

# Explanatory analysis

## Steps for explanatory analysis

1. Define the imbalance of the dependant variable.
2. Clean the data from missing values
3. Plot and describe distribution
  - a. Identify similar patterns
  - b. Explore how the ratios behave differently from feautres

## 4. Calculate different group means

This way, we check weather each variables differs systematically between the two groups  $y=0$  and  $y=1$ . For example if the group mean differ substantially, it indicated that  $x_j$  is associated with the response variable  $y$  and it could potentially be a useful predictor in a logistic regression, tree or any classification model.

**To determine the difference in the group mean, we conduct two-sample t-test**

$$H_0: E[x_j|y = 0] = E[x_j|y = 1]$$

$$H_1: E[x_j|y = 0] \neq E[x_j|y = 1]$$

We create a table and order the features by the lowest p-value. It means that its group means differ the most relative to the within-group variability.

## 5. Construct contigency table for categorical variables

It helps us understand whether the categorical variable is associated with default. For each categorical predictor, construct a contingency table against the binary outcome (default vs non-default) and performed a chi-squared test of independence. Variables with p-values below 0.05 were considered significantly associated with default, indicating that the distribution of default events differs across their categories.

**To check whether category distributions differ between groups, we conduct a Chi-squared test**

From the results (p-values being  $< 0.5$ ) we see that there's strong association of categorical variables and the outcome  $y$ . Distribution differs significantly between the two groups.

## 6. Correlation matrix

## 7. Identify patterns using scatteplots