

# Entwicklung von Navigationssoftware für mobile Robotersysteme und Simulation

by

Tristan Schwörer

Matriculation number: 71336

A bachelor thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the degree of the

Bachelor of Engineering (B. Eng.)

in Mechatronics

at Aalen University

Supervisor:

Prof. Dr. Stefan Hörmann (Aalen University)

Submitted on:

April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2021



# Preface

This bachelor thesis is part of the bachelor program in mechatronics at Aalen University and is supposed to take place in the 7<sup>th</sup> Semester. It covers the theoretical and practical work between November 2<sup>nd</sup> 2020 and April 9<sup>th</sup> 2021.

This work took place at the laboratory for mobile robotic systems of the faculty optics and mechatronics at aalen university and was completely independent of any other party and company.

Diese Bachelorarbeit ist fester Bestandteil des Bachelorprogramms Mechatronik an der Hochschule Aalen und soll im siebten Semester statt finden. Die hier dokumentierte Arbeit wurde zwischen dem 2. November 2020 und dem 13. April 2021 realisiert.

Die praktische sowie die theoretische Arbeit fand im Labor für mobile Robotersysteme der Fakultät Optik und Mechatronik an der Hochschule Aalen statt und ist komplett unabhängig von jeglicher anderen dritten Partei oder Firma.

# Abstract

This bachelor thesis is about the concept, setup/development and testing of a software stack used for the autonomous navigation in an environment defined by the rules of the carolo cup.

The aim of this stack is lane following and obstacle avoidance based on a sensor data of the environment. This thesis extends the work of Prof. Hörmann who provided the road detection and is supposed to be used by the carolo cup team of university aalen in the future. Even though the robot used in this thesis does not satisfy the rules of the carolo cup the stack should be configurable for different robots aswell.

The robot is equipped with a lidar, a camera, wheel encoders and an imu. The data of these sensors will be filtered and processed using existent ros packages as well as newly developed ones. The resulting data will be fed into the navigation stack that then determines the best route for the robot.

Since there wasn't a driving robot available at the start of this thesis the task of simulating the robot with all of its sensor data and actors has been incorporated into the subject of this work.

# Kurzfassung

Diese Bachelor-Thesis handelt von der Erstellung eines Konzepts, dem Aufbau und der Entwicklung eines “Software-Stack” und dessen Testens für die autonome navigation in einer, durch das Regelwerk des carolo cups beschriebenen, Umgebung.

Das Ziel dieses “Software-Stacks” ist, der Spur einer Straße zu folgen und dabei potentiellen Hindernissen auf der Straße auszuweichen. Diese Thesis führt die Arbeit von Prof. Hörmann der die von ihm Entwickelte Spurerkennung zur Verfügung stellte und soll in der Zukunft vom Carolo-Cip Team der Hochschule Aalen verwendet werden können. Obwohl der in dieser Arbeit verwendete Roboter nicht konform zum Regelwerk des Carolo-Cups ist, soll der Stack auch für andere Roboter konfigurierbar sein.

Der Roboter verfügt über einen Lidar, eine Kamera, Rad-Encoder und einen IMU (inertia measurement unit). Die Daten dieser Sensoren werden gefiltert und dann mit bestehenden ros packages und selbst entwickelten aufbereitet. Die resultierenden Daten werden dann an den Navigation Stack übergeben, der dann die beste Route ermittelt.

Da zu Beginn dieser Arbeit kein vollständig funktionierender Roboter verfügbar war wurde das Teilthema der Simulation des Roboters mitsamt aller seiner Sensoren und Aktoren in das Thema der Thesis aufgenommen.

# Acknowledgement

At this point I would like to thank the following people for supporting me during my bachelor thesis:

- **Prof. Dr. Stefan Hörmann** for being my supervisor during this time and for allways helping me with new ideas and approaches.
- **Prof. Dr. Arif Kazi** for being the second supervisor and helping me with ideas regarding the structure of the thesis.

Extending to that i would like to thank my Family and Friends that supported me during this period.

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Kurzfassung</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>1. Theoretical Background</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. ROS . . . . .	1
1.1.1. Nodes . . . . .	1
1.1.2. Plugins . . . . .	1
1.1.3. Topics/Services/Actions . . . . .	1
1.1.4. RVIZ . . . . .	1
1.1.5. REP . . . . .	1
1.1.6. TF . . . . .	2
1.2. Gazebo . . . . .	3
1.2.1. Plugins . . . . .	3
1.2.2. Models . . . . .	4
1.3. navigation stack . . . . .	4
1.3.1. move_base . . . . .	4
1.3.2. global_planner . . . . .	4
1.3.3. local_planner . . . . .	4
1.3.4. costmap_2d . . . . .	4
1.4. cartographer . . . . .	4
1.5. Carolo-Cup . . . . .	4
<b>2. Experimental</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Concept . . . . .	5
2.2. Simulation . . . . .	5
2.2.1. modeling . . . . .	5
2.2.2. world . . . . .	5
2.2.3. robot setup . . . . .	5
2.3. SLAM . . . . .	5
2.4. Navigation . . . . .	5
2.4.1. Planner . . . . .	5
2.4.2. costmap . . . . .	5
2.5. Odometry . . . . .	6
2.5.1. Encoder . . . . .	6
2.5.2. IMU . . . . .	6
2.5.3. Improvement using SLAM . . . . .	6

2.6. Laser_Filter . . . . .	6
2.7. PoseFinder . . . . .	6
2.7.1. Using current camera data . . . . .	6
2.7.2. Approximations . . . . .	7
2.7.3. goal from map . . . . .	7
2.8. MarkFreeSpace . . . . .	8
<b>3. Results and Discussion</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4. Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1. Personal conclusion . . . . .	10
<b>5. Outlook</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6. List of Figures</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7. List of Tables</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>8. References</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>I</b>



# 1. Theoretical Background

This chapter will cover the needed theoretical background about the Gazebo Simulation, the Sensor Plugins, ROS and all of the used ROS packages.

## 1.1. ROS

### 1.1.1. Nodes

### 1.1.2. Plugins

### 1.1.3. Topics/Services/Actions

All three are possibilities for the data exchange between nodes.

According to [1]: Services and actions can be used like the subscriber/publisher structure but are meant for the intercommunication between nodes. A service is more or less a function in a different node that has the option to receive data and respond to it.

### 1.1.4. RVIZ

### 1.1.5. REP

REP's (short for ROS enhanced proposals) are guidelines made and maintained by the ros community. It is highly advisable to follow the guidelines as much as possible.

Complying to these guidelines allows external people easier comprehension of the structure of the robot and eliminates misunderstandings.

The most important REP's in this project are REP 103 and REP 105.

#### REP 103

"This REP provides a reference for the units and coordinate conventions used within ROS" [1]

#### Coordinate Frame

- **X-Axis** - Forward
- **Y-Axis** - Left
- **Z-Axis** - Up

## Units

Units will always be represented in SI Units and their derived units.

## The order of preference for rotations

1. Quaternion
2. Rotation matrix
3. fixed axis roll, pitch, yaw
4. Euler angles

[1]

## REP 105

"This REP specifies naming conventions and semantic meaning for coordinate frames of mobile platforms used with ROS." [2]

REP103 Applies for all fixed coordinate frames.

## Coordinate Frames

- **base\_link** is a fixed frame on the robot base. It serves as the reference points for all of hardware mounted on the robot itself like sensors.
- **odom** is a world fixed frame that serves as the reference for the pose of the robot. Since the pose of the robot will drift over time it wont serve as a good long term reference.  
In most cases the odom frame will be computed using localization sensors like wheel odometry, imu's, visual odometry, etc. which leads to a continuous frame.
- **map** is a world fixed coordinate frame that serves as the reference for the odometry frame. It is also the base for a map of the environment such as the ones provided by slam algorithms. The frame is time discrete since it is mostly computed by localization algorithms.

That tree can be extended by an earth frame that would be the reference for the localization of the map in the earth. Which is useful, for long range robot platforms.[2]

### 1.1.6. TF

In most cases robots that are controlled by ros have a so called tf\_tree. This tree is the coordinate frame structure of the robot. In it every sensor and actor has its own coordinate frame.

The structure in most trees of mobile platforms is quite similar which is caused by the REP105 (ROS Enhanced Proposals) this contains a definition of recommended names for the robot frames and their order in the tree. But it should be noted that not every

frame that is defined in the norm has to be in every tree. The basic structure mostly starts at a so called fixed frame. This Frame will be the not changing frame in the environment. At moving robots this is often earth, map or odom, while in stationary robots this can even be base\_link.

The tree is normally build up like in the following image.

TF2 is the successor of TF and is a very powerful tool in the ROS environment. With it it is possible to transform sensor\_msgs and geometry\_msgs from one frame in another. Furthermore it offers the possibility to transform old data into the present or at any other point in the past.

### **URDF and xacro**

The robot hardware description consists of one or more URDF(Unified Robot Description Format) based xml file. Its purpose is to define the shape and geometric of every part of the robot.

### **robot\_state\_publisher**

This package uses the robot hardware description and builds up the tf\_tree using static\_transform\_publishers.

## **1.2. Gazebo**

### **1.2.1. Plugins**

Gazebo offers a wide selection of pre made plugins that can be incorporated into a simulated robot by attaching the plugin to the right tf\_frame and configuring its parameters.

**Camera**

**Lidar**

**Differential Controller**

**IMU**

**1.2.2. Models**

## **1.3. navigation stack**

**1.3.1. mobe\_base**

**1.3.2. global\_planner**

**base\_global\_planner**

**1.3.3. local\_planner**

**teb\_local\_planner**

**base\_local\_planner**

**dwa\_local\_planner**

**1.3.4. costmap\_2d**

**global map**

**local map**

**layer**

## **1.4. cartographer**

## **1.5. Carolo-Cup**

The carolo cup is an event hosted by the University Braunschweig and is an event in which the teams of many different universities can compete against each other and present their work and progress in the field of autonomous driving.

There are two different levels of difficulty the carolo basic cup and the carolo master cup.

## 2. Experimental

In this chapter the concept and

### 2.1. Concept

### 2.2. Simulation

#### 2.2.1. modeling

#### 2.2.2. world

#### 2.2.3. robot setup

**URDF and xacro**

**plugin setup**

### 2.3. SLAM

### 2.4. Navigation

#### 2.4.1. Planner

#### 2.4.2. costmap

**dynamic\_cost\_layer**

Enhancing to the plugins provided in the navigation stack this layer handles inflation of cells with a configurable cost decay and radius.

This behaviour can be used to inflate the left road marking in the global costmap to force the global plan on the right side of the road. The plugin can also be used to inflate cells with zero cost, which is useful to guarantee a free right lane or to give some free space around obstacles located on the road.

The layer receives a message of type `sensor_msgs::PointCloud` on a configurable topic. This `PointCloud` is expected to feature Channel Values for the inflation radius, the maximal and the minimal cost for each individual point.

Since we can't assume that the incoming points will be in the frame of the costmap the points in the costmap have to be transformed into the right frame using tf2.

To minimize the computation load a bresenham based algorithm for the circle rasterization will be used. Now the point symmetry around the cell can be used to further minimize the computational load and only  $\frac{1}{8}$ th of the circle has to be computed. The rasterization process can be described by the following image.

## 2.5. Odometry

### 2.5.1. Encoder

### 2.5.2. IMU

### 2.5.3. Improvement using SLAM

## 2.6. Laser\_Filter

## 2.7. PoseFinder

This Node is part of the arlo\_navigation package. Its job is, to find the pose of the next possible goal and send it to the move\_base action client. Based on the data we gather from the observing sensors the only two sources to gather data about the road situation in front of the robot is the extracted camera data and the data from the last time the robot was in the same area than can be found in the map generated by SLAM. Since we don't have the map in the first round of the robot gathering goals from the live camera data is more important.

### 2.7.1. Using current camera data

The easiest way to get new goals would be to take the last point of the polynomial provided by the road detection as the position and calculate the yaw angle of the new goal with the gradient of the last two.

While this is a logical approach in an ideal scenario, it certainly will not work in a realistic one since we can't assure a continuous data stream from the road detection.

This is mostly caused by the camera not always seeing enough of the road which can for example be caused by the following reasons:

- obstacle covering the markings
- while driving a corner the camera isn't always pointed tangential to the curvature
- steering during obstacle avoidance

- noise in camera data

This suggest that a prediction for the possible upcoming road is needed. To a small extend this is provided by the polynomials of the road detection, but the have a restricted domain, after which the error will rapidly increase.

### 2.7.2. Approximations

Since the road mostly consists of circles of varying radii and origins, it is self evident, that using the polynomials in their restricted domain to represent a section of a circle will give a better estimate.

This circle can be calculated using the following linear least-square approach:

In theory this approximation should work for almost straight sections as well, but the radius and the origin will trend to infinity and caused by the camera noise and the inaccuracy of the road detection the representation of the road will get worse and worse.

This leads to the following linear least square approach for straight lines:

Switching between the result of the two approximations will result in the best result for both scenarios. This switching will be triggered by the radii of the approximated circles exceeding a configurable threshold.

The next step is a goal extraction from the chosen approximation. This goal will be calculated at a given distance from the robot origin on the approximated route. In contrast to the approximated lines, the circles have a defined angle of trustworthiness. Otherwise small circles would cause the goal to be way of the prediction. The orientation of the goal will then be determined using the differentiation of the function.

With the calculated points on either both circles or on both lines the mean can be calculated and represents the new goal for the robot.

### 2.7.3. goal from map

If the robot is running a SLAM algorithm during the first round the map should be finished once the robot passes its start point. Then the approximation is unnecessary since extracting goals directly from the slam map is more efficient and provides goals that will always be on the road. Furthermore the distance, at which goals will be found can be increased, which results in higher speeds and/or lower planner frequencies.

To find a goal in the SLAM map a circle rasterization algorithm will be used.

This algorithm finds every cell on a circular path around the robot and its associated value in the map. The values outside of a given FOV (field of view) can be eliminated. Then the remaining values with a larger likelihood than a configurable threshold will be reduced to one point by taking the mean value of all of them.

The orientation of the goal is then determined by using the approximation algorithms.

## 2.8. MarkFreeSpace

The purpose of this node is to provide data to the SLAM algorithm as well as to the costmaps. This data consists from the points on the polynomials of the road detection in combination with the filtered points of the laser scan.

For SLAM and the obstacle layer of the costmap the node simply transforms the data into the same frame and casts it into the form of a `sensor_msgs::PointCloud2`.

The data of the dynamic cost layer has to contain more information. It is in the form of a `sensor_msgs::PointCloud` and contains channel values for point individual inflation radius, min-cost and max-cost. By giving the Layer point individual inflation parameters the node provides information about the cells on the left road marking that need to have inflated cost values and information about the points on the right road marking that should have a clean zone around them. Furthermore the node provides points of obstacles that are on the road and clears the inflated cost around it. Which will allow the global planner to make a plan for passing the obstacle.



### **3. Results and Discussion**

## **4. Conclusion**

### **4.1. Personal conclusion**

## **5. Outlook**

## **6. List of Figures**

## **7. List of Tables**

## 8. References

- [1] Mike Purvis Tully Foote. *Standard Units of Measure and Coordinate Conventions*. <https://www.ros.org/reps/rep-0103.html>. Accessed: 2021-02-20.
- [2] Wim Meeussen. *Coordinate Frames for Mobile Platforms*. <https://www.ros.org/reps/rep-0105.html>. Accessed: 2021-02-20.

# Appendix

A. Additional Topics

II

B. Source Code

III

## **A. Additional Topics**



## **B. Source Code**

# Eidesstattliche Erklärung

**Name:** Schwörer

**Vorname:** Tristan

**Matrikel-Nr.:** 71336

**Studiengang:** Mechatronik

Hiermit versichere ich, **Tristan Schwörer**, an Eides statt, dass ich die vorliegende Bachelorarbeit

an der **Hochschule Aalen**

mit dem Titel „**Entwicklung von Navigationssoftware für mobile Robotersysteme und Simulation**“

selbständig und ohne fremde Hilfe verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Hilfsmittel benutzt habe. Die Stellen der Arbeit, die dem Wortlaut oder dem Sinne nach anderen Werken entnommen wurden, sind in jedem Fall unter Angabe der Quelle kenntlich gemacht.

Ich habe die Bedeutung der eidesstattlichen Versicherung und prüfungsrechtlichen Folgen (§23 Abs. 3 des allg. Teils der Bachelor-SPO der Hochschule Aalen) sowie die strafrechtlichen Folgen (siehe unten) einer unrichtigen oder unvollständigen eidesstattlichen Versicherung zur Kenntnis genommen.

## Auszug aus dem Strafgesetzbuch (StGB)

**§156 StGB** Falsche Versicherung an Eides Statt Wer von einer zur Abnahme einer Versicherung an Eides Statt zuständigen Behörde eine solche Versicherung falsch abgibt oder unter Berufung auf eine solche Versicherung falsch aussagt, wird mit Freiheitsstrafe bis zu drei Jahren oder mit Geldstrafe bestraft.

---

Ort, Datum

---

Unterschrift