : \Delta^i \to X$ is a p-allowable simplex then for composition $f \circ \sigma$ we must consider $(f\sigma)^{-1}(T) = \sigma^{-1}f^{-1}(T)$ for singular strata of Y. Now,

$$\sigma^{-1}f^{-1}(T) \subset \bigcup_{S:f(S) \subset T} \sigma^{-1}(S)$$

For each such S we have,

$$\sigma^{-1}(S) \subset \{i - \mathbf{Codim}(S) + p(S)\} - \text{skeleta of } \Delta^i$$
$$\subset \{i - \mathbf{Codim}(T) + q(T)\} - \text{skeleta of } \Delta^i$$

Thus $f \circ \sigma$ is q-allowable. Thus we get a map $f_* : I^p S_*(X) \to I^q S_*(Y)$. ∎

Now we note that, this map is natural i.e. the following diagram commutes ,

$$\begin{CD}
I^p S_i(X) @>f_*>> I^q S_i(Y) \\
@V\partial_i^X VV @VV\partial_i^Y V \\
I^p S_{i-1}(X) @>>f_*> I^q S_{i-1}(Y)
\end{CD}$$

So, cycle maps to cycle and boundary goes to boundary, in other words f induce a map is Intersection homology groups $f_* : I^p H_\bullet(X) \to I^q H_\bullet(Y)$. Just for technicality we must mark this result that, a placid map f, induce $f_* : I^p H_\bullet(X) \to I^p H_\bullet(Y)$. As a corollary to the previous proposition we can say,

  COROLLARY. *If f is a startified homeomorphism and the perversities of X corespond a perversity q on Y (i.e. $p(S) = q(T)$ if $f(S) = T$), then $I^p H_*(X) \simeq I^q H_*(Y)$.*

Now we will strenthen the conditions on the map so that we can obtain a version of homotopy invariance for intersection homology.

DEFINITION. 1.3 (CODIMENSION PRESERVING) A stratum preserving map is codimension preserving, if for each stratum T of Y we have

$$\mathbf{Codim}_Y T = \mathbf{Codim}_X f^{-1}(T)$$

As an **Example** we can note, the map $f : X \to X \times I$ by $x \mapsto (x, i_0)$ is codimension preserving. With this we are ready to define stratum-preserving homotopy equivalance.

> **DEFINITION. 1.4 (STRATIFIED HOMOTOPIC MAPS)** Let, X, Y are filtered spaces with perversities p, q and $X \times I$ has product filtration (as we discussed above) and by abuse of notation $p(S) = p(S \times I)$. Two codimension preserving map f and g from X to Y are homotopic if, there is a codimension preserving map
>
> $$H : X \times I \to Y$$
>
> with $f = H|_{X \times \{0\}}$ and $g = H|_{X \times \{1\}}$.

> A **stratum preserving homotopy equivalance** between pseudomanifold X and Y is a pair of codimension preserving map $f : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to X$ so that $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ are homotopic via stratified homotopies,
>
> $$H : X \times I \to X \text{ and } K : Y \times I \to Y$$

> **Theorem 1.1 (FRIEDMAN [FRI03])** If f is a stratum-preserving homotopy equivalence, then the natural map
>
> $$f_* : I^p H_i(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} I^p H_i(Y)$$

(**Kunneth**) As an application to the theorem we can say, the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X \times (0, 1)$ is a stratum preserving homotopy equivalence. Thus for a perversity p we must have,

$$I^p H_i(X) \simeq I^p H_i(X \times (0, 1))$$

REMARK: *All the definition above depends on a stratification of the space. We could re write the definitions conditionally. For example the definition of placid maps. We will prove that intersection homology is a topological invariant using sheaf theoretic intersection homology. This in particularlly means intersection homology is independent of startification.*

2. RELATIVE INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY AND MAYER-VIETORIS SEQUENCES

Recall. For a topological space X and $Y \subset X$, the relative chain complex $S_i(X, Y) = S_i(X)/S_i(Y)$ makes sense. Thus we can define relative homology groups easily. But for intersection homology there is a problem of defining the quotient $I^p S_i(X)/I^p S_i(Y)$.

> The problem in this case is that, p-allowable chains of Y depends on the filtration of Y which might not be related to the startification of X. For **Example**, X be a stratified space with a perversity p and $Y = \{x\}$ is a subspace of X. For Y, the filtration should be same as a 0-dim manifold. And $\{x\}$ is the only regular starta of Y. So for any perversity p, $p(\{x\}) = 0$. So, $I^p S_*(Y) = S_*(Y)$. If Y was in the singular starta of X, the intersection chains of X can't pass through $\{x\} = Y$. Then we will not have,
>
> $$I^p(Y) \subset I^p(X)$$

> This is the problem!

In order to resolve it we might take a subspace Y so that it adopts the filtration from filtration of space X (If the subspace adopts filtration from the space X, then it will automatically adopts perversity of X). For simplicity we will take Y to be the open set of X. Let, $\emptyset \subset X_0 \subset \cdots X_n = X$ be the filtration (stratification) of pseudomanifold X. Then Y admits the following filtration,

$$\emptyset \subseteq X_0 \cap Y \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_n \cap Y = Y$$

Then, $I^p S_i(Y)$ can be trated as sub-complex of $I^p S_i(X)$ and we can talk about relative intersection chain complex $I^p S_i(X, Y) := I^p S_i(X)/I^p S_i(Y)$. We will have the following short exact sequencefor each i,

$$0 \to I^p S_i(Y) \to I^p S_i(X) \to I^p S_i(X)/I^p S_i(Y) \to 0$$

[GMre] combining these short exact sequencewe must have the Long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to I^p H_i(Y) \to I^p H_i(X) \to I^p H_i(X, Y) \to I^p H_{i-1}(Y) \to I^p H_{i-1}(X) \to \cdots$$

The relative groups also have the following excisive property which can be proved easily by sheaf theoretic treatments (we will see later). Otherwise we can just adopt the proof of sigular homology theory.

Prop 2.1:(Goresky and MacPherson [GMre]) *Suppose U is an open subset of a topological pseudomanifold X and $A \subset U$ is a closed subset of U such that $X \setminus A$ is still and topological psudomanifold (and hence $U \setminus A$). Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$I^p H_i(X, U) \simeq I^p H_i(X \setminus A, U \setminus A)$$

Prop 2.2: (Mayer-Vietoris sequences) *If U and V are two open set so that X can be written as union of two open sets $X = U \cup V$. Let, A be an open set and $A \subseteq U \cap V$, then we have the following Long exact sequence,*

$$\cdots \to I^p H_i(U \cap V, A) \to I^p H_i(U\ A) \oplus I^p H_i(V, A) \to I^p H_i(U \cup VV, A) \to \cdots$$

3. Intersection Homology of a cone

One of the reasons to introduce the definition of intersection homology was to resolve Poincaré duality for singular spaces. In the case of manifold (of dimension say n) in order to talk about orientations the key calculations were,

$$H_i(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & i = 0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F} & i = m \\ 0 & i \neq m \end{cases}$$

(This was important as manifolds are locally euclidian) Here we are interested in n-dimensional topological pseudomanifold, spaces which are locally cone on a compact pseudomanifold manifold of dimension $n - 1$. Thus the key calculations for us will be $IH_i(\mathring{c}L)$ and $IH_i(\mathring{c}L, \mathring{c}L - \{pt\})$. In order to do the calculations we will use the **Kunneth formula** we derived in the first section. If a topological pseudomanifold X has the given stratification,

$$X = X_n \supseteq X_{n-2} \cdots \supseteq X_0$$

Then the cone $\mathring{c}X$ (open cone in X) is also a pseudomanifold with startification,

$$\mathring{c}X = \mathring{c}X_n \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathring{c}X_0 \supseteq \{v\}$$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose X is a compact topological pseudomanifold of dimension $n \geq 1$. Then for any perversity p,

$$I^p H(\mathring{c}X) \simeq \begin{cases} I^p H_i(X) & i < n - p(\{v\}) \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad I^p H(\mathring{c}X, \mathring{c}X \setminus \{v\}) \simeq \begin{cases} I^p H_{i-1}(X) & i > n - p(\{v\}) \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First note that the cone point $\{v\}$ has co-dimension $n + 1$ in $\mathring{c}X$. For $\xi \in I^p C_i(\mathring{o}cX)$ we have,

$$\dim(|\xi| \cap \{v\}) \leq i - (n + 1) + p(\{v\})$$

Thus ξ can not be p-allowable for $i \leq n - p(\{v\})$. Therefore in this range $I^p C_i(\mathring{c}X) \simeq I^p C_i(\mathring{c}X \setminus \{v\})$. Thus for $i < n - p(\{v\})$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X) &\simeq I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X \setminus \{v\}) \\ &\simeq I^p H_i(X \times (0, 1)) \\ &\simeq I^p H_i(X) \text{ (Kunneth theorem)} \end{aligned}$$

On other hand, for $i \geq m - p(\{v\})$ a p-allowable chain ξ with $\partial \xi = 0$ satisfies $\xi = \partial(c\xi)$ [why? For any simplex σ note that $\partial(c\sigma) + c(\partial\sigma) = \sigma$ (upto sign)]. Thus $I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X) = 0$ for these indices. The computation of the relative guroups follows from the following Long exact sequence,

$$\cdots \to I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X \setminus \{v\}) \to I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X) \to I^p H_i(\mathring{c}X, \mathring{c}X \setminus \{v\}) \to \cdots$$

COROLLARY. *Let X be a $(2k-1)$ dimensional pseudomanifold. We can use the Mayer-Vietoris sequences and the above result on cone to compute intersection homology of ΣX. With respect to the middle perversity we can say,*

$$I^m H_i(\Sigma X) = \begin{cases} IH_i(X) & i < k \\ 0 & i = k \\ IH_{i-1}(X) & i > k \end{cases}$$

REMARK: We can do the similar calculation as above for homology with closed support (or) Borel Moore homology to get,

$$I^{BM}H^p(\mathring{c}X) \simeq \begin{cases} I^p H_i(X) & i > n - p(\{v\}) \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4. POINCARÉ DUALITY

Theorem 4.1 (POINCARÉ DUALITY FOR PSEUDOMANIFOLDS, CHAIN VERSION) If X^n is an oriented n-dimensional topological pseudomanifold, and $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ are complementary perversities, then there is a non-degenerate bilinear pairing
$$IH_i^{\bar{p}}(X) \times I^{BM}H_{n-i}^{\bar{q}}(X) \overset{\frown}{\to} \mathbb{Q}.$$

Before discussing the proof, let us explain the geometric intuition behind the above Theorem. Fix a stratification $X = X_n \supseteq X_{n-2} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq X_0 \supseteq \emptyset$, and assume, for simplicity, that X has a compatible triangulation. For $a \in IH_i^{\bar{p}}(X)$ and $b \in I^{BM}H_{n-i}^{\bar{q}}(X)$ one can choose simplicial intersection chains $\xi \in IC_i^{\bar{p}}(X)$ and $\eta \in I^{BM}C_{n-i}^{\bar{q}}(X)$ (representatives of a and b resp.) so that $|\xi| \cap |\eta| \subset X - X_{n-2}$ and $|\xi| \cap |\eta|$ is a finite number of points. The number of these points counted with multiplicities (depending on coefficients of ξ, η, and on the orientation) does not depend on the representatives ξ, η for a and b. This number is $a \frown b$.

A proof of Poincaré duality for pseudomanifolds, similar to the one for manifolds, would consist of the following steps:

(a) Induction for proving (local) Poincaré duality for open cones $\mathring{c} L$.

(b) Show that Poincaré duality holds for conical neighborhoods of the form $\mathring{c} L \times \mathbb{R}^k$.

(c) Cover X by conical neighborhoods and patch local Poincaré dualities for such neighborhoods by a Mayer-Vietoris argument.

Proof. We only deal here with the first step (the theorem will be proved later on by using sheaves, which are designed to relate local and global information). We prove that, if L is a compact n-dimensional pseudomanifold, and if Poincaré duality holds for L, then Poincaré duality holds also for $c^\circ L$. Recall the calculation of intersection homology of cones from the previous section:

$$IH_i^{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c} L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} IH_i^{\bar{p}}(L; \mathbb{Q}), & i < n - \bar{p}(\{v\}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad I^{BM}H_i^{\bar{p}}(\mathring{c} L; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & i \leq n - \bar{p}(\{v\}), \\ IH_{i-1}^{\bar{p}}(L; \mathbb{Z}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assume now that L satisfies Poincaré duality, i.e.,

$$IH_i^{\bar{p}}(L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_{n-i}^{\bar{q}}(L; \mathbb{Q})^*$$

for $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ complementary perversities. Then, if $i < n - \bar{p}(\{v\})$, one has:

$$IH_i^{\bar{p}}(\overset{\circ}{c} L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_i^{\bar{p}}(L; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_{n-i}^{\bar{q}}(L; \mathbb{Q})^* \cong I^{BM}H_{n+1-i}^{\bar{q}}(\overset{\circ}{c} L; \mathbb{Q})^*,$$

while if $i \geq n - \bar{p}(\{v\})$ one has

$$IH_i^{\bar{p}}(\overset{\circ}{c} L) = 0 = I^{Bm}H_{n+1-i}^{\bar{q}}(\overset{\circ}{c} L),$$

since $n - 1 = \bar{p}(\{v\}) + \bar{q}(\{v\})$. This proves the claim. ■

5. INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY OF QUASI PROJECTIVE VARIETY

We started this reading seminar with the concern, that a lot of beautiful result fails for singular complex varieties. Till now, we have developed Intersection Homology theory for stratified spaces and pseudomanifold. In order to discuss intersection homology for quasi projective varieties, we need to give them a stratification so that we can talk about intersection chains and etc.

A complex affine variety is subset of $\mathbb{C}P^N$ defined by the simultaneous vanishing of polynomial equations. A **Complex projective variety** X is a subset

$$X \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^N$$

defined by the vanishing of homogeneous polynomial equations. A **Quasi-projective varieties** X is a subset of $\mathbb{C}P^N$ of the form

$$X = Z - Y$$

where Z and Y are projective varieties. By noetherian property we can say there is homogeneous polynomial $f_1, \cdots f_i$ so that $P \in X$ satisfies all of them simultaneously and there is $g_1, \cdots g_j$ so that P doesn't staisfy atleast one of them.

> **Example 5.1 –** $\mathbb{C}^N$ can be identified with the Quasi-projective variety
>
> $$\{[x_0 : \cdots : x_N] : x_0 \neq 0\}$$
>
> via the mapping $(x_1, \cdots, x_N) \to [1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_N]$ and inverse map is, $[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \to \left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}, \cdots, \frac{x_N}{x_0} \right)$.

> **Example 5.2 –** Any affine variety can be identified with quasi projective varieties. If $X = V(f_1, \cdots, f_m)$ where f_i has $\deg f_i = d_i$. Then
>
> $$X \cong \left\{ [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^N : x_0 \neq 0, \; \hat{f}_i(x_0, \cdots, x_N) = 0 \right\}$$
>
> where $\hat{f}_i = x_0^{d_i} f_i(x_1/x_0, \cdots, x_n/x_0)$.

> **Example 5.3 –** Any projective variety is quasi projective variety.

A point x of X is called **Non-singular** if there is an open nbd. U of x in $\mathbb{C}P^N$ and homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ such that,

$$X \cap U = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_N] : f_j(x_0, \cdots, x_N) = 0\}$$

and the jacobian matrix of partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}$ has rank m.

6. Homology with local coefficients

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[Fri03]   Friedman. "Stratified fibrations and the intersection homology of the regular neighborhoods of bottom strata". In: (2003). URL: https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0307254.pdf.

[GMre]   Goresky and MacPherson. "Morse Theory and Intersection Homology". In: (Not sure). URL: https://www.math.ias.edu/~goresky/pdf/MorseIHHigh.pdf.