

Research Journal

COMP210- Research Journal

1607804

November 12, 2017

1 Hardware Interfaces for VR Applications: Evaluation on Prototypes[1]

In this paper, the author discusses an evaluation of two games "Erebus" and "Dreams". The two games are both virtual reality games (VR); they are both developed in Unreal Engine. From my understanding, in both games, the users wear a head-mounted display. However, one game uses an Xbox controller while for the other, the participants use a Hydra controller.

A few things make me question the validity of the results of the usability test. Firstly, the participants of the test were all studying either a computing related course or a game development course. The authors of the paper state that all the participants have prior game experience, but no experience with controllers while wearing an HMD(head mounted display). Arguably this may make it easier for them to understand the controls and how to play the games.

They conclude by suggesting that the participants preferred the Hydra controller with the gesture recognition rather than the standard Xbox controller. Also, motion sickness came up as a big problem for both games. The motion sickness caused by VR

headsets is still a significant problem within the industry[2][3] [4]. In the paper, "Real virtuality: a code of ethical conduct. Recommendations for good scientific practice and the consumers of VR-technology" [5] they mention that the risk of motion sickness must be minimised[6]. After the first few participants, was it ethical for them to continue the study knowing that it caused motion sickness? The authors do not mention warning the users about the potential risk. Not informing the users may be seen as a violation of ethics, as it could harm those taking part in the study.

2 Exploitation of heuristics for virtual environments [7]

This paper, in summary, is a study on the overuse of the heuristics methods suggested by Sutcliffe and Gault in their article "Heuristic evaluation of virtual reality applications" [8]. In particular, it looks at the papers that reference Sutcliffe and Gault and how they use their methodology. Using this knowledge, the authors discovered that only one in five citations used this method either entirely or partly.

The authors state that "not a single heuristics list may be useful to help evaluators uncover problems" [7, p.312]. A single heuristics list cannot be applied to different virtual environments and expect to be as effective in each. Instead, they suggest a patchwork where there are specialised lists of heuristics that are dependent on the sector of the virtual environment.

3 Investigating the Balance between Virtuality and Reality in Mobile Mixed Reality UI Design User Perception of an Augmented City[9]

The authors of this paper conducted a study to investigate the perception that users will have to the UI of a mobile mixed reality app. More specifically they compared two

UI solutions one of which used a camera-based AR view, the other being a 3D model of the city. The online questionnaire and the field results favouring the camera based display over the 3D model based display. The results showed that the most appealing aspect of the 3D model of the city was the lack of moving elements (people, shadows, etc.). However, this may also be why it is not as popular with the users. Some papers suggest that its a requirement of AR, AV (augmented virtuality[10]) to have a real-time reflection of the real world into the virtual world[11][10]. Perhaps the lack of the shadows and people were the cause of the users disconnect from the 3D world. I question the validity of the online survey when it comes to the user interface reviews. The online questionnaire participants only saw screenshots of the UI and were asked their opinions and preferences. At no point were they able to interact with it.

4 Homuncular flexibility in virtual reality[12]

References

- [1] M. Mentzelopoulos, F. Tarpini, A. Emanuele, and A. Protopsaltis, “Hardware interfaces for vr applications: Evaluation on prototypes,” in *Computer and Information Technology; Ubiquitous Computing and Communications; Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing; Pervasive Intelligence and Computing (CIT/IUCC/DASC/PICOM), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1578–1583.
- [2] S. von Mammen, A. Knote, and S. Edenhofer, “Cyber sick but still having fun,” in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM Conference on Virtual Reality Software and Technology*. ACM, 2016, pp. 325–326.
- [3] A. S. Fernandes and S. K. Feiner, “Combating vr sickness through subtle dynamic

- field-of-view modification,” in *3D User Interfaces (3DUI), 2016 IEEE Symposium on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 201–210.
- [4] L. J. Hettinger and G. E. Riccio, “Visually induced motion sickness in virtual environments,” *Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 306–310, 1992.
- [5] M. Madary and T. K. Metzinger, “Real virtuality: a code of ethical conduct. recommendations for good scientific practice and the consumers of vr-technology,” *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, vol. 3, p. 3, 2016.
- [6] K.-M. Behr, A. Nosper, C. Klimmt, and T. Hartmann, “Some practical considerations of ethical issues in vr research,” *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 668–676, 2005.
- [7] E. T. Hvannberg, G. Halldórsdóttir, and J. Rudinsky, “Exploitation of heuristics for virtual environments,” in *Proceedings of the 7th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction: Making Sense Through Design*. ACM, 2012, pp. 308–317.
- [8] A. Sutcliffe and B. Gault, “Heuristic evaluation of virtual reality applications,” *Interacting with computers*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 831–849, 2004.
- [9] L. Ventä-Olkkonen, M. Posti, O. Koskenranta, and J. Häkkinen, “Investigating the balance between virtuality and reality in mobile mixed reality ui design: user perception of an augmented city,” in *Proceedings of the 8th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction: Fun, Fast, Foundational*. ACM, 2014, pp. 137–146.
- [10] D. Jang, J.-S. Kim, K.-J. Li, and C.-H. Joo, “Overlapping and synchronizing two worlds,” in *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*. ACM, 2011, pp. 493–496.

- [11] P. Milgram and F. Kishino, “A taxonomy of mixed reality visual displays,” *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, vol. 77, no. 12, pp. 1321–1329, 1994.
- [12] A. S. Won, J. Bailenson, J. Lee, and J. Lanier, “Homuncular flexibility in virtual reality,” *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 241–259, 2015.