

Student Name:		Date:
---------------	--	-------

Items	Absent	Developing	Accomplished	Exemplary
	(0 Points)	(1 Point)	(2 Points)	(3 Points)
Commentary and Component Names	App does not appear to include any care toward readability through component names or commentary.	3 - 4 issues with exemplary criteria, which may include any of the characteristics outlined in "Accomplished".	 1 - 2 issues with "Exemplary" criteria, which may include any of the following: - Comment may be difficult to find, or may not adequately describe app behavior. - Comment includes incomplete discussion of limitations. - Components have default names. 	Submission accounts for ALL of the following: - A clearly-visible comment describes, completely but concisely, the general functionality of the app. - The comment outlines several, if there are any, limitations or bugs within the app. Whether or not additional limitations exist is covered in row 2. - Component names are generally sensible. I don't so much care about things like arrangements, but if there are, say, multiple buttons, they should not be named "button1", "button2", etc.

General Functionality	More than 4 issues with exemplary criteria, which may include any of the characteristics outlined in "Accomplished".	3 - 4 issues with exemplary criteria, which may include any of the characteristics outlined in "Accomplished".	1 - 2 issues with "Exemplary" criteria, which may include any of the following: - Any of the errors outlined in "Exemplary". - Copy-pasted code when a procedure could have been created, or components looped through.	Submission accounts for all of the following: - Can't find any bugs that weren't explicitly outlined in the comments. Some odd things to check: - What happens if the user takes the ball out of the maze at the start point? Does it compromise the integrity of the game? - Does the app occasionally or frequently give error messages, whether or not this is accompanied by a crash? Does the ball ever appear to get stuck? - Are there missed opportunities for using procedures and/or lists and loops?
Movement and Extras	More than 4 issues with exemplary criteria, which may include any of the characteristics outlined in "Accomplished". Ball may not move or may not interact with walls, and no extras can be found.	3 - 4 issues with exemplary criteria, which may include any of the characteristics outlined in "Accomplished".	 1 - 2 issues with "Exemplary" criteria, which may include any of the following: - Jumpy behavior when the ball is moved by the app user. - Ball or ImageSprite may occasionally look like it bounces off a wall, but may also occasionally appear to travel through a wall partly before bouncing. - Programmer has added fairly-basic additional behavior. Example could be a high-score storage. 	Submission accounts for the following: - Ball or ImageSprite movement doesn't look jumpy as it traverses the maze. - Ball or ImageSprite looks like it's bouncing off the edge of a wall, rather than, say, traveling halfway through the wall before a bounce back. - Programmer has added "complex" additional behavior. This may include the inclusion of power-ups, additional mazes, or extra-special ImageSprite motion.

			T	
Aesthetics and Complexity	App looks very thrown-together, and	3 - 4 issues with exemplary criteria,	1 - 2 issues with "Exemplary" criteria,	Submission accounts for all of the
	doesn't appear to incorporate	which may include any of the	which may include any of the	following:
	aesthetically-pleasing content.	characteristics outlined in	following:	
		"Accomplished".		- App Screen1 sizing property is set
			- "Fixed" sizing of Screen1, or	to "Responsive", and pixels are not
			occasional use of pixels for	used for sizing of any components.
			component sizing.	
				- App is above-and-beyond
			- App looks "just fine" or "odd", in	aesthetically-pleasing. No egregious
			that components have strange sizes	errors in component scaling, weird
			or alignment either relative to the	size differences for similar-behavior
			entire screen or one another, or it	components, labels where text is
			still looks like a work in progress.	hard to read, etc. Programmer has
				gone out of their way to make things
			- Font is difficult to read due to odd	look nicer than just the basics.
			color schemes in backgrounds or	
			components.	- App use is clear and obvious. At no
			·	times do you find yourself wondering
			- Occasional instances where you	what to do to continue and/or restart.
			must "figure out" what to do next to	·
			continue and/or restart.	