

---

# A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF UPPER-LAYER RESERVOIR OPERATION FOR HYDRO POWER GENERATION IN JAPANESE DAMS

---

A PREPRINT

**Tristan**  
Kobe University  
tristan@people.kobe-u.ac.jp

**Yoshimi**  
Kobe University  
yoshimi@kobe

**Rousslan**  
Kobe University  
rousslan@kobe

**Victor**  
CNES  
victor@cnes

**Takiguchi**  
Kobe University  
Takiguchi@kobe

**Oishi**  
RIKEN  
oishi@riken

## ABSTRACT

Traditionally, the uncertainty in reservoir inflow forecast have lead dam operators to adopt conservative operation strategies. However two factors come to challenge this status quo: First, as both fossile fuel and atomic plant receive increased criticism for social and environmental xxx,

**Keywords** Dam Reservoir Operation · Hydro Power Generation · Discharge Forecast · Reinforcement Learning · Machine Learning

## 1 Introduction

Japanese power generation situation.

Summary of contributions:

- Data operational
- Operational models for reservoir input flow
- Methodolody: Vertical integration.
- Open-source everything with easy-to-use tutorials for researchers to join.

Limitations

- No multi-purpose
- No multi-dam

Nevertheless, we hope it's useful.

## 2 Related Work

Dam operation. Discharge prediction.

## 3 Methodolody

Show Figure.

### 3.1 Overview

### 3.2 Meteorological model

### 3.3 Hydrological Model

### 3.4 Dam Model

### 3.5 Grid Response

The grid response model defines the reward  $r$  obtained for a given power production  $P$  at a given time  $t$ :

$$r = f(P, t)$$

This reward can be thought of as a profit in a free-market economy, and is the sum of two terms:

$$r = r_n + r_p$$

The positive quantifies the benefit of energy produced. Currently, we use  $f(x) = x$ , considering constant value over time of the generated power. However, hydro's value lies in its non-intermittence to supplement intermittent sources. It would be interesting to couple this with actual demand response simulations in the future.

The negative price quantifies the cost of a dam failure. We considered this price to be the sum over This means that the negative impact of a single dam failure over the whole period of activity would outweigh the benefits of full power production of the whole dam operation.

### 3.6 Dam Operation Model

## 4 Proposed Dataset

## 5 Optimal Dam Operation

We start by optimizing the dam without any uncertainty.

### 5.1 Baseline

### 5.2 Optimal Operator

### 5.3 Operation Under Uncertainty

### 5.4 Experiment

- Answers the following question:

1. What is the forecast horizon needed in order to keep the dam from overflowing? -> Show as input distribution.
2. Impact of state design on RL baseline.
3. Show the ideal model is brittle. How?

## 6 Hydrological Uncertainty

In this section, we use assimilated data, no forecast.

### 6.1 Hydrological Models

Use Camaflood, conceptual rainfall runoff, linear, non-linear and deep machine learning models.

Table 1: Model accuracy

Part		
Name	Description	Size ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
Dendrite	Input terminal	$\sim 100$
Axon	Output terminal	$\sim 10$
Soma	Cell body	up to $10^6$

## 6.2 Experiments

### 6.2.1 Discharge prediction

Show accuracy of different models.

### 6.2.2 Dam operation

Show reward of different models.

## 7 Meteorological Uncertainty

### 7.1 Meteo Data

### 7.2 Experiments

Use different data source.

### 7.3 Meteorological Uncertainty

## 8 Limitations

- No multi-purpose
- No multi-dam
- No explicit evaporation modeling
- Heuristic dimensioning.
- No river and snow gauge.

## 9 Conclusion

Conclusion