

How Will the Introduction of a Mixed-Initiative Component that Predict User Requirements Affect the Size and Speed of the Levels Created?

Tristan Barlow-Griffin

Abstract—The abstract goes here.

I. INTRODUCTION

THIS research project will look whether a prototyping tool that predict user requirements will increase the size and speed of levels designed. A prototype is the initial design of an object [1]. The prototyping phase of a project is used to quickly test certain aspect of a products' design so the designer can identify and clear up any problems[2]. In [3, p. 150] the author claims there are two kinds of prototyping in games: Physical and Software prototypes. Since book was published back in 2004, the accessibility of software tool to help prototyping has increased. The author of [3, p. 164] also describes level editors as a good way to prototype levels. *Unreal Engine 4* (UE4) implement their own version of a level editor. Within this editor the designers can create basic geometry scaling them to fit their needs as well as addition custom meshes and programmable objects.

This paper looks to build upon a normal level editor by adding a Mixed-Initiative component that will predict the users requirements. The component will aim to reduce the time it takes to produce a level prototype. As discussed above the prototyping phase is meant to test a design, the less time and resources required to produce an artefact that can demonstrate the proposed design the better. Beyond the benefit of saving time, the less time a designer puts into a particular design the less attached to the design they become. When collaborating in a group, differing opinions can cause different constraints to be set on the design of a level. While a given design may satisfy the original designers set constraints, the prototype may have to be discarded as it did not meet the other requirements set by the team. Identifying and discarding concepts early in development can save a lot of time and energy [4, p.489] and arguable may reduce the negative impacts to interpersonal relations that idea dismissal may have.

II. RELATED WORK

The focus on this paper is how the mixed-initiative tool will interact with the user. The aim is to discover if the tool will supplement the designer in such a way that they will increase the their normal output of levels. As a result the main focus of this literature review will be on prediction methods. For the research into prediction methods the scope went beyond just game design as their were limited cases of prediction methods to be found. The definition of mixed-initiative used

in this paper will also be outlined with the category of mixed-initiative tool to be used defined as by [5] definition. This literature review will also look at mixed-initiative editors already published using their results to refine the design of interface.

The term mixed-initiative was first introduced by Jaime R [6]. It describes a process where by a computer and a human designer work together to achieve a goal. The first instance of mixed-initiative was a tool to help students learn the English language.

Mixed initiative tools can be grouped into two broad categories: Interactive evolution and Computer-aided design [5].

- Interactive evolution is where the designer has the idea and the computer helps them realise it. The computers role is to evaluate the humans design, presenting alternative solutions if any constraints are broken.
- Computer-aided design is where the computer generates the content, but does not evaluate the quality of the produced work. Instead, a human designer will evaluate the work and use the evaluations to move towards a more desirable product space.

The authors of [7] have created a design tool that allows users to create levels using a low resolution graphical interface. The same tool can also propose alternative designs based on the maps made by the designer. In their paragraph that outlines computer-aided design they say that CAD "speeds up the development process" yet no mention of how their tool performs in this field when tested.

The researchers of [8] tested alternate methods for predicting human input so as to abstract the low-level movements of the robots the humans were controlling. They built on the idea that humans are good for high-level abstract tasks, but an AI agent was much better at performing low-level repetitive control tasks. They also found that when trying to predict the input the human would do next, trying to identify patterns in a history of inputs was far less successful at predicting the humans intention than just using the last input given by the human. Instead of using current human inputs, [9] used the history of the humans social media page to predict the users interests. Perhaps if the authors of [8] had looked less at the input history of the human and instead focused on grouping inputs together to create larger actions. Similar, to how modern day phones often predict entire sentences rather than just single words.

Predictive texting increases the average message length users send to each other [10] as well as the speed the words are written [11]. The same theory may apply to game design. If patterns to a users game design are established. an AI system may be able to assist in design. This tool may increase the size of the levels the designers may produce and reduce the production time.

III. PROPOSAL

The experiment proposed in this studies involves...

IV. REFERENCES

A. Mixed-initiative interaction[12]

Link : See slack ED

B. Fostering creativity in the mixed-initiative evolutionary dungeon designer[13]

Link

C. Mixed-initiative procedural generation of dungeons using game design patterns[14]

Link

D. Cellular automata for real-time generation of infinite cave levels[15]

Link

E. Mixed-initiative design of game levels: Integrating mission and space into level generation.[16]

Link

F. Experience-driven procedural content generation[17]

Link

G. Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems[18]

Link

H. Controlled Procedural Terrain Generation Using Software Agents[19]

Link

I. Applications of Intelligent Agents[20]

Link

REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Blackwell and E. Manar, "Prototype." accessed 12 Nov. 2018. [Online]. Available: http://link.galegroup.com/apps/doc/ENKDDZQ347975681/SCIC?u=dclib_main&sid=SCIC&xid=0c8f739d
- [2] R. Budde, K. Kautz, K. Kuhlenkamp, and H. Züllighoven, "Prototyping," in *Prototyping*. Springer, 1992, pp. 33–46.
- [3] T. Fullerton, C. Swain, and S. Hoffman, *Game design workshop: Designing, prototyping, & playtesting games*. CRC Press, 2004.
- [4] J. Stempfle and P. Badke-Schaub, "Thinking in design teams-an analysis of team communication," *Design Studies*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 439–452, 1999.
- [5] A. Liapis, G. Smith, and N. Shaker, "Mixed-initiative content creation," in *Procedural content generation in games*. Springer, 2016, pp. 195–214.
- [6] J. R. Carbonell, "Mixed-initiative man-computer instructional dialogues." BOLT BERANEK AND NEWMAN INC CAMBRIDGE MASS, Tech. Rep., 1970.
- [7] A. Liapis, G. N. Yannakakis, and J. Togelius, "Sentient sketchbook: Computer-aided game level authoring." in *FDG*, 2013, pp. 213–220.
- [8] R. Chipalkatty, G. Droge, and M. B. Egerstedt, "Less is more: Mixed-initiative model-predictive control with human inputs," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 695–703, 2013.
- [9] V. Bhatia and V. Hasija, "Targeted advertising using behavioural data and social data mining," in *Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN), 2016 Eighth International Conference on*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 937–942.
- [10] R. Ling, "The length of text messages and the use of predictive texting: Who uses it and how much do they have to say," *Association of Internet Researchers, Chicago, IL*, 2005.
- [11] M. D. Dunlop and A. Crossan, "Predictive text entry methods for mobile phones," *Personal Technologies*, vol. 4, no. 2-3, pp. 134–143, 2000.
- [12] J. Allen, C. I. Guinn, and E. Horvitz, "Mixed-initiative interaction," *IEEE Intelligent Systems and their Applications*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 14–23, 1999.
- [13] A. Alvarez, S. Dahlskog, J. Font, J. Holmberg, C. Nolasco, and A. Österman, "Fostering creativity in the mixed-initiative evolutionary dungeon designer," in *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games*. ACM, 2018, p. 50.
- [14] A. Baldwin, S. Dahlskog, J. M. Font, and J. Holmberg, "Mixed-initiative procedural generation of dungeons using game design patterns," in *Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG), 2017 IEEE Conference on*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 25–32.
- [15] L. Johnson, G. N. Yannakakis, and J. Togelius, "Cellular automata for real-time generation of infinite cave levels," in *Proceedings of the 2010 Workshop on Procedural Content Generation in Games*. ACM, 2010, p. 10.
- [16] D. Karavolos, A. Bouwer, and R. Bidarra, "Mixed-initiative design of game levels: Integrating mission and space into level generation." in *FDG*, 2015.
- [17] G. N. Yannakakis and J. Togelius, "Experience-driven procedural content generation," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 147–161, 2011.
- [18] J. L. Herlocker, J. A. Konstan, L. G. Terveen, and J. T. Riedl, "Evaluating collaborative filtering recommender systems," *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 5–53, 2004.
- [19] J. Doran and I. Parberry, "Controlled procedural terrain generation using software agents," *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 111–119, 2010.
- [20] N. R. Jennings and M. Wooldridge, "Applications of intelligent agents," in *Agent technology*. Springer, 1998, pp. 3–28.

APPENDIX A

FIRST APPENDIX

Appendices are optional. Delete or comment out this part if you do not need them.