

MiniSail

Mark P. Wassell, University of Cambridge

September 28, 2020

Contents

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2 | Prelude | 6 |
| 2.1 | Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs | 6 |
| 2.2 | Freshness via equivariance | 7 |
| 2.3 | Additional simplification rules | 8 |
| 2.4 | Additional equivariance lemmas | 8 |
| 2.5 | Freshness lemmas | 10 |
| 2.6 | Freshness and support for subsets of variables | 11 |
| 2.7 | The set of free variables of an expression | 11 |
| 2.8 | Other useful lemmas | 13 |
| 3 | Syntax | 18 |
| 3.1 | Program Syntax | 18 |
| 3.1.1 | Datatypes | 18 |
| 3.1.2 | Lemmas | 21 |
| 3.2 | Context Syntax | 31 |
| 3.2.1 | Datatypes | 31 |
| 3.2.2 | Functions and Lemmas | 31 |
| 3.2.3 | Immutable Variable Context Lemmas | 34 |
| 3.2.4 | Mutable Variable Context Lemmas | 38 |
| 3.2.5 | Lookup Functions | 39 |
| 4 | Immutable Variable Substitution | 42 |
| 4.1 | Class | 42 |
| 4.2 | Values | 43 |
| 4.3 | Expressions | 45 |
| 4.4 | Expressions in Constraints | 47 |
| 4.5 | Constraints | 50 |
| 4.6 | Variable Context | 53 |
| 4.7 | Types | 55 |
| 4.8 | Mutable Variable Context | 62 |
| 4.9 | Statements | 63 |
| 4.10 | Type Definition | 69 |
| 4.11 | Variable Context | 72 |
| 4.12 | Lookup | 73 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------|------------|
| 5 | Base Type Variable Substitution | 74 |
| 5.1 | Class | 74 |
| 5.2 | Base Type | 75 |
| 5.3 | Value | 78 |
| 5.4 | Constraints Expressions | 80 |
| 5.5 | Constraints | 82 |
| 5.6 | Types | 83 |
| 5.7 | Expressions | 86 |
| 5.8 | Statements | 88 |
| 5.9 | Function Type | 98 |
| 5.10 | Contexts | 100 |
| | 5.10.1 Immutable Variables | 100 |
| | 5.10.2 Mutable Variables | 103 |
| 6 | Wellformed Terms | 108 |
| 6.1 | Definitions | 108 |
| 7 | Refinement Constraint Logic | 119 |
| 7.1 | Evaluation and Satisfiability | 119 |
| | 7.1.1 Valuation | 119 |
| | 7.1.2 Evaluation base-types | 121 |
| | 7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation | 121 |
| | 7.1.4 Evaluating Terms | 121 |
| | 7.1.5 Satisfiability | 122 |
| 7.2 | Validity | 123 |
| 7.3 | Lemmas | 123 |
| 7.4 | Syntax Lemmas | 124 |
| 7.5 | Type Definitions | 128 |
| 7.6 | Function Definitions | 129 |
| 8 | Wellformedness Lemmas | 132 |
| 8.1 | Prelude | 132 |
| 8.2 | Strong Elimination | 132 |
| 8.3 | Context Extension | 133 |
| 8.4 | Context | 133 |
| 8.5 | Converting between wb forms | 136 |
| 8.6 | Support | 139 |
| 8.7 | Freshness | 150 |
| 8.8 | Misc | 154 |
| 8.9 | Context Strengthening | 155 |
| 8.10 | Type Definitions | 161 |
| | 8.10.1 Simple | 164 |
| | 8.10.2 Polymorphic | 166 |
| 8.11 | Equivariance Lemmas | 171 |
| 8.12 | Lookup | 172 |
| 8.13 | Function Definitions | 179 |
| 8.14 | Weakening | 190 |

| | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| 8.15 | Forms | 201 |
| 8.16 | Replacing | 203 |
| 8.17 | Substitution | 211 |
| 9 | Type System | 230 |
| 9.1 | Subtyping | 230 |
| 9.2 | Literals | 230 |
| 9.3 | Values | 231 |
| 9.4 | Introductions | 233 |
| 9.5 | Expressions | 233 |
| 9.6 | Statements | 236 |
| 9.7 | Programs | 239 |
| 10 | Operational Semantics | 241 |
| 10.1 | Reduction Rules | 241 |
| 10.2 | Reduction Typing | 244 |
| 11 | Refinement Constraint Logic Lemmas | 245 |
| 11.1 | Lemmas | 245 |
| 11.2 | Existence of evaluation | 246 |
| 11.3 | Satisfiability | 254 |
| 11.4 | Substitution for Evaluation | 255 |
| 11.5 | Validity | 261 |
| 11.5.1 | Weakening and Strengthening | 262 |
| 11.5.2 | Updating valuation | 266 |
| 11.6 | Base Type Substitution | 270 |
| 11.7 | Expression Operator Lemmas | 286 |
| 12 | Typing Lemmas | 299 |
| 12.1 | Subtyping | 299 |
| 12.2 | Literals | 314 |
| 12.3 | Values | 316 |
| 12.4 | Expressions | 326 |
| 12.5 | Statements | 332 |
| 12.6 | Replacing Variables | 332 |
| 12.7 | Additional Elimination and Intros | 344 |
| 12.7.1 | Values | 344 |
| 12.7.2 | Expressions | 345 |
| 12.8 | Weakening | 345 |
| 12.8.1 | Weakening Immutable Variable Context | 355 |
| 13 | Context Subtyping Lemmas | 361 |
| 13.1 | Replace Type of Variable in Context | 361 |
| 13.2 | Validity and Subtyping | 367 |
| 13.3 | Literals | 370 |
| 13.4 | Values | 370 |
| 13.5 | Expressions | 376 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 13.6 Statements | 380 |
| 14 Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas | 387 |
| 14.1 Misc | 387 |
| 14.2 Context | 388 |
| 14.3 Satisfiability | 388 |
| 14.4 Validity | 389 |
| 14.5 Subtyping | 392 |
| 14.6 Values | 395 |
| 14.7 Expressions | 403 |
| 14.8 Statements | 412 |
| 15 Base Type Variable Substitution Lemmas | 424 |
| 16 Safety | 438 |
| 16.1 Operational Semantics | 438 |
| 16.2 Preservation | 440 |
| 16.3 Progress | 470 |
| 16.4 Safety | 477 |

Chapter 1

Introduction

Syntax and Semantics of MiniSail. This is a kernel language for Sail, an instruction set architecture specification language. The idea behind this language is to capture the key and novel features of Sail in terms of their syntax, typing rules and operational semantics and to confirm that they work together by proving progress and preservation lemmas. We use the Nominal2 library to handle binding.

Chapter 2

Prelude

Some useful generic lemmas. Many of these are from Launchbury.Nominal-Utills.

2.1 Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs

lemma *perm-rel-lemma*:

assumes $\bigwedge \pi \ x \ y. \ r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y) \implies r \ x \ y$
shows $r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y) \longleftrightarrow r \ x \ y$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *assms* *permute-minus-cancel*(2))

lemma *perm-rel-lemma2*:

assumes $\bigwedge \pi \ x \ y. \ r \ x \ y \implies r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y)$
shows $r \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y)$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *assms* *permute-minus-cancel*(2))

lemma *fun-equivI*:

assumes *f-equiv*[*eqvt*]: $(\bigwedge p \ x. \ p \cdot (f \ x) = f \ (p \cdot x))$
shows $p \cdot f = f$ **by** *perm-simp* *rule*

lemma *eqvt-at-apply*:

assumes *eqvt-at* $f \ x$
shows $(p \cdot f) \ x = f \ x$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def* *permute-fun-def* *permute-minus-cancel*(1))

lemma *eqvt-at-apply'*:

assumes *eqvt-at* $f \ x$
shows $p \cdot f \ x = f \ (p \cdot x)$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def*)

lemma *eqvt-at-apply''*:

assumes *eqvt-at* $f \ x$
shows $(p \cdot f) \ (p \cdot x) = f \ (p \cdot x)$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def* *permute-fun-def* *permute-minus-cancel*(1))

lemma *size-list-equiv*[*eqvt*]: $p \cdot \text{size-list } f \ x = \text{size-list } (p \cdot f) \ (p \cdot x)$

proof (*induction* x)

```

case (Cons x xs)
have f x = p · (f x) by (simp add: permute-pure)
also have ... = (p · f) (p · x) by simp
with Cons
show ?case by (auto simp add: permute-pure)
qed simp

```

2.2 Freshness via equivariance

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong1: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )  $\implies a \# x \implies a \# f x$ 
  apply (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app[of f])
  apply (rule eqvtI)
  apply (rule eq-reflection)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (metis permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
  apply assumption
  done

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$ 
  shows  $a \# f x y$ 
proof-
  have eqvt ( $\lambda (x,y). f x y$ )
  using eqvt
  apply -
  apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply auto
  by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
moreover
  have  $a \# (x, y)$  using fresh1 fresh2 by auto
ultimately
  have  $a \# (\lambda (x,y). f x y) (x, y)$  by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong1:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong1 assms)

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$  and fresh2:  $a \#* y$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x y$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong2 assms)

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y z. p \cdot (f x y z) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y) (p \cdot z)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$  and fresh3:  $a \# z$ 

```



```

shows a # f x y z
proof-
have eqvt (λ (x,y,z). f x y z)
  using eqvt
  apply -
  apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply auto
  by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
moreover
have a # (x, y, z) using fresh1 fresh2 fresh3 by auto
ultimately
have a # (λ (x,y,z). f x y z) (x, y, z) by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: (λ p x y z. p · (f x y z) = f (p · x) (p · y) (p · z))
  and fresh1: a #* x and fresh2: a #* y and fresh3: a #* z
  shows a #* f x y z
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong3 assms)

```

2.3 Additional simplification rules

```

lemma not-self-fresh[simp]: atom x # x ⟷ False
  by (metis fresh-at-base(2))

lemma fresh-star-singleton: { x } #* e ⟷ x # e
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def)

```

2.4 Additional equivariance lemmas

```

lemma eqvt-cases:
  fixes f x π
  assumes eqvt: λ x. π · f x = f (π · x)
  obtains f x f (π · x) | ¬ f x ¬ f (π · x)
  using assms[symmetric]
  by (cases f x) auto

lemma range-eqvt: π · range Y = range (π · Y)
  unfolding image-eqvt UNIV-eqvt ..

lemma case-option-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π · case-option d f x = case-option (π · d) (π · f) (π · x)
  by (cases x)(simp-all)

lemma supp-option-eqvt:
  supp (case-option d f x) ⊆ supp d ∪ supp f ∪ supp x
  apply (cases x)
  apply (auto simp add: supp-Some)
  apply (metis (mono-tags) Un-iff subsetCE supp-fun-app)

```

done

lemma *funpow-eqv*[*simp*,*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot ((f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a::pt) \wedge^n) = (\pi \cdot f) \wedge^n (\pi \cdot n)$
apply (*induct* *n*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule* *ext*)
apply *simp*
apply *perm-simp*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *delete-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.delete\ x\ \Gamma = AList.delete\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induct* Γ , *auto*)

lemma *restrict-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.restrict\ S\ \Gamma = AList.restrict\ (\pi \cdot S)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
unfolding *AList.restrict-eq* **by** *perm-simp* *rule*

lemma *supp-restrict*:
 $supp\ (AList.restrict\ S\ \Gamma) \subseteq supp\ \Gamma$
by (*induction* Γ) (*auto* *simp* *add: supp-Pair supp-Cons*)

lemma *clearjunk-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.clearjunk\ \Gamma = AList.clearjunk\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induction* Γ *rule: clearjunk.induct*) *auto*

lemma *map-ran-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot map-ran\ f\ \Gamma = map-ran\ (\pi \cdot f)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induct* Γ , *auto*)

lemma *dom-perm*:
 $dom\ (\pi \cdot f) = \pi \cdot (dom\ f)$
unfolding *dom-def* **by** (*perm-simp*) (*simp*)

lemmas *dom-perm-rev*[*simp*,*eqv*] = *dom-perm*[*symmetric*]

lemma *ran-perm*[*simp*]:
 $\pi \cdot (ran\ f) = ran\ (\pi \cdot f)$
unfolding *ran-def* **by** (*perm-simp*) (*simp*)

lemma *map-add-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot (m1 ++ m2) = (\pi \cdot m1) ++ (\pi \cdot m2)$
unfolding *map-add-def*
by (*perm-simp*, *rule*)

lemma *map-of-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot map-of\ l = map-of\ (\pi \cdot l)$
apply (*induct* *l*)
apply (*simp* *add: permute-fun-def*)
apply *simp*

```

apply perm-simp
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma concat-eqv[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{concat } l = \text{concat } (\pi \cdot l)$ 
by (induction l)(auto simp add: append-eqv)

```

```

lemma tranclp-eqv[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{tranclp } P \ v_1 \ v_2 = \text{tranclp } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
unfolding tranclp-def by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma rtranclp-eqv[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{rtranclp } P \ v_1 \ v_2 = \text{rtranclp } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
unfolding rtranclp-def by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma Set-filter-eqv[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{Set.filter } P \ S = \text{Set.filter } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot S)$ 
unfolding Set.filter-def
by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma Sigma-eqv'[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{Sigma} = \text{Sigma}$ 
apply (rule ext)
apply (rule ext)
apply (subst permute-fun-def)
apply (subst permute-fun-def)
unfolding Sigma-def
apply perm-simp
apply (simp add: permute-self)
done

```

```

lemma override-on-eqv[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (\text{override-on } m1 \ m2 \ S) = \text{override-on } (\pi \cdot m1) \ (\pi \cdot m2) \ (\pi \cdot S)$ 
by (auto simp add: override-on-def )

```

```

lemma card-eqv[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (\text{card } S) = \text{card } (\pi \cdot S)$ 
by (cases finite S, induct rule: finite-induct) (auto simp add: card-insert-if mem-permute-iff permute-pure)

```

```

lemma Projl-permute:
assumes a:  $\exists y. f = \text{Inl } y$ 
shows  $(p \cdot (\text{Sum-Type.projl } f)) = \text{Sum-Type.projl } (p \cdot f)$ 
using a by auto

```

```

lemma Projr-permute:
assumes a:  $\exists y. f = \text{Inr } y$ 
shows  $(p \cdot (\text{Sum-Type.projr } f)) = \text{Sum-Type.projr } (p \cdot f)$ 
using a by auto

```

2.5 Freshness lemmas

```

lemma fresh-list-elem:
assumes a  $\nVdash \Gamma$ 
and  $e \in \text{set } \Gamma$ 

```

shows $a \# e$
using *assms*
by(*induct* Γ)(*auto simp add: fresh-Cons*)

lemma *set-not-fresh*:
 $x \in \text{set } L \implies \neg(\text{atom } x \# L)$
by (*metis fresh-list-elem not-self-fresh*)

lemma *pure-fresh-star[simp]*: $a \#* (x :: 'a :: \text{pure})$
by (*simp add: fresh-star-def pure-fresh*)

lemma *supp-set-mem*: $x \in \text{set } L \implies \text{supp } x \subseteq \text{supp } L$
by (*induct L*) (*auto simp add: supp-Cons*)

lemma *set-supp-mono*: $\text{set } L \subseteq \text{set } L2 \implies \text{supp } L \subseteq \text{supp } L2$
by (*induct L*)(*auto simp add: supp-Cons supp-Nil dest:supp-set-mem*)

lemma *fresh-star-at-base*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{at-base}$
shows $S \#* x \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \notin S$
by (*metis fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def*)

2.6 Freshness and support for subsets of variables

lemma *supp-mono*: $\text{finite } (B :: 'a :: \text{fs set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{supp } A \subseteq \text{supp } B$
by (*metis infinite-super subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union*)

lemma *fresh-subset*:
 $\text{finite } B \implies x \# (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies x \# A$
by (*auto dest:supp-mono simp add: fresh-def*)

lemma *fresh-star-subset*:
 $\text{finite } B \implies x \#* (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies x \#* A$
by (*metis fresh-star-def fresh-subset*)

lemma *fresh-star-set-subset*:
 $x \#* (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base list}) \implies \text{set } A \subseteq \text{set } B \implies x \#* A$
by (*metis fresh-star-set fresh-star-subset[OF finite-set]*)

2.7 The set of free variables of an expression

definition *fv* :: $'a :: \text{pt} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{at-base set}$
where $\text{fv } e = \{v. \text{atom } v \in \text{supp } e\}$

lemma *fv-eqv[simp,eqvt]*: $\pi \cdot (\text{fv } e) = \text{fv } (\pi \cdot e)$
unfolding *fv-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *fv-Nil[simp]*: $\text{fv } [] = \{\}$
by (*auto simp add: fv-def supp-Nil*)

lemma *fv-Cons[simp]*: $\text{fv } (x \# xs) = \text{fv } x \cup \text{fv } xs$
by (*auto simp add: fv-def supp-Cons*)

```

lemma fv-Pair[simp]:  $fv\ (x,\ y) = fv\ x \cup fv\ y$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Pair)
lemma fv-append[simp]:  $fv\ (x\ @\ y) = fv\ x \cup fv\ y$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-append)
lemma fv-at-base[simp]:  $fv\ a = \{a :: 'a :: at-base\}$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-at-base)
lemma fv-pure[simp]:  $fv\ (a :: 'a :: pure) = \{\}$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def pure-supp)

lemma fv-set-at-base[simp]:  $fv\ (l :: ('a :: at-base)\ list) = set\ l$ 
  by (induction l) auto

lemma flip-not-fv:  $a \notin fv\ x \implies b \notin fv\ x \implies (a \leftrightarrow b) \cdot x = x$ 
  by (metis flip-def fresh-def fv-def mem-Collect-eq swap-fresh-fresh)

lemma fv-not-fresh:  $atom\ x \# e \longleftrightarrow x \notin fv\ e$ 
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def by blast

lemma fresh-fv:  $finite\ (fv\ e :: 'a\ set) \implies atom\ (x :: ('a :: at-base)) \# (fv\ e :: 'a\ set) \longleftrightarrow atom\ x \# e$ 
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def
  by (auto simp add: supp-finite-set-at-base)

lemma finite-fv[simp]:  $finite\ (fv\ (e :: 'a :: fs) :: ('b :: at-base)\ set)$ 
proof–
  have finite (supp e) by (metis finite-supp)
  hence finite (atom – ‘ supp e :: ‘ b set)
    apply (rule finite-vimageI)
    apply (rule inj-onI)
    apply (simp)
    done
  moreover
  have (atom – ‘ supp e :: ‘ b set) = fv e unfolding fv-def by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition fv-list :: ‘a::fs  $\Rightarrow$  ‘b::at-base list
  where fv-list e = (SOME l. set l = fv e)

lemma set-fv-list[simp]:  $set\ (fv-list\ e) = (fv\ e :: ('b :: at-base)\ set)$ 
proof–
  have finite (fv e :: ‘b set) by (rule finite-fv)
  from finite-list[OF finite-fv]
  obtain l where set l = (fv e :: ‘b set)..
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding fv-list-def by (rule someI)
qed

lemma fresh-fv-list[simp]:
   $a \# (fv-list\ e :: 'b :: at-base\ list) \longleftrightarrow a \# (fv\ e :: 'b :: at-base\ set)$ 
proof–
  have  $a \# (fv-list\ e :: 'b :: at-base\ list) \longleftrightarrow a \# set\ (fv-list\ e :: 'b :: at-base\ list)$ 

```

by (rule fresh-set[symmetric])
 also have ... $\longleftrightarrow a \# (fv\ e :: 'b::at-base\ set)$ by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
 qed

2.8 Other useful lemmas

lemma pure-permute-id: $permute\ p = (\lambda\ x.\ (x::'a::pure))$
 by rule (simp add: permute-pure)

lemma supp-set-elem-finite:
 assumes finite S
 and $(m::'a::fs) \in S$
 and $y \in supp\ m$
 shows $y \in supp\ S$
 using assms supp-of-finite-sets
 by auto

lemmas fresh-star-Cons = fresh-star-list(2)

lemma mem-permute-set:
 shows $x \in p \cdot S \longleftrightarrow (-\ p \cdot x) \in S$
 by (metis mem-permute-iff permute-minus-cancel(2))

lemma flip-set-both-not-in:
 assumes $x \notin S$ and $x' \notin S$
 shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot S) = S$
 unfolding permute-set-def
 by (auto) (metis assms flip-at-base-simps(3))+

lemma inj-atom: $inj\ atom$ by (metis atom-eq-iff injI)

lemmas image-Int[OF inj-atom, simp]

lemma eqvt-uncurry: $eqvt\ f \implies eqvt\ (case-prod\ f)$
 unfolding eqvt-def
 by perm-simp simp

lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt2:
 assumes $a: eqvt\ f$
 shows $supp\ (f\ x\ y) \subseteq supp\ x \cup supp\ y$
 proof—
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
 have $supp\ (case-prod\ f\ (x,y)) \subseteq supp\ (x,y)$.
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
 qed

lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt3:
 assumes $a: eqvt\ f$
 shows $supp\ (f\ x\ y\ z) \subseteq supp\ x \cup supp\ y \cup supp\ z$
 proof—
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt2[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]

have $\text{supp } (\text{case-prod } f \ (x,y) \ z) \subseteq \text{supp } (x,y) \cup \text{supp } z.$
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: supp-Pair*)
qed

lemma *permute-0[simp]*: $\text{permute } 0 = (\lambda x. x)$
by *auto*

lemma *permute-comp[simp]*: $\text{permute } x \circ \text{permute } y = \text{permute } (x + y)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *map-permute*: $\text{map } (\text{permute } p) = \text{permute } p$
apply *rule*
apply (*induct-tac x*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *fresh-star-restrictA[intro]*: $a \# \Gamma \implies a \# AList.restrict \ V \ \Gamma$
by (*induction \Gamma*) (*auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons*)

lemma *Abs-lst-Nil-eq[simp]*: $[\] \text{lst}. (x :: 'a :: fs) = [xs] \text{lst}. x' \longleftrightarrow (([\], x) = (xs, x'))$
apply *rule*
apply (*frule Abs-lst-fcb2* **where** $f = \lambda x \ y. (x, y)$ **and** $as = [\]$ **and** $bs = xs$ **and** $c = ()$)
apply (*auto simp add: fresh-star-def*)
done

lemma *Abs-lst-Nil-eq2[simp]*: $[xs] \text{lst}. (x :: 'a :: fs) = [\] \text{lst}. x' \longleftrightarrow ((xs, x) = ([\], x'))$
by (*subst eq-commute*) *auto*

lemma *prod-cases8 [cases type]*:
obtains (*fields*) $a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$
by (*cases y, case-tac g*) *blast*

lemma *prod-induct8 [case-names fields, induct type]*:
 $(\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h. P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) \implies P \ x$
by (*cases x*) *blast*

lemma *prod-cases9 [cases type]*:
obtains (*fields*) $a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)$
by (*cases y, case-tac h*) *blast*

lemma *prod-induct9 [case-names fields, induct type]*:
 $(\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i. P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) \implies P \ x$
by (*cases x*) *blast*

named-theorems *nominal-prod-simps*

named-theorems *ms-fresh Facts for helping with freshness proofs*

lemma *fresh-prod2[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a, b) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b)$

using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod3[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod4[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod5[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod6[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod7[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod8[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod9[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod10[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i \wedge x \# j)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod12[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k,l) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i \wedge x \# j \wedge x \# k \wedge x \# l)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemmas *fresh-prodN = fresh-Pair fresh-prod3 fresh-prod4 fresh-prod5 fresh-prod6 fresh-prod7 fresh-prod8 fresh-prod9 fresh-prod10 fresh-prod12*

lemma *fresh-prod2I*:
fixes x **and** $x1$ **and** $x2$
assumes $x \# x1$ **and** $x \# x2$
shows $x \# (x1,x2)$ **using** *fresh-prod2 assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod3I*:
fixes x **and** $x1$ **and** $x2$ **and** $x3$
assumes $x \# x1$ **and** $x \# x2$ **and** $x \# x3$
shows $x \# (x1,x2,x3)$ **using** *fresh-prod3 assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod4I*:

fixes x and $x1$ and $x2$ and $x3$ and $x4$
 assumes $x \# x1$ and $x \# x2$ and $x \# x3$ and $x \# x4$
 shows $x \# (x1, x2, x3, x4)$ using *fresh-prod4* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod5I*:

fixes x and $x1$ and $x2$ and $x3$ and $x4$ and $x5$
 assumes $x \# x1$ and $x \# x2$ and $x \# x3$ and $x \# x4$ and $x \# x5$
 shows $x \# (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5)$ using *fresh-prod5* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *flip-collapse[simp]*:

fixes $b1::'a::pt$ and $bv1::'b::at$ and $bv2::'b::at$
 assumes $atom\ bv2 \# b1$ and $atom\ c \# (bv1, bv2, b1)$ and $bv1 \neq bv2$
 shows $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1$

proof –

have $c \neq bv1$ and $bv2 \neq bv1$ using *assms* by *auto+*

hence $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) + (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) + (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c)$ using *flip-triple*[*of c bv1 bv2*] *flip-commute*

by *metis*

hence $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1$ using *permute-plus* by *metis*

thus *?thesis* using *assms flip-fresh-fresh* by *force*

qed

lemma *triple-eqt[simp]*:

$p \cdot (x, b, c) = (p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c)$

proof –

have $(x, b, c) = (x, (b, c))$ by *simp*

thus *?thesis* using *Pair-eqt* by *simp*

qed

lemma *lst-fst*:

fixes $x::'a::at$ and $t1::'b::fs$ and $x'::'a::at$ and $t2::'c::fs$
 assumes $([[atom\ x]]lst. (t1, t2) = [[atom\ x']]lst. (t1', t2'))$
 shows $([[atom\ x]]lst. t1 = [[atom\ x']]lst. t1')$

proof –

have $(\forall c. atom\ c \# (t2, t2') \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (x, x', t1, t1') \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1')$

proof(*rule, rule, rule*)

fix $c::'a$

assume $atom\ c \# (t2, t2')$ and $atom\ c \# (x, x', t1, t1')$

hence $atom\ c \# (x, x', (t1, t2), (t1', t2'))$ using *fresh-prod2* by *simp*

thus $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1'$ using *assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)* *Pair-eqt* by *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* using *Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)*[*of x t1 x' t1' (t2, t2')*] by *simp*

qed

lemma *lst-snd*:

fixes $x::'a::at$ and $t1::'b::fs$ and $x'::'a::at$ and $t2::'c::fs$
 assumes $([[atom\ x]]lst. (t1, t2) = [[atom\ x']]lst. (t1', t2'))$
 shows $([[atom\ x]]lst. t2 = [[atom\ x']]lst. t2')$

proof –

have $(\forall c. atom\ c \# (t1, t1') \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (x, x', t2, t2') \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2')$

```

proof(rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::'a
  assume atom c # (t1,t1') and atom c # (x, x', t2, t2')
  hence atom c # (x, x', (t1,t2), (t1',t2')) using fresh-prod2 by simp
  thus (x ↔ c) · t2 = (x' ↔ c) · t2' using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) Pair-eqvt by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of x t2 x' t2' (t1,t1')] by simp
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons-pair:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2 # xs2)
shows [[atom y1]]lst. (x1,xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2,xs2)
proof(subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of y1 (x1,xs1) y2 (x2,xs2)],rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::'a
  assume atom c # (x1#xs1,x2#xs2) and atom c # (y1, y2, (x1, xs1), x2, xs2)
  thus (y1 ↔ c) · (x1, xs1) = (y2 ↔ c) · (x2, xs2) using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons-neq-nil:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (xs2)
shows xs2 ≠ []
proof
  assume as:xs2 = []
  thus False using Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of y1 x1#xs1 y2 Nil] assms as by auto
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2 # xs2)
shows [[atom y1]]lst. x1 = [[atom y2]]lst. x2 and [[atom y1]]lst. xs1 = [[atom y2]]lst. xs2
using lst-head-cons-pair lst-fst lst-snd assms by metis+

```

lemma lst-pure:

```

fixes x1::'a ::at and t1::'b::pure and x2::'a ::at and t2::'b::pure
assumes [[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2
shows t1=t2
using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh
by (metis Abs1-eq(3) permute-pure)

```

lemma projl-inl-eqvt:

```

fixes π :: perm
shows π · (projl (Inl x)) = projl (Inl (π · x))
unfolding projl-def Inl-eqvt by simp

```

end

sledgehammer-params[debug=true, timeout=600, provers= cvc4 spass e vampire z3, isar-proofs=true, smt-proofs=false]

Chapter 3

Syntax

Syntax of MiniSail and contexts

3.1 Program Syntax

3.1.1 Datatypes

type-synonym *num-nat* = *nat*

atom-decl *x*

atom-decl *u*

atom-decl *bv*

type-synonym *f* = *string*

type-synonym *dc* = *string*

type-synonym *tyid* = *string*

Base types

nominal-datatype *b* =

B-int
| *B-bool*
| *B-id tyid*
| *B-pair b b* (*[- , -]^b*)
| *B-unit*
| *B-bitvec*
| *B-var bv*
| *B-app tyid b*

nominal-datatype *bit* = *BitOne* | *BitZero*

Literals

nominal-datatype *l* =

L-num int
| *L-true*
| *L-false*
| *L-unit*
| *L-bitvec bit list*

Values

nominal-datatype $v =$
 $V\text{-lit } l \quad ([-]^v)$
 $| V\text{-var } x \quad ([-]^v)$
 $| V\text{-pair } v \ v \quad ([- , -]^v)$
 $| V\text{-cons } tyid \ dc \ v$
 $| V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v$

Binary Operations

nominal-datatype $opp = Plus \ (plus) \ | \ LEq \ (leq)$

Expressions

nominal-datatype $e =$
 $AE\text{-val } v \quad ([-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-app } f \ v \quad ([- \ (-)]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v \quad ([- \ [-] \ (-)]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-op } opp \ v \ v \quad ([- - -]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-concat } v \ v \quad ([- \ @\@ \ -]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-fst } v \quad ([\#1-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-snd } v \quad ([\#2-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-mvar } u \quad ([-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-len } v \quad ([| - |]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-split } v \ v$

Expressions for Constraints

nominal-datatype $ce =$
 $CE\text{-val } v \quad ([-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-op } opp \ ce \ ce \quad ([- - -]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-concat } ce \ ce \quad ([- \ @\@ \ -]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-fst } ce \quad ([\#1-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-snd } ce \quad ([\#2-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-len } ce \quad ([| - |]^{ce})$

Constraints

nominal-datatype $c =$
 $C\text{-true} \quad (TRUE \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-false} \quad (FALSE \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-conj } c \ c \quad (- \ AND \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-disj } c \ c \quad (- \ OR \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-not } c \quad (\neg \ - \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-imp } c \ c \quad (- \ IMP \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-eq } ce \ ce \quad (- \ == \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$

Refined type

nominal-datatype $\tau =$
 $T\text{-refined-type } x :: x \ b \ c :: c \ \text{binds } x \ \text{in } c \quad (\{ - : - \mid - \} \ [50, 50] \ 1000)$

value $\{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce}) \ IMP \ (c\text{-of } \tau \ z) \}$

Statements

nominal-datatype

$s =$
 $AS\text{-}val\ v \quad ([-]^s)$
 $| AS\text{-}let\ x::x\ e\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((LET\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}let2\ x::x\ \tau\ s\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((LET\ -\ :\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}if\ v\ s\ s \quad ((IF\ -\ THEN\ -\ ELSE\ -)\ [0, 61, 0]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}var\ u::u\ \tau\ v\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ u\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((VAR\ -\ :\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}assign\ u\ v \quad ((-\ ::= -))$
 $| AS\text{-}match\ v\ branch\text{-}list \quad ((MATCH\ -\ WITH\ \{-\}))$
 $| AS\text{-}while\ s\ s \quad ((WHILE\ -\ DO\ \{-\})\ [0, 0]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}seq\ s\ s \quad ((-\ ;\ -)\ [1000, 61]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}assert\ c\ s \quad ((ASSERT\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $\mathbf{and}\ branch\text{-}s =$
 $AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x::x\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((-\ \Rightarrow -))$
 $\mathbf{and}\ branch\text{-}list =$
 $AS\text{-}final\ branch\text{-}s \quad (\{-\})$
 $| AS\text{-}cons\ branch\text{-}s\ branch\text{-}list \quad ((-\ | -))$

term $LET\ x = [plus\ [x]^v\ [x]^v]^e\ IN\ [[x]^v]^s$

Function and union type definitions

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}typ =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x::x\ b\ c::c\ \tau::\tau\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ c\ \tau\ s$

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv::bv\ ft::fun\text{-}typ\ \mathbf{binds}\ bv\ \mathbf{in}\ ft$
 $| AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ fun\text{-}typ$

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}def =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ f\ fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$

nominal-datatype $type\text{-}def =$
 $AF\text{-}typedef\ string\ (string\ * \tau)\ list$
 $| AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ string\ bv::bv\ dclist::(string\ * \tau)\ list\ \mathbf{binds}\ bv\ \mathbf{in}\ dclist$

lemma $check\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly$:

$AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ "option"\ bv\ [("None", \{\!\!\{ zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}\!\!\}), ("Some", \{\!\!\{ zz : B\text{-}var\ bv \mid TRUE \}\!\!\})] =$

$AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ "option"\ bv2\ [("None", \{\!\!\{ zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}\!\!\}), ("Some", \{\!\!\{ zz : B\text{-}var\ bv2 \mid TRUE \}\!\!\})]$

by $auto$

nominal-datatype $var\text{-}def =$
 $AV\text{-}def\ u\ \tau\ v$

Programs

nominal-datatype $p =$
 $AP\text{-}prog\ type\text{-}def\ list\ fun\text{-}def\ list\ var\text{-}def\ list\ s$

declare $l.supp\ [simp]\ v.supp\ [simp]\ e.supp\ [simp]\ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.supp\ [simp]\ \tau.supp\ [simp]$
 $c.supp\ [simp]\ b.supp\ [simp]$

3.1.2 Lemmas

Atoms

lemma *x-not-in-u-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x* and *us::u* set
 shows *atom* *x* \notin *atom*'*us*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *x-fresh-u*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *u*
 by auto

lemma *x-not-in-b-set*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *bs::bv* fset
 shows *atom* *x* \notin *supp* *bs*
 by (induct *bs*, auto, simp add: supp-finsert supp-at-base)

lemma *x-fresh-b*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *b::b*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *b*
 apply (induct *b* rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp)
 using pure-supp fresh-def by blast+

lemma *x-fresh-bv*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *bv::bv*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *bv*
 using fresh-def supp-at-base by auto

lemma *u-not-in-x-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x* and *xs::x* set
 shows *atom* *u* \notin *atom*'*xs*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *bv-not-in-x-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *bv::bv* and *x::x* and *xs::x* set
 shows *atom* *bv* \notin *atom*'*xs*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *u-not-in-b-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *b :: b* and *u::u*
 shows *atom* *u* \notin *supp* *b*
 by (induct *b* rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base)

lemma *u-not-in-b-set*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *bs::bv* fset

shows $\text{atom } u \notin \text{supp } bs$
by(*induct* bs , *auto simp add: supp-at-base supp-finsert*)

lemma *u-fresh-b[simp]*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$
shows $\text{atom } x \# b$
by(*induct* b *rule: b.induct*, *auto simp: pure-fresh*)

lemma *supp-b-v-disjoint*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $\text{supp } (V\text{-var } x) \cap \text{supp } (B\text{-var } bv) = \{\}$
by (*simp add: supp-at-base*)

lemma *supp-b-u-disjoint[simp]*:
fixes $b::b$ **and** $u::u$
shows $\text{supp } u \cap \text{supp } b = \{\}$
by(*nominal-induct* b *rule: b.strong-induct*,(*auto simp add: pure-supp b.supp supp-at-base*)+)

lemma *u-fresh-bv[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $b::bv$
shows $\text{atom } u \# b$
using *fresh-at-base* **by** *simp*

Base Types

nominal-function *b-of* $:: \tau \Rightarrow b$ **where**
 $b\text{-of } \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = b$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def b-of-graph-aux-def*)
by (*meson* $\tau.\text{exhaust}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *supp-b-empty[simp]*:
fixes $b :: b$ **and** $x::x$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } b$
by (*induct* b *rule: b.induct*, *auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set*)

lemma *flip-b-id[simp]*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $b::b$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot b = b$
by(*rule flip-fresh-fresh*, *auto simp add: fresh-def*)

lemma *flip-x-b-cancel[simp]*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $y::x$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot bv = bv$
using *flip-b-id* **apply** *simp*
by (*metis* $b.\text{eq-iff}(\gamma)$ $b.\text{perm-simps}(\gamma)$ *flip-b-id*)

lemma *flip-bv-x-cancel[simp]*:

fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $z::bv$ **and** $x::x$
shows $(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh*[of $bv\ x\ z$] *fresh-at-base* **by** *auto*

lemma *flip-bv-u-cancel*[*simp*]:
fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $z::bv$ **and** $x::u$
shows $(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh*[of $bv\ x\ z$] *fresh-at-base* **by** *auto*

Literals

lemma *supp-bitvec-empty*:
fixes $bv::bit\ list$
shows $supp\ bv = \{\}$
proof(*induct* bv)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **using** *supp-Nil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons* $a\ bv$)
then show *?case* **using** *supp-Cons* *bit.supp*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *bit.strong-exhaust* $l.supp(5)$ *sup-bot.right-neutral*)
qed

lemma *bitvec-pure*[*simp*]:
fixes $bv::bit\ list$ **and** $x::x$
shows $atom\ x \# bv$ **using** *fresh-def* *supp-bitvec-empty* **by** *auto*

lemma *supp-l-empty*[*simp*]:
fixes $l::l$
shows $supp\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) = \{\}$
apply(*nominal-induct* l *rule*: *l.strong-induct*)
apply(*auto* *simp* *add*: $l.supp\ l.strong-exhaust\ pure\ supp\ v.fv\ defs$)[4]
using $l.supp\ pure\ supp\ supp\ of\ atom\ list\ supp\ bitvec\ empty$ **by** *simp*

lemma *type-l-nosupp*[*simp*]:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $l::l$
shows $atom\ x \notin supp\ (\{\ z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \})$
using *supp-at-base* *supp-l-empty* $ce.supp(1)$ $c.supp\ \tau.supp$ **by** *force*

lemma *flip-bitvec0*:
fixes $x::bit\ list$
assumes $atom\ c \# (z, x, z')$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$
proof –
have $atom\ z \# x$ **and** $atom\ z' \# x$
using *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *blast+*
moreover have $atom\ c \# x$ **using** *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms* *flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *flip-bitvec*:
assumes $atom\ c \# (z, L\text{-}bitvec\ x, z')$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$
proof –

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ and $\text{atom } z' \# x$
 using *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* by *blast*+
 moreover have $\text{atom } c \# x$ using *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *assms* *flip-fresh-fresh* by *metis*
 qed

lemma *type-l-eq*:

shows $\{z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-lit } l]^{ce}\} = (\{z' : b \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-lit } l]^{ce}\})$
 by(*auto*,*nominal-induct* *l* rule: *l.strong-induct*,*auto*, *metis* *permute-pure*, *auto* *simp* add: *flip-bitvec*)

lemma *flip-l-eq*:

fixes $x::l$
 shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ and $\text{atom } c \# x$ and $\text{atom } z' \# x$
 using *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def* *supp-l-empty* by *fastforce*+
 thus *?thesis* using *flip-fresh-fresh* by *metis*

qed

lemma *flip-l-eq1*:

fixes $x::l$
 assumes $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x'$
 shows $x' = x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ and $\text{atom } c \# x'$ and $\text{atom } c \# x$ and $\text{atom } z' \# x'$
 using *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def* *supp-l-empty* by *fastforce*+
 thus *?thesis* using *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* by *metis*

qed

Types

lemma *flip-base-eq*:

fixes $b::b$ and $x::x$ and $y::x$
 shows $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b$
 using *b.fresh* by (*simp* add: *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def*)

Obtain an alpha-equivalent type where the bound variable is fresh in some term t

lemma *has-fresh-z0*:

fixes $t::'b::fs$
 shows $\exists z. \text{atom } z \# (c',t) \wedge (\{z' : b \mid c'\}) = (\{z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c'\})$

proof –

obtain $z::x$ where *fr*: $\text{atom } z \# (c',t)$ using *obtain-fresh* by *blast*
 moreover hence $(\{z' : b \mid c'\}) = (\{z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c'\})$
 using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *Abs1-eq-iff*
 by (*metis* *flip-commute* *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-PairD*(1))
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *has-fresh-z*:

fixes $t::'b::fs$
 shows $\exists z \ b \ c. \text{atom } z \# t \wedge \tau = \{z : b \mid c\}$

proof –

obtain z' and b and c' where *teq*: $\tau = (\{z' : b \mid c'\})$ using $\tau.\text{exhaust}$ by *blast*

obtain $z::x$ **where** $fr: atom\ z \# (t, c')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
hence $(\llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \rrbracket)$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff$
flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) **by** $(metis\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2))$
hence $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \rrbracket)$ **using** $fr\ teq$ **by** *force*
thus *?thesis* **using** $teq\ fr$ **by** *fast*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-z*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
obtains z **and** b **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$
using *has-fresh-z* **by** *blast*

lemma *has-fresh-z2*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
shows $\exists z\ c. atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of}\ \tau \mid c \rrbracket$
proof $-$
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
moreover **then** **have** $b\text{-of}\ \tau = b$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *obtain-fresh-z* $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-z2*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
obtains z **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of}\ \tau \mid c \rrbracket$
using *has-fresh-z2* **by** *blast*

Value

lemma *u-notin-supp-v[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $v::v$
shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ v$
proof $(nominal\text{-}induct\ v\ rule: v.\text{strong-induct})$
case $(V\text{-}lit\ l)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *supp-l-empty* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}var\ x)$
then **show** *?case*
by $(simp\ add: supp\text{-}at\text{-}base)$
next
case $(V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)$
then **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ list\ v)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *pure-supp* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ list\ b\ v)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *pure-supp* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *u-fresh-xv[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
shows $atom\ u \# (x, v)$

proof –

have $atom\ u \# x$ using *fresh-def* by *fastforce*
 moreover have $atom\ u \# v$ using *fresh-def* *u-notin-supp-v* by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *fresh-prod2* by *auto*

qed

Part of effort to make the proofs across cases more uniform by distilling the non-uniform parts into lemmas like this

lemma *v-flip-eq*:

fixes $v::v$ and $va::v$ and $x::x$ and $c::x$

assumes $atom\ c \# (v, va)$ and $atom\ c \# (x, xa, v, va)$ and $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va$

shows $((v = V\text{-lit}\ l \longrightarrow (\exists l'. va = V\text{-lit}\ l' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-var}\ y \longrightarrow (\exists y'. va = V\text{-var}\ y' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-consp}\ tyid\ dc\ b\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-consp}\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1'))$

using *assms* proof(*nominal-induct* *v* rule:*v.strong-induct*)

case (*V-lit* *l*)

then show *?case* using *assms* *v.perm-simps*

empty-iff *flip-def* *fresh-def* *fresh-permute-iff* *supp-l-empty* *swap-fresh-fresh* *v.fresh*

by (*metis* *permute-swap-cancel2* *v.distinct*)

next

case (*V-var* *x*)

then show *?case* using *assms* *v.perm-simps*

empty-iff *flip-def* *fresh-def* *fresh-permute-iff* *supp-l-empty* *swap-fresh-fresh* *v.fresh*

by (*metis* *permute-swap-cancel2* *v.distinct*)

next

case (*V-pair* *v1* *v2*)

have $(V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2'))$ proof

assume $V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo$

thus $(\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')$

using *V-pair* *assms*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *flip-def* *permute-swap-cancel* *v.perm-simps*(3))

qed

thus *?case* using *V-pair* by *auto*

next

case (*V-cons* *tyid* *dc* *v1*)

have $(V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1 = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1'))$ proof

assume *as*: $V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1 = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone$

hence $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone) = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone)$ proof –

have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc$ using *pure-permute-id* by *metis*

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid$ using *pure-permute-id* by *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *v.perm-simps*(4) by *simp*

qed

then obtain *v1'* where $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ using *assms*

V-cons

using *as* by *fastforce*
 hence $va = V\text{-cons } tyid \ dc \ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ using *permute-flip-cancel*
empty-iff flip-def fresh-def supp-b-empty swap-fresh-fresh
 by (*metis pure-fresh v.perm-simps(4)*)

thus $(\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons } tyid \ dc \ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$
 using *V-cons assms* by *simp*
 qed

thus ?*case* using *V-cons* by *auto*
 next

case (*V-consp tyid dc b v1*)
 have ($V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v1 = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$) **proof** –
 assume *as*: $V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v1 = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ vone$
 hence $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ vone) = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone)$ **proof** –
 have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc$ using *pure-permute-id* by *metis*
 moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid$ using *pure-permute-id* by *metis*
 ultimately show ?*thesis* using *v.perm-simps(4)* by *simp*
 qed

then obtain $v1'$ where $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ using
assms V-consp
 using *as* by *fastforce*
 hence $va = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ using *permute-flip-cancel*
empty-iff flip-def fresh-def supp-b-empty swap-fresh-fresh
pure-fresh v.perm-simps
 by (*metis (mono-tags, hide-lams)*)
 thus $(\exists v1'. va = V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$
 using *V-consp assms* by *simp*
 qed

thus ?*case* using *V-consp* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *flip-eq*:
 fixes $x::x$ and $xa::x$ and $s::'a::fs$ and $sa::'a::fs$
 assumes $(\forall c. atom \ c \ \# \ (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \# \ (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)$ and $x \neq xa$
 shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot s = sa$
proof –
 have $([[atom \ x]]lst. s = [[atom \ xa]]lst. sa)$ using *assms Abs1-eq-iff-all* by *simp*
 hence $(xa = x \wedge sa = s \vee xa \neq x \wedge sa = (xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s \wedge atom \ xa \ \# \ s)$ using *assms Abs1-eq-iff[of xa sa x s]* by *simp*
 thus ?*thesis* using *assms*
 by (*metis flip-commute*)
 qed

lemma *swap-v-supp*:
 fixes $v::v$ and $d::x$ and $z::x$
 assumes $atom \ d \ \# \ v$
 shows $supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v) \subseteq supp \ v - \{atom \ z\} \cup \{atom \ d\}$
 using *assms*
proof(*nominal-induct v rule:v.strong-induct*)

```

case (V-lit l)
  then show ?case using l.supp by (metis supp-l-empty empty-subsetI l.strong-exhaust pure-supp
supp-eqv v.supp)
next
case (V-var x)
  hence  $d \neq x$  using fresh-def by fastforce
  thus ?case apply(cases  $z = x$ ) using supp-at-base V-var  $\langle d \neq x \rangle$  by fastforce+
next
case (V-cons tyid dc v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
  using V-cons.hyps V-cons.premis fresh-def by auto
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
  using V-consp.hyps V-consp.premis fresh-def by auto
qed(force+)

```

Expressions

```

lemma swap-e-supp:
  fixes  $e::e$  and  $d::x$  and  $z::x$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } d \# e$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot e) \subseteq \text{supp } e - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
  case (AE-val v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by simp
next
  case (AE-app f v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by (simp add: pure-supp)
next
  case (AE-appP b f v)
  hence df:  $\text{atom } d \# v$  using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (\text{AE-appP } b f v)) = \text{supp } (\text{AE-appP } b f ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v))$  using e.supp
  by (metis b.eq-iff(3) b.perm-simps(3) e.perm-simps(3) flip-b-id)
  also have  $\dots = \text{supp } b \cup \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v)$  using e.supp by auto
  also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{supp } b \cup \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } v - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}$  using swap-v-supp[OF df]
  pure-supp by auto
  finally show ?case using e.supp by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence df:  $\text{atom } d \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } d \# v2$  using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have  $((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (\text{AE-op } opp v1 v2)) = \text{AE-op } opp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)$  using
  e.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps AE-op opp.strong-exhaust pure-supp
  by (metis (full-types))

  hence  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot \text{AE-op } opp v1 v2) = \text{supp } (\text{AE-op } opp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2))$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \cup \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)$  using e.supp
  by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.supp sup-bot.left-neutral)
  also have  $\dots \subseteq (\text{supp } v1 - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}) \cup (\text{supp } v2 - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \})$  using
  swap-v-supp AE-op df by blast
  finally show ?case using e.supp opp.supp by blast

```

```

next
  case (AE-fst v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (AE-snd v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (AE-mvar u)
  then show ?case using
    Diff-empty Diff-insert0 Un-upper1 atom-x-sort flip-def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def set-eq-subset supp-eqv
    swap-set-in-eq
    by (metis sort-of-atom-eq)
next
  case (AE-len v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (AE-concat v1 v2)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (AE-split v1 v2)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
qed

```

```

lemma swap-ce-supp:
  fixes e::ce and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d  $\#$  e
  shows supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  e)  $\subseteq$  supp e - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d }
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp ce.fresh ce.supp by simp
next
  case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence df: atom d  $\#$  v1  $\wedge$  atom d  $\#$  v2 using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  (CE-op opp v1 v2)) = CE-op opp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1) ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2) using
    ce.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps CE-op opp.strong-exhaust x-fresh-b pure-supp
    by (metis (full-types))

  hence supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  CE-op opp v1 v2) = supp (CE-op opp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1) ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2)) by simp
  also have ... = supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1)  $\cup$  supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2) using ce.supp
    by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.sup sup-bot.left-neutral)
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  (supp v1 - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d })  $\cup$  (supp v2 - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d }) using
    swap-v-supp CE-op df by blast
  finally show ?case using ce.supp opp.sup by blast

next
  case (CE-fst v)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (CE-snd v)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto

```

```

next
  case (CE-len v)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp ce.perm-simps
  proof -
    have  $\forall x v xa. \neg \text{atom } (x::x) \# (v::v) \vee \text{supp } ((xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v) \subseteq \text{supp } v - \{\text{atom } xa\} \cup \{\text{atom } x\}$ 
    by (meson swap-v-supp)
    then show ?thesis
    using CE-concat ce.supp by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma swap-c-supp:
  fixes c::c and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d # c
  shows  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot c) \subseteq \text{supp } c - \{\text{atom } z\} \cup \{\text{atom } d\}$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct)
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using swap-ce-supp by auto
qed(auto+)

```

```

lemma type-e-eq:
  assumes atom z # e and atom z' # e
  shows  $\llbracket z : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \text{ce} == e \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \text{ce} == e \rrbracket)$ 
  by (auto,metis (full-types) assms(1) assms(2) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2))

```

```

lemma type-e-eq2:
  assumes atom z # e and atom z' # e and b=b'
  shows  $\llbracket z : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \text{ce} == e \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : b' \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \text{ce} == e \rrbracket)$ 
  using assms type-e-eq by fast

```

```

lemma e-flip-eq:
  fixes e::e and ea::e
  assumes atom c # (e, ea) and atom c # (x, xa, e, ea) and  $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea$ 
  shows  $(e = \text{AE-val } w \longrightarrow (\exists w'. ea = \text{AE-val } w' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2'. ea = \text{AS-op } \text{opp } v1' \ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot$ 
 $v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-fst } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-fst } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-snd } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-snd } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-len } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-len } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-concat } v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2'. ea = \text{AS-concat } v1' \ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge$ 
 $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-app } f \ v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-app } f \ v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v'))$ 
  by (metis assms e.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2)

```

```

lemma fresh-opp-all:
  fixes opp::opp
  shows  $z \# \text{opp}$ 

```

using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

lemma *fresh-e-opp-all*:

shows $(z \# v1 \wedge z \# v2) = z \# AE\text{-}op\ opp\ v1\ v2$

using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh fresh-opp-all* **by** *simp*

lemma *fresh-e-opp*:

fixes $z::x$

assumes $atom\ z \# v1 \wedge atom\ z \# v2$

shows $atom\ z \# AE\text{-}op\ opp\ v1\ v2$

using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh opp.supp* **by** (*metis assms*)

Statements

lemma *branch-s-flip-eq*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $va::v$

assumes $atom\ c \# (v, va)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (x, xa, v, va)$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$

shows $(s = AS\text{-}val\ w \longrightarrow (\exists w'. sa = AS\text{-}val\ w' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \vee$

$(s = AS\text{-}seq\ s1\ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists s1'\ s2'. sa = AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2') \vee$

$(s = AS\text{-}if\ v\ s1\ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists v'\ s1'\ s2'. sa = AS\text{-}if\ seq\ s1'\ s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')$

by (*metis assms s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2*)

3.2 Context Syntax

3.2.1 Datatypes

type-synonym $\Phi = fun\text{-}def\ list$

type-synonym $\Theta = type\text{-}def\ list$

type-synonym $\mathcal{B} = bv\ fset$

datatype $\Gamma =$

GNil

| *GCons* $x*b*c\ \Gamma$ (**infixr** $\#_{\Gamma}\ 65$)

datatype $\Delta =$

DNil ($\llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$)

| *DCons* $u*\tau\ \Delta$ (**infixr** $\#_{\Delta}\ 65$)

3.2.2 Functions and Lemmas

lemma $\Gamma\text{-}induct\ [case\text{-}names\ GNil\ GCons] : P\ GNil \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x\ b\ c\ \Gamma'. P\ \Gamma' \Longrightarrow P\ ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')) \Longrightarrow P\ \Gamma$

proof(*induct* $\Gamma\ rule:\Gamma.induct$)

case *GNil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x1\ x2$)

then obtain x **and** b **and** c **where** $x1=(x,b,c)$ **using** *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*

then show *?case* **using** *GCons* **by** *presburger*

qed


```

instantiation  $\Delta :: pt$ 
begin

primrec permute- $\Delta$ 
where
  DNil-eqv:  $p \cdot DNil = DNil$ 
| DCons-eqv:  $p \cdot (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Delta} p \cdot (xs::\Delta)$ 

instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end

lemmas [eqv] = permute- $\Delta$ .simps

lemma  $\Delta$ -induct [case-names DNil DCons] :  $P \ DNil \implies (\bigwedge u \ t \ \Delta'. \ P \ \Delta' \implies P \ ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta')) \implies P \ \Delta$ 
proof(induct  $\Delta$  rule:  $\Delta$ .induct)
case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons x1 x2)
  then obtain u and t where  $x1=(u,t)$  by fastforce
  then show ?case using DCons by presburger
qed

lemma  $\Phi$ -induct [case-names PNil PConsNone PConsSome] :  $P \ [] \implies (\bigwedge f \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s' \ \Phi'. \ P \ \Phi' \implies P \ ((AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s')))) \# \ \Phi')) \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s' \ \Phi'. \ P \ \Phi' \implies P \ ((AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-some \ bv \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s')))) \# \ \Phi')) \implies P \ \Phi$ 
proof(induct  $\Phi$  rule: list.induct)
case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons x1 x2)
  then obtain f and t where  $ft: x1 = (AF-fundef \ f \ t)$ 
  by (meson fun-def.exhaust)
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct t rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  case (AF-fun-typ-some bv ft)
  then show ?case using Cons ft
  by (metis fun-typ.exhaust)
  next
  case (AF-fun-typ-none ft)
  then show ?case using Cons ft
  by (metis fun-typ.exhaust)
qed
qed

lemma  $\Theta$ -induct [case-names TNil AF-typedef AF-typedef-poly] :  $P \ [] \implies (\bigwedge tid \ dclist \ \Theta'. \ P \ \Theta' \implies P \ ((AF-typedef \ tid \ dclist) \# \ \Theta')) \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge tid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta'. \ P \ \Theta' \implies P \ ((AF-typedef-poly \ tid \ bv \ dclist) \# \ \Theta')) \implies P \ \Theta$ 

```

```

proof(induct  $\Theta$  rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons td T)
  show ?case by(cases td rule: type-def.exhaust, (simp add: Cons)+)
qed

```

```

instantiation  $\Gamma :: pt$ 
begin

```

```

primrec permute- $\Gamma$ 
where
  GNil-eqvt:  $p \cdot GNil = GNil$ 
| GCons-eqvt:  $p \cdot (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Gamma} p \cdot (xs::\Gamma)$ 

```

```

instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end

```

```

lemmas [eqvt] = permute- $\Gamma$ .simps

```

```

lemma G-cons-eqvt[simp]:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  shows  $p \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} (p \cdot \Gamma))$  (is ?A = ?B )
using Cons-eqvt triple-eqvt supp-b-empty by simp

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x'',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot x'', b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot \Gamma))$ 
using Cons-eqvt triple-eqvt supp-b-empty by auto

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \not\# (c,\Gamma)$  and atom  $x' \not\# (c,\Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh2[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \not\# (c,\Gamma)$  and atom  $x' \not\# (c,\Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x', b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh3[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \not\# \Gamma$  and atom  $x' \not\# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

lemma *neq-GNil-conv*: $(xs \neq GNil) = (\exists y\ ys. xs = y \#_{\Gamma} ys)$
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

nominal-function *toList* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c)$ *list* **where**
toList GNil = []
| *toList (GCons xbc G)* = $xbc \# (toList\ G)$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def toList-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *setG* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c)$ *set* **where**
setG GNil = {}
| *setG (GCons xbc G)* = $\{xbc\} \cup (setG\ G)$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def setG-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *append-g* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma$ (*infixr @ 65*) **where**
append-g GNil g = *g*
| *append-g (xbc # _{Γ} g1) g2* = $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} (g1 @ g2))$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def append-g-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *dom* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x$ *set* **where**
dom Γ = (*fst' (setG Γ)*)
apply *auto*
unfolding *eqvt-def dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt setG.eqvt* **by** *simp*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *atom-dom* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow atom$ *set* **where**
atom-dom Γ = *atom' (fst' (setG Γ))*
apply *auto*
unfolding *eqvt-def atom-dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt setG.eqvt* **by** *simp*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

3.2.3 Immutable Variable Context Lemmas

lemma *append-GNil[simp]*:
 $GNil @ G = G$
using *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *append-g-setGU [simp]*: $setG\ (G1 @ G2) = setG\ G1 \cup setG\ G2$
by (*induct G1, auto* +)

```

lemma supp-GNil:
  shows supp GNil = {}
  by (simp add: supp-def)

lemma supp-GCons:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows supp (x #Γ xs) = supp x ∪ supp xs
by (simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)

lemma atom-dom-eq[simp]:
  fixes G::Γ
  shows atom-dom ((x, b, c) #Γ G) = atom-dom ((x, b, c') #Γ G)
using atom-dom.simps setG.simps by simp

lemma dom-append[simp]:
  atom-dom (Γ@Γ') = atom-dom Γ ∪ atom-dom Γ'
  using image-Un append-g-setGU atom-dom.simps by metis

lemma dom-cons[simp]:
  atom-dom ((x,b,c) #Γ G) = { atom x } ∪ atom-dom G
  using image-Un append-g-setGU atom-dom.simps by auto

lemma fresh-GNil[ms-fresh]:
  shows a # GNil
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GNil)

lemma fresh-GCons[ms-fresh]:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows a # (x #Γ xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # x ∧ a # xs
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GCons)

lemma dom-suppg[simp]:
  atom-dom G ⊆ supp G
  apply(induct G rule: Γ-induct,simp)
  using supp-at-base supp-Pair atom-dom.simps supp-GCons by fastforce

lemma fresh-append-g[ms-fresh]:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows a # (xs @ ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # xs ∧ a # ys
  by (induct xs) (simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons)

lemma append-g-assoc:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows (xs @ ys) @ zs = xs @ (ys @ zs)
  by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma append-g-inside:
  fixes xs::Γ

```

shows $xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} ys) = (xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ ys$
by(*induct xs,auto+*)

lemma *finite-Γ*:
finite (setG Γ)
by(*induct Γ rule: Γ-induct,auto*)

lemma *supp-Γ*:
supp Γ = supp (setG Γ)
proof(*induct Γ rule: Γ-induct*)
case GNil
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GNil setG.simps*
by (simp add: supp-set-empty)
next
case (GCons x b c Γ')
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GCons setG.simps finite-Γ supp-of-finite-union*
using supp-of-finite-insert by fastforce
qed

lemma *supp-of-subset*:
fixes G::('a::fs set)
assumes finite G and finite G' and $G \subseteq G'$
shows *supp G \subseteq supp G'*
using *supp-of-finite-sets assms by (metis subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)*

lemma *supp-weakening*:
assumes setG G \subseteq setG G'
shows *supp G \subseteq supp G'*
using *supp-Γ finite-Γ by (simp add: supp-of-subset assms)*

lemma *fresh-weakening[ms-fresh]*:
assumes setG G \subseteq setG G' and $x \# G'$
shows *$x \# G$*
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg x \# G$
hence *$x \in \text{supp } G$ using fresh-def by auto*
hence *$x \in \text{supp } G'$ using supp-weakening assms by auto*
thus *False using fresh-def assms by auto*
qed

instance $\Gamma :: fs$
by (*standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-GNil supp-GCons finite-supp*)

lemma *fresh-gamma-elem*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $a \# \Gamma$
and $e \in \text{setG } \Gamma$
shows *$a \# e$*

using *assms* **by**(*induct* Γ ,*auto simp add: fresh-GCons*)

lemma *fresh-gamma-append*:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $a \# (xs @ ys) \longleftrightarrow a \# xs \wedge a \# ys$

by (*induct xs, simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons*)

lemma *supp-triple[simp]*:

shows $\text{supp } (x, y, z) = \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } y \cup \text{supp } z$

proof –

have $\text{supp } (x, y, z) = \text{supp } (x, (y, z))$ **by** *auto*

hence $\text{supp } (x, y, z) = \text{supp } x \cup (\text{supp } y \cup \text{supp } z)$ **using** *supp-Pair* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *supp-append-g*:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $\text{supp } (xs @ ys) = \text{supp } xs \cup \text{supp } ys$

by(*induct xs, auto simp add: supp-GNil supp-GCons*)

lemma *fresh-in-g[simp]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x'::x$

shows $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } b0 \cup \text{supp } c0 \cup \text{supp } \Gamma)$

proof –

have $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))$

using *fresh-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** *supp-append-g* **by** *fast*

also have $\dots = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } b0 \cup \text{supp } c0 \cup \text{supp } \Gamma)$ **using** *supp-GCons*
supp-append-g supp-triple **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *fresh-suffix[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma' @ \Gamma$

shows $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule: Γ -induct*)

case *GNil*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)

hence $\text{atom } x \# ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ \Gamma))$ **using** *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{atom } x \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **using** *fresh-GCons* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** *GCons* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *not-GCons-self* [*simp*]:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $xs \neq x \#_{\Gamma} xs$
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *not-GCons-self2* [*simp*]:
fixes $xs::\Gamma$
shows $x \#_{\Gamma} xs \neq xs$
by (*rule not-GCons-self* [*symmetric*])

lemma *fresh-restrict*:
fixes $y::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $atom\ y \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $atom\ y \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-GCons* *fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma''$)
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-GCons* *fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fresh-dom-free*:
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $(x, b, c) \notin setG\ \Gamma$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *fresh-def* *fresh-GCons* *fresh-Pair* *supp-at-base* **by** *blast*
moreover have $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$ **using** *fresh-GCons* *GCons* **by** *auto*
ultimately show ?*case* **using** *setG.simps* *GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma Γ -*set-intros*: $x \in setG\ (x \#_{\Gamma} xs)$ **and** $y \in setG\ xs \implies y \in setG\ (x \#_{\Gamma} xs)$
by *simp+*

lemma *fresh-dom-free2*:
assumes $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$
shows $(x, b, c) \notin setG\ \Gamma$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *fresh-def* *fresh-GCons* *fresh-Pair* *supp-at-base* **by** *auto*
moreover have $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma'$ **using** *fresh-GCons* *GCons* **by** *auto*
ultimately show ?*case* **using** *setG.simps* *GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

3.2.4 Mutable Variable Context Lemmas

lemma *supp-DNil*:

shows $\text{supp } DNil = \{\}$
by (*simp add: supp-def*)

lemma *supp-DCons*:
fixes $xs::\Delta$
shows $\text{supp } (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } xs$
by (*simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq*)

lemma *fresh-DNil[ms-fresh]*:
shows $a \# DNil$
by (*simp add: fresh-def supp-DNil*)

lemma *fresh-DCons[ms-fresh]*:
fixes $xs::\Delta$
shows $a \# (x \#_{\Delta} xs) \longleftrightarrow a \# x \wedge a \# xs$
by (*simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons*)

instance $\Delta :: fs$
by (*standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-DNil supp-DCons finite-supp*)

3.2.5 Lookup Functions

nominal-function *lookup* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow (b*c) \text{ option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup } GNil \ x = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup } ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G) \ y = (\text{if } x=y \text{ then } \text{Some } (b,c) \text{ else } \text{lookup } G \ y)$
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def lookup-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis neg-GNil-conv surj-pair*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *replace-in-g* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \Gamma \ (-[\longrightarrow-] \ [1000,0,0] \ 200)$ **where**
 $\text{replace-in-g } GNil \ - = GNil$
 $| \text{replace-in-g } ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G) \ x' \ c' = (\text{if } x=x' \text{ then } ((x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} G) \text{ else } (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} (\text{replace-in-g } G \ x' \ c'))$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-graph-aux-def*)
using *surj-pair* $\Gamma.\text{exhaust}$ **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

Functions for looking up data-constructors in the Pi context

nominal-function *lookup-fun* :: $\Phi \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow \text{fun-def option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup-fun } [] \ g = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup-fun } ((AF-fundef \ f \ ft) \# \Pi) \ g = (\text{if } (f=g) \text{ then } \text{Some } (AF-fundef \ f \ ft) \text{ else } \text{lookup-fun } \Pi \ g)$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def lookup-fun-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis fun-def.exhaust neg-Nil-conv*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *lookup-td* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{type-def option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup-td } [] \ g = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup-td } ((AF-typedef \ s \ lst) \# (\Theta::\Theta)) \ g = (\text{if } (s = g) \text{ then } \text{Some } (AF-typedef \ s \ lst) \text{ else } \text{lookup-td } \Theta \ g)$

| *lookup-td* ((*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *lst*) # ($\Theta :: \Theta$)) *g* = (if (*s* = *g*) then *Some* (*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *lst*) else *lookup-td* Θ *g*)

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def lookup-td-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *name-of-type* :: *type-def* \Rightarrow *f* **where**

name-of-type (*AF-typedef* *f* -) = *f*

| *name-of-type* (*AF-typedef-poly* *f* - -) = *f*

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def name-of-type-graph-aux-def*)

using *type-def.exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *name-of-fun* :: *fun-def* \Rightarrow *f* **where**

name-of-fun (*AF-fundef* *f* *ft*) = *f*

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def name-of-fun-graph-aux-def*)

using *fun-def.exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *remove2* :: '*a*::*pt* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* **where**

remove2 *x* [] = [] |

remove2 *x* (*y* # *xs*) = (if *x* = *y* then *xs* else *y* # *remove2* *x* *xs*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *eqvt-def remove2-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*+

by (*meson list.exhaust*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *base-for-lit* :: *l* \Rightarrow *b* **where**

base-for-lit (*L-true*) = *B-bool*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-false*) = *B-bool*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-num* *n*) = *B-int*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-unit*) = *B-unit*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-bitvec* *v*) = *B-bitvec*

apply (*auto simp*: *eqvt-def base-for-lit-graph-aux-def*)

using *l.strong-exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *neq-DNil-conv*: (*xs* \neq *DNil*) = (\exists *y* *ys*. *xs* = *y* # _{Δ} *ys*)

by (*induct* *xs*) *auto*

nominal-function *setD* :: $\Delta \Rightarrow$ (*u** τ) *set* **where**

setD *DNil* = {}

| *setD* (*DCons* *xbc* *G*) = {*xbc*} \cup (*setD* *G*)

apply (*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def setD-graph-aux-def*)

using *neq-DNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*)

by *lexicographic-order*

lemma *eqvt-triple*:

fixes $y::'a::at$ **and** $ya::'a::at$ **and** $xa::'c::at$ **and** $va::'d::fs$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $f::s*'c*'d \Rightarrow s$

assumes $atom\ y \# (xa, va)$ **and** $atom\ ya \# (xa, va)$ **and**

$\forall c. atom\ c \# (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (y, ya, s, sa) \longrightarrow (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$

and $eqvt\text{-}at\ f\ (s, xa, va)$ **and** $eqvt\text{-}at\ f\ (sa, xa, va)$ **and**

$atom\ c \# (s, va, xa, sa)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (y, ya, f\ (s, xa, va), f\ (sa, xa, va))$

shows $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (s, xa, va) = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (sa, xa, va)$

proof –

have $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (s, xa, va) = f\ ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s, xa, va))$ **using** *assms eqvt-at-def* **by** *metis*

also have $\dots = f\ ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = f\ ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **proof** –

have $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff-all* **by** *auto*

moreover have $((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

also have $\dots = f\ ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa, xa, va))$ **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **using** *assms eqvt-at-def* **by** *metis*

qed

end

Chapter 4

Immutable Variable Substitution

4.1 Class

```

class has-subst-v = fs +
  fixes subst-v :: 'a::fs  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  'a::fs  (-[::=]_v [1000,50,50] 1000)
  assumes fresh-subst-v-if:  y  $\#$  (subst-v a x v)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (atom x  $\#$  a  $\wedge$  y  $\#$  a)  $\vee$  (y  $\#$  v  $\wedge$  (y  $\#$  a  $\vee$  y =
atom x))
  and forget-subst-v[simp]:  atom x  $\#$  a  $\Longrightarrow$  subst-v a x v = a
  and subst-v-id[simp]:      subst-v a x (V-var x) = a
  and eqvt[simp,eqvt]:       (p::perm)  $\cdot$  (subst-v a x v) = (subst-v (p  $\cdot$  a) (p  $\cdot$  x) (p  $\cdot$  v))
  and flip-subst-v[simp]:    atom x  $\#$  c  $\Longrightarrow$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=[x]v]v
  and flip-subst-subst-v[simp]: atom x  $\#$  c  $\Longrightarrow$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
begin

```

lemma subst-v-flip-eq-one:

```

  fixes z1::x and z2::x and x1::x and x2::x
  assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
    and atom x1  $\#$  (z1,z2,c1,c2)
  shows (c1[z1::=[x1]v]v) = (c2[z2::=[x1]v]v)

```

proof –

```

  have (c1[z1::=[x1]v]v) = (x1  $\leftrightarrow$  z1)  $\cdot$  c1 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
  moreover have (c2[z2::=[x1]v]v) = (x1  $\leftrightarrow$  z2)  $\cdot$  c2 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
    by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) flip-commute)

```

qed

lemma subst-v-simple-commute[simp]:

```

  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x  $\#$  c
  shows (c[z::=[x]v]v)[x::=b]v = c[z::=b]v

```

proof –

```

  have (c[z::=[x]v]v)[x::=b]v = ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[x::=b]v using flip-subst-v assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using flip-subst-subst-v assms by simp

```

qed

lemma subst-v-flip-eq-two:

fixes $z1::x$ **and** $z2::x$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $x2::x$
assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst.\ c2$
shows $(c1[z1::=b]_v) = (c2[z2::=b]_v)$
proof –
obtain $x::x$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = (c2[z2::=[x]^v]_v)$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-one* [*OF* *assms*, *of* x] **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v)[x::=b]_v = (c2[z2::=[x]^v]_v)[x::=b]_v$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-simple-commute* * *fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *subst-v-flip-eq-three*:

assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z1']]lst.\ c1'$ **and** $atom\ x \# c1$ **and** $atom\ x' \# (x, z1, z1', c1, c1')$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v$
proof –
have $atom\ x' \# c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v$ **using** *assms* *fresh-subst-v-if* **by** *simp*
hence $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v[x::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *flip-subst-v* [*of* x' $c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v$
 x] *flip-commute* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = c1[z1::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *subst-v-simple-commute* *fresh-prod4* *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-one* [*of* $z1\ c1\ z1'\ c1'\ x$] **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

end

4.2 Values

nominal-function

$subst-vv :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v$ **where**
 $subst-vv\ (V-lit\ l)\ x\ v = V-lit\ l$
 $| subst-vv\ (V-var\ y)\ x\ v = (if\ x = y\ then\ v\ else\ V-var\ y)$
 $| subst-vv\ (V-cons\ tyid\ c\ v')\ x\ v = V-cons\ tyid\ c\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v)$
 $| subst-vv\ (V-consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ v')\ x\ v = V-consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v)$
 $| subst-vv\ (V-pair\ v1\ v2)\ x\ v = V-pair\ (subst-vv\ v1\ x\ v)\ (subst-vv\ v2\ x\ v)$
apply(*auto* *simp*: *eqvt-def* *subst-vv-graph-aux-def*)
by(*metis* *v.strong-exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

$subst-vv-abbrev :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v\ (-[::=]_{vv}\ [1000, 50, 50]\ 1000)$
where
 $v[x::=v']_{vv} \equiv subst-vv\ v\ x\ v'$

lemma *fresh-subst-vv-if* [*simp*]:

$j \# t[i::=x]_{vv} = ((atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i)))$
using *supp-l-empty* **apply** (*induct* t *rule*: *v.induct*, *auto* *simp* *add*: *subst-vv.simps* *fresh-def*, *auto*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *supp-at-base* |*metis* *b.supp* *supp-b-empty*)+
done

lemma *forget-subst-vv* [simp]: $\text{atom } a \# \text{tm} \implies \text{tm}[a::=x]_{vv} = \text{tm}$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)

lemma *subst-vv-id* [simp]: $\text{tm}[a::=V\text{-var } a]_{vv} = \text{tm}$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) simp-all

lemma *subst-vv-commute* [simp]:
 $\text{atom } j \# \text{tm} \implies \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \text{ } t) \text{ } j \text{ } u = \text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \text{ } (\text{subst-vv } t \text{ } j \text{ } u)$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (auto simp: fresh-Pair)

lemma *subst-vv-commute2* [simp]:
 $\text{atom } j \# t \implies \text{atom } i \# u \implies i \neq j \implies \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \text{ } t) \text{ } j \text{ } u = \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } j \text{ } u) \text{ } i \text{ } t$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) auto

lemma *repeat-subst-tvm* [simp]: $\text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \text{ } t) \text{ } i \text{ } u = \text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \text{ } (\text{subst-vv } t \text{ } i \text{ } u)$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) auto

lemma *subst-vv-var-flip*[simp]:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\text{atom } y \# v$
shows $(y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v [x::=V\text{-var } y]_{vv}$
using *assms* **apply** (induct v rule: v.induct)
apply auto
using *l.fresh l.perm-simps l.strong-exhaust supp-l-empty permute-pure permute-list.simps fresh-def flip-fresh-fresh* **apply** *fastforce*
using *permute-pure* **apply** *blast+*
done

instantiation $v :: \text{has-subst-v}$
begin

definition

$\text{subst-v} = \text{subst-vv}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::x$ **and** $x::v$ **and** $t::v$
show $(j \# \text{subst-v } t \text{ } i \text{ } x) = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$
using *fresh-subst-vv-if[of j t i x] subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*
fix $a::x$ **and** $\text{tm}::v$ **and** $x::v$
show $\text{atom } a \# \text{tm} \implies \text{subst-v } \text{tm } a \text{ } x = \text{tm}$
using *forget-subst-vv subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $a::x$ **and** $\text{tm}::v$
show $\text{subst-v } \text{tm } a \text{ } (V\text{-var } a) = \text{tm}$ **using** *subst-vv-id subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $t1::v$
show $p \cdot \text{subst-v } t1 \text{ } x1 \text{ } v = \text{subst-v } (p \cdot t1) \text{ } (p \cdot x1) \text{ } (p \cdot v)$
using *subst-vv-commute subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::v$ **and** $z::x$
show $\text{atom } x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$

```

using subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-v-def by simp

fix x::x and c::v and z::x
show atom x  $\sharp$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  using subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-v-def by simp
qed

end

```

4.3 Expressions

```

nominal-function subst-ev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  e where
  subst-ev ( (AE-val v') ) x v = ( (AE-val (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-app f v') ) x v = ( (AE-app f (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-appP f b v') ) x v = ( (AE-appP f b (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-op opp v1 v2) ) x v = ( (AE-op opp (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)) )
| subst-ev [#1 v]e x v = [#1 (subst-vv v' x v)]e
| subst-ev [#2 v]e x v = [#2 (subst-vv v' x v)]e
| subst-ev ( (AE-mvar u) ) x v = AE-mvar u
| subst-ev [| v' |]e x v = [| (subst-vv v' x v) |]e
| subst-ev ( AE-concat v1 v2 ) x v = AE-concat (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)
| subst-ev ( AE-split v1 v2 ) x v = AE-split (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)
by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ev-graph-aux-def, auto)(meson e.strong-exhaust)

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

```

subst-ev-abbrev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  e (-[::=])ev [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[x::=v]ev  $\equiv$  subst-ev e x v'

```

```

lemma size-subst-ev [simp]: size ( subst-ev A i x ) = size A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: e.strong-induct)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma forget-subst-ev [simp]: atom a  $\sharp$  A  $\implies$  subst-ev A a x = A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: e.strong-induct)
  apply (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done

```

```

lemma subst-ev-id [simp]: subst-ev A a (V-var a) = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma fresh-subst-ev-if [simp]:
  j  $\sharp$  (subst-ev A i x) = ((atom i  $\sharp$  A  $\wedge$  j  $\sharp$  A)  $\vee$  (j  $\sharp$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\sharp$  A  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
  apply (induct A rule: e.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: subst-ev.simps fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if subst-vv.simps)

```

```

  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)

```

```

    apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (blast | meson fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (simp add: supp-at-base x-not-in-u-atoms)
  apply (simp add: supp-at-base x-not-in-u-atoms)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
done

```

lemma *subst-ev-commute* [simp]:
 $atom\ j \# A \implies (subst-ev\ (subst-ev\ A\ i\ t))\ j\ u = subst-ev\ A\ i\ (subst-vv\ t\ j\ u)$
by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma *subst-ev-var-flip*[simp]:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $y::x$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $atom\ y \# e$
shows $(y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e = e\ [x::=V-var\ y]_{ev}$
using *assms* **apply** (nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
apply (simp add: subst-v-v-def)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
subst-vv-var-flip)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
subst-vv-var-flip)
apply (rule-tac $y=x1a$ **in** *opp.strong-exhaust*)
using *subst-vv-var-flip flip-def* **apply** (simp add: flip-def permute-pure)+
done

lemma *subst-ev-flip*:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $ea::e$ **and** $c::x$
assumes $atom\ c \# (e, ea)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (x, xa, e, ea)$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea$
shows $e[x::=v]_{ev} = ea[xa::=v]_{ev}$
proof –
have $e[x::=v]_{ev} = (e[x::=V-var\ c]_{ev})[c::=v]_{ev}$ **using** *subst-ev-commute assms* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = ((c \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e)[c::=v]_{ev}$ **using** *subst-ev-var-flip assms* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = ((c \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ea)[c::=v]_{ev}$ **using** *assms flip-commute* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = ea[xa::=v]_{ev}$ **using** *subst-ev-var-flip assms* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subst-ev-var*[simp]:
 $(AE-val\ (V-var\ x))[x::=[z]^v]_{ev} = AE-val\ (V-var\ z)$
by *auto*

instantiation $e :: \text{has-subst-}v$

begin

definition

$\text{subst-}v = \text{subst-ev}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::x$ **and** $x::v$ **and** $t::e$

show $(j \# \text{subst-}v \ t \ i \ x) = ((\text{atom } i \ \# \ t \wedge j \ \# \ t) \vee (j \ \# \ x \wedge (j \ \# \ t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

using $\text{fresh-subst-ev-if}[of \ j \ t \ i \ x] \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** metis

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::e$ **and** $x::v$

show $\text{atom } a \ \# \ tm \implies \text{subst-}v \ tm \ a \ x = tm$

using $\text{forget-subst-ev} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::e$

show $\text{subst-}v \ tm \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** $\text{subst-ev-id} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $t1::e$

show $p \cdot \text{subst-}v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = \text{subst-}v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$

using $\text{subst-ev-commute} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::e$ **and** $z::x$

show $\text{atom } x \ \# \ c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$

using $\text{subst-ev-var} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::e$ **and** $z::x$

show $\text{atom } x \ \# \ c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$

using $\text{subst-ev-var-flip} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

qed

end

lemma $\text{subst-ev-commute-subst}$:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $w::v$ **and** $v::v$

assumes $\text{atom } z \ \# \ v$ **and** $\text{atom } x \ \# \ w$ **and** $x \neq z$

shows $\text{subst-ev} \ (e[z::=w]_{ev}) \ x \ v = \text{subst-ev} \ (e[x::=v]_{ev}) \ z \ w$

using assms **by** $(\text{nominal-induct } e \text{ rule: } e.\text{strong-induct}, \text{simp}+)$

lemma $\text{subst-ev-v-flip1}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $e::e$

assumes $\text{atom } z1 \ \# \ (z, e)$ **and** $\text{atom } z1' \ \# \ (z, e)$

shows $(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot e[z::=v]_{ev} = e[z::=((z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot v)]_{ev}$

using assms **proof** $(\text{nominal-induct } e \text{ rule: } e.\text{strong-induct})$

qed $(\text{simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh})+$

4.4 Expressions in Constraints

nominal-function $\text{subst-cev} :: ce \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow ce$ **where**

$\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-val } v')) \ x \ v = ((CE\text{-val } (\text{subst-vv } v' \ x \ v)))$

| $\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2)) \ x \ v = ((CE\text{-op } \text{opp } (\text{subst-cev } v1 \ x \ v) \ (\text{subst-cev } v2 \ x \ v)))$

| $\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-fst } v')) \ x \ v = CE\text{-fst } (\text{subst-cev } v' \ x \ v)$


```

| subst-cev ( (CE-snd v') ) x v = CE-snd (subst-cev v' x v )
| subst-cev ( (CE-len v') ) x v = CE-len (subst-cev v' x v )
| subst-cev ( CE-concat v1 v2 ) x v = CE-concat (subst-cev v1 x v ) (subst-cev v2 x v )
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cev-graph-aux-def, auto)
by (meson ce.strong-exhaust)

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) **by** lexicographic-order

abbreviation

```
subst-cev-abbrev :: ce ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ ce ([-::=]_cev [1000,50,50] 500)
```

where

```
e[x::=v]_cev ≡ subst-cev e x v'
```

lemma size-subst-cev [simp]: size (subst-cev A i x) = size A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto)

lemma forget-subst-cev [simp]: atom a # A ⇒ subst-cev A a x = A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cev-id [simp]: subst-cev A a (V-var a) = A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma fresh-subst-cev-if [simp]:

```
j # (subst-cev A i x ) = ((atom i # A ∧ j # A) ∨ (j # x ∧ (j # A ∨ j = atom i)))
```

proof(nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct)

case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

then show ?case **using** fresh-subst-vv-if subst-ev.simps e.supp pure-fresh opp.fresh
fresh-e-opp

using fresh-opp-all **by** auto

qed(auto)+

lemma subst-cev-commute [simp]:

```
atom j # A ⇒ (subst-cev (subst-cev A i t ) j u) = subst-cev A i (subst-vv t j u )
```

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cev-var-flip[simp]:

fixes e::ce **and** y::x **and** x::x

assumes atom y # e

shows (y ↔ x) · e = e [x::=V-var y]_cev

using assms **proof**(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)

case (CE-val v)

then show ?case **using** subst-vv-var-flip **by** auto

next

case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

hence yf: atom y # v1 ∧ atom y # v2 **using** ce.fresh **by** blast

have (y ↔ x) · (CE-op opp v1 v2) = CE-op ((y ↔ x) · opp) ((y ↔ x) · v1) ((y ↔ x) · v2)

using opp.perm-simps ce.perm-simps permute-pure ce.fresh opp.strong-exhaust **by** presburger

also have ... = CE-op ((y ↔ x) · opp) (v1[x::=V-var y]_cev) (v2 [x::=V-var y]_cev) **using** yf

by (simp add: CE-op.hyps(1) CE-op.hyps(2))

finally show ?case **using** subst-cev.simps opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust

```

    by (metis (full-types))
next
case (CE-fst v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-snd v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-len v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-concat v1 v2)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
qed

```

lemma *subst-cev-flip*:

```

fixes e::ce and ea::ce and c::x
assumes atom c  $\#$  (e, ea) and atom c  $\#$  (x, xa, e, ea) and (x  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  e = (xa  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  ea
shows e[x::=v]cev = ea[xa::=v]cev

```

proof –

```

have e[x::=v]cev = (e[x::=V-var c]cev)[c::=v]cev using subst-ev-commute assms by simp
also have ... = ((c  $\leftrightarrow$  x)  $\cdot$  e)[c::=v]cev using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
also have ... = ((c  $\leftrightarrow$  xa)  $\cdot$  ea)[c::=v]cev using assms flip-commute by metis
also have ... = ea[xa::=v]cev using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
finally show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

lemma *subst-cev-var*[simp]:

```

fixes z::x and x::x
shows [[x]v]ce [x::=[z]v]cev = [[z]v]ce

```

by auto

instantiation ce :: has-subst-v

begin

definition

subst-v = *subst-cev*

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::ce
show (j  $\#$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
using fresh-subst-cev-if[of j t i x] subst-v-ce-def by metis

```

```

fix a::x and tm::ce and x::v
show atom a  $\#$  tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
using forget-subst-cev subst-v-ce-def by simp

```

```

fix a::x and tm::ce
show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-cev-id subst-v-ce-def by simp

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::ce
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  using subst-cev-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp

fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c) = c [z::=V-var x]_v
  using subst-cev-var subst-v-ce-def by simp

fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
  using subst-cev-var-flip subst-v-ce-def by simp
qed

end

```

```

lemma subst-cev-commute-subst:
  fixes e::ce and w::v and v::v
  assumes atom z # v and atom x # w and x ≠ z
  shows subst-cev (e[z::=w]_cev) x v = subst-cev (e[x::=v]_cev) z w
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule: ce.strong-induct,simp+)

```

```

lemma subst-cev-v-flip1[simp]:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes atom z1 # (z,e) and atom z1' # (z,e)
  shows (z1 ↔ z1') · e[z::=v]_cev = e[z::=((z1 ↔ z1') · v)]_cev
  using assms proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
  qed (simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh)+

```

4.5 Constraints

```

nominal-function subst-cv :: c ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ c where
  subst-cv (C-true) x v = C-true
| subst-cv (C-false) x v = C-false
| subst-cv (C-conj c1 c2) x v = C-conj (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (C-disj c1 c2) x v = C-disj (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (C-imp c1 c2) x v = C-imp (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (e1 == e2) x v = ((subst-cev e1 x v) == (subst-cev e2 x v))
| subst-cv (C-not c) x v = C-not (subst-cv c x v)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cv-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

subst-cv-abbrev :: c ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ c ([-::=-]_cv [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
  c[x::=v]_cv ≡ subst-cv c x v'

```

```

lemma size-subst-cv [simp]: size (subst-cv A i x) = size A

```

```

  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma forget-subst-cv [simp]: atom a # A  $\implies$  subst-cv A a x = A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: c.strong-induct)
  apply (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done

```

```

lemma subst-cv-id [simp]: subst-cv A a (V-var a) = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma fresh-subst-cv-if [simp]:
  j # (subst-cv A i x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (atom i # A  $\wedge$  j # A)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # A  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct, (auto simp add: pure-fresh)+)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-cv (subst-cv A i t) j u) = subst-cv A i (subst-vv t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma let-s-size [simp]: size s  $\leq$  size (AS-let x e s)
  apply (nominal-induct s avoiding: e x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct(1))
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma subst-cv-var-flip[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom y # c
  shows (y  $\leftrightarrow$  x)  $\cdot$  c = c[x::=V-var y]cv
  using assms by (nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, (simp add: flip-subst-v subst-v-ce-def)+)

```

```

instantiation c :: has-subst-v
begin

```

```

definition

```

```

  subst-v = subst-cv

```

```

instance proof

```

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::c
  show (j # subst-v t i x) = ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    using fresh-subst-cv-if[of j t i x] subst-v-c-def by metis

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::c and x::v
  show atom a # tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
    using forget-subst-cv subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::c
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-cv-id subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::c
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  using subst-cv-commute subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

fix x::x and c::c and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=[x]v]v
  using subst-cv-var-flip subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

fix x::x and c::c and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
  using subst-cv-var-flip subst-v-c-def by simp
qed

```

end

```

lemma subst-cv-var-flip1[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom y # c
  shows (x ↔ y) · c = c[x::=V-var y]cv
  using subst-cv-var-flip flip-commute
  by (metis assms)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip1[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom z1 # (z,c) and atom z1' # (z,c)
  shows (z1 ↔ z1') · c[z::=v]cv = c[z::=((z1 ↔ z1') · v)]cv
  using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct)
  case (C-conj c1 c2)
  then show ?case
    by (metis flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) subst-cv.eqvt)
  next
  case (C-disj c1 c2)
  then show ?case by (metis flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) subst-cv.eqvt)
  next
  case (C-not c)
  then show ?case by (metis flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) subst-cv.eqvt)
  next
  case (C-imp c1 c2)
  then show ?case by (metis flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) subst-cv.eqvt)
  next
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using subst-ev-v-flip1 flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh
    by (simp add: fresh-Pair)
  qed(force+)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip2[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom z1 # (z,c) and atom z1' # (z,c)
  shows (z1 ↔ z1') · c[z::=[z1]v]cv = c[z::=[z1']v]cv
  using subst-cv-v-flip1 assms by simp

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip3[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom z1 # c and atom z1' # c
  shows (z1 ↔ z1') • c[z::=[z1]v]cv = c[z::=[z1']v]cv
proof -
  consider z1' = z | z1 = z | atom z1 # z ∧ atom z1' # z by force
  then show ?thesis proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using 1 assms by auto
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using 2 assms by auto
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis using subst-cv-v-flip2 assms by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom x # c
  shows ((x ↔ z) • c)[x::=v]cv = c[z::=v]cv
  using assms subst-v-c-def by auto

```

```

lemma subst-cv-commute-subst:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom z # v and atom x # w and x ≠ z
  shows (c[z::=w]cv)[x::=v]cv = (c[x::=v]cv)[z::=w]cv
  using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using subst-cev-commute-subst by simp
qed(force+)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-eq[simp]:
  assumes atom z1 # e1
  shows (CE-val (V-var z1) == e1)[z1::=[x]v]cv = (CE-val (V-var x) == e1) (is ?A = ?B)
proof -
  have ?A = (((CE-val (V-var z1))[z1::=[x]v]cev) == e1) using subst-cv.simps assms by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

4.6 Variable Context

```

nominal-function subst-gv :: Γ ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ Γ where
  subst-gv GNil x v = GNil
| subst-gv ((y,b,c) #Γ Γ) x v = (if x = y then Γ else ((y,b,c[x::=v]cv) #Γ (subst-gv Γ x v)))
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1
  then show ?case by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-gv-graph-aux-def)
next

```

case ($\exists P x$)
then show $?case$ **by** (*metis neq-GNil-conv prod-cases3*)
qed(*fast+*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-gv-abbrev :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ } (-[::=]_{\Gamma v} [1000, 50, 50] 1000)$

where

$g[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \equiv \text{subst-gv } g \ x \ v$

lemma *size-subst-gv* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-gv } G \ i \ x) \leq \text{size } G$
by (*induct G, auto*)

lemma *forget-subst-gv* [*simp*]: $\text{atom } a \# G \Longrightarrow \text{subst-gv } G \ a \ x = G$
apply (*induct G, auto*)
using *fresh-GCons fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh* **apply** *blast*
apply (*simp add: fresh-GCons*)
done

lemma *fresh-subst-gv*: $\text{atom } a \# G \Longrightarrow \text{atom } a \# v \Longrightarrow \text{atom } a \# \text{subst-gv } G \ x \ v$

proof(*induct G*)

case *GNil*

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons xbc G*)

obtain x' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $xbc: xbc = (x', b', c')$ **using** *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*

show $?case$ **proof**(*cases x=x'*)

case *True*

have $\text{atom } a \# G$ **using** *GCons fresh-GCons* **by** *blast*

thus $?thesis$ **using** *subst-gv.simps(2)[of x' b' c' G] GCons xbc True* **by** *presburger*

next

case *False*

then show $?thesis$ **using** *subst-gv.simps(2)[of x' b' c' G] GCons xbc False fresh-GCons* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *subst-gv-flip*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $z::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } xa \# ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# (z, c)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# (z, c)$

shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

proof –

have $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot x, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma)$

using *subst Cons-eqvt flip-fresh-fresh* **using** *G-cons-flip* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma))$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma))$ **using** *assms subst-cv-v-flip1* [*of x z c xa V-var x*] **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *blast*

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*

qed

4.7 Types

nominal-function *subst-tv* :: $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau$ **where**

atom $z \# (x, v) \implies \text{subst-tv } \{ z : b \mid c \} x v = \{ z : b \mid c[x::=v]_{cv} \}$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-tv-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

apply(*rule-tac* $y=a$ **and** $c=(aa,b)$ **in** $\tau.\text{strong-exhaust}$)

apply (*auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base*)

apply *blast*

proof –

fix $z :: x$ **and** $c :: c$ **and** $za :: x$ **and** $xa :: x$ **and** $va :: v$ **and** $ca :: c$ **and** $cb :: x$

assume $a1: \text{atom } za \# va$ **and** $a2: \text{atom } z \# va$ **and** $a3: \forall cb. \text{atom } cb \# c \wedge \text{atom } cb \# ca \longrightarrow cb \neq z \wedge cb \neq za \longrightarrow c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$

assume $a4: \text{atom } cb \# c$ **and** $a5: \text{atom } cb \# ca$ **and** $a6: cb \neq z$ **and** $a7: cb \neq za$ **and** $\text{atom } cb \# va$ **and** $a8: za \neq xa$ **and** $a9: z \neq xa$

assume $a10: cb \neq xa$

note $assms = a10 \ a9 \ a8 \ a7 \ a6 \ a5 \ a4 \ a3 \ a2 \ a1$

have $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** $assms$ **by** *auto*

hence $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv}$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-cv-commute-subst[of z va xa V-var cb]* *assms fresh-def v.sup* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-cv-commute-subst[of za va xa V-var cb]* *assms fresh-def v.sup* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show $c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **by** *simp*

qed

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-tv-abbrev :: $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau$ ($[-::=]_{\tau v} [1000, 50, 50] 1000$)

where

$t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \equiv \text{subst-tv } t \ x \ v$

lemma *size-subst-tv* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-tv } A \ i \ x) = \text{size } A$

proof (*nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

case (*T-refined-type* $x' \ b' \ c'$)

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *forget-subst-tv* [*simp*]: $\text{atom } a \# A \implies \text{subst-tv } A \ a \ x = A$

apply (*nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

apply(*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)

done

lemma *subst-tv-id* [*simp*]: $\text{subst-tv } A \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = A$

by (*nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*) (*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)

lemma *fresh-subst-tv-if* [*simp*]:

$j \# (\text{subst-tv } A \ i \ x) \iff (\text{atom } i \# A \wedge j \# A) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# A \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

apply (*nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

using *fresh-def supp-b-empty x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-tv-commute* [simp]:

atom y # τ ⇒ (τ[x::= t]_{τv})[y::=v]_{τv} = τ[x::= t[y::=v]_{vv}]_{τv}
by (*nominal-induct τ avoiding: x y t v rule: τ.strong-induct*) (*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)

lemma *subst-tv-var-flip* [simp]:

fixes *x::x and xa::x and τ::τ*
assumes *atom xa # τ*
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \tau[x::= V\text{-var } xa]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain *z::x and b and c* **where** *zbc: atom z # (x, xa, V-var xa) ∧ τ = {z : b | c}*
using *obtain-fresh-z* **by** (*metis prod.inject subst-tv.cases*)
hence *atom xa ∉ supp c – {atom z}* **using** *τ.supp[of z b c] fresh-def supp-b-empty assms*
by *auto*
moreover have *xa ≠ z* **using** *zbc fresh-prod3* **by** *force*
ultimately have *xaf: atom xa # c* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
have $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \{z : b | (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c\}$
by (*metis τ.perm-simps empty-iff flip-at-base-simps(3) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2)*
fresh-def not-self-fresh supp-b-empty v.fresh(2) zbc)
also have $\dots = \{z : b | c[x::= V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}\}$ **using** *subst-cv-var-flip1 xaf* **by** *presburger*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps zbc*
using *fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh* **by** *force*
qed

instantiation *τ :: has-subst-v*

begin

definition

subst-v = subst-tv

instance proof

fix *j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::τ*
show $(j \# \text{subst-v } t \ i \ x) = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

proof(*nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:τ.strong-induct*)

case (*T-refined-type z b c*)

hence $j \# \{z : b | c\}[i::=x]_v = j \# \{z : b | c[i::=x]_{cv}\}$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-v-τ-def*
fresh-Pair **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = (\text{atom } i \# \{z : b | c\} \wedge j \# \{z : b | c\} \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \{z : b | c\} \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

unfolding *τ.fresh* **using** *subst-v-c-def fresh-subst-v-if*

using *T-refined-type.hyps(1) T-refined-type.hyps(2) x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

finally show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

fix *a::x and tm::τ and x::v*

show *atom a # tm ⇒ subst-v tm a x = tm*

apply(*nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:τ.strong-induct*)

using *subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-Pair* **by** *simp*

fix *a::x and tm::τ*

show *subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm*

apply(*nominal-induct tm avoiding: a rule:τ.strong-induct*)

```

using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by simp

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1:: $\tau$ 
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by simp

fix x::x and c:: $\tau$  and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def flip-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by auto

fix x::x and c:: $\tau$  and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x v z rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair
  by (metis flip-commute subst-tv-commute subst-tv-var-flip subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-vv.simps(2))
qed

end

```

```

lemma subst-tv-commute-subst:
  fixes c:: $\tau$ 
  assumes atom z  $\#$  v and atom x  $\#$  w and x $\neq$ z
  shows (c[z::=w] $\tau$ v)[x::=v] $\tau$ v = (c[x::=v] $\tau$ v)[z::=w] $\tau$ v
  using assms proof(nominal-induct c avoiding: x v z w rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x1a x2a x3a)
  then show ?case using subst-cv-commute-subst by simp
qed

```

```

lemma type-eq-subst-eq:
  fixes v::v and c1::c
  assumes  $\{ \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \} \}$ 
  shows c1[z1::=v]cv = c2[z2::=v]cv
  using subst-v-flip-eq-two[of z1 c1 z2 c2 v]  $\tau$ .eq-iff assms subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

nominal-function c-of ::  $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c$  where
  atom z  $\#$  x  $\implies$  c-of (T-refined-type z b c) x = c[z::=[x]^v]cv
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1
  then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
  case (2 x y)
  then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
  case (3 P x)
  then obtain x1:: $\tau$  and x2::x where *:x = (x1,x2) by force
  obtain z' and b' and c' where x1 =  $\{ z' : b' \mid c' \} \wedge$  atom z'  $\#$  x2 using obtain-fresh-z by metis

```

```

  then show ?case using 3 * by auto
next
case (4 z1 x1 b1 c1 z2 x2 b2 c2)
  then show ?case using subst-v-flip-eq-two  $\tau$ .eq-iff by (metis prod.inject type-eq-subst-eq)
qed

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemma c-of-eq:
  shows c-of  $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket x = c$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$  avoiding: x rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x' c')
    moreover hence c-of  $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket x = c'[x'::=V-var x]_{cv}$  using c-of.simps by auto
    moreover have  $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using T-refined-type  $\tau$ .eq-iff by metis
    moreover have  $c'[x'::=V-var x]_{cv} = c$  using T-refined-type Abs1-eq-iff flip-subst-v subst-v-c-def
      by (metis subst-cv-id)
    ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma obtain-fresh-z-c-of:
  fixes t::'b::fs
  obtains z where atom z  $\#$  t  $\wedge$   $\tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau z \rrbracket$ 
proof -
  obtain z and c where atom z  $\#$  t  $\wedge$   $\tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c \rrbracket$  using obtain-fresh-z2 by metis
  moreover hence c = c-of  $\tau z$  using c-of.simps using c-of-eq by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that by auto
qed

```

```

lemma c-of-fresh:
  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x  $\#$  (t,z)
  shows atom x  $\#$  c-of t z
proof -
  obtain z' and c' where z:t =  $\llbracket z' : b\text{-of } t \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$  atom z'  $\#$  (x,z) using obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis
  hence *:c-of t z = c'[z'::=V-var z]_{cv} using c-of.simps fresh-Pair by metis
  have (atom x  $\#$  c'  $\vee$  atom x  $\in$  set [atom z'])  $\wedge$  atom x  $\#$  b-of t using  $\tau$ .fresh assms z fresh-Pair by metis
  hence atom x  $\#$  c' using fresh-Pair z fresh-at-base(2) by fastforce
  moreover have atom x  $\#$  V-var z using assms fresh-Pair v.fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c' z' V-var z] subst-v-c-def * by metis
qed

```

```

lemma c-of-switch:
  fixes z::x
  assumes atom z  $\#$  t
  shows (c-of t z)[z::=V-var x]_{cv} = c-of t x
proof -

```

obtain z' and c' where $z:t = \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid c' \} \wedge \text{atom } z' \# (x, z)$ using *obtain-fresh-z-c-of* by *metis*
hence $(\text{atom } z \# c' \vee \text{atom } z \in \text{set } [\text{atom } z']) \wedge \text{atom } z \# b\text{-of } t$ using $\tau.\text{fresh}[\text{of atom } z \ z' \ b\text{-of } t \ c']$
assms by metis
moreover have $\text{atom } z \notin \text{set } [\text{atom } z']$ using *z fresh-Pair* by *force*
ultimately have $:\text{atom } z \# c'$ using *fresh-Pair z fresh-at-base(2)* by *metis***

have $(c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv} = c'[z':=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ using *c-of.simps fresh-Pair z* by *metis*
also have $\dots = c'[z':=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ using *subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-c-def assms c-of.simps z ***
by metis
finally show *?thesis* using *c-of.simps[of z' x b-of t c'] fresh-Pair z* by *metis*
qed

lemma *type-eq-subst-eq1*:

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$ and $\text{atom } z1 \# c2$
shows $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$ and $c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$
proof -
show $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ using *type-eq-subst-eq assms* by *blast*
show $b1=b2$ using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *assms* by *blast*
have $z1 = z2 \wedge c1 = c2 \vee z1 \neq z2 \wedge c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# c2$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff}[\text{of } z1 \ c1 \ z2 \ c2]$ *assms* by *blast*
thus $c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$ by *auto*
qed

lemma *type-eq-subst-eq2*:

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$
shows $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$ and $[[\text{atom } z1]]\text{lst. } c1 = [[\text{atom } z2]]\text{lst. } c2$
proof -
show $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ using *type-eq-subst-eq assms* by *blast*
show $b1=b2$ using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *assms* by *blast*
show $[[\text{atom } z1]]\text{lst. } c1 = [[\text{atom } z2]]\text{lst. } c2$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *assms* by *auto*
qed

lemma *type-eq-subst-eq3*:

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$ and $\text{atom } z1 \# c2$
shows $c1 = c2[z2::=V\text{-var } z1]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$
using *type-eq-subst-eq1 assms subst-v-c-def*
by (*metis subst-cv-var-flip*)+

lemma *type-eq-flip*:

assumes $\text{atom } x \# c$
shows $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ x : b \mid (x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c \}$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff}$ *assms*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) flip-fresh-fresh*)

lemma *c-of-true*:

c-of $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket x = C\text{-true}$
proof(*nominal-induct* $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ *avoiding*: x *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*)
case (*T-refined-type* $x1a$ $x3a$)
hence $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket x1a : B\text{-bool} \mid x3a \rrbracket$ **using** τ .*eq-iff* **by** *metis*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-cv.simps* *c-of.simps* *T-refined-type*
type-eq-subst-eq3
by (*metis* *type-eq-subst-eq*)
qed

lemma *type-eq-subst*:

assumes *atom* $x \# c$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket$
using τ .*eq-iff* *Abs1-eq-iff* *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *type-e-subst-fresh*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $z::x$
assumes *atom* $z \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $x \# e$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == e \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == e \llbracket$
using *assms* *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* *forget-subst-cev* **by** *simp*

lemma *type-v-subst-fresh*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $z::x$
assumes *atom* $z \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $x \# v'$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket ==$
 $CE\text{-val } v' \llbracket$
using *assms* *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *subst-tbase-eq*:

b-of $\tau = b\text{-of } \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof –
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $zbc: \tau = \llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x,v)$ **using** τ .*exhaust*
by (*metis* *prod.inject* *subst-tv.cases*)
hence *b-of* $\llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket = b\text{-of } \llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *zbc* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *subst-tv-if*:

assumes *atom* $z1 \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $z' \# (x,v)$
shows $\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } (c'[x::=v]_{cv})[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \llbracket =$
 $\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c'[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-cv-commute-subst*[*of* $z' v x V\text{-var } z1 c'$] *subst-tv.simps* *subst-vv.simps*(1) *subst-ev.simps*
subst-cv.simps *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *subst-tv-tid*:

assumes *atom* $za \# (x,v)$
shows $\llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps* **by** *presburger*

lemma *b-of-subst*:

b-of ($\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$) = *b-of* τ

proof –

obtain $z\ b\ c$ **where** $*:\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps ** **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-tv-flip*:

assumes $\tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# (v, \tau)$ **and** $\text{atom } x' \# (v, \tau)$

shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$

proof –

have $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v \wedge (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau = \tau$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.eqvt[of (x' ↔ x) τ' x v]* *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-cv-true*:

$\llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain $za::x$ **where** $\text{atom } za \# (x, v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $\llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff}$ **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $\llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps* **by** (*simp add: (atom za # (x, v))*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *argo*

qed

lemma *t-eq-supp*:

assumes $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket)$

shows $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} = \text{supp } c1 - \{ \text{atom } z1 \}$

proof –

have $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \text{supp } b = \text{supp } c1 - \{ \text{atom } z1 \} \cup \text{supp } b1$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp } \text{assms}$

by (*metis list.set(1) list.simps(15) sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty*)

moreover have $\text{supp } b = \text{supp } b1$ **using** $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $\text{atom } z1 \notin \text{supp } b1 \wedge \text{atom } z \notin \text{supp } b$ **using** *supp-b-empty* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*metis τ.eq-iff τ.supp assms b.supp(1) list.set(1) list.set(2) sup-bot.right-neutral*)

qed

lemma *fresh-t-eq*:

fixes $x::x$

assumes $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket zz : b \mid cc \rrbracket)$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# c$ **and** $x \neq zz$

shows $\text{atom } x \# cc$

proof –

thm $\tau.\text{supp}$

have $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \text{supp } b = \text{supp } cc - \{ \text{atom } zz \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp } \text{assms}$

by (*metis list.set(1) list.simps(15) sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty*)

moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } c$ **using** *assms fresh-def* **by** *blast*

ultimately have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } cc - \{ \text{atom } zz \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **by** *force*

hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } cc$ **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
qed

4.8 Mutable Variable Context

nominal-function *subst-dv* :: $\Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta$ **where**
 $\text{subst-dv } DNil \ x \ v = DNil$
 $| \text{subst-dv } ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \ x \ v = ((u,t[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} (\text{subst-dv } \Delta \ x \ v))$
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-dv-graph-aux-def, auto*)
using *delete-aux.elims* **by** (*metis* $\Delta.\text{exhaust surj-pair}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

$\text{subst-dv-abbrev} :: \Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta \ (-[::=]_{\Delta v} [1000,50,50] \ 1000)$
where
 $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \equiv \text{subst-dv } \Delta \ x \ v$

nominal-function *dmap* :: $(u * \tau \Rightarrow u * \tau) \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \Delta$ **where**
 $\text{dmap } f \ DNil = DNil$
 $| \text{dmap } f \ ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = (f \ (u,t) \#_{\Delta} (\text{dmap } f \ \Delta))$
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def dmap-graph-aux-def, auto*)
using *delete-aux.elims* **by** (*metis* $\Delta.\text{exhaust surj-pair}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *subst-dv-iff*:

$\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \text{dmap } (\lambda(u,t). (u, t[x::=v]_{\tau v})) \ \Delta$
by(*induct* Δ , *auto*)

lemma *size-subst-dv* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-dv } G \ i \ x) \leq \text{size } G$
by (*induct* G , *auto*)

lemma *forget-subst-dv* [*simp*]: $\text{atom } a \# G \implies \text{subst-dv } G \ a \ x = G$
apply (*induct* G , *auto*)
using *fresh-DCons fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh* **apply** *fastforce*
apply (*simp add: fresh-DCons*)
done

lemma *subst-dv-member*:

assumes $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$
shows $(u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in \text{setD } (\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v})$
using *assms* **by**(*induct* Δ *rule:* $\Delta\text{-induct}$, *auto*)

lemma *fresh-subst-dv*:

fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } xa \# \Delta$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# v$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Δ *rule:* $\Delta\text{-induct}$)
case $DNil$
then show *?case* **by** *auto*

```

next
  case (DCons u t Δ)
  then show ?case using subst-dv.simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by simp
qed

lemma fresh-subst-dv-if:
  fixes j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::Δ
  assumes j # t ∧ j # x
  shows (j # subst-dv t i x)
using assms proof(induct t rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (DCons u' t' D')
  then show ?case unfolding subst-dv.simps using fresh-DCons fresh-subst-tv-if fresh-Pair by metis
qed

```

4.9 Statements

Using ideas from proof at top of AFP/Launchbury/Substitution.thy. Chunks borrowed from there; hence the apply style proofs.

```

nominal-function (default case-sum (λx. Inl undefined) (case-sum (λx. Inl undefined) (λx. Inr unde-
fined)))
subst-sv :: s ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ s
and subst-branchv :: branch-s ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ branch-s
and subst-branchlv :: branch-list ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ branch-list where
  subst-sv ( (AS-val v') ) x v = (AS-val (subst-vv v' x v ))
| atom y # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-let y e s) x v = (AS-let y (e[x::=v]ev) (subst-sv s x v ))
| atom y # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-let2 y t s1 s2) x v = (AS-let2 y (t[x::=v]tv) (subst-sv s1 x v )
(subst-sv s2 x v ))
| subst-sv (AS-match v' cs) x v = AS-match (v'[x::=v]vv) (subst-branchlv cs x v )
| subst-sv (AS-assign y v') x v = AS-assign y (subst-vv v' x v )
| subst-sv ( (AS-if v' s1 s2) ) x v = (AS-if (subst-vv v' x v ) (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v ) )
| atom u # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-var u τ v' s) x v = AS-var u (subst-tv τ x v ) (subst-vv v' x v )
(subst-sv s x v )
| subst-sv (AS-while s1 s2) x v = AS-while (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v )
| subst-sv (AS-seq s1 s2) x v = AS-seq (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v )
| subst-sv (AS-assert c s) x v = AS-assert (subst-cv c x v ) (subst-sv s x v )
| atom x1 # (x,v) ⇒ subst-branchv (AS-branch dc x1 s1 ) x v = AS-branch dc x1 (subst-sv s1 x v )

| subst-branchlv (AS-final cs) x v = AS-final (subst-branchv cs x v )
| subst-branchlv (AS-cons cs css) x v = AS-cons (subst-branchv cs x v ) (subst-branchlv css x v )
apply (auto,simp add: eqvt-def subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph-aux-def )
proof(goal-cases)

```

have eqvt-at-proj: $\bigwedge s \ x \ a \ v \ a . \text{eqvt-at } \text{subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC } (\text{Inl } (s, x, a, v)) \Rightarrow$

```

  eqvt-at (λa. projl (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC (Inl a))) (s, x, a, v)
apply(simp add: eqvt-at-def)
apply(rule)

```



```

apply(subst Projl-permute)
apply(thin-tac -)+
apply (simp add: subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC-def)
apply (simp add: THE-default-def)
apply (case-tac Ex1 (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph (Inl (s,xa,va))))
apply simp
apply(auto)[1]
apply (erule-tac x=x in allE)
apply simp
apply(cases rule: subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph.cases)
apply(assumption)
apply(rule-tac x=Sum-Type.proj1 x in exI,clarify,rule the1-equality,blast,simp (no-asm) only: sum.sel)+
apply blast +

apply(simp)+
done

{

  case (1 P x')
  then show ?case proof(cases x')
    case (Inl a) thus P
    proof(cases a)
      case (fields aa bb cc)
      thus P using Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by metis
    qed
  next
    case (Inr b) thus P
    proof(cases b)
      case (Inl a) thus P proof(cases a)
        case (fields aa bb cc)
        then show ?thesis using Inr Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
      qed
    next
      case Inr2: (Inr b) thus P proof(cases b)
        case (fields aa bb cc)
        then show ?thesis using Inr Inr2 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
      qed
    qed
  next
    case (2 y s ya xa va sa c)
    thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
  next
    case (3 y s2 ya xa va s1a s2a c)
    thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
  next
    case (4 u s ua xa va sa c)
    moreover have atom u  $\#$  (xa, va)  $\wedge$  atom ua  $\#$  (xa, va) using fresh-Pair u-fresh-xv by auto
    ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple[of u xa va ua s sa] subst-sv-def eqvt-at-proj by metis
  }

```

next
 case (5 x1 s1 x1a xa va s1a c)
 thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
}
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

subst-sv-abbrev :: $s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s \text{ } (-[::=]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)$

where

$s[x::=v]_{sv} \equiv \text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v$

abbreviation

subst-branchv-abbrev :: $\text{branch-s} \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-s } (-[::=]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)$

where

$s[x::=v]_{sv} \equiv \text{subst-branchv } s \ x \ v$

lemma size-subst-sv [simp]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-sv } A \ i \ x) = \text{size } A$ **and** $\text{size } (\text{subst-branchv } B \ i \ x) = \text{size } B$
and $\text{size } (\text{subst-branchlv } C \ i \ x) = \text{size } C$

by(nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct,auto)

lemma forget-subst-sv [simp]: **shows** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ A \implies \text{subst-sv } A \ a \ x = A$ **and** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ B \implies \text{subst-branchv } B \ a \ x = B$ **and** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ C \implies \text{subst-branchlv } C \ a \ x = C$

by (nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct,auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-sv-id [simp]: $\text{subst-sv } A \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = A$ **and** $\text{subst-branchv } B \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = B$ **and** $\text{subst-branchlv } C \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = C$

proof(nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

case (AS-let x option e s)

then show ?case

by (metis (no-types, lifting) fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps(2) subst-sv.simps(3) subst-tv-id v.fresh(2))

next

case (AS-match v branch-s)

then show ?case using fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps subst-sv.simps subst-tv-id v.fresh subst-vv-id

by metis

qed(auto)+

lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-rl:

shows

$(\text{atom } x \ \# \ s \wedge j \ \# \ s) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ s \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \implies j \ \# \ (\text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v)$ **and**
 $(\text{atom } x \ \# \ cs \wedge j \ \# \ cs) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ cs \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \implies j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v)$ **and**
 $(\text{atom } x \ \# \ css \wedge j \ \# \ css) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ css \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \implies j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v)$

apply(nominal-induct s **and** cs **and** css avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

using pure-fresh **by** force+

lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-lr:

shows $j \ \# \ (\text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v) \implies (\text{atom } x \ \# \ s \wedge j \ \# \ s) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ s \vee j = \text{atom } x))$ **and**

$j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v) \implies (\text{atom } x \ \# \ cs \wedge j \ \# \ cs) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ cs \vee j = \text{atom } x))$ **and**

$j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v) \implies (\text{atom } x \ \# \ css \wedge j \ \# \ css) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ css \vee j = \text{atom } x))$

proof(*nominal-induct s and cs and css avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)

case (*AS-branch list x s*)
then show ?*case using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-Pair list.distinct(1) list.set-cases pure-fresh set-ConsD subst-branchv.simps by metis*
next
case (*AS-let y e s'*)
thus ?*case proof(cases atom x # (AS-let y e s'))*
case *True*
hence *subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = (AS-let y e s') using forget-subst-sv by simp*
hence *j # (AS-let y e s') using AS-let by argo*
then show ?*thesis using True by blast*
next
case *False*

have *subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = AS-let y (e[x::=v]_{ev}) (s'[x::=v]_{sv}) using subst-sv.simps(2)*
AS-let by force
hence *((j # s'[x::=v]_{sv} ∨ j ∈ set [atom y]) ∧ j # None ∧ j # e[x::=v]_{ev}) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh AS-let*
by (*simp add: fresh-None*)
then show ?*thesis using AS-let fresh-None fresh-subst-ev-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh set-ConsD*
by *metis*
qed
next
case (*AS-let2 y τ s1 s2*)
thus ?*case proof(cases atom x # (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2))*
case *True*
hence *subst-sv (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) x v = (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) using forget-subst-sv by simp*
hence *j # (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) using AS-let2 by argo*
then show ?*thesis using True by blast*
next
case *False*
have *subst-sv (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) x v = AS-let2 y (τ[x::=v]_{τv}) (s1[x::=v]_{sv}) (s2[x::=v]_{sv}) using*
subst-sv.simps AS-let2 by force
then show ?*thesis using AS-let2*
fresh-subst-tv-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(4) set-ConsD by auto
qed
qed(*auto*)+

lemma *fresh-subst-sv-if[simp]:*

fixes *x::x and v::v*
shows *j # (subst-sv s x v) ⟷ (atom x # s ∧ j # s) ∨ (j # v ∧ (j # s ∨ j = atom x)) and*
j # (subst-branchv cs x v) ⟷ (atom x # cs ∧ j # cs) ∨ (j # v ∧ (j # cs ∨ j = atom x))
using *fresh-subst-sv-if-lr fresh-subst-sv-if-rl by metis+*

lemma *subst-sv-commute [simp]:*

fixes *A::s and t::v and j::x and i::x*
shows *atom j # A ⟹ (subst-sv (subst-sv A i t) j u) = subst-sv A i (subst-vv t j u) and*
atom j # B ⟹ (subst-branchv (subst-branchv B i t) j u) = subst-branchv B i (subst-vv t j u)
and
atom j # C ⟹ (subst-branchlv (subst-branchlv C i t) j u) = subst-branchlv C i (subst-vv t j u)

)
apply(*nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i j t u rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)
apply(*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)
done

lemma *c-eq-perm*:

assumes $((atom\ z) \Rightarrow (atom\ z')) \cdot c = c'$ **and** $atom\ z' \# c$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket$
using $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff(3)$
by (*metis Nominal2-Base.swap-commute assms(1) assms(2) flip-def swap-fresh-fresh*)

lemma *subst-sv-flip*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $v'::v$
assumes $atom\ c \# (s, sa)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (v', x, xa, s, sa)$ $atom\ x \# v'$ **and** $atom\ xa \# v'$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c)$
 $\cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$
shows $s[x::=v]_{sv} = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$
proof –
have $atom\ x \# (s[x::=v]_{sv})$ **and** $xafr: atom\ xa \# (sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$
and $atom\ c \# (s[x::=v]_{sv}, sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *assms* **using** *fresh-sv-sv-if assms* **by** (*blast+ ,force*)

hence $s[x::=v]_{sv} = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s[x::=v]_{sv})$ **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair*)
also have $\dots = ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s)[((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) ::= ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *subst-sv-sv-branchv-sv-branchlv.eqvt*
by *blast*
also have $\dots = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) ::= ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *assms* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) ::= ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *assms*
by (*metis flip-at-simps(1) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1)*)
also have $\dots = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *subst-sv-sv-branchv-sv-branchlv.eqvt* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$ **using** *xafr assms* **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *if-type-eq*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $z1::x$
assumes $atom\ z1' \# (v, ca, (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# v$
and $atom\ z1 \# (za, ca)$ **and** $atom\ z1' \# (za, ca)$
shows $(\llbracket z1' : ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z1 : ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket$
proof –
have $atom\ z1' \# (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$ **using** *assms fresh-prod4*
by *blast*
moreover hence $(CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}) = (z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$
proof –
have $(z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}) = ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll))) \text{ IMP } ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll)) \text{ IMP } ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))$
using $\langle atom\ z1 \# v \rangle$ *assms*

```

    by (metis (mono-tags) (atom z1' # (CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv)) c.fresh(6)
    c.fresh(7) ce.fresh(1) flip-at-simps(2) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-def supp-l-empty
    v.fresh(1))
    also have ... = ((CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll)) IMP (ca[za::=[z1]v]cv))
    using assms subst-cv-v-flip2 by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $\tau$ .eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of z1' CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv
z1 CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv] by blast
qed

```

```

lemma subst-sv-var-flip:
  fixes x::x and s::s and z::x
  shows atom x # s  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  s) = s[z::=[x]v]sv and
    atom x # cs  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  cs) = subst-branchv cs z [x]v and
    atom x # css  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  css) = subst-branchlv css z [x]v
  apply (nominal-induct s and cs and css avoiding: z x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  using [[simproc del: alpha-lst]]
  apply (auto)
  using subst-tv-var-flip flip-fresh-fresh v.fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
    subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-v-def subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-e-def subst-ev-var-flip pure-fresh apply auto
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff'(3) flip-fresh-fresh
  apply (simp add: subst-v-c-def)
  using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff'(3) flip-fresh-fresh
  by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)

```

```

instantiation s :: has-subst-v
begin

```

definition

subst-v = *subst-sv*

instance proof

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::s
  show (j # subst-v t i x) = ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    using fresh-subst-sv-if subst-v-s-def by auto

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::s and x::v
  show atom a # tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
    using forget-subst-sv subst-v-s-def by simp

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::s
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-sv-id subst-v-s-def by simp

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::s
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  using subst-sv-commute subst-v-s-def by simp

fix x::x and c::s and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=[x]v]v
  using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp

fix x::x and c::s and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
  using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp
qed
end

```

4.10 Type Definition

```

nominal-function subst-ft-v :: fun-typ ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ fun-typ where
  atom z # (x,v) ⇒ subst-ft-v ( AF-fun-typ z b c t (s::s) ) x v = AF-fun-typ z b c[x::=v]cv t[x::=v]tv
  s[x::=v]sv
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-v-graph-aux-def )
  apply(simp add: fun-typ.strong-exhaust )
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
  apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
  apply blast
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
  hence c[z::=[ cb ]v]cv = ca[za::=[ cb ]v]cv by metis
  hence c[z::=[ cb ]v]cv[xa::=va]cv = ca[za::=[ cb ]v]cv[xa::=va]cv by auto
  then show ?case using subst-cv-commute atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base subst-cv-commute-subst
  v.fresh
  using 1(14) 1(2) 1(3) 1(4) 1(5) by auto
next
  case (2 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
  hence t[z::=[ cb ]v]tv = ta[za::=[ cb ]v]tv by metis
  hence t[z::=[ cb ]v]tv[xa::=va]tv = ta[za::=[ cb ]v]tv[xa::=va]tv by auto
  then show ?case using subst-tv-commute-subst 2
  by (metis atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base v.fresh(2))
qed

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

nominal-function subst-ftq-v :: fun-typ-q ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ fun-typ-q where
  atom bv # (x,v) ⇒ subst-ftq-v ( AF-fun-typ-some bv ft ) x v = (AF-fun-typ-some bv (subst-ft-v ft x v))
  | subst-ftq-v (AF-fun-typ-none ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-none (subst-ft-v ft x v))
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-v-graph-aux-def )
  apply(simp add: fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust )
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)

```

```

  apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 bv ft bva fta xa va c)
  then show ?case using subst-ft-v.simps by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemma size-subst-ft[simp]: size (subst-ft-v A x v) = size A
  by(nominal-induct A avoiding: x v rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto)

```

```

lemma forget-subst-ft [simp]: shows atom x  $\sharp$  A  $\implies$  subst-ft-v A x a = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma subst-ft-id [simp]: subst-ft-v A a (V-var a) = A
by(nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto)

```

```

instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-v
begin

```

```

definition
  subst-v = subst-ft-v

```

```

instance proof

```

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ
  show (j  $\sharp$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\sharp$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\sharp$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\sharp$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\sharp$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
  apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp only: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-ft-v.simps )
  using fun-typ.fresh fresh-subst-v-if apply simp
  by auto

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::fun-typ and x::v
  show atom a  $\sharp$  tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
  proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
    case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
    then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
    subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
  qed

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::fun-typ
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm
  proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
    case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
    then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
    subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
  qed

```

```

  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ
  show p  $\cdot$  subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)

```

```

proof(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
qed

fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x z rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-def)

fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply auto
  by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-def )
qed
end

instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-v
begin

definition
  subst-v = subst-ftq-v

instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ-q
  show (j  $\#$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    apply(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)
    by (metis (no-types) fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-fun-typ-def)+

  fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q and x::v
  show atom i  $\#$  t  $\implies$  subst-v t i x = t
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q
  show subst-v t i (V-var i) = t using subst-cv-id subst-v-fun-typ-def
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ-q
  show p  $\cdot$  subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
    apply(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: v x1 rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix x::x and c::fun-typ-q and z::x

```



```

show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x z rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
  by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if)
)

fix  $x::x$  and  $c::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $z::x$ 
show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x ::= v]_v = c[z ::= v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z v rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if)
)
  by (metis subst-v-fun-typ-def flip-bv-x-cancel subst-ft-v.eqvt subst-v-simple-commute v.perm-simps)
)+
qed

end

```

4.11 Variable Context

lemma *subst-dv-fst-eq*:

```

   $fst\ 'setD\ (\Delta[x ::= v]_{\Delta v}) = fst\ 'setD\ \Delta$ 
by(induct  $\Delta$  rule:  $\Delta$ -induct,simp,force)

```

lemma *subst-gv-member-iff*:

```

  fixes  $x'::x$  and  $x::x$  and  $v::v$  and  $c'::c$ 
  assumes  $(x',b',c') \in setG\ \Gamma$  and  $atom\ x \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x',b',c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ \Gamma[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}$ 
proof -
  have  $x' \neq x$  using assms fresh-dom-free2 by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (GCons x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma'$ )
    show ?case proof(cases  $(x',b',c') = (x1,b1,c1)$ )
      case True
        hence  $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} = ((x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}))$  using subst-gv.simps
         $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  by auto
        then show ?thesis using True by auto
      case False
        have  $x1 \neq x$  using fresh-def fresh-GCons fresh-Pair supp-at-base GCons fresh-dom-free2 by auto
        hence  $(x', b', c') \in setG\ \Gamma'$  using GCons False setG.simps by auto
        moreover have  $atom\ x \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma'$  using fresh-GCons GCons dom.simps setG.simps by simp
        ultimately have  $(x', b', c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ \Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}$  using GCons by auto
        hence  $(x', b', c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ ((x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}))$  by auto
        then show ?thesis using subst-gv.simps  $\langle x1 \neq x \rangle$  by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *fresh-subst-gv-if*:

```

  fixes  $j::atom$  and  $i::x$  and  $x::v$  and  $t::\Gamma$ 

```

```

  assumes  $j \# t \wedge j \# x$ 
  shows  $(j \# \text{subst-gv } t \ i \ x)$ 
using assms proof(induct t rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-gv.simps using fresh-GCons fresh-subst-cv-if by auto
qed

```

4.12 Lookup

lemma *set-GConsD*: $y \in \text{setG } (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) \implies y=x \vee y \in \text{setG } xs$
by auto

lemma *subst-g-assoc-cons*:
 assumes $x \neq x'$
 shows $((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) = ((x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G))$
 using *subst-gv.simps append-g.simps assms* **by auto**

end

Chapter 5

Base Type Variable Substitution

5.1 Class

```

class has-subst-b = fs +
  fixes subst-b :: 'a::fs ⇒ bv ⇒ b ⇒ 'a::fs (-[::=]_b [1000,50,50] 1000)

  assumes fresh-subst-if:  $j \# (t[i::=x]_b) \longleftrightarrow (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$ 
  and forget-subst[simp]:  $atom\ a \# tm \implies tm[a::=x]_b = tm$ 
  and subst-id[simp]:  $tm[a::=(B-var\ a)]_b = tm$ 
  and eqvt[simp,eqvt]:  $(p::perm) \cdot (subst-b\ t1\ x1\ v) = (subst-b\ (p \cdot t1)\ (p \cdot x1)\ (p \cdot v))$ 
  and flip-subst[simp]:  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B-var\ bv]_b$ 
  and flip-subst-subst[simp]:  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
begin

```

```

lemmas flip-subst-b = flip-subst-subst

```

```

lemma subst-b-simple-commute:

```

```

  fixes x::bv
  assumes atom x # c
  shows  $(c[z::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = c[z::=b]_b$ 
proof -
  have  $(c[z::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=b]_b$  using flip-subst assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using flip-subst-subst assms by simp
qed

```

```

lemma subst-b-flip-eq-one:

```

```

  fixes z1::bv and z2::bv and x1::bv and x2::bv
  assumes  $[[atom\ z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst. c2$ 
  and  $atom\ x1 \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ 
  shows  $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x1]_b)$ 
proof -
  have  $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1$  using assms flip-subst by auto
  moreover have  $(c2[z2::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$  using assms flip-subst by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
  by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) flip-commute)
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-flip-eq-two*:

fixes $z1::bv$ **and** $z2::bv$ **and** $x1::bv$ **and** $x2::bv$
assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst.\ c2$
shows $(c1[z1::=b]_b) = (c2[z2::=b]_b)$

proof –

obtain $x::bv$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x]_b) = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x]_b)$ **using** *subst-b-flip-eq-one* [*OF assms, of x*] **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-b-simple-commute* * *fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *subst-b-fresh-x*:

fixes $tm::'a::fs$ **and** $x::x$
shows $atom\ x \# tm = atom\ x \# tm[bv::=b]_b$
using *fresh-subst-if* [*of atom x tm bv b*] **using** *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-b-x-flip[simp]*:

fixes $x'::x$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot tm)[bv::=b]_b = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (tm[bv::=b]_b)$

proof –

have $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot bv = bv$ **using** *pure-supp flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *force*
moreover **have** $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot b' = b'$ **using** *x-fresh-b flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *eqvt* **by** *simp*

qed

end

5.2 Base Type

nominal-function *subst-bb* :: $b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ **where**

subst-bb (*B-var* $bv2$) $bv1\ b = (if\ bv1 = bv2\ then\ b\ else\ (B-var\ bv2))$
subst-bb (*B-int* $bv1\ b = B-int$)
subst-bb (*B-bool* $bv1\ b = B-bool$)
subst-bb (*B-id* s) $bv1\ b = B-id\ s$
subst-bb (*B-pair* $b1\ b2$) $bv1\ b = B-pair\ (subst-bb\ b1\ bv1\ b)\ (subst-bb\ b2\ bv1\ b)$
subst-bb (*B-unit* $bv1\ b = B-unit$)
subst-bb (*B-bitvec* $bv1\ b = B-bitvec$)
subst-bb (*B-app* $s\ b2$) $bv1\ b = B-app\ s\ (subst-bb\ b2\ bv1\ b)$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def*)

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

apply (*meson b.strong-exhaust*)

done

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-bb-abbrev :: $b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ ($-[::=]_{bb}\ [1000, 50, 50]\ 1000$)

where

$$b[bv::=b']_{bb} \equiv \text{subst-bb } b \text{ bv } b'$$

instantiation $b :: \text{has-subst-b}$

begin

definition $\text{subst-b} = \text{subst-bb}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::\text{bv}$ **and** $x::b$ **and** $t::b$

show $j \# \text{subst-b } t \ i \ x = (\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

proof (*induct t rule: b.induct*)

case ($B\text{-id } x$)

then show *?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def* **by** *auto*

next

case ($B\text{-var } x$)

then show *?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def* **by** *auto*

next

case ($B\text{-app } x1 \ x2$)

then show *?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def* **by** *auto*

qed(*auto simp add: subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def*)+

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::b$ **and** $x::b$

show $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies tm[a::=x]_b = tm$

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::b$

show $\text{subst-b } tm \ a \ (B\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** *subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::\text{bv}$ **and** $v::b$ **and** $t1::b$

show $p \cdot \text{subst-b } t1 \ x1 \ v = \text{subst-b } (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $bv::\text{bv}$ **and** $c::b$ **and** $z::bv$

show $\text{atom } bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-var } bv]_b$

by (*induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def permute-pure pure-suppl) +*)

fix $bv::\text{bv}$ **and** $c::b$ **and** $z::bv$ **and** $v::b$

show $\text{atom } bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$

by (*induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def permute-pure pure-suppl) +*)

qed

end

lemma *subst-bb-inject*:

assumes $b1 = b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$ **and** $b2 \neq B\text{-var } bv$

shows

$b1 = B\text{-int} \implies b2 = B\text{-int}$ **and**

$b1 = B\text{-bool} \implies b2 = B\text{-bool}$ **and**

```

  b1 = B-unit  $\implies$  b2 = B-unit and
  b1 = B-bitvec  $\implies$  b2 = B-bitvec and
  b1 = B-pair b11 b12  $\implies$  ( $\exists$  b11' b12' . b11 = b11'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b12 = b12'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b2 = B-pair
b11' b12') and
  b1 = B-var bv'  $\implies$  b2 = B-var bv' and
  b1 = B-id tyid  $\implies$  b2 = B-id tyid and
  b1 = B-app tyid b11  $\implies$  ( $\exists$  b11' . b11 = b11'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b2 = B-app tyid b11')
using assms by(nominal-induct b2 rule:b.strong-induct,auto+)

lemma flip-b-subst4:
  fixes b1::b and bv1::bv and c::bv and b::b
  assumes atom c  $\#$  (b1,bv1)
  shows b1[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  b1)[c::=b]bb
using assms proof(nominal-induct b1 rule: b.strong-induct)
  case B-int
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case B-bool
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case (B-id x)
  hence atom bv1  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  atom c  $\#$  x using fresh-def pure-supp by auto
  hence ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  B-id x) = B-id x using fresh-Pair b.fresh(3) flip-fresh-fresh b.perm-simps fresh-def
pure-supp by metis
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
next
  case (B-pair x1 x2)
  hence x1[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x1)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by
metis
  moreover have x2[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x2)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4)
fresh-Pair B-pair by metis
  ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps(5) b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by auto
next
  case B-unit
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case B-bitvec
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case (B-var x)
  then show ?case proof(cases x=bv1)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by simp
next
  case False
  moreover have x $\neq$ c using B-var b.fresh fresh-def supp-at-base fresh-Pair by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps(1) b.perm-simps(7) by simp
qed
next
  case (B-app x1 x2)
  hence x2[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x2)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
  thus ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair B-app

```

by (simp add: permute-pure)
qed

lemma *subst-bb-flip-sym*:

fixes $b1::b$ and $b2::b$

assumes $\text{atom } c \# b$ and $\text{atom } c \# (bv1, bv2, b1, b2)$ and $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2$

shows $b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} = b2[bv2::=b]_{bb}$

using *assms flip-b-subst4* [of $c\ b1\ bv1\ b$] *flip-b-subst4* [of $c\ b2\ bv2\ b$] *fresh-prod4* *fresh-Pair* **by** *simp*

5.3 Value

nominal-function *subst-vb* :: $v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v$ **where**

subst-vb (V-lit l) $x\ v = V\text{-lit } l$

| *subst-vb* (V-var y) $x\ v = V\text{-var } y$

| *subst-vb* (V-cons $tyid\ c\ v'$) $x\ v = V\text{-cons } tyid\ c\ (subst\text{-vb } v'\ x\ v)$

| *subst-vb* (V-consp $tyid\ c\ b\ v'$) $x\ v = V\text{-consp } tyid\ c\ (subst\text{-bb } b\ x\ v)\ (subst\text{-vb } v'\ x\ v)$

| *subst-vb* (V-pair $v1\ v2$) $x\ v = V\text{-pair } (subst\text{-vb } v1\ x\ v)\ (subst\text{-vb } v2\ x\ v)$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-vb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

using *v.strong-exhaust* **by** *meson*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-vb-abbrev :: $v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v$ ($[-::=]_{vb}$ [1000,50,50] 500)

where

$e[bv::=b]_{vb} \equiv subst\text{-vb } e\ bv\ b$

instantiation $v :: has\text{-subst-}b$

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-vb*

instance proof

fix $j::atom$ and $i::bv$ and $x::b$ and $t::v$

show $j \# subst\text{-b } t\ i\ x = (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$

proof (*induct t rule: v.induct*)

case (V-lit l)

have $j \# subst\text{-b } (V\text{-lit } l)\ i\ x = j \# (V\text{-lit } l)$ **using** *subst-vb.simps* *fresh-def* *pure-fresh*

subst-b-v-def *v.supp* *v.fresh* *has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if* *subst-b-b-def* *subst-b-v-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = True$ **using** *fresh-at-base* *v.fresh* *l.fresh* *supp-l-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *metis*

moreover have $(atom\ i \# (V\text{-lit } l) \wedge j \# (V\text{-lit } l) \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# (V\text{-lit } l) \vee j = atom\ i)) = True$

using *fresh-at-base* *v.fresh* *l.fresh* *supp-l-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *metis*

ultimately show $?case$ **by** *simp*

next

case (V-var y)

then show $?case$ **using** *subst-b-v-def* *subst-vb.simps* *pure-fresh* **by** *force*

next

case (V-pair $x1a\ x2a$)

then show $?case$ **using** *subst-b-v-def* *subst-vb.simps* **by** *auto*

next

```

    case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
    then show ?case using V-cons subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh by force
next
    case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
    then show ?case using subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def by fastforce
qed

fix a::bv and tm::v and x::b
show atom a  $\nmid$  tm  $\implies$  subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst by fastforce

fix a::bv and tm::v
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def)
using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id by metis

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::v
show p  $\cdot$  subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-v-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp)+)
  apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z]
  apply (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
  using subst-b-b-def by argo

fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
  apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp)+)
  apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z]
  apply (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
  using subst-b-b-def flip-subst-subst by fastforce

qed
end

```


5.4 Constraints Expressions

nominal-function *subst-ceb* :: *ce* \Rightarrow *bv* \Rightarrow *b* \Rightarrow *ce* **where**

```

  subst-ceb ( (CE-val v') ) bv b = ( CE-val (subst-vb v' bv b) )
| subst-ceb ( (CE-op opp v1 v2) ) bv b = ( (CE-op opp (subst-ceb v1 bv b)(subst-ceb v2 bv b)) )
| subst-ceb ( (CE-fst v') ) bv b = CE-fst (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( (CE-snd v') ) bv b = CE-snd (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( (CE-len v') ) bv b = CE-len (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( CE-concat v1 v2 ) bv b = CE-concat (subst-ceb v1 bv b) (subst-ceb v2 bv b)

```

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-ceb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

by (*meson ce.strong-exhaust*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-ceb-abbrev :: *ce* \Rightarrow *bv* \Rightarrow *b* \Rightarrow *ce* ($[-::=]_{ceb}$ [1000,50,50] 500)

where

ce[*bv*::=*b*]_{*ceb*} \equiv *subst-ceb ce bv b*

instantiation *ce* :: *has-subst-b*

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-ceb*

instance proof

fix *j*::*atom* **and** *i*::*bv* **and** *x*::*b* **and** *t*::*ce*

show *j* $\#$ *subst-b t i* *x* = (*atom i* $\#$ *t* \wedge *j* $\#$ *t* \vee *j* $\#$ *x* \wedge (*j* $\#$ *t* \vee *j* = *atom i*))

proof (*induct t rule: ce.induct*)

case (*CE-val v*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-ce-def ce.supp v.supp ce.fresh*
has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def

by *metis*

next

case (*CE-op opp v1 v2*)

have (*j* $\#$ *v1*[*i*::=*x*]_{*ceb*} \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2*[*i*::=*x*]_{*ceb*}) = ((*atom i* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *atom i* $\#$ *v2*) \wedge *j* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2* \vee *j* $\#$ *x*
 \wedge (*j* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2* \vee *j* = *atom i*))

using *has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def*

using *CE-op.hyps(1) CE-op.hyps(2) subst-b-ce-def* **by** *auto*

thus ?*case* **unfolding** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.fresh*

using *fresh-def pure-fresh opp.fresh subst-b-v-def opp.exhaust fresh-e-opp-all*

by (*metis (full-types)*)

next

case (*CE-concat x1a x2*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if*
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh **by** *force*

next

case (*CE-fst x*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if*
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh **by** *metis*

next

case (*CE-snd x*)

```

    then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
  next
    case (CE-len x)
    then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
  qed

fix a::bv and tm::ce and x::b
show atom a  $\sharp$  tm  $\implies$  subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply (induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix a::bv and tm::ce
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::ce
show p  $\cdot$  subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
  apply (induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def ce.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-ce-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv
show atom bv  $\sharp$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: ce.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def
permute-pure pure-supp)+)

  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def apply
metis
using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def
  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)+
done

fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\sharp$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
proof (induct c rule: ce.induct)
  case (CE-val x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-op x1a x2 x3)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.perm-simps using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def

```

```

  opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust
    by (metis (full-types) ce.fresh(2))
next
  case (CE-concat x1a x2)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-fst x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-snd x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-len x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
qed
qed
end

```

5.5 Constraints

```

nominal-function subst-cb :: c  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  c where
  subst-cb (C-true) x v = C-true
| subst-cb (C-false) x v = C-false
| subst-cb (C-conj c1 c2) x v = C-conj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-disj c1 c2) x v = C-disj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-imp c1 c2) x v = C-imp (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-eq e1 e2) x v = C-eq (subst-ceb e1 x v) (subst-ceb e2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-not c) x v = C-not (subst-cb c x v)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

  subst-cb-abbrev :: c  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  c (-[::=]_cb [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  c[bv::=b]_cb  $\equiv$  subst-cb c bv b

```

instantiation c :: has-subst-b

begin

```

definition subst-b = subst-cb

```

instance proof

```

  fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::c
  show j  $\#$  subst-b t i x = (atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  by (induct t rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-ce-def c.fresh)+
  )

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::c and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-ce-def)+)

fix a::bv and tm::c
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-c-def
  by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-ce-def)+)

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::c
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh)
  by( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def )

fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
    pure-supp )+)
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def apply
metis
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)+
done

fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
    pure-supp )+)

  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  using flip-subst-subst apply fastforce
using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust
proof -
fix x1a :: ce and x2 :: ce
  assume a1: atom bv # x2
  then have ((bv ↔ z) · x2)[bv::=v]_b = x2[z::=v]_b
by (metis flip-subst-subst)
  then show x2[z::=B-var bv]_b[bv::=v]_ceb = x2[z::=v]_ceb
using a1 by (simp add: subst-b-ce-def)
qed

qed
end

```

5.6 Types

```

nominal-function subst-tb :: τ ⇒ bv ⇒ b ⇒ τ where
  subst-tb (⌊ z : b2 | c ⌋) bv1 b1 = ⌊ z : b2[bv1::=b1]_bb | c[bv1::=b1]_cb ⌋
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1

```

```

  then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def by force
next
case (2 x y)
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (3 P x)
  then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def  $\tau$ .strong-exhaust
    by (metis b-of.cases prod-cases3)
next
case (4 z' b2' c' bv1' b1' z b2 c bv1 b1)
show ?case unfolding  $\tau$ .eq-iff proof
  have *:  $[[atom\ z]]lst. c' = [[atom\ z]]lst. c$  using  $\tau$ .eq-iff 4 by auto
  show  $[[atom\ z]]lst. c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = [[atom\ z]]lst. c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  proof (subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3), rule, rule, rule)
    fix  $ca::x$ 
    assume  $atom\ ca \# z$  and  $1:atom\ ca \# (z', z, c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb}, c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb})$ 
    hence  $2:atom\ ca \# (c', c)$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-c-def fresh-Pair fresh-prod4 fresh-at-base
subst-b-fresh-x by metis
    hence  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c' = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c$  using 1 2 * Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
    hence  $((z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c')[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = ((z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c)[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  by auto
    hence  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot bv1' ::= (z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot b1]_{cb} = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c[(z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot bv1 ::= (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot b1]_{cb}$  by auto
    thus  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  using 4 flip-x-b-cancel by simp
  qed
  show  $b2'[bv1' ::= b1]_{bb} = b2[bv1 ::= b1]_{bb}$  using 4 by simp
qed
qed

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

$subst\text{-}tb\text{-}abbrev :: \tau \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \tau \ (-[::=]_{\tau b} [1000, 50, 50] 1000)$

where

$t[bv ::= b]_{\tau b} \equiv subst\text{-}tb\ t\ bv\ b'$

instantiation $\tau :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b$

begin

definition $subst\text{-}b = subst\text{-}tb$

instance proof

fix $j::atom$ **and** $i::bv$ **and** $x::b$ **and** $t::\tau$

show $j \# subst\text{-}b\ t\ i\ x = (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$

proof (nominal-induct t avoiding: i x j rule: τ .strong-induct)

case (T-refined-type z b c)

then show ?case

unfolding subst-b- τ -def subst-tb.simps τ .fresh

using fresh-subst-if[of j b i x] subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def

by (metis has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct(1) list.set-cases not-self-fresh set-ConsD)

qed

fix $a::bv$ **and** $tm::\tau$ **and** $x::b$

```

show  $atom\ a \# tm \implies subst\text{-}b\ tm\ a\ x = tm$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $tm$  avoiding:  $a\ x$  rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $xx\ bb\ cc$ )
  moreover hence  $atom\ a \# bb \wedge atom\ a \# cc$  using  $\tau$ .fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    unfolding subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-tb.simps
    using forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def forget-subst  $\tau$ .fresh by metis
qed

fix  $a::bv$  and  $tm::\tau$ 
show  $subst\text{-}b\ tm\ a\ (B\text{-}var\ a) = tm$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $tm$  rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $xx\ bb\ cc$ )
  thus ?case
    unfolding subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-tb.simps
    using subst-id subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
qed

fix  $p::perm$  and  $x1::bv$  and  $v::b$  and  $t1::\tau$ 
show  $p \cdot subst\text{-}b\ t1\ x1\ v = subst\text{-}b\ (p \cdot t1)\ (p \cdot x1)\ (p \cdot v)$ 
by (induct  $tm$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-tb.simps subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def)

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\tau$  and  $z::bv$ 
show  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b$ 
apply (induct  $c$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def permute-pure pure-supp) +)
using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-b-def
by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh subst-b- $\tau$ -def)

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\tau$  and  $z::bv$  and  $v::b$ 
show  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
proof (induct  $c$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $x1a\ x2a\ x3a$ )
  hence  $atom\ bv \# x2a \wedge atom\ bv \# x3a \wedge atom\ bv \# x1a$  using fresh-at-base  $\tau$ .fresh by simp
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-tb.simps subst-b- $\tau$ -def  $\tau$ .perm-simps
    using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of  $bv\ x1a\ z$ ] flip-subst-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def T-refined-type

proof –
  have  $atom\ z \# x1a$ 
  by (metis b.fresh(7) fresh-at-base(2) x-fresh-b)
  then show  $\{ (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1a : ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2a)[bv::=v]_{bb} \mid ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x3a)[bv::=v]_{cb} \} = \{ x1a$ 
 $: x2a[z::=v]_{bb} \mid x3a[z::=v]_{cb} \}$ 
  by (metis  $\{atom\ bv \# x1a; atom\ z \# x1a\} \implies (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1a = x1a$ )  $\langle atom\ bv \# x2a \wedge atom\ bv$ 
 $\# x3a \wedge atom\ bv \# x1a \rangle$  flip-subst-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def)
  qed
qed

qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-bb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-bb (subst-bb A i t) j u) = subst-bb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: b.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-vb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-vb (subst-vb A i t) j u) = subst-vb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: v.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-ceb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-ceb (subst-ceb A i t) j u) = subst-ceb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-cb (subst-cb A i t) j u) = subst-cb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-tb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-tb (subst-tb A i t) j u) = subst-tb A i (subst-bb t j u)
proof (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type z b c)
  then show ?case using subst-tb.simps subst-bb-commute subst-cb-commute by simp
qed

```

5.7 Expressions

```

nominal-function subst-eb :: e  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  e where
  subst-eb ( (AE-val v') ) bv b = ( AE-val (subst-vb v' bv b) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-app f v') ) bv b = ( (AE-app f (subst-vb v' bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-appP f b' v') ) bv b = ( (AE-appP f (b'[bv::=b]bb) (subst-vb v' bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-op opp v1 v2) ) bv b = ( (AE-op opp (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-fst v') ) bv b = AE-fst (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( (AE-snd v') ) bv b = AE-snd (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( (AE-mvar u) ) bv b = AE-mvar u
| subst-eb ( (AE-len v') ) bv b = AE-len (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( AE-concat v1 v2 ) bv b = AE-concat (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)
| subst-eb ( AE-split v1 v2 ) bv b = AE-split (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-eb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
by (meson e.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

subst-eb-abbrev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  e (-[::=]eb [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[bv::=b]eb  $\equiv$  subst-eb e bv b

```

instantiation e :: has-subst-b

begin

definition $\text{subst-b} = \text{subst-eb}$

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::e
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
proof (induct t rule: e.induct)
  case (AE-val v)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def
    by metis
next
  case (AE-app f v)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def
    e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) e.fresh(2))
next
  case (AE-appP f b' v)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
    fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
    fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp fresh-e-opp-all
    e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
next
  case (AE-concat x1a x2)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
    by (metis subst-vb.simps(5))
next
  case (AE-split x1a x2)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
    by (metis subst-vb.simps(5))
next
  case (AE-fst x)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AE-snd x)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AE-mvar x)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp by auto
next
  case (AE-len x)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
qed

```



```

fix a::bv and tm::e and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix a::bv and tm::e
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::e
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-e-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
)
  using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-b-def
  flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-τ-def apply metis
  apply (metis (full-types) opp.perm-simps(1) opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)
done

fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
  apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
)
  using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-b-def
  flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-τ-def apply simp

  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(1) opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)
done
qed
end

```

5.8 Statements

nominal-function (default case-sum ($\lambda x. \text{Inl undefined}$) (case-sum ($\lambda x. \text{Inl undefined}$) ($\lambda x. \text{Inr unde-}$
 fined)))

subst-sb :: *s* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *s*

and *subst-branchb* :: *branch-s* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *branch-s*

and *subst-branchlb* :: *branch-list* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *branch-list*

where

subst-sb (*AS-val* *v'*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-val* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-let* *y* *e* *s*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-let* *y* (*e*[*bv*::=*b*]_{*eb*}) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-let2* *y* *t* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-let2* *y* (*subst-tb* *t* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-match* *v'* *cs*) *bv* *b* = *AS-match* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-branchlb* *cs* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-assign* *y* *v'*) *bv* *b* = *AS-assign* *y* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-if* *v'* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-if* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-var* *u* *τ* *v'* *s*) *bv* *b* = *AS-var* *u* (*subst-tb* *τ* *bv* *b*) (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-while* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = *AS-while* (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-seq* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = *AS-seq* (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-assert* *c* *s*) *bv* *b* = *AS-assert* (*subst-cb* *c* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchb* (*AS-branch* *dc* *x1* *s'*) *bv* *b* = *AS-branch* *dc* *x1* (*subst-sb* *s'* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchlb* (*AS-final* *sb*) *bv* *b* = *AS-final* (*subst-branchb* *sb* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchlb* (*AS-cons* *sb* *ssb*) *bv* *b* = *AS-cons* (*subst-branchb* *sb* *bv* *b*) (*subst-branchlb* *ssb* *bv* *b*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *eqvt-def* *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph-aux-def*)

apply (*auto*,*metis* *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust* *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust*(2)
old.sum.exhaust *surj-pair*)

proof(*goal-cases*)

have *eqvt-at-proj*: $\bigwedge s \ x \ va . \ eqvt-at \ subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC \ (Inl \ (s, \ x a, \ va)) \implies$
 $eqvt-at \ (\lambda a. \ projl \ (subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC \ (Inl \ a))) \ (s, \ x a, \ va)$

apply(*simp* *only*: *eqvt-at-def*)

apply(*rule*)

apply(*subst* *Projl-permute*)

apply(*thin-tac* *-*)*+*

apply(*simp* *add*: *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC-def*)

apply(*simp* *add*: *THE-default-def*)

apply(*case-tac* *Ex1* (*subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph* (*Inl* (*s*,*x a*,*va*))))

apply *simp*

apply(*auto*)[1]

apply(*erule-tac* *x=x* **in** *allE*)

apply *simp*

apply(*cases* *rule*: *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph.cases*)

apply(*assumption*)

apply(*rule-tac* *x=Sum-Type.proj1* *x* **in** *exI*,*clarify*,*rule* *the1-equality*,*blast*,*simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *sum.sel*)*+*

apply *blast* *+*

apply(*simp*)*+*

done

{

case (*1* *y* *s* *ya* *sa* *bva* *ba* *c*)

moreover **have** *atom* *y* $\#$ (*bva*, *ba*) \wedge *atom* *ya* $\#$ (*bva*, *ba*) **using** *x-fresh-b* *x-fresh-bv* *fresh-Pair* **by**

simp

ultimately **show** *?case*

```

    using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (2 y s2 ya s1a s2a bva ba c)
moreover have atom y # (bva, ba) ∧ atom ya # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
ultimately show ?case
    using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (3 u s ua sa bva ba c)
moreover have atom u # (bva, ba) ∧ atom ua # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (4 x1 s' x1a s'a bva ba c)
moreover have atom x1 # (bva, ba) ∧ atom x1a # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair
by simp
ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
}
qed

```

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-sb-abbrev :: $s \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow s$ ($-[::=]_{sb}$ [1000,50,50] 1000)

where

$b[bv::=b]_{sb} \equiv \text{subst-sb } b \text{ bv } b'$

lemma *fresh-subst-sb-if* [*simp*]:

$(j \# (\text{subst-sb } A \ i \ x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# A \wedge j \# A) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# A \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$ **and**
 $(j \# (\text{subst-branchb } B \ i \ x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# B \wedge j \# B) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# B \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$ **and**
 $(j \# (\text{subst-branchlb } C \ i \ x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# C \wedge j \# C) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# C \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

proof (*nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)

case (*AS-branch* $x1 \ x2 \ x3$)

have $(j \# \text{subst-branchb } (\text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3) \ i \ x) = (j \# (\text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ (\text{subst-sb } x3 \ i \ x)))$ **by**

auto

also have $\dots = ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1)$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by**

auto

also have $\dots = ((\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3) \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

using *subst-branchb.simps(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b- τ -def*

v.fresh AS-branch

proof –

have $f1: \forall cs \ b. \text{atom } (b::bv) \# (cs::\text{char list})$ **using** *pure-fresh* **by** *auto*

then have $j \# x \wedge \text{atom } i = j \longrightarrow ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1) = (\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

by (*metis (full-types) AS-branch.hyps(3)*)

then have $j \# x \longrightarrow ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1) = (\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \ x2 \ x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

using *AS-branch.hyps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *metis*

moreover

```

    { assume  $\neg j \# x$ 
      have ?thesis
        using f1 AS-branch.hyps(2) AS-branch.hyps(3) by force }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by satx
  qed
  finally show ?case by auto

next
case (AS-cons cs css i x)
show ?case
  unfolding subst-branchlb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
  using AS-cons by auto
next
case (AS-val xx)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b-e-def
  by fastforce
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b- $\tau$ -def
  by fastforce
next
case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh using
has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AS-var u t v s)

  have (((atom i # s  $\wedge$  j # s  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # s  $\vee$  j = atom i))  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t[i::=x] $\tau_b$   $\wedge$  j
# v[i::=x] $v_b$ ) =
    (((atom i # s  $\wedge$  j # s  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # s  $\vee$  j = atom i))  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$ 
      ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))  $\wedge$ 
      ((atom i # v  $\wedge$  j # v  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # v  $\vee$  j = atom i))))
    using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def by metis
  also have ... = (((atom i # s  $\vee$  atom i  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  atom i # t  $\wedge$  atom i # v)  $\wedge$ 
    (j # s  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t  $\wedge$  j # v  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  ((j # s  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t  $\wedge$  j
# v  $\vee$  j = atom i))
    using u-fresh-b by auto
  finally show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh AS-var
    by simp

next
case (AS-assign u v)
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh using
has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by force
next

```

```

  case (AS-match v cs)
  have j # (AS-match v cs)[i::=x]sb = j # (AS-match (subst-vb v i x) (subst-branchlb cs i x)) using
subst-sb.simps by auto
  also have ... = (j # (subst-vb v i x) ∧ j # (subst-branchlb cs i x)) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
by simp
  also have ... = (j # (subst-vb v i x) ∧ ((atom i # cs ∧ j # cs) ∨ j # x ∧ (j # cs ∨ j = atom i))) using
AS-match[of i x] by auto
  also have ... = (atom i # AS-match v cs ∧ j # AS-match v cs ∨ j # x ∧ (j # AS-match v cs ∨ j =
atom i))
  by (metis (no-types) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def)
  finally show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-assert x1 x2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
  using fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b-e-def
  by (metis subst-b-c-def)

```

qed(auto+)

lemma

```

  forget-subst-sb[simp]: atom a # A ⇒ subst-sb A a x = A and
  forget-subst-branchb [simp]: atom a # B ⇒ subst-branchb B a x = B and
  forget-subst-branchlb[simp]: atom a # C ⇒ subst-branchlb C a x = C
proof (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
  next
  case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-τ-def by force
  next
  case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def using subst-b-τ-def
  proof -
    have f1: (atom a # x4 ∨ atom a ∈ set [atom x1]) ∧ atom a # x2 ∧ atom a # x3
    using AS-var.premis s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
    then have atom a # x4
    by (metis (no-types) Nominal-Utills.fresh-star-singleton AS-var.hyps(1) empty-set fresh-star-def
list.simps(15) not-self-fresh)
    then show ?thesis
    using f1 by (metis AS-var.hyps(3) has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-τ-def subst-b-v-def subst-sb.simps(7))
  end

```

```

qed

next
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-cons x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-val x)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-match x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-assert c s)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using
    s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-cb.simps by force
qed(auto+)

```

```

lemma subst-sb-id: subst-sb A a (B-var a) = A and
  subst-branchb-id [simp]: subst-branchb B a (B-var a) = B and
  subst-branchlb-id: subst-branchlb C a (B-var a) = C
proof(nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
    subst-b-v-def
    by simp
next

```

```

  case (AS-cons x1 x2 )
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
  case (AS-val x)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-match x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
next
  case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
next
  case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-assert c s )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-c-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
qed (auto)

```

lemma *flip-subst-s*:

```

  fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
  shows  atom bv  $\nVdash$  s  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  s) = s[z::=B-var bv]sb and
        atom bv  $\nVdash$  cs  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  cs) = subst-branchb cs z (B-var bv) and
        atom bv  $\nVdash$  css  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  css) = subst-branchlb css z (B-var bv)

```

proof(nominal-induct s and cs and css rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

```

case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
moreover have ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x2) = x2 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x2 z] AS-branch by
auto
ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
case (AS-cons x1 x2 )
hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = subst-branchb x1 z (B-var bv) using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(13) by metis
moreover have ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x2) = subst-branchlb x2 z (B-var bv) using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x2 z] AS-cons s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by metis
ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto
next
case (AS-val x)
then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next
case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-assign x1 x2)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-match x1 x2)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto

```



```

next
case (AS-while x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-seq x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-assert x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
qed(auto)

lemma flip-subst-subst-s:
  fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
  shows atom bv  $\#$  s  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  s)[bv::=v]sb = s[z::=v]sb and
    atom bv  $\#$  cs  $\implies$  subst-branchb ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  cs) bv v = subst-branchb cs z v and
    atom bv  $\#$  css  $\implies$  subst-branchlb ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  css) bv v = subst-branchlb css z v
proof(nominal-induct s and cs and css rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
  moreover have ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x2) = x2 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x2 z] AS-branch by
  auto
  ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
  s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
case (AS-cons x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchlb.simps
  using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto

next
case (AS-val x)
then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by force
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next

```

```

case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-τ-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-assign x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-match x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-while x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-seq x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-assert x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-c-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
qed(auto)

```

instantiation $s :: \text{has-subst-b}$

begin

definition $\text{subst-b} = (\lambda s \text{ bv } b. \text{subst-sb } s \text{ bv } b)$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::\text{bv}$ **and** $x::b$ **and** $t::s$

show $j \# \text{subst-b } t \ i \ x = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

using fresh-subst-sb-if subst-b-s-def **by** metis

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::s$ **and** $x::b$

show $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies \text{subst-b } tm \ a \ x = tm$ **using** subst-b-s-def forget-subst-sb **by** metis

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::s$

show $\text{subst-b } tm \ a \ (B\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** subst-b-s-def subst-sb-id **by** metis

```

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::s
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v) using subst-b-s-def subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb.eqv
by metis

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
using subst-b-s-def flip-subst-s by metis

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
using flip-subst-subst-s subst-b-s-def by metis

```

```

qed
end

```

5.9 Function Type

```

nominal-function subst-ft-b :: fun-typ => bv => b => fun-typ where
subst-ft-b ( AF-fun-typ z b c t (s::s)) x v = AF-fun-typ z (subst-bb b x v) (subst-cb c x v) t[x::=v]_τb
s[x::=v]_sb
apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-b-graph-aux-def )
apply(simp add: fun-typ.strong-exhaust, auto )
apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
by blast

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

nominal-function subst-ftq-b :: fun-typ-q => bv => b => fun-typ-q where
atom bv # (x,v) ==> subst-ftq-b (AF-fun-typ-some bv ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-some bv (subst-ft-b ft x v))
| subst-ftq-b (AF-fun-typ-none ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-none (subst-ft-b ft x v))
apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-b-graph-aux-def )
apply(simp add: fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust, auto )
apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)
by (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ft-b

```

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def )
by (metis fresh-subst-if subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def)+

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff')
  using subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
    subst-ft-b.simps by metis

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff', auto)
  using subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
    subst-ft-b.simps
  by (metis has-subst-b-class.subst-id)+

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::fun-typ
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply (nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps)

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-b-τ-def subst-b-s-def)

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: bv v z rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-b-τ-def subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst)
  using subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-τ-def
    subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst
  using flip-subst-s(1) flip-subst-subst-s(1) by auto

```

qed
end

instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ftq-b

instance proof
fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ-q
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
 apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: i x j rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def)

```

subst-ftp-b.simps)
  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
apply metis+
done

fix a::bv and t::fun-typ-q and x::b
show atom a # t ⇒ subst-b t a x = t
  apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
eqvt by metis+

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t::fun-typ-q
show p · subst-b t x1 v = subst-b (p · t) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ-q
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using subst-id subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
subst-ftp-b.simps by metis+

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
eqvt by metis+

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z v bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using flip-subst flip-subst-subst forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def
subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def eqvt by metis+

qed
end

```

5.10 Contexts

5.10.1 Immutable Variables

nominal-function *subst-gb* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**

```

  subst-gb GNil - - = GNil
| subst-gb ((y,b',c)#ΓΓ) bv b = ((y,b'[bv::=b]bb,c[bv::=b]cb)#Γ (subst-gb Γ bv b))
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-gb-graph-aux-def) +
  apply auto

```

```

proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 P a1 a2 b)
  then show ?case using  $\Gamma$ .exhaust neq-GNil-conv by force
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

subst-gb-abbrev ::  $\Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma$  ( $[-::=]_{\Gamma b}$  [1000,50,50] 1000)

```

where

```

g[ $bv::=b$ ] $_{\Gamma b}$   $\equiv$  subst-gb g bv b'

```

instantiation $\Gamma ::$ *has-subst-b*

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-gb*

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::\Gamma

```

```

show  $j \# \text{subst-b } t \ i \ x = (\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i))$ 

```

```

proof(induct t rule: \Gamma-induct)

```

```

  case GNil

```

```

  then show ?case using fresh-GNil subst-gb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-\Gamma-def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
fresh-GNil fresh-GCons by metis

```

```

  next

```

```

    case (GCons x' b' c' \Gamma')

```

```

    have *:  $\text{atom } i \# x'$  using fresh-at-base by simp

```

```

    have  $j \# \text{subst-b } ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \ i \ x = j \# ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} (\text{subst-b } \Gamma' \ i \ x))$  using
subst-gb.simps subst-b-\Gamma-def by auto

```

```

    also have ... =  $(j \# ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \wedge (j \# (\text{subst-b } \Gamma' \ i \ x)))$  using fresh-GCons by
auto

```

```

    also have ... =  $((j \# x') \wedge (j \# b'[i::=x]_{bb}) \wedge (j \# c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \wedge (j \# (\text{subst-b } \Gamma' \ i \ x))$  by auto

```

```

    also have ... =  $((j \# x') \wedge ((\text{atom } i \# b' \wedge j \# b' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# b' \vee j = \text{atom } i))) \wedge$ 
 $((\text{atom } i \# c' \wedge j \# c' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# c' \vee j = \text{atom } i))) \wedge$ 
 $((\text{atom } i \# \Gamma' \wedge j \# \Gamma' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \Gamma' \vee j = \text{atom } i))))$ 

```

```

    using fresh-subst-if[of j b' i x] fresh-subst-if[of j c' i x] GCons subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by simp

```

```

    also have ... =  $((\text{atom } i \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \wedge j \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma}$ 
 $\Gamma' \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$  using * fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 by metis

```

```

    finally show ?case by auto

```

qed

```

fix a::bv and tm::\Gamma and x::b

```

```

show  $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies \text{subst-b } tm \ a \ x = tm$ 

```

```

proof (induct tm rule: \Gamma-induct)

```

```

  case GNil

```

```

  then show ?case using subst-gb.simps subst-b-\Gamma-def by auto

```

```

  next

```

```

    case (GCons x' b' c' \Gamma')

```

```

    have *:  $b'[a::=x]_{bb} = b' \wedge c'[a::=x]_{cb} = c'$  using GCons fresh-GCons[of atom a] fresh-prod3[of atom

```

```

a] has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
  have subst-b ((x', b', c') #Γ Γ') a x = ((x', b'[a::=x]bb, c'[a::=x]cb) #Γ (subst-b Γ' a x)) using
subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps by auto
  also have ... = ((x', b', c') #Γ Γ') using * GCons fresh-GCons[of atom a] by auto
  finally show ?case using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 GCons subst-b-Γ-def
has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a b' x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
qed

fix a::bv and tm::Γ
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
proof(induct tm rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-gb.simps subst-b-Γ-def by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' Γ')
  then show ?case using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-Γ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-gb.simps
by metis
qed

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::Γ
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
proof (induct tm rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps by simp
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' Γ')
  then show ?case using subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps has-subst-b-class.eqvt by argo
qed

fix bv::bv and c::Γ and z::bv
show atom bv ‡ c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
proof (induct c rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps by auto
next
  case (GCons x b c Γ')
  have *:(bv ↔ z) · (x, b, c) = (x, (bv ↔ z) · b, (bv ↔ z) · c) using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-gb.simps subst-b-Γ-def permute-Γ.simps *
    using GCons subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps flip-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons by auto
qed

fix bv::bv and c::Γ and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv ‡ c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
proof (induct c rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps by auto
next
  case (GCons x b c Γ')
  have *:(bv ↔ z) · (x, b, c) = (x, (bv ↔ z) · b, (bv ↔ z) · c) using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-gb.simps subst-b-Γ-def permute-Γ.simps *

```

```

    using GCons subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps flip-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons by auto
qed
qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-b-base-for-lit:
  (base-for-lit l)[bv::=b]bb = base-for-lit l
using base-for-lit.simps l.strong-exhaust
by (metis subst-bb.simps(2) subst-bb.simps(3) subst-bb.simps(6) subst-bb.simps(7))

```

```

lemma subst-b-lookup:
  assumes Some (b, c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  x
  shows Some (b[bv::=b]bb, c[bv::=b]cb) = lookup  $\Gamma$ [bv::=b] $\Gamma$ b x
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct, auto)

```

```

lemma subst-g-b-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and b::b and  $\Gamma$ :: $\Gamma$  and bv::bv
  assumes atom x  $\#$   $\Gamma$ 
  shows atom x  $\#$   $\Gamma$ [bv::=b] $\Gamma$ b
  using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def assms by metis

```

5.10.2 Mutable Variables

```

nominal-function subst-db ::  $\Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta$  where
  subst-db [] $\Delta$  - - = [] $\Delta$ 
| subst-db ((u,t)  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta$ ) bv b = ((u,t[bv::=b] $\tau$ b)  $\#_{\Delta}$  (subst-db  $\Delta$  bv b))
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-db-graph-aux-def, auto)
using list.exhaust delete-aux.elims
  using neq-DNil-conv by fastforce
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

abbreviation
  subst-db-abbrev ::  $\Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta$  (-[::=] $\Delta$ b [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
   $\Delta$ [bv::=b] $\Delta$ b  $\equiv$  subst-db  $\Delta$  bv b

```

```

instantiation  $\Delta$  :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-db

```

```

instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t:: $\Delta$ 
  show j  $\#$  subst-b t i x = (atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  proof(induct t rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
    case DNil
    then show ?case using fresh-DNil subst-db.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b- $\Delta$ -def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
    fresh-DNil fresh-DCons by metis
  next
    case (DCons u t  $\Gamma$ )
    have j  $\#$  subst-b ((u, t)  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Gamma$ ) i x = j  $\#$  ((u, t[i::=x] $\tau$ b)  $\#_{\Delta}$  (subst-b  $\Gamma$ ' i x)) using subst-db.simps
    subst-b- $\Delta$ -def by auto
  end
end

```



```

also have ... = (j # ((u, t[i::=x]τb)) ∧ (j # (subst-b Γ' i x))) using fresh-DCons by auto
also have ... = (((j # u) ∧ (j # t[i::=x]τb)) ∧ (j # (subst-b Γ' i x))) by auto
also have ... = ((j # u) ∧ ((atom i # t ∧ j # t) ∨ (j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i)))) ∧ (atom i # Γ'
  ∧ j # Γ' ∨ j # x ∧ (j # Γ' ∨ j = atom i)))
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if[of j t i x] subst-b-τ-def DCons subst-b-Δ-def by auto
also have ... = (atom i # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∧ j # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∨ j # x ∧ (j # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∨ j = atom
  i))
  using DCons subst-db.simps(2) has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if fresh-DCons subst-b-Δ-def pure-fresh
  fresh-at-base by auto
finally show ?case by auto
qed

fix a::bv and tm::Δ and x::b
show atom a # tm ⇒ subst-b tm a x = tm
proof (induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-Δ-def by auto
next
  case (DCons u t Γ')
  have *:t[a::=x]τb = t using DCons fresh-DCons[of atom a] fresh-prod2[of atom a] has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
  subst-b-τ-def by metis
  have subst-b ((u,t) #Δ Γ') a x = ((u,t[a::=x]τb) #Δ (subst-b Γ' a x)) using subst-b-Δ-def
  subst-db.simps by auto
  also have ... = ((u, t) #Δ Γ') using * DCons fresh-DCons[of atom a] by auto
  finally show ?case using
    has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-DCons fresh-prod3
    DCons subst-b-Δ-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a t x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
qed

fix a::bv and tm::Δ
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
proof(induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-Δ-def by auto
next
  case (DCons u t Γ')
  then show ?case using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-Δ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-db.simps by
  metis
qed

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::Δ
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
proof (induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b-Δ-def subst-db.simps by simp
next
  case (DCons x' b' Γ')
  then show ?case by argo
qed

fix bv::bv and c::Δ and z::bv
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b

```

```

proof (induct c rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps by auto
next
  case (DCons u t')
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b- $\Delta$ -def permute- $\Delta$ .simps
    using DCons subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps flip-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base
    fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
  qed

```

```

fix bv::bv and c:: $\Delta$  and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
proof (induct c rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps by auto
next
  case (DCons u t')
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b- $\Delta$ -def permute- $\Delta$ .simps
    using DCons subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps flip-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base
    fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
  qed
qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-d-b-member:
  assumes (u,  $\tau$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta$ 
  shows (u,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
  using assms by (induct  $\Delta$ , auto)

```

```

lemmas ms-fresh-all = e.fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh  $\tau$ .fresh c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh l.fresh fresh-at-base
opp.fresh pure-fresh ms-fresh

```

```

lemmas fresh-intros[intro] = fresh-GNil x-not-in-b-set x-not-in-u-atoms x-fresh-b u-not-in-x-atoms bv-not-in-x-atoms
u-not-in-b-atoms

```

```

lemmas subst-b-simps = subst-tb.simps subst-cb.simps subst-ceb.simps subst-vb.simps subst-bb.simps
subst-eb.simps subst-branchb.simps subst-sb.simps

```

```

ML  $\langle \text{Ctr-Sugar.ctr-sugar-of } @\{\text{context}\} @\{\text{type-name } b\} |> \text{Option.map } \# \text{ctr}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma subst-d-b-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and b::b and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and bv::bv
  assumes atom x  $\nmid$   $\Delta$ 
  shows atom x  $\nmid$   $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
  using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Delta$ -def assms by metis

```

```

lemma subst-b-fresh-x:
  fixes x::x

```

shows $atom\ x \# v \implies atom\ x \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# ce \implies atom\ x \# ce[bv::=b]_{ceb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# e \implies atom\ x \# e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# c \implies atom\ x \# c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# t \implies atom\ x \# t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# d \implies atom\ x \# d[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# g \implies atom\ x \# g[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# s \implies atom\ x \# s[bv::=b]_{sb}$
using *fresh-subst-if x-fresh-b subst-b-v-def subst-b-ce-def subst-b-e-def subst-b-c-def subst-b- τ -def subst-b-s-def*
subst-g-b-x-fresh subst-d-b-x-fresh
by *metis+*

lemma *subst-b-fresh-u-cls*:
fixes $tm::'a::has-subst-b$ **and** $x::u$
shows $atom\ x \# tm = atom\ x \# tm[bv::=b]_b$
using *fresh-subst-if[of atom x tm bv b]* **using** *u-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-g-b-u-fresh*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $bv::bv$
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$
using *subst-b-fresh-u-cls subst-b- Γ -def assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *subst-d-b-u-fresh*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Delta$ **and** $bv::bv$
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *subst-b-fresh-u-cls subst-b- Δ -def assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *subst-b-fresh-u*:
fixes $x::u$
shows $atom\ x \# v \implies atom\ x \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# ce \implies atom\ x \# ce[bv::=b]_{ceb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# e \implies atom\ x \# e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# c \implies atom\ x \# c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# t \implies atom\ x \# t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# d \implies atom\ x \# d[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# g \implies atom\ x \# g[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# s \implies atom\ x \# s[bv::=b]_{sb}$
using *fresh-subst-if u-fresh-b subst-b-v-def subst-b-ce-def subst-b-e-def subst-b-c-def subst-b- τ -def subst-b-s-def*
subst-g-b-u-fresh subst-d-b-u-fresh
by *metis+*

lemma *subst-db-u-fresh*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $D::\Delta$
assumes $atom\ u \# D$
shows $atom\ u \# D[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *assms proof(induct D rule: Δ -induct)*
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons u' t' D'*)

then show *?case* **using** *subst-db.simps fresh-def fresh-DCons fresh-subst-if subst-b- τ -def*
by (*metis fresh-Pair u-not-in-b-atoms*)
qed

lemma *flip-bt-subst4*:
fixes *t:: τ* **and** *bv::bv*
assumes *atom bv $\#$ t*
shows $t[bv'::=b]_{\tau b} = ((bv' \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t)[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *flip-subst-subst[OF assms, of bv' b]*
by (*simp add: flip-commute subst-b- τ -def*)

lemma *subst-bt-flip-sym*:
fixes *t1:: τ* **and** *t2:: τ*
assumes *atom bv $\#$ b and atom bv $\#$ (bv1, bv2, t1, t2) and (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t2*
shows $t1[bv1::=b]_{\tau b} = t2[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *assms flip-bt-subst4[of bv t1 bv1 b] flip-bt-subst4 fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair by metis*

end

Chapter 6

Wellformed Terms

We require that expressions and values are well-sorted. We identify sort with base. Define a large cluster of mutually recursive inductive predicates. Some of the proofs are across all of the predicates and although they seemed at first to be daunting they have all worked out well with only the cases where you think something special needs to be done having some non-uniform part of the proof.

named-theorems *ms-wb Facts for helping with well-sortedness*

6.1 Definitions

inductive $wfV :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfC :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfG :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTs :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTh :: \Theta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (\vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfB :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfCE :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTD :: \Theta \Rightarrow \text{type-def} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$
where

$wfB\text{-}intI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}int$
 $wfB\text{-}boolI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}bool$
 $wfB\text{-}unitI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}unit$
 $wfB\text{-}bitvecI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}bitvec$
 $wfB\text{-}pairI: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $wfB\text{-}consI: \llbracket$
 $\quad \vdash_{wf} \Theta;$
 $\quad (AF\text{-}typedef \ s \ dclist) \in \text{set } \Theta$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}id \ s$
 $wfB\text{-}appI: \llbracket$
 $\quad \vdash_{wf} \Theta;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b;$

$$\begin{array}{l}
(AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist) \in \text{set } \Theta \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-app } s \text{ } b \\
\\
| \text{ wfV-varI: } \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ; \text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \text{ } x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b \\
| \text{ wfV-litI: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-lit } l : \text{base-for-lit } l \\
\\
| \text{ wfV-pairI: } \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2) : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b2 \\
\\
| \text{ wfV-consI: } \llbracket \\
\quad AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta ; \\
\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-cons } s \text{ } dc \text{ } v : B\text{-id } s \\
\\
| \text{ wfV-conspI: } \llbracket \\
\quad AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta ; \\
\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b ; \\
\quad \text{atom } bv \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-consp } s \text{ } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v : B\text{-app } s \text{ } b \\
\\
| \text{ wfCE-valI: } \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfCE-plusI: } \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-int} ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op Plus } v1 \text{ } v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\\
| \text{ wfCE-leqI: } \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-int} ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op LEq } v1 \text{ } v2 : B\text{-bool} \\
\\
| \text{ wfCE-fstI: } \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b2 \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } v1 : b1
\end{array}$$

$| \text{wfCE-sndI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1 : b2$

$| \text{wfCE-concatI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 : B\text{-bitvec}$

$| \text{wfCE-lenI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } v1 : B\text{-int}$

$| \text{wfTI} : \llbracket$
 $\quad atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$

$| \text{wfC-eqI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-eq } e1 \ e2$

$| \text{wfC-trueI}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-true}$

$| \text{wfC-falseI}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-false}$

$| \text{wfC-conjI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-conj } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfC-disjI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-disj } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfC-notI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-not } c1$

$| \text{wfC-impI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfG-nilI}: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil$

$| \text{wfG-cons1I}: \llbracket c \notin \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\quad atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c ; \text{wfB } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$
 $\rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

$| \text{wfG-cons2I}: \llbracket c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\quad atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma ;$
 $\quad \text{wfB } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$
 $\rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

$| \text{wfTh-emptyI}: \vdash_{wf} []$

| *wfTh-consI*: \llbracket
 $(\text{name-of-type } tdef) \notin \text{name-of-type 'set } \Theta ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta ;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} tdef \rrbracket \implies \vdash_{wf} tdef \# \Theta$

| *wfTD-simpleI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ } lst)$

| *wfTD-poly*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ } bv \text{ } lst)$

| *wfTs-nil*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket :: (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ } list$

| *wfTs-cons*: $\llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;$
 $dc \notin \text{fst 'set } ts ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts :: (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ } list \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((dc, \tau) \# ts)$

inductive-cases *wfC-elim*s:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-true}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-false}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-eq } e1 \text{ } e2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-conj } c1 \text{ } c2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-disj } c1 \text{ } c2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-not } c1$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \text{ } c2$

inductive-cases *wfV-elim*s:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-lit } l : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-cons } tyid \text{ } dc \text{ } v : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v : b'$

inductive-cases *wfCE-elim*s:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op Plus } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op LEq } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op opp } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$

inductive-cases *wfT-elim*s:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau :: \tau$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$

inductive-cases *wfG-elim*s:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, FALSE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

inductive-cases *wfTh-elim*s:

$\vdash_{wf} []$
 $\vdash_{wf} td \# \Pi$

inductive-cases *wfTD-elim*s:

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ lst)$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ lst)$

inductive-cases *wfTs-elim*s:

$P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ([::((string*\tau)\ list))$
 $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ((t\#ts)::((string*\tau)\ list))$

inductive-cases *wfB-elim*s:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}id\ s$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}app\ s\ b$

equivariance *wfV*

nominal-inductive *wfV*

avoids *wfV-conspI*: $bv \mid wfTI$: z

proof(*goal-cases*)

case ($1\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b'\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ \Gamma\ v$)

moreover hence $atom\ bv\ \# \ V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v$ **using** $v.\text{fresh}\ \text{fresh-prodN}\ \text{pure-fresh}$ **by** *metis*

moreover have $atom\ bv\ \# \ B\text{-}app\ s\ b$ **using** $b.\text{fresh}\ \text{fresh-prodN}\ \text{pure-fresh}\ 1$ **by** *metis*

ultimately show $?case$ **using** $b.\text{fresh}\ v.\text{fresh}\ \text{pure-fresh}\ \text{fresh-star-def}\ \text{fresh-prodN}$ **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($2\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b'\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ \Gamma\ v$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

next

case ($3\ z\ \Gamma\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ c$)

then show $?case$ **using** $\tau.\text{fresh}\ \text{fresh-star-def}\ \text{fresh-prodN}$ **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($4\ z\ \Gamma\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ c$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

inductive

$wfE :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool\ (-; -; -; -; - \vdash_{wf} - : -\ [50,50,50]\ 50)$ **and**

$wfS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfCS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{branch-}s \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfCSS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfPhi :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfD :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfFTQ :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{fun-typ-q} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfFT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \text{fun-typ} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50) \ \text{where}$

$wfE\text{-}valI : \llbracket ($
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}val \ v : b$

$| \text{wfE-plusI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2 : B\text{-}int$

$| \text{wfE-leqI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 : B\text{-}bool$

$| \text{wfE-fstI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}fst \ v1 : b1$

$| \text{wfE-sndI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}snd \ v1 : b2$

$| \text{wfE-concatI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}bitvec$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-concat\ v1\ v2 : B-bitvec \\
\\
| \text{ } wfE-splitI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-bitvec ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B-int \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-split\ v1\ v2 : B-pair\ B-bitvec\ B-bitvec \\
\\
| \text{ } wfE-lenI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-bitvec \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-len\ v1 : B-int \\
\\
| \text{ } wfE-appI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\ Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-none\ (AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-app\ f\ v : b-of\ \tau \\
\\
| \text{ } wfE-appPI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' ; \\ atom\ bv\ \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b-of\ \tau)[bv::=b]_b); \\ Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ (AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b) \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v) : ((b-of\ \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \\
\\
| \text{ } wfE-mvarI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\ (u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-mvar\ u : b-of\ \tau \\
\\
| \text{ } wfS-valI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b ; \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \end{array}} \\
\boxed{\Rightarrow} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AS-val\ v) : b \\
\\
| \text{ } wfS-letI: \boxed{\begin{array}{l} wfE\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b' ; \end{array}}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b) \\
\Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET\ x = e\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-assertI: } \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \\
\Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} ASSERT\ c\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-let2I: } \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \\
\Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET\ x : \tau = s1\ IN\ s2 : b \\
| \text{ wfS-ifI: } \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-bool} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} IF\ v\ THEN\ s1\ ELSE\ s2 : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-varI : } \llbracket wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} VAR\ u : \tau = v\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-assignI: } \llbracket (u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} u ::= v : B\text{-unit} \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-whileI: } \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B\text{-bool} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} WHILE\ s1\ DO\ \{ s2 \} : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-seqI: } \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B\text{-unit} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 ;; s2 : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-matchI: } \llbracket wfV\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ (B\text{-id}\ tid) ; \\
(AF\text{-typedef}\ tid\ dclist) \in set\ \Theta ; \\
wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-match}\ v\ cs : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-branchI: } \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ;
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{atom } x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau); \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta}} \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc\ x \Rightarrow s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-finalI: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b}} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b}} \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; [(dc, t)] \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-final } cs : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-cons: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b;}} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b;}} \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; (dc, t) \# dclist \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-cons } cs\ css : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfD-emptyI: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \boxed{}_{\Delta} \\
| \text{ wfD-cons: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta;}} \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ; \\
u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD\ \Delta \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta;}} \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \\
\\
| \text{ wfPhi-emptyI: } \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} \boxed{} \\
| \text{ wfPhi-consI: } \boxed{\phantom{f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set\ \Phi;}} \\
f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set\ \Phi; \\
\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \\
\boxed{\phantom{f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set\ \Phi;}} \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} ((AF\text{-fundef } f\ ft) \# \Phi) \\
| \text{ wfFTNone: } \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-typ-none } ft \\
| \text{ wfFTSome: } \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\ bv |\} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv\ ft \\
| \text{ wfFTI: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b;}} \\
\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \boxed{}_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
supp\ s \subseteq \{atom\ x\} ; \\
supp\ c \subseteq \{atom\ x\} ; \\
\Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b;}} \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-typ } x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)
\end{array}$$

inductive-cases *wfE-elim*:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-val } v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-fst } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-snd } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-concat } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-len } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op opp } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-app } f\ v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f\ b'\ v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-mvar } u : b
\end{array}$$

inductive-cases *wfCS-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-s) : b$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-list) : b$

inductive-cases *wfPhi-elim*:

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} []$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} ((AF-fundef\ f\ ft)\#\Pi)$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (fd\#\Phi::\Phi)$

declare[[*simproc del: alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *wfFTQ-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none\ ft$
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ ft$
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ (AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$

inductive-cases *wfFT-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$

declare[[*simproc add: alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *wfD-elim*:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma::\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma::\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta::\Delta$

equivariance *wfE*

nominal-inductive *wfE*

avoids *wfE-appPI: bv | wfS-varI: u | wfS-letI: x | wfS-let2I: x | wfS-branchI: x | wfS-assertI: x*

proof(*goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ b'\ bv\ v\ \tau\ f\ x\ b\ c\ s$)
moreover hence *atom bv # AE-appP f b' v using pure-fresh fresh-prodN e.fresh by auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-star-def by fastforce*

next

case (2 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ b'\ bv\ v\ \tau\ f\ x\ b\ c\ s$)
then show *?case by auto*

next

case (3 $\Phi\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b$)
moreover hence *atom x # LET x = e IN s using fresh-prodN by auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def by fastforce*

next

case (4 $\Phi\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b$)
then show *?case by auto*

next

case (5 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ c\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b$)
hence *atom x # ASSERT c IN s using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto*

```

  then show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 5 by fastforce
next
  case (6  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (7  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  hence  $atom\ x \# \tau \wedge atom\ x \# s1$  using fresh-prodN by metis
  moreover hence  $atom\ x \# LET\ x : \tau = s1\ IN\ s2$ 
  using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(3)[of  $atom\ x\ x\ \tau\ s1\ s2$ ] fresh-prodN by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 7 by fastforce
next
  case (8  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (9  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  moreover hence  $atom\ u \# AS-var\ u\ \tau\ v\ s$  using fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-star-def fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by fastforce
next
  case (10  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (11  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid\ dc$ )
  moreover have  $atom\ x \# (dc\ x \Rightarrow s)$  using pure-fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def pure-fresh by fastforce
next
  case (12  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid\ dc$ )
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

inductive wfVDs :: var-def list \Rightarrow bool **where**

wfVDs-nilI: wfVDs []

| wfVDs-consI: [
 $atom\ u \# ts$;
 $wfV\ ([::\Theta]\{\}\ GNil\ v\ (b-of\ \tau))$;
 $wfT\ ([::\Theta]\{\}\ GNil\ \tau$;
 $wfVDs\ ts$
] \Rightarrow wfVDs ((AV-def u τ v) # ts)

equivariance wfVDs

nominal-inductive wfVDs .

end

hide-const Syntax.dom

Chapter 7

Refinement Constraint Logic

Semantics for the logic we use in the refinement constraints. It is a multi-sorted, quantifier free logic with polymorphic datatypes and linear arithmetic. We could have modelled by using one of the encodings to FOL however we wanted to explore using a more direct model.

7.1 Evaluation and Satisfiability

7.1.1 Valuation

RCL values. This is our universe. S_{Ut} is a value for uninterpreted sort that corresponds to base type variables. For now we only need one of these universes. We wrap an `smt_val` inside it during a process we call 'boxing' that is introduced in the `RCLModelLemmass` theory

nominal-datatype $rcl\text{-}val = S_{\text{Bitvec}} \text{ bit list} \mid S_{\text{Num}} \text{ int} \mid S_{\text{Bool}} \text{ bool} \mid S_{\text{Pair}} \text{ rcl-val rcl-val} \mid$
 $S_{\text{Cons}} \text{ tyid string rcl-val} \mid S_{\text{Consp}} \text{ tyid string b rcl-val} \mid$
 $S_{\text{Unit}} \mid S_{\text{Ut}} \text{ rcl-val}$

RCL sorts. Represent our domains. The universe is the union of all of the these. S_{Ut} is the single uninterpreted sort. Map almost directly to base type but should have them to clearly distinguish syntax (base types) and semantics (RCL sorts)

nominal-datatype $rcl\text{-}sort = S_{\text{bool}} \mid S_{\text{int}} \mid S_{\text{unit}} \mid S_{\text{pair}} \text{ rcl-sort rcl-sort} \mid S_{\text{id}} \text{ tyid} \mid S_{\text{app}} \text{ tyid}$
 $rcl\text{-}sort \mid S_{\text{bitvec}} \mid S_{\text{ut}}$

type-synonym $valuation = (x, rcl\text{-}val) \text{ map}$

type-synonym $type\text{-}valuation = (bv, rcl\text{-}sort) \text{ map}$

inductive $wfRCV :: \Theta \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool \ (\ - \vdash - : - [50,50] \ 50) \text{ where}$
 $wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Bitvec}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Bitvec}} \text{ bv}) : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\mid wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Int}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Num}} \text{ n}) : B\text{-int}$
 $\mid wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Bool}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Bool}} \text{ b}) : B\text{-bool}$
 $\mid wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Pair}}I: \llbracket P \vdash s1 : b1 ; P \vdash s2 : b2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \vdash (S_{\text{Pair}} \text{ s1 s2}) : (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2)$
 $\mid wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Cons}}I: \llbracket AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta;$
 $\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ;$
 $\quad \Theta \vdash s1 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash (S_{\text{Cons}} \text{ s dc s1}) : (B\text{-id } s)$
 $\mid wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{ConsPI}}I: \llbracket AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta;$


```

      (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
      atom bv # (Θ, SConsp s dc b' s1, B-app s b');
      Θ ⊢ s1 : b[bv::=b']bb ] ⇒ Θ ⊢ (SConsp s dc b' s1) : (B-app s b')
| wfRCV-BUnitI: P ⊢ SUnit : B-unit
| wfRCV-BVarI: P ⊢ (SUnit n) : (B-var bv)
equivariance wfRCV
nominal-inductive wfRCV
  avoids wfRCV-BConsPI: bv
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 s bv dclist Θ dc x b c b' s1)
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def by auto
next
  case (2 s bv dclist Θ dc x b c s1 b')
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

inductive-cases wfRCV-elim :

```

wfRCV P s B-bitvec
wfRCV P s (B-pair b1 b2)
wfRCV P s (B-int)
wfRCV P s (B-bool)
wfRCV P s (B-id ss)
wfRCV P s (B-var bv)
wfRCV P s (B-unit)
wfRCV P s (B-app tyid b)
wfRCV P (SBitvec bv) b
wfRCV P (SNum n) b
wfRCV P (SBool n) b
wfRCV P (SPair s1 s2) b
wfRCV P (SCons s dc s1) b
wfRCV P (SConsp s dc b' s1) b
wfRCV P SUnit b
wfRCV P (SUnit s1) b

```

thm wfRCV-elim(9)

Sometimes we want to do $P \vdash s \sim b[bv=b']$ and we want to know what b is however substitution is not injective so we can't write this in terms of $wfRCV$. So we define a relation that makes the variable and thing being substituted in explicit.

inductive wfRCV-subst:: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow (bv*b) \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

wfRCV-subst-BBitvecI: wfRCV-subst P (SBitvec bv) B-bitvec sub
| wfRCV-subst-BIntI: wfRCV-subst P (SNum n) B-int sub
| wfRCV-subst-BBoolI: wfRCV-subst P (SBool b) B-bool sub
| wfRCV-subst-BPairI: [ wfRCV-subst P s1 b1 sub ; wfRCV-subst P s2 b2 sub ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst P
(SPair s1 s2) (B-pair b1 b2) sub
| wfRCV-subst-BConsI: [ AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ;
  (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
  wfRCV-subst Θ s1 b None ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst Θ (SCons s dc s1) (B-id s) sub
| wfRCV-subst-BConspI: [ AF-typedef-poly s bv dclist ∈ set Θ;
  (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
  wfRCV-subst Θ s1 (b[bv::=b']bb) sub ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst Θ (SConsp s dc b' s1) (B-app s b') sub
| wfRCV-subst-BUnitI: wfRCV-subst P SUnit B-unit sub

```

$| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar1I}: \text{bvar} \neq \text{bv} \implies \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ (S} \text{Ut } n) (B\text{-var } \text{bv}) \text{ (Some (bvar, bin))}$
 $| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar2I}: \llbracket \text{bvar} = \text{bv}; \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ s bin None} \rrbracket \implies \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ s (B-var } \text{bv}) \text{ (Some (bvar, bin))}$
 $| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar3I}: \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ (S} \text{Ut } n) (B\text{-var } \text{bv}) \text{ None}$
equivariance *wfRCV-subst*
nominal-inductive *wfRCV-subst* .

7.1.2 Evaluation base-types

inductive *eval-b* :: *type-valuation* \Rightarrow *b* \Rightarrow *rcl-sort* \Rightarrow *bool* (- \llbracket - $\rrbracket \sim$ -) **where**
 $v \llbracket B\text{-bool} \rrbracket \sim S\text{-bool}$
 $| v \llbracket B\text{-int} \rrbracket \sim S\text{-int}$
 $| \text{Some } s = v \text{ bv} \implies v \llbracket B\text{-var } \text{bv} \rrbracket \sim s$
equivariance *eval-b*
nominal-inductive *eval-b* .

7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation

definition *wfI* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{valuation} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - \vdash -) **where**
 $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i = (\forall (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma. \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b)$

7.1.4 Evaluating Terms

nominal-function *eval-l* :: *l* \Rightarrow *rcl-val* (\llbracket - \rrbracket) **where**
 $\llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket = S\text{Bool True}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-false} \rrbracket = S\text{Bool False}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket = S\text{Num } n$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-unit} \rrbracket = S\text{Unit}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } n \rrbracket = S\text{Bitvec } n$
apply(*auto simp: eqvt-def eval-l-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis l.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

inductive *eval-v* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *v* \Rightarrow *rcl-val* \Rightarrow *bool* (- \llbracket - $\rrbracket \sim$ -) **where**
 $\text{eval-v-litI}: i \llbracket V\text{-lit } l \rrbracket \sim \llbracket l \rrbracket$
 $| \text{eval-v-varI}: \text{Some } sv = i \ x \implies i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim sv$
 $| \text{eval-v-pairI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s1 ; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim s2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \sim S\text{Pair } s1 \ s2$
 $| \text{eval-v-consI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \implies i \llbracket V\text{-cons } \text{tyid } dc \ v \rrbracket \sim S\text{Cons } \text{tyid } dc \ s$
 $| \text{eval-v-conspI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \implies i \llbracket V\text{-consp } \text{tyid } dc \ b \ v \rrbracket \sim S\text{Consp } \text{tyid } dc \ b \ s$
equivariance *eval-v*
nominal-inductive *eval-v* .

inductive-cases *eval-v-elim*:

$i \llbracket V\text{-lit } l \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-cons } \text{tyid } dc \ v \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-consp } \text{tyid } dc \ b \ v \rrbracket \sim s$

inductive *eval-e* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *ce* \Rightarrow *rcl-val* \Rightarrow *bool* (- \llbracket - $\rrbracket \sim$ -) **where**
 $\text{eval-e-valI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim sv \implies i \llbracket CE\text{-val } v \rrbracket \sim sv$

$| \text{eval-e-plusI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim \text{SNum } n1; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim \text{SNum } n2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-op Plus } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } (n1+n2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-leqI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } n1); i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } n2) \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-op LEq } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBool } (n1 \leq n2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-fstI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim \text{SPair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-fst } v) \rrbracket \sim v1$
 $| \text{eval-e-sndI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim \text{SPair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-snd } v) \rrbracket \sim v2$
 $| \text{eval-e-concatI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv1); i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv2) \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-concat } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } (bv1 @ bv2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-lenI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv) \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{CE-len } v) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } (\text{int } (\text{List.length } bv)))$

equivariance *eval-e*

nominal-inductive *eval-e* .

thm *eval-e.induct*

inductive-cases *eval-e-elim*:

$i \llbracket (\text{CE-val } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-op Plus } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-op LEq } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-fst } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-snd } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-concat } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-len } v) \rrbracket \sim s$

inductive *eval-c* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *c* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \llbracket *-* $\rrbracket \sim$ *-*) **where**

$\text{eval-c-trueI}: i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 $\text{eval-c-falseI}: i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim \text{False}$
 $\text{eval-c-conjI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{C-conj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \wedge b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-disjI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{C-disj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \vee b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-impI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{C-imp } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \rightarrow b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-notI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim b \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{C-not } c) \rrbracket \sim (\neg b)$
 $\text{eval-c-eqI}: \llbracket i \llbracket e1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 ; i \llbracket e2 \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \llbracket (\text{C-eq } e1 \ e2) \rrbracket \sim (sv1 = sv2)$

equivariance *eval-c*

nominal-inductive *eval-c* .

inductive-cases *eval-c-elim*:

$i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim \text{False}$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-conj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-disj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-imp } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-not } c) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-eq } e1 \ e2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim s$

7.1.5 Satisfiability

inductive *is-satis* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *c* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \models *-*) **where**

$i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim \text{True} \Rightarrow i \models c$

equivariance *is-satis*

nominal-inductive *is-satis* .

nominal-function *is-satis-g* :: *valuation* $\Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*-* \models *-*) **where**
i \models *GNil* = *True*
| *i* \models ((*x*,*b*,*c*) $\#_{\Gamma}$ *G*) = (*i* \models *c* \wedge *i* \models *G*)
apply(*auto simp: eqvt-def is-satis-g-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis* Γ .*exhaust old.prod.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

7.2 Validity

nominal-function *valid* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*-* ; *-* ; *-* \models *-* [*50*, *50*] *50*) **where**
P ; *B* ; *G* $\models c$ = ((*P* ; *B* ; *G* \vdash_{wf} *c*) \wedge ($\forall i. (P ; G \vdash i) \wedge i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c$))
by (*auto simp: eqvt-def wfI-def valid-graph-aux-def*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

7.3 Lemmas

Lemmas needed for Examples

lemma *valid-trueI* [*intro*]:
fixes *G::* Γ
assumes *P* ; *B* \vdash_{wf} *G*
shows *P* ; *B* ; *G* \models *C-true*
proof –
have $\forall i. i \models C\text{-true}$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-trueI* **by** *simp*
moreover have *P* ; *B* ; *G* \vdash_{wf} *C-true* **using** *wfC-trueI assms* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*
qed

inductive *split* :: *int* \Rightarrow *bit list* \Rightarrow *bit list* * *bit list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
split 0 *xs* ([], *xs*)
| *split* *m* *xs* (*ys*,*zs*) \Longrightarrow *split* (*m*+1) (*x* $\#$ *xs*) ((*x* $\#$ *ys*), *zs*)
equivariance *split*
nominal-inductive *split* .

lemma *split-concat*:
assumes *split* *n* *v* (*v1*,*v2*)
shows *v* = *append* *v1* *v2*
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* (*v1*,*v2*) *arbitrary: v1 v2* *rule: split.inducts*)
case 1
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (2 *m* *xs* *ys* *zs* *x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *split-n*:
assumes *split* *n* *v* (*v1*,*v2*)
shows 0 \leq *n* \wedge *n* \leq *int* (*length* *v*)
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* *rule: split.inducts*)
case (1 *xs*)

```

  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 m xs ys zs x)
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma split-length:
  assumes split n v (v1,v2)
  shows n = int (length v1)
using assms proof(induct (v1,v2) arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: split.inducts)
  case (1 xs)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 m xs ys zs x)
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma obtain-split:
  assumes 0 ≤ n and n ≤ int (length bv)
  shows ∃ bv1 bv2. split n bv (bv1 , bv2)
using assms proof(induct bv arbitrary: n)
  case Nil
  then show ?case using split.intros by auto
next
  case (Cons b bv)
  show ?case proof(cases n = 0)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using split.intros by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain m where m:n=m+1 using Cons
    by (metis add.commute add-minus-cancel)
    moreover have 0 ≤ m using False m Cons by linarith
    then obtain bv1 and bv2 where split m bv (bv1 , bv2) using Cons m by force
    hence split n (b # bv) ((b#bv1), bv2) using m split.intros by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

end

7.4 Syntax Lemmas

```

lemma supp-v-tau [simp]:
  assumes atom z ≠ v
  shows supp (λ z : b | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val v ⋈) = supp v ∪ supp b
  using assms τ.supp c.supp ce.supp
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)

```

```

lemma supp-v-var-tau [simp]:

```

```

assumes  $z \neq x$ 
shows  $\text{supp } (\llbracket z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) \rrbracket) = \{ \text{atom } x \} \cup \text{supp } b$ 
using supp-v-tau assms
using supp-at-base by fastforce

```

Sometimes we need to work with a version of a binder where the variable is fresh in something else, such as a bigger context. I think these could be generated automatically

```

lemma obtain-fresh-fun-def:
  fixes  $t::'b::fs$ 
  shows  $\exists y::x. \text{atom } y \# (s, c, \tau, t) \wedge (\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) = \text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s))))$ 
proof -
  obtain  $y::x$  where  $y: \text{atom } y \# (s, c, \tau, t)$  using obtain-fresh by blast
  moreover have  $\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s))) = (\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)))$ 
  proof (cases x=y)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using fun-def.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3) flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD by auto
  next
    case False
    thm fun-typ.eq-iff
    have  $(\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s)) = (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$  proof (subst fun-typ.eq-iff, subst Abs1-eq-iff(3))
      show  $((y = x \wedge (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = ((c, \tau), s) \vee y \neq x \wedge (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((c, \tau), s) \wedge \text{atom } y \# ((c, \tau), s))) \wedge b = b)$ 
    using False flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD y by auto
    qed
    thus ?thesis by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using y fresh-Pair by metis
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-fun-member:
  assumes  $\text{Some } (\text{AF-fundef } f \ ft) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ 
  shows  $\text{AF-fundef } f \ ft \in \text{set } \Phi$ 
using assms proof (induct  $\Phi$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a  $\Phi$ )
  then show ?case using lookup-fun.simps
    by (metis fun-def.exhaust insert-iff list.simps(15) option.inject)
qed

```

```

lemma rig-dom-eq:
   $\text{dom } (G[x \mapsto c]) = \text{dom } G$ 
proof (induct  $G$  rule:  $\Gamma$ .induct)
  case GNil

```

```

  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by presburger
next
case (GCons xbc  $\Gamma'$ )
  obtain  $x'$  and  $b'$  and  $c'$  where  $xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')$  using prod-cases3 by blast
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps GCons by simp
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig-eq:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  x
  shows Some (b,c') = lookup ( $\Gamma[x \mapsto c']$ ) x
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (GCons x b c  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig-neq:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  y and  $x \neq y$ 
  shows Some (b,c) = lookup ( $\Gamma[x \mapsto c']$ ) y
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  y
  shows  $\exists c''. \text{Some } (b,c'') = \text{lookup } (\Gamma[x \mapsto c']) y$ 
proof(cases  $x=y$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-eq using assms by blast
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-neq using assms by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-inside[simp]:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma'$ 
  shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma$ ) x
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma'$ ,auto)

```

```

lemma lookup-inside2:
  assumes Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)$ ) y and  $x \neq y$ 
  shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)$ ) y
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ .induct,auto+)

```

```

fun tail:: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  tail [] = []

```

| $\text{tail } (x \# xs) = xs$

lemma *lookup-options*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } (xt \#_{\Gamma} G) x$

shows $((x,b,c) = xt) \vee (\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G x)$

by (*metis* *assms* *lookup.simps(2)* *option.inject surj-pair*)

lemma *lookup-x*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G x$

shows $x \in \text{fst } \text{'setG } G$

using *assms*

by(*induct* *G* *rule*: $\Gamma.\text{induct}$,*auto*+))

lemma *GCons-eq-appendI*:

fixes $xs1::\Gamma$

shows $[[x \#_{\Gamma} xs1 = ys; xs = xs1 @ zs]] ==> x \#_{\Gamma} xs = ys @ zs$

by (*drule sym*) *simp*

lemma *split-G*: $x : \text{setG } xs \implies \exists ys zs. xs = ys @ x \#_{\Gamma} zs$

proof (*induct xs*)

case *GNil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case *GCons* **thus** ?*case* **using** *GCons-eq-appendI*

by (*metis Un-iff append-g.simps(1) singletonD setG.simps(2)*)

qed

lemma *lookup-not-empty*:

assumes $\text{Some } \tau = \text{lookup } G x$

shows $G \neq \text{GNil}$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *lookup-in-g*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma x$

shows $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$

using *assms* **apply**(*induct* Γ , *simp*)

using *lookup-options* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *lookup-split*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma x$

shows $\exists G G' . \Gamma = G' @ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G$

by (*meson* *assms(1)* *lookup-in-g split-G*)

lemma *setG-splitU*[*simp*]:

$(x',b',c') \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longleftrightarrow (x',b',c') \in (\text{setG } \Gamma' \cup \{(x, b, c)\} \cup \text{setG } \Gamma)$

using *append-g-setGU setG.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *setG-splitP*[*simp*]:

$(\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). P x' b' c') \longleftrightarrow (\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma'. P x' b' c') \wedge P x b c \wedge (\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma. P x' b' c') \text{ (is } ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$

using *setG-splitU* **by** *force*


```

lemma lookup-restrict:
  assumes Some (b',c') = lookup (Γ'@ (x,b,c) #Γ Γ) y and x ≠ y
  shows Some (b',c') = lookup (Γ'@Γ) y
using assms proof(induct Γ' rule:Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x1 b1 c1 Γ')
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma supp-list-member:
  fixes x::'a::fs and l::'a list
  assumes x ∈ set l
  shows supp x ⊆ supp l
  using assms apply(induct l, auto)
  using supp-Cons by auto

```

```

lemma GNil-append:
  assumes GNil = G1@G2
  shows G1 = GNil ∧ G2 = GNil
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (G1 = GNil ∧ G2 = GNil)
  hence G1@G2 ≠ GNil using append-g.simps by (metis Γ.distinct(1) Γ.exhaust)
  thus False using assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma GCons-eq-append-conv:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows x#Γxs = ys@zs = (ys = GNil ∧ x#Γxs = zs ∨ (∃ ys'. x#Γys' = ys ∧ xs = ys'@zs))
by(cases ys) auto

```

7.5 Type Definitions

```

lemma exist-fresh-bv:
  fixes tm::'a::fs
  shows ∃ bva2 dclist2. AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∧
    atom bva2 # tm
proof -
  obtain bva2::bv where *:atom bva2 # (bva, dclist,tyid,tm) using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover hence bva2 ≠ bva using fresh-at-base by auto
  moreover have dclist = (bva ↔ bva2) • (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist by simp
  moreover have atom bva # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist proof -
    have atom bva2 # dclist using * fresh-prodN by auto
    hence atom ((bva2 ↔ bva) • bva2) # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist using fresh-eqvt True-eqvt
  proof -
    have (bva2 ↔ bva) • atom bva2 # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist
      by (metis True-eqvt ⟨atom bva2 # dclist⟩ fresh-eqvt)
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately have $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva2 \ ((bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist)$

 unfolding $type\text{-def.eq-iff}$ $Abs1\text{-eq-iff}$ by metis
 thus ?thesis using * $fresh\text{-prodN}$ by metis
 qed

 lemma obtain-fresh-bv:
 fixes $tm::'a::fs$
 obtains $bva2::bv$ and $dclist2$ where $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva2 \ dclist2 \wedge$
 $atom \ bva2 \not\# tm$
 using $exist\text{-fresh-bv}$ by metis

7.6 Function Definitions

lemma fun-typ-flip:
 fixes $bv1::bv$ and $c::bv$
 shows $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s1 = AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1)$
 using $fun\text{-typ.perm-simps}$ $flip\text{-fresh-fresh}$ $supp\text{-at-base}$ $fresh\text{-def}$
 $flip\text{-fresh-fresh}$ $fresh\text{-def}$ $supp\text{-at-base}$
 by (simp add: $flip\text{-fresh-fresh}$)

 lemma fun-def-eq:
 assumes $AF\text{-fundef } fa \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } xa \ ba \ ca \ \tau a \ sa)) = AF\text{-fundef } (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))$
 shows $f = fa$ and $b = ba$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ \tau a = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ \tau$ and
 $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ca = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ c$
 using $fun\text{-def.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ-q.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ.eq-iff}$ $lst\text{-snd}$ $lst\text{-fst}$ using $assms$ apply metis
 using $fun\text{-def.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ-q.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ.eq-iff}$ $lst\text{-snd}$ $lst\text{-fst}$ using $assms$ apply metis
 proof –
 have $([[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ((ca, \tau a), sa) = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ ((c, \tau), s))$ using $assms$ $fun\text{-def.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ-q.eq-iff}$ $fun\text{-typ.eq-iff}$ by auto
 thus $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ \tau a = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ \tau$ and
 $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ca = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ c$ using $lst\text{-snd}$ $lst\text{-fst}$ by metis+
 qed

lemma fun-arg-unique-aux:
 assumes $AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1' \ s1' = AF\text{-fun-typ } x2 \ b2 \ c2 \ \tau2' \ s2'$
 shows $\{x1 : b1 \mid c1\} = \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}$
 proof –
 have $([[atom \ x1]]lst. \ c1 = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ c2)$ using $fun\text{-def-eq}$ $assms$ by metis
 moreover have $b1 = b2$ using $fun\text{-typ.eq-iff}$ $assms$ by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ by fast
 qed

lemma fresh-x-neq:

fixes $x::x$ and $y::x$
 shows $\text{atom } x \# y = (x \neq y)$
 using *fresh-at-base* *fresh-def* by *auto*

lemma *obtain-fresh-z3*:
 fixes $tm::'b::fs$
 obtains $z::x$ where $\{x : b \mid c\} = \{z : b \mid c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}\} \wedge \text{atom } z \# tm \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, c)$
 proof –
 obtain $z::x$ and $c'::c$ where $z::\{x : b \mid c\} = \{z : b \mid c'\} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (tm, x, c)$ using *obtain-fresh-z2*
b-of.simps by *metis*
 hence $c' = c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}$ proof –
 have $([\text{atom } z]]\text{lst. } c' = [[\text{atom } x]]\text{lst. } c)$ using $z \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ by *metis*
 hence $c' = (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c$ using *Abs1-eq-iff*[of $z \ c' \ x \ c$] *fresh-x-neq* *fresh-prodN* by *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}$
 using *subst-v-c-def* *flip-subst-v*[of $z \ c \ x$] z *fresh-prod3* by *metis*
 finally show *?thesis* by *auto*
 qed
 thus *?thesis* using z *fresh-prodN* that by *metis*
 qed

lemma *u-fresh-v*:
 fixes $u::u$ and $t::v$
 shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
 by(*nominal-induct* t rule:*v.strong-induct*,*auto*)

lemma *u-fresh-ce*:
 fixes $u::u$ and $t::ce$
 shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
 apply(*nominal-induct* t rule:*ce.strong-induct*)
 using *u-fresh-v* *pure-fresh*
 apply (*auto simp add: opp.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh opp.exhaust*)
 unfolding *ce.fresh* *opp.fresh* *opp.exhaust* by (*simp add: fresh-opp-all*)

lemma *u-fresh-c*:
 fixes $u::u$ and $t::c$
 shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
 by(*nominal-induct* t rule:*c.strong-induct*,*auto simp add: c.fresh u-fresh-ce*)

lemma *u-fresh-g*:
 fixes $u::u$ and $t::\Gamma$
 shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
 by(*induct* t rule: Γ -*induct*, *auto simp add: u-fresh-b u-fresh-c fresh-GCons fresh-GNil*)

lemma *u-fresh-t*:
 fixes $u::u$ and $t::\tau$
 shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
 by(*nominal-induct* t rule: τ -*strong-induct*,*auto simp add: \tau.fresh u-fresh-c u-fresh-b*)

lemma *b-of-c-of-eq*:

```

assumes  $atom\ z \# \tau$ 
shows  $\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau\ z \rrbracket = \tau$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $\tau$  avoiding: z rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
case (T-refined-type  $x1a\ x2a\ x3a$ )
hence  $\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \llbracket x1a : x2a \mid x3a \rrbracket \mid c\text{-of } \llbracket x1a : x2a \mid x3a \rrbracket\ z \rrbracket = \llbracket z : x2a \mid x3a[x1a::=V\text{-var}$ 
 $z]_{cv} \rrbracket$ 
using b-of.simps c-of.simps c-of-eq by auto
thus ?case using T-refined-type by auto
qed

```

```

lemma fresh-d-not-in:
assumes  $atom\ u2 \# \Delta'$ 
shows  $u2 \notin fst\ 'setD\ \Delta'$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Delta'$  rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
case DNil
then show ?case by simp
next
case (DCons  $u\ t\ \Delta'$ )
hence  $*$ :  $atom\ u2 \# \Delta' \wedge atom\ u2 \# (u,t)$ 
by (simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons)
hence  $u2 \notin fst\ 'setD\ \Delta'$  using DCons by auto
moreover have  $u2 \neq u$  using  $*$  fresh-Pair
by (metis eq-fst-iff not-self-fresh)
ultimately show ?case by simp
qed

end

```

Chapter 8

Wellformedness Lemmas

8.1 Prelude

lemma *b-of-subst-bb-commute*:

$(b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_{bb}$

proof –

obtain z' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $\tau = \{ \{ z' : b' \mid c' \} \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

moreover **hence** $(b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = b\text{-of } \{ \{ z' : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c' \} \}$ **using** *subst-tb.simps* **by** *simp*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* *subst-tb.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

lemmas *wf-intros* = *wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.intros wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfF*

lemmas *freshers* = *fresh-prodN b.fresh c.fresh v.fresh ce.fresh fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-at-base*

8.2 Strong Elimination

lemma *wf-strong-elim*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list*

and $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $s::s$

and $tm::'a::fs$

and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v) : b'' \implies (\exists \ bv \ dclist \ x \ b' \ c. b'' = B\text{-app } tyid \ b \wedge$

$AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge$

$(dc, \{ \{ x : b' \mid c \} \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge \text{atom } bv \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \wedge \text{atom } bv \ \#$

$tm)$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies$

$\exists \ z \ b \ c. \tau = \{ \{ z : b \mid c \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# tm \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct*)

V-consp tyid dc b v b'' and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b and b' and td
avoiding: tm

rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-conspl bv dclist Θ x b' c \mathcal{B} Γ*)
then show *?case by force*
next
case (*wfTI z Θ \mathcal{B} Γ b c*)
then show *?case by force*
qed(*auto+*)

8.3 Context Extension

definition *wfExt* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*- ; - \vdash_{wf} - < - [50,50,50] 50*) **where**
wfExt T B G1 G2 = (wfG T B G2 \wedge wfG T B G1 \wedge setG G1 \subseteq setG G2)

8.4 Context

lemma *wfG-cons[ms-wb]*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$*
shows *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma \wedge \text{wfB } P \mathcal{B} b$*
using *wfG-elim(2)[OF assms] by metis*

lemma *wfG-cons2[ms-wb]*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} zbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$*
shows *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$*

proof –
obtain *z and b and c where zbc: zbc=(z,b,c) using prod-cases3 by blast*
hence *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using zbc wfG-cons assms by simp*
qed

lemma *wf-g-unique*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **and** $(x,b',c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$
shows $b=b' \wedge c=c'$
using *assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)*
case *GNil*
then show *?case by simp*
next
case (*GCons a Γ*)
consider $(x,b,c)=a \wedge (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b,c)=a \wedge (x,b',c') \neq a \mid (x,b,c) \neq a \wedge (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b,c) \neq a \wedge (x,b',c') \neq a$ **by** *blast*
then show *?case proof(cases)*
case *1*
then show *?thesis by auto*
next
case *2*
hence *atom x $\# \Gamma$ using wfG-elim(2) GCons by blast*

moreover have $(x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *GCons 2* **by** *force*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem Γ .distinct*
subst-gv.simps 2 GCons **by** *metis*
 next
 case 3
 hence *atom x # Γ* **using** *wfG-elim(2) GCons* **by** *blast*
 moreover have $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *GCons 3* **by** *force*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem Γ .distinct subst-gv.simps 3*
GCons **by** *metis*
 next
 case 4
 then obtain *x'' and b'' and c''::c* **where** *xbc: a=(x'',b'',c'')*
 using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x'', b'', c'') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *GCons wfG-elim* **by** *blast*
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *GCons wfG-elim 4 xbc*
 prod-cases3 set-GConsD **using** *forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem*
 Γ .distinct subst-gv.simps 4 GCons **by** *meson*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *GCons* **by** *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *lookup-if1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** *Some (b,c) = lookup Γ x*
 shows $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$
using *assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)*
 case *GNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*GCons xbc Γ*)
 then obtain *x' and b' and c'::c* **where** *xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')*
 using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
 then show *?case* **using** *wf-g-unique GCons lookup-in-g xbc*
 lookup.simps set-GConsD wfG.cases
 insertE insert-is-Un setG.simps wfG-elim **by** *metis*
 qed

lemma *lookup-if2*:

assumes *wfG P \mathcal{B} Γ* **and** $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$
 shows *Some (b,c) = lookup Γ x*
using *assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)*
 case *GNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*GCons xbc Γ*)
 then obtain *x' and b' and c'::c* **where** *xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')*
 using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
 then show *?case* **proof**(*cases x=x'*)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *lookup.simps GCons xbc* **by** *simp*
 next

```

    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps GCons xbc setG.simps Un-iff set-GConsD wfG-cons2
      by (metis (full-types) Un-iff set-GConsD setG.simps(2) wfG-cons2)
  qed
qed

lemma lookup-iff:
  fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  shows  $Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma\ x \longleftrightarrow (x,b,c) \in setG\ \Gamma \wedge (\forall b'\ c'. (x,b',c') \in setG\ \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$ 
  using assms lookup-if1 lookup-if2 by meson

lemma wfG-lookup-wf:
  fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $b::b$  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma\ x$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case ( $GCons\ x'\ b'\ c'\ \Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case proof(cases  $x=x'$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elim(2) GCons by fastforce
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elim(2) GCons by fastforce
  qed
qed

lemma wfG-unique:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $wfG\ B\ \Theta\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $(x1,b1,c1) \in setG\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $x1=x$ 
  shows  $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$ 
proof -
  have  $(x, b, c) \in setG\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed

lemma wfG-unique-full:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $wfG\ \Theta\ B\ (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $(x1,b1,c1) \in setG\ (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $x1=x$ 
  shows  $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$ 
proof -
  have  $(x, b, c) \in setG\ (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed

```


8.5 Converting between wb forms

We cannot prove wfB properties here for expressions and statements as need some more facts about Φ context which we can prove without this lemma. Trying to cram everything into a single large mutually recursive lemma is not a good idea

lemma *wfX-wfY1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $wfV\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfC\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfG\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfT\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of } \tau$ **and**
 $wfTs\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfB\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfCE\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfTD\text{-}wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$

proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)

case (*wfV-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x$)
hence $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *lookup-iff lookup-in-g* **by** *presburger*
hence $b \in \text{fst'snd'setG } \Gamma$ **by** *force*
hence $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *wfV-varI* **using** *wfG-lookup-wf* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *wfV-varI wfV-elim wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-litI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$)
moreover have $wfTh \Theta$ **using** *wfV-litI* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-pairI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfB-pairI* **by** *simp*

next

case (*wfV-consI* $s \text{ dclist } \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfTI* $z \Gamma \Theta \mathcal{B} b c$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-intros b-of.simps wfG-cons2* **by** *metis*

qed(*auto*)

lemma *wfX-wfY2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows

$wfE\text{-}wf: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $wfS\text{-}wf: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta$

$\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $wfPhi\text{-}wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfD\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfFTQ\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfFT\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$
proof(*induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts*)
 case (*wfS-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$)
 then show *?case* **using** *wfD-elim* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*wfS-assignI* $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$)
 then show *?case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** *metis*
next
 case (*wfD-emptyI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$)
 then show *?case* **using** *wfX-wfY1* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*wfS-assertI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$)
 then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *wfX-wfY1* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **using** *wfS-assertI* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *wfS-assertI* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed(*auto*)

lemmas *wfX-wfY=wfX-wfY1 wfX-wfY2*

lemma *setD-ConsD*:
 $ut \in setD (ut' \#_{\Delta} D) = (ut = ut' \vee ut \in setD D)$
proof(*induct D rule: Δ -induct*)
 case *DNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*DCons* $u' t' x2$)
 then show *?case* **using** *setD.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfD-wfT*:
 fixes $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 shows $\forall (u, \tau) \in setD \Delta. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct Δ rule: Δ -induct*)
 case *DNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*DCons* $u' t' x2$)
 then show *?case* **using** *wfD-elim DCons setD-ConsD*
 by (*metis case-prodI2 set-ConsD*)
qed

lemma *subst-b-lookup-d*:
 assumes $u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD \Delta$
 shows $u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct Δ rule: Δ -induct*)
 case *DNil*

then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (DCons u' t' x2)
 hence $u \neq u'$ using DCons by simp
 show ?case using DCons subst-db.simps by simp
 qed

lemma wfG-cons-splitI:
 fixes $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ and $wfB\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ and
 $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} \longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and
 $c \notin \{ TRUE, FALSE \} \longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I assms by metis

lemma wfG-consI:
 fixes $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $c::c$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ and $wfB\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I wfG-cons-splitI wfC-trueI assms by metis

lemma wfG-elim2:
 fixes $c::c$
 assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$
proof(cases $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
 case True
 have $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms] by auto
 hence $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ using wfG-cons2I by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfC-trueI wfC-falseI True by auto
 next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms] by auto
 qed

lemma wfG-cons-wfC:
 fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $c::c$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c$
 using assms wfG-elim2 by auto

lemma wfG-wfB:
 assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ and $b \in fst'snd'setG\ \Gamma$
 shows $wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$
 using assms **proof**(induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (GCons x' b' c' Γ')

```

show ?case proof(cases b=b')
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-elim2 GCons by auto
next
  case False
  hence  $b \in \text{fst'snd'set } G \ \Gamma'$  using GCons by auto
  moreover have  $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons GCons by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using GCons by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma wfG-cons-TRUE:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $b::b$ 
  assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$  and  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ 
  shows  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  using wfG-cons2I wfG-wfB assms by simp

```

```

lemma wfG-cons-TRUE2:
  assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  using wfG-cons wfG-cons2I assms by simp

```

```

lemma wfG-suffix:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case ( $GCons \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma'$ )
  hence  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ \Gamma$  using wfG-elim by auto
  then show ?case using GCons wfG-elim by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfV-wfCE:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \text{CE-val } v : b$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} ([::\Phi])$  using wfPhi-emptyI wfV-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$  using wfD-emptyI wfV-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfCE-valI assms by auto
qed

```

8.6 Support

```

lemma wf-supp1:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and
   $s::s$  and  $b::b$  and  $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$  and  $ft::\text{fun-typ}$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::\text{type-def}$  and  $cs::\text{branch-s}$  and  $css$ 
   $::\text{branch-list}$ 

```

shows $\text{wfV-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} v : b \implies \text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfC-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} c \implies \text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfG-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \Gamma \implies \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \subseteq \text{supp } \Gamma$ and
 $\text{wfT-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} \tau \implies \text{supp } \tau \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfTs-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} ts \implies \text{supp } ts \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfTh-suppl: } \vdash_{\text{wf}} \Theta \implies \text{supp } \Theta = \{\}$ and
 $\text{wfB-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{\text{wf}} b \implies \text{supp } b \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfCE-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} ce : b \implies \text{supp } ce \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ and
 $\text{wfTD-suppl: } \Theta \vdash_{\text{wf}} td \implies \text{supp } td \subseteq \{\}$
proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)
 case ($\text{wfB-consI } \Theta s \text{ dclist } \mathcal{B}$)
 then show ?case **by**(*auto simp add: b.suppl pure-suppl*)
next
 case ($\text{wfB-appI } \Theta \mathcal{B} b s \text{ bv dclist}$)
 then show ?case **by**(*auto simp add: b.suppl pure-suppl*)
next
 case ($\text{wfV-varI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x$)
 then show ?case **using** $v.\text{suppl wfV-elim}$
 empty-subsetI insert-subset suppl-at-base
 fresh-dom-free2 lookup-if1
 by (*metis suppl.coboundedI1*)
next
 case ($\text{wfV-litI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$)
 then show ?case **using** $\text{suppl-l-empty } v.\text{suppl}$ **by** *simp*
next
 case ($\text{wfV-pairI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$)
 then show ?case **using** $v.\text{suppl wfV-elim}$ **by** (*metis Un-subset-iff*)
next
 case ($\text{wfV-consI } s \text{ dclist } \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$)
 then show ?case **using** $v.\text{suppl wfV-elim}$
 Un-commute b.suppl sup-bot.right-neutral suppl-b-empty pure-suppl **by** *metis*
next
 case ($\text{wfV-conspl typid bv dclist } \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$)
 then show ?case **unfolding** $v.\text{suppl}$
 using wfV-elim
 Un-commute b.suppl sup-bot.right-neutral suppl-b-empty pure-suppl
 by (*simp add: Un-commute pure-suppl suppl.coboundedI1*)
next
 case ($\text{wfC-eqI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$)
 hence $\text{suppl } e1 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $c.\text{suppl wfC-elim}$
 image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral **by** (*metis IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI*)
 moreover have $\text{suppl } e2 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $c.\text{suppl wfC-elim}$
 image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI
 by (*metis wfC-eqI.hyps(4)*)
 ultimately show ?case **using** $c.\text{suppl}$ **by** *auto*
next
 case ($\text{wfG-cons1I } c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma x b$)
 then show ?case **using** $\text{atom-dom.simpls dom-suppl-g suppl-GCons}$ **by** *metis*
next
 case ($\text{wfG-cons2I } c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma x b$)
 then show ?case **using** $\text{atom-dom.simpls dom-suppl-g suppl-GCons}$ **by** *metis*

```

next
  case wfTh-emptyI
  then show ?case by (simp add: supp-Nil)
next
  case (wfTh-consI  $\Theta$  lst)
  then show ?case using supp-Cons by fast
next
  case (wfTD-simpleI  $\Theta$  lst s)
  then have supp (AF-typedef s lst) = supp lst  $\cup$  supp s using type-def.supp by auto
  then show ?case using wfTD-simpleI pure-supp
    by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTD-poly  $\Theta$  bv lst s)
  then have supp (AF-typedef-poly s bv lst) = supp lst - { atom bv }  $\cup$  supp s using type-def.supp
by auto
  then show ?case using wfTD-poly pure-supp
    by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTs-nil  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
next
  case (wfTs-cons  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\tau$  dc ts)
  then show ?case using supp-Cons supp-Pair pure-supp[of dc] by blast
next
  case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v b)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  hence supp (CE-op Plus v1 v2)  $\subseteq$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using ce.supp pure-supp
    by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  hence supp (CE-op LEq v1 v2)  $\subseteq$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using ce.supp pure-supp
    by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 b1 b2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 b1 b2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfTI z  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  b c)
  hence supp c  $\subseteq$  supp z  $\cup$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using supp-at-base dom-cons by metis
  moreover have supp b  $\subseteq$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using wfTI by auto

```

ultimately have $\text{supp } \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp } \text{supp-at-base}$ **by force**
 thus $?case$ **by auto**
qed(auto)

lemma *wf-sup2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and**
 $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and**
 $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows

$\text{wfE-sup} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies (\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B} \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta)$ **and**

$\text{wfS-sup} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \text{supp } cs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \text{supp } css \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\text{wfPhi-sup} : \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{supp } \Phi = \{\}$ **and**

$\text{wfD-sup} : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{supp } \Delta \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'(\text{setD } \Delta) \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{supp } ftq = \{\}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{supp } ft \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$

proof(*induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts*)

case (*wfE-valI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-val } v) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1}$ **by simp**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by metis**

next

case (*wfE-plusI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-op Plus } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$

using $\text{wfE-plusI } \text{opp}.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1 } e.\text{supp } \text{pure-supp } \text{Un-least}$

by ($\text{metis sup-bot.left-neutral}$)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-leqI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-op LEq } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{pure-supp } \text{Un-least}$
 $\text{sup-bot.left-neutral}$ **using** $\text{opp}.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1}$ **by auto**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-fstI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-fst } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{pure-supp } \text{sup-bot.left-neutral}$
using $\text{opp}.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1}$ **by auto**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-sndI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-snd } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{pure-supp } \text{wfE-plusI } \text{opp}.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1}$ **by** (metis Un-least)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-concatI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-concat } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{pure-supp } \text{wfE-plusI } \text{opp}.\text{supp } \text{wf-sup1}$ **by** (metis Un-least)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp } \text{wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

```

next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-split } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$ 
     $\text{wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-supp1}$  by (metis Un-least)
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp wfE-elim UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-len } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$ 
    using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp sup-bot.left-neutral}$  using  $\text{opp.supp wf-supp1}$  by auto
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp wfE-elim UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then obtain  $b$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wfE-appI wf-supp1}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-app } f v) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$  by fast
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp}(2)$   $\text{UnCI subsetCE subsetI wfE-appI}$  using  $b.\text{supp}(3)$   $\text{pure-supp}$ 
 $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f xa ba ca s$ )
  then obtain  $b$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b)$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wfE-appPI wf-supp1}$  by auto
  moreover have  $\text{supp } b' \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wf-supp1}(7)$   $\text{wfE-appPI}$  by simp
  ultimately show ?case unfolding  $e.\text{supp}$  using  $\text{wfE-appPI pure-supp}$  by fast
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then obtain  $\tau$  where  $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}(10)$  by metis
  hence  $\text{atom } u \in \text{atom}'\text{fst}'\text{setD } \Delta$  by force
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-mvar } u) \subseteq \text{atom}'\text{fst}'\text{setD } \Delta$  using  $e.\text{supp}$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{supp-at-base}$ )
  thus ?case using  $\text{UnCI subsetCE subsetI } e.\text{supp wfE-mvarI supp-at-base subsetCE supp-at-base } u\text{-not-in-b-set}$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{supp-at-base}$ )
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}$ 
    by (metis  $s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.supp}(1)$   $\text{sup.coboundedI2 sup-assoc sup-commute}$ )
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case unfolding  $s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.supp}(3)$  using  $\text{wf-supp1}(4)[OF \text{wfS-let2I}(3)]$  by
    auto
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}(1)[OF \text{wfS-ifI}(1)]$  by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}(1)[OF \text{wfS-varI}(2)]$   $\text{wf-supp1}(4)[OF \text{wfS-varI}(1)]$  by auto
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )

```



```

hence  $\text{supp } u \subseteq \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } \Delta$  proof(induct  $\Delta$  rule: $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (DCons  $u' t' \Delta'$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $u=u'$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using setG.simps DCons supp-at-base by fastforce
next
case False
  then show ?thesis using setG.simps DCons supp-at-base wfS-assignI
    by (metis empty-subsetI fstI image-eqI insert-subset)
qed
qed
then show ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(8) wfS-assignI wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-assignI(6)]
by auto
next
case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \text{tid} \text{dclist } \Delta \Phi \text{cs } b$ )
then show ?case using wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-matchI(1)] by auto
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b \text{tid} \text{dc}$ )
moreover have  $\text{supp } s \subseteq \text{supp } x \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  using dom-cons supp-at-base wfS-branchI by auto
moreover hence  $\text{supp } s - \text{set } [\text{atom } x] \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using
supp-at-base by force
ultimately have
   $(\text{supp } s - \text{set } [\text{atom } x]) \cup (\text{supp } \text{dc}) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  by (simp add: pure-supp)
thus ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(2) by auto
next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using supp-DNil by auto
next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
have  $\text{supp } ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = \text{supp } u \cup \text{supp } \tau \cup \text{supp } \Delta$  using supp-DCons supp-Pair by metis
also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{supp } u \cup \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } \Delta \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  using wfD-cons wf-supp1(4)[OF wfD-cons(3)] by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{atom } \text{'fst' 'setD } ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using supp-at-base by auto
finally show ?case by auto
next
case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi \text{ft}$ )
then show ?case using fun-def.supp
  by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons)
next
case (wfFTI  $\Theta B' b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
have  $\text{supp } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x b c \tau s) = \text{supp } c \cup (\text{supp } \tau \cup \text{supp } s) - \text{set } [\text{atom } x] \cup \text{supp } b$  using
fun-typ.supp by auto
thus ?case using wfFTI wf-supp1
proof –

```

```

  have f1: supp  $\tau \subseteq \{atom\ x\} \cup atom\text{-}dom\ GNil \cup supp\ B'$ 
    using dom-cons wfFTI.hyps(6) wf-suppl(4) by blast
  have supp b  $\subseteq supp\ B'$ 
    using wfFTI.hyps(1) wf-suppl(7) by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 (supp (AF-fun-typ x b c  $\tau$  s) = supp c  $\cup$  (supp  $\tau \cup supp\ s$ ) - set [atom x]  $\cup supp\ b$ )
wfFTI.hyps(4) wfFTI.hyps(5) by auto
qed
next
case (wfFTNone  $\Theta\ \Phi\ ft$ )
then show ?case by (simp add: fun-typ-q.supp(2))
next
case (wfFTSome  $\Theta\ \Phi\ bv\ ft$ )
then show ?case using fun-typ-q.supp
  by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ c\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b$ )
then have supp c  $\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using wf-suppl
  by (metis Un-assoc Un-commute le-supI2)
moreover have supp s  $\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  proof
  fix z
  assume *:z  $\in supp\ s$ 
  have *:atom x  $\notin supp\ s$  using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by metis
  have z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using wfS-assertI * by
blast
  have z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies z \in atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$  using * ** by auto
  thus z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using * **
    using (z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ ) by blast
qed
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-suppl = wf-suppl1 wf-suppl2

lemma wfV-suppl-nil:
  fixes v::v
  assumes P ; {||} ; GNil  $\vdash_{wf}\ v : b$ 
  shows suppl v = {}
  using wfV-suppl[of P {||} GNil v b] dom.simps setG.simps
  using assms by auto

lemma wfT-TRUE-aux:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  and atom z  $\nmid (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$  and wfB P  $\mathcal{B}\ b$ 
  shows wfT P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  ( $\mathbb{I}\ z : b \mid TRUE\ \mathbb{I}$ )
proof (rule)
  show (atom z  $\nmid (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ ) using assms by auto
  show (P ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ b$ ) using assms by auto
  show (P ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ; (z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf}\ TRUE$ ) using wfG-cons2I wfC-trueI assms by auto
qed

lemma wfT-TRUE:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  and wfB P  $\mathcal{B}\ b$ 

```

```

  shows wfT P B Γ (⌊ z : b | TRUE ⌋)
proof -
  obtain z'::x where *:atom z' # (P, B, Γ) using obtain-fresh by metis
  hence ⌊ z : b | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z' : b | TRUE ⌋ by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfT-TRUE-aux assms * by metis
qed

lemma phi-flip-eq:
  assumes wfPhi T P
  shows (x ↔ xa) • P = P
  using wfPhi-supply[OF assms] flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by blast

lemma wfC-supply-cons:
  fixes c'::c and G::Γ
  assumes P ; B ; (x', b', TRUE) #Γ G ⊢wf c'
  shows supp c' ⊆ atom-dom G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B and supp c' ⊆ supp G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B
proof -
  show supp c' ⊆ atom-dom G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B
    using wfC-supply[OF assms] dom-cons supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have atom-dom G ⊆ supp G
    by (meson assms wfC-wf wfG-cons wfG-supply)
  ultimately show supp c' ⊆ supp G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B using wfG-supply assms wfG-cons wfC-wf by
fast
qed

lemma wfG-dom-supply:
  fixes x::x
  assumes wfG P B G
  shows atom x ∈ atom-dom G ⟷ atom x ∈ supp G
using assms proof(induct G rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using dom.simps supp-of-atom-list
    using supp-GNil by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' G)
  thm wfG-cons

  show ?case proof(cases x' = x)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using dom.simps supp-of-atom-list supp-at-base
      using supp-GCons by auto
  next
    case False
    have (atom x ∈ atom-dom ((x', b', c') #Γ G)) = (atom x ∈ atom-dom G) using atom-dom.simps
False by simp
    also have ... = (atom x ∈ supp G) using GCons wfG-elim by metis
    also have ... = (atom x ∈ (supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G)) proof
      show atom x ∈ supp G ⟹ atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G by auto
      assume atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G
      then consider atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') | atom x ∈ supp G by auto
      then show atom x ∈ supp G proof(cases)
        case 1

```

assume $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } (x', b', c')$
hence $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c'$ **using** *supp-triple False supp-b-empty supp-at-base* **by** *force*

moreover **have** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b', \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c'$ **using** *wfG-elim2 GCons* **by** *simp*
moreover **hence** $\text{supp } c' \subseteq \text{supp } G \cup \text{supp } x' \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wfC-supp-cons* **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } G \cup \text{supp } x'$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *False supp-at-base* **by** (*simp add: supp-at-base*)

next
case 2
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

also **have** $\dots = (\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} G))$ **using** *supp-at-base False supp-GCons* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *wfG-atoms-supp-eq* :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$
shows $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } G \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \in \text{supp } G$
using *wfG-dom-supp assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *beta-flip-eq*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$
proof –
thm *x-not-in-b-set*
have $\text{atom } x \not\# \mathcal{B} \wedge \text{atom } xa \not\# \mathcal{B}$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set fresh-def supp-set* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*)
qed

lemma *theta-flip-eq2*:
assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$
proof –
have $\text{supp } \Theta = \{\}$ **using** *wfTh-supp assms* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*)
qed

lemma *theta-flip-eq*:
assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$
using *wfTh-supp flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*
by (*simp add: assms theta-flip-eq2*)

lemma *wfT-wfC*:
fixes $c::c$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \not\# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
proof –

obtain $za\ ba\ ca$ **where** $\ast : \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket \wedge atom\ za \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (za, ba, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ca$
using $wfT\text{-}elims[OF\ assms(1)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $c1 : \llbracket atom\ z \rrbracket lst. c = \llbracket atom\ za \rrbracket lst. ca$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *meson*
show $?thesis$ **proof**(*cases* $z=za$)
case *True*
hence $ca = c$ **using** $c1$ **by** (*simp add: Abs1-eq-iff(3)*)
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\ast\ True$ **by** *simp*
next
case *False*
have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** $wfT\text{-}wf\ wfG\text{-}wf\ assms$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $atom\ za \# \Gamma$ **using** $\ast\ fresh\text{-}prodN$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot (za, ba, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca$
using $wfC.eqvt\ theta\text{-}flip\text{-}eq2\ beta\text{-}flip\text{-}eq\ \ast\ GCons\text{-}eqvt\ assms\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $atom\ z \# ca$
proof –
have $supp\ ca \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup \{ atom\ za \} \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\ast\ wfC\text{-}supp\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ setG.\text{simps}$
by *fastforce*
moreover have $atom\ z \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$ **using** $assms\ fresh\text{-}def\ wfT\text{-}wf\ wfG\text{-}dom\text{-}supp\ wfC\text{-}supp$
by *metis*
moreover hence $atom\ z \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup \{ atom\ za \}$ **using** *False* **by** *simp*
moreover have $atom\ z \notin supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** $x\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b\text{-}set$ **by** *simp*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $fresh\text{-}def\ False$ **by** *fast*
qed
moreover hence $(z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca = c$ **using** $type\text{-}eq\text{-}subst\text{-}eq1(3)$ \ast **by** *metis*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $assms\ G\text{-}cons\text{-}flip\text{-}fresh\ \ast$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g$:
fixes $u::u$
shows $atom\ u \notin atom\text{-}dom\ G$
using $setG.\text{simps}\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms$ **by** *auto*

lemma $bv\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g$:
fixes $bv::bv$
shows $atom\ bv \notin atom\text{-}dom\ G$
using $setG.\text{simps}\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms$ **by** *auto*

An important lemma that confirms that Γ does not rely on mutable variables

lemma $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}g$:
fixes $u::u$
assumes $wfG\ \Theta\ B\ G$
shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ G$
using $assms$ **proof**(*induct* G *rule: Γ -induct*)
case $GNil$
then show $?case$ **using** $supp\text{-}GNil\ fresh\text{-}def$
using $fresh\text{-}set\text{-}empty$ **by** *fastforce*
next

case ($GCons\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma'$)
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ b$ **using**
 $wfB\text{-}supp\ wfC\text{-}supp\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms\ wfG\text{-}elims\ wfX\text{-}wfY$ **by** $auto$
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ x$ **using** $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms\ supp\text{-}at\text{-}base$ **by** $blast$
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ c$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $wfG\text{-}cons\text{-}wfC\ GCons$ **by** $simp$
hence $supp\ c \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfC\text{-}supp$ **by** $blast$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b\text{-}atoms$
using $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b\text{-}set$ **by** $auto$
qed
ultimately **have** $atom\ u \notin supp\ (x,b,c)$ **using** $supp\text{-}Pair$ **by** $simp$
thus $?case$ **using** $supp\text{-}GCons\ GCons\ wfG\text{-}elims$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}t$:
fixes $u::u$
assumes $wfT\ \Theta\ B\ G\ \tau$
shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ \tau$
proof –
have $supp\ \tau \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ G \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfT\text{-}supp\ assms$ **by** $auto$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b\text{-}set$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $bv\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}bset\text{-}supp$:
fixes $bv::bv$
assumes $bv \notin B$
shows $atom\ bv \notin supp\ B$
proof –
have $*:supp\ B = fset\ (fimage\ atom\ B)$
by $(metis\ fimage.rep\text{-}eq\ finite\text{-}fset\ supp\text{-}finite\text{-}set\text{-}at\text{-}base\ supp\text{-}fset)$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $assms$
using $notin\text{-}fset$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $wfT\text{-}supp\text{-}c$:
fixes $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $z::x$
assumes $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\lambda z : b \mid c\ \mathbb{I})$
shows $supp\ c - \{ atom\ z \} \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$
using $wf\text{-}supp\ \tau.supp\ assms$
by $(metis\ Un\text{-}subset\text{-}iff\ empty\text{-}set\ list.simps(15))$

lemma $wfG\text{-}wfC[ms\text{-}wb]$:
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$
using $assms$ **proof** $(cases\ c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})$
case $True$
have $atom\ x \nmid \Gamma \wedge wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ **using** $wfG\text{-}cons\ assms$ **by** $auto$
hence $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $wfG\text{-}cons2I$ **by** $auto$
then **show** $?thesis$ **using** $wfC\text{-}trueI\ wfC\text{-}falseI\ True$ **by** $auto$
next

case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfG-elim* *assms* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *wfT-wf-cons*:

assumes *wfT P B* $\Gamma \Vdash z : b \mid c$ and *atom z #* Γ
 shows *wfG P B* $((z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using *assms* proof(*cases* *c* $\in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfT-wfC* *wfC-wf* *wfG-wfB* *wfG-cons2I* *assms* *wfT-wf* by *fastforce*
 next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfT-wfC* *wfC-wf* *wfG-wfB* *wfG-cons1I* *wfT-wf* *wfT-wfC* *assms* by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *wfV-b-fresh*:

fixes *b::b* and *v::v* and *bv::bv*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ and *bv* $\notin \mathcal{B}$
 shows *atom bv #* *v*
 using *wfV-supp* *bv-not-in-dom-g* *fresh-def* *assms* *bv-not-in-bset-supp* by *blast*

lemma *wfCE-b-fresh*:

fixes *b::b* and *ce::ce* and *bv::bv*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ and *bv* $\notin \mathcal{B}$
 shows *atom bv #* *ce*
 using *bv-not-in-dom-g* *fresh-def* *assms* *bv-not-in-bset-supp* *wf-supp1* (8) by *fast*

8.7 Freshness

lemma *wfG-fresh-x*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and *z::x*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and *atom z #* Γ
 shows *atom z #* $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$
 unfolding *fresh-prodN* apply(*intro conjI*)
 using *wf-supp1* *wfX-wfY* *assms* *fresh-def* *x-not-in-b-set* by(*metis empty-iff*)+

lemma *wfG-wfT*:

assumes *wfG P B* $((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G)$ and *atom x #* *c*
 shows *P ; B ; G* $\vdash_{wf} \Vdash z : b \mid c$
 proof –
 have *P ; B ; (x, b, TRUE)* $\#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} \wedge wfB\ P\ B\ b$ using *assms*
 using *wfG-elim2* by *auto*
 moreover have *atom x #* (P, \mathcal{B}, G) using *wfG-elim* *assms* *wfG-fresh-x* by *metis*
 ultimately have *wfT P B G* $\Vdash x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}$ using *wfTI* *assms* by *metis*
 moreover have $\Vdash x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} = \Vdash z : b \mid c$ using *type-eq-subst* $\langle atom\ x\ \# \ c \rangle$ by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *wfT-wfT-if*:

assumes *wfT* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ $(\Vdash z2 : b \mid CE-val\ v == CE-val\ (V-lit\ L-false)\ IMP\ c[z::=V-var\ z2]_{cv} \Vdash)$

and $\text{atom } z2 \# (c, \Gamma)$
shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}$
proof –
have $*$: $\text{atom } z2 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** $\text{wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** metis
have $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} \ b$ **using** assms wfT-elim **by** metis
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (G\text{Cons } (z2, b, \text{TRUE}) \Gamma) \vdash_{\text{wf}} (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv})$ **using** $\text{wfT-wfC assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** auto
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z2, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{\text{wf}} c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv}$ **using** wfC-elim **by** metis
hence $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ (\{ z2 : b \mid c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv} \})$ **using** $\text{assms fresh-Pair wfTI } \langle \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} \ b \rangle *$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ z2 : b \mid c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv} \}$ **using** $\text{type-eq-subst assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** wfTI assms **by** argo
qed

lemma wfT-fresh-c :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{wfT } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $x \neq z$
shows $\text{atom } x \# c$
proof(rule ccontr)
assume $\neg \text{atom } x \# c$
hence $*$: $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c$ **using** fresh-def **by** auto
moreover **have** $\text{supp } c - \text{set } [\text{atom } z] \cup \text{supp } b \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$
using $\text{assms wfT-supp } \tau.\text{supp}$ **by** blast
moreover **hence** $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c - \text{set } [\text{atom } z]$ **using** $\text{assms } *$ **by** auto
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by** auto
thus False **using** $\text{assms wfG-atoms-suppl-eq wfT-wf fresh-def}$ **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{wfG-x-fresh [simp]}$:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} G$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } G \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \# G$
using $\text{wfG-atoms-suppl-eq assms fresh-def}$ **by** metis

lemma wfD-x-fresh :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfD } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$
shows $\text{atom } x \# \Delta$
using assms **proof**($\text{induct } \Delta \text{ rule: } \Delta\text{-induct}$)
case $DNil$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{suppl-DNil fresh-def}$ **by** auto
next
case $(DCons \ u' \ t' \ \Delta')$
have $\text{wfg}: \text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** wfD-wf DCons **by** blast
hence $\text{wfd}: \text{wfD } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta'$ **using** wfD-elim DCons **by** blast
have $\text{suppl } t' \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\text{wfT-suppl DCons wfD-elim}$ **by** metis
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $DCons(2) \text{ fresh-def wfG-suppl wfg}$ **by** blast
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \# t'$ **using** $\text{fresh-def DCons wfG-suppl wfg } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by** blast
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \# u'$ **using** $\text{suppl-at-base fresh-def}$ **by** fastforce
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \# (u', t')$ **using** suppl-Pair **by** fastforce

thus *?case* **using** *DCons fresh-DCons wfd* **by** *fast*
qed

thm *wf-supp2*

lemma *wfG-fresh-x2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $z::x$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $atom\ z \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta)$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **apply**(*intro conjI*)
using *wfG-fresh-x* **assms** *fresh-prod3 wfX-wfY* **apply** *metis*
using *wf-supp2(5)* **assms** *fresh-def* **apply** *blast*
using *assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3* **apply** *metis*
using *assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3* **apply** *metis*
using *wf-supp2(6)* **assms** *fresh-def wfD-x-fresh* **by** *metis*

lemma *wfV-x-fresh*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# v$

proof –

have $supp\ v \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *assms wfV-supp* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ wfG-elim\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *wfE-x-fresh*:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# e$

proof –

have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfE-wf* **by** *auto*
hence $supp\ e \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} \cup atom'fst'setD\ \Delta$ **using** *wfE-supp dom.simps assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom'fst'setD\ \Delta$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def x-not-in-b-set* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *wfT-x-fresh*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \tau$

proof –

have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*
hence $supp\ \tau \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wfT-supp dom.simps assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)

moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* using *fresh-def* by *fast*
qed

lemma *wfS-x-fresh*:

fixes $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$ and $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$
shows $\text{atom } x \# s$

proof –

have $\text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD' \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using *wf-supp assms* by *metis*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD' \Delta$ by *auto*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ using *assms fresh-def wfG-dom-supp wfX-wfY* by *metis*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using *supp-b-empty supp-fset*
by (*simp add: x-not-in-b-set*)
ultimately show *?thesis* using *fresh-def* by *fast*
qed

lemma *wfTh-fresh*:

fixes x
assumes *wfTh* T
shows $\text{atom } x \# T$
using *wf-supp1 assms fresh-def* by *fastforce*

lemmas *wfTh-x-fresh* = *wfTh-fresh*

lemma *wfPhi-fresh*:

fixes x
assumes *wfPhi* $T P$
shows $\text{atom } x \# P$
using *wf-supp assms fresh-def* by *fastforce*

lemmas *wfPhi-x-fresh* = *wfPhi-fresh*

lemmas *wb-x-fresh* = *wfTh-x-fresh wfPhi-x-fresh wfD-x-fresh wfT-x-fresh wfV-x-fresh*

lemma *wfG-inside-fresh[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $x::x$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma'$

using *assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)*

case *GNil*

then show *?case* by *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x1 b1 c1 \Gamma1$)

moreover hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom } 'fst' '(\{(x1, b1, c1)\})$ proof –

have $*: P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *wfG-elim append-g.simps GCons* by *metis*

have $\text{atom } x1 \# (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *GCons wfG-elim append-g.simps* by *metis*

hence $\text{atom } x1 \notin \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *wfG-dom-supp fresh-def ** by *metis*

thus *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?case* using *append-g.simps atom-dom.simps setG.simps wfG-elim*

by (*metis image-insert insert-iff insert-is-Un*)

qed

lemma *wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

shows $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

by(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*, (*simp add*: *setG.simps atom-dom.simps*)+)

lemma *wfG-inside-x-neq*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$

assumes $G=(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $xa \neq x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } xa \notin \text{atom-dom } G$ **using** *fresh-def wfG-atoms-sup-eq assms* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } G$ **using** *wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom assms* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *wfG-inside-x-fresh*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$

assumes $G=(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $\text{atom } xa \# x$

using *fresh-def supp-at-base wfG-inside-x-neq assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *wfT-nil-sup*:

fixes $t::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$

shows $\text{supp } t = \{\}$

using *wfT-sup atom-dom.simps assms setG.simps* **by** *force*

8.8 Misc

lemma *wfG-cons-append*:

fixes $b'::b$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \wedge x' \neq x$

proof –

have $((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$

using *assms wfG-cons* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x' \# x$ **proof**(*rule wfG-inside-x-fresh[of* $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$)

show $\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[x::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **by** *simp*

show $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *flip-u-eq*:

fixes $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$
shows $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau$ **and** $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$ **and** $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta$ **and** $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$
proof –
show $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau$ **using** *wfT-supp flip-fresh-fresh*
by (*metis assms(1) fresh-def u-not-in-t*)
show $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$ **using** *u-not-in-g wfX-wfY assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def* **by** *metis*
show $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta$ **using** *theta-flip-eq assms wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$ **using** *u-not-in-b-set flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfT-wf-cons-flip*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \{z : b \mid c\}$ **and** $atom\ x\ \# (c, \Gamma)$
shows $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$
proof –
have $\{x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}\} = \{z : b \mid c\}$ **using** *assms freshers type-eq-subst* **by** *metis*
hence $*, wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \{x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}\}$ **using** *assms* **by** *metis*
show *?thesis* **proof**(*rule wfG-consI*)
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *assms wfT-wf* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom\ x\ \# \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} \rangle$ **using** *wfT-wfC * assms fresh-Pair*
by *metis*
qed
qed

8.9 Context Strengthening

Can remove an entry for a variable from the context if the variable doesn't appear in the term and the variable is not used later in the context or any other context

lemma *fresh-restrict*:

fixes $y::'a::at-base$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $atom\ y\ \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$
shows $atom\ y\ \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then **show** *?case* **using** *fresh-GCons fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x'\ b'\ c'\ \Gamma''$)
then **show** *?case* **using** *fresh-GCons fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wf-restrict1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(string*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$
and $b::b$ **and** $ftq::fun\-typ\-q$ **and** $ft::fun\-typ$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::type\-def$
and $cs::branch\-s$ **and** $css::branch\-list$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma_2) \implies atom\ x\ \# v \implies atom\ x\ \# \Gamma_1 \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma_2) \implies atom\ x\ \# c \implies atom\ x\ \# \Gamma_1 \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c$ **and**

```

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$   

 $\Rightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_\Gamma \Gamma_2) \Rightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2$ 
and  

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \quad \Rightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_\Gamma \Gamma_2) \Rightarrow atom\ x \# \tau \Rightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
and  

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Rightarrow True$ 
and  

 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \Rightarrow True$ 
  
  

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Rightarrow True$ 
and  
  

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \quad \Rightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_\Gamma \Gamma_2) \Rightarrow atom\ x \# ce \Rightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ 
and  

 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Rightarrow True$ 
  

proof(induct arbitrary:  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$ )
    rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts)
    case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b\ c\ y$ )
      hence  $y \neq x$  using v.fresh by auto
      hence Some( $b, c$ ) = lookup( $\Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2$ )  $y$  using lookup-restrict wfV-varI by metis
      then show ?case using wfV-varI wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfV-litI  $\Theta \Gamma l$ )
      then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfV-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1\ b1\ v2\ b2$ )
      show ?case proof
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1$  using wfV-pairI by auto
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2$  using wfV-pairI by auto
      qed
next
    case (wfV-consI  $s\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v$ )
      show ?case proof
        show AF-typedef  $s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta$  using wfV-consI by auto
        show  $(dc, \{\!| x : b \mid c |\!\}) \in set\ dclist$  using wfV-consI by auto
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using wfV-consI by auto
      qed
next
    case (wfV-conspI  $s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b'\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ \Gamma\ v$ )
      show ?case proof
        show AF-typedef-poly  $s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta$  using wfV-conspI by auto
        show  $(dc, \{\!| x : b' \mid c |\!\}) \in set\ dclist$  using wfV-conspI by auto
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfV-conspI by auto
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using wfV-conspI by auto
        show  $atom\ bv \not\# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2, b, v)$  unfolding fresh-prodN fresh-append-g using wfV-conspI
fresh-prodN fresh-GCons fresh-append-g by metis
      qed
next
    case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v\ b$ )
      then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1\ v2$ )
      then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

    case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c$ )
    hence  $x \neq z$  using wfTI
    fresh-GCons fresh-prodN fresh-PairD(1) fresh-gamma-append not-self-fresh by metis
    show ?case proof
      show  $\langle atom\ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2) \rangle$  using wfTI fresh-restrict[of  $z$ ] using wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY wfTI
    fresh-prodN by metis
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
      have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c$  proof(rule wfTI(5)[of  $(z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$ 
    ])
        show  $\langle (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
        show  $\langle atom\ x \ \# \ c \rangle$  using wfTI  $\tau.fresh\ \langle x \neq z \rangle$  by auto
        show  $\langle atom\ x \ \# \ (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using wfTI  $\langle x \neq z \rangle$  fresh-GCons by simp
      qed
      thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  by auto
    qed
next
    case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$ )
    show ?case proof
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e1 : b$  using wfC-eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  using wfC-eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
    qed
next
    case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \Gamma c1$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

    case (wfC-impI  $\Theta$   $\Gamma$   $c1$   $c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using wfV-varI wf-intros
  by (meson GNil-append  $\Gamma$ .simps(3))
next
case (wfG-cons1I  $c1$   $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma_1 = GNil$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
next
case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I
by auto
  hence  $**:G=G' @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons1I by auto

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2)$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show  $\langle c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x1 \# G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(4) ** fresh-restrict by metis
  have  $atom\ x \# G'$  using wfG-cons1I * using fresh-GCons by blast
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(3)[of  $G'$ ] ** by auto
  have  $atom\ x \# c1 \wedge atom\ x \# (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G'$  using fresh-GCons  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \rangle$  * by auto
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(6)[of  $(x1, b1, TRUE)$ 
 $\#_{\Gamma} G'$ ] ** * wfG-cons1I by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I  $c1$   $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma_1 = GNil$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
next
case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I
by auto
  hence  $**:G=G' @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons2I by auto

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2)$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show  $\langle c1 \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x1 \# G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I ** fresh-restrict by metis
  have  $atom\ x \# G'$  using wfG-cons2I * using fresh-GCons by blast
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I ** by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
qed(auto)+

```

lemma wf-restrict2:

159


```

thus ⟨atom bv # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ1 @ Γ2, Δ, b', v, (b-of τ)[bv::=b]b)⟩
  using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto

  show ⟨Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f⟩ using
wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf v : b[bv::=b]b⟩ using wfE-appPI wf-restrict1 by auto
qed

next
  case (wfE-mvarI Θ Φ Γ Δ u τ)
  then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros by metis
next

  case (wfD-emptyI Θ Γ)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons Θ B Γ Δ τ u)
  show ?case proof
    show Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf Δ using wfD-cons fresh-DCons by metis
    show Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf τ using wfD-cons fresh-DCons fresh-Pair wf-restrict1 by metis
    show u ∉ fst 'setD Δ using wfD-cons by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfFTNone Θ ft)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTSome Θ bv ft)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTI Θ B b Φ x c s τ)
  then show ?case by auto
qed(auto)+

lemmas wf-restrict=wf-restrict1 wf-restrict2

lemma wfG-intros2:
  assumes wfC P B ((x,b,TRUE) #ΓΓ) c
  shows wfG P B ((x,b,c) #ΓΓ)
proof –
  have wfG P B ((x,b,TRUE) #ΓΓ) using wfC-wf assms by auto
  hence *:wfG P B Γ ∧ atom x # Γ ∧ wfB P B b using wfG-elim by metis
  show ?thesis using assms proof(cases c ∈ {TRUE,FALSE})
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I * by auto
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I * assms by auto
  qed
qed

```

8.10 Type Definitions

lemma *wf-theta-weakening1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-}q$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $t::\tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' \vdash_{wf} td$

proof(*nominal-induct b and c and Γ and τ and ts and P and b and b and td*

avoiding: Θ'

rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)

case (*wfV-consI s dclist Θ dc x b c \mathcal{B} Γ v*)

show ?*case proof*

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle (dc, \{x : b \mid c\}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*wfV-conspI s bv dclist Θ dc x b' c \mathcal{B} b Γ v*)

show ?*case proof*

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle (dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show *atom bv $\# (\Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v)$* **using** *wfV-conspI fresh-prodN* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*wfTI z Θ \mathcal{B} Γ b c*)

thus ?*case* **using** *Wellformed.wfTI* **by** *auto*

next

case (*wfB-consI Θ s dclist*)

show ?*case proof*

show $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-consI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-consI* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*wfB-appI Θ \mathcal{B} b s bv dclist*)

show ?*case proof*

show $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfB-appI* **by** *simp*

qed

qed(*metis wf-intros*)+

lemma *wf-theta-weakening2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $s::s$
and $b::b$ and $B::\mathcal{B}$ and $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$
and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ and $css::\text{branch-list}$ and $t::\tau$

shows

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$
and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi)$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft$

proof(nominal-induct b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft

avoiding: Θ'

rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-appPI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$ using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-matchI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-id } tid \rangle$ using wfS-matchI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } tid dclist \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } u \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) \rangle$ using wfS-varI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$ using wfS-varI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-letI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$ using wfS-letI by auto

```

  show  $\langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b) \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ \tau\ x\ s2\ b$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of}\ \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of}\ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ \tau\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b\ tid\ dc$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of}\ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f\ \Phi\ \Theta\ ft$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $f \notin name\text{-of}\text{-fun}\ 'set\ \Phi$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
  show  $\Theta' ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
  show  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
qed
next
case (wfFTNone  $\Theta\ ft$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfFTSome  $\Theta\ bv\ ft$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfFTI  $\Theta\ B\ b\ \Phi\ x\ c\ s\ \tau$ )
thus ?case using Wellformed.wfFTI wf-theta-weakening1 by simp
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ c\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  have  $atom\ x \# \Theta'$  using wf-supp(6)[OF  $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle$ ] fresh-def by auto
  thus  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by simp
qed
qed(metis wf-intros wf-theta-weakening1 )+

lemmas wf-theta-weakening = wf-theta-weakening1 wf-theta-weakening2

lemma lookup-wfTD:
  fixes td::type-def
  assumes  $td \in set\ \Theta$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 

```

```

shows  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Theta$  )
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons  $td' \ \Theta'$ )
then consider  $td = td' \mid td \in \text{set } \Theta'$  by auto
then have  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} td$  proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
next
case 2
then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
qed
then show ?case using wf-theta-weakening Cons by (meson set-subset-Cons)
qed

```

8.10.1 Simple

```

lemma wfTh-dclist-unique:
  assumes wfTh  $\Theta$  and AF-typedef  $tid$  dclist1  $\in \text{set } \Theta$  and AF-typedef  $tid$  dclist2  $\in \text{set } \Theta$ 
  shows dclist1 = dclist2
using assms proof(induct  $\Theta$  rule:  $\Theta$ -induct)
case TNil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (AF-typedef  $tid' \ dclist' \ \Theta'$ )
then show ?case using wfTh-elims
  by (metis image-eqI name-of-type.simps(1) set-ConsD type-def.eq-iff(1))
next
case (AF-typedef-poly  $tid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta'$ )
then show ?case using wfTh-elims by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfTs-ctor-unique:
  fixes dclist::(string* $\tau$ ) list
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{\mid\}$  ; GNil  $\vdash_{wf} \ dclist$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set } dclist$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set } dclist$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
using assms proof(induct dclist rule: list.inducts)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons  $x1 \ x2$ )
consider  $x1 = (c, t1) \mid x1 = (c, t2) \mid x1 \neq (c, t1) \wedge x1 \neq (c, t2)$  by auto
thus ?case proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD
    by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
next
case 2
  then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD
    by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
next

```

```

    case 3
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elim by (metis set-ConsD)
  qed
qed

lemma wfTD-ctor-unique:
  assumes  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist})$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set dclist}$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
  using wfTD-elim wfTs-elim assms wfTs-ctor-unique by metis

lemma wfTh-ctor-unique:
  assumes wfTh  $\Theta$  and  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set dclist}$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
  using lookup-wfTD wfTD-ctor-unique assms by metis

lemma wfTs-supp-t:
  fixes  $dclist::(\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list}$ 
  assumes  $(c, t) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } t \subseteq \text{supp } B$ 
  using assms proof(induct dclist arbitrary: c t rule:list.induct)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons ct dclist')
    then consider  $ct = (c, t) \mid (c, t) \in \text{set dclist'}$  by auto
    then show ?case proof(cases)
      case 1
      then have  $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$  using Cons wfTs-elim by blast
      thus ?thesis using wfT-supp atom-dom.simps by force
    next
      case 2
      then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elim by metis
    qed
  qed

lemma wfTh-lookup-supp-empty:
  fixes  $t::\tau$ 
  assumes  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(c, t) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } t = \{\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$  using assms lookup-wfTD wfTD-elim by metis
    thus ?thesis using wfTs-supp-t assms by force
  qed

lemma wfTh-supp-b:
  assumes  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(dc, \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } b = \{\}$ 
  using assms wfTh-lookup-supp-empty  $\tau.\text{supp}$  by blast

lemma wfTh-b-eq-iff:

```

```

fixes bva1::bv and bva2::bv and dc::string
assumes  $(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in \text{set } dclist1$  and  $(dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in \text{set } dclist2$  and
  wfTs P \{|bva1|\} GNil dclist1 and wfTs P \{|bva2|\} GNil dclist2
 $[[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2$ 
shows  $[[atom\ bva1]]lst. (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\})$ 
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case  $(Cons\ dct1'\ dclist1')$ 
  show ?case proof(cases dclist2 = [])
    case True
    then show ?thesis using Cons by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain dct2' and dclist2' where cons:dct2' # dclist2' = dclist2 using list.exhaust by metis
    hence  $*: [[atom\ bva1]]lst. dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst. dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dct2'$ 
    using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
    hence  $*: fst\ dct1' = fst\ dct2' \text{ using } lstfst[THEN\ lst-pure]$ 
    by  $(metis\ (no-types)\ \langle [[atom\ bva1]]lst. dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst. dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dct2' \rangle$ 
     $\langle \bigwedge x2\ x1\ t2'\ t2a\ t2\ t1. [[atom\ x1]]lst. (t1, t2a) = [[atom\ x2]]lst. (t2, t2') \implies t1 = t2 \rangle\ fst-conv\ surj-pair)$ 
    show ?thesis proof(cases fst dct1' = dc)
      case True
      have  $dc \notin fst\ 'set\ dclist1'$  using wfTs-elim Cons by (metis True fstI)
      hence  $1: (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) = dct1' \text{ using } Cons\ by\ (metis\ fstI\ image-iff\ set-ConsD)$ 
      have  $dc \notin fst\ 'set\ dclist2'$  using wfTs-elim Cons cons
      by  $(metis\ **\ True\ fstI)$ 
      hence  $2: (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) = dct2' \text{ using } Cons\ cons\ by\ (metis\ fst-conv\ image-eqI\ set-ConsD)$ 
      then show ?thesis using Cons * 1 2 by blast
    next
      case False
      hence  $fst\ dct2' \neq dc \text{ using } **\ by\ auto$ 
      hence  $(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist1' \wedge (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2' \text{ using } Cons$ 
      cons False
      by  $(metis\ fstI\ set-ConsD)$ 
      moreover have  $[[atom\ bva1]]lst. dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dclist2' \text{ using } * False\ by\ metis$ 
      ultimately show ?thesis using Cons ** *
      using cons wfTs-elim(2) by blast
    qed
  qed
qed

```

8.10.2 Polymorphic

lemma *wfTh-wfTs-poly:*
fixes *dclist::(string * τ) list*
assumes *AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist \in set P and $\vdash_{wf} P$*
shows $P ; \{|bva|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$
proof –

```

have *:P ⊢wf AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist using lookup-wfTD assms by simp

obtain bv lst where *:P ; {|bv|} ; GNil ⊢wf lst ∧
  (∀ c. atom c # (dclist, lst) ⟶ atom c # (bva, bv, dclist, lst) ⟶ (bva ↔ c) • dclist = (bv ↔ c) •
  lst)
  using wfTD-elim(2)[OF *] by metis

obtain c::bv where **:atom c # ((dclist, lst),(bva, bv, dclist, lst)) using obtain-fresh by metis
have P ; {|bv|} ; GNil ⊢wf lst using * by metis
hence wfTs ((bv ↔ c) • P) ((bv ↔ c) • {|bv|}) ((bv ↔ c) • GNil) ((bv ↔ c) • lst) using ** wfTs.eqvt
by metis
hence wfTs P {|c|} GNil ((bva ↔ c) • dclist) using * theta-flip-eq fresh-GNil assms
proof -
  have ∀ b ba. (ba::bv ↔ b) • P = P by (metis ⊢wf P theta-flip-eq)
  then show ?thesis
    using * ** (bv ↔ c) • P ; (bv ↔ c) • {|bv|} ; (bv ↔ c) • GNil ⊢wf (bv ↔ c) • lst by fastforce
  qed
hence wfTs ((bva ↔ c) • P) ((bva ↔ c) • {|bva|}) ((bva ↔ c) • GNil) ((bva ↔ c) • dclist)
  using wfTs.eqvt fresh-GNil
  by (simp add: assms(2) theta-flip-eq2)

thus ?thesis using wfTs.eqvt permute-flip-cancel by metis
qed

lemma wfTh-dclist-poly-unique:
  assumes wfTh Θ and AF-typedef-poly tid bva dclist1 ∈ set Θ and AF-typedef-poly tid bva2 dclist2
  ∈ set Θ
  shows [[atom bva]]lst. dclist1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.dclist2
using assms proof(induct Θ rule: Θ-induct)
  case TNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (AF-typedef tid' dclist' Θ')
  then show ?case using wfTh-elim by auto
next
  case (AF-typedef-poly tid bv dclist Θ')
  then show ?case using wfTh-elim image-eqI name-of-type.simps set-ConsD type-def.eq-iff
  by (metis Abs1-eq(3))
qed

lemma wfTh-poly-lookup-supp:
  fixes t::τ
  assumes AF-typedef-poly tid bv dclist ∈ set Θ and (c,t) ∈ set dclist and ⊢wf Θ
  shows supp t ⊆ {atom bv}
proof -
  have supp dclist ⊆ {atom bv} using assms lookup-wfTD wf-supp1 type-def.supp
  by (metis Diff-single-insert Un-subset-iff list.simps(15) supp-Nil supp-of-atom-list)
  then show ?thesis using assms(2) proof(induct dclist)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons a dclist)

```


then show *?case* **using** *supp-Pair supp-Cons*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *Un-empty-left Un-empty-right pure-supp subset-Un-eq subset-singletonD*
supp-list-member)
qed
qed

lemma *wfTh-poly-supp-b*:

assumes *AF-typedef-poly tid bv dclist* \in *set* Θ **and** $(dc, \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in$ *set dclist* **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
shows $\text{supp } b \subseteq \{\text{atom } bv\}$
using *assms wfTh-poly-lookup-supp τ .supp* **by** *force*

lemma *subst-g-inside*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$
shows $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$
using *assms proof(induct Γ' rule: Γ -induct)*
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-gb.simps* **by** *simp*
next
case $(GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ G)$

hence $wfG:wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (G @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \nmid (G @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-elim(2)*
using *GCons.premis append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } ((x', b', c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G)$ **using** *GCons wfG-inside-fresh* **by** *fast*
hence $x \neq x'$
using *GCons append-Cons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps setG.simps* **by** *simp*
hence $((GCons \ (x', b', c') \ G) @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} =$
 $(GCons \ (x', b', c') \ (G @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma)))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = GCons \ (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \ ((G @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})$
using *subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ (G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *GCons wfG* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = ((x', b', c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfTh-td-eq*:

assumes $td1 \in$ *set* $(td2 \ \# \ P)$ **and** $wfTh \ (td2 \ \# \ P)$ **and** $\text{name-of-type } td1 = \text{name-of-type } td2$
shows $td1 = td2$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $as: td1 \neq td2$
have $\text{name-of-type } td2 \notin \text{name-of-type 'set } P$ **using** *wfTh-elim(2)[OF assms(2)]* **by** *metis*
moreover have $td1 \in$ *set* P **using** *assms as* **by** *simp*
ultimately have $\text{name-of-type } td1 \neq \text{name-of-type } td2$
by (*metis rev-image-eqI*)
thus *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfTh-td-unique*:

assumes $td1 \in$ *set* P **and** $td2 \in$ *set* P **and** $wfTh \ P$ **and** $\text{name-of-type } td1 = \text{name-of-type } td2$
shows $td1 = td2$

```

using assms proof(induct P rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons td Θ')
consider td = td1 | td = td2 | td ≠ td1 ∧ td ≠ td2 by auto
then show ?case proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim wfTh-td-eq by metis
next
case 2
then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim wfTh-td-eq by metis
next
case 3
then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim by auto
qed
qed

lemma wfTs-distinct:
  fixes dclist::(string * τ) list
  assumes Θ ; B ; GNil ⊢wf dclist
  shows distinct (map fst dclist)
using assms proof(induct dclist rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x1 x2)
then show ?case
  by (metis Cons.hyps Cons.prem distinct.simps(2) fst-conv list.set-map list.simps(9) wfTs-elim(2))
qed

lemma wfTh-dclist-distinct:
  assumes AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set P and wfTh P
  shows distinct (map fst dclist)
proof -
  have wfTD P (AF-typedef s dclist) using assms lookup-wfTD by auto
  hence wfTs P {||} GNil dclist using wfTD-elim by metis
  thus ?thesis using wfTs-distinct by metis
qed

lemma wfTh-dc-t-unique:
  assumes AF-typedef s dclist' ∈ set P and (dc, ⌊ x' : b' | c' ⌋) ∈ set dclist' and AF-typedef s dclist
  ∈ set P and wfTh P and
    (dc, ⌊ x : b | c ⌋) ∈ set dclist
  shows ⌊ x' : b' | c' ⌋ = ⌊ x : b | c ⌋
proof -
  have dclist = dclist' using assms wfTh-td-unique name-of-type.simps by force
  moreover have distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms

```

by (meson eq-key-imp-eq-value)
qed

lemma wfTs-wfT:
fixes dclist::(string * τ) list and t:: τ
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ and $(dc, t) \in set\ dclist$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$
using assms proof(induct dclist rule:list.induct)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x1 x2)
thus ?case using wfTs-elim(2)[OF Cons(2)] by auto
qed

lemma wfTh-wfT:
fixes t:: τ
assumes wfTh P and AF-typedef tid dclist $\in set\ P$ and $(dc, t) \in set\ dclist$
shows $P ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$
proof -
have $P \vdash_{wf} AF-typedef\ tid\ dclist$ using lookup-wfTD assms by auto
hence $P ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ using wfTD-elim by auto
thus ?thesis using wfTs-wfT assms by auto
qed

lemma td-lookup-eq-iff:
fixes dc :: string and bva1::bv and bva2::bv
assumes $[[atom\ bva1]]lst. dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dclist2$ and $(dc, \{\!| x : b \mid c \!\}) \in set\ dclist1$
shows $\exists x2\ b2\ c2. (dc, \{\!| x2 : b2 \mid c2 \!\}) \in set\ dclist2$
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons dct1' dclist1')
then obtain dct2' and dclist2' where $cons:dct2' \# dclist2' = dclist2$ using lst-head-cons-neq-nil[OF Cons(2)] list.exhaust by metis
hence $*:[[atom\ bva1]]lst. dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst. dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst. dct2'$
using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
show ?case proof(cases dc=fst dct1')
case True
hence $dc = fst\ dct2'$ using * lst-fst[THEN lst-pure]
proof -
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) local.* True $\langle \wedge x2\ x1\ t2'\ t2a\ t2\ t1. [[atom\ x1]]lst. (t1, t2a) = [[atom\ x2]]lst. (t2, t2') \implies t1 = t2 \rangle prod.exhaust-sel$)
qed
obtain x2 b2 and c2 where $snd\ dct2' = \{\!| x2 : b2 \mid c2 \!\}$ using obtain-fresh-z by metis
hence $(dc, \{\!| x2 : b2 \mid c2 \!\}) = dct2'$ using $\langle dc = fst\ dct2' \rangle$
by (metis prod.exhaust-sel)

```

    then show ?thesis using cons by force
next
case False
hence (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist1' using Cons by auto
then show ?thesis using Cons
  by (metis local.* cons list.set-intros(2))
qed

qed

```

lemma *lst-t-b-eq-iff*:

```

  fixes bva1::bv and bva2::bv
  assumes [[atom bva1]]lst. { x1 : b1 | c1 } = [[atom bva2]]lst. { x2 : b2 | c2 }
  shows [[atom bva1]]lst. b1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.b2
proof(subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of bva1 b1 bva2 b2],rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::bv
  assume atom c # ( { x1 : b1 | c1 } , { x2 : b2 | c2 } ) and atom c # (bva1, bva2, b1, b2)

  show (bva1 ↔ c) · b1 = (bva2 ↔ c) · b2 using assms Abs1-eq-iff(3) assms
  by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) (atom c # (bva1, bva2, b1, b2)) τ.fresh τ.perm-simps type-eq-subst-eq2(2))
qed

```

lemma *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff*:

```

  assumes AF-typedef-poly tyid bva1 dclist1 ∈ set P and (dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) ∈ set dclist1
  and AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P and (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist2 and ⊢wf P
  shows b1[bva1::=b]bb = b2[bva2::=b]bb
proof -
  have [[atom bva1]]lst. dclist1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.dclist2 using assms wfTh-dclist-poly-unique by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. (dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) = [[atom bva2]]lst. (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) using
wfTh-b-eq-iff assms wfTh-wfTs-poly by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. { x1 : b1 | c1 } = [[atom bva2]]lst. { x2 : b2 | c2 } using lst-snd by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. b1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.b2 using lst-t-b-eq-iff by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-b-def by metis
qed

```

8.11 Equivariance Lemmas

lemma *x-not-in-u-set[simp]*:

```

  fixes x::x and us::u fset
  shows atom x ∉ supp us
  by(induct us,auto, simp add: supp-finset supp-at-base)

```

lemma *wfS-flip-eq*:

```

  fixes s1::s and x1::x and s2::s and x2::x and Δ::Δ
  assumes [[atom x1]]lst. s1 = [[atom x2]]lst. s2 and [[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2 and [[atom
x1]]lst. c1 = [[atom x2]]lst. c2 and atom x2 # Γ and
    Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf Δ and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x1, b, c1) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s1 : b-of t1
  shows Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x2, b, c2) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s2 : b-of t2
proof(cases x1=x2)

```

case *True*
 hence $s1 = s2 \wedge t1 = t2 \wedge c1 = c2$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff* **by** *metis*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *assms True* **by** *simp*
 next
 case *False*
 thm *wfD-x-fresh*
 have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **using** *wfX-wfY assms* **by** *metis*
 moreover have $\text{atom } x1 \# \Gamma$ **using** *wfX-wfY wfG-elim assms* **by** *metis*
 moreover hence $\text{atom } x1 \# \Delta \wedge \text{atom } x2 \# \Delta$ **using** *wfD-x-fresh assms* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot ((x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 : (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of } t1$
 using *wfS.eqvt theta-flip-eq phi-flip-eq assms flip-base-eq beta-flip-eq flip-fresh-fresh supp-b-empty*
by *metis*
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x2, b, (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot c1) \#_{\Gamma} ((x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot \Gamma)) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 :$
b-of ((x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot t1) **by** *fastforce*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff*
 proof –
 have $f1: x2 = x1 \wedge t2 = t1 \vee x2 \neq x1 \wedge t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot t1 \wedge \text{atom } x2 \# t1$
 by (*metis (full-types) Abs1-eq-iff(3) <[[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2>*)
 then have $x2 \neq x1 \wedge s2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 \wedge \text{atom } x2 \# s1 \longrightarrow b\text{-of } t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of } t1$
 by (*metis b-of.eqvt*)
 then show *?thesis*
 using $f1$ **by** (*metis (no-types) Abs1-eq-iff(3) G-cons-flip-fresh3 <[[atom x1]]lst. c1 = [[atom x2]]lst. c2> <[[atom x1]]lst. s1 = [[atom x2]]lst. s2> <\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } t1> <\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot ((x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 : (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of } t1> <\text{atom } x1 \# \Gamma> <\text{atom } x2 \# \Gamma>*)
 qed
 qed

8.12 Lookup

lemma *wf-not-in-prefix*:
 assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 shows $x \notin \text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma'$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma.induct*)
 case *GNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*GCons xbc \Gamma'*)
 then obtain x' and b' and $c'::c$ **where** $xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')$
 using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
 hence $*(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((x',b',c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))$ **by** *simp*
 hence $\text{atom } x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-elim(2) GCons* **by** *metis*

 moreover have $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *GCons wfG-elim ** **by** *metis*
 ultimately have $\text{atom } x' \notin \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-dom-suppl GCons append-g.simps*
xbc fresh-def **by** *fast*
 hence $x' \neq x$ **using** *GCons fresh-GCons xbc* **by** *fastforce*
 then show *?case* **using** *GCons xbc setG.simps*
 using *Un-commute <\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma> atom-dom.simps* **by** *auto*
 qed

lemma *lookup-inside-wf[simp]*:

assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $Some (b1, c1) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$
using *wf-not-in-prefix lookup-inside assms by fast*

lemma *lookup-weakening*:

fixes $\Theta :: \Theta$ **and** $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' :: \Gamma$
assumes $Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma x$ **and** $setG \Gamma \subseteq setG \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
shows $Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma' x$

proof –

have $(x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in setG \Gamma \longrightarrow b' = b \wedge c' = c)$ **using** *assms lookup-iff setG.simps by force*

hence $(x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma'$ **using** *assms by auto*

moreover have $(\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in setG \Gamma' \longrightarrow b' = b \wedge c' = c)$ **using** *assms wf-g-unique*

using *calculation by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-iff*

using *assms(3) by blast*

qed

lemma *wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique*:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$
assumes $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $AF-fundef f fd \in set \Phi$

shows $Some (AF-fundef f fd) = lookup-fun \Phi f$

using *assms proof(induct Φ rule: list.induct)*

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *lookup-fun.simps by simp*

next

case $(Cons a \Phi')$

then obtain f' **and** fd' **where** $a : a = AF-fundef f' fd'$ **using** *fun-def.exhaust by auto*

have $wf : \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \wedge f' \notin name-of-fun 'set \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim Cons a by metis*

then show *?case* **using** *Cons lookup-fun.simps using Cons lookup-fun.simps wf a*

by *(metis image-eqI name-of-fun.simps set-ConsD)*

qed

lemma *lookup-fun-weakening*:

fixes $\Phi' :: \Phi$

assumes $Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi f$ **and** $set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi'$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$

shows $Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi' f$

using *assms proof(induct Φ)*

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *lookup-fun.simps by simp*

next

case $(Cons a \Phi'')$

then obtain f' **and** fd' **where** $a : a = AF-fundef f' fd'$ **using** *fun-def.exhaust by auto*

then show *?case* **proof**(*cases f=f'*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-fun.simps Cons wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique a*

by *(metis lookup-fun-member subset-iff)*

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-fun.simps Cons*

using $\langle a = AF-fundef f' fd' \rangle$ **by** *auto*

qed
qed

lemma *fundef-poly-fresh-bv*:

assumes *atom bv2* $\#$ (*bv1*, *b1*, *c1*, $\tau 1$, *s1*)
shows $*$: (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv2* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *b1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *c1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot $\tau 1$) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *s1*))) = (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv1* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau 1$ *s1*)))
(is (*AF-fun-typ-some* ?*bv* ?*fun-typ* = *AF-fun-typ-some* ?*bva* ?*fun-typa*))

proof –

have *1*: *atom bv2* \notin *set* [*atom x1*] **using** *bv-not-in-x-atoms* **by** *simp*
have *2*: *bv1* \neq *bv2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: (*bv2* \leftrightarrow *bv1*) \cdot *x1* = *x1* **using** *pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh*
by (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh*)
have *AF-fun-typ* *x1* ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *b1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *c1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot $\tau 1$) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *s1*)
= (*bv2* \leftrightarrow *bv1*) \cdot *AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau 1$ *s1*
using *1 2 3 assms* **by** (*simp add: flip-commute*)
moreover **have** (*atom bv2* $\#$ *c1* \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ $\tau 1$ \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ *s1* \vee *atom bv2* \in *set* [*atom x1*]) \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ *b1*
using *1 2 3 assms fresh-prod5* **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** ?*thesis* **unfolding** *fun-typ-q.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff*(*3*) *fun-typ.fresh* *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *wb-b-weakening1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$
and $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** *ftq::fun-typ-q* **and** *ft::fun-typ* **and** *ce::ce* **and** *td::type-def*
and *cs::branch-s* **and** *css::branch-list*

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies wfB \Theta \mathcal{B}' b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct* *b* **and** *c* **and** Γ **and** τ **and** *ts* **and** *P* **and** *b* **and** *b* **and** *td*
avoiding: B')

rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)

case (*wfV-conspI* *s* *bv* *dclist* Θ *dc* *x* *b'* *c* \mathcal{B} *b* Γ *v*)

show ?*case* **proof**

show (*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *dclist* \in *set* Θ) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *metis*

show ($\langle dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \} \rangle \in$ *set dclist*) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show ($\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b$) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show (*atom bv* $\#$ (Θ , \mathcal{B}' , Γ , *b*, *v*)) **using** *fresh-prodN wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

thus ($\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *simp*

qed
next

```

case (wfTI z  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  b c)
show ?case proof
  show atom z  $\#$  ( $\Theta$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'$ ,  $\Gamma$ ) using wfTI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfTI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ; (z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfTI by auto
qed
qed( (auto simp add: wf-intros | metis wf-intros)+ )

lemma wb-b-weakening2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 

  shows
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dc ; t  $\vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dc ; t
 $\vdash_{wf} cs : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dclist  $\vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dclist
 $\vdash_{wf} css : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies True$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(nominal-induct b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
  avoiding:  $\mathcal{B}'$ 

  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-valI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v b)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 b1 b2)
then show ?case using Wellformed.wfE-fstI wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 b1 b2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis

```



```

next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f ft v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wb-b-weakening1 by meson
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}1 \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1$ )

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f1 \ b' \ v1 : (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1 ::= b]_b$ 
  proof
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b'$  using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
    thus atom bv1  $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, b', v1, (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1 ::= b]_b)$ 
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto

    show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau1 s1$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f1$ 
  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1[bv1 ::= b]_b$  using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
  qed
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle atom \ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, e, b) \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-let2I by simp
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-ifI by simp
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-varI by simp
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-assignI by simp
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-whileI by simp
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-seqI by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-matchI by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta bv ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfFTI by auto
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    have  $atom\ x \# \mathcal{B}'$  using x-not-in-b-set fresh-def by metis
    thus  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN by simp
  qed
qed(auto)

lemmas wb-b-weakening = wb-b-weakening1 wb-b-weakening2

lemma wfG-b-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  using wb-b-weakening assms by auto

lemma wfT-b-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$  and  $\Theta :: \Theta$  and  $\tau :: \tau$ 

```

```

assumes  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
using wb-b-weakening assms by auto

lemma wfB-subst-wfB:
  fixes  $\tau :: \tau$  and  $b' :: b$  and  $b :: b$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv ::= b']_{bb}$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct b rule:b.strong-induct)
  case B-int
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-int}$  using wfB-intI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case B-bool
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool}$  using wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case (B-id x)
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (B\text{-id } x)$  using wfB-consI wfB-elimI wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps(4) by auto
next
  case (B-pair x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps
    by (metis wfB-elimI(1) wfB-pairI)
next
  case B-unit
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-unit}$  using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case B-bitvec
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-bitvec}$  using wfB-bitvecI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case (B-var x)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have False
    using B-var.premI(1) wfB.cases by fastforce
    then show ?thesis by metis
  qed
next
  case (B-app s b)
  then obtain  $bv' dclist$  where  $*:AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv' \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \wedge \Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b$  using
wfB-elimI by metis
  thm wfB-appI
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
    show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using B-app wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv ::= b']_{bb}$  using  $* \ B\text{-app forget-subst wfB-supp fresh-def}$ 
      by (metis ex-in-conv subset-empty subst-b-b-def supp-empty-fset)
    show  $AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv' \ dclist \in set \ \Theta$  using  $*$  by auto
  qed
qed

```

lemma *wfT-subst-wfB*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $b'::b$
assumes $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b']_{bb}$
proof –
obtain b **where** $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge b\text{-of } \tau = b$ **using** *wfT-elim b-of.simps* **assms** **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfB-subst-wfB* **assms** **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-cons-unique*:
assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $x = x1$
shows $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$
proof –
have $x1 \notin \text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma$
proof –
have $\text{atom } x1 \nmid \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfG-cons* **by** *metis*
then show *?thesis*
using *fresh-gamma-elem*
by (*metis* *assms(2)* *atom-dom.simps* *rev-image-eqI* *wfG-cons2* *wfG-x-fresh*)
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *wfG-member-unique*:
assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x = x1$
shows $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *wfG-suffix wfG-cons-unique append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
moreover **hence** $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wf-not-in-prefix* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *wfG-cons* **by** *fastforce*
qed

8.13 Function Definitions

lemma *wb-phi-weakening*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$
and $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$
and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
shows
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e$
 $: b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s :$
 b **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ;$
 $\Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B}$
 $; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$ **and**

```

     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' \vdash_{wf} ftq$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and  $ftq$  and  $ft$ 
  avoiding:  $\Phi'$ 
  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-legI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros lookup-fun-weakening by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi' f \rangle$ 
      using wfE-appPI lookup-fun-weakening by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed

next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-letI by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 b' x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-let2I by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle atom\ u \ \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof

    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto

  have  $atom\ x \ \# \Phi'$  using wfS-assertI wfPhi-supply fresh-def by blast
  thus  $\langle atom\ x \ \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using fresh-prodN wfS-assertI wfPhi-supply fresh-def by auto
qed
qed(auto|metis wf-intros)+

lemma wfT-fun-return-t:
  fixes  $\tau a'::\tau$  and  $\tau'::\tau$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau a'$  and  $(AF\text{-fun-ty}p\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s') = (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\ xa\ b\ ca\ \tau a'\ sa')$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'$ 

```

proof –

obtain $cb::x$ **where** $xf: \text{atom } cb \# (c, \tau', s', sa', \tau a', ca, x, xa)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
hence $\text{atom } cb \# (c, \tau', s', sa', \tau a', ca) \wedge \text{atom } cb \# (x, xa, ((c, \tau'), s'), (ca, \tau a'), sa')$ **using**
fresh-prod6 fresh-prod4 fresh-prod8 **by** *auto*
hence $*:c[x::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[xa::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} \wedge \tau'[x::=V\text{-var } cb]_{\tau v} = \tau a'[xa::=V\text{-var } cb]_{\tau v}$ **using**
assms $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff-all}$ **by** *auto*

have $**:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau a'$ **using** *assms True-eqvt beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfG-wf*
by (*metis GCons-eqvt GNil-eqvt wfT.eqvt wfT-wf*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau'$ **proof** –
have $(x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot xa = (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot x$ **using** *xf* **by** *auto*
hence $(x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) = (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)$ **using** $** * xf$
G-cons-flip fresh-GNil **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** $** * xf$ **by** *simp*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfT-wf wfG-wf * * True-eqvt wfT.eqvt permute-flip-cancel*
by *metis*
qed

lemma *wfFT-wf-aux*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$
shows $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$
proof –

obtain xa **and** ca **and** sa **and** τ' **where** $*:\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \wedge (\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} sa : b\text{-of } \tau')$ \wedge
 $\text{supp } sa \subseteq \{\text{atom } xa\} \wedge (\Theta ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau') \wedge$
 $AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s = AF\text{-fun-ty-p } xa \ b \ ca \ \tau' \ sa$
using *wfFT.simps[of $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s$]* *assms* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $(AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) = (AF\text{-fun-ty-p } xa \ b \ ca \ \tau' \ sa)$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-fun-return-t* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $(\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau)$ **proof** –
have $**:\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} sa : b\text{-of } \tau'$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $[[\text{atom } xa]]\text{lst. } sa = [[\text{atom } x]]\text{lst. } s \wedge [[\text{atom } xa]]\text{lst. } \tau' = [[\text{atom } x]]\text{lst. } \tau \wedge [[\text{atom } xa]]\text{lst. } ca = [[\text{atom } x]]\text{lst. } c$
using $*$ *fun-ty-p.eq-iff lst-fst lst-snd* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \# GNil$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms wfS-flip-eq wfD-emptyI wfG-nilI wfX-wfY ** **by** *metis*
qed
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfFT-simple-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-ty-p-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))$
shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$
proof –
have $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-ty-p } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ **using** *wfFTQ-elim* *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfFT-wf-aux* by *auto*
qed

lemma *wfFT-poly-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ftq :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))$

shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of}\ \tau$

proof –

obtain $bv1\ ft1$ where $*\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} ft1 \wedge [[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ ft1 = [[atom\ bv]]lst.\ AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$

using *wfFTQ-elim*(\mathcal{I})[*OF assms*] by *metis*

show *?thesis* proof(*cases* $bv1 = bv$)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* using $*\ fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q.\text{eq-iff}\ Abs1\text{-eq-iff}$ by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *wfFT-wf-aux*)

next

case *False*

obtain $x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1$ where $*\Theta ; ft1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1$ using *fun-typ.eq-iff*

by (*meson fun-typ.exhaust*)

hence *eqv*: $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s \wedge atom\ bv1 \nmid AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ using

Abs1-eq-iff(\mathcal{I}) * *False* by *metis*

have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta ; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi ; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1$ using *wfFT.eqvt*
* by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi = \Phi$ using *phi-flip-eq* *wfX-wfY* * by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$ using *wfX-wfY* * *theta-flip-eq2* by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ using *eqv* ** by *metis*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ by *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfFT-wf-aux* by *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *wfFT-poly-wfT*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))$

shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wfFT-poly-wf* *assms* by *simp*

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $s::s$ and $\Phi'::\Phi$

assumes $AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)) \in set\ \Phi$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ and $set\ \Phi \subseteq set\ \Phi'$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$

shows $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi' ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of}\ \tau$

using *assms* proof(*induct* Φ rule: $\Phi\text{-induct}$)

case *PNil*

then show *?case* by *auto*

next

case (*PConsSome* $f1\ bv\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s'\ \Phi'$)

hence $AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) \in \text{set } \Phi''$ by *auto*
 moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(3)$ $PConsSome$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?case$ using $PConsSome \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-simple-wf}$ by *auto*
 next
 case $(PConsNone \ f' \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \tau' \ s' \ \Phi'')$
 show $?case$ **proof**(cases $f=f'$)
 case *True*
 have $AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \tau' \ s') = AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$
 by (metis $PConsNone.prem(1)$ $PConsNone.prem(2)$ *True fun-def.eq-iff image-eqI name-of-fun.simps*
set-ConsD wfPhi-elims(2))
 hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(2)[OF \ PConsNone(3)]$ by *metis*
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi'' ; \{|\}\} \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ using $wfFTQ\text{-elims}(1)$ by *metis*
 thus $?thesis$ using $wfFT\text{-simple-wf}[OF \ *]$ *wb-phi-weakening* $PConsNone$ by *force*
 next
 case *False*
 hence $AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) \in \text{set } \Phi''$ using $PConsNone$ by *simp*
 moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(3)$ $PConsNone$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using $PConsNone \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-simple-wf}$ by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wfT}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: \text{fun-ty}p\text{-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$
 using $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wf} \text{ assms}$ using *lookup-fun-member* by *blast*

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-supp-t}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: \text{fun-ty}p\text{-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-none } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
 using $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wfT} \ wfT\text{-supp} \text{ assms}$ by *fastforce*

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-poly-wfT}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: \text{fun-ty}p\text{-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\Theta ; \{ | \ bv \ | \} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$
 using assms **proof**(*induct* Φ *rule*: $\Phi\text{-induct}$)
 case *PNil*
 then show $?case$ by *auto*
 next
 case $(PConsSome \ f1 \ bv1 \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s' \ \Phi')$
 then show $?case$ **proof**(cases $f1=f$)
 case *True*
 hence $\text{lookup-fun } (AF\text{-fundef } f1 \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s'))) \# \Phi' \ f =$
 $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f1 \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-ty}p \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s')))$ using
lookup-fun.simps using $PConsSome.prem$ by *simp*
 then show $?thesis$ using $PConsSome.prem \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-poly-wfT}$

```

    by (metis option.inject)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using PConsSome using lookup-fun.simps
    using wfPhi-elim3 by auto
qed
next
case (PConsNone f' x' b' c'  $\tau'$  s'  $\Phi'$ )
then show ?case proof (cases f'=f)
case True
    then have *:  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi' \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-tyt-none } (AF\text{-fun-tyt } x' b' c' \tau' s')$  using lookup-fun.simps
PConsNone wfPhi-elim by metis
    thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfFT-poly-wfT wfPhi-elim lookup-fun.simps
        by (metis fun-def.eq-iff fun-tyt-q.distinct1) option.inject
next
case False
thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfPhi-elim
    by (metis False lookup-fun.simps2)
qed
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp b  $\subseteq$  supp bv
proof -
    have  $\Theta$  ;  $\{|bv|\}$  ; (x,b,c)  $\#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT assms by auto
    thus ?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp  $\tau \subseteq \{atom\ x, atom\ bv\}$ 
    using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT[OF assms, THEN wfT-supp] atom-dom.simps supp-at-base by auto

lemma b-of-supp:
    supp (b-of t)  $\subseteq$  supp t
proof (nominal-induct t rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
case (T-refined-type x b c)
then show ?case by auto
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b-of-t:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp (b-of  $\tau$ )  $\subseteq \{atom\ bv\}$ 
proof -
    have atom x  $\notin$  supp (b-of  $\tau$ ) using x-fresh-b by auto

```

moreover have $\text{supp } (b\text{-of } \tau) \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv \}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t*
using *supp-at-base b-of.simps wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t $\tau.\text{supp } b\text{-of-supp assms}$ by fast*
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-supp-c:*
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c:*
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||bv||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf by fastforce*
using *supp-at-base by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b:*
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } b = \{ \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s:*
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } s \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
proof –
have *AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s)) \in set Φ using lookup-fun-member assms by auto*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wf assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wf-supp(3) atom-dom.simps setG.simps x-not-in-u-set x-not-in-b-set setD.simps using wf-supp2(2) by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-wf:*
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Phi'::\Phi$
assumes *AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s)) \in set Φ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ and set*

$\Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \text{ and } \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$
shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi' ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Φ *rule*: Φ -*induct*)
case *PNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*PConsNone* *f x b c τ s' Φ''*)
moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim*(3) *PConsNone* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *PConsNone* *wfPhi-elim* *wfFT-poly-wf* **by** *auto*
next
case (*PConsSome* *f1 bv1 x1 b1 c1 τ 1 s1 Φ''*)
show *?case* **proof**(*cases* *f=f1*)
case *True*
have *AF-fun-typ-some* *bv1* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1 b1 c1 τ 1 s1*) = *AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c τ*
s)
by (*metis* *PConsSome.prem*(1) *PConsSome.prem*(2) *True* *fun-def.eq-iff* *list.set-intros*(1) *op-*
tation.inject *wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique*)
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} \text{AF-fun-typ-some } bv (\text{AF-fun-typ } x b c \tau s)$ **using** *wfPhi-elim* *PConsSome*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfFT-poly-wf* ** wb-phi-weakening* *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *set-subset-Cons*)
next
case *False*
hence *AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c τ s*)) $\in \text{set } \Phi''$ **using** *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *fun-def.eq-iff* *set-ConsD*)
moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim*(3) *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *dual-order.trans* *set-subset-Cons*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *PConsSome* *wfPhi-elim* *wfFT-poly-wf*
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** *ft :: fun-typ-q*
assumes *Some* (*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c τ s*))) = *lookup-fun* Φ **and** Θ
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$
shows *supp* *s* $\subseteq \{\text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv\}$
proof –
have *AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c τ s*)) $\in \text{set } \Phi$ **using** *lookup-fun-member*
assms **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-supp2*(2) *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* *setD.simps*
using *Un-insert-right* *supp-at-base* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemmas *wfPhi-f-supp* = *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b* *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b* *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c*
wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t* *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t* *wfPhi-f-poly-wfT* *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT*
wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s

lemma *fun-typ-eq-ret-unique*:
assumes (*AF-fun-typ* *x1 b1 c1 τ 1' s1'*) = (*AF-fun-typ* *x2 b2 c2 τ 2' s2'*)
shows $\tau 1' [x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2' [x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $[[atom\ x1]]lst.\ \tau1' = [[atom\ x2]]lst.\ \tau2'$ **using** *assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two*[of $x1\ \tau1'\ x2\ \tau2'\ v$] *subst-v- τ -def* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-typ-eq-body-unique*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $x2::x$ **and** $s1::s$ **and** $s2::s$
assumes $(AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1') = (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')$
shows $s1'[x1::=v]_{sv} = s2'[x2::=v]_{sv}$

proof –

have $[[atom\ x1]]lst.\ s1' = [[atom\ x2]]lst.\ s2'$ **using** *assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two*[of $x1\ s1'\ x2\ s2'\ v$] *subst-v-s-def* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-ret-unique*:

assumes *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
and *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
shows $\tau1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $*$: $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')))$ **using** *option.inject assms* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *fun-typ-eq-ret-unique fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-poly-arg-unique*:

fixes $bv1::bv$ **and** $bv2::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\tau1::\tau$ **and** $\tau2::\tau$
assumes $[[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1) = [[atom\ bv2]]lst.\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2)$
(is $[[atom\ ?x]]lst.\ ?a = [[atom\ ?y]]lst.\ ?b$ **)**
shows $\{ x1 : b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} \mid c1[bv1::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x2 : b2[bv2::=b]_{bb} \mid c2[bv2::=b]_{cb} \}$

proof –

obtain $c::bv$ **where** $*:atom\ c \# (b, b1, b2, c1, c2) \wedge atom\ c \# (bv1, bv2, AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1, AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

hence $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2$ **using** *Abs1-eq-iff-all*(\exists)[of $?x\ ?a\ ?y\ ?b$] *assms* **by** *metis*

hence $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1) = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2)$

using *fun-typ-flip* **by** *metis*

hence $*$: $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) \}$ **(is** $\{ x1 : ?b1 \mid ?c1 \} = \{ x2 : ?b2 \mid ?c2 \}$ **)** **using** *fun-arg-unique-aux* **by** *metis*

hence $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \} [c::=b]_{\tau b} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) \} [c::=b]_{\tau b}$ **by** *metis*

hence $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) [c::=b]_{bb} \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) [c::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) [c::=b]_{bb} \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) [c::=b]_{cb} \}$ **using** *subst-tb.simps* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ *flip-subst-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-b-def fresh-prodN flip-commute* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-poly-ret-unique*:

assumes *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv1\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
and *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv2\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
shows $\tau1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}[x2::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have *: (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) **using** option.inject assms **by** metis

hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2')

(**is** AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) **using** fun-def.eq-iff **by** metis

hence **:[[atom bv1]]lst. ?ft1 = [[atom bv2]]lst. ?ft2 **using** fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) **by** metis

hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b **using** subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def **by** metis

have [[atom x1]]lst. $\tau 1'$ [bv1::=b] $_{\tau b}$ = [[atom x2]]lst. $\tau 2'$ [bv2::=b] $_{\tau b}$

apply(rule lst-snd[of - c1[bv1::=b] $_{cb}$ - - c2[bv2::=b] $_{cb}$])

apply(rule lst-fst[of - s1'[bv1::=b] $_{sb}$ - - s2'[bv2::=b] $_{sb}$])

using * subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff **by** metis

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v- τ -def **by** metis

qed

lemma fun-poly-body-unique:

assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = lookup-fun Φ f **and** Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) = lookup-fun Φ f

shows s1'[bv1::=b] $_{sb}$ [x1::=v] $_{sv}$ = s2'[bv2::=b] $_{sb}$ [x2::=v] $_{sv}$

proof –

have *: (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) **using** option.inject assms **by** metis

hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2')

(**is** AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) **using** fun-def.eq-iff **by** metis

hence **:[[atom bv1]]lst. ?ft1 = [[atom bv2]]lst. ?ft2 **using** fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) **by** metis

hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b **using** subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def **by** metis

have [[atom x1]]lst. s1'[bv1::=b] $_{sb}$ = [[atom x2]]lst. s2'[bv2::=b] $_{sb}$

using lst-snd lst-fst subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff

by (metis local.*)

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def **by** metis

qed

lemma funtyp-eq-iff-equalities:

fixes s'::s **and** s::s

assumes [[atom x']]lst. ((c', τ'), s') = [[atom x]]lst. ((c, τ), s)

shows $\{x' : b \mid c'\} = \{x : b \mid c\} \wedge s'[x'::=v] $_{sv}$ = s[x::=v] $_{sv}$ \wedge $\tau'[x'::=v] $_{\tau v}$ = $\tau[x::=v] $_{\tau v}$$$$

proof –

have [[atom x']]lst. s' = [[atom x]]lst. s **and** [[atom x']]lst. τ' = [[atom x]]lst. τ **and**

[[atom x']]lst. c' = [[atom x]]lst. c **using** lst-snd lst-fst assms **by** metis+

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two τ .eq-iff

by (metis assms fun-typ.eq-iff fun-typ-eq-body-unique fun-typ-eq-ret-unique)

qed

8.14 Weakening

lemma *wfX-wfB1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $wfV\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfT\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of } \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfCE\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)

case (*wfV-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x$)

hence $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *lookup-iff wfV-wf* **using** *lookup-in-g* **by** *presburger*

hence $b \in \text{fst'snd'setG } \Gamma$ **by** *force*

hence $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *wfG-wfB wfV-varI* **by** *metis*

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-elim wfG-wf wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-litI* $\Theta \Gamma l$)

moreover have $wfTh \Theta$ **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wfV-litI* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-pairI* $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfG-wf wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-consI* $s \text{ dclist } \Theta \text{ dc } x b c B \Gamma v$)

then show *?case*

using *wfV-wf wfG-wf wfB-consI* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfV-conspI* $s \text{ bv dclist } \Theta \text{ dc } x b' c \mathcal{B} b \Gamma v$)

then show *?case*

using *wfV-wf wfG-wf* **using** *wfB-appI* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfCE-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim* **by** *auto*

next

case (*wfCE-plusI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim* **by** *auto*

next

case (*wfCE-leqI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfCE-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfCE-sndI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim* **by** *metis*

next

case (*wfCE-concatI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)

```

    then show ?case using wfB-elim by auto
next
  case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
  then show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
qed(auto | metis wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros )+

lemma wfX-wfB2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $b::b$  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 
  shows
     $wfE\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfCS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfCSS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1
  using wfB-pairI by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1
  using wfB-intI wfX-wfY1(1) by auto
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfT-b-weakening by fast
  then show ?case using b-of.simps using wfT-b-weakening
  by (metis b-of.cases bot.extremum wfT-elim(2))
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
  hence  $\Theta ; \{ | bv | \} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT wfX-wfY by blast
  then show ?case using wfE-appPI b-of.simps using wfT-b-weakening wfT-elim wfT-subst-wfB
  subst-b-b-def by metis

```



```

next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfD-wfT by fast
  then show ?case using wfT-elim b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (wfFTNone  $\Theta ft$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta bv ft$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY2(5) by auto
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )

```

```

    then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \ \Theta \ \Phi \ ft$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta \ B \ b \ \Phi \ x \ c \ s \ \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
    by (meson Wellformed.wfFTI wb-b-weakening2(8))
qed(metis wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfB1)

lemmas wfX-wfB = wfX-wfB1 wfX-wfB2

lemma wf-weakening1:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $ftq::fun-typ-q$  and  $ft::fun-typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type-def$ 
  and  $cs::branch-s$  and  $css::branch-list$ 

  shows wfV-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} v : b$  and
    wfC-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $c$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies True$  and
    wfT-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $\tau$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$  and
     $\vdash_{wf} P \implies True$  and
    wfB-weakening:  $wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b \implies \mathcal{B} \mid\subseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$  and
    wfCE-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} ce : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b and c and  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  and  $ts$  and  $P$  and  $b$  and  $b$  and  $td$ 
  avoiding:  $\Gamma'$ 
  rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c \ x$ )
  hence Some (b, c) = lookup  $\Gamma' \ x$  using lookup-weakening by metis
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-varI wfV-varI by metis
next
  case (wfTI  $z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle atom \ z \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
    have *:setG ((z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ )  $\subseteq$  setG ((z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$ ) using setG.simps wfTI by auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfTI(8)[OF - *] wfTI wfX-wfY
    by (simp add: wfG-cons-TRUE)
  qed
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v$ )

```

```

show ?case proof
  show ⟨AF-typedef-poly s bv dclist ∈ set Θ⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨(dc, { x : b' | c }) ∈ set dclist⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ⊢wf b⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨atom bv # (Θ, B, Γ', b, v)⟩ using wfV-conspI by simp
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf v : b'[bv::=b]bb⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
qed

qed(metis wf-intros)+

lemma wf-weakening2:
  fixes Γ::Γ and Γ'::Γ and v::v and e::e and c::c and τ::τ and ts::(string*τ) list and Δ::Δ and s::s
  and B::B and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
  and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
    wfE-weakening: Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ⊢wf e : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ;
    Φ ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf e : b and
    wfS-weakening: Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ
    ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf s : b and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ; tid ; dc ; t ⊢wf cs : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ ;
    B ; Γ' ; Δ ; tid ; dc ; t ⊢wf cs : b and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ⊢wf css : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ
    ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ⊢wf css : b and
    Θ ⊢wf (Φ::Φ) ⇒ True and
    wfD-weakening: Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf Δ ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf
    Δ and
    Θ ; Φ ⊢wf ftq ⇒ True and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ⊢wf ft ⇒ True
proof(nominal-induct
  b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft
  avoiding: Γ'
  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-appPI Θ Φ B Γ Δ b' bv v τ f x b c s)
show ?case proof
  show ⟨Θ ⊢wf Φ⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ⊢wf b'⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨atom bv # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ', Δ, b', v, (b-of τ)[bv::=b]b)⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f⟩ using
  wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf v : b[bv::=b]b⟩ using wfE-appPI wf-weakening1 by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-letI Θ Φ B Γ Δ e b' x s b)
show ?case proof(rule)
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf e : b'⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  have setG ((x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ) ⊆ setG ((x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ') using wfS-letI by auto
  thus ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf s : b⟩ using wfS-letI by (meson wfG-cons
  wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  show ⟨atom x # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ', Δ, e, b)⟩ using wfS-letI by auto

```

```

qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I wf-weakening1 by auto
    have  $setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using wfS-let2I by
auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by (meson
wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
    show  $atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, \tau, v, b)$  using wfS-varI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  using wfS-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  show ?case proof
    have  $setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using wfS-branchI by
auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by (meson
wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, \Gamma', \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof(rule)
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-weakening1 by auto
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof(rule wfG-consI)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
    show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
  qed
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ 
using wf-weakening1(2)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \rangle$ ] by

```

```

force
qed

thus ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI by fastforce

show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
show ⟨ atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, b, s)$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
qed

qed(metis wf-intros wf-weakening1)+

lemmas wf-weakening = wf-weakening1 wf-weakening2

lemma wfV-weakening-cons:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and atom  $y \# \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ 
proof -
  have wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using wfG-intros2 assms by auto
  moreover have setG  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using setG.simps by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-weakening using assms(1) by blast
qed

lemma wfG-cons-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma':\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  and setG  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$  and atom  $x \# \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$ 
proof(cases  $c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by auto
next
  case False
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \text{atom } x \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  have  $a1:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by simp
  moreover have  $a2:\text{setG } ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using setG.simps
  assms by blast
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
    show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show atom  $x \# \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfG-elim by metis
  qed
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$  using wf-weakening a1 a2 * by auto
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I[of c  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b$ , OF False] wfG-wfB assms by simp
qed

lemma wfT-weakening-aux:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b \mid c\}$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  and setG  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$  and atom  $z \# \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{z : b \mid c\}$ 
proof

```

```

show  $\langle atom\ z\ \#(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle$ 
  using wf-supp wfX-wfY assms fresh-prodN fresh-def x-not-in-b-set wfG-fresh-x by metis
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using assms wfT-elim by metis
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  proof –
  have  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfT-wfC fresh-weakening assms by auto
  moreover have  $a1:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons2I assms  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  by
simp
  moreover have  $a2:setG((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using setG.simps
assms by blast
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
    show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $atom\ z\ \# \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfT-elim by metis
  qed
  thus ?thesis using wf-weakening a1 a2 * by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *wfT-weakening-all*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$ **and** $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ **and** $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wb-b-weakening assms wfT-weakening* **by** *metis*

lemma *wfT-weakening-nil*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wfT-weakening-all*

using *assms(1) assms(2) setG.simps(1)* **by** *blast*

lemma *dc-t-closed*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $G::\Gamma$

assumes *wfTh* Θ **and** *AF-typedef s dclist* $\in set\ \Theta$ **and**

$(dc, \tau) \in set\ dclist$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $supp\ \tau = \{\}$ **and** $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **and** *wfT* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ \tau$

proof –

show $supp\ \tau = \{\}$ **proof**(*rule ccontr*)

assume $a1: supp\ \tau \neq \{\}$

have $supp\ \Theta \neq \{\}$ **proof** –

obtain *dclist* **where** $dc: AF-typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \wedge (dc, \tau) \in set\ dclist$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $supp\ (dc, \tau) \neq \{\}$

using $a1$ **by** (*simp add: supp-Pair*)

hence $supp\ dclist \neq \{\}$ **using** *dc supp-list-member* **by** *auto*

hence $supp\ (AF-typedef\ s\ dclist) \neq \{\}$ **using** *type-def.supp* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *supp-list-member dc* **by** *auto*

qed

```

    thus False using assms wfTh-supp by simp
  qed
  thus  $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$  by (simp add: fresh-def)
  have wfT  $\Theta \{||\}$  GNil  $\tau$  using assms wfTh-wfT by auto
  thus wfT  $\Theta B G \tau$  using assms wfT-weakening-nil by simp

```

qed

lemma *u-fresh-d*:

```

  assumes atom u  $\# D$ 
  shows  $u \notin \text{fst } \text{setD } D$ 
  using assms proof(induct D rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons u' t'  $\Delta'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding setD.simps
    using fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by (simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base(2))
  qed

```

lemma *wf-d-weakening*:

```

  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and ts::(string* $\tau$ ) list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
  and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} e : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$ 
  proof(nominal-induct
    b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
    avoiding:  $\Delta'$ 
    rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )

```

```

    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
  show ?case proof(rule, (rule wfE-appPI)+)
    show  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show  $\langle (u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta' \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  show ?case proof(rule)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b', \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-letI by metis
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-letI by force
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-letI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, b) \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  proof(rule wf-weakening2(6))
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
  next

```



```

  show ⟨setG  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
qed
  thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
next
  show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
next
  show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
next
  show ⟨atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', c, b, s) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-let2I by metis
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-let2I by force
    thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by metis
    show ⟨atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', s1, b, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by auto
    show ⟨atom  $u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \tau, v, b) \rangle$  using wfS-varI setD.simps by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta'$  using wfS-varI wfD-cons setD.simps u-fresh-d by metis
    thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-varI setD.simps by blast
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-assignI setD.simps by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-assignI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfS-assignI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-assignI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \text{tid dclist } \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b \text{tid dc}$ )
  show ?case proof

```

```

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-branchI by metis
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-branchI by force
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by simp
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \Gamma, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta\ tid\ dclist'\ cs\ b\ dclist$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta\ tid\ dclist'\ cs\ b\ css\ dclist$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed(auto+)

```

8.15 Forms

Well-formedness for particular constructs that we will need later

lemma *wfC-e-eq*:

```

  fixes ce::ce and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$  and  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == ce)$ 
proof –
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  hence wbg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfX-wfY assms by auto
  show ?thesis proof
    show  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b$ 
    proof(rule)
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b$  proof
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY assms  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  by
auto
        show Some  $(b, TRUE) = lookup\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ x$  using lookup.simps by auto
      qed
    qed
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ 
    using assms wf-weakening1(8)[OF assms(1), of (x, b, TRUE) #Γ Γ] * setG.simps wfX-wfY
    by (metis Un-subset-iff equalityE)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *wfC-e-eq2*:

```

  fixes e1::ce and e2::ce
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  and  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e2$ 
proof(rule wfC-eqI)
  have  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  proof(rule wfG-cons1I)
    show  $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $*:atom\ x \# \Gamma$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1$  using wfC-e-eq assms * by
auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  qed

```

qed
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) : b$ **using** *assms* *
wfCE-valI wfV-varI **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$ **proof**(*rule wf-weakening1(8)*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** * **by** *auto*
show $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ ((x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *wfT-wfT-if-rev*:

assumes *wfV P B Γ v (base-for-lit l) and wfT P B Γ t and (atom z1 # Γ)*
shows *wfT P B Γ (⌊ z1 : b-of t | CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP (c-of t z1) ⌋)*
proof
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of}\ t \rangle$ **using** *wfX-wfY assms* **by** *meson*
have *wfg*: $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfV-wf wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY*
by (*meson wfG-cons-TRUE*)
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} IMP\ c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rangle$ **proof**
show *: $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \rangle$
proof(*rule wfC-eqI[where b=base-for-lit l]*)
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} : base\text{-for-lit}\ l$
using *assms wf-intros wf-weakening wfg* **by** (*meson wfV-weakening-cons*)
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[l]^v]^{ce} : base\text{-for-lit}\ l$ **using** *wfg assms wf-intros*
wf-weakening wfV-weakening-cons **by** *meson*
qed
have $t = \lfloor z1 : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rfloor$ **using** *c-of-eq*
using *assms(2) assms(3) b-of-c-of-eq wfT-x-fresh* **by** *auto*
thus $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rangle$ **using** *wfT-wfC assms wfG-elim* * **by**
simp
qed
show $\langle atom\ z1 \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** *assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfT-eq-imp*:

fixes *zz::x and ll::l and τ'::τ*
assumes *base-for-lit ll = B-bool and Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢_{wf} τ' and*
 $\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor, c\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ **and**
atom zz # x
shows $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor, c\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$
 $\vdash_{wf} \lfloor zz : b\text{-of}\ \tau' \mid [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[ll]^v]^{ce} IMP\ c\text{-of}\ \tau'\ zz \rfloor$
proof(*rule wfT-wfT-if-rev*)
show $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor, c\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} [x]^v : base\text{-for-lit}\ ll \rangle$
using *wfV-varI lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps assms* **by** *simp*
show $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor, c\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$
using *wf-weakening assms setG.simps* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom\ zz \# (x, b\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor, c\text{-of}\ \lfloor z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rfloor x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$
unfolding *fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 b-of.simps c-of-true*
using *x-fresh-b fresh-GNil c-of-true c.fresh assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfC-v-eq*:
fixes *ce::ce* **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** *v::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and** *atom* $x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val } v)$
using *wfC-e-eq* *wfCE-valI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

lemma *wfT-e-eq*:
fixes *ce::ce*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) == ce \}$

proof
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfX-wfB* *assms* **by** *auto*
show *atom* $z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *assms* *wfG-fresh-x* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) == ce$
using *wfTI* *wfC-e-eq* *assms* *wfTI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfT-v-eq*:
assumes *wfB* $\Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **and** *wfV* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$
shows *wfT* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } v) \}$
using *wfT-e-eq* *wfE-valI* *assms* *wfX-wfY*
by (*simp* *add*: *wfCE-valI*)

lemma *wfC-wfG*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** *c::c* **and** *b::b*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and** *atom* $x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-cons2I* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *fast*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** *wfC-weakening* *assms* **by** *force*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-consI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
qed

8.16 Replacing

lemma *wfG-cons-fresh2*:
fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $x' \neq x$
proof –
have *atom* $x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
using *assms* *wfG-elim2* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *fresh-gamma-append*[*of* *atom* $x' \Gamma' (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$] *fresh-GCons* *fresh-prod3*[*of* *atom* $x' x b c$] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-inside*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows *replace-in-g* $(\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) x c0 = (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *replace-in-g.simps* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma''$)
hence $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by** *simp*
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *wfG-cons-fresh2* **by** *metis*
then show *?case* **using** *replace-in-g.simps* *GCons* **by** (*simp add*: *wfG-cons*)
qed

lemma *wfG-supp-rig-eq*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $supp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ'')
case *GNil*
have $supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using**
supp-Cons supp-GNil **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = supp x \cup supp b0 \cup supp c0' \cup supp \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = supp x \cup supp b0 \cup supp c0 \cup supp \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *GNil wfG-wfC[THEN*
wfC-supp-cons(2)] **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = (supp ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
finally have $supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp$
 \mathcal{B} **using** *supp-Cons supp-GNil* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GCons wfG-cons2* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $xbc \Gamma1$)
moreover have $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1) @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by**
simp
moreover have $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1) @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by**
simp
ultimately have $(P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma1 @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma1 @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 $\Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-cons2* **by** *metis*
thus *?case* **using** *GCons supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fresh-replace-inside[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $y::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $atom y \nmid (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = atom y \nmid (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
unfolding *fresh-def* **using** *wfG-supp-rig-eq* *assms* *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *fast*

lemma *wf-replace-inside1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
and *ts::(string* τ) list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b'::b$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $s::s$
and *ftq::fun-typ-q* **and** *ft::fun-typ* **and** *ce::ce* **and** *td::type-def* **and** *cs::branch-s* **and**
css::branch-list

shows *wfV-replace-inside*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ;$
 $((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} v : b'$ **and**
wfC-replace-inside: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE)$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c''$ **and**

$wfG\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \text{ and}$
 $wfT\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $\vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $wfCE\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \text{ and}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
proof(*nominal-induct*
 $b' \text{ and } c'' \text{ and } G \text{ and } \tau \text{ and } ts \text{ and } P \text{ and } b \text{ and } b' \text{ and } td$
avoiding: $\Gamma' c'$
rule: $wfV\text{-}wfC\text{-}wfG\text{-}wfT\text{-}wfTs\text{-}wfTh\text{-}wfB\text{-}wfCE\text{-}wfTD.\text{strong-induct}$)
case ($wfV\text{-}varI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma 2 \ b2 \ c2 \ x2$)
then show $?case$ **using** $wf\text{-}intros$ **by** (*metis lookup-in-rig-eq lookup-in-rig-neq replace-in-g-inside*)
next
case ($wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x1 \ b' \ c1 \ \mathcal{B} \ b1 \ \Gamma 1 \ v$)
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly \ s \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **using** $wfV\text{-}conspI$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{x1 : b' \mid c1\}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** $wfV\text{-}conspI$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$ **using** $wfV\text{-}conspI$ **by** *auto*
show $*$: $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b1]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** $wfV\text{-}conspI$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $wfV\text{-}wf \ wfV\text{-}conspI$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $atom \ bv \ \# \ \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **unfolding** *fresh-def* **using** $wfV\text{-}wf \ wfG\text{-}supp\text{-}rig\text{-}eq$
 $wfV\text{-}conspI$
by (*metis Un-iff fresh-def*)
thus $\langle atom \ bv \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, b1, v) \rangle$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **using** *fresh-prodN wfV-conspI* **by** *metis*
qed
next
case ($wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ b1 \ c1$)
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$ **using** $wfTI$ **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $wfG\text{-}consI \ wfTI \ wfG\text{-}cons \ wfX\text{-}wfY$ **by** *metis*
moreover hence $*$: $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $wfX\text{-}wfY$
by (*metis append-g.simps(2) wfG-cons2 wfTI.hyps wfTI.prem(1) wfTI.prem(2)*)
hence $\langle atom \ z \ \# \ \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$
using *fresh-replace-inside*[*of* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma \ c' \ z, OF *$] $wfTI \ wfX\text{-}wfY \ wfG\text{-}elims$ **by** *metis*
thus $\langle atom \ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** $wfG\text{-}fresh\text{-}x[OF *]$ **by** *auto*

have $(z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G = ((z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using $wfTI \ append\text{-}g.\text{simps}$ **by** *metis*
thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$
using $wfTI(9)[OF - wfTI(11)]$ **by** *fastforce*
qed
next
case ($wfG\text{-}nilI \ \Theta$)
hence $GNil = (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $append\text{-}g.\text{simps} \ \Gamma.\text{distinct} \ GNil\text{-}append$ **by** *auto*
hence *False* **using** $\Gamma.\text{distinct}$ **by** *auto*
then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

```

next
  case (wfG-cons1I c1  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
  show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma'=GNil$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons1I(7) GCons-eq-append-conv
by auto
  hence **:  $G = G' @ (x, b, c')$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
    show  $c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I(3)[of  $G', OF **$ ] wfG-cons1I by auto
    show  $atom\ x1 \# G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I * ** fresh-replace-inside by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1$  using wfG-cons1I(6)[of  $(x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G'$ ] wfG-cons1I * ** by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
next
  case (wfG-cons2I c1  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
  show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma'=GNil$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons2I GCons-eq-append-conv
by auto
  hence **:  $G = G' @ (x, b, c')$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I * ** by auto
  moreover hence  $atom\ x1 \# G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I * ** fresh-replace-inside by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfG-cons2I[OF wfG-cons2I(1), of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ x1\ b1$ ] wfG-cons2I * ** by auto
  qed
qed(metis wf-intros)+

```

lemma wf-replace-inside2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $c'::c$ and $c''::c$ and $c'::c$ and $\tau::\tau$
 and $ts::(string*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b'::b$ and $b::b$ and $s::s$
 and $ftq::fun\-typ\-q$ and $ft::fun\-typ$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::type\-def$ and $cs::branch\-s$ and
 $css::branch\-list$

shows

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies$

```

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$ 
proof(nominal-induct
   $b'$  and  $b$  and  $b$  and  $b$  and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and  $ftq$  and  $ft$ 
  avoiding:  $\Gamma' c'$ 
  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-valI by auto
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-plusI by auto
next
  case (wfE-legI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-legI by auto
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-sndI by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-concatI by auto
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-splitI by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-lenI by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-appI by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-replace-inside1 by auto
  auto

  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfV-wf wfE-appPI by metis
  ultimately have atom  $bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
    unfolding fresh-def using wfV-wf wfG-supp-rig-eq wfE-appPI Un-iff fresh-def by metis

  thus  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$ 
    using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 b1 c1 \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$ 
using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed
next

```



```

  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-mvarI by metis
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI
    by (simp add: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfD-cons)
next
  case (wfFTNone  $\Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta \Phi bv ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-replace-inside = wf-replace-inside1 wf-replace-inside2

lemma wfC-replace-cons:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and wfC P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c2
  shows wfC P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c2
proof -
  have wfC P  $\mathcal{B} (GNil @ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$  c2 proof(rule wf-replace-inside1(2))
    show P ;  $\mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2$  using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
    show  $\langle (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using append-g.simps by auto
    show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$  using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using append-g.simps by auto
qed

lemma wfC-refl:
  assumes wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  shows wfC  $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c'
  using wfG-wfC assms wfC-replace-cons by auto

lemma wfG-wfC-inside:
  assumes  $(x, b, c) \in setG G$  and wfG  $\Theta B G$ 
  shows wfC  $\Theta B G c$ 
  using assms proof(induct G rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then consider (hd)  $(x, b, c) = (x', b', c') \mid (tail) (x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma'$  using setG.simps by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case hd
    then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening
      by (metis wfC-replace-cons wfG-cons-wfC)
  next
    case tail
    then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening

```

by (metis insert-iff insert-is-Un subsetI setG.simps(2) wfG-cons2)
qed
qed

lemma wfT-wf-cons3:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ and $atom\ y \# (c, \Gamma)$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

proof –

have $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ y : b \mid (y \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c \}$ using type-eq-flip assms by auto
moreover hence $(y \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c = c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv}$ using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
ultimately have $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ y : b \mid c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv} \}$ by metis
thus ?thesis using assms wfT-wf-cons[of $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ y\ b$] fresh-Pair by metis

qed

lemma wfT-wfC-cons:

assumes $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ and $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \}$ and $atom\ x \# (c1, c2, \Gamma)$
shows $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$ (is $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$)

proof –

have eq: $\{ z2 : b \mid c2 \} = \{ x : b \mid c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by simp

have eq2: $\{ z1 : b \mid c1 \} = \{ x : b \mid c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by simp

moreover have $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ x : b \mid c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using assms eq2 by auto

moreover hence $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using wfT-wf-cons fresh-prod3 assms by auto

moreover have $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ x : b \mid c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using assms eq by auto

moreover hence $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv})$ using wfT-wfC assms fresh-prod3 by simp

ultimately show ?thesis using wfC-replace-cons subst-v-c-def by simp

qed

lemma wfT-wfC2:

fixes $c :: c$ and $x :: x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$

proof(cases $x = z$)

case True

then show ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto

next

case False

hence $atom\ x \# c$ using wfT-fresh-c assms by metis

hence $\{ x : b \mid c[z ::= [x]^v]_v \} = \{ z : b \mid c \}$

using $\tau.eq-iff\ Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of\ x\ c[z ::= [x]^v]_v\ z\ c]$

by (metis flip-subst-v type-eq-flip)

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c[z ::= [x]^v]_v \}$ using assms by metis

thus ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto

qed

lemma wfT-wfG:

fixes $x :: x$ and $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ and $z :: x$ and $c :: c$ and $b :: b$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$ **using** *wfT-wfC2 assms* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-consI assms wfT-wfB b-of.simps wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfG-replace-inside2*:
fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
proof –
have $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **using** *wfG-wfC assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-replace-inside1(3)[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-replace-inside-full*:
fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
proof –
have $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-replace-inside assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfT-replace-inside2*:
assumes $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ t$ **and** $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ t$
proof –
have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix assms* **by** *auto*
hence $wfC\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **using** *wfG-wfC* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-replace-inside assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfD-unique*:
assumes $wfD\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta$ **and** $(u, \tau') \in setD\ \Delta$ **and** $(u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta$
shows $\tau' = \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Δ *rule*: Δ -*induct*)
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons* $u'\ t'\ D$)
hence $*$: $wfD\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ ((u', t') \#_{\Delta} D)$ **using** *Cons* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **proof**(*cases* $u = u'$)
case *True*
then have $u \notin fst\ 'setD\ D$ **using** *wfD-elim* $*$ **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis* **using** *DCons* **by** *force*
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis* **using** *DCons* *wfD-elim* $*$ **by** (*metis* *fst-conv* *setD-ConsD*)

qed
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-forget*:

fixes $x::x$
assumes $wfG\ P\ B\ G$
shows $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$ and
 $atom\ x \# G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$

proof –

show $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G \implies G[x \mapsto c] = G$ **by** (*induct* G *rule*: Γ -*induct*, *auto*)
thus $atom\ x \# G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$ **using** wfG - x -*fresh* *assms* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh-single*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$ and $x::x$
assumes $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c'] \rangle$ and $atom\ x \# G$ and $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \rangle$
shows $atom\ x \# G[x' \mapsto c']$
using *rig-dom-eq* wfG -*dom-supp* *assms* *fresh-def* *atom-dom.simps* *dom.simps* **by** *metis*

8.17 Substitution

lemma *wfC-cons-switch*:

fixes $c::c$ and $c'::c$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

proof –

have $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** wfC - wf *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** wfG -*cons* **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} TRUE$ **using** wfC -*trueI* wfG -*cons2I* **by** *simp*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'$
using wf -*replace-inside1*(2)[*of* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ c'\ GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma\ TRUE$] *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** wf -*replace-inside1*(3)[*OF* $*$, *of* $GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma\ c'$] **by** *auto*
moreover have $wfC\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **proof**(*cases* $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
case *True*
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** wfG -*elims*(2)[*OF* $*$] **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** wfG -*cons-TRUE* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** wfC -*trueI* wfC -*falseI* *True* **by** *auto*

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis* **using** wfG -*elims*(2)[*OF* $*$] **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** wfC -*replace-cons* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-g-inside-simple*:

fixes $\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma_1 @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2))$
shows $(\Gamma_1 @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2))[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_1[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_2$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ_1 *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-gv.simps* **by** *simp*

next

case (GCons x' b' c' G)
 hence *:P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (G @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2)$ **by** auto
 hence $x \neq x'$
 using GCons append-Cons wfG-cons-fresh2[OF *] **by** auto
 hence ((GCons (x', b', c') G) @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ =
 (GCons (x', b', c') (G @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2)))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ **by** auto
 also have ... = GCons (x', b', c'[x::=v] $_{cv}$) ((G @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$)
 using subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** simp
 also have ... = (x', b', c'[x::=v] $_{cv}$) $\#_{\Gamma}$ (G[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ @ Γ_2) **using** GCons * wfG-elim **by** metis
 also have ... = ((x', b', c') $\#_{\Gamma}$ G)[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ @ Γ_2 **using** subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** simp
 finally show ?case **by** blast
 qed

lemma subst-c-TRUE-FALSE:

fixes c::c
 assumes $c \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$
 shows $c[x::=v]_{cv} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$
using assms **by** (nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cv.simps)

lemma lookup-subst:

assumes Some (b, c) = lookup Γ x **and** $x \neq x'$
 shows $\exists c'. \text{Some } (b, c') = \text{lookup } \Gamma[x'::=v]_{\Gamma_v} x$
using assms **proof** (induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case **by** auto
 next
 case (GCons x1 b1 c1 Γ_1)
 then show ?case **proof** (cases $x1=x'$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis **using** subst-gv.simps GCons **by** auto
 next
 case False
 thm subst-gv.simps
 hence *:((x1, b1, c1) $\#_{\Gamma}$ Γ_1)[x'::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ = ((x1, b1, c1[x'::=v] $_{cv}$) $\#_{\Gamma}$ Γ_1)[x'::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ **using**
 subst-gv.simps **by** auto
 then show ?thesis **proof** (cases $x1=x$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis **using** lookup.simps *
 using GCons.prem(1) **by** auto
 next
 case False
 then show ?thesis **using** lookup.simps *
 using GCons.prem(1) **by** (simp add: GCons.hyps assms(2))
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma wf-subst1:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$
 shows $\text{wfV-subst}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b'$
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : b$ **and**

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{wfC-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{c_v} \text{ and } & \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ & \text{wfG-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \text{ and } & \\ & \text{wfT-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \text{ and } & \\ & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \text{wfCE-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} ce[x::=v]_{ce_v} : b \text{ and } & \\ & \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

proof(*nominal-induct*
b and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b and b and td
avoiding: $x \ v'$
arbitrary: Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1
and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1
rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-varI $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b1 \ c1 \ x1$*)

show *?case proof(cases $x1=x$)*
case *True*
hence (*V-var $x1$*)[$x::=v$] _{v_v} = v' **using** *subst-vv.simps by auto*
moreover have $b' = b1$ **using** *wfV-varI True lookup-inside-wf*
by (*metis option.inject prod.inject*)
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v' : b'$ **using** *wfV-varI subst-g-inside-simple wf-weakening*

append-g-setGU sup-ge2 wfV-wf by metis
ultimately show *?thesis by auto*

next
case *False*
hence (*V-var $x1$*)[$x::=v$] _{v_v} = (*V-var $x1$*) **using** *subst-vv.simps by auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$ **using** *wfV-varI by simp*
moreover obtain $c1'$ **where** *Some ($b1, c1'$) = lookup $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} x1$* **using** *wfV-varI False*
lookup-subst by metis
ultimately show *?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \ b1 \ c1' \ x1$] by metis*
qed

next
case (*wfV-litI $\Theta \ \Gamma \ l$*)

then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-pairI $\Theta \ \Gamma \ v1 \ b1 \ v2 \ b2$*)
then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-consI $s \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma \ v$*)
then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-conspI $s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x' \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ va$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-vv.simps proof*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **and** $\langle (dc, \llbracket x' : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI*
by auto

```

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  have atom  $bv \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfV-conspI by metis
  moreover have atom  $bv \# va[x::=v]_{vv}$  using wfV-conspI fresh-subst-if by simp
  ultimately show  $\langle atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, b, va[x::=v]_{vv}) \rangle$  unfolding fresh-prodN using
wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} va[x::=v]_{vv} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  qed

next
case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ b \ c$ )
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c[x::=v]_{cv} \}$  proof
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$ 
  proof(rule wfTI(9))
    show  $\langle (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfTI
append-g.simps by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
  qed
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$ 
  using subst-gv.simps subst-cv.simps wfTI fresh-x-neq by auto

  have atom  $z \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfTI by metis
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using wfTI wfX-wfY wfG-elim subst-gv.simps * by metis
  ultimately show  $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) \rangle$  using wfG-fresh-x by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfTI by auto

  qed
  thus ?case using subst-tv.simps wfTI by auto
next
case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ e1 \ b \ e2$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-cv.simps, rule)
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} e1[x::=v]_{cev} : b$  using wfC-eqI subst-dv.simps by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} e2[x::=v]_{cev} : b$  using wfC-eqI by auto
  qed
next
case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \Gamma \ c1 \ c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \Gamma \ c1 \ c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \Gamma \ c1$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-impI  $\Theta \Gamma \ c1 \ c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next

```

```

case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfG-cons1I c  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  y b)

show ?case proof(cases x=y)
  case True
  hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  =  $\Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
have  $\Gamma_1 \neq GNil$  using wfG-cons1I False by auto
then obtain G where  $\Gamma_1 = (y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I by auto
hence * $\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show <c[x::=v] $_{cv}$   $\notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ > using wfG-cons1I subst-c-TRUE-FALSE by auto
  show < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using wfG-cons1I * by auto
  have  $\Gamma = (G @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ \Gamma_2$  using * append-g-assoc by auto
  hence atom y #  $\Gamma_2$  using fresh-suffix <atom y #  $\Gamma$ > by auto
  hence atom y # v' using wfG-cons1I wfV-x-fresh by metis
  thus <atom y #  $\Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons1I by auto
  have ((y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps
subst-cv.simps False by auto
  thus < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ; (y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ > using wfG-cons1I(6)[of (y,b,TRUE)
# $\Gamma$  G] * subst-gv.simps
wfG-cons1I by fastforce
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I c  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  y b)

show ?case proof(cases x=y)
  case True
  hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  =  $\Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
have  $\Gamma_1 \neq GNil$  using wfG-cons2I False by auto
then obtain G where  $\Gamma_1 = (y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I by auto
hence * $\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show <c[x::=v] $_{cv}$   $\in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ > using subst-cv.simps wfG-cons2I by auto
  show < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using wfG-cons2I * by auto
  have  $\Gamma = (G @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ \Gamma_2$  using * append-g-assoc by auto$$$$$$ 
```



```

    hence atom y #  $\Gamma_2$  using fresh-suffix wfG-cons2I by metis
    hence atom y #  $v'$  using wfG-cons2I wfV-x-fresh by metis
    thus (atom y #  $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ ) using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons2I by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfCE-fstI subst-cev.simps by metis
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-cev.simps wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
qed(metis subst-sv.simps wf-intros)+

```

lemma wf-subst2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma':\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} e[x::=v]_{ev} : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} \text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v' : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} \text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v' : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$

proof(nominal-induct

b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft

avoiding: $x \ v'$

arbitrary: Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1

and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1

rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

```

case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1
  by (metis subst-ev.simps(1))
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-leqI
  by auto
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI
proof -
  show ?thesis
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps(5) wfE-fstI.hyps(1) wfE-fstI.hyps(4) wfE-fstI.hyps(5) wfE-fstI.prem(1)
    wfE-fstI.prem(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-fstI wf-subst1(1))
qed
next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-sndI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-concatI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-splitI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-lenI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s' v$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-appI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1$ )
show ?case proof (subst subst-ev.simps, rule)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appPI wfX-wfY by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau1 s1$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f1$ 
using wfE-appPI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v1[x::=v]_{v_v} : b1[bv1::=b]_b$  using wfE-appPI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  have atom bv1  $\# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# v1[x::=v]_{v_v}$  using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-if by simp
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$  using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-dv-if by simp
  ultimately show atom bv1  $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, b', v1[x::=v]_{v_v}, (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1::=b]_b)$ 

```

```

    using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
qed
next
case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} (AE-mvar u) : b-of \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$  proof
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfE-mvarI subst-dv-member by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-ev.simps b-of-subst by auto
next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-dv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
moreover hence  $u \notin fst \text{ ` } setD \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using subst-dv.simps subst-dv-iff using subst-dv-fst-eq
by presburger
ultimately show ?case using subst-dv.simps Wellformed.wfD-cons wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case by auto
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
then show ?case by auto
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x2 c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2, B\text{-}bool, c[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using wfS-assertI(4)[of  $(x2, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 x$ ] wfS-assertI by auto

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle atom x2 \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, c[x::=v]_{cv}, b, s[x::=v]_{sv}) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    apply(simp add: wfS-assertI)+
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- $\tau$ -def wfS-assertI)
    by(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-s-def wfS-assertI)
qed
next
case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b1 y s b2$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} LET y = (e[x::=v]_{ev}) IN (s[x::=v]_{sv}) : b2$ 
proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} e[x::=v]_{ev} : b1 \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b2 \rangle$ 
    using wfS-letI(6) wfS-letI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b2 \rangle$ 
    using wfS-letI subst-gv.simps by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto

```

```

show ⟨atom y # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, e[x::=v]ev, b2)⟩
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
  apply(simp add: wfS-letI)+
  apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-τ-def wfS-letI)
done
qed
thus ?case using subst-sv.simps wfS-letI by auto
next
case (wfS-let2I Θ Φ B Γ Δ s1 τ y s2 b)
have Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf LET y : τ[x::=v]τv = (s1[x::=v]sv) IN (s2[x::=v]sv)
: b
proof
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s1[x::=v]sv : b-of (τ[x::=v]τv)⟩ using wfS-let2I
b-of-subst by simp
  have ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; ((y, b-of τ, TRUE) #Γ Γ)[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s2[x::=v]sv : b⟩
  using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by metis
  thus ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (y, b-of τ[x::=v]τv, TRUE) #Γ Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s2[x::=v]sv : b
⟩
  using wfS-let2I subst-gv.simps append-g.simps using b-of-subst by simp
show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-let2I wf-subst1 by metis
show ⟨atom y # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, s1[x::=v]sv, b, τ[x::=v]τv)⟩
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
  apply(simp add: wfS-let2I)+
  apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-τ-def wfS-let2I)+
done
qed
thus ?case using subst-sv.simps(3) subst-tv.simps wfS-let2I by auto
next
case (wfS-varI Θ B Γ τ v u Φ Δ b s)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, auto simp add: u-fresh-xv, rule)
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
  have b-of (τ[x::=v]τv) = b-of τ using b-of-subst by auto
  thus ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf v[x::=v]vv : b-of τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
  have *:atom u # v' using wfV-supp wfS-varI fresh-def by metis
  show ⟨atom u # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, τ[x::=v]τv, v[x::=v]vv, b)⟩
  unfolding fresh-prodN apply(auto simp add: wfS-varI)
  using wfS-varI fresh-subst-gv * fresh-subst-dv by metis+
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; (u, τ[x::=v]τv) #Δ Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s[x::=v]sv : b⟩ using
wfS-varI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-assignI u τ Δ Θ B Γ Φ v)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
  show ⟨(u, τ[x::=v]τv) ∈ setD Δ[x::=v]Δv⟩ using subst-dv-iff wfS-assignI using subst-dv-fst-eq
  using subst-dv-member by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf Δ[x::=v]Δv⟩ using wfS-assignI by auto

```

```

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : b\text{-of } \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$  using wfS-assignI b-of-subst wf-subst1
by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfS-assignI by auto
qed
next

case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : B\text{-id } tid \rangle$  using wfS-matchI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef } tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} subst\text{-branchlv } cs x v' : b \rangle$  using
wfS-matchI by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfS-matchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} y \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dc ; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc y \Rightarrow (s[x::=v]_{sv}) : b$ 
proof
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using subst-gv.simps b-of-subst wfS-branchI by simp
  show  $\langle atom y \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \tau) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    apply(simp add: wfS-branchI) +
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-branchI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-branchI)
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-branchI) +
    done
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-branchv.simps wfS-branchI by auto

next
case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis

qed(metis subst-sv.simps wf-subst1 wf-intros) +

lemmas wf-subst = wf-subst1 wf-subst2

lemma wfG-subst-wfV:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0[z0::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  and  $wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ 
  using assms wf-subst subst-g-inside-simple by auto

lemma wfG-member-subst:

```

assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x \neq x1$
shows $\exists c1'. (x1, b1, c1') \in \text{setG } ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$
proof –
consider $(lhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *append-g-setGU* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case *lhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v})$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh*[*THEN subst-gv-member-iff*][*OF lhs*]] *assms* **by** *metis*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *rhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *wfG-member-subst2*:

assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x \neq x1$
shows $\exists c1'. (x1, b1, c1') \in \text{setG } ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$
proof –
consider $(lhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *append-g-setGU* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case *lhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v})$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh*[*THEN subst-gv-member-iff*][*OF lhs*]] *assms* **by** *metis*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *rhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *wbc-subst*:

fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' :: \Gamma$ **and** $v :: v$
assumes $\text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ c **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c[x ::= v]_{cv}$
proof –
have $(\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} = ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ **using** *assms* *subst-g-inside-simple* *wfC-wf* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-subst1*(2)[*OF assms*(1) - *assms*(2)] **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfG-inside-fresh-suffix*:

assumes $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows *atom* $x \not\# \Gamma$
proof –
have $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix* *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfG-elim* by *metis*
qed

lemmas *wf-b-subst-lemmas* = *subst-eb.simps wf-intros*
forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-ce-def fresh-e-opp-all subst-bb.simps wfV-b-fresh ms-fresh-all(6)

lemma *wf-b-subst1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma':\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-tyt-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-tyt}$ and $s::s$ and $b':b$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$

and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ and $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$
 $v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and

$\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$
 $c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ and

$\Theta ; B' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ and
 $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$

$\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ and

$\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ and

$\Theta ; B' \vdash_{wf} b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and

$\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$

$ce[bv::=b]_{ceb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct*

b' and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b' and b' and td

avoiding: bv b B

rule:*wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct*)

case (*wfB-intI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-boolI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-unitI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-bitvecI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-pairI* Θ \mathcal{B} $b1$ $b2$)

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-consI* Θ s $dclist$ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-consI* by *simp*

next

case (*wfB-appI* Θ ba s bva $dclist$ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-appI forget-subst wfB-supp*

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def subst-b-b-def supp-empty-fset*)

```

next
  case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} 1 \Gamma b1 c x$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof
    show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfV-varI by auto
    show Some ( $b1[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ ) = lookup  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} x$  using subst-b-lookup wfV-varI by
simp
qed
next
  case (wfV-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-litI subst-b-base-for-lit by simp
next
  case (wfV-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} 1 \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps,rule)
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-pairI by simp
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v2[bv::=b]_{vb} : b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-pairI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfV-consI  $s dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B}' \Gamma v$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfV-consI)
    show  $1: AF\text{-typedef } s dclist \in set \Theta$  using wfV-consI by auto
    show  $2:(dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in set dclist$  using wfV-consI by auto
    have  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-consI by auto
    moreover hence supp  $b' = \{\}$  using 1 2 wfTh-lookup-supp-empty  $\tau.supp$  wfX-wfY by blast
    moreover hence  $b'[bv::=b]_{bb} = b'$  using forget-subst subst-bb-def fresh-def by (metis empty-iff
subst-b-b-def)
    ultimately show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'$  using wfV-consI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s bva dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B}' ba \Gamma v$ )
  have  $*: atom bv \# b'$  using wfTh-poly-supp-b[of  $s bva dclist \Theta dc x b' c$ ] fresh-def wfX-wfY  $\langle atom bva \# bv \rangle$ 
  by (metis insert-iff not-self-fresh singleton-insert-inj-eq' subsetI subset-antisym wfV-conspI wfV-conspI.hyps(4)
wfV-conspI.prem(2))
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-bb.simps proof
    show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s bva dclist \in set \Theta \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
    show  $\langle (dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in set dclist \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto

    thus  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
    have  $atom bva \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have  $atom bva \# ba[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have  $atom bva \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfV-conspI by metis
    ultimately show  $\langle atom bva \# (\Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, ba[bv::=b]_{bb}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}) \rangle$ 
      unfolding fresh-prodN using wfV-conspI fresh-def supp-fset by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bva::=ba[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb} \rangle$ 
      using wfV-conspI subst-bb-commute[of  $bv b' bva ba b$ ]  $*$  wfV-conspI by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' b' c$ )
  show ?case proof(subst subst-tb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfTI)
    show  $atom z \# (\Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b})$  using wfTI subst-g-b-x-fresh by simp

```



```

    show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfTI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; B ; (z, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using wfTI by simp
qed
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma e1 b' e2$ )
thus ?case using Wellformed.wfC-eqI subst-db.simps subst-cb.simps wfC-eqI by metis
next
case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}'$ )
then show ?case using Wellformed.wfG-nilI subst-gb.simps by simp
next
case (wfG-cons1I  $c' \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' x b'$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show  $c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons1I(1)
  by(nominal-induct c' rule: c.strong-induct,auto+)
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  show atom  $x \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons1I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; (x, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c'[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using wfG-cons1I by
auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I  $c' \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' x b'$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show  $c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show atom  $x \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons2I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh)
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by metis
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by metis
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (no-types) subst-bb.simps(5) subst-ceb.simps(3) wfCE-fstI.hyps(2)
wfCE-fstI.prem(1) wfCE-fstI.prem(2) Wellformed.wfCE-fstI)
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case

```

```

    by (metis (no-types) subst-bb.simps(5) subst-ceb.simps wfCE-sndI.hyps(2)
        wfCE-sndI wfCE-sndI.prem(2) Wellformed.wfCE-sndI)
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh

proof -
  show ?thesis
  using wfCE-concatI.hyps(2) wfCE-concatI.hyps(4) wfCE-concatI.prem(1) wfCE-concatI.prem(2)

      Wellformed.wfCE-concatI by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh
by metis
qed(auto simp add: wf-intros)

lemma wf-b-subst2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $b::b$ 
  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $s::s$  and  $b'::b$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; B ;$ 
 $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} e[bv::=b]_{eb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies True$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b' and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
  avoiding: bv b B
rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta' \Phi' \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta' v' b'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-valI
by auto
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
  using wf-b-subst-lemmas wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-plusI
proof -
  have  $\forall b \ ba \ v \ g \ f \ ts. ((ts ; f ; g[bv::=ba]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=ba]_{vb} : b[bv::=ba]_{bb}) \vee \neg ts ; \mathcal{B} ; g \vdash_{wf} v :$ 
 $b) \vee \neg ts ; f \vdash_{wf} ba$ 
  using wfE-plusI.prem(1) wf-b-subst1(1) by force
  then show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} [plus \ v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \ v2[bv::=b]_{vb}]^e :$ 
 $B\text{-int}[bv::=b]_{bb}$ 
  by (metis (full-types) wfE-plusI.hyps(1) wfE-plusI.hyps(4) wfE-plusI.hyps(5) wfE-plusI.hyps(6)
      wfE-plusI.prem(1) wfE-plusI.prem(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-plusI wf-b-subst-lemmas(84
```

```

    qed
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
  using wf-b-subst-lemmas(81) wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-leqI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(85))
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-fstI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(87))

next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-sndI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(87))
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-concatI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-splitI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(87) wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-lenI
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta f x b' c \tau s v$ )
hence bf: atom bv  $\sharp b'$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfT-suppl bv-not-in-dom-g wfPhi-f-simple-suppl-b
fresh-def by fast
hence bseq:  $b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b'$  using subst-bb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas by metis
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-app } f (v[bv::=b]_{vb})) : (b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))$ 
proof
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfE-appI by simp
  have atom bv  $\sharp \tau$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT[OF wfE-appI(5) wfE-appI(1), THEN wfT-suppl] bv-not-in-dom-g
  fresh-def by force
  hence  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \tau$  using forget-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def by metis
  thus Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f$ 
using wfE-appI by simp
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'$  using wfE-appI bseq wf-b-subst1 by metis

```

```

qed
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps b-of-subst-bb-commute by simp
next

case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau 1 f x1 b1 c1 s1$ )
then have *: atom bv  $\# b1$  using wfPhi-f-suppl(1) wfE-appPI(7,11)
  by (metis fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def suppl-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps(1))
thm Wellformed.wfE-appPI
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f \ b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) : (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b$ 
proof
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-b-subst1 by auto
  have atom bv1  $\# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# v1[bv::=b]_{vb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Delta$ -def wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfE-appPI
by metis
  ultimately show atom bv1  $\# (\Phi, \Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, v1[bv::=b]_{vb}, (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b)$ 
    using wfE-appPI using fresh-def fresh-prodN subst-b-b-def by metis
  show  $\langle Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau 1 \ s1))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ 
using wfE-appPI by auto

  have  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv1::=b']_b[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ 
    using wfE-appPI subst-b-b-def * wf-b-subst1 by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \rangle$ 
    using subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def * by auto
qed
moreover have atom bv  $\# b\text{-of } \tau 1$  proof -
  have suppl (b-of  $\tau 1$ )  $\subseteq \{ atom \ bv1 \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-suppl-b-of-t
    using b-of.simps wfE-appPI wfPhi-f-suppl(5) by simp
  thus ?thesis using wfE-appPI
    fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def suppl-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps by metis
qed
ultimately show ?case using subst-eb.simps(3) subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def * by simp
next

case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; subst\text{-gb } \Gamma \ bv \ b ; subst\text{-db } \Delta \ bv \ b \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-mvar } u)[bv::=b]_{eb} : (b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))$ 

proof(subst subst-eb.simps,rule Wellformed.wfE-mvarI)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-mvarI by simp
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfE-mvarI by metis
  show  $(u, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
    using wfE-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert subst-d-b-member by simp
qed
thus ?case using b-of-subst-bb-commute by auto
next

```

```

case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis

next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-db.simps Wellformed.wfD-emptyI wf-b-subst1 by simp

next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta \tau u$ )
show ?case proof (subst subst-db.simps, rule Wellformed.wfD-cons )
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfD-cons by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using wfD-cons wf-b-subst1 by auto
  show  $u \notin fst \text{ ` ` } setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfD-cons subst-b-lookup-d by metis
qed
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
show ?case by auto
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-b-subst = wf-b-subst1 wf-b-subst2

lemma wfT-subst-wfT:
  fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $b'::b$  and  $bv::bv$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  and  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; B ; (x,b[bv::=b]_{bb},c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta ; B ; ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
  using wf-b-subst assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-gb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh by simp
qed

lemma wf-trans:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $b::b$ 
  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $css::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2$ 
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b'$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2$ 
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$  and
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2 \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} ce : b'$  and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$ 
proof (nominal-induct
  b' and c and  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  and  $ts$  and  $\Theta$  and  $b$  and  $b'$  and  $td$ 
  avoiding: c1
  arbitrary:  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$ 
  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$ 
  rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)

```

```

case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b' c' x'$ )
have wbg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using wfC-wf wfV-varI by simp
show ?case proof(cases x=x')
  case True
    have Some (b', c1) = lookup ((x, b, c1)  $\#_{\Gamma} G$ ) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI using True by
auto
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
  next
    case False
    then have Some (b', c') = lookup ((x, b, c1)  $\#_{\Gamma} G$ ) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI
      by simp
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
qed
next
case (wfV-conspI s bv dclist  $\Theta dc x1 b' c \mathcal{B} b1 \Gamma v$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle (dc, \{ x1 : b' \mid c \}) \in \text{set dclist} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{atom bv} \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G, b1, v) \rangle$  unfolding fresh-prodN fresh-GCons using
wfV-conspI fresh-prodN fresh-GCons by simp
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b1]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
qed
qed( (auto | metis wfC-wf wf-intros) +)

end

```

Chapter 9

Type System

9.1 Subtyping

Subtyping is defined on top of SMT logic. A subtyping check is converted into an SMT validity check.

inductive *subtype* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - ; - \vdash - \lesssim - [50, 50, 50] 50) **where**

subtype-baseI: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z', c') ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \} ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= [x]^v]_v$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

equivariance *subtype*

nominal-inductive *subtype*

avoids *subtype-baseI*: x

proof(*goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x$)

then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 1* **by force**

next

case (2 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x$)

then show ?*case* **by auto**

qed

inductive-cases *subtype-elim*:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2$

9.2 Literals

The type synthesised has the constraint that z equates to the literal

inductive *infer-l* :: $l \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (\vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50] 50) **where**

infer-trueI: $\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-falseI*: $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-natI*: $\vdash L\text{-num } n \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-unitI*: $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-unit}]^v]^{ce} \}$
| *infer-bitvecI*: $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } bv \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-bitvec } bv]^v]^{ce} \}$

nominal-inductive *infer-l* .

equivariance *infer-l*

inductive-cases *infer-l-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-num } n \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } x \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

lemma *infer-l-form2*[*simp*]:

shows $\exists z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\{ z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \})$

proof (*nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct*)

case (*L-num x*)

then show *?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis*

next

case *L-true*

then show *?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis*

next

case *L-false*

then show *?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis*

next

case *L-unit*

then show *?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis*

next

case (*L-bitvec x*)

then show *?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis*

qed

9.3 Values

inductive *infer-v* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - ; - \vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50) **where**

infer-v-varI: \llbracket

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x ;$
 $\text{atom } z \ \sharp \ x ; \text{atom } z \ \sharp \ \Gamma$

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash [x]^v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[x]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-v-litI*: \llbracket

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash [l]^v \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-v-pairI*: \llbracket

$atom\ z \# (v1, v2); atom\ z \# \Gamma;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (v1::v) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (v2::v) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b2 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$

$| infer\text{-}v\text{-}consI:$ \llbracket
 $AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket ;$
 $atom\ z \# v ; atom\ z \# \Gamma$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$

$| infer\text{-}v\text{-}conspI:$ \llbracket
 $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$
 $(dc, tc) \in set\ dclist ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} ;$
 $atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);$
 $atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-app}\ s\ b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v)) \rrbracket)$

equivariance *infer-v*

nominal-inductive *infer-v*

avoids *infer-v-conspI*: *bv* **and** *z*

proof(*goal-cases*)

case $(1\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ tv\ b\ z)$
hence $atom\ bv \# V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v$ **using** *v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh by metis*
moreover then have $atom\ bv \# \llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using $\tau.fresh\ ce.fresh\ v.fresh$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $atom\ z \# V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v$ **using** *v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh 1 by metis*
moreover then have $atom\ z \# \llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using $\tau.fresh\ ce.fresh\ v.fresh$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-star-def 1 by force*

next

case $(2\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ tv\ b\ z)$
then show *?case by auto*

qed

inductive-cases *infer-v-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-var}\ x \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit}\ l \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : [b1, b2]^b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v \Rightarrow \tau$

9.4 Introductions

inductive *check-v* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(-; -; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50)$ **where**
check-v-subtypeI: $\llbracket \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2; \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau 2$
equivariance *check-v*
nominal-inductive *check-v* .

inductive-cases *check-v-elim*[*elim*]:
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$

9.5 Expressions

Type synthesis for expressions

inductive *infer-e* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(-; -; -; -; - \vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50] 50)$ **where**

infer-e-valI: \llbracket
 $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta);$
 $(\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi));$
 $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}val\ v) \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-e-plusI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \rrbracket;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \rrbracket;$
 $atom\ z3 \# (AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2); atom\ z3 \# \Gamma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B\text{-}int \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

| *infer-e-leqI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \rrbracket;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \rrbracket;$
 $atom\ z3 \# (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2); atom\ z3 \# \Gamma$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B\text{-}bool \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

| *infer-e-appI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $Some\ (AF\text{-}fun\ def\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\ typ\ none\ (AF\text{-}fun\ typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s')) = lookup\ fun\ \Phi\ f;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket; atom\ x \# \Gamma;$
 $\tau'[x::v]_v = \tau$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}app\ f\ v \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-e-appPI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' ; \\
Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \rrbracket ; atom x \# \Gamma ; \\
(\tau'[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v) = \tau ; \\
atom bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, \tau) \\
\rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-appP f b' v \Rightarrow \tau \\
\\
| infer-e-fstI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-fst v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-fst v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b1 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-fst [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-sndI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-snd v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-snd v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b2 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-snd [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-lenI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B-bitvec \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-len v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-len v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-len [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-mvarI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
(u, \tau) \in setD \Delta \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-mvar u \Rightarrow \tau \\
\\
| infer-e-concatI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \rrbracket ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B-bitvec \mid c2 \rrbracket ; \\
atom z3 \# (AE-concat v1 v2); atom z3 \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-concat v1 v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B-bitvec \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-splitI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi); \\
infer-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 \llbracket z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \rrbracket ; \\
check-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v2 \llbracket z2 : B-int \mid (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-lit (L-num 0))) (CE-val (V-var z2))) == \\
(CE-val (V-lit L-true)) AND \\
(CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-var z2)) (CE-len (CE-val (v1)))) == (CE-val
\end{array}$$

$(V\text{-lit } L\text{-true})) \} \};$
 $\text{atom } z1 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z1 \# \Gamma;$
 $\text{atom } z2 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma;$
 $\text{atom } z3 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z3 \# \Gamma$
 $\} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{infer-e } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2) \ \{ z3 : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec} \mid$
 $((CE\text{-val } v1) == (CE\text{-concat } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3)))) (CE\text{-snd } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var}$
 $z3))))))$
 $AND \ (((CE\text{-len } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3)))))) == (CE\text{-val } (v2))) \}$

equivariance *infer-e*

nominal-inductive *infer-e*

avoids *infer-e-appPI*: $bv \mid \text{infer-e-splitI}$: $z3$ **and** $z1$ **and** $z2$

proof(*goal-cases*)

case $(1 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

moreover hence $\text{atom } bv \# AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v$ **using** *fresh-prodN pure-fresh e.fresh* **by force**

ultimately show *?case unfolding fresh-star-def using fresh-prodN e.fresh pure-fresh fresh-Pair* **by**

auto

next

case $(2 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(3 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3)$

have $\text{atom } z3 \# \{ z3 : [B\text{-bitvec} , B\text{-bitvec}]^b \mid [v1]^{ce} == [\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \#2 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} \}$ **AND** $\{ [\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [v2]^{ce} \}$

using $\tau.fresh$ **by** *simp*

then show *?case unfolding fresh-star-def fresh-prod7 using wfG-fresh-x2 3* **by** *auto*

next

case $(4 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3)$

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

inductive-cases *infer-e-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-int} \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-op } Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-int} \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-val } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-snd } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce})) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid c \})$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v1]^{ce}) \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v) \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

9.6 Statements

inductive *check-s* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **and**

check-branch-s :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **and**

check-branch-list :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **where**

check-valI: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-val } v) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-letI*: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau) ;$
 $\text{atom } z \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau, s) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-assertI*: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, \tau, s) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-assert } c \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-branch-s-branchI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \text{const} ;$
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \text{tid}, \text{cons}, \text{const}, v, \tau) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, ([v]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } [x]^v]^{ce}) \text{ AND } (c\text{-of } \text{const } x)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash$
 $s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v \vdash (AS\text{-branch } \text{cons } x \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-branch-list-consI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v \vdash \text{cs} \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v \vdash \text{css} \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; (cons, const) \# dclist ; v \vdash AS-cons \ cs \ css \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-branch-list-finalI}: [\\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; [(cons, const)] ; v \vdash AS-final \ cs \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-ifI}: [\\
\quad atom \ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) ; \\
\quad (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket)) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce}) \text{ IMP } (c\text{-of } \tau \ z) \rrbracket) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce}) \text{ IMP } (c\text{-of } \tau \ z) \rrbracket) \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash IF \ v \ THEN \ s1 \ ELSE \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-let2I}: [\\
\quad atom \ x \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, \tau) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G) ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash (LET \ x : t = s1 \ IN \ s2) \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-varI}: [\\
\quad atom \ u \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, \tau) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s) \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-assignI}: [\\
\quad \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\quad (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau' \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (u ::= v) \Leftarrow \tau' \\
\\
| \text{check-whileI}: [\\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau' \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{ \ s2 \} \Leftarrow \tau' \\
\\
| \text{check-seqI}: [\\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
] \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 ;; s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-caseI}: [
\end{array}$$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $(AF\text{-typedef } tid \ dclist) \in set \ \Theta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-match } v \ cs \Leftarrow \tau$

equivariance *check-s*

We only need avoidance for cases where a variable is added to a context

nominal-inductive *check-s*

avoids *check-letI*: x **and** z | *check-branch-s-branchI*: x | *check-let2I*: x | *check-varI*: u | *check-ifI*: z
| *check-assertI*: x
proof(*goal-cases*)
 case (1 $x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$)
 hence *atom* $x \nmid AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2)* **by** *auto*
 moreover have *atom* $z \nmid AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2)* 1 *fresh-prod8* **by** *auto*
 then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 1* **by** *force*
next
 case (3 $x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$)
 hence *atom* $x \nmid AS\text{-assert } c \ s$ **using** *fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh pure-fresh* **by** *auto*
 then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 3* **by** *force*
next
 case (5 $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ const \ x \ \Phi \ tid \ cons \ v \ s$)
 hence *atom* $x \nmid AS\text{-branch } cons \ x \ s$ **using** *fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh pure-fresh* **by** *auto*
 then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 5* **by** *force*
next
 case (7 $z \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$)
 hence *atom* $z \nmid AS\text{-if } v \ s1 \ s2$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
 then show ?*case* **using** 7 *fresh-prodN fresh-star-def* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (9 $x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ \Delta \ t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2$)
 hence *atom* $x \nmid AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ s1 \ s2$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
 thus ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 9* **by** *force*
next
 case (11 $u \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau' \ v \ \tau \ s$)
 hence *atom* $u \nmid AS\text{-var } u \ \tau' \ v \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
 then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 11* **by** *force*
qed(*auto*+)

inductive-cases *check-s-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-val } v \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-if } v \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-var } u \ t \ v \ s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-seq } s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assign } u \ v \Leftarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}match\ v\ cs \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}assert\ c\ s \Leftarrow \tau$

inductive-cases *check-branch-s-elim*^[elim!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}final\ cs) \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}cons\ cs\ css) \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x\ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

9.7 Programs

inductive *check-funtyp* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

check-funtypI: \llbracket
 $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \Phi, B, b) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$

equivariance *check-funtyp*

nominal-inductive *check-funtyp*

avoids *check-funtypI*: x

proof(*goal-cases*)

case ($1\ x\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ b\ c\ s\ \tau$)

hence $atom\ x \# (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$ **using** *fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh fun-typ.fresh* **by** *simp*

then show $?case$ **using** *fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($2\ \Theta\ \Phi\ x\ b\ c\ s\ \tau\ f$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

inductive *check-funtypq* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

check-fundefq-simpleI: \llbracket
 $check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{\llbracket\}$ ($AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s$)
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $check\text{-}funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ ((AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s)))$

check-funtypq-polyI: \llbracket

$atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s));$

$check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{\llbracket bv \rrbracket\} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s)$

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$check\text{-}funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s))$

equivariance *check-funtypq*

nominal-inductive *check-funtypq*

avoids *check-funtypq-polyI*: bv

proof(*goal-cases*)

case ($1\ bv\ \Theta\ \Phi\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s$)

hence $atom\ bv \# (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s))$ **using** *fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh fun-typ.fresh* **by** *simp*

thus $?case$ **using** *fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($2\ bv\ \Theta\ \Phi\ ft$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

inductive *check-fundef* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{fun-def} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

check-fundefI: \llbracket
 check-funtypq $\Theta \Phi ft$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 check-fundef $\Theta \Phi ((AF-fundef f ft))$

equivariance *check-fundef*

nominal-inductive *check-fundef* .

Temporarily remove this simproc as it produces untidy eliminations

declare[[*simproc del: alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *check-funtyp-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi B ft$

inductive-cases *check-funtypq-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-funtypq $\Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))$
 check-funtypq $\Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))$

inductive-cases *check-fundef-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-fundef $\Theta \Phi (AF-fundef f ftq)$

declare[[*simproc add: alpha-lst*]]

end

Chapter 10

Operational Semantics

Here we define the operational semantics in terms of a small-step reduction relation.

10.1 Reduction Rules

The store for mutable variables

type-synonym $\delta = (u*v) \text{ list}$

nominal-function $\text{update-d} :: \delta \Rightarrow u \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \delta$ **where**

$\text{update-d } [] \text{ } - = []$
| $\text{update-d } ((u',v')\#\delta) \text{ } u \text{ } v = (\text{if } u = u' \text{ then } ((u,v)\#\delta) \text{ else } ((u',v')\# (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ } u \text{ } v)))$
by(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def update-d-graph-aux-def ,metis neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

Relates constructor to the branch in the case and binding variable and statement

inductive $\text{find-branch} :: dc \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{find-branch-finalI: } dc' = dc \implies \text{find-branch } dc' \text{ (AS-final (AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)) \text{ (AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)$
| $\text{find-branch-branch-eqI: } dc' = dc \implies \text{find-branch } dc' \text{ (AS-cons (AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css) \text{ (AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)$
| $\text{find-branch-branch-neqI: } [dc \neq dc'; \text{find-branch } dc' \text{ } css \text{ } cs] \implies \text{find-branch } dc' \text{ (AS-cons (AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css) \text{ } cs$

equivariance find-branch

nominal-inductive find-branch .

inductive-cases $\text{find-branch-elim}[elim!]$:

$\text{find-branch } dc \text{ (AS-final } cs') \text{ } cs$
 $\text{find-branch } dc \text{ (AS-cons } cs' \text{ } css) \text{ } cs$

nominal-function $\text{lookup-branch} :: dc \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \text{option}$ **where**

$\text{lookup-branch } dc \text{ (AS-final (AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s))} = (\text{if } dc = dc' \text{ then (Some (AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s)) \text{ else None})$
| $\text{lookup-branch } dc \text{ (AS-cons (AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css)} = (\text{if } dc = dc' \text{ then (Some (AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s)) \text{ else lookup-branch } dc \text{ } css)$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def lookup-branch-graph-aux-def*)

by(metis neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) **by** lexicographic-order

value take 1 [1::nat,2]

Reduction rules

inductive reduce-stmt :: $\Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- \vdash < - , - > \longrightarrow < - , - > [50, 50, 50] 50)
where

- reduce-if-trueI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } [L\text{-true}]^v s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle$
- | reduce-if-falseI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } [L\text{-false}]^v s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-let-valI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-let-plusI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-op Plus } ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1)))\ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } ((n1)+(n2)))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-leqI: $b = (\text{if } (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true} \text{ else } L\text{-false}) \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-op LEq } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } b))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-appI: $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } z\ b\ c\ \tau\ s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi\ f \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-app } f\ v))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ \tau[z::=v]_{\tau v}\ s'[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-appPI: $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv\ (AF\text{-fun-typ } z\ b\ c\ \tau\ s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi\ f \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-appP } f\ b'\ v))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v}$
 $s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-fstI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-fst } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v1)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-sndI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v2)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-concatI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-concat } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)))\ s \rangle$
 \longrightarrow
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1@v2))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-splitI: $\text{split } n\ v\ (v1, v2) \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-lenI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-len } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (List.length\ v)))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-mvar: $(u,v) \in \text{set } \delta \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-mvar } u)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-assert1I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c\ (AS\text{-val } v) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-val } v \rangle$
- | reduce-assert2I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-assert } c\ s' \rangle$
- | reduce-varI: $\text{atom } u \nmid \delta \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-var } u\ \tau\ v\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle ((u,v)\#\delta), s \rangle$
- | reduce-assignI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assign } u\ v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{update-d } \delta\ u\ v, AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \rangle$
- | reduce-seq1I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } (AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s \rangle$
- | reduce-seq2I: $\llbracket s1 \neq AS\text{-val } v \rrbracket; \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-seq } s1'\ s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-let2-valI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ (AS\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-let2I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ s1'\ s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-caseI: $\llbracket \text{Some } (AS\text{-branch } dc\ x'\ s') = \text{lookup-branch } dc\ cs \rrbracket \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-match } (V\text{-cons tyid } dc\ v)\ cs \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s'[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-whileI: $\llbracket \text{atom } x \nmid (s1, s2); \text{atom } z \nmid x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-while } s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow$

$\langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ (\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \ s1 \ (AS\text{-if } (V\text{-var } x) \ (AS\text{-seq } s2 \ (AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2)) \ (AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}))) \rangle$

equivariance *reduce-stmt*

nominal-inductive *reduce-stmt* .

inductive-cases *reduce-stmt-elim*[*elim!*]:

$\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s2 \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))) \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } ((n1)+(n2))))) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-op } LEq \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x$
 $(AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } b)) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-app } f \ v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau \ (subst\text{-sv } s' \ x \ v) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-len } v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau \ (subst\text{-sv } s' \ z \ v) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle$

inductive *reduce-stmt-many* :: $\Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool$ $(- \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle -, - \rangle [50, 50, 50])$ **where**

reduce-stmt-many-oneI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
reduce-stmt-many-manyI: $\llbracket \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle ; \Phi \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle \rrbracket \implies \Phi$
 $\vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle$

nominal-function *convert-fds* :: *fun-def list* \Rightarrow (*f*fun-def*) *list* **where**

convert-fds [] = []
 $| \text{convert-fds } ((AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) \# fs) = ((f, AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) \# \text{convert-fds } fs)$
 $| \text{convert-fds } ((AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) \# fs) = ((f, AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) \# \text{convert-fds } fs)$
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def convert-fds-graph-aux-def*)
using *fun-def.exhaust fun-typ.exhaust fun-typ-q.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*
by *metis*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *convert-tds* :: *type-def list* \Rightarrow (*f*type-def*) *list* **where**

convert-tds [] = []
 $| \text{convert-tds } ((AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist) \# fs) = ((s, AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist) \# \text{convert-tds } fs)$
 $| \text{convert-tds } ((AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist) \# fs) = ((s, AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist) \# \text{convert-tds } fs)$
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def convert-tds-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

inductive *reduce-prog* :: $p \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\llbracket \text{reduce-stmt-many } \Phi \rrbracket s \delta (AS\text{-val } v) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{reduce-prog } (AP\text{-prog } \Theta \Phi \rrbracket s) v$

10.2 Reduction Typing

Checks that the store is consistent with Δ

inductive *delta-sim* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash - \sim - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **where**
delta-sim-nilI: $\Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta}$
| *delta-sim-consI*: $\llbracket \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta ; \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau ; u \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } \delta \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau)\#\Delta)$

equivariance *delta-sim*
nominal-inductive *delta-sim* .

inductive-cases *delta-sim-elim*s[elim!]:

$\Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta}$
 $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#ds) \sim (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} D$
 $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#ds) \sim D$

A typing judgement that combines typing of the statement, the store and the condition that functions are well-formed

inductive *config-type* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash \langle - , - \rangle \Leftarrow - \ [50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **where**
config-typeI: $\llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $(\forall fd \in \text{set } \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd) ;$
 $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

equivariance *config-type*
nominal-inductive *config-type* .

inductive-cases *config-type-elim*s [elim!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

end

hide-const *Syntax.dom*

Chapter 11

Refinement Constraint Logic Lemmas

11.1 Lemmas

lemma *wfI-domi*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$

shows $\text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{dom } i$

using *wfI-def setG.simps assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *wfI-lookup*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$ **and** $b::b$

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G \ x$ **and** $P ; G \vdash i$ **and** $\text{Some } s = i \ x$ **and** $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $P \vdash s : b$

proof –

have $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } G$ **using** *lookup.simps assms*

using *lookup-in-g* **by** *blast*

then obtain s' **where** $*:\text{Some } s' = i \ x \wedge \text{wfRCV } P \ s' \ b$ **using** *wfI-def assms* **by** *auto*

hence $s' = s$ **using** *assms* **by** (*metis option.inject*)

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *wfI-restrict-weakening*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma' \ i'$ **and** $i = \text{restrict-map } i' \ (\text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$

proof –

{ **fix** x

assume $x \in \text{setG } \Gamma$

have *case* x **of** $(x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$ **using** *assms wfI-def*

proof –

have *case* x **of** $(x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. \text{Some } s = i' \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$

using $\langle x \in \text{setG } \Gamma \rangle$ *assms wfI-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ (\text{fst } x) \wedge \text{wfRCV } \Theta \ s \ (\text{fst } (\text{snd } x))$

by (*simp add: $\langle x \in \text{setG } \Gamma \rangle$ assms(2) case-prod-unfold*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: case-prod-unfold*)

qed

}

thus *?thesis* **using** *wfI-def* *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfI-suffix*:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $wfI\ P\ (G'@G)\ i$ **and** $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$
shows $P ; G \vdash i$
using *wfI-def* *append-g.simps* *assms* *setG.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *wfI-replace-inside*:
assumes $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ i$
shows $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ i$
using *wfI-def* *setG-splitP* *assms* **by** *simp*

11.2 Existence of evaluation

lemma *eval-l-base*:
 $\Theta \vdash \llbracket l \rrbracket : (base\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ l)$
apply(*nominal-induct* *l* *rule:l.strong-induct*)
using *wfRCV.intros* *eval-l.simps* *base-for-lit.simps* **by** *auto+*

lemma *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist*:
fixes $tm::'a::fs$
assumes $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$
obtains $bv1::bv$ **and** $dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ **where** $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
proof –
obtain $bv1\ dclist1$ **where** $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
using *obtain-fresh-bv* **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** $\llbracket atom\ bv \rrbracket lst.\ dclist = \llbracket atom\ bv1 \rrbracket lst.\ dclist1$ **using** *type-def.eq-iff* **by** *metis*
moreover **then** **obtain** $x1\ b1\ c1$ **where** $(dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1$ **using** *td-lookup-eq-iff* *assms* **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *that* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff*:
fixes $tm::'a::fs$
assumes $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$ **and** $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist \in set\ P$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} P$
obtains $bv1::bv$ **and** $dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ **where** $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm \wedge b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$
proof –
obtain $bv1\ dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ **where** $*:AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1$ **using** *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist* *assms* **by** *metis*

hence $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1 \in set\ P$ **using** *assms* **by** *metis*

hence $b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$
using *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff* $[OF\ assms(2)\ assms(1) - - assms(3), of\ bv1\ dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1\ b] *$

by *metis*

from *this that* show *?thesis* using * by *metis*
qed

lemma *eval-v-exist*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $b::b$

assumes $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$ and $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$

shows $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$

using *assms* proof(*nominal-induct v arbitrary: b rule:v.strong-induct*)

case (*V-lit x*)

then show *?case* using *eval-l-base eval-v.intros eval-l.simps wfV-elim rcl-val.supp pure-supp* by *metis*

next

case (*V-var x*)

then obtain *c* where $*:Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x$ using *wfV-elim* by *metis*

hence $x \in fst \text{ ' setG } \Gamma$ using *lookup-x* by *blast*

hence $x \in dom i$ using *wfI-domi* using *assms* by *blast*

then obtain *s* where $i x = Some s$ by *auto*

moreover hence $P \vdash s : b$ using *wfRCV.intros wfI-lookup * V-var*

by (*metis wfV-wf*)

ultimately show *?case* using *eval-v.intros rcl-val.supp b.supp* by *metis*

next

case (*V-pair v1 v2*)

then obtain *b1* and *b2* where $*:P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 \wedge b = B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$ using *wfV-elim* by *metis*

then obtain *s1* and *s2* where $eval-v \ i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s1 \ b1 \wedge eval-v \ i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s2 \ b2$ using *V-pair* by *metis*

thus *?case* using *eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros ** by *metis*

next

case (*V-cons tyid dc v*)

then obtain *s* and $b'::b$ and *dclist* and $x::x$ and $c::c$ where $(wfV \ P \ B \ \Gamma \ v \ b') \wedge i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b' \wedge b = B\text{-id } tyid \ \Delta$

$AF\text{-typedef } tyid \ dclist \in set \ P \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \ dclist$ using *wfV-elim(4)* by *metis*

then show *?case* using *eval-v.intros(4) wfRCV.intros(5) V-cons* by *metis*

next

case (*V-consp tyid dc b' v*)

obtain $b'a::b$ and *bv* and *dclist* and $x::x$ and $c::c$ where $*(wfV \ P \ B \ \Gamma \ v \ b'a[bv::=b]_{bb}) \wedge b = B\text{-app } tyid \ b' \ \Delta$

$AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bv \ dclist \in set \ P \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b'a \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \ dclist \wedge atom \ bv \ \# (P, B\text{-app } tyid \ b', B)$ using *wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp(3)]* by *metis*

then obtain *s* where $*:i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b'a[bv::=b]_{bb}$ using *V-consp* by *auto*

have $\vdash_{wf} P$ using *wfX-wfY V-consp* by *metis*

then obtain $bv1::bv$ and *dclist1* *x1* *b1* *c1* where $\exists:AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bv \ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bv1 \ dclist1$

$\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set \ dclist1 \wedge atom \ bv1 \ \# (P, SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b' \ s, B\text{-app } tyid \ b')$


```

     $\wedge b'a[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$ 
    using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff by blast

have i [ V-consp tyid dc b' v ] ~ SConsp tyid dc b' s using eval-v.intros by (simp add: **)

moreover have P  $\vdash$  SConsp tyid dc b' s : B-app tyid b' proof
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1} \in \text{set } P \rangle$  using 3 * by metis
  show  $\langle (dc, \{ x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in \text{set dclist1} \rangle$  using 3 by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom bv1} \nmid (P, SConsp tyid dc b' s, B\text{-app tyid } b') \rangle$  using * 3 fresh-prodN by metis
  show  $\langle P \vdash s : b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using 3 ** by auto
qed

ultimately show ?case using eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros V-consp * by auto
qed

lemma eval-v-uniqueness:
  fixes v::v
  assumes i [ v ] ~ s and i [ v ] ~ s'
  shows s=s'
using assms proof (nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims eval-l.simps by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims by (metis option.inject)
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where s:i [ v1 ] ~ s1  $\wedge$  i [ v2 ] ~ s2  $\wedge$  s = SPair s1 s2 using eval-v.elims
  V-pair by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where s':i [ v1 ] ~ s1'  $\wedge$  i [ v2 ] ~ s2'  $\wedge$  s' = SPair s1' s2' using eval-v.elims
  V-pair by metis
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims using V-pair s s' by auto
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
  obtain sv1 where 1:i [ v1 ] ~ sv1  $\wedge$  s = SCons tyid dc sv1 using eval-v.elims V-cons by metis
  moreover obtain sv2 where 2:i [ v1 ] ~ sv2  $\wedge$  s' = SCons tyid dc sv2 using eval-v.elims V-cons
  by metis
  ultimately have sv1 = sv2 using V-cons by auto
  then show ?case using 1 2 by auto
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b v1)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims by metis
qed

lemma eval-v-base:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and v::v and b::b
  assumes P ;  $\Gamma \vdash i$  and P ; B ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and i [ v ] ~ s
  shows P  $\vdash s : b$ 
  using eval-v-exist eval-v-uniqueness assms by metis

```

```

lemma eval-e-uniqueness:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and i  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$ 
  shows s=s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elim by metis
next
  case (CE-op opp x1 x2)
  consider opp = Plus | opp = LEq using opp.exhaust by metis
  thus ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s' using CE-op by
auto
    then show ?thesis using eval-e-elim(2)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(2)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
    by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  next
    case 2
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s' using CE-op by auto
    thm eval-e-elim(2)
    then show ?thesis using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elim(3)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(3)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
    by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat x1 x2)
  hence a1:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s' using CE-concat by
auto
  show ?case using eval-e-elim(6)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(6)[OF a2] CE-concat eval-e-concatI rcl-val.eq-iff

proof -
  assume  $\bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s' = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2$ 
 $\implies P) \implies P$ 
  obtain bbs :: bit list and bbsa :: bit list where
    i  $\llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bbs \wedge i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bbsa \wedge SBitvec\ (bbsa\ @\ bbs) = s'$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s' = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2 \rrbracket \implies P) \implies P \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle$  rcl-val.eq-iff(1))
  then have s' = s
  by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2 \rrbracket \implies P) \implies P \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle$  rcl-val.eq-iff(1))
  then show ?thesis
  by metis
qed

next
  case (CE-fst x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness by (meson eval-e-elim rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
  case (CE-snd x)

```

then show $?case$ **using** $eval-v-uniqueness$ **by** $(meson\ eval-e-elim\ rcl-val.eq-iff)$
next
case $(CE-len\ x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $eval-e-elim\ rcl-val.eq-iff$
proof –
obtain $bbs :: rcl-val \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow rcl-val\ option) \Rightarrow bit\ list$ **where**
 $\forall x0\ x1\ x2. (\exists v3. x0 = SNum\ (int\ (length\ v3)) \wedge x2 \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ v3) = (x0 = SNum\ (int\ (length\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2)))) \wedge x2 \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2)$
by $moura$
then have $\forall f\ c\ r. \neg f\ \llbracket \llbracket c \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim r \vee r = SNum\ (int\ (length\ (bbs\ r\ c\ f))) \wedge f\ \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ (bbs\ r\ c\ f)$
by $(meson\ eval-e-elim(\gamma))$
then show $?thesis$
by $(metis\ (no-types)\ CE-len.hyps\ CE-len.prem\ s(1)\ CE-len.prem\ s(2)\ rcl-val.eq-iff(1))$
qed

qed

lemma $wfV-eval-bitvec$:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-bitvec$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists bv. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SBitvec\ bv)$
proof –
obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ B-bitvec$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain bv **where** $s = SBitvec\ bv$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(1)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$
qed

lemma $wfV-eval-pair$:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-pair\ b1\ b2$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists s1\ s2. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SPair\ s1\ s2)$
proof –
obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ (B-pair\ b1\ b2)$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $s = SPair\ s1\ s2$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(2)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$
qed

lemma $wfV-eval-int$:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-int$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists n. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SNum\ n)$
proof –
obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ (B-int)$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain n **where** $s = SNum\ n$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(3)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$
qed

Well sorted value with a well sorted valuation evaluates

lemma $wfI-wfV-eval-v$:
fixes $v::v$ **and** $b::b$

```

assumes  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ 
shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$ 
using eval-v-exist assms by auto

lemma wfI-wfCE-eval-e:
  fixes  $e::ce$  and  $b::b$ 
  assumes  $wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ e \ b$  and  $P ; G \vdash i$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $e$  arbitrary:  $b$  rule:  $ce.strong-induct$ )
  case (CE-val  $v$ )
    obtain  $s$  where  $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$  using  $wfI-wfV-eval-v[of \ P \ B \ G \ v \ b \ i]$  assms  $wfCE-elim(1)$ 
  [of  $P \ B \ G \ v \ b$ ] CE-val by auto
    then show  $?case$  using CE-val eval-e.intros(1)[of  $i \ v \ s$ ] by auto
next
  case (CE-op  $opp \ v1 \ v2$ )
  hence  $wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v1 \ B-int \wedge wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v2 \ B-int$  using  $wfCE-elim$ 
    by (metis (full-types) opp.strong-exhaust)
  then obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $*$ :  $eval-e \ i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s1 \ B-int \wedge eval-e \ i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge wfRCV \ P$ 
   $s2 \ B-int$ 
    using  $wfI-wfV-eval-v \ CE-op$  by metis
  then obtain  $n1$  and  $n2$  where  $**$ :  $s2 = SNum \ n2 \wedge s1 = SNum \ n1$  using  $wfRCV-elim$  by meson
  consider  $opp = Plus \mid opp = LEq$  using opp.exhaust by auto

  thus  $?case$  proof(cases)
    case 1
    hence  $eval-e \ i \ (CE-op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$  using  $eval-e-plusI \ * \ **$  by simp
    moreover have  $wfRCV \ P \ (SNum \ (n1+n2)) \ B-int$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using 1
      using CE-op.prem(1)  $wfCE-elim(2)$  by blast
    next
    case 2
    hence  $eval-e \ i \ (CE-op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \ (SBool \ (n1 \leq n2))$  using  $eval-e-leqI \ * \ **$  by simp
    moreover have  $wfRCV \ P \ (SBool \ (n1 \leq n2)) \ B-bool$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by auto
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using 2
      using CE-op.prem(1)  $wfCE-elim$  by metis
    qed
  next
  case (CE-concat  $v1 \ v2$ )
  then obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $*$ :  $b = B-bitvec \wedge eval-e \ i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge eval-e \ i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge$ 
   $wfRCV \ P \ s1 \ B-bitvec \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s2 \ B-bitvec$  using
    CE-concat
  by (meson  $wfCE-elim(6)$ )
  thus  $?case$  using  $eval-e-concatI \ wfRCV.intros(1) \ wfRCV-elim$ 
proof –
    obtain  $bbs :: type-def \ list \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow bit \ list$  where
       $\forall ts \ s. \neg ts \vdash s : B-bitvec \vee s = SBitvec \ (bbs \ ts \ s)$ 
    using  $wfRCV-elim(1)$  by moura
    then show  $?thesis$ 
      by (metis (no-types) local.* wfRCV-BBitvecI eval-e-concatI)
    qed
  next
  case (CE-fst  $v1$ )

```

```

thus ?case using eval-e-fstI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elims wfI-wfV-eval-v
  by (metis wfRCV-elims(2) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next
case (CE-snd v1)
thus ?case using eval-e-sndI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elims wfI-wfV-eval-v
  by (metis wfRCV-elims(2) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next
case (CE-len x)
thus ?case using eval-e-lenI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elims wfI-wfV-eval-v wfV-eval-bitvec
  by (metis wfRCV-elims(1))
qed

lemma eval-e-exist:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$  and  $e :: ce$ 
  assumes  $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$  and  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e : b$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: b rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val v)
  then show ?case using eval-v-exist wfCE-elims eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE-op op v1 v2)

  show ?case proof(rule opp.exhaust)
    assume  $\langle op = Plus \rangle$ 
    hence  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-int \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B-int \wedge b = B-int$  using wfCE-elims CE-op
  by metis
    then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
    eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis wfI-wfCE-eval-e wfRCV-elims(3))
    then show  $\langle \exists a. eval-e i (CE-op op v1 v2) a \rangle$  using eval-e-plusI[of i v1 - v2]  $\langle op=Plus \rangle$  by auto
  next
    assume  $\langle op = LEq \rangle$ 
    hence  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-int \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B-int \wedge b = B-bool$  using wfCE-elims
    CE-op by metis
    then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
    eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis wfI-wfCE-eval-e wfRCV-elims(3))
    then show  $\langle \exists a. eval-e i (CE-op op v1 v2) a \rangle$  using eval-e-leqI[of i v1 - v2] eval-v-exist  $\langle op=LEq \rangle$ 
    CE-op by auto
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then obtain bv1 and bv2 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SBitvec bv2)
  using wfV-eval-bitvec wfCE-elims(6)
  by (meson eval-e-elims(6) wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
  then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE-fst ce)
  then obtain b2 where  $b:P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B-pair b b2$  using wfCE-elims by metis
  then obtain s where  $s:i \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim s$  using CE-fst by auto
  then obtain s1 and s2 where  $s = (SPair s1 s2)$  using eval-e-elims(4) CE-fst wfI-wfCE-eval-e[of
  P B  $\Gamma$  ce B-pair b b2 i,OF b] wfRCV-elims(2)[of P s b b2]

```

```

    by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
  then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
case (CE-snd ce)
then obtain b1 where b:P ; B ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B\text{-pair } b1\ b$  using wfCE-elim by metis
then obtain s where s:i [ ce ]  $\sim$  s using CE-snd by auto
then obtain s1 and s2 where s = (SPair s1 s2)
  using eval-e-elim(5) CE-snd wfI-wfCE-eval-e[of P B  $\Gamma$  ce B-pair b1 b i, OF b] wfRCV-elim(2)[of
P s b b1]
  eval-e-uniqueness
  by (metis wfRCV-elim(2))
then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
case (CE-len v1)
then obtain bv1 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1)
  using wfV-eval-bitvec CE-len wfCE-elim eval-e-uniqueness
  by (metis eval-e-elim(6) wfCE-concatI wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-c-exist:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and c::c
  assumes P ;  $\Gamma \vdash i$  and P ; B ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i [ c ] \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
case C-true
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case C-false
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-conj c1 c2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-disj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-not x)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-imp x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-eq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elim by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-c-uniqueness:
  fixes c::c
  assumes i [ c ]  $\sim$  s and i [ c ]  $\sim$  s'
  shows s=s'

```

```

using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct)
  case C-true
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim by metis
next
  case C-false
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim by metis
next
  case (C-conj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(3) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-disj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(4) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-not x)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(6) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-imp x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(5) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-eq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-e-uniqueness eval-c-elim(7) by metis
qed

```

```

lemma wfI-wfC-eval-c:
  fixes c::c
  assumes wfC P B G c and P ; G ⊢ i
  shows ∃ s. i ⊢ c ∼ s
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
qed(metis wfC-elim wfI-wfCE-eval-e eval-c.intros)+

```

11.3 Satisfiability

```

lemma satis-reflI:
  fixes c::c
  assumes i ⊢ ((x, b, c) #Γ G)
  shows i ⊢ c
using assms by auto

lemma is-satis-mp:
  fixes c1::c and c2::c
  assumes i ⊢ (c1 IMP c2) and i ⊢ c1
  shows i ⊢ c2
using assms proof –
  have eval-c i (c1 IMP c2) True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
  then obtain b1 and b2 where True = (b1 ⟶ b2) ∧ eval-c i c1 b1 ∧ eval-c i c2 b2
    using eval-c-elim(5) by metis
  moreover have eval-c i c1 True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
  moreover have b1 = True using calculation eval-c-uniqueness by blast
  ultimately have eval-c i c2 True by auto
  thus ?thesis using is-satis.intros by auto
qed

```

```

lemma is-satis-imp:
  fixes c1::c and c2::c
  assumes i ⊨ c1 ⟶ i ⊨ c2 and i ⊨ c1 ∼ b1 and i ⊨ c2 ∼ b2
  shows i ⊨ (c1 IMP c2)
proof(cases b1)
  case True
  hence i ⊨ c2 using assms is-satis.simps by simp
  hence b2 = True using is-satis.simps assms
    using eval-c-uniqueness by blast
  then show ?thesis using eval-c-impI is-satis.simps assms by force
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using assms eval-c-impI is-satis.simps by metis
qed

```

```

lemma is-satis-iff:
  i ⊨ G = (∀ x b c. (x,b,c) ∈ setG G ⟶ i ⊨ c)
  by(induct G,auto)

```

```

lemma is-satis-g-append:
  i ⊨ (G1@G2) = (i ⊨ G1 ∧ i ⊨ G2)
  using is-satis-g.simps is-satis-iff by auto

```

11.4 Substitution for Evaluation

```

lemma eval-v-i-upd:
  fixes v::v
  assumes atom x # v and i ⊨ v ∼ s'
  shows eval-v ((i (x ↦ s))) v s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case by (metis eval-v-elim1 eval-v-litI)
next
  case (V-var y)
  then obtain s where *: Some s = i y ∧ s=s' using eval-v-elim1 by metis
  moreover have x ≠ y using ⟨atom x # V-var y⟩ v.sup by simp
  ultimately have (i (x ↦ s)) y = Some s
    by (simp add: ⟨Some s = i y ∧ s = s'⟩)
  then show ?case using eval-v-varI * ⟨x ≠ y⟩
    by (simp add: eval-v.eval-v-varI)
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  hence atom x # v1 ∧ atom x # v2 using v.sup by simp
  moreover obtain s1 and s2 where *: eval-v i v1 s1 ∧ eval-v i v2 s2 ∧ s' = SPair s1 s2 using
    eval-v-elim1 V-pair by metis
  ultimately have eval-v ((i (x ↦ s))) v1 s1 ∧ eval-v ((i (x ↦ s))) v2 s2 using V-pair by blast
  thus ?case using eval-v-pairI * by meson
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
  hence atom x # v1 using v.sup by simp
  moreover obtain s1 where *: eval-v i v1 s1 ∧ s' = SCons tyid dc s1 using eval-v-elim1 V-cons by

```


metis

ultimately have $\text{eval-}v \ ((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \ v1 \ s1$ **using** $V\text{-cons}$ **by** *blast*
thus $?case$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-cons}I$ *** by** *meson*

next

case $(V\text{-consp} \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ v1)$

hence $\text{atom} \ x \ \# \ v1$ **using** $v.\text{supp}$ **by** *simp*

moreover obtain $s1$ **where** $*: \text{eval-}v \ i \ v1 \ s1 \ \wedge \ s' = S\text{Consp} \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s1$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-elims} \ V\text{-consp}$

by *metis*

ultimately have $\text{eval-}v \ ((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \ v1 \ s1$ **using** $V\text{-consp}$ **by** *blast*

thus $?case$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-consp}I$ *** by** *meson*

qed

lemma $\text{eval-}e\text{-i-upd}$:

fixes $e::ce$

assumes $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $\text{atom} \ x \ \# \ e$

shows $(i \ (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **apply**(*induct rule: eval-e.induct*) **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-i-upd}$ $\text{eval-}e\text{-elims}$

by $(\text{meson} \ ce.\text{fresh} \ \text{eval-}e.\text{intros})+$

lemma $\text{eval-}c\text{-i-upd}$:

fixes $c::c$

assumes $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $\text{atom} \ x \ \# \ c$

shows $((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule: eval-c.induct*)

case $(\text{eval-}c\text{-eq}I \ i \ e1 \ sv1 \ e2 \ sv2)$

then show $?case$ **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-}c\text{-eq}I$ $\text{eval-}e\text{-i-upd}$ $c.\text{fresh}$ **by** *metis*

qed(*simp add: eval-c.intros*)+

lemma $\text{subst-}v\text{-eval-}v[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $v'::v$

assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $i \llbracket (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \rrbracket \sim s'$

shows $(i \ (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket v' \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* v' *arbitrary: s' rule: v.strong-induct*)

case $(V\text{-lit} \ x)$

then show $?case$ **using** $\text{subst-}vv.\text{simps}$

by $(\text{metis} \ \text{eval-}v\text{-elims}(1) \ \text{eval-}v\text{-lit}I)$

next

case $(V\text{-var} \ x')$

then show $?case$ **proof**(*cases* $x=x'$)

case *True*

hence $(V\text{-var} \ x')[x::=v]_{vv} = v$ **using** $\text{subst-}vv.\text{simps}$ **by** *auto*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $V\text{-var} \ \text{eval-}v\text{-elims} \ \text{eval-}v\text{-var}I \ \text{eval-}v\text{-uniqueness} \ \text{True}$

by $(\text{simp} \ \text{add:} \ \text{eval-}v.\text{eval-}v\text{-var}I)$

next

case *False*

hence $\text{atom} \ x \ \# \ (V\text{-var} \ x')$ **by** *simp*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-i-upd} \ \text{False} \ V\text{-var}$ **by** *fastforce*

qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair} \ v1 \ v2)$

then obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $*: \text{eval-}v \ i \ (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) \ s1 \ \wedge \ \text{eval-}v \ i \ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) \ s2 \ \wedge \ s' = SPair$

```

s1 s2 using V-pair eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps by metis
hence (i (x ↦ s)) [v1] ~ s1 ∧ (i (x ↦ s)) [v2] ~ s2 using V-pair by metis
thus ?case using eval-v-pairI subst-vv.simps * V-pair by metis
next
case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
then obtain s1 where eval-v i (v1[x::=v]vv) s1 using eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps by metis
thus ?case using eval-v-consI V-cons
by (metis eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps)
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

then obtain s1 where *:eval-v i (v1[x::=v]vv) s1 ∧ s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s1 using eval-v-elim
subst-vv.simps by metis
hence i (x ↦ s) [v1] ~ s1 using V-consp by metis
thus ?case using * eval-v-conspI by metis
qed

lemma subst-e-eval-v[simp]:
fixes y::x and e::ce and v::v and e'::ce
assumes i [e'] ~ s' and e'=(e[y::=v]cev) and i [v] ~ s
shows (i (y ↦ s)) [e] ~ s'
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: e rule: eval-e.induct)
case (eval-e-valI i v1 sv)
then obtain v1' where *:e = CE-val v1' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]vv
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-v i (v1'[y::=v]vv) sv using eval-e-valI by simp
hence eval-v (i (y ↦ s)) v1' sv using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-valI by simp
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-valI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op Plus v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev ∧ v2 = v2'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n2) using eval-e-plusI
by simp
hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v2' (SNum n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-plusI
using local.* by blast
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-plusI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op LEq v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev ∧ v2 = v2'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n2) using eval-e-leqI by
simp
hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v2' (SNum n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-leqI
using * by blast
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-leqI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-fstI i v1 s1 s2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-fst v1' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)

```

hence $\text{eval-e } i (v1' [y::=v]_{cev}) (SPair\ s1\ s2)$ **using** eval-e-fstI **by** simp
 hence $\text{eval-e } (i (y \mapsto s))\ v1' (SPair\ s1\ s2)$ **using** eval-e-fstI * **by** metis
 then show ?case **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-e-fstI}$ * **by** meson
next
 case ($\text{eval-e-sndI } i\ v1\ s1\ s2$)
 then obtain $v1'$ and $v2'$ where $*:e = CE\text{-snd } v1' \wedge v1 = v1' [y::=v]_{cev}$
 using assms **by** ($\text{nominal-induct } e\ \text{rule:ce.strong-induct, simp+}$)
 hence $\text{eval-e } i (v1' [y::=v]_{cev}) (SPair\ s1\ s2)$ **using** eval-e-sndI **by** simp
 hence $\text{eval-e } (i (y \mapsto s))\ v1' (SPair\ s1\ s2)$ **using** $\text{subst-v-eval-v eval-e-sndI}$ * **by** blast
 then show ?case **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-e-sndI}$ * **by** meson
next
 case ($\text{eval-e-concatI } i\ v1\ bv1\ v2\ bv2$)
 then obtain $v1'$ and $v2'$ where $*:e = CE\text{-concat } v1'\ v2' \wedge v1 = v1' [y::=v]_{cev} \wedge v2 = v2' [y::=v]_{cev}$
 using assms **by** ($\text{nominal-induct } e\ \text{rule:ce.strong-induct, simp+}$)
 hence $\text{eval-e } i (v1' [y::=v]_{cev}) (SBitvec\ bv1) \wedge \text{eval-e } i (v2' [y::=v]_{cev}) (SBitvec\ bv2)$ **using** eval-e-concatI
by simp
 moreover hence $\text{eval-e } (i (y \mapsto s))\ v1' (SBitvec\ bv1) \wedge \text{eval-e } (i (y \mapsto s))\ v2' (SBitvec\ bv2)$
 using $\text{subst-v-eval-v eval-e-concatI}$ * **by** blast
 ultimately show ?case **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-e-concatI}$ * eval-v-uniqueness **by** ($\text{metis eval-e-concatI.hyps}(1)$)
next
 case ($\text{eval-e-lenI } i\ v1\ bv$)
 then obtain $v1'$ where $*:e = CE\text{-len } v1' \wedge v1 = v1' [y::=v]_{cev}$
 using assms **by** ($\text{nominal-induct } e\ \text{rule:ce.strong-induct, simp+}$)
 hence $\text{eval-e } i (v1' [y::=v]_{cev}) (SBitvec\ bv)$ **using** eval-e-lenI **by** simp
 hence $\text{eval-e } (i (y \mapsto s))\ v1' (SBitvec\ bv)$ **using** $\text{subst-v-eval-v eval-e-lenI}$ * **by** blast
 then show ?case **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-e-lenI}$ * **by** meson
qed

lemma $\text{subst-c-eval-v[simp]}$:
 fixes $v::v$ and $c::c$
 assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ and $i \llbracket c[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket \sim s1$ and
 ($i (x \mapsto s) \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s2$)
 shows $s1 = s2$
using assms **proof** ($\text{nominal-induct } c\ \text{arbitrary: } s1\ s2\ \text{rule: } c.\text{strong-induct}$)
 case $C\text{-true}$
 hence $s1 = \text{True} \wedge s2 = \text{True}$ **using** $\text{eval-c-elim} s1\text{-cv.simps}$ **by** auto
 then show ?case **by** auto
next
 case $C\text{-false}$
 hence $s1 = \text{False} \wedge s2 = \text{False}$ **using** $\text{eval-c-elim} s1\text{-cv.simps}$ **by** metis
 then show ?case **by** auto
next
 case ($C\text{-conj } c1\ c2$)
 hence $*:\text{eval-c } i (c1[x::=v]_{cv} \text{ AND } c2[x::=v]_{cv})\ s1$ **using** subst-cv.simps **by** auto
 then obtain $s11$ and $s12$ where $(s1 = (s11 \wedge s12)) \wedge \text{eval-c } i\ c1[x::=v]_{cv}\ s11 \wedge \text{eval-c } i\ c2[x::=v]_{cv}\ s12$ **using**
 $\text{eval-c-elim}(3)$ **by** metis
 moreover obtain $s21$ and $s22$ where $\text{eval-c } (i (x \mapsto s))\ c1\ s21 \wedge \text{eval-c } (i (x \mapsto s))\ c2\ s22 \wedge$
 $(s2 = (s21 \wedge s22))$ **using**
 $\text{eval-c-elim}(3)\ C\text{-conj}$ **by** metis
 ultimately show ?case **using** $C\text{-conj}$ **by** (meson eval-c-elim)
next

```

case (C-disj c1 c2)
  hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]cv OR c2[x::=v]cv) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 ∨ s12)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 ∧ eval-c i c2[x::=v]cv
s12 using
    eval-c-elims(4) by metis
  moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 ∨ s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(4) C-disj by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-disj by (meson eval-c-elims)
next
case (C-not c1)
  then obtain s11 where (s1 = (¬ s11)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 using
    eval-c-elims(6) by (metis subst-cv.simps(7))
  moreover obtain s21 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ (s2 = (¬s21)) using
    eval-c-elims(6) C-not by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-not by (meson eval-c-elims)
next
case (C-imp c1 c2)
  hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]cv IMP c2[x::=v]cv) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 → s12)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 ∧ eval-c i
c2[x::=v]cv s12 using
    eval-c-elims(5) by metis
  moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 → s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(5) C-imp by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-imp by (meson eval-c-elims)
next
case (C-eq e1 e2)
  hence *:eval-c i (e1[x::=v]cev == e2[x::=v]cev) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 = s12)) ∧ eval-e i (e1[x::=v]cev) s11 ∧ eval-e i
(e2[x::=v]cev) s12 using
    eval-c-elims(7) by metis
  moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-e (i ( x ↦ s )) e1 s21 ∧ eval-e (i ( x ↦ s )) e2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 = s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(7) C-eq by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-eq subst-e-eval-v by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
qed

```

lemma *wfI-upd*:

```

assumes wfI Θ Γ i and wfRCV Θ s b and wfG Θ B ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ)
shows wfI Θ ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ) (i(x ↦ s))
proof(subst wfI-def,rule)
  fix xa
  assume as:xa ∈ setG ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ)

  then obtain x1::x and b1::b and c1::c where xa: xa = (x1,b1,c1) using setG.simps
    using prod-cases3 by blast

  have ∃ sa. Some sa = (i(x ↦ s)) x1 ∧ wfRCV Θ sa b1 proof(cases x=x1)
    case True
    hence b=b1 using as xa wfG-unique assms by metis

```

hence *Some* $s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ b1$ **using** *assms True by simp*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
 case *False*
 hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in setG \ \Gamma$ **using** *xa as by auto*
 then **obtain** *sa* **where** *Some* $sa = i \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *assms wfi-def as xa by auto*
 hence *Some* $sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *False by auto*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

thus *case xa of (xa, ba, ca) $\Rightarrow \exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ xa \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ ba$* **using** *xa by auto*
qed

lemma *wfi-upd-full*:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $wfI \ \Theta \ G \ i$ and $G = ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)$ and $wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ b$ and $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
 and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 shows $wfI \ \Theta \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \ (i(x \mapsto s))$
proof(*subst wfi-def, rule*)
 fix xa
 assume $as:xa \in setG \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

then **obtain** $x1::x$ and $b1::b$ and $c1::c$ **where** $xa: xa = (x1, b1, c1)$ **using** *setG.simps*
using *prod-cases3 by blast*

have $\exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$
proof(*cases x=x1*)
 case *True*
 hence $b=b1$ **using** *as xa wfG-unique-full assms by metis*
 hence *Some* $s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ b1$ **using** *assms True by simp*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
 case *False*
 hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in setG \ (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **using** *as xa by auto*
 then **obtain** $c1'$ **where** $(x1, b1, c1') \in setG \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *xa as wfG-member-subst assms False by metis*
 then **obtain** *sa* **where** *Some* $sa = i \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *assms wfi-def as xa by blast*
 hence *Some* $sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *False by auto*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

thus *case xa of (xa, ba, ca) $\Rightarrow \exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ xa \wedge wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ ba$* **using** *xa by auto*
qed

lemma *subst-c-satis[simp]*:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ and $wfC \ \Theta \ B \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ c$ and $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 shows $i \models (c[x::=v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i \ (x \mapsto s)) \models c$
proof –
 have $wfI \ \Theta \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ (i(x \mapsto s))$ **using** *wfi-upd assms wfC-wf eval-v-base by blast*
 then **obtain** $s1$ **where** $s1:eval-c \ (i(x \mapsto s)) \ c \ s1$ **using** *eval-c-exist[of $\Theta \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ (i \ (x \mapsto s)) \ B \ c$] assms by auto*

have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** $wf\text{-}subst1(2)[OF\ assms(2) - assms(4) , of\ GNil\ x]$
 $subst\text{-}gv.simps$ **by** $simp$
then obtain $s2$ **where** $s2:eval\text{-}c\ i\ c[x::=v]_{cv}\ s2$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ i\ B\ c[x::=v]_{cv}]\ assms$
by $auto$

show $?thesis$ **using** $s1\ s2\ subst\text{-}c\ eval\text{-}v[OF\ assms(1)\ s2\ s1]$ $is\text{-}satis.cases$
using $eval\text{-}c\ uniqueness\ is\text{-}satis.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed

Key theorem telling us we can replace a substitution with an update to the valuation

lemma $subst\text{-}c\ satis\text{-}full$:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ (\Gamma'@((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma})\ i$ **and** Θ
 $; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
shows $i \models (c[x::=v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i (x \mapsto s)) \models c$
proof –
have $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma'@((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ (i(x \mapsto s))$ **using** $wfI\text{-}upd\text{-}full\ assms\ wfC\text{-}wf\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}base\ wfI\text{-}suffix$
 $wfI\text{-}def\ wfV\text{-}wf$ **by** $fast$
then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1:eval\text{-}c\ (i(x \mapsto s))\ c\ s1$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ (\Gamma'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)\ (i (x \mapsto s))\ B\ c]\ assms$ **by** $auto$

have $\Theta ; B ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma}) \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** $wbc\text{-}subst\ assms$ **by** $auto$

then obtain $s2$ **where** $s2:eval\text{-}c\ i\ c[x::=v]_{cv}\ s2$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma})\ i\ B\ c[x::=v]_{cv}]\ assms$ **by** $auto$

show $?thesis$ **using** $s1\ s2\ subst\text{-}c\ eval\text{-}v[OF\ assms(1)\ s2\ s1]$ $is\text{-}satis.cases$
using $eval\text{-}c\ uniqueness\ is\text{-}satis.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed

11.5 Validity

lemma $validI[intro]$:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $wfC\ P\ B\ G\ c$ **and** $\forall i. P ; G \vdash i \wedge i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c$
shows $P ; B ; G \models c$
using $assms\ valid.simps$ **by** $presburger$

lemma $valid\text{-}g\text{-}wf$:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $G::\Gamma$
assumes $P ; B ; G \models c$
shows $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$

using $assms\ wfC\text{-}wf\ valid.simps$ **by** $blast$

lemma $valid\text{-}reflI\ [intro]$:

fixes $b::b$
assumes $P ; B ; ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \vdash_{wf} c1$ **and** $c1 = c2$
shows $P ; B ; ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \models c2$

using $satis\text{-}reflI\ assms$ **by** $simp$

11.5.1 Weakening and Strengthening

Adding to the domain of a valuation doesn't change the result

```

lemma eval-v-weakening:
  fixes  $c::v$  and  $B::bv$  fset
  assumes  $i = i' \mid 'd$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$  and  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary:s rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim eval-v-litI by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  have  $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom } 'd$  using x-not-in-b-set[of x B] assms v.sup(2) supp-at-base
  proof –
    show ?thesis
    by (metis UnE V-var.prems(2) ( $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } B$ ) singletonI subset-iff supp-at-base v.sup(2))
  qed
  moreover have Some s = i x using assms eval-v-elim(2)
  using V-var.prems(3) by blast
  hence Some s = i' x using assms insert-subset restrict-in
  proof –
    show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) ( $i = i' \mid 'd$ ) (Some s = i x) atom-eq-iff calculation imageE restrict-in)
  qed
  thus ?case using eval-v.eval-v-varI by simp

next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim(3) eval-v-pairI v.sup
  by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
  case (V-cons dc v1)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim(4) eval-v-consI v.sup
  by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

  then obtain sv1 where  $*:i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge s = SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1\ sv1$  using eval-v-elim by metis
  hence  $i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1$  using V-consp by auto
  then show ?case using  $*\ eval-v-conspI\ v.sup\ eval-v.simp$ s assms le-sup-iff by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-v-restrict:
  fixes  $c::v$  and  $B::bv$  fset
  assumes  $i = i' \mid 'd$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$  and  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  shows  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary:s rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim eval-v-litI by metis
next
  case (V-var x)

```

```

have atom  $x \in \text{atom } 'd$  using  $x\text{-not-in-b-set}[of\ x\ B]$  assms  $v.\text{supp}(2)$   $\text{supp-at-base}$ 
proof -
  show ?thesis
    by (metis  $UnE$   $V\text{-var.prem}(2)$   $\langle \text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } B \rangle$   $\text{singletonI}$   $\text{subset-iff}$   $\text{supp-at-base}$   $v.\text{supp}(2)$ )
qed
moreover have  $\text{Some } s = i' x$  using assms  $\text{eval-v-elim}(2)$ 
  using  $V\text{-var.prem}(3)$  by blast
hence  $\text{Some } s = i x$  using assms  $\text{insert-subset}$   $\text{restrict-in}$ 
proof -
  show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types)  $\langle i = i' \mid 'd \rangle$   $\langle \text{Some } s = i' x \rangle$   $\text{atom-eq-iff}$   $\text{calculation}$   $\text{imageE}$   $\text{restrict-in}$ )
qed
thus ?case using  $\text{eval-v.eval-v-varI}$  by simp
next
case ( $V\text{-pair } v1\ v2$ )
then show ?case using  $\text{eval-v-elim}(3)$   $\text{eval-v-pairI}$   $v.\text{supp}$ 
  by (metis assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$ )
next
case ( $V\text{-cons } dc\ v1$ )
then show ?case using  $\text{eval-v-elim}(4)$   $\text{eval-v-consI}$   $v.\text{supp}$ 
  by (metis assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$ )
next
case ( $V\text{-consp } tyid\ dc\ b1\ v1$ )

then obtain  $sv1$  where  $*:i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge s = SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1\ sv1$  using  $\text{eval-v-elim}$  by metis
hence  $i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1$  using  $V\text{-consp}$  by auto
then show ?case using  $*$   $\text{eval-v-conspI}$   $v.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-v.simps}$  assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$  by metis
qed

lemma eval-e-weakening:
  fixes  $e::ce$  and  $B::bv\ fset$ 
  assumes  $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid 'd$  and  $\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule:  $\text{eval-e.induct}$ )
  case ( $\text{eval-e-valI } i\ v\ sv$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-plusI } i\ v1\ n1\ v2\ n2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-leqI } i\ v1\ n1\ v2\ n2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-fstI } i\ v\ v1\ v2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by metis
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-sndI } i\ v\ v1\ v2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 

```



```

    using eval-v-weakening by metis
next
case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-weakening by auto
next
case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-weakening by auto
qed

lemma eval-e-restrict :
  fixes e::ce and B::bv fset
  assumes i'  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
  case (eval-e-valI i v sv)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-fstI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by metis
next
  case (eval-e-sndI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by metis
next
  case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
qed

lemma eval-c-i-weakening:
  fixes c::c and B::bv fset
  assumes i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-c.induct)
  case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-weakening by auto

```

qed(auto simp add: eval-c.intros)+

lemma eval-c-i-restrict:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ and $i = i' \mid 'd$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$
 shows $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$
 using assms proof(induct rule:eval-c.induct)
 case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-restrict by auto
 qed(auto simp add: eval-c.intros)+

lemma is-satis-i-weakening:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i = i' \mid 'd$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$ and $i \models c$
 shows $i' \models c$
 using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-restrict[OF - assms(1) assms(2)]
 using assms(3) by auto

lemma is-satis-i-restrict:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i = i' \mid 'd$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$ and $i' \models c$
 shows $i \models c$
 using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-restrict[OF - assms(1) assms(2)]
 using assms(3) by auto

lemma is-satis-g-restrict1:
 fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$ and $i \models \Gamma'$
 shows $i \models \Gamma$
 using assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (GCons xbc G)
 obtain x and b and $c::c$ where $xbc: xbc=(x,b,c)$
 using prod-cases3 by blast
 hence $i \models G$ using GCons by auto
 moreover have $i \models c$ using GCons
 is-satis-iff setG.simps subset-iff
 using xbc by blast
 ultimately show ?case using is-satis-g.simps GCons
 by (simp add: xbc)
 qed

lemma is-satis-g-restrict2:
 fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $i \models \Gamma$ and $i' = i \mid 'd$ and $\text{atom-dom } \Gamma \subseteq \text{atom } 'd$ and $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
 shows $i' \models \Gamma$
 using assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next

case ($GCons\ x\ b\ c\ G$)

hence $i' \models G$ **proof** –

have $i \models G$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $atom\text{-}dom\ G \subseteq atom\ 'd$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $GCons\ wfG\text{-}cons2$ **by** *blast*

qed

moreover have $i' \models c$ **proof** –

have $i \models c$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $wfG\text{-}wfC\ GCons$ **by** *simp*

moreover hence $supp\ c \subseteq atom\ 'd \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfC\text{-}supp\ GCons\ atom\text{-}dom\text{-}eq$ **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $is\text{-}satis\text{-}i\text{-}restrict[of\ i'\ i\ d\ c]\ GCons$ **by** *simp*

qed

ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *is-satis-g-restrict*:

fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ and $i' \models \Gamma'$ and $i = i' | ' (fst\ 'setG\ \Gamma)$ and $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $i \models \Gamma$

using *assms is-satis-g-restrict1[OF assms(1) assms(2)] is-satis-g-restrict2[OF - assms(3)]* **by** *simp*

11.5.2 Updating valuation

lemma *is-satis-c-i-upd*:

fixes $c::c$

assumes $atom\ x \# c$ and $i \models c$

shows $((i\ (x \mapsto s))) \models c$

using *assms eval-c-i-upd is-satis.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *is-satis-g-i-upd*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$

assumes $atom\ x \# G$ and $i \models G$

shows $((i\ (x \mapsto s))) \models G$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct G rule: Γ -induct*)

case *GNil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case ($GCons\ x'\ b'\ c'\ G'$)

hence $*:atom\ x \# G' \wedge atom\ x \# c'$

using *fresh-def fresh-GCons GCons* **by** *force*

moreover hence $is\text{-}satis\ ((i\ (x \mapsto s)))\ c'$

using *is-satis-c-i-upd GCons is-satis-g.simps* **by** *auto*

moreover have $is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ (i(x \mapsto s))\ G'$ **using** $GCons\ *$ **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show *?case*

using $GCons\ is\text{-}satis\text{-}g.simps(2)$ **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *valid-weakening*:

assumes $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \models c$ and $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ and $wfG\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma' \models c$
proof –
have $wfC \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ c$ **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
hence $sp: \text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma) \cup \text{supp } B$ **using** *wfX-wfY wfG-elim*
using *atom-dom.simps wf-supp(2)* **by** *metis*
have $wfg: wfG \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma$ **using** *assms valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*

moreover have $a1: (\forall i. wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \wedge i \models \Gamma' \longrightarrow i \models c)$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)
fix i
assume $as: wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \wedge i \models \Gamma'$
hence $as1: fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma \subseteq dom \ i$ **using** *assms wfI-domi* **by** *blast*
obtain i' **where** $idash: i' = restrict\text{-}map \ i \ (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma)$ **by** *blast*
hence $as2: dom \ i' = (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma)$ **using** *dom-restrict as1* **by** *auto*

have $id2: \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' \wedge i' \models \Gamma$ **proof** –
have $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$ **using** $as2$ *wfI-restrict-weakening[of $\Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \ i' \ \Gamma$]* **as** *assms*
using $idash$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $i' \models \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g-restrict[OF assms(2)] wfg as idash* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $idash$ **by** *auto*
qed
hence $i' \models c$ **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
thus $i \models c$ **using** *assms valid.simps is-satis-i-weakening idash sp* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $wfC \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma' \ c$ **using** *wf-weakening assms valid.simps*
by (*meson wfg*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *is-satis-g-suffix*:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $i \models (G'@G)$
shows $i \models G$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct G' rule:Γ.induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons xbc x2*)
obtain x **and** b **and** $c::c$ **where** $xbc: xbc=(x,b,c)$
using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
hence $i \models (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (x2 \ @ \ G))$ **using** *append-g.simps GCons* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?case* **using** *is-satis-g.simps GCons xbc* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *wfG-inside-valid2*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $c0::c$ **and** $c0'::c$
assumes $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$ **and**
 $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \models c0'$
shows $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$
proof –
have $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-replace-inside-full assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *valid-trans*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c0[z::=v]_v$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c1$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B}$
 $\Gamma \vdash b$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1[z::=v]_v$

proof –

have $\ast : \text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ c1$ **using** *valid.simps* **assms** **by** *auto*

hence $\text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (c1[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *wf-subst1(2)[OF *, of GNil]* **assms** *subst-gv.simps* *subst-v-c-def*
by *force*

moreover **have** $\forall i. \text{wfI } \Theta \Gamma \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i (c1[z::=v]_v)$

proof(*rule, rule*)

fix i

assume $\text{as: wfI } \Theta \Gamma \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i \Gamma$

then obtain sv **where** $sv: \text{eval-v } i \ v \ sv \wedge \text{wfRCV } \Theta \ sv \ b$ **using** *eval-v-exist* **assms** **by** *metis*

hence $\text{is-satis } i (c0[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **as** **by** *metis*

hence $\text{is-satis } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \ c0$ **using** *subst-c-satis* sv **as** *assms* *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *wfG-elim2*
subst-v-c-def **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\text{is-satis-g } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \ \Gamma$

using *is-satis-g-i-upd* **assms** **by** (*simp* *add: as*)

ultimately **have** $\text{is-satis-g } (i(z \mapsto sv)) ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

using *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\text{wfI } \Theta ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(z \mapsto sv))$ **using** *as* *wfI-upd* sv **assms** *valid.simps* *wfC-wf*
by *metis*

ultimately **have** $\text{is-satis } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \ c1$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

thus $\text{is-satis } i (c1[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *subst-c-satis* sv **as** *assms* *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *wfG-elim2* *subst-v-c-def*
by *metis*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *valid-trans-2*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$

unfolding *valid.simps* **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *wf-trans* *valid.simps* **assms**
by *metis*

show $\forall i. (\text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ i \wedge (\text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \longrightarrow (\text{is-satis } i (c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v)))$

proof(*rule, rule*)

fix i

assume $\text{as: } \Theta ; (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

have $i \models c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps* **as** *assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $i \models \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps* **as** **by** *simp*

ultimately **show** $i \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *assms* *is-satis-g.simps* *valid.simps*

by (*metis* *append-g.simps(1)* *as* *wfI-replace-inside*)

qed

qed

```

lemma eval-v-weakening-x:
  fixes c::v
  assumes i'  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and atom x  $\#$  c and i = i' (x  $\mapsto$  s')
  shows i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: eval-v.induct)
case (eval-v-litI i l)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-varI sv i1 x1)
  hence x  $\neq$  x1 using v.fresh fresh-at-base by auto
  hence i x1 = Some sv using eval-v-varI by simp
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-pairI i v1 s1 v2 s2)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-consI i v s tyid dc)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-conspI i v s tyid dc b)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
qed

lemma eval-e-weakening-x:
  fixes c::ce
  assumes i'  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and atom x  $\#$  c and i = i' (x  $\mapsto$  s')
  shows i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
case (eval-e-valI i v sv)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-fstI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-sndI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv1 v2 bv2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-c-weakening-x:

```

```

fixes  $c::c$ 
assumes  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $\text{atom } x \# c$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s')$ 
shows  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-c.induct)
case (eval-c-trueI i)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-falseI i)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
case (eval-c-conjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-disjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-impI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-notI i c b)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
  then show ?case using eval-e-weakening-x c.fresh eval-c.intros by metis
qed

```

```

lemma is-satis-weakening-x:
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $i' \models c$  and  $\text{atom } x \# c$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$ 
  shows  $i \models c$ 
  using eval-c-weakening-x assms is-satis.simps by simp

```

```

lemma is-satis-g-weakening-x:
  fixes  $G::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $i' \models G$  and  $\text{atom } x \# G$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$ 
  shows  $i \models G$ 
  using assms proof(induct G rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  hence  $\text{atom } x \# c'$  using fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by simp
  moreover hence  $i \models c'$  using is-satis-weakening-x is-satis-g.simps(2) GCons by metis
  then show ?case using is-satis-g.simps(2)[of i x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ ] GCons fresh-GCons by simp
qed

```

11.6 Base Type Substitution

The idea of boxing is to take an smt val and its base type and at nodes in the smt val that correspond to type variables we wrap them in an SUT smt val node. Another way of looking at

it is that s' where the node for the base type variable is an 'any node'. It is needed to prove `subst_b_valid` - the base-type variable substitution lemma for validity.

The first *rcl-val* is the expanded form (has type with base-variables replaced with base-type terms) ; the second is its corresponding form

We only have one variable so we need to ensure that in all of the *bs-boxed-BVarI* cases, the s has the same base type.

For example is an SMT value is $(\text{SPair } (\text{SInt } 1) (\text{SBool true}))$ and it has sort $(\text{BPair } (\text{BVar } x) \text{BBool})[\text{x}::=\text{BInt}]$ then the boxed version is $\text{SPair } (\text{Sut } (\text{SInt } 1)) (\text{SBool true})$ and is has sort $(\text{BPair } (\text{BVar } x) \text{BBool})$. We need to do this so that we can obtain from a valuation i , that gives values like the first smt value, to a valuation i' that gives values like the second.

inductive *boxed-b* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \quad (- \vdash - \sim - [- ::= -] \setminus - [50,50] 50)$ **where**

boxed-b-BVar1I: $\llbracket bv = bv' ; \text{wfRCV } P \ s \ b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \ bv \ b \ (S\text{Ut } s)$
boxed-b-BVar2I: $\llbracket bv \neq bv' ; \text{wfRCV } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \ bv \ b \ s$
boxed-b-BIntI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-int} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-int} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BBoolI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BUnitI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BPairI: $\llbracket \text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ b1 \ bv \ b \ s1' ; \text{boxed-b } P \ s2 \ b2 \ bv \ b \ s2' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Pair } s1 \ s2) \ (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2) \ bv \ b \ (S\text{Pair } s1' \ s2')$

| *boxed-b-BConsI*:
 $AF\text{-typedef } tyid \ dclist \in \text{set } P ;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ;$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ b \ bv \ b' \ s1'$
 $\llbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Cons } tyid \ dc \ s1) \ (B\text{-id } tyid) \ bv \ b' \ (S\text{Cons } tyid \ dc \ s1')$

| *boxed-b-BConspI*: $\llbracket AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist \in \text{set } P ;$
 $\text{atom } bva \ \# \ (b1, bv, b', s1, s1') ;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ;$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ (b[bva::=b1]_{bb}) \ bv \ b' \ s1'$
 $\llbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Consp } tyid \ dc \ b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \ s1) \ (B\text{-app } tyid \ b1) \ bv \ b' \ (S\text{Consp } tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s1')$

| *boxed-b-Bbitvec*: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \ bv \ b \ s$

equivariance *boxed-b*

nominal-inductive *boxed-b* .

inductive-cases *boxed-b-elim*:

boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv) \ bv' \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-int} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2) \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-id } dc) \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-app } dc \ b') \ bv \ b \ s'$

lemma *boxed-b-wfRCV*:
assumes *boxed-b* P s b bv b' s' **and** $\vdash_{wf} P$
shows $wfRCV\ P\ s\ b[bv ::= b']_{bb} \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s'\ b$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule: boxed-b.inducts*)
case (*boxed-b-BVar1I* bv bv' P s b)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* **by** *auto*
next
case (*boxed-b-BVar2I* bv bv' P s)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* **by** *auto*
next
case (*boxed-b-BPairI* P $s1$ $b1$ bv b $s1'$ $s2$ $b2$ $s2'$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* **by** *simp*
next
case (*boxed-b-BConsI* *tyid* *dclist* P dc x b c $s1$ bv b' $s1'$)
hence *supp* $b = \{\}$ **using** *wfTh-supp-b* **by** *metis*
hence $b[bv ::= b']_{bb} = b$ **using** *fresh-def* *subst-b-b-def* *forget-subst[of bv b b']* **by** *auto*
hence $P \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 : (B-id\ tyid)$ **using** *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* *subst-bb.simps* *boxed-b-BConsI*
by *metis*
moreover **have** $P \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1' : B-id\ tyid$ **using** *boxed-b-BConsI*
using *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* *subst-bb.simps* *boxed-b-BConsI* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-bb.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*boxed-b-BConspI* *tyid* *bva* *dclist* P $b1$ bv b' $s1$ $s1'$ dc x b c)

obtain *bva2* **and** *dclist2* **where** $\ast: AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva2\ dclist2$
 \wedge
 $atom\ bva2 \nmid (bv, (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb}\ s1, B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb}))$
using *obtain-fresh-bv* **by** *metis*

then obtain *x2* **and** *b2* **and** *c2* **where** $\ast: (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2$
using *boxed-b-BConspI* *td-lookup-eq-iff* *type-def.eq-iff* **by** *metis*

have $P \vdash SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb}\ s1 : (B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb})$ **proof**
show $1: \langle AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva2\ dclist2 \in set\ P \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
show $2: \langle (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2 \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **using** \ast **by** *simp*

hence $atom\ bv \nmid b2$ **proof** –
have *supp* $b2 \subseteq \{atom\ bva2\}$ **using** *wfTh-poly-supp-b* $1\ 2$ *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $bv \neq bva2$ **using** \ast *fresh-Pair* *fresh-at-base* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *force*
qed
moreover **have** $b[bva ::= b1]_{bb} = b2[bva2 ::= b1]_{bb}$ **using** *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff* $\ast\ 2$ *boxed-b-BConspI*
by *metis*
ultimately show $\langle P \vdash s1 : b2[bva2 ::= b1[bv ::= b']_{bb}]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* *subst-b-b-def* *subst-bb-commute* **by** *auto*
show $atom\ bva2 \nmid (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb}\ s1, B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b']_{bb})$ **using** \ast *fresh-Pair*
by *metis*
qed

moreover **have** $P \vdash SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1\ s1' : B-app\ tyid\ b1$ **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ P \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{x : b \mid c\}) \in set\ dclist \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*

```

show  $\langle P \vdash s1' : b[bva::=b1]_{bb} \rangle$  using boxed-b-BConspI by auto
have atom bva  $\sharp P$  using boxed-b-BConspI wfTh-fresh by metis
thus atom bva  $\sharp (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1\ s1', B\text{-}app\ tyid\ b1)$  using boxed-b-BConspI rcl-val.fresh
b.fresh pure-fresh fresh-prodN by metis
qed

```

```

ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
qed(auto)+

```

```

lemma subst-b-var:
assumes B-var bv2 = b[bv::=b']bb
shows (b = B-var bv  $\wedge$  b' = B-var bv2)  $\vee$  (b=B-var bv2  $\wedge$  bv  $\neq$  bv2)
using assms by(nominal-induct b rule: b.strong-induct,auto+)

```

Here the valuation i' is the conv wrap version of i. For every x in G, i' x is the conv wrap version of i x

```

inductive boxed-i ::  $\Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow valuation \Rightarrow valuation \Rightarrow bool$  ( - ; - ; - , -  $\vdash$  -  $\approx$  - [50,50]
50) where
boxed-i-GNil:  $\Theta ; GNil ; b , bv \vdash i \approx i$ 
| boxed-i-GConsI:  $\llbracket Some\ s = i\ x ;\ boxed\text{-}b\ \Theta\ s\ b\ bv\ b'\ s' ;\ \Theta ; \Gamma ; b' , bv \vdash i \approx i' \rrbracket \implies \Theta ;$ 
 $((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) ; b' , bv \vdash i \approx (i'(x \mapsto s'))$ 
equivariance boxed-i
nominal-inductive boxed-i .

```

```

inductive-cases boxed-i-elim:
 $\Theta ; GNil ; b , bv \vdash i \approx i'$ 
 $\Theta ; ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) ; b' , bv \vdash i \approx i'$ 

```

```

lemma wfRCV-poly-elim:
fixes tm::'a::fs and b::b
assumes  $T \vdash SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc\ s : b$ 
obtains bva dclist x1 b1 c1 where b = B-app typid bdc  $\wedge$ 
AF-typedef-poly typid bva dclist  $\in set\ T \wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge T \vdash s : b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}$ 
 $\wedge atom\ bva \sharp tm$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct SConsp typid dc bdc s b avoiding: tm rule:wfRCV.strong-induct)
case (wfRCV-BConsPI bv dclist  $\Theta\ x\ b\ c$ )
then show ?case by simp
qed

```

```

lemma boxed-b-ex:
assumes wfRCV  $T\ s\ b[bv::=b']_{bb}$  and wfTh T
shows  $\exists s' . boxed\text{-}b\ T\ s\ bv\ b'\ s'$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct s arbitrary: b rule: rcl-val.strong-induct)
case (SBitvec x)
have *:b[bv::=b']bb = B-bitvec using wfRCV-elim(9)[OF SBitvec(1)] by metis
show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
case True

```

```

    moreover have  $T \vdash SBitvec\ x : B-bitvec$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B-bitvec$  using  $True\ SBitvec\ subst-bb.simps *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed-b.intros\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
next
  case  $False$ 
  hence  $b = B-bitvec$  using  $subst-bb-inject *$  by  $metis$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SBitvec\ boxed-b.intros$  by  $metis$ 
qed
next
  case  $(SNum\ x)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B-int$  using  $wfRCV.elims(10)[OF\ SNum(1)]$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B-var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SNum\ x : B-int$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B-int$  using  $True\ SNum\ subst-bb.simps(1) *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed-b-BVar1I\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $b = B-int$  using  $subst-bb-inject(1) *$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SNum\ boxed-b-BIntI$  by  $metis$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SBool\ x)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B-bool$  using  $wfRCV.elims(11)[OF\ SBool(1)]$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B-var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SBool\ x : B-bool$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B-bool$  using  $True\ SBool\ subst-bb.simps *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed-b.intros\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $b = B-bool$  using  $subst-bb-inject *$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SBool\ boxed-b.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SPair\ s1\ s2)$ 
  then obtain  $b1$  and  $b2$  where  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B-pair\ b1\ b2 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ s1\ b1 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ s2\ b2$ 
using  $wfRCV.elims(12)$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B-var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SPair\ s1\ s2 : B-pair\ b1\ b2$  using  $wfRCV.intros *$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B-pair\ b1\ b2$  using  $True\ SPair\ subst-bb.simps(1) *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed-b-BVar1I$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    then obtain  $b1'$  and  $b2'$  where  $b = B-pair\ b1'\ b2' \wedge b1 = b1'[bv::=b]_{bb} \wedge b2 = b2'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using
 $subst-bb-inject(5)[OF\ -\ False]$   $*$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SPair\ boxed-b-BPairI$  by  $blast$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B-id\ tyid$  using  $wfRCV.elims(13)[OF\ SCons(2)]$  by  $metis$ 

```

```

show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
  case True
  moreover have  $T \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 : B-id\ tyid$  using wfRCV.intros
  using local.* SCons.premis by auto
  moreover hence  $b' = B-id\ tyid$  using True SCons.subst-bb.simps(1) * by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I wfRCV.intros by metis
next
  case False
  then obtain  $b1'$  where beq:  $b = B-id\ tyid$  using subst-bb-inject * by metis
  then obtain  $b2\ dclist\ x\ c$  where **:  $AF-typedef\ tyid\ dclist \in set\ T \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$ 
 $\wedge wfRCV\ T\ s1\ b2$  using wfRCV.elims(13) * SCons by metis
  then have  $atom\ bv \# b2$  using  $\langle wfTh\ T \rangle wfTh-lookup-supply-empty[of\ tyid\ dclist\ T\ dc\ \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket]$ 
 $\tau.fresh\ fresh-def$  by auto
  then have  $b2 = b2[ bv ::= b ]_{bb}$  using forget-subst subst-b-b-def by metis
  then obtain  $s1'$  where  $s1:T \vdash s1 \sim b2[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus s1'$  using SCons ** by metis

  have  $T \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 \sim (B-id\ tyid)[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1'$  proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI)
    show  $AF-typedef\ tyid\ dclist \in set\ T$  using ** by auto
    show  $(dc, \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$  using ** by auto
    show  $T \vdash s1 \sim b2[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus s1'$  using s1 ** by auto

  qed
  thus ?thesis using beq by metis
qed
next
  case (SConsp typid dc bdc s)

  obtain  $bva\ dclist\ x1\ b1\ c1$  where **:  $b[bv ::= b]_{bb} = B-app\ typid\ bdc \wedge$ 
 $AF-typedef-poly\ typid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ T \wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge T \vdash s : b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$ 
 $\wedge atom\ bva \# bv$ 
  using wfRCV-poly-elimis[OF SConsp(2)] by metis

  then have *:  $B-app\ typid\ bdc = b[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using wfRCV.elims(14)[OF SConsp(2)] by metis
  show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
    case True
    moreover have  $T \vdash SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc\ s : B-app\ typid\ bdc$  using wfRCV.intros
    using local.* SConsp.premis(1) by auto
    moreover hence  $b' = B-app\ typid\ bdc$  using True SConsp.subst-bb.simps * by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
  next
    case False
    then obtain  $bdc'$  where  $bdc: b = B-app\ typid\ bdc' \wedge bdc = bdc'[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using * subst-bb-inject(8)[OF
  *] by metis

  have  $atom\ bv \# b1$  proof -
    have  $supp\ b1 \subseteq \{ atom\ bva \}$  using wfTh-poly-supply-b ** SConsp by metis
    moreover have  $bv \neq bva$  using ** by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by force
  qed
  have  $T \vdash s : b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$  using ** by auto
  moreover have  $b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}[bv ::= b]_{bb} = b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$  using bdc subst-bb-commute  $\langle atom\ bv \# b1 \rangle$  by auto

```

```

ultimately obtain  $s'$  where  $s':T \vdash s \sim b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s'$ 
  using  $SConsp(1)[of\ b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}]\ bdc\ SConsp$  by metis
  have  $T \vdash SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc'[bv::=b]_{bb}\ s \sim (B\text{-}app\ typid\ bdc') [bv ::= b'] \setminus SConsp\ typid\ dc$ 
  bdc' s'
proof -

  obtain  $bva3$  and  $dclist3$  where  $3:AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva$ 
  dclist  $\wedge$ 
    atom  $bva3 \# (bdc', bv, b', s, s')$  using obtain-fresh-bv by metis
  then obtain  $x3\ b3\ c3$  where  $4:(dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3$ 
    using boxed-b-BConspI td-lookup-eq-iff type-def.eq-iff
    by (metis **)

  show ?thesis proof
    show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 \in set\ T \rangle$  using 3 ** by metis
    show  $\langle atom\ bva3 \# (bdc', bv, b', s, s') \rangle$  using 3 by metis
    show  $4:\langle (dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3 \rangle$  using 4 by auto
    have  $b3[bva3::=bdc]_{bb} = b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}$  proof(rule wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff)
      show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 \in set\ T \rangle$  using 3 ** by metis
      show  $\langle (dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3 \rangle$  using 4 by auto
      show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ T \rangle$  using ** by auto
      show  $\langle (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist \rangle$  using ** by auto
    qed(simp add: ** SConsp)
    thus  $\langle T \vdash s \sim b3[bva3::=bdc]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s' \rangle$  using s' by auto
  qed
qed
then show ?thesis using bdc by auto

qed
next
case SUnit
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}unit$  using wfRCV-elimS SUnit by metis
  show ?case proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
    case True
      moreover have  $T \vdash SUnit : B\text{-}unit$  using wfRCV.intros by simp
      moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}unit$  using True SUnit subst-bb.simps * by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
    next
      case False
        hence  $b = B\text{-}unit$  using subst-bb-inject * by metis
        then show ?thesis using * SUnit boxed-b.intros by metis
    qed
  next
  case (SUT x)
    then obtain  $bv'$  where  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}var\ bv'$  using wfRCV-elimS by metis
    show ?case proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
      case True
        then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I
          using local.* wfRCV-BVarI by fastforce
      next
        case False
          then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I boxed-b-BVar2I

```

```

    using local.* wfRCV-BVarI    by (metis subst-b-var)
  qed
qed

lemma boxed-i-ex:
  assumes wfI T  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  i and wfTh T
  shows  $\exists i'. T ; \Gamma ; b , bv \vdash i \approx i'$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  arbitrary: i rule: $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using boxed-i-GNilI by metis
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then obtain s where 1: Some s = i x'  $\wedge$  wfRCV T s b'[bv::=b]bb using wfI-def subst-gb.simps by
  auto
  then obtain s' where 2: boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using boxed-b-ex GCons by metis
  then obtain i' where 3: boxed-i T  $\Gamma'$  b bv i i' using GCons wfI-def subst-gb.simps by force
  have boxed-i T ((x', b', c') # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma'$ ) b bv i (i'(x'  $\mapsto$  s')) proof
    show Some s = i x' using 1 by auto
    show boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using 2 by auto
    show T ;  $\Gamma'$  ; b , bv  $\vdash$  i  $\approx$  i' using 3 by auto
  qed
  thus ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma boxed-b-eg:
  assumes boxed-b  $\Theta$  s1 b bv b' s1' and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows wfTh  $\Theta \implies$  boxed-b  $\Theta$  s2 b bv b' s2'  $\implies$  ( s1 = s2 ) = ( s1' = s2' )
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: s2 s2' rule: boxed-b.inducts )
  case (boxed-b-BVar1I bv bv' P s b )
  then show ?case
    using boxed-b-elim1(1) rcl-val.eq-iff by metis
next
  case (boxed-b-BVar2I bv bv' P s b)
  then show ?case using boxed-b-elim1(1) by metis
next
  case (boxed-b-BIntI P s uv uv)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BBoolI P s uw ux)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BUnitI P s uy uz)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BPairI P s1 b1 bv b s1' s2a b2 s2a')
  then show ?case
    by (metis boxed-b-elim1(5) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next

```

```

  case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid dclist P dc x b c s1 bv b' s1')
  obtain s22 and s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:s2 = SCons tyid dc2 s22 ∧ s2' = SCons tyid dc2
s22' ∧ boxed-b P s22 b2 bv b' s22'
  ∧ AF-typedef tyid dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc2, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using boxed-b-elim(6)[OF
boxed-b-BConsI(6)] by metis
  show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
  case True
  hence b = b2 using wfTh-ctor-unique τ.eq-iff wfTh-dclist-unique wf boxed-b-BConsI * by metis
  then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConsI True * by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConsI by simp
qed
next
  case (boxed-b-Bbitvec P s bv b)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid bva dclist P b1 bv b' s1 s1' dc x b c)
  thm boxed-b-elim(8)[OF boxed-b-BConsI(7)]
  obtain bva2 s22 s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:
  s2 = SConsp tyid dc2 b1[bv::=b]bb s22 ∧
  s2' = SConsp tyid dc2 b1 s22' ∧
  boxed-b P s22 b2[bva2::=b1]bb bv b' s22' ∧
  AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc2, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using boxed-b-elim(8)[OF
boxed-b-BConsI(7)] by metis
  show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
  case True
  hence AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using * by
auto
  hence b[bva::=b1]bb = b2[bva2::=b1]bb using wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff[OF boxed-b-BConspI(1)
boxed-b-BConspI(3)] * boxed-b-BConspI by metis
  then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConspI True * by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConspI by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma bs-boxed-var:

```

  assumes boxed-i Θ Γ b' bv i i'
  shows Some (b,c) = lookup Γ x ⇒ Some s = i x ⇒ Some s' = i' x ⇒ boxed-b Θ s b bv b' s'
  using asms proof(induct rule: boxed-i.inducts)
  case (boxed-i-GNil T i)
  then show ?case using lookup.simps by auto
next
  case (boxed-i-GConsI s i x1 Θ b1 bv b' s' Γ i' c)
  show ?case proof (cases x=x1)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
  fun-upd-same lookup.simps(2) option.inject prod.inject by metis

```

```

next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
    fun-upd-same lookup.simps option.inject prod.inject by auto
qed
qed

lemma eval-l-boxed-b:
  assumes  $\llbracket l \rrbracket = s$ 
  shows  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta s (\text{base-for-lit } l) \text{ bv } b' s$ 
using assms proof (nominal-induct l arbitrary: s rule:l.strong-induct)
qed(auto simp add: boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros )+

lemma boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\text{boxed-i } \Theta \Gamma b' \text{ bv } i i'$  and  $i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{vb} \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s'$  and  $\text{wfV } \Theta B \Gamma v b$ 
  and  $\text{wfI } \Theta \Gamma i'$ 
  shows  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta s b \text{ bv } b' s'$ 
using assms proof (nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' b rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  hence  $\llbracket l \rrbracket = s \wedge \llbracket l \rrbracket = s'$  using eval-v-elim by auto
  moreover have  $b = \text{base-for-lit } l$  using wfV-elim(2) V-lit by metis
  ultimately show ?case using V-lit using eval-l-boxed-b subst-b-base-for-lit by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  hence  $\text{Some } s = i x \wedge \text{Some } s' = i' x$  using eval-v-elim subst-vb.simps by metis
  moreover obtain  $c1$  where  $\text{bc:Some } (b, c1) = \text{lookup } \Gamma x$  using wfV-elim V-var by metis
  ultimately show ?case using bs-boxed-var V-var by metis
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  then obtain  $b1$  and  $b2$  where  $b:b=B\text{-pair } b1 b2$  using wfV-elim subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $s: \text{eval-v } i (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) s1 \wedge \text{eval-v } i (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) s2 \wedge s = \text{SPair } s1 s2$ 
  using eval-v-elim V-pair subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain  $s1'$  and  $s2'$  where  $s': \text{eval-v } i' v1 s1' \wedge \text{eval-v } i' v2 s2' \wedge s' = \text{SPair } s1' s2'$  using eval-v-elim
  V-pair by metis
  thm boxed-b-BPairI
  have  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta (\text{SPair } s1 s2) (B\text{-pair } b1 b2) \text{ bv } b' (\text{SPair } s1' s2')$  proof (rule boxed-b-BPairI)
    show  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta s1 b1 \text{ bv } b' s1'$  using V-pair eval-v-elim wfV-elim b s s' b.eq-iff by metis
    show  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta s2 b2 \text{ bv } b' s2'$  using V-pair eval-v-elim wfV-elim b s s' b.eq-iff by metis
  qed
  then show ?case using s s' b by auto
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)

  obtain  $\text{dclist } x b1 c$  where  $*$ :  $b = B\text{-id } \text{tyid} \wedge \text{AF-typedef } \text{tyid } \text{dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b1 \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } \text{dclist} \wedge \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} v1 : b1$ 
  using wfV-elim(4)[OF V-cons(5)] V-cons by metis
  obtain  $s2$  where  $s2: s = \text{SCons } \text{tyid } dc s2 \wedge i \llbracket (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) \rrbracket \sim s2$  using eval-v-elim V-cons
  subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain  $s2'$  where  $s2': s' = \text{SCons } \text{tyid } dc s2' \wedge i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s2'$  using eval-v-elim V-cons by metis

```



```

have sp: supp { x : b1 | c } = {} using wfTh-lookup-supp-empty * wfX-wfY by metis

have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SCons tyid dc s2) (B-id tyid) bv b' (SCons tyid dc s2')
proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI)
  show 1:AF-typedef tyid dclist  $\in$  set  $\Theta$  using * by auto
  show 2:(dc, { x : b1 | c })  $\in$  set dclist using * by auto
  have bv:atom bv  $\#$  b1 using sp  $\tau$ .fresh fresh-def by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash s2 \sim b1 [bv ::= b'] \setminus s2'$  using V-cons s2 s2' * by metis
qed
then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

obtain bv2 dclist x2 b2 c2 where *: b = B-app tyid b1  $\wedge$  AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist  $\in$  set  $\Theta \wedge$ 
  (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 })  $\in$  set dclist  $\wedge$   $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb}$ 
  using wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp (5)] by metis

obtain s2 where s2: s = SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b]_{bb} s2  $\wedge$  i [ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) ]  $\sim$  s2
  using eval-v-elim V-consp subst-vb.simps by metis

obtain s2' where s2': s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s2'  $\wedge$  i' [ v1 ]  $\sim$  s2'
  using eval-v-elim V-consp by metis

thm obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff

have  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using V-consp wfX-wfY by metis
then obtain bv3::bv and dclist3 x3 b3 c3 where **: AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist = AF-typedef-poly
  tyid bv3 dclist3  $\wedge$ 
  (dc, { x3 : b3 | c3 })  $\in$  set dclist3  $\wedge$  atom bv3  $\#$  (b1, bv, b', s2, s2')  $\wedge$  b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb} =
  b3[bv3::=b1]_{bb}
  using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff[where tm=(b1, bv, b', s2, s2')] by metis

have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b]_{bb} s2) (B-app tyid b1) bv b' (SConsp tyid dc b1 s2')
proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI[of tyid bv3 dclist3  $\Theta$ , where x=x3 and b=b3 and c=c3])
  show 1:AF-typedef-poly tyid bv3 dclist3  $\in$  set  $\Theta$  using ** by auto
  show 2:(dc, { x3 : b3 | c3 })  $\in$  set dclist3 using ** by auto
  show atom bv3  $\#$  (b1, bv, b', s2, s2') using ** by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash s2 \sim b3[bv3::=b1]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s2'$  using V-consp s2 s2' * ** by metis
qed
then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp

qed

lemma boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i' [ e ]  $\sim$  s' and i [ e[bv::=b]_{ceb} ]  $\sim$  s and wfCE  $\Theta B \Gamma e b$  and boxed-i  $\Theta \Gamma b' bv i i'$ 
  and wfI  $\Theta \Gamma i'$ 
  shows boxed-b  $\Theta s b bv b' s'$ 
  using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' b b' rule: ce.strong-induct)

```

```

case (CE-val x)
then show ?case using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b eval-e-elim wfCE-elim subst-ceb.simps by metis
next
case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

have 1:wfCE  $\Theta$  B  $\Gamma$  v1 (B-int) using wfCE-elim CE-op by metis
have 2:wfCE  $\Theta$  B  $\Gamma$  v2 (B-int) using wfCE-elim CE-op by metis

consider (Plus) opp = Plus | (LEq) opp = LEq using opp.exhaust by auto
then show ?case proof(cases)
  case Plus
  have *:b = B-int using CE-op wfCE-elim Plus by metis

  obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SNum (n1 + n2)  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n1  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$ 
  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n2 using eval-e-elim CE-op subst-ceb.simps Plus by metis
  obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SNum (n1' + n2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum n1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum
  n2' using eval-e-elim Plus CE-op by metis

  have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op by
  metis
  moreover have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n2) B-int bv b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
  n' CE-op by metis
  ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elim(2)
  by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BIntI CE-op wfRCV.intros Plus by simp
next
  case LEq
  hence *:b = B-bool using CE-op wfCE-elim by metis
  obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SBool (n1  $\leq$  n2)  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n1  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$ 
  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n2 using eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps CE-op LEq by metis
  obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SBool (n1'  $\leq$  n2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum n1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum
  n2' using eval-e-elim CE-op LEq by metis

  have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op by
  metis
  moreover have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n2) B-int bv b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
  n' CE-op by metis
  ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elim(2)
  by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BBoolI CE-op wfRCV.intros LEq by simp
qed

next
case (CE-concat v1 v2)

  obtain bv1 and bv2 where s : s = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2)  $\wedge$  (i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SBitvec bv1)  $\wedge$  i
   $\llbracket$  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SBitvec bv2
  using eval-e-elim(6) subst-ceb.simps CE-concat.prem(2) eval-e-elim(6) subst-ceb.simps(6) by
  metis
  obtain bv1' and bv2' where s' : s' = SBitvec (bv1' @ bv2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBitvec bv1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\sim$  SBitvec bv2'
  using eval-e-elim(6) CE-concat by metis

```

then show $?case$ **using** *boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b wfCE-elim s s' CE-concat*
by (*metis CE-concat.prem (3) assms assms (5) wfRCV-BBitvec boxed-b-Bbitvec boxed-b-elim (7) eval-e-concatI eval-e-uniqueness*)
next
case (*CE-fst ce*)
obtain $s2$ **where** $1:i \llbracket ce[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s\ s2$ **using** *CE-fst eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps by metis*
obtain $s2'$ **where** $2:i' \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s'\ s2'$ **using** *CE-fst eval-e-elim by metis*
obtain $b2$ **where** $3:wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ ce\ (B\text{-pair}\ b\ b2)$ **using** *wfCE-elim (4) CE-fst by metis*

have *boxed-b* $\Theta\ (SPair\ s\ s2)\ (B\text{-pair}\ b\ b2)\ bv\ b'\ (SPair\ s'\ s2')$
using $1\ 2\ 3$ *CE-fst boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b boxed-b-BPairI by auto*
thus $?case$ **using** *boxed-b-elim (5) by force*
next
case (*CE-snd v*)
obtain $s1$ **where** $1:i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s1\ s$ **using** *CE-snd eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps by metis*
obtain $s1'$ **where** $2:i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s1'\ s'$ **using** *CE-snd eval-e-elim by metis*
obtain $b1$ **where** $3:wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ v\ (B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b)$ **using** *wfCE-elim (5) CE-snd by metis*

have *boxed-b* $\Theta\ (SPair\ s1\ s)\ (B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b)\ bv\ b'\ (SPair\ s1'\ s')$ **using** $1\ 2\ 3$ *CE-snd boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b by simp*
thus $?case$ **using** *boxed-b-elim (5) by force*
next
case (*CE-len v*)
obtain $s1$ **where** $s: i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ s1$ **using** *CE-len eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps by metis*
obtain $s1'$ **where** $s': i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ s1'$ **using** *CE-len eval-e-elim by metis*

have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-bitvec} \wedge b = B\text{-int}$ **using** *wfCE-elim CE-len by metis*
then show $?case$ **using** *boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b s s' CE-len*
by (*metis boxed-b-BIntI boxed-b-elim (7) eval-e-lenI eval-e-uniqueness subst-ceb.simps (5) wfi-wfCE-eval-e*)
qed

lemma *eval-c-eq-bs-boxed:*

fixes $c::c$
assumes $i \llbracket c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ i'$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i$
and *boxed-i* $\Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$
shows $s = s'$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct)*
case *C-true*
then show $?case$ **using** *eval-c-elim subst-cb.simps by metis*
next
case *C-false*
then show $?case$ **using** *eval-c-elim subst-cb.simps by metis*
next
case (*C-conj c1 c2*)
obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval\text{-}c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \wedge s2)$
using *C-conj eval-c-elim (3) subst-cb.simps (3) by metis*

obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \wedge s2')$ **using** $C-conj$
 $eval-c-elim3(3)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-conj$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-disj\ c1\ c2$)

obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval-c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \vee s2)$
using $C-disj\ eval-c-elim3(4)\ subst-cb.simps(4)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \vee s2')$ **using** $C-disj$
 $eval-c-elim3(4)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-disj$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-not\ c$)
obtain $s1::bool$ **where** $1: (i\ \llbracket\ c[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (s = (\neg s1))$ **using** $C-not\ eval-c-elim3(6)$
 $subst-cb.simps(7)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1':bool$ **where** $2: (i'\ \llbracket\ c\ \rrbracket \sim s1') \wedge (s' = (\neg s1'))$ **using** $C-not\ eval-c-elim3(6)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-not$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-imp\ c1\ c2$)
obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval-c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \longrightarrow$
 $s2)$ **using** $C-imp\ eval-c-elim3(5)\ subst-cb.simps(5)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \longrightarrow s2')$ **using** $C-imp$
 $eval-c-elim3(5)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-imp$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-eq\ e1\ e2$)
obtain be **where** $be: wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ e1\ be \wedge wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ e2\ be$ **using** $C-eq\ wfC-elim3$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-e\ i\ (e1[bv::=b]_{ceb})\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ (e2[bv::=b]_{ceb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 =$
 $s2)$ **using** $C-eq\ eval-c-elim3(7)\ subst-cb.simps(6)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-e\ i'\ e1\ s1' \wedge eval-e\ i'\ e2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' = s2')$ **using** $C-eq$
 $eval-c-elim3(7)$ **by** *metis*
have $\vdash_{wf}\ \Theta$ **using** $C-eq\ wfX-wfY$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $\Theta ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash i$ **using** $C-eq$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $?case$ **using** $boxed-b-eq[of\ \Theta\ s1\ be\ bv\ b\ s1'\ s2'\ s2']\ 1\ 2\ boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b\ C-eq$
 $wfC-elim3\ subst-cb.simps\ 1\ 2\ be$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *is-satis-bs-boxed*:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $boxed-i\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b}\ i$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$
and $(i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb})$
shows $(i' \models c)$
proof –
have $eval-c\ i\ (c[bv::=b]_{cb})\ True$ **using** $is-satis.simps\ assms$ **by** *auto*
moreover obtain s **where** $i'\ \llbracket\ c\ \rrbracket \sim s$ **using** $eval-c-exist\ assms$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $eval-c-eq-bs-boxed\ assms\ is-satis.simps$ **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *is-satis-bs-boxed-rev*:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $boxed-i\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b}\ i$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$ **and** wfC

$\Theta \{||\} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} (c[bv::=b]_{cb})$
and $(i' \models c)$
shows $(i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb})$
proof –
have *eval-c i' c True* **using** *is-satis.simps* **assms** **by** *auto*
moreover obtain *s* **where** $i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb} \sim s$ **using** *eval-c-exist* **assms** **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *eval-c-eq-bs-boxed* **assms** *is-satis.simps* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *bs-boxed-wfi-aux*:
fixes $b::b$ **and** $bv::bv$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $B::B$
assumes *boxed-i* $\Theta \Gamma b bv i i'$ **and** *wfI* $\Theta \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} i$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and** *wfG* $\Theta B \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule: boxed-i.inducts*)
case (*boxed-i-GNilI* *T i*)
then show *?case* **using** *wfI-def* **by** *auto*
next
case (*boxed-i-GConsI* *s i x1 T b1 bv b s' G i' c1*)
 $\{$
fix $x2 \ b2 \ c2$
assume $as : (x2, b2, c2) \in setG ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)$

then consider (*hd*) $(x2, b2, c2) = (x1, b1, c1) \mid (tail) (x2, b2, c2) \in setG G$ **using** *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
hence $\exists s. \text{Some } s = (i'(x1 \mapsto s')) \ x2 \wedge wfRCV \ T \ s \ b2$ **proof**(*cases*)
case *hd*
hence $b1=b2$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $(x2, b2[bv::=b]_{bb}, c2[bv::=b]_{cb}) \in setG ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **using** *hd*
subst-gb.simps **by** *simp*
moreover hence $wfRCV \ T \ s \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$ **using** *wfI-def* *boxed-i-GConsI* *hd*
proof –
obtain $ss :: b \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \ option) \Rightarrow type\text{-}def \ list \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val$ **where**
 $\forall x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4. (\exists v5. \text{Some } v5 = x3 \ x2a \wedge wfRCV \ x4 \ v5 \ x1a) = (\text{Some } (ss \ x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4) =$
 $x3 \ x2a \wedge wfRCV \ x4 \ (ss \ x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4) \ x1a)$
by *moura*
then have $f1: \text{Some } (ss \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ x1 \ i \ T) = i \ x1 \wedge wfRCV \ T \ (ss \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ x1 \ i \ T)$
 $b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$
using *boxed-i-GConsI.prem1* *hd* *wfI-def* **by** *auto*
then have $ss \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ x1 \ i \ T = s$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *boxed-i-GConsI.hyps*(1) *option.inject*)
then show *?thesis*
using *f1* **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately have $wfRCV \ T \ s' \ b2$ **using** *boxed-i-GConsI* *boxed-b-wfRCV* **by** *metis*

then show *?thesis* **using** *hd* **by** *simp*
next
case *tail*
hence *wfI* $T \ G \ i'$ **using** *boxed-i-GConsI* *wfI-suffix* *wfG-suffix* *subst-gb.simps*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Un-iff* *setG.simps*(2) *wfG-cons2* *wfI-def*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *wfI-def*[*of* $T \ G \ i'$] *tail*

```

      using boxed-i-GConsI.premis(3) split-G wfG-cons-fresh2 by fastforce
    qed
  }
  thus ?case using wfI-def by fast

qed

lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux:
  fixes G::Γ
  assumes boxed-i Θ G1 b bv i i' and wfI Θ G1[bv::=b]Γb i and wfI Θ G1 i' and G1 = (G2@G)
and wfG Θ B G1
  and (i ⊨ G[bv::=b]Γb)
  shows (i' ⊨ G)
using assms proof(induct G arbitrary: G2 rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' Γ' G2)
  show ?case proof(subst is-satis-g.simps,rule)
    have *:wfC Θ B G1 c' using GCons wfG-wfC-inside by force
    show i' ⊨ c' using is-satis-bs-boxed[OF assms(1) *] GCons by auto
    obtain G3 where G1 = G3 @ Γ' using GCons append-g.simps
      by (metis append-g-assoc)
    then show i' ⊨ Γ' using GCons append-g.simps by simp
  qed
qed

lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed:
  fixes G::Γ
  assumes boxed-i Θ G b bv i i' and wfI Θ G[bv::=b]Γb i and wfI Θ G i' and wfG Θ B G
  and (i ⊨ G[bv::=b]Γb)
  shows (i' ⊨ G)
  using is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux assms
  by (metis (full-types) append-g.simps(1))

lemma subst-b-valid:
  fixes s::s and b::b
  assumes Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = {|bv|} and Θ ; {|bv|} ; Γ ⊢ c
  shows Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ c[bv::=b]cb
proof(rule validI)
  show *:Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢wf c[bv::=b]cb using assms valid.simps wf-b-subst subst-gb.simps
  by metis
  show ∀ i. (wfI Θ Γ[bv::=b]Γb i ∧ i ⊨ Γ[bv::=b]Γb) ⟶ i ⊨ c[bv::=b]cb
  proof(rule,rule)
    fix i
    assume *:wfI Θ Γ[bv::=b]Γb i ∧ i ⊨ Γ[bv::=b]Γb

    obtain i' where idash: boxed-i Θ Γ b bv i i' using boxed-i-ex wfX-wfY assms * by fastforce

```

```

have wfc:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using valid.simps assms by simp
have wfg:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using valid.simps wfX-wfY assms by metis
hence wfi:  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$  using idash * bs-boxed-wfi-aux subst-gb.simps wfX-wfY by metis
moreover have  $i' \models \Gamma$  proof (rule is-satis-g-bs-boxed [OF idash] wfX-wfY (2) [OF wfc])
  show  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ i$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
  show  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$  using wfi by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfg assms by auto
  show  $i \models \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
qed
ultimately have  $ic:i' \models c$  using assms valid-def using valid.simps by blast

```

```

show  $i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  proof (rule is-satis-bs-boxed-rev)
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma ; b, bv \vdash i \approx i'$  using idash by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfc assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$  using wfi by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using ** by auto
  show  $i' \models c$  using ic by auto
qed

```

qed
qed

11.7 Expression Operator Lemmas

lemma *is-satis-len-imp*:

```

assumes  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (int \ (length \ v))))$  (is is-satis i ?c1)
shows  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}len \ [V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v)]^{ce})$ 
proof –
  have  $*:eval\text{-}c \ i \ ?c1 \ True$  using assms is-satis.simps by blast
  then have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (int \ (length \ v)))) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
  hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x)) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$  using eval-c-elim(7) [OF *]
    by (metis eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim(1))
  moreover have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}len \ [V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v)]^{ce}) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *is-satis-plus-imp*:

```

assumes  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (n1+n2))))$  (is is-satis i ?c1)
shows  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)]^{ce}))$ 
proof –
  have  $*:eval\text{-}c \ i \ ?c1 \ True$  using assms is-satis.simps by blast
  then have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (n1+n2)))) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
  hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x)) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$  using eval-c-elim(7) [OF *]
    by (metis eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim(1))
  moreover have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)]^{ce})) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *is-satis-leq-imp*:

assumes $i \models (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (if (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})))$ (**is** *is-satis* i ?*c1*)

shows $i \models (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-op } LEq [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))]^{ce})$

proof –

have $*:eval\text{-c } i \text{ ?c1 } True$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps* **by** *blast*

then have $eval\text{-e } i (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ((if (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true else } L\text{-false}))))$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$)

using *eval-e-elim1 eval-v-elim eval-l.simps*

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *eval-e.intros1 eval-v-litI*)

hence $eval\text{-e } i (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$) **using** *eval-c-elim1(7)[OF *]*

by (*metis eval-e-elim1 eval-v-elim1(1)*)

moreover have $eval\text{-e } i (CE\text{-op } LEq [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))]^{ce})$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$)

using *eval-e-elim3 eval-v-elim eval-l.simps* **by** (*metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI*)

ultimately show ?*thesis* **using** *eval-c.intros is-satis.simps* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *valid-eq-e*:

assumes $\forall i s1 s2. wfG P \mathcal{B} GNil \wedge wfI P GNil i \wedge eval\text{-e } i e1 s1 \wedge eval\text{-e } i e2 s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$

and $wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil e1 b$ **and** $wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil e2 b$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2$

unfolding *valid.simps*

proof(*intro conjI*)

show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rangle$

using *assms wf-intros wfX-wfY b.eq-iff fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq2 wfV-elim* **by** *meson*

show $\langle \forall i. ((P ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \models (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) \longrightarrow$

$(i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2)) \rangle$ **proof**(*rule+*)

fix i

assume $as:P ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

have $*: P ; GNil \vdash i$ **using** *wfI-def* **by** *auto*

then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1:eval\text{-e } i e1 s1$ **using** *assms eval-e-exist* **by** *metis*

obtain $s2$ **where** $s2:eval\text{-e } i e2 s2$ **using** *assms eval-e-exist ** **by** *metis*

moreover have $i x = Some s1$ **proof** –

have $i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1$ **using** *as is-satis-g.simps* **by** *auto*

thus ?*thesis* **using** $s1$

by (*metis eval-c-elim1(7) eval-e-elim1(1) eval-e-uniqueness eval-v-elim2(2) is-satis.cases*)

qed

moreover have $s1 = s2$ **using** $s1 s2 * assms wfG-nilI wfX-wfY$ **by** *metis*

ultimately show $i \llbracket [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rrbracket \sim True$

using *eval-c.intros eval-e.intros eval-v.intros*

proof –

have $i \llbracket e2 \rrbracket \sim s1$

by (*metis* $\langle s1 = s2 \rangle s2$)

then show ?*thesis*

by (*metis* (*full-types*) $\langle i x = Some s1 \rangle eval\text{-c-eqI eval-e-valI eval-v-varI$)

qed
qed
qed

lemma valid-len:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-int}, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num} (int (length v))]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == CE\text{-len} [[L\text{-bitvec } v]^v]^{ce} \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c \text{)}$

proof –

have $*:\Theta \vdash_{wf} ([::\Phi) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$ **using** *assms wfG-nilI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v)))) : B\text{-int}$

using *wfCE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps*

by (*metis wfE-valI wfX-wfY*)

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec } v))]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$

using *wfE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps wfE-valI wfX-wfY wfCE-valI*

by (*metis wfCE-lenI*)

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# GNil$ **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c$ **using** *wfC-e-eq2 assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $(\forall i. wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-satis}\ i\ ?c)$ **using** *is-satis-len-imp* **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma valid-bop:

assumes *wfG* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **and** $opp = Plus \wedge ll = (L\text{-num} (n1+n2)) \vee (opp = LEq \wedge ll = (if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))$

and $(opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B\text{-int}) \wedge (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B\text{-bool})$ **and**

$atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (ll))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

$\models (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce})) \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c \text{)}$

proof –

have *wfC* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule wfC-e-eq2*)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } ll) : b$ **using** *wfCE-valI wfV-litI assms base-for-lit.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce}) : b$

using *wfCE-plusI wfCE-leqI wfV-litI wfCE-valI base-for-lit.simps assms* **by** *metis*

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-satis}\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI , rule impI*)

fix *i*

assume $wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G$

hence $is\text{-satis}\ i\ ((CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (ll)))$ **by** *auto*

thus $is\text{-satis}\ i\ ((CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce})))$

using *is-satis-plus-imp* *assms* *opp.exhaust* *is-satis-leq-imp* by *auto*
 qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *valid.simps* by *metis*
 qed

lemma *valid-fst*:

fixes $x::x$ and $v_1::v$ and $v_2::v$
 assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$ and $wfV \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \ (B\text{-pair } b_1 \ b_2)$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_1, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_1]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}$
 proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i \ s1 \ s2. (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Theta ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2) \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \rangle$
 proof(*rule+*)
 fix $i \ s1 \ s2$
 assume $as:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil \wedge \Theta ; GNil \vdash i \wedge (i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2)$
 then obtain $s2'$ where $*:i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v \rrbracket \sim SPair \ s2 \ s2'$
 using *eval-e-elim*(4)[*of* $i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} \ s2$] *eval-e-elim*
 by *meson*
 then have $i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2$ using *eval-v-elim*(3)[*OF* $*$] by *auto*
 then show $s1 = s2$ using *eval-v-uniqueness* as
 using *eval-e-uniqueness* *eval-e-valI* by *blast*
 qed
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [v_1]^{ce} : b_1 \rangle$ using *assms*
 by (*metis* *b.eq-iff*(4) *wfV-elim*(3) *wfV-wfCE*)
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_1 \rangle$ using *assms* using *wfCE-fstI*
 using *wfCE-valI* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *valid-snd*:

fixes $x::x$ and $v_1::v$ and $v_2::v$
 assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$ and $wfV \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \ (B\text{-pair } b_1 \ b_2)$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_2, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_2]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}$
 proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i \ s1 \ s2. (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Theta ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2) \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \rangle$
 proof(*rule+*)
 fix $i \ s1 \ s2$
 assume $as:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil \wedge \Theta ; GNil \vdash i \wedge (i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2)$
 then obtain $s2'$ where $*:i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v \rrbracket \sim SPair \ s2' \ s2$
 using *eval-e-elim*(4)[*of* $i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} \ s2$] *eval-e-elim*
 by *meson*
 then have $i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2$ using *eval-v-elim*(3)[*OF* $*$] by *auto*
 then show $s1 = s2$ using *eval-v-uniqueness* as
 using *eval-e-uniqueness* *eval-e-valI* by *blast*
 qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [v_2]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle$ using *assms*

by (metis b.eq-iff wfV-elim wfV-wfCE)
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle$ using *assms* using *wfCE-sndI* *wfCE-valI* by
blast
 qed

lemma valid-concat:

fixes *v1::bit list* and *v2::bit list*
 assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Pi$
 shows $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bitvec}, (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)))) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models$
 $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-concat } ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)]^{ce}) ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)]^{ce}))$
proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i s1 s2. ((\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge$
 $(i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v \rrbracket^{ce} \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket^{ce} @ \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket^{ce}$
 $\rrbracket^{ce} \sim s2) \longrightarrow$
 $s1 = s2) \rangle$
proof(*rule+*)
 fix *i s1 s2*
 assume *as*: $(\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v \rrbracket^{ce} \sim s1) \wedge$
 $(i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket^{ce} @ \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \sim s2)$

 hence *: $i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket^{ce} @ \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \sim s2$ by *auto*
 obtain *bv1 bv2* where $s2:s2 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2) \wedge i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv1 \wedge (i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv2)$
 using *eval-e-elim*(6)[*OF* *] *eval-e-elim*(1) by *metis*
 hence $v1 = bv1 \wedge v2 = bv2$ using *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps*(5) by *force*
 moreover then have $s1 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2)$ using *s2* using *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps*(5)
 by (metis *as* *eval-e-elim*(1))

 then show $s1 = s2$ using *s2* by *auto*
 qed

show $\langle \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v \rrbracket^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec} \rangle$
 by (metis *assms* *base-for-lit.simps*(5) *wfG-nilI* *wfV-litI* *wfV-wfCE*)
 show $\langle \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket^{ce} @ \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec} \rangle$
 by (metis *assms* *base-for-lit.simps*(5) *wfCE-concatI* *wfG-nilI* *wfV-litI* *wfCE-valI*)
 qed

lemma valid-ce-eq:

fixes *ce::ce*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$
 shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models ce == ce \rangle$
unfolding *valid.simps* **proof**
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce == ce \rangle$ using *assms* *wfC-eqI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \forall i. \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow i \models ce == ce \rangle$ **proof**(*rule+*)
 fix *i*
 assume $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma$
 then obtain *s* where $i \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim s$ using *assms* *eval-e-exist* by *metis*
 then show $i \llbracket ce == ce \rrbracket \sim True$ using *eval-c-eqI* by *metis*
 qed
 qed

```

lemma valid-eq-imp:
  fixes  $c1::c$  and  $c2::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ IMP } c2$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c1 \text{ IMP } c2$ 
proof –
  have  $\forall i. (\Theta ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2)$ 
  proof(rule,rule)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $as:\Theta ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 

    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1$  using wfC-elim assms by metis

    then obtain  $sc$  where  $i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim sc$  using eval-c-exist assms as by metis
    moreover have  $i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using as is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by auto

    ultimately have  $i \llbracket c1 \text{ IMP } c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using eval-c-impI by metis

    thus  $i \models c1 \text{ IMP } c2$  using is-satis.simps by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

lemma valid-range:
  assumes  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq m$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; (x, B\text{-int}, (C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n)))) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models$ 
 $(C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } m)))) \llbracket L\text{-true}$ 
 $\rrbracket^v ]^{ce}) \text{ AND}$ 
 $(C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v$ 
 $]^{ce})$ 
 $(\text{is } \Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2)$ 
proof(rule validI)
  have  $wfg: \Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-int}, \llbracket x \rrbracket^v ]^{ce} == \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v ]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ 
  using assms base-for-lit.simps wfG-nilI wfV-litI fresh-GNil wfB-intI wfC-v-eq wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I
by metis

  show  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ 
  using wfC-conjI wfC-eqI wfCE-leqI wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfV-litI
wfB-intI wfB-boolI
by metis

  show  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  proof(rule,rule)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $a:\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$ 
    hence  $*:i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n$ 
    proof –
      obtain  $sv$  where  $sv: i\ x = \text{Some } sv \wedge \Theta \vdash sv : B\text{-int}$  using a wfI-def by force
      have  $i \llbracket (C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n)))) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
      using a is-satis-g.simps
      using is-satis.cases by blast
      hence  $i\ x = \text{Some}(SNum\ n)$  using  $sv$ 
      by (metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-elim(1) eval-l.simps(3) eval-v-elim(1) eval-v-elim(2))
    
```

```

    thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
qed

show i  $\models$  ?c1 AND ?c2
proof -
  have i  $\llbracket$  ?c1  $\rrbracket \sim$  True
  proof -
    have i  $\llbracket$  [ leq [ [ x ]v ]ce [ [ L-num m ]v ]ce ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i  $\llbracket$  [ [ L-true ]v ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  moreover have i  $\llbracket$  ?c2  $\rrbracket \sim$  True
  proof -
    have i  $\llbracket$  [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]v ]ce [ [ x ]v ]ce ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i  $\llbracket$  [ [ L-true ]v ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma valid-range-length:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ 
  assumes  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } x \nmid \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket ; (x, B\text{-int } , (C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models$ 
     $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket [ L\text{-true } ]^v ]^{ce})$ 
  AND
     $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (\llbracket [ [ L\text{-bitvec } v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} )) \llbracket [ L\text{-true } ]^v ]^{ce})$ 
    (is  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ )
proof(rule validI)
  have wfg:  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-int } , [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-num } n ]^v ]^{ce} ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  apply(rule wfg-cons1I)
    apply simp
    using assms apply simp+
    using assms base-for-lit.simps wfg-nilI wfgV-litI wfgB-intI wfgC-v-eq wfgB-intI wfgX-wfY assms by
  metis+

  show  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ 
    using wfgC-conjI wfgC-eqI wfgCE-leqI wfgCE-valI wfgV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfgV-litI
    wfgB-intI wfgB-boolI
    by (metis (full-types) wfgCE-lenI)

  show  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  proof(rule,rule)

```

```

fix i
assume a:Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G
hence *:i [ V-var x ] ~ SNum n
proof -
  obtain sv where sv: i x = Some sv ∧ Θ ⊢ sv : B-int using a wfi-def by force
  have i [ (C-eq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n)))) ] ~ True
    using a is-satis-g.simps
    using is-satis.cases by blast
  hence i x = Some(SNum n) using sv
    by (metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-elim(1) eval-l.simps(3) eval-v-elim(1) eval-v-elim(2))
  thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
qed

show i ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2
proof -
  have i [ ?c2 ] ~ True
  proof -
    have i [ [ leq [ [ x ]v ]ce [ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-lenI eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i [ [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  moreover have i [ ?c1 ] ~ True
  proof -
    have i [ [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]v ]ce [ [ x ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i [ [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
qed
qed
qed

thm valid-weakening

lemma valid-range-length-inv-gnil:
  fixes Γ::Γ
  assumes ⊢wf Θ
  and Θ ; {||} ; (x, B-int , (C-eq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n))))) #Γ GNil ⊨
    (C-eq (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-lit (L-num 0))) (CE-val (V-var x))) [ [ L-true ]v ]ce)
AND
    (C-eq (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-var x)) ([ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ]ce )) [ [ L-true ]v ]ce)

  (is Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2)
  shows 0 ≤ n ∧ n ≤ int (length v)
proof -

```

```

have *:  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  using assms valid.simps by simp

obtain i where i:  $i x = \text{Some } (SNum\ n)$  by auto
have  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$  proof
  show  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i$  unfolding wfI-def using wfRCV-BIntI i * by auto
  have  $i \llbracket ([ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L-num\ n ]^v ]^{ce} ) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
    using * eval-c.intros(7) eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
    by (metis (full-types) i)
  thus  $i \models ?G$  unfolding is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by auto
qed
hence  $** : i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  using * by auto

hence  $1 : i \llbracket ?c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using eval-c-elim(3) is-satis.simps
  by fastforce
then obtain sv1 and sv2 where  $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket$ 
 $\sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim sv2$ 
  using eval-c-elim(7) by metis
hence  $sv1 = SBool\ \text{True}$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim eval-l.simps i by metis
obtain n1 and n2 where  $SBool\ \text{True} = SBool\ (n1 \leq n2) \wedge (i \llbracket [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n1)$ 
 $\wedge (i \llbracket [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n2)$ 
  using eval-e-elim(3)[of i [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} SBool\ \text{True}]
  using  $\langle (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rangle$ 
 $\langle sv1 = SBool\ \text{True} \rangle$  by fastforce
moreover hence  $n1 = 0$  and  $n2 = n$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim i
  apply (metis eval-l.simps(3) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim i
  by (metis calculation option.inject rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
ultimately have  $le1 : 0 \leq n$  by simp

hence  $2 : i \llbracket ?c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using ** eval-c-elim(3) is-satis.simps
  by fastforce
then obtain sv1 and sv2 where  $sv : (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ [ L-bitvec\ v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket$ 
 $\sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim sv2$ 
  using eval-c-elim(7) by metis
hence  $sv1 = SBool\ \text{True}$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim eval-l.simps i by metis
obtain n1 and n2 where  $*** : SBool\ \text{True} = SBool\ (n1 \leq n2) \wedge (i \llbracket [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n1) \wedge (i$ 
 $\llbracket [ [ [ L-bitvec\ v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ] \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n2)$ 
  using eval-e-elim(3)
  using  $sv \langle sv1 = SBool\ \text{True} \rangle$  by metis
moreover hence  $n1 = n$  using eval-e-elim(1)[of i] eval-v-elim(2)[of i x SNum\ n1] i by auto
moreover have  $n2 = \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim(1) eval-l.simps i
  by (metis *** eval-e-elim(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
ultimately have  $le2 : n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  by simp

show ?thesis using le1 le2 by auto
qed

thm wfI-def

lemma wfI-cons:
  fixes i::valuation and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $i' \models \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$  and  $\Theta \vdash s : b$  and  $\text{atom } x \nmid \Gamma$ 

```

shows $\Theta ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i$
unfolding *wfI-def* **proof** –
 {
 fix $x' b' c'$
 assume $(x', b', c') \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 then consider $(x', b', c') = (x, b, c) \mid (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
 then have $\exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x' \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b'$ **proof**(*cases*)
 case 1
 then show *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 next
 case 2
 then obtain s **where** $s : \text{Some } s = i' \ x' \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b'$ **using** *assms wfI-def* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $x' \neq x$ **using** *assms 2 fresh-dom-free* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $\text{Some } s = i \ x'$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **using** s *wfI-def* **by** *auto*
 qed
 }
thus $\forall (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *valid-range-length-inv*:

fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\exists i. i \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$
 and $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, B\text{-int } , (C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models$
 $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket L\text{-true } \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce})$
 AND
 $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (\llbracket [[L\text{-bitvec } v]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce})) \llbracket L\text{-true } \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce})$

 (is $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; ?G \models ?c1$ AND $?c2$)
 shows $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$
proof –
 have $*: \forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1$ AND $?c2$ **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *simp*

 obtain i' **where** *idash: is-satis-g* $i' \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 obtain i **where** $i : i = i' (x \mapsto SNum\ n)$ **by** *auto*
 hence $ix : i \ x = \text{Some } (SNum\ n)$ **by** *auto*
 have $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$ **proof**
 show $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i$ **using** *wfI-cons i idash ix wfRCV-BIntI assms* **by** *simp*

 have $**: i \llbracket ([[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce}) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 using $* \text{eval-c.intros}(7) \text{eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps } i$
 by (*metis (full-types) ix*)

show $i \models ?G$ **unfolding** *is-satis-g.simps* **proof**
 show $\langle i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \rangle$ **using** $** \text{is-satis.simps}$ **by** *auto*
 show $\langle i \models \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *idash i assms is-satis-g-i-upd* **by** *metis*
qed
qed
 hence $**: i \models ?c1$ AND $?c2$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

 hence $1 : i \llbracket ?c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *eval-c.elims(3) is-satis.simps*

by *fastforce*
 then obtain *sv1* and *sv2* where $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$
 using *eval-c-elim*(7) by *metis*
 hence *sv1* = *SBool True* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *eval-l.simps* *i* by *metis*
 obtain *n1* and *n2* where *SBool True* = *SBool (n1 ≤ n2) ∧ (i ⌊ ⌊ L-num 0 ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} ⌋ ∼ SNum n1)*
 ∧ (*i ⌊ ⌊ ⌊ x ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} ⌋ ∼ SNum n2*)
 using *eval-e-elim*(3)[*of i ⌊ ⌊ L-num 0 ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} ⌊ ⌊ x ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} SBool True*]
 using $\langle (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rangle \langle sv1 = \text{SBool True} \rangle$ by *fastforce*
 moreover hence *n1* = 0 and *n2* = *n* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *i*
 apply (*metis eval-l.simps*(3) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(2))
 using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *i*
 calculation *option.inject rcl-val.eq-iff*(2)
 by (*metis ix*)
 ultimately have *le1*: 0 ≤ *n* by *simp*

 hence 2: *i ⌊ ⌊ ?c2 ⌋ ⌋ ∼ True* using ** *eval-c-elim*(3) *is-satis.simps*
 by *fastforce*
 then obtain *sv1* and *sv2* where *sv*: $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$
 using *eval-c-elim*(7) by *metis*
 hence *sv1* = *SBool True* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *eval-l.simps* *i* by *metis*
 obtain *n1* and *n2* where ***:*SBool True* = *SBool (n1 ≤ n2) ∧ (i ⌊ ⌊ ⌊ x ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} ⌋ ∼ SNum n1) ∧ (i ⌊ ⌊ ⌊ ⌊ L-bitvec v ⌋^v ⌋^{ce} ⌋^{ce} ⌋ ∼ SNum n2)*
 using *eval-e-elim*(3)
 using *sv* $\langle sv1 = \text{SBool True} \rangle$ by *metis*
 moreover hence *n1* = *n* using *eval-e-elim*(1)[*of i*] *eval-v-elim*(2)[*of i x SNum n1*] *i* by *auto*
 moreover have *n2* = *int (length v)* using *eval-e-elim*(7) *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps i*
 by (*metis *** eval-e-elim*(1) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(1) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(2))
 ultimately have *le2*: *n* ≤ *int (length v)* by *simp*

 show *?thesis* using *le1 le2* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *eval-c-conj2I*[*intro*]:

assumes *i ⌊ c1 ⌋ ∼ True* and *i ⌊ c2 ⌋ ∼ True*
 shows *i ⌊ (C-conj c1 c2) ⌋ ∼ True*
 using *assms eval-c-conjI* by *metis*

lemma *valid-split*:

assumes *split n v (v1,v2)* and $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
 shows $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (z, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, [\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v1 \rrbracket^v, \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v2 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$
 $\models ([\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket \#1[\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \llbracket \#2[\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}) \text{ AND } ([\llbracket \#1[\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}]^{ce})$
 (is $\Theta ; \{||\} ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$)
 unfolding *valid.simps* proof

have *wfg*: $\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (z, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, [\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v1 \rrbracket^v, \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v2 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^v]^{ce})$

```

v2 ]v ]v ]ce) #Γ GNil
  using wf-intros assms base-for-lit.simps fresh-GNil wfC-v-eq wfG-intros2 by metis

show Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf ?c1 AND ?c2

  apply(rule wfC-conjI)
  apply(rule wfC-eqI)
  apply(rule wfCE-valI)
  apply(rule wfV-litI)
  using wf-intros wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfC-v-eq
  apply (metis )+
done

have len:int (length v1) = n using assms split-length by auto

show ∀ i. Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G ⟶ i ⊨ (?c1 AND ?c2)
proof(rule,rule)
  fix i
  assume a:Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G
  hence i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]v ]ce ] ~ True
    using is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by simp
  then obtain sv where i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce ] ~ sv ∧ i [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]v ]ce ] ~ sv
    using eval-c-elim by metis
  hence i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce ] ~ (SPair (SBitvec v1) (SBitvec v2)) using eval-c-eqI eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
    by (metis eval-e-elim1 eval-v-uniqueness)
  hence b:i z = Some (SPair (SBitvec v1) (SBitvec v2)) using a eval-e-elim eval-v-elim by metis

  have v1: i [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v1
    using eval-e-fstI eval-e-valI eval-v-varI b by metis
  have v2: i [ [#2 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v2
    using eval-e-sndI eval-e-valI eval-v-varI b by metis

  have i [ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v using eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps by metis
  moreover have i [ [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce @@ [#2 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v
    using assms split-concat v1 v2 eval-e-concatI by metis
  moreover have i [ [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SNum (int (length v1))
    using v1 eval-e-lenI by auto
  moreover have i [ [ [ L-num n ]v ]ce ] ~ SNum n using eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
by metis
  ultimately show i ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2 using is-satis.intros eval-c-conj2I eval-c-eqI len by metis
qed
qed

end

lemma wfT-restrict2:
  fixes τ::τ

```

assumes $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \# \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$
using $wf-restrict1(4)[of \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ \tau \ GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma]$ *assms fresh-GNil append-g.simps* **by**
auto

Chapter 12

Typing Lemmas

12.1 Subtyping

lemma *subtype-reflI2*:

fixes $\tau :: \tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \tau$

proof –

obtain $z\ b\ c$ **where** $*:\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

using *wfT-elim1* [*OF assms*] **by** *metis*

obtain $x::x$ **where** $**:\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, c, z, c, z, c)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z, c)$ **using** *fresh-prod6* *fresh-prod5* $**$ **by** *metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *wfT-wfC-cons* *assms* $*$ $**$

subst-v-c-def **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-reflI*:

assumes $\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z2 : b \mid c2 \rrbracket$ **and** $wf1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z2 : b \mid c2 \rrbracket)$

using *assms* *subtype-reflI2* **by** *metis*

nominal-function *base-eq* $:: \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

base-eq - $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket = (b1 = b2)$

apply(*auto*, *simp* *add: eqvt-def base-eq-graph-aux-def*)

by (*meson* $\tau.\text{exhaust}$)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *subtype-wfT*:

fixes $t1::\tau$ **and** $t2::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t2$

using *assms subtype-elim* **by** *metis*

lemma *subtype-eq-base*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
shows $b1 = b2$
using *subtype.simps assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *subtype-eq-base2*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
shows $b\text{-of } t1 = b\text{-of } t2$

using *assms* **proof**(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ t1 \ t2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **using** *subtype-eq-base* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-wf*:

fixes $\tau1::\tau$ **and** $\tau2::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau2$

using *assms*

proof(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \tau1 \ \tau2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *subtype-g-wf*:

fixes $\tau1::\tau$ **and** $\tau2::\tau$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

using *assms*

proof(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \tau1 \ \tau2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

qed

For when we have a particular y that satisfies the freshness conditions that we want the validity check to use

lemma *valid-flip-simple*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# (z, c, z, c', \Gamma)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'$

proof –

have $(z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'$

using *True-eqv valid.eqv assms beta-flip-eq wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf assms* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *theta-flip-eq G-cons-flip-fresh3[of $x \ \Gamma \ z \ b \ c$] assms fresh-Pair flip-commute* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *valid-wf-all*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c$
 shows $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G$ and $wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G) c$ and $atom\ z0 \# G$
 using *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-cons assms by metis+*

lemma *valid-wfT*:

fixes $z::x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$ and $atom\ z0 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, c, c0)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c0 \}$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$

proof –

have $atom\ z0 \# c0$ using *assms fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover have $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G$ using *valid-wf-all wfX-wfY assms subst-v-c-def by metis*

ultimately show $wfT: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c0 \}$ using *wfG-wfT[OF *] by auto*

have $atom\ z0 \# c$ using *assms fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover have $wfC: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$ using *valid-wf-all assms by metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z0 : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v \}$ **proof**

show $\langle atom\ z0 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G) \rangle$ using *assms fresh-prodN by simp*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ using *wfT wfT-wfB by force*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[z0]^v]_v \rangle$ using *wfC wfC-replace-inside[OF wfC, of GNil z0 b c0[z::=[z0]^v]_v G C-true] wfC-trueI*

append-g.simps

by (*metis local.* wfG-elim2 wf-trans(2)*)

qed

moreover have $\{ z0 : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v \} = \{ z : b \mid c \}$ using $\langle atom\ z0 \# c0 \rangle \tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff(3)$

using *calculation(1) subst-v-c-def by auto*

ultimately show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **by auto**

qed

lemma *valid-flip*:

fixes $c::c$ and $z::x$ and $z0::x$ and $xx2::x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx2, b, c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v$ and

$atom\ xx2 \# (c0, \Gamma, c, z)$ and $atom\ z0 \# (\Gamma, c, z)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$

proof –

have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ using *assms valid-wf-all wfX-wfY by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot ((xx2, b, c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v)$

using *valid.eqvt True-eqvt assms beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2, b, (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot \Gamma) \models ((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot (c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v))$

using *G-cons-flip[of xx2 z0 xx2 b c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v \Gamma] by auto*

moreover have $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2 = z0$ **by simp**

moreover have $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v = c0$

using *assms subst-cv-v-flip[of xx2 c0 z0 V-var z0] assms fresh-prod4 by auto*

moreover have $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$ **proof** –

have $atom\ xx2 \# \Gamma$ using *assms by auto*

moreover have $atom\ z0 \# \Gamma$ using *assms by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *flip-fresh-fresh by auto*

qed
 moreover have $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot (c[z ::= V\text{-var } xx2]_v) = c[z ::= V\text{-var } z0]_v$ **using** *subst-cv-v-flip2* [of *xx2 z c z0*] *assms* **by force**
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by auto**
 qed

lemma *subtype-valid*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** *atom* $y \# \Gamma$ **and** $t1 = \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $t2 = \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-var } y]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[z2 ::= V\text{-var } y]_v$
using *assms* **proof** (*nominal-induct t2 avoiding: y rule: subtype.strong-induct*)
case (*subtype-baseI* $x \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z c z' c' ba$)

hence $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \Theta ; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot ((x, ba, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v$ **using** *valid.eqvt*

using *permute-boolI* **by blast**

moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf subtype-baseI* **by metis**

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, ba, (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v$

using *subtype-baseI theta-flip-eq beta-flip-eq τ .eq-iff G-cons-flip-fresh3* [of $y \Gamma x ba$] **by** (*metis flip-commute*)

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z ::= [x]^v]_v = c1[z1 ::= [y]^v]_v$

by (*metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-subst-eq wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def*)

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v = c2[z2 ::= [y]^v]_v$

by (*metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-subst-eq wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def*)

ultimately show *?case* **using** *subtype-baseI τ .eq-iff* **by metis**

qed

lemma *subtype-valid-simple*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$ **and** $t1 = \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $t2 = \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2$

using *subst-v-c-def subst-v-id assms subtype-valid* [OF *assms*] **by simp**

lemma *obtain-for-t-with-fresh*:

assumes *atom* $x \# t$

shows $\exists b c. t = \{ x : b \mid c \}$

proof –

obtain $z1 b1 c1$ **where** $*$: $t = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# t$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by metis**

then have $t = (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot t$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh assms* **by metis**

also have $\dots = \{ (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot z1 : (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \}$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by simp**

also have $\dots = \{ x : b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \}$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by auto**

finally show *?thesis* **by auto**

qed

lemma *subtype-trans*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau2 \lesssim \tau3$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau3$

proof –

obtain $y::x$ **where** $yf:atom\ y \# (\Gamma, \tau 1, \tau 2, \tau 3)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $c1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $t1:\tau 1 = \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $c2$ **and** $b2$ **where** $t2:\tau 2 = \{ y : b2 \mid c2 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $c3$ **and** $b3$ **where** $t3:\tau 3 = \{ y : b3 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf:atom\ x \# (\Gamma, y, c1, c2, c3, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, y, c1, c3)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $beq: b1 = b2 \wedge b2 = b3$ **using** *assms subtype-eq-base t1 t2 t3* **by** *simp*

have $vld1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c1[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *subtype-valid fresh-prod5*
assms t1 t2 xf beq **by** *simp*

have $vld2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c2[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *subtype-valid fresh-prod5*
assms t3 t2 xf beq **by** *simp*

thm *valid-trans[where $z=x$ and $v=V-var\ x$]*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c1[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *valid-trans-2 vld1 vld2* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *t1 subtype-wfT assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ y : b1 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *t3 beq subtype-wfT assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, y, c1, y, c3)$ **using** *xf fresh-prod5 fresh-prod10* **by** *simp*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \} \lesssim \{ y : b1 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *beq subtype-baseI fresh-prod5*
by metis

thus *?thesis* **using** *t1 t3 beq* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *subtype-eq-e:*

assumes $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **and**
atom z1 # e1 and atom z2 # e2 and atom z1 # Γ and atom z2 # Γ

and $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e1\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e2\ b$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1 \} \lesssim (\{ z2 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2 \})$

proof –

have $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e1\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e2\ b$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $wst1: wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ z1 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1 \})$

using *wfC-e-eq wfTI assms wfX-wfB wfG-fresh-x*

by *(simp add: wfT-e-eq)*

moreover **have** $wst2: wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ z2 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2 \})$

using *wfC-e-eq wfX-wfB wfTI assms wfG-fresh-x*

by *(simp add: wfT-e-eq)*

moreover **obtain** $x::x$ **where** $xf: atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z1, CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1, z2, CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $vld: P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)[z2::=V-var\ x]_v$ **(is** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)**

proof –

have $wbg: P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ ?G$ **proof** –

have $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G$ **proof**(*rule wfG-consI*)

show $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *assms(6) wfX-wfB* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v$
using *wfC-e-eq[OF assms(6)] wf-subst(2)*
by (*simp add: (atom x # Γ) assms(2) subst-v-c-def*)
qed
moreover **hence** $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-elim* **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

have *wsc: wfC P B ?G ?c* **proof** –
have *wfCE P B ?G (CE-val (V-var x)) b* **proof**
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-var\ x : b \rangle$
using *wfV-varI lookup.simps wbg* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover **have** *wfCE P B ?G e2 b* **using** *wf-weakening assms wbg* **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** *wfC P B ?G (CE-val (V-var x) == e2)* **using** *wfC-eqI* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-cv.simps(6) (atom z2 # e2) subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
qed

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is-satis-g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is-satis\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI , rule impI*)
fix *i*
assume *as: wfI P ?G i ∧ is-satis-g i ?G*
hence $is-satis\ i\ ((CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$
by (*simp add: is-satis-g.simps(2)*)
hence $is-satis\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e1)$ **using** *subst-cv.simps assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
then **obtain** *s1* **and** *s2* **where** $*:eval-e\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s2 \wedge s1=s2$ **using**
is-satis.simps eval-c-elim **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** $eval-e\ i\ e2\ s1$ **proof** –
have $*:wfI\ P\ ?G\ i$ **using** *as* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *wfCE P B ?G e1 b ∧ wfCE P B ?G e2 b* **using** *assms xf wf-weakening wbg*
by *metis*
moreover **then** **obtain** *s2'* **where** $eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2'$ **using** *assms wfI-wfCE-eval-e ** **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** $*\ assms(1)\ wfX-wfY$ **by** *metis*
qed
ultimately **have** $is-satis\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e2)$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI* **by** *force*
thus $is-satis\ i\ ((CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI*
assms subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*
qed
moreover **have** $atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z1, CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1, z2, CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **using** *xf fresh-prod7 τ.fresh* **by** *fast*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI[OF - wst1 wst2 vld]* *xf* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *subtype-eq-e-nil*:
assumes $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **and**
supp e1 = {} **and** *supp e2 = {}* **and** *wfTh P*
and *wfCE P B GNil e1 b* **and** *wfCE P B GNil e2 b* **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == e1 \!\} \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == e2 \!\})$
apply(rule subtype-eq-e,auto simp add: assms e.fresh)
using assms fresh-def e.fresh supp-GNil **apply** metis+
done

lemma subtype-gnil-fst-aux:

assumes $supp\ v_1 = \{\}$ **and** $supp\ (V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2) = \{\}$ **and** $wfTh\ P$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash (\{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_1 \!\}) \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce} \!\})$
proof –
have $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G . wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **proof**(rule+)
fix $i\ s1\ s2\ G$
assume as: $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s2$
hence $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b2$ **using** assms wf-weakening
by (metis empty-subsetI setG.simps(1))
then obtain $s3$ **where** $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s3$ **using** wfI-wfCE-eval-e as **by** metis
hence $eval\text{-}v\ i\ ((V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2))\ (SPair\ s1\ s3)$
by (meson as eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-pairI)
hence $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s1$ **using** eval-e-fstI eval-e-valI **by** metis
show $s1 = s2$ **using** as eval-e-uniqueness
using $\langle eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s1 \rangle$ **by** auto
qed
thus ?thesis **using** subtype-eq-e-nil ce.supp assms **by** auto
qed

lemma subtype-gnil-snd-aux:

assumes $supp\ v_2 = \{\}$ **and** $supp\ (V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2) = \{\}$ **and** $wfTh\ P$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash (\{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_2 \!\}) \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce} \!\})$
proof –
have $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G . wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **proof**(rule+)
fix $i\ s1\ s2\ G$
assume as: $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s2$
hence $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b2$ **using** assms wf-weakening
by (metis empty-subsetI setG.simps(1))
then obtain $s3$ **where** $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s3$ **using** wfI-wfCE-eval-e as **by** metis
hence $eval\text{-}v\ i\ ((V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2))\ (SPair\ s3\ s1)$
by (meson as eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-pairI)
hence $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s1$ **using** eval-e-sndI eval-e-valI **by** metis

```

show  $s1 = s2$  using as eval-e-uniqueness
  using  $\langle \text{eval-e } i \text{ (CE-snd [V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2]^{ce}) } s1 \rangle$  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using assms subtype-eq-e-nil by (simp add: ce.suppl ce.suppl)
qed

lemma subtype-gnil-fst:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash (\{|| z_1 : b \mid [[z_1]^v]^{ce} == [v_1]^{ce} \}) \lesssim$ 
     $(\{|| z_2 : b \mid [[z_2]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \})$ 
proof -
  obtain  $b2$  where  $** : \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2 : B\text{-pair } b \text{ } b2$  using wfCE-elim4 [OF assms]
  ] wfCE-elim by metis
  obtain  $b1' \text{ } b2'$  where  $* : B\text{-pair } b \text{ } b2 = B\text{-pair } b1' \text{ } b2' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\}$ 
  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2'$ 
  using wfV-elim3 [OF **] by metis

  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-gnil-fst-aux)
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } v_1 = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $*$  wfV-suppl-nil by auto
    show  $\langle \text{suppl (V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2) = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $**$  wfV-suppl-nil e.suppl by metis
    show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta \rangle$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_1 : b \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst [V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2]^{ce} : b \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_2 : b2 \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by auto
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z_1 \# GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z_2 \# GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed

lemma subtype-gnil-snd:
  assumes wfCE  $P \{||\} GNil (CE\text{-snd ([V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2]^{ce})) b$ 
  shows  $P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash (\{|| z_1 : b \mid CE\text{-val (V-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_2 \}) \lesssim (\{|| z_2 : b \mid CE\text{-val$ 
     $(V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-snd ([V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2]^{ce}) \})$ 
proof -
  obtain  $b1$  where  $** : P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2 : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b$  using wfCE-elim assms by
  metis
  obtain  $b1' \text{ } b2'$  where  $* : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b = B\text{-pair } b1' \text{ } b2' \wedge P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \wedge P ; \{||\}$ 
  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2'$  using wfV-elim3 [OF **] by metis

  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-gnil-snd-aux)
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } v_2 = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $*$  wfV-suppl-nil by auto
    show  $\langle \text{suppl (V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2) = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $**$  wfV-suppl-nil e.suppl by metis
    show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} P \rangle$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_1 : b1 \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by simp
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd ([V-pair } v_1 \text{ } v_2]^{ce}) : b \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_2 : b \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by simp
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed

```

lemma *subtype-fresh-tau*:

fixes $x::x$

assumes $\text{atom } x \# t1$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$

shows $\text{atom } x \# t2$

proof –

have $\text{wfg} : P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *subtype-wf wfX-wfY assms* **by** *metis*

have $\text{wft} : \text{wft } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma t2$ **using** *subtype-wf wfX-wfY assms* **by** *blast*

hence $\text{supp } t2 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wf-supp*

using *atom-dom.simps* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $\langle \text{atom } x \# \Gamma \rangle$ *wfG-atoms-supp-eq wfg fresh-def* **by** *blast*

ultimately show $\text{atom } x \# t2$ **using** *fresh-def*

by (*metis Un-iff contra-subsetD x-not-in-b-set*)

qed

lemma *subtype-if-simp*:

assumes $\text{wft } P \mathcal{B} \text{GNil} (\llbracket z1 : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v \rrbracket)$ **and**

$\text{wft } P \mathcal{B} \text{GNil} (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$ **and** $\text{atom } z1 \# c$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash (\llbracket z1 : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$

proof –

obtain $xx::x$ **where** $xx : \text{atom } x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z1, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z, c, \text{GNil})$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *blast*

hence $xx2 : \text{atom } x \# (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, c, \text{GNil})$ **using** *fresh-prod7 fresh-prod3* **by** *fast*

have $* : P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **(is** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)** **proof** –

have $\text{wft } P \mathcal{B} ?G ?c$ **using** *wft-wfC-cons[OF assms(1) assms(2),of x]* *xx fresh-prod5 fresh-prod3 subst-v-c-def* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $(\forall i. \text{wft } P ?G i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ?G \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i ?c)$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)

fix i

assume $as1 : \text{wft } P ?G i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ?G$

have $((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$

using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{is-satis } i ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps as1* **by** *presburger*

moreover **have** $\text{is-satis } i ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l)))$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI[of i (CE-val (V-lit l)) eval-l l] eval-e-uniqueness*

eval-e-valI eval-v-litI **by** *metis*

ultimately show $\text{is-satis } i ?c$ **using** *is-satis-mp[of i]* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, z1, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z, c)$

unfolding *fresh-prod5* *τ.fresh* **using** *xx fresh-prodN x-fresh-b* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms xx xx2* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-if*:

assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket$ **and**

$wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v \rrbracket)$ **and**
 $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v \rrbracket)$ **and**
 $atom\ z1 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# c$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# c'$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# v$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v \rrbracket$
proof –
obtain $xx::x$ **where** $xx: atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z, c, z', c', z1, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v, \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *blast*
hence $xf: atom\ x \# (z, c, z', c', \Gamma)$ **by** *simp*
have $xf2: atom\ x \# (z1, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v, \Gamma)$
using xx *fresh-prod4* *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \models (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v$
(is $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)**
proof –
have $wbc: wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$ **using** *assms* xx *fresh-prod4* *fresh-prod2* *wfT-wfC-cons* *assms* *subst-v-c-def* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)
fix i
assume $a1: wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ?G$
thm *is-satis.simps*
have $*$: $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l))) \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$
proof
assume $a2: is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)))$

have $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v))[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$
using $a1$ *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *simp*
moreover **have** $((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v))[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$
using *assms* *subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **by** *argo*

hence $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$ **using** $a2$ *is-satis-mp* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** $a2$ *is-satis.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ((x, b, (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ **using** $a1$ *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *meson*
moreover **have** $wfI\ P\ ((x, b, (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ **proof** –
obtain s **where** $Some\ s = i\ x \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ b \wedge wfI\ P\ \Gamma\ i$ **using** *wfI-def* $a1$ **by** *auto*
thus $?thesis$ **using** *wfI-def* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** *subtype-valid* *assms*(1) *xf* *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $(c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$ **using** *assms* **by**

auto

ultimately show *is-satis* $i \ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v)$ **by** *auto*
qed

moreover have $?c = ((CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \text{ IMP } ((c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$

using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*

thm *wfC-elim*

moreover have $\exists b1 \ b2. \text{eval-c } i \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \ b1 \wedge$
 $\text{eval-c } i \ c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v \ b2$ **proof** $-$

thm *assms(2)*

have $wfC \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ?G \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l))$ **using** *wbc wfC-elim(7) assms subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $wfC \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ?G \ (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v)$ **proof** *(rule wfT-wfC-cons)*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } (c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v) \} \rangle$
using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
have $\{ z2 : b \mid c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v \} = \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **using** *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
thus $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b \mid c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v \} \rangle$ **using** *assms subtype-elim* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v, \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** *xx fresh-Pair c.fresh* **by** *metis*
qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *wfI-wfC-eval-c a1 subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
qed

ultimately show *is-satis* $i \ ?c$ **using** *is-satis-imp[OF *]* **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

moreover have $atom \ x \ \sharp \ (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z1, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)$

unfolding *fresh-prod5* $\tau.fresh$ **using** *xx xf2 fresh-prodN x-fresh-b* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms xf2* **by** *metis*
qed

fun *single-g* $:: x*b*c \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**

single-g $xbc = GCons \ xbc \ GNil$

lemma *eval-e-concat-eq*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma \ i$

shows $\exists s. \text{eval-e } i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)))) \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ (CE\text{-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{ce}) \ s$

using *eval-e-valI eval-e-concatI eval-v-litI eval-l.simps* **by** *metis*

lemma *is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ **and** *eval-e* $i \ e1 \ s$ **and** *eval-e* $i \ e2 \ s$

and *is-satis* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1)$ **(is** *is-satis* $i \ ?c1$ **)**

shows *is-satis* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$

proof $-$

have $*:\text{eval-c } i \ ?c1 \ \text{True}$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps* **by** *blast*

hence *eval-e* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \ s$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps eval-c-elim*

by (metis (full-types) eval-e-uniqueness)
 thus ?thesis using is-satis.simps eval-c.intros assms by fastforce
 qed

lemma valid-eval-e-eq:

fixes e1::ce and e2::ce
 assumes $\forall \Gamma \ i. \text{wfI } \Theta \ \Gamma \ i \longrightarrow (\exists s. \text{eval-e } i \ e1 \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ e2 \ s)$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} e1 : b$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} e2 : b$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1)) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \models (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$
proof(rule validI)
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2$
proof
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1$ using assms wfC-eqI wfE-valI wfV-varI wfX-wfY
 by (simp add: fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq)
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{\text{wf}} (x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}$ using wfG-consI fresh-GNil wfX-wfY
 assms wfX-wfB by metis
 thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b$ using wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfX-wfY
 lookup.simps assms wfX-wfY by simp
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} e2 : b$ using assms wf-weakening wfX-wfY
 by (metis (full-types) $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b \rangle$ empty-iff subsetI setG.simps(1))
 qed
 show $\forall i. \text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}) \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}) \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$
proof(rule,rule)
 fix i
 assume $\text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}) \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil})$
 moreover then obtain s where $\text{eval-e } i \ e1 \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ e2 \ s$ using assms by auto
 ultimately show $\text{is-satis } i (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$ using assms is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp is-satis-g.simps by meson
 qed
 qed

lemma subtype-concat:

assumes $\vdash_{\text{wf}} \Theta$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash \{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2))) \}$
 \lesssim
 $\{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{\text{ce}} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{\text{ce}} \}$ (is $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2$)
proof –
 obtain $x::x$ where $x: \text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, z, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2))))$,
 $z, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{\text{ce}} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{\text{ce}}$
 (is ?xfree)
 using obtain-fresh by auto

have $wb1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} (v1 @ v2))) : B\text{-bitvec}$ **using** $wfX\text{-}wfY$ $wfCE\text{-valI}$ $wfV\text{-litI}$ $assms$ $base\text{-for-lit.simps}$ $wfG\text{-nilI}$ **by** $metis$

hence $wb2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v2))]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec}$
using $wfCE\text{-concatI}$ $wfX\text{-}wfY$ $wfV\text{-litI}$ $base\text{-for-lit.simps}$ $wfCE\text{-valI}$ **by** $metis$

show $?thesis$ **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1$ **using** $wfT\text{-e-eq}$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ $wb1$ $wb2$ **by** $metis$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2$ **using** $wfT\text{-e-eq}$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ $wb1$ $wb2$ **by** $metis$

show $?xfree$ **using** x **by** $auto$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bitvec}, (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z) == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} (v1 @ v2)))) [z::=V\text{-var} x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}$

$GNil \models (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z) == CE\text{-concat} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v2))]^{ce}) [z::=V\text{-var} x]_v$

using $valid\text{-eval-e-eq}$ $eval\text{-e-concat-eq}$ $wb1$ $wb2$ $subst\text{-v-c-def}$ **by** $fastforce$

qed

qed

lemma $subtype\text{-len}$:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z') == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v)))) \} \lesssim$

$\{ z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z) == CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v))]^{ce} \} \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2)$

proof –

have $*$: $\Theta \vdash_{wf} [] \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$ **using** $assms$ $wfG\text{-nilI}$ $wfD\text{-emptyI}$ $wfPhi\text{-emptyI}$ **by** $auto$

obtain $x::x$ **where** x : $atom\ x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z', CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z') ==$

$CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v)))) , z, CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z) == CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v))]^{ce})$

$(\text{is } atom\ x \nmid ?F)$

using $obtain\text{-fresh}$ **by** $metis$

then show $?thesis$ **proof**

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v)))) : B\text{-int}$

using $wfCE\text{-valI}$ $*$ $wfV\text{-litI}$ $base\text{-for-lit.simps}$

by $(metis\ wfE\text{-valI}\ wfX\text{-}wfY)$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1$ **using** $wfT\text{-e-eq}$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ **by** $auto$

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v))]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$

using $wfE\text{-valI}$ $*$ $wfV\text{-litI}$ $base\text{-for-lit.simps}$ $wfE\text{-valI}$ $wfX\text{-}wfY$

by $(metis\ wfCE\text{-lenI}\ wfCE\text{-valI})$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2$ **using** $wfT\text{-e-eq}$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ **by** $auto$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-int}, (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z') == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v))))) [z'::=V\text{-var} x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z) == CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec} v))]^{ce}) [z::=V\text{-var} x]_v$

$(\text{is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c) \text{ using } valid\text{-len}\ assms\ subst\text{-v-c-def} \text{ by } auto$

qed

qed

lemma *subtype-base-fresh*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c' \}$ **and**
 $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c' \}$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# ((\Theta, \mathcal{B}, z, c, z, c', \Gamma), (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \{ z : b \mid c \}, \{ z : b \mid c' \}))$ **using**
obtain-fresh by metis

moreover **hence** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *assms valid-flip-simple*
** subst-v-c-def by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms τ .fresh fresh-Pair by metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-bop*:

assumes $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **and** $opp = Plus \wedge ll = (L-num\ (n1+n2)) \vee (opp = LEq \wedge ll = (if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))$

and $(opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B-int) \wedge (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B-bool)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z))\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll))) \}) \lesssim$

$\{ z : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z))\ (CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}) \}$ **(is** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash ?T1 \lesssim ?T2)$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf: atom\ x \# (z, CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll)), z, CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}, \Gamma)$

using *obtain-fresh by blast*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ x : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll))) \}) \lesssim$

$\{ x : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ (CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}) \}$ **(is** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash ?S1 \lesssim ?S2)$

proof(*rule subtype-base-fresh*)

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

show $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ x : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) \})$ **(is** $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?A\ ?B)$

proof(*rule wfT-e-eq*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V-lit\ ll) : b$ **using** *wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) : b$ **using** *wfCE-valI by auto*

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

qed

show $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ x : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}) \})$ **(is** $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?A\ ?C)$

proof(*rule wfT-e-eq, rule opp.exhaust[of opp]*)

{ **assume** $opp = Plus$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce} : b$ **using**
wfCE-valI wfCE-plusI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis

}

next

{ **assume** $opp = LEq$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce} : b$ **using**
wfCE-valI wfCE-leqI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis

}

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

qed

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (ll)))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}op\ opp [V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n1)]^{ce} [V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n2)]^{ce})$
(is $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c$)
using *valid-bop assms xf by simp*

qed

moreover have $?S1 = ?T1$ **using** *type-l-eq by auto*
moreover have $?S2 = ?T2$ **using** *type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def empty-iff fresh-e-opp*
by (*metis ms-fresh-all(4)*)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-top:*

assumes $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$
shows $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, z, c, z, TRUE)$ **using** *obtain-fresh by blast*

then show $?thesis$ **proof**(*rule subtype-baseI*)

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *wfT-TRUE assms wfX-wfY b-of.simps wfT-wf*

by (*metis wfX-wfB(8)*)

hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons3 assms fresh-Pair * subst-v-c-def*
by *auto*

thus $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \models (TRUE)[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v \rangle$ **using** *valid-trueI subst-cv.simps*
subst-v-c-def by metis

qed

qed

thm *valid-split*

thm *valid-wf-all*

lemma *if-simp:*

(if $x = x$ *then* $e1$ *else* $e2$) $= e1$

by *auto*

lemma *subtype-split:*

assumes *split* $n\ v\ (v1, v2)$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta; \{\llbracket \rrbracket\}; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[[L\text{-}bitvec$
 $v1]^v, [L\text{-}bitvec$

$v2]^v]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid [[[L\text{-}bitvec$

$v]^v]^{ce} == [[\#1[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \#2[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \text{ AND } [[\#1[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [$

$[L\text{-}num$

$n]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

(is $\Theta; ?B; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid ?c1 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid ?c2 \rrbracket$)

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf:atom\ x \# (\Theta, ?B, GNil, z, ?c1, z, ?c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*
then show $?thesis$ **proof**(*rule subtype-baseI*)
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (?c2)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$
unfolding *subst-v-c-def subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps if-simp*
using *valid-split[OF assms, of x]* **by** *simp*
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid ?c1 \} \rangle$ **using** *valid-wfT[OF *]* xf *fresh-prodN*
by *metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid ?c2 \} \rangle$ **using** *valid-wfT[OF *]* xf *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *subtype-range*:

fixes $n::int$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int\ (length\ v)$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num\ n]^v]^{ce} \} \lesssim$
 $\{ z : B-int \mid ([leq\ [[L-num\ 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \ AND\ ($
 $[leq\ [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[L-bitvec\ v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \}$
(is $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B-int \mid ?c1 \} \lesssim \{ z : B-int \mid ?c2\ AND\ ?c3 \})$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*(atom\ x \# (\Theta, ?B, \Gamma, z, ?c1, z, ?c2\ AND\ ?c3))$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

moreover have $*(\langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, B-int, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (?c2\ AND\ ?c3)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$

unfolding *subst-v-c-def subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps if-simp* **using** *valid-range-length[OF assms(1)] assms fresh-prodN ** **by** *simp*

moreover hence $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num\ n]^v]^{ce} \} \rangle$ **using**
*valid-wfT * fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B-int \mid ?c2\ AND\ ?c3 \} \rangle$

using *valid-wfT[OF **]* ** fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** *subtype-baseI* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *check-num-range*:

assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int\ (length\ v)$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash [L-num\ n]^v \Leftarrow \{ z : B-int \mid [leq\ [[L-num\ 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \ AND$
 $[leq\ [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[L-bitvec\ v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \}$

using *assms subtype-range check-v.intros infer-v-litI wfG-nilI*

by (*meson infer-natI*)

12.2 Literals

nominal-function *type-for-lit* $:: l \Rightarrow \tau$ **where**

type-for-lit (*L-true*) = $(\{ z : B-bool \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ L-true]^{ce} \})$

type-for-lit (*L-false*) = $(\{ z : B-bool \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ L-false]^{ce} \})$

type-for-lit (*L-num n*) = $(\{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-num\ n)]^{ce} \})$

type-for-lit (*L-unit*) = $(\{ z : B-unit \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-unit)]^{ce} \})$

type-for-lit (*L-bitvec v*) = $(\{ z : B-bitvec \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-bitvec\ v)]^{ce} \})$

by (auto simp: eqvt-def type-for-lit-graph-aux-def, metis l.strong-exhaust, (simp add: permute-int-def flip-bitvec0)+)

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

nominal-function type-for-var :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \tau$ where

type-for-var G τ x = (case lookup G x of

None $\Rightarrow \tau$

| Some (b,c) $\Rightarrow (\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket)$)

apply auto **unfolding** eqvt-def **apply**(rule allI) **unfolding** type-for-var-graph-aux-def eqvt-def by simp

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma infer-l-form:

fixes l::l **and** tm::'a::fs

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z b. \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \text{tm}$

proof –

obtain z' **and** b **where** t: $\tau = (\llbracket z' : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** infer-l-elimss **assms** **using** infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps

type-for-lit.cases **by** blast

obtain z::x **where** zf: $\text{atom } z \# \text{tm}$ **using** obtain-fresh **by** metis

have $\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket$ **using** type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh l.fresh

by (metis t type-l-eq)

thus ?thesis **using** zf **by** auto

qed

lemma infer-l-form3:

fixes l::l

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z. \tau = (\llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$

using infer-l-elimss **using** assms **using** infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps base-for-lit.simps **by** auto

lemma infer-l-form4[simp]:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\exists z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$

using assms infer-l-form2 infer-l-form3 **by** metis

lemma infer-v-unit-form:

fixes v::v

assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket)$ **and** $\text{supp } v = \{\}$

shows $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}$

using assms **proof**(nominal-induct $\Gamma v \llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ rule: infer-v.strong-induct)

case (infer-v-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} c x z$)

then show ?case **using** supp-at-base **by** auto

next

case (infer-v-litI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$)

from $\vdash l \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ **show** ?case **by**(nominal-induct $\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ rule:

infer-l.strong-induct,auto)
qed

lemma *base-for-lit-wf*:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \text{base-for-lit } l$

using *base-for-lit.simps* **using** *wfV-elim* *wf-intros* *assms l.exhaust* **by** *metis*

lemma *infer-l-t-wf*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \text{atom } z \nmid \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\text{atom } z \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-fresh-x* *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \text{base-for-lit } l$ **using** *base-for-lit-wf* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, \text{base-for-lit } l, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)$ **using**

wfC-v-eq *wfV-litI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *infer-l-wf*:

fixes $l::l$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$

proof –

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *assms infer-l-elim* **by** *auto*

thus $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *infer-l-t-wf* *assms* *infer-l-form3* *

by (*metis* $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$) *fresh-GNil* *wfG-nilI* *wfT-weakening-nil*)

qed

lemma *infer-l-uniqueness*:

fixes $l::l$

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau'$

shows $\tau = \tau'$

using *assms*

proof –

obtain z **and** b **where** $z \vdash \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** *infer-l-form* *assms* **by** *blast*

obtain z' **and** b **where** $z' \vdash \tau' = (\llbracket z' : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** *infer-l-form* *assms* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *type-l-eq* $z \vdash z'$ *assms* *infer-l.simps* *infer-l-elim* $l.\text{distinct}$

by (*metis* *infer-l-form3*)

qed

12.3 Values

lemma *type-v-eq*:

assumes $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \nmid x$

shows $b = b1$ **and** $c1 = C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))$

using *assms* **by** (*auto,metis* *Abs1-eq-iff* $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *assms* *c.fresh* *ce.fresh* *type-e-eq* *v.fresh*)

lemma *infer-var2* [*elim*]:

```

assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash V\text{-var } x \Rightarrow \tau$ 
shows  $\exists b \ c. \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } G \ x$ 
using assms infer-v-elim lookup-iff by (metis (no-types, lifting))

lemma infer-var3 [elim]:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-var } x \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists z \ b \ c. \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \not\# x \wedge \text{atom } z \not\# \Gamma$ 
  using infer-v-elim(1)[OF assms(1)] by metis

lemma infer-bool-options2:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  and  $\text{supp } v = \{\} \wedge b = B\text{-bool}$ 
  shows  $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} \vee (v = (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}))$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: b rule: v.strong-induct)
  case ( $V\text{-lit } l$ )
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct)
    case ( $L\text{-num } nat$ )
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-num } nat \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-int}$  using infer-l-elim(3) type-for-lit.simps(3) by (metis \tau.eq-iff)
    then show ?case using  $L\text{-num}$  by fastforce
  next
  case  $L\text{-true}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bool}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis \tau.eq-iff)
  then show ?case by blast
  next
  case  $L\text{-false}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bool}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis \tau.eq-iff)
  then show ?case by blast
  next
  case  $L\text{-unit}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-unit}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis \tau.eq-iff)
  then show ?case using  $L\text{-unit}$  by fastforce
  next
  case ( $L\text{-bitvec } x$ )
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } x \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bitvec}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis \tau.eq-iff)
  then show ?case using  $L\text{-bitvec}$  by fastforce
  qed
next
  case ( $V\text{-var } x$ )
  then show ?case using  $v.\text{supp } V\text{-var supp-at-base[of } x]$  by auto
next
  case ( $V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$ )
  then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.\text{eq-iff infer-v-elim}$  by (metis b.distinct)
next
  case ( $V\text{-cons } dc \ v$ )

```

```

    then show ?case using infer-v.simps
       $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b' v)
  then show ?case using infer-v.simps
     $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
qed

lemma infer-bool-options:
  fixes v::v
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bool \mid c \rrbracket$  and  $supp\ v = \{\}$ 
  shows  $v = V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}true \vee (v = (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}false))$ 
using infer-bool-options2 assms by blast

lemma infer-int2:
  fixes v::v
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ 
  shows  $supp\ v = \{\} \wedge b = B\text{-}int \longrightarrow (\exists n. v = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n))$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct)
    case (L-num nat)
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}num\ nat \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}int$  using infer-l.elims(3) type-for-lit.simps(3) by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by fastforce
  next
    case L-true
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}true \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bool$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case L-false
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}false \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bool$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case L-unit
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}unit \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}unit$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (L-bitvec x)
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}bitvec\ x \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bitvec$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)

```

```

then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
case (V-cons s dc v)
then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
case (V-consp s dc b v)
then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
qed

```

lemma infer-bitvec:

```

fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $v::v$ 
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c' \}$  and  $supp\ v = \{ \}$ 
shows  $\exists bv. v = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ bv)$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
case (V-lit l)
then show ?case by(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct,force+)
next
case (V-consp s dc b v)
then show ?case using infer-v.elims( $\gamma$ )[OF V-consp(2)]  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  by auto
next
case (V-var x)
then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
qed(force+)

```

lemma infer-int:

```

assumes infer-v  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v\ (\{ z : B\text{-}int \mid c \})$  and  $supp\ v = \{ \}$ 
shows  $\exists n. V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n) = v$ 
using assms infer-int2 by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

lemma infer-v-form[simp]:

```

fixes  $v::v$ 
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
shows  $\exists z\ b. \tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}val\ v) \}) \wedge atom\ z \# v \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$ 
using assms
proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary:  $\tau$  rule: v.strong-induct)
case (V-lit l)
hence  $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$  using infer-v.elims by metis
then obtain z and b where  $\tau = \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \} \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$ 
using infer-l-form by metis
moreover hence  $atom\ z \# (V\text{-}lit\ l)$  using supp-l-empty v.fresh(1) fresh-prod2 fresh-def by blast
ultimately show ?case by metis
next
case (V-var x)
then show ?case using infer-v.elims V-var
  by (metis finite.emptyI fresh-atom-at-base fresh-finite-insert v.fresh(2))
next
case (V-pair v1 v2)

```

obtain z and z1 and b1 and c1 and z2 and b2 and c2 where

$zbc: \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \# (v1, v2) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \wedge$
 $atom \ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim3*[*OF V-pair*(3)] **by** *metis*
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)$ **by** *simp*
moreover obtain $b = B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$ **using** *zbc* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *fast*
next
case (*V-cons s dc v*)
thm *infer-v-elim3*
obtain x **and** b **and** c **and** z **and** c' **and** $dclist$ **and** z' **where**
 $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \ dclist \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge atom \ z \# v \wedge atom \ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim4*[*OF V-cons*(2)] **by** *metis*
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v)$ **using**
 $Un\text{-commute } b.\text{supp}(3) \ fresh\text{-def } sup\text{-bot}.\text{right-neutral } supp\text{-b-empty } v.\text{supp}(4) \ pure\text{-supp}$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *metis*
next
case (*V-consp s dc bc v*)
from *V-consp*(2) **show** *?case* **proof**(*nominal-induct V-consp s dc bc v* *rule:infer-v.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-v-conspI bv dclist* $\Theta \ tc \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ tv \ z$)
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ bc \ v)$ **unfolding** *v.fresh* **using** *pure-fresh fresh-prodN* *
by *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *infer-v-form2*:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$ **and** $atom \ z \# v$
shows $c = C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v)$
using *assms*
proof –
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z' : b' \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v \rrbracket) \wedge atom$
 $z' \# v$
using *infer-v-form assms* **by** *meson*
thus *?thesis* **using** *Abs1-eq-iff*(3) *τ.eq-iff type-e-eq*
by (*metis assms*(2) *ce.fresh*(1))
qed

lemma *infer-v-form3*:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $atom \ z \# (v, \Gamma)$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket$
proof –
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $\tau = \llbracket z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket \wedge atom \ z' \# v \wedge atom$
 $z' \# \Gamma$ **using** *infer-v-form assms* **by** *metis*
moreover hence $\llbracket z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket$
using *assms type-e-eq fresh-Pair ce.fresh* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *b-of.simps assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *infer-v-form4*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# (v, \Gamma)$ **and** $b = \text{b-of } \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid \text{C-eq } (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (\text{CE-val } v) \}$

using *assms infer-v-form3* **by** *simp*

lemma *infer-v-v-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : (\text{b-of } \tau)$

proof(*induct rule: infer-v.induct*)

case (*infer-v-litI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l \tau$)

hence $\text{b-of } \tau = \text{base-for-lit } l$ **using** *infer-l-form3* *b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-litI infer-l-wf infer-v-litI wfG-b-weakening*

by (*metis fempty-fsubsetI*)

next

case (*infer-v-conspI* $s \text{ bv } dclist \Theta dc \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \text{ tv } b \text{ z}$)

obtain $z1 \text{ b1 } c1$ **where** $t:tc = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **unfolding** *b-of.simps* **proof**(*rule wfV-conspI*)

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle (dc, \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI t* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

have $b1[bv::=b]_{bb} = \text{b-of } tv$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2[OF infer-v-conspI(5)]* *b-of.simps t subst-tb.simps*

by *auto*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

qed

qed(*auto simp add: wfC-elim wf-intros*)+

lemma *infer-v-t-form-wf*:

assumes $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$

shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid \text{C-eq } (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (\text{CE-val } v) \}$

using *wfT-v-eq assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *infer-v-t-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{b-of } \tau)$

proof –

obtain z **and** b **where** $\tau = \{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } v \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# v \wedge \text{atom } z \#$

G **using** *infer-v-form assms* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps wfX-wfB(1) assms*

using *calculation* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{b-of } \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf infer-v-t-form-wf assms*

by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *infer-v-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : (\text{b-of } \tau)$ **and** $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau$ **and** $\text{wfTh } \Theta$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} G$

```

proof -
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using infer-v-v-wf assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using infer-v-t-wf assms by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$  using wfX-wfY by auto
  thus  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using wfX-wfY by auto
qed

lemma check-bool-options:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}$  and supp  $v = \{\}$ 
  shows  $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} \vee v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}$ 
proof -
  obtain  $t1$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$  using
check-v-elim
  using assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using infer-bool-options assms
  by (metis  $\tau.\text{exhaust}$  b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2)
qed

lemma check-v-wf:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\tau::\tau$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\tau'$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau'$  using check-v-elim assms by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
  using infer-v-wf infer-v-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 * subtype-wf by metis +
qed

lemma infer-v-form-fresh:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $t::'a::fs$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists z b. \tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } v) \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$ 
proof -
  obtain  $z'$  and  $b'$  where  $\tau = \{ z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } v) \}$  using infer-v-form
assms by blast
  moreover then obtain  $z$  and  $b$  and  $c$  where  $\tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$  using obtain-fresh-z
by metis
  ultimately have  $\tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } v) \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$ 
  using assms infer-v-form2 by auto
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

More generally, if support of a term is empty then any  $G$  will do

lemma infer-v-form-consp:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ } v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-app } s \text{ } b$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ } v \text{ } \tau$  rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-conspI  $bv \text{ dclist } \Theta \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma \text{ tv } z$ )
  then show ?case using b-of.simps by metis
qed

```

lemma *infer-v-uniqueness-rig*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $c::c$

assumes *infer-v* $P B G v \tau$ **and** *infer-v* $P B (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') v \tau'$

shows $\tau = \tau'$

using *assms*

proof(*nominal-induct* v *arbitrary*: $\tau' \tau$ *rule*: $v.\text{strong-induct}$)

case (*V-lit* l)

hence *infer-l* $l \tau$ **and** *infer-l* $l \tau'$ **using** *assms*(1) *infer-v-elim*(2) **by** *auto*

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l-uniqueness* **by** *presburger*

next

case (*V-var* y)

obtain b **and** c **where** $bc: \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } G y$

using *assms*(1) *infer-v-elim*(2) **using** *V-var.prem*(1) *lookup-iff* **by** *force*

then obtain c'' **where** $bc': \text{Some } (b, c'') = \text{lookup } (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') y$

using *lookup-in-rig* **by** *blast*

obtain z **where** $\tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \})$ **using** *infer-v-elim*(1)[*of* $P B G y \tau$] *V-var*

bc option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-g **by** *metis*

moreover obtain z' **where** $\tau' = (\{ z' : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \})$ **using** *infer-v-elim*(1)[*of* $P B - y \tau'$] *V-var*

option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-rig **by** (*metis* bc')

ultimately show ?*case* **using** *type-e-eq*

by (*metis* *V-var.prem*(1) *V-var.prem*(2) $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *ce.fresh*(1) *finite.emptyI* *fresh-atom-at-base* *fresh-finite-insert* *infer-v-elim*(1) $v.\text{fresh}$ (2))

next

case (*V-pair* $v1 v2$)

obtain z **and** $z1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c1$ **and** $c2$ **where**

$t1: \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-pair } b1 b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 v2) \}) \wedge \text{atom } z \# (v1, v2) \wedge P ; B ; G \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge P ; B ; G \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \}$

using *infer-v-elim*(3)[*OF* *V-pair*(3)] **by** *metis*

moreover obtain z' **and** $z1'$ **and** $z2'$ **and** $b1'$ **and** $b2'$ **and** $c1'$ **and** $c2'$ **where**

$t2: \tau' = (\{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1' b2' \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 v2) \}) \wedge \text{atom } z' \# (v1, v2) \wedge P ; B ; (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1' : b1' \mid c1' \} \wedge P ; B ; (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2' : b2' \mid c2' \}$

using *infer-v-elim*(3)[*OF* *V-pair*(4)] **by** *metis*

ultimately have $b1 = b1' \wedge b2 = b2'$ **using** *V-pair.hyps*(1) *V-pair.hyps*(2) $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *blast*

then show ?*case* **using** $t1 t2$ **by** *simp*

next

case (*V-cons* $s dc v$)

obtain x **and** z **and** b **and** c **and** $dclist$ **where** $t1: \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s dc v) \}) \wedge \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } P \wedge$

$(dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge \text{atom } z \# v$

using *infer-v-elim*(4)[*OF* *V-cons*(2)] **by** *metis*

moreover obtain x' **and** z' **and** b' **and** c' **and** $dclist'$ **where** $t2: \tau' = (\{ z' : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s dc v) \}) \wedge$

$\text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist}' \in \text{set } P \wedge (dc, \{ x' : b' \mid c' \}) \in \text{set } dclist' \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v$

using *infer-v-elim*(4)[*OF* *V-cons*(3)] **by** *metis*

moreover have $a: \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist}' \in \text{set } P$ **and** $b: (dc, \{ x' : b' \mid c' \}) \in \text{set } dclist'$ **and** $c: \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } P$ **and**

$d: (dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist$ **using** $t1 t2$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x' : b' \mid c' \rrbracket$ **using** *wfTh-dc-t-unique infer-v-wf V-cons* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } z \# \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v)$
using *e.fresh(1) v.fresh(4) t1 t2 pure-fresh* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $(\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-id } s \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \rrbracket)$
using *type-e-eq* **by** *metis*

thus *?case* **using** *t1 t2* **by** *simp*

next

case $(V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v})$
from $V\text{-consp}(2)$ $V\text{-consp}$ **show** *?case* **proof**(*nominal-induct V-consp s dc b v τ arbitrary: v rule:infer-v.strong-induct*)

case $(\text{infer-v-conspI } bv \text{ dclist } \Theta \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma \text{ v tv } z)$

obtain $z\mathcal{B}$ and $b\mathcal{B}$ where $*\tau' = \{ z\mathcal{B} : b\mathcal{B} \mid \llbracket z\mathcal{B} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z\mathcal{B} \# V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v}$
using *infer-v-form[OF $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x \mapsto c'] \vdash V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle$]* **by** *metis*

moreover then have $b\mathcal{B} = B\text{-app } s \text{ b}$ **using** *infer-v-form-consp b-of.simps * infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\{ z\mathcal{B} : B\text{-app } s \text{ b} \mid \llbracket z\mathcal{B} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \} = \{ z : B\text{-app } s \text{ b} \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \}$
proof –
 have $\text{atom } z\mathcal{B} \# \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce}$ **using** ** ce.fresh* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{atom } z \# \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce}$ **using** ** infer-v-conspI ce.fresh v.fresh pure-fresh*
by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *type-e-eq infer-v-conspI v.fresh ce.fresh* **by** *metis*
qed
 ultimately show *?case* **using** *** **by** *auto*
qed

qed

lemma *infer-v-uniqueness:*
 assumes *infer-v P B G v τ and infer-v P B G v τ'*
 shows $\tau = \tau'$
proof –
 obtain $x::x$ where $\text{atom } x \# G$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
 hence $G[x \mapsto C\text{-true}] = G$ **using** *replace-in-g-forget assms infer-v-wf* **by** *fast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *infer-v-uniqueness-rig assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *infer-v-tid-form:*
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-id } tid \mid c \}$ **and** *AF-typedef tid dclist \in set Θ and supp $v = \{\}$*
 shows $\exists dc \text{ v}' t. v = V\text{-cons } tid \text{ dc } v' \wedge (dc, t) \in \text{set } dclist$
using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v $\{ z : B\text{-id } tid \mid c \}$ rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
 case $(\text{infer-v-varI } \Theta \mathcal{B} c \text{ x } z)$
 then show *?case* **using** *v.supp supp-at-base* **by** *auto*
next
 case $(\text{infer-v-litI } \Theta \mathcal{B} l)$

then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (infer-v-consI dclist1 Θ dc x b c \mathcal{B} Γ v z' c' z)
 hence supp v = {} using v.supp by simp
 then obtain dca and v' where *: V-cons tid dc v = V-cons tid dca v' using infer-v-consI by auto
 hence dca = dc using v.eq-iff(4) by auto
 hence V-cons tid dc v = V-cons tid dca v' \wedge (dca, $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$) \in set dclist1 using infer-v-consI
 * by auto
 moreover have dclist = dclist1 using wfTh-dclist-unique infer-v-consI wfX-wfY \langle dca=dc \rangle
 proof –
 show ?thesis
 by (meson \langle AF-typedef tid dclist1 \in set Θ \rangle \langle Θ ; \mathcal{B} ; $\Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket$ \rangle infer-v-consI.prem
 infer-v-wf(4) wfTh-dclist-unique wfX-wfY)
 qed
 ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed

lemma check-v-tid-form:

assumes Θ ; \mathcal{B} ; $\Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket$ and AF-typedef tid dclist \in set Θ and supp v = {}
 shows \exists dc v' t. v = V-cons tid dc v' \wedge (dc , t) \in set dclist
 using assms proof (nominal-induct v $\llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket$ rule: check-v.strong-induct)
 case (check-v-subtypeI Θ \mathcal{B} Γ $\tau 1$ v)
 then obtain z and c where $\tau 1 = \llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid c \rrbracket$ using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps
 by (metis obtain-fresh-z2)
 then show ?case using infer-v-tid-form check-v-subtypeI by simp
 qed

lemma check-v-num-leq:

fixes n::int and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int}(\text{length } v)$ and $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ and Θ ; {} $\vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
 shows Θ ; {} ; $\Gamma \vdash [L\text{-num } n]^v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid ([\text{leq } [[L\text{-num } 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce})$
 AND $([\text{leq } [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[[L\text{-bitvec } v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce})$
 proof –
 have Θ ; {} ; $\Gamma \vdash [L\text{-num } n]^v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 using infer-v-litI infer-natI wfG-nilI assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using subtype-range[OF assms(1)] assms check-v-subtypeI by metis
 qed

lemma check-int:

assumes check-v Θ \mathcal{B} Γ v ($\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid c \rrbracket$) and supp v = {}
 shows $\exists n. V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n) = v$
 using assms infer-int check-v-elim by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-form subtype-eq-base2)

definition sble :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ where

sble Θ $\Gamma = (\exists i. i \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i)$

lemma check-v-range:

assumes $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [\text{leq} [[L\text{-num } 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND}$
 $[\text{leq} [[z]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
(is $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid ?c1 \rrbracket$ **)**
and $v1 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } bv) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{sble } \Theta \Gamma$
shows $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } bv)$
proof –
have $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid ?c1 \rrbracket$
using *check-v-elim* *assms*
by (*metis infer-l-uniqueness infer-natI infer-v-elim*(2))
moreover have $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-GNil* *assms* **by** *simp*
ultimately have $\Theta ; ?B ; ((z, B\text{-int}, [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ?c1$
using *subtype-valid-simple* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms valid-range-length-inv check-v-wf wfX-wfY sble-def* **by** *metis*
qed

12.4 Expressions

lemma *infer-e-plus*[*elim*]:

fixes $v1::v$ **and** $v2::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z . (\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-op } Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket = \tau)$

using *infer-e-elim* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *infer-e-leq*[*elim*]:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z . (\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket = \tau)$

using *infer-e-elim* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemmas *subst-defs* = *subst-b-b-def* *subst-b-c-def* *subst-b- τ -def* *subst-v-v-def* *subst-v-c-def* *subst-v- τ -def*

lemma *infer-e-e-wf*:

fixes $e::e$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b\text{-of } \tau$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* τ *avoiding*: τ *rule*: *infer-e.strong-induct*)

case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi \ v \ \tau$)

then show *?case* **using** *infer-v-v-wf* *wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$)

then show *?case* **using** *b-of.simps* *infer-v-v-wf* *wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$)

then show *?case* **using** *b-of.simps* *infer-v-v-wf* *wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-appI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi \ f \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau''$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-app } f \ v : b\text{-of } \tau'$ **proof**

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ **using**

infer-e-appI **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **using** *infer-e-appI* *check-v-wf* *b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

```

qed
moreover have b-of  $\tau' = b\text{-of } (\tau'[x::=v])_v$  using subst-tbase-eq subst-v- $\tau$ -def by auto
ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI subst-v-c-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau'' s' v \tau'$ )

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f b' v : (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b$  proof
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau'' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
* infer-e-appPI by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b)$  using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf b-of.simps subst-b-b-def by
metis
  have atom  $bv \# (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def infer-e-appPI by metis
  thus atom  $bv \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b)$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN
subst-b-b-def by metis
qed
moreover have b-of  $\tau' = (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b$ 
  using  $\langle \tau''[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v = \tau' \rangle$  b-of-subst-bb-commute subst-tbase-eq subst-b-b-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def
subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI by auto
next
case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-split } v1 v2 : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec}$ 
proof
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec}$  using infer-e-splitI b-of.simps infer-v-wf by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int}$  using infer-e-splitI b-of.simps check-v-wf by metis
qed
then show ?case using b-of.simps by auto
qed

lemma infer-e-t-wf:
  fixes  $e::e$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ 

```



```

shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: infer-e.induct)
case (infer-e-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v \tau$ )
then show ?case using infer-v-t-wf by auto
next
case (infer-e-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}op\ Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-}int$  using wfCE-plusI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-plusI by auto
next
case (infer-e-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}op\ LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-}bool$  using wfCE-leqI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-leqI by auto
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau s' v \tau'$ )
show ?case proof
show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-appI by auto
show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$  proof –
have  $*$ :  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(2) b-of.simps by metis
moreover have  $*$ :  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$  proof(rule wf-weakening1(4))
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfD-wf infer-e-appI
wb-b-weakening by fastforce
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c \}$  using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(3) by auto
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-appI wfT-wfC[THEN wfG-consI[rotated 3]]
* wfX-wfY wfT-wf-cons by metis
show  $\langle setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \subseteq setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using setG.simps by auto
qed
moreover have  $((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto

ultimately show ?thesis using infer-e-appI wf-subst1(4)[OF *, of GNil x b c \Gamma v] subst-v-\tau-def
by auto
qed
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} (\tau'[bv::=b]_b)[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule wf-subst(4))
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ 
proof(rule wf-weakening1(4))
have  $\langle \Theta ; \{bv\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT infer-e-appI infer-e-appPI
by simp
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ 
using wfT-subst-wfT infer-e-appPI wb-b-weakening subst-b-\tau-def subst-v-\tau-def by presburger
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf(3) subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ 
using infer-e-appPI wfT-wfC[THEN wfG-consI[rotated 3]] * wfX-wfY wfT-wf-cons wb-b-weakening
by metis

```

show $\langle \text{setG } ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$
using *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\langle (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using**
append-g.simps **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* *check-v-wf*(2) *b-of.simps* *subst-b-b-def*
by *metis*
qed
moreover **have** $((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma$ **using** *subst-gv.simps* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *infer-e-appPI* *subst-v- τ -def* **by** *simp*
next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} : b1$ **using** *wfCE-fstI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
b-of.simps **using** *wfCE-valI* **by** *fastforce*
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-sndI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} : b2$ **using** *wfCE-sndI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
wfCE-valI
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-sndI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-lenI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$ **using** *wfCE-lenI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
wfCE-valI
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-lenI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-mvarI* $\Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfD-wfT* **by** *blast*
next
case (*infer-e-concatI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec}$ **using** *wfCE-concatI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI*
infer-v-v-wf *wfCE-valI*
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-concatI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-splitI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$)

hence *wfg*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using *infer-v-wf* *wfG-cons2I* *wfB-pairI* *wfB-bitvecI* **by** *simp*
have *wfz*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[z3]^v]^{ce} : [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b$
apply(*rule* *wfCE-valI*, *rule* *wfV-varI*)
using *wfg* **apply** *simp*
using *lookup.simps*(2)[*of* *z3* [*B-bitvec*, *B-bitvec*]^b *TRUE* Γ *z3*] **by** *simp*
have 1: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$
using *check-v-wf*[*OF* *infer-e-splitI*(4)] *wf-weakening*(1)[*OF* - *wfg*] *b-of.simps* *setG.simps* *wfCE-valI*
by *fastforce*
have 2: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec}$
using *infer-v-wf*[*OF* *infer-e-splitI*(3)] *wf-weakening*(1)[*OF* - *wfg*] *b-of.simps* *setG.simps* *wfCE-valI*
by *fastforce*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z3 : [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b \mid [v1]^{ce} \rrbracket == \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \text{ AND } \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket v2 \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket$
proof
show $atom\ z3 \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *infer-e-splitI wfTh-x-fresh wfX-wfY fresh-prod3 wfG-fresh-x* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b$ **using** *wfB-pairI wfB-bitvecI infer-e-splitI wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}$
 $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} == \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \text{ AND } \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket v2 \rrbracket^{ce}$
using *wfg wfz 1 2 wf-intros* **by** *meson*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *infer-e-wf*:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : (b\text{-of } \tau)$
using *infer-e-t-wf infer-e-e-wf wfE-wf* **assms** **by** *metis+*

lemma *infer-e-fresh*:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \ \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \ \# (e, \tau)$

proof –
have $atom\ x \ \# e$ **using** *infer-e-e-wf[THEN wfE-x-fresh, OF assms(1)] assms(2)* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \ \# \tau$ **using** *assms infer-e-wf wfT-x-fresh* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-Pair* **by** *auto*
qed

inductive *check-e* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool$ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50]
50) **where**
check-e-subtypeI: $\llbracket infer-e\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ e\ \tau' ; subtype\ T\ B\ G\ \tau'\ \tau \rrbracket \Longrightarrow check-e\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ e\ \tau$
equivariance *check-e*
nominal-inductive *check-e* .

inductive-cases *check-e-elim*[*elim!*]:
check-e $F\ D\ B\ G\ \Theta\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ \tau$
check-e $F\ D\ B\ G\ \Theta\ e\ \tau$

lemma *infer-e-fst-pair*:
fixes $v1::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [\#1[v1, v2]^v]^e \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [v1]^e \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$
proof –
obtain z' **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** c **and** z **where** $** : \tau = (\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-fst}$

$[(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}) \wedge wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B} \wedge atom \ z \ \sharp \ V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$
using *infer-e-elimss* **by** *metis*
hence $*$: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B}$ **by** *auto*

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1a$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2a$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $*$: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1a \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2a \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge$
 $B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 = B\text{-pair } b1a \ b2a$
using *infer-v-elimss(5)[OF *]* **by** *metis*

hence *suppv*: $supp \ v1 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ v2 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) = \{\}$ **using** $**$ *infer-v-v-wf*
 $wfV\text{-supp}$ *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* *supp-GNil*
by (*meson* *wfV-supp-nil*)

hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v1 \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *infer-v-form2*
 $*$
using *fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2]^{ce} : b1$ **using** *wfCE-fstI* *infer-v-wf(1)* $**$
b-of.simps *wfCE-valI* **by** *metis*

moreover **hence** *st*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v1 \ \mathbb{B} \lesssim (\mathbb{B} \ z : b1$
 $\mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B})$
using *subtype-gnil-fst* *infer-v-v-wf* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *wfX-wfY* $**$ *infer-e-valI* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *infer-e-snd-pair*:
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau'. \ \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } v2 \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$
proof –
obtain z' **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** c **and** z **where** $** : \tau = (\mathbb{B} \ z : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd}$
 $[(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}) \wedge wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B} \wedge atom \ z \ \sharp \ V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$
using *infer-e-elimss(9)[OF assms(1)]* **by** *metis*
hence $*$: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B}$ **by** *auto*

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1a$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2a$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $*$: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1a \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2a \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge$
 $B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 = B\text{-pair } b1a \ b2a$
using *infer-v-elimss(5)[OF *]* **by** *metis*

hence *suppv*: $supp \ v1 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ v2 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) = \{\}$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf* *wfV.simps*
v.supp **by** (*meson* $**$ *wfV-supp-nil*)

hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-val } v2 \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *infer-v-form2*
 $*$
by (*metis* *b.eq-iff(4)* *empty-iff* *fresh-def*)
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} : b2$ **using** *wfCE-sndI* *infer-v-wf(1)*
 $**$ *b-of.simps* *wfCE-valI* **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** *st*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-val } v2 \ \mathbb{B} \lesssim (\mathbb{B} \ z : b2$

| $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{I}$)
 using *subtype-gnil-snd infer-v-v-wf* by *auto*
 moreover have $wfD \ \Theta \ \{\mathbb{I}\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge \ wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$ using *assms infer-e-wf* by *meson*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *** infer-e-valI* by *metis*
 qed

12.5 Statements

lemma *check-s-v-unit*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\mathbb{I} \ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \ \mathbb{I}) \lesssim \tau$ and $wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta$ and $wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \Leftarrow \tau$
 proof –
 have $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma$ using *assms subtype-g-wf* by *meson*
 moreover hence $wfTh \ \Theta$ using *wfG-wf* by *simp*
 moreover obtain $z'::x$ where $atom \ z' \ \# \ \Gamma$ using *obtain-fresh* by *auto*
 ultimately have $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I}$
 using *infer-v-litI infer-unitI* by *simp*
 moreover have $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I})$ using *infer-v-t-wf*
 by (*meson calculation*)
 moreover then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I}) \lesssim \tau$ using *subtype-trans subtype-top assms type-for-lit.simps(4) wfX-wfY* by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *check-valI assms ** by *auto*
 qed

12.6 Replacing Variables

Needed as the typing elimination rules give us facts for an alpha-equivalent version of a term and so need to be able to 'jump back' to a typing judgement for the original term

lemma $\tau\text{-fresh-c}[simp]$:

assumes $atom \ x \ \# \ \mathbb{I} \ z : b \mid c \ \mathbb{I}$ and $atom \ z \ \# \ x$
 shows $atom \ x \ \# \ c$
 using $\tau.\text{fresh}$ *assms fresh-at-base*
 by (*simp add: fresh-at-base(2)*)

lemma *wfT-wfT-if1*:

assumes $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\mathbb{I} \ z : b\text{-of } t \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of } t \ z \ \mathbb{I})$ and $atom \ z \ \# \ (\Gamma, t)$
 shows $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ t$
 using *assms proof(nominal-induct t avoiding: $\Gamma \ z$ rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$)*
 case (*T-refined-type* $z' \ b' \ c'$)
 show *?case proof(rule wfT-wfT-if)*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \mathbb{I} \ z : b' \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \ IMP \ c'[z'::=[z]^v]_{cv} \ \mathbb{I} \rangle$
 using *T-refined-type b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs* by *metis*
 show $\langle atom \ z \ \# \ (c', \Gamma) \rangle$ using *T-refined-type fresh-prodN $\tau\text{-fresh-c}$* by *metis*
 qed
 qed

thm *check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*

lemma *check-s-check-branch-s-wf*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::branch-s$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $css::branch-list$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma$
 $\tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$ **and**

$check-branch-s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta$
 $\wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$

$check-branch-list \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta$
 $\wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$

proof(*induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*)

case (*check-valI* $\Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-wf wfX-wfY wfS-valI*

by (*metis subtype-eq-base2*)

next

case (*check-letI* $x \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c$)

then have $*, wfT \Theta B ((x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **by force**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-letI fresh-prodN* **by force**

ultimately have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by force**

then show ?case **using** *check-letI infer-e-wf wfS-letI wfX-wfY wfG-elim* **by metis**

next

case (*check-assertI* $x \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)

then have $*, wfT \Theta B ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **by force**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-assertI fresh-prodN* **by force**

ultimately have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by force**

then show ?case **using** *check-assertI wfS-assertI wfX-wfY wfG-elim* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta B \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ cons const } x v \Phi s \text{ tid}$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist}' v \text{ cs } \tau \text{ css}$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist}' v \text{ cs } \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-ifI* $z \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$)

hence $*, wfT \Theta B \Gamma (\llbracket z : b-of \tau \mid CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit L-false) IMP c-of \tau z \rrbracket) \text{ (is } wfT \Theta B \Gamma ?tau)$ **by auto**

hence $wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau$ **using** *wfT-wfT-if1 check-ifI fresh-prodN* **by metis**

hence $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *check-ifI b-of-c-of-eq fresh-prodN* **by auto**

thus ?case **using** *check-ifI* **by metis**

next

case (*check-let2I* $x \Theta \Phi B G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$)

then have $wfT \Theta B ((x, b-of t, (c-of t x)) \#_{\Gamma} G) \tau$ **by fastforce**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-let2I* **by force**

ultimately have $wfT \Theta B G \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by metis**

then show ?case **using** *check-let2I* **by argo**

next

case (*check-varI* $u \Delta P G v \tau' \Phi s \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *wfG-elim wfD-elim*

list.distinct list.inject **by metis**

next
 case (*check-assignI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$)
 obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*:atom\ z' \# \Gamma$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
 moreover **have** $\llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **by** *auto*
 moreover **hence** $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \llbracket z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** *wfT-TRUE check-assignI check-v-wf * wfB-unitI wfG-wf* **by** *metis*
 ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *check-v.cases infer-v-wf subtype-wf check-assignI wfT-wf check-v-wf wfG-wf*
by (*meson subtype-wf*)
next
 case (*check-whileI* $\Phi \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau'$)
 then **show** *?case* **using** *subtype-wf subtype-wf* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*check-seqI* $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau$)
 then **show** *?case* **by** *fast*
next
 case (*check-caseI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta dclist\ cs\ \tau\ tid\ v\ z$)
 then **show** *?case* **by** *fast*
qed

lemma *fresh-u-replace-true*:
 fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $atom\ bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 shows $atom\ bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 using *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons assms fresh-Pair c.fresh(1)* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-replace-true1*:
 fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
and $ts::(string*\tau)$ **list** **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b'::b$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $s::s$
and $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ **and** $ft::fun\text{-}typ$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::type\text{-}def$ **and** $cs::branch\text{-}s$ **and** $css::branch\text{-}list$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} v : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c''$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} ce : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$

proof(*nominal-induct*
 b' **and** c'' **and** G **and** τ **and** ts **and** P **and** b **and** b' **and** td
arbitrary: $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$
rule:*wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct*)
case (*wfB-intI* $\Theta \mathcal{B}$)
 then **show** *?case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** *metis*

```

next
  case (wfB-boolI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-unitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-bitvecI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-consI  $\Theta s dclist \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-appI  $\Theta b s bv dclist \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' b' c x'$ )
  hence wfg:  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  by auto
  show ?case proof(cases  $x=x'$ )
    case True
    hence Some  $(b, TRUE) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x'$  using lookup.simps lookup-inside-wf
  wfg by simp
  thus ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
  by (metis True lookup-inside-wf old.prod.inject option.inject wfV-varI.hyps(1) wfV-varI.hyps(3)
wfV-varI.prem)
next
  case False
  hence Some  $(b', c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x'$  using lookup-inside2 wfV-varI by
metis
  then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
  by (metis wfG-elim2 wfG-suffix wfV-varI.hyps(1) wfV-varI.hyps(2) wfV-varI.hyps(3)
wfV-varI.prem Wellformed.wfV-varI wf-replace-inside(1))
qed
next
  case (wfV-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-consI  $s dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s bv dclist \Theta dc xc bc cc \mathcal{B} b' \Gamma'' v$ )
  show ?case proof
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s bv dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle (dc, \{ xc : bc \mid cc \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : bc[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis

```



```

    have atom bv  $\# \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using fresh-u-replace-true wfV-conspI by metis
    thus  $\langle atom bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, b', v) \rangle$  using wfV-conspI fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfTI z  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' b' c'$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle atom z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using wfTI fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfTI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c' \rangle$  using wfTI append-g.simps
by metis
qed
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfC-impI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using GNil-append by blast
next
  case (wfG-cons1I  $c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' x b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wfG-cons-TRUE2 wfG-elim(2) wfG-replace-inside wfG-suffix
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
  case (wfG-cons2I  $c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' x' b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros
    by (metis wfG-cons-TRUE2 wfG-elim(2) wfG-replace-inside wfG-suffix)
next
  case wfTh-emptyI
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTh-consI tdef  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTD-simpleI  $\Theta lst s$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTD-poly  $\Theta bv lst s$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTs-nil  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTs-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau dc ts$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed

```

lemma wf-replace-true2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $c'::c$ and $c'::c$ and $\tau::\tau$
 and $ts::(string*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b'::b$ and $b::b$ and $s::s$
 and $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $ft::fun\text{-}typ$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::type\text{-}def$ and $cs::branch\text{-}s$ and
 $css::branch\text{-}list$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b'$ **and**

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and**

```

     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$ 
proof(nominal-induct
      b' and b' and b' and b' and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
      arbitrary:  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$ 
      and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$ 
      rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-legI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1 by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by metis
    have atom bv  $\# \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using fresh-u-replace-true wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by
metis
    thus  $\langle \text{atom bv} \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$ 
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 b1 c1 \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$ 
using wfE-appPI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1
by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

```

next

case (wfS-valI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$)
 then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

next

case (wfS-letI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta e b' x1 s b1$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$ using wfS-letI wf-replace-true1 by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b1 \rangle$ apply(rule wfS-letI(4))

using wfS-letI append-g.simps by simp

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b1 \rangle$ using append-g.simps by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-letI by metis

show atom x1 $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, e, b1)$ using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN wfS-letI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-assertI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' c \Gamma'' \Delta s b'$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$

using wfS-assertI (2)[of $(x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \Gamma$] wfS-assertI by simp

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ using wfS-assertI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-assertI by metis

show $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, c, b', s) \rangle$ using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN by simp

qed

next

case (wfS-let2I $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta s1 \tau x' s2 ba'$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1 by metis

by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1 by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba' \rangle$

apply(rule wfS-let2I(5))

using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba' \rangle$ using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, s1, ba', \tau) \rangle$ using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN wfS-let2I by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-ifI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$)

then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

next

case (wfS-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \tau v u \Phi \Delta b' s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \text{atom } u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b') \rangle$ using wfS-varI u-fresh-g fresh-prodN by auto

```

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using wfS-varI by metis
qed

next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b'$ )
  show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-id \ tid \rangle$  using wfS-matchI wf-replace-true1 by
auto
  show  $\langle AF-typedef \ tid \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b' \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' \tau \Gamma'' \Delta s b' tid dc$ )
  show ?case proof
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b-of \ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using
wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b-of \ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using wfS-branchI
append-g.simps append-g.simps by metis
  show  $\langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \tau) \rangle$  using
wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfFTNone  $\Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta \Phi bv ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed

```

lemmas wf-replace-true = wf-replace-true1 wf-replace-true2

lemma check-s-check-branch-s-wfS:

fixes $s::s$ and $cs::branch-s$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $v::v$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $css::branch-list$
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ and

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v cs \tau \implies wfCS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } cs (b\text{-of } \tau)$

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v css \tau \implies wfCSS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } css (b\text{-of } \tau)$

proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)

case (check-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

then show ?case using infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-wf wfX-wfY wfS-valI
 by (metis subtype-eq-base2)

next

case (check-letI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \rangle$ using infer-e-wf check-letI b-of.simps by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$

using check-letI b-of.simps wf-replace-true2(2)[OF check-letI(5)] append-g.simps by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using infer-e-wf check-letI b-of.simps by metis

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b\text{-of } \tau) \rangle$

apply(simp add: fresh-prodN, intro conjI)

using check-letI(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+

qed

next

case (check-assertI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b\text{-of } \tau, s) \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

qed

next

case (check-branch-s-branchI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ const } x \Phi \text{ tid cons } v s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$

using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \text{const}) \rangle$

using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN by metis

```

    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (check-iffI  $z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$ )
  show ?case using wfS-iffI check-v-wf check-iffI b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-let2I  $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $G$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } t$  ⟩ using check-let2I b-of.simps by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $G \vdash_{wf} t$  ⟩ using check-let2I check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, b\text{-of } t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩
    using check-let2I(5) wf-replace-true2(2) append-g.simps check-let2I by metis
    show ⟨  $atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, s1, b\text{-of } \tau, t)$  ⟩
    apply (simp add: fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    using check-let2I(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+
  qed
next
  case (check-varI  $u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$  ⟩ using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau'$  ⟩ using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show ⟨  $atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, b\text{-of } \tau)$  ⟩ using check-varI fresh-prodN u-fresh-b by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $(u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩ using check-varI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau$ )
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-caseI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau z$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-id } tid$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
    show ⟨  $AF\text{-typedef } tid\ dclist \in set\ \Theta$  ⟩ using check-caseI by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ;  $tid$  ;  $dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩ using check-caseI by metis
  qed
qed

```

lemma check-s-wf:

fixes $s::s$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \wedge wfS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s$
(b-of τ)
using *check-s-check-branch-s-wf check-s-check-branch-s-wfS assms* **by** *meson*

lemma *check-s-flip-u1*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Phi ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s$
 $\Leftarrow (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau$
using *check-s.eqvt assms* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *check-s-wf[OF assms] flip-u-eq phi-flip-eq* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *check-s-flip-u2*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
using *check-s-flip-u1 assms* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *permute-flip-cancel* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *check-s-flip-u*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau = (\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau)$
using *check-s-flip-u1 check-s-flip-u2* **by** *metis*

lemma *check-s-abs-u*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $s'::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$ **and** $\tau'::\tau$
assumes $[[atom\ u]]lst. s = [[atom\ u']]lst. s'$ **and** $atom\ u \not\# \Delta$ **and** $atom\ u' \not\# \Delta$
and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$
and $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
using *assms check-s-flip-u* **by** *metis*
moreover have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **proof** –
have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = ((u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot u, (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \Delta$
using *DCons-eqvt Pair-eqvt* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (u', (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$
using *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **using**
u-not-in-t fresh-def flip-fresh-fresh assms **by** *metis*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s = s'$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of u' s' u s]* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

12.7 Additional Elimination and Intros

12.7.1 Values

nominal-function *b-for* :: *opp* \Rightarrow *b* **where**
b-for Plus = *B-int*
| *b-for LEq* = *B-bool*
apply(*auto*,*simp* *add*: *eqvt-def b-for-graph-aux-def*)
by (*meson opp.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *infer-v-pair2I*:

fixes *v1::v* **and** *v2::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$
shows $\exists \tau. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2)$
proof –
obtain *z1* **and** *b1* **and** *c1* **and** *z2* **and** *b2* **and** *c2* **where** *zbc*: $\tau_1 = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \wedge \tau_2 = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
using *τ.exhaust* **by** *meson*
obtain *z::x* **where** *atom z* $\# (v_1, v_2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh*
by *blast*
hence *atom z* $\# (v_1, v_2) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket$
using *assms infer-v-pairI zbc* **by** *auto*
moreover obtain τ **where** $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$ **by** *blast*
moreover hence $b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2)$ **using** *b-of.simps zbc* **by** *presburger*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *meson*
qed

lemma *infer-v-pair2I-zbc*:

fixes *v1::v* **and** *v2::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$
shows $\exists z \tau. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \# (v_1, v_2) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma$
proof –
obtain *z1* **and** *b1* **and** *c1* **and** *z2* **and** *b2* **and** *c2* **where** *zbc*: $\tau_1 = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \wedge \tau_2 = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
using *τ.exhaust* **by** *meson*
obtain *z::x* **where** $\text{atom } z \# (v_1, v_2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh*
by *blast*
hence *vinf*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket$
using *assms infer-v-pairI[of z v1 v2 Γ Θ B z1 b1 c1 z2 b2 c2]* *zbc* **by** *simp*
moreover obtain τ **where** $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau_1 = b1 \wedge b\text{-of } \tau_2 = b2$ **using** *zbc b-of.simps* **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$

($V\text{-var } z$) == $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)$ \mathbb{B}) **by auto**
thus ?thesis using * fresh-prod2 fresh-prod3 by metis
qed

lemma infer-v-pair2E:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \ z . \ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \wedge$
 $\tau = (\mathbb{B} \ z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) \ (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2))) \mathbb{B}) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \ \sharp \ (v_1, v_2)$
proof –
obtain z **and** $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $\tau = (\mathbb{B} \ z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \ \mathbb{B}) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \ \sharp \ (v_1, v_2) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \mathbb{B} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \mathbb{B}$
using *infer-v-elim*s *assms*
by *blast*
moreover then obtain τ_1 **and** τ_2 **where** $\tau_1 = (\mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \mathbb{B}) \wedge \tau_2 = (\mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \mathbb{B})$
by *blast*
moreover hence $b1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1 \wedge b2 = b\text{-of } \tau_2$ **using** *b-of.simps* **by auto**
ultimately show ?thesis using b-of.simps by metis
qed

12.7.2 Expressions

lemma infer-e-app2E:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$ **and** $\Theta :: \Theta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-app } f \ v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists x \ b \ c \ s' \ \tau' . \ wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{B} \ x : b \mid c \mathbb{B} \wedge \tau = \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
using *infer-e-elim*s(6)[*OF assms*] *b-of.simps* *subst-defs* **by metis**

lemma infer-e-appP2E:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$ **and** $\Theta :: \Theta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists bv \ x \ ba \ c \ s' \ \tau' . \ wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ ba \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge$
 $(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{B} \ x : ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \mathbb{B}) \wedge (\tau = \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
 $\wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp \ v$
proof –
obtain $bv \ x \ ba \ c \ s' \ \tau'$ **where** $*:wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ ba \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge$
 $(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{B} \ x : ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \mathbb{B}) \wedge (\tau = \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
 $\wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b, v, \tau)$
using *infer-e-elim*s(21)[*OF assms*] *subst-defs* **by metis**
moreover then have $atom \ bv \ \sharp \ v$ **using** *fresh-prodN* **by metis**
ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed

12.8 Weakening

Lemmas showing that typing judgements hold when a context is extended

lemma *subtype-weakening*:

fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$ **and** $\text{set}G \ \Gamma \subseteq \text{set}G \ \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$
using *assms proof*(*nominal-induct* $\tau 2$ *avoiding*: Γ' *rule*: *subtype.strong-induct*)

case (*subtype-baseI* $x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ z \ c \ z' \ c' \ b$)
show *?case proof*
show $\ast:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **using** *wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', z, c, z', c')$ **using** *subtype-baseI fresh-Pair by metis*
have $\text{set}G ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{set}G ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$ **using** *subtype-baseI setG.simps by blast*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons3[OF *, of x] subtype-baseI fresh-Pair subst-defs by metis*
ultimately **show** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \models c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *valid-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
qed
qed

method *many-rules* **uses** $\text{add} = ((\text{rule}+), ((\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{add})+)?)$

lemma *infer-v-g-weakening*:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{set}G \ \Gamma \subseteq \text{set}G \ \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
using *assms proof*(*nominal-induct* v *arbitrary*: τ *rule*: *v.strong-induct*)

case (*V-lit* l)
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $\text{zbc1}: \tau = (\{ z' : b' \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-v-form V-lit by meson*
obtain z **and** b **where** $\vdash l \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-l-form2 assms infer-v-wf by metis*
hence $xx: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash V\text{-lit } l \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-v-litI assms(1) infer-v-wf*
proof –
show *?thesis*
by (*metis* $\vdash l \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid [\ [z]^v]^{ce} == [\ [l]^v]^{ce} \}$ *assms(3) infer-v-litI*)
qed
have $b' = b$
using *V-lit.premis(1) $\vdash l \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \} \tau.\text{eq-iff infer-l-uniqueness zbc1}$*
by (*meson infer-v-elimis(2)*)
hence $\tau = (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$ **using** *zbc1*
using *type-l-eq by blast*
then **show** *?case* **using** xx **by** *auto*

next
case (*V-var* x)
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\ast:\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x \wedge \text{atom } z \# x \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma \wedge \tau = (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) \})$
using *infer-v-elimis(1) V-var fresh-atom-at-base fresh-finite-insert lookup-iff*
by (*metis finite.emptyI*)

moreover obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z':atom\ z' \# (x, \Gamma')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
moreover hence $t:\tau = (\llbracket z' : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z') == CE-val\ (V-var\ x) \rrbracket)$ **using** $*$ **by** *force*
moreover hence $**Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma'\ x$ **using** *lookup-weakening assms*
using *infer-v-wf* $*$ **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash V-var\ x \Rightarrow (\llbracket z' : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z') == CE-val\ (V-var\ x) \rrbracket)$
using *infer-v-varI* $V-var$ $**\ z'$ **by** *simp*
thus $?case$ **using** t **by** *auto*

next
case $(V-pair\ v1\ v2)$
obtain $z\ z1\ b1\ c1\ z2\ b2\ c2$ **where** $*\tau = (\llbracket z : B-pair\ b1\ b2 \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ (V-pair\ v1\ v2) \rrbracket \wedge$
 $atom\ z \# (v1, v2) \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 :$
 $b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket$
using *infer-v-elim3* $[OF\ V-pair(3)]$ **by** *metis*
moreover obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z':atom\ z' \# (v1, v2) \wedge atom\ z' \# \Gamma'$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-prod2*
by *metis*
moreover hence $\tau = (\llbracket z' : B-pair\ b1\ b2 \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z') == CE-val\ (V-pair\ v1\ v2) \rrbracket)$ **using**
 $*$ **by** *force*
ultimately show $?case$ **using** *infer-v-pairI* $V-pair$ **by** *metis*

next
case $(V-consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v)$
from $V-consp(2)\ V-consp(1)\ V-consp(3)\ V-consp(4)$ **show** $?case$
proof(*nominal-induct* $V-consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v\ \tau$ *avoiding: Γ' rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
case $(infer-v-conspI\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ tv\ z)$
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\langle AF-typedef-poly\ s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, tc) \in set\ dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI subtype-weakening* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', v, b) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', v, b) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

qed
qed

next
case $(V-cons\ s\ dc\ v)$

obtain $dclist\ x\ b\ c\ z'\ c'\ z$ **where**
 $*\tau = (\llbracket z : B-id\ s \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ v) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $AF-typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z \# v \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim4* $[OF\ V-cons(2)]$ **by** *metis*
moreover obtain $z''::x$ **where** $zdash:atom\ z'' \# v \wedge atom\ z'' \# \Gamma'$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-prod2* **by**
metis
moreover hence $t:\tau = (\llbracket z'' : B-id\ s \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z'') == CE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ v) \rrbracket)$ **proof**

have $atom\ z'' \# AE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ v)$ **using** $zdash\ e.fresh\ v.fresh\ Un-commute\ b.sup(3)\ fresh-def$
 $sup-bot.right-neutral\ supp-b-empty\ v.sup(4)$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $atom\ z \# AE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ v)$ **using** $*\ e.fresh\ v.fresh\ Un-commute\ b.sup(3)\ fresh-def$

$\text{sup-bot.right-neutral } \text{supp-b-empty } v.\text{supp}(4) \text{ by } \text{metis}$
ultimately show $?thesis \text{ using } \text{type-e-eq}[\text{of } z'' \text{ CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \text{ } z \text{ B-id } s] * \text{ by } \text{simp}$
qed
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \text{ using } * \text{ V-cons by } \text{meson}$
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \text{ using } * \text{ subtype-weakening V-cons by }$
 meson

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z'' : B\text{-id } s \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z'') \rrbracket == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \rrbracket)$
using $\text{infer-v-consI by } \text{metis}$
thus $?case \text{ using } t \text{ by } \text{auto}$
qed

lemma $\text{check-v-g-weakening}$:
fixes $e::e \text{ and } \Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau \text{ and } \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \text{ and } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$
using $\text{subtype-weakening infer-v-g-weakening check-v-elim check-v-subtypeI assms by } \text{metis}$

lemma $\text{infer-e-g-weakening}$:
fixes $e::e \text{ and } \Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \text{ and } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$
using $\text{assms proof(nominal-induct } \tau \text{ avoiding: } \Gamma' \text{ rule: infer-e.strong-induct)}$
case $(\text{infer-e-valI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v \tau)$
then show $?case \text{ using } \text{infer-v-g-weakening wf-weakening infer-e.intros by } \text{metis}$
next
case $(\text{infer-e-plusI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3)$

obtain $z'::x \text{ where } z': \text{atom } z' \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v2 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma' \text{ using } \text{obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by } \text{metis}$
moreover hence $*:\llbracket z3 : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z3) \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket)$
using $\text{infer-e-plusI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp by } \text{auto}$

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash \text{AE-op Plus } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle \text{ using } \text{wf-weakening infer-e-plusI by } \text{auto}$
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle \text{ using } \text{infer-e-plusI by } \text{auto}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } \text{infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-plusI by } \text{auto}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } \text{infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-plusI by } \text{auto}$
show $\langle \text{atom } z' \# \text{AE-op Plus } v1 \ v2 \rangle \text{ using } z' \text{ by } \text{auto}$
show $\langle \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma' \rangle \text{ using } z' \text{ by } \text{auto}$
qed
thus $?case \text{ using } * \text{ by } \text{metis}$

next
case $(\text{infer-e-leqI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3)$
obtain $z'::x \text{ where } z': \text{atom } z' \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v2 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma' \text{ using } \text{obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by } \text{metis}$

$B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B})$
using *infer-e-leqI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp* **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom \ z' \# AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** z' **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom \ z' \# \Gamma' \rangle$ **using** z' **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** $*$ **by** *metis*

next
case $(infer\text{-e-appI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ f \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-app } f \ v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *Typing.infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

obtain $x'::x$ **where** $x':atom \ x' \# (s', c, \tau', \Gamma') \wedge (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))))$
using *obtain-fresh-fun-def[of s' c \tau' \Gamma' f x b]* **by** *metis*

hence $**:\ \mathbb{B} \ x : b \mid c \ \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B} \ x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) type-eq-flip* **by** *blast*
have $atom \ x' \# \Gamma$ **using** x' *infer-e-appI fresh-weakening fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
have $\langle Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
thus $\langle Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ **using** x' **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{B} \ x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *check-v-g-weakening ** infer-e-appI* **by** *metis*
show $atom \ x' \# \Gamma'$ **using** x' *fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
have $atom \ x \# (v, \tau) \wedge atom \ x' \# (v, \tau)$ **using** x' *infer-e-fresh[OF *] e.fresh(2) fresh-Pair infer-e-appI* $\langle atom \ x' \# \Gamma \rangle$ **by** *metis*
thus $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[x'::=v]_v = \tau$ **using** *infer-e-appI(7) infer-e-appI subst-tv-flip subst-defs* **by** *auto*
qed

next
case $(infer\text{-e-appPI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *Typing.infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*

obtain $x'::x$ **where** $x':atom \ x' \# (s', c, \tau', (\Gamma', v, \tau)) \wedge (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'))) = (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))))$
using *obtain-fresh-fun-def[of s' c \tau' (\Gamma', v, \tau) f x b]*
by $(metis \ fun\text{-def.eq-iff } fun\text{-typ-q.eq-iff}(2))$

hence **: $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \rrbracket$
using *fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) type-eq-flip* **by** *blast*
have *atom* $x' \# \Gamma$ **using** x' *infer-e-appPI fresh-weakening fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show *?case proof*(*rule Typing.infer-e-appPI*[**where** $x=x'$ **and** $bv=bv$ **and** $b=b$ **and** $c=(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c$
and $\tau'=(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau'$ **and** $s'=((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')$])
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x' b ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')))) = lookup-fun \Phi f* **using** x' *infer-e-appPI* **by** *argo*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x' : b[bv::=b]_b \mid ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c)[bv::=b]_b \rrbracket$ **using** **
 $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ check\text{-}v\text{-}g\text{-}weakening\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.hyps\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.prem\text{-}s(1)\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.prem\text{-}s\ subst\text{-}defs$
 $\subst\text{-}tb.simps$ **by** *metis*
show *atom* $x' \# \Gamma'$ **using** x' *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

have *atom* $x \# (v, \tau) \wedge \text{atom } x' \# (v, \tau)$ **using** x' *infer-e-fresh[OF *]* *e.fresh(2) fresh-Pair*
infer-e-appPI (*atom* $x' \# \Gamma$) *e.fresh* **by** *metis*
moreover then have $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv::=b]_{\tau b} = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ **using** *subst-b-x-flip*
by (*metis subst-b-\tau-def*)
ultimately show $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv::=b]_b[x'::=v]_v = \tau$
using *infer-e-appPI subst-tv-flip subst-defs* **by** *metis*

show *atom* $bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, b', v, \tau)$ **using** *infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed

next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z$)

obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v \wedge \text{atom } z' \# c$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
hence **: $\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var \ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var \ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-fstI v.fresh e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}fst \ v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var \ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *metis*
show *atom* $z' \# AE\text{-}fst \ v$ **using** * *e.supp* **by** *auto*
show *atom* $z' \# \Gamma'$ **using** * **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** * **by** *metis*

next
case (*infer-e-sndI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z$)

obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v \wedge \text{atom } z' \# c$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
hence **: $\llbracket z : b2 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var \ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}snd [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b2 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var \ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}snd [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-sndI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

```

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-snd } v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-sndI by
metis
  show  $atom \ z' \# AE\text{-snd } v$  using * e.supp by auto
  show  $atom \ z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
qed
thus ?case using ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ c \ z$ )

  obtain  $z'::x$  where  $*$ :  $atom \ z' \# \Gamma' \wedge atom \ z' \# v \wedge atom \ z' \# c$  using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by
metis
  hence  $**:\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
using type-e-eq infer-e-lenI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-len } v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-lenI by metis
    show  $atom \ z' \# AE\text{-len } v$  using * e.supp by auto
    show  $atom \ z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \ \Gamma \ \Phi \ \Delta \ u \ \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-weakening infer-e.intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$ )

  obtain  $z'::x$  where  $*$ :  $atom \ z' \# \Gamma' \wedge atom \ z' \# v1 \wedge atom \ z' \# v2$  using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair
by metis
  hence  $**:\llbracket z3 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3) == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
using type-e-eq infer-e-concatI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI by
metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2 \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI by
metis
    show  $atom \ z' \# AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2$  using * e.supp by auto
    show  $atom \ z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3$ )

```



```

show ?case proof
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using infer-e-splitI wf-weakening by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-splitI wf-weakening by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ \mid z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \}$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-splitI by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ \mid z2 : B\text{-int} \mid [ \text{leq} [ [ L\text{-num } 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \}$ 
    using check-v-g-weakening infer-e-splitI by metis
  show atom z1  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z1  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z2  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z2  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
qed
qed

```

Special cases proved explicitly, other cases at the end with method +

lemma infer-e-d-weakening:

```

fixes e::e
assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$  and setD  $\Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta'$  and wfD  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta'$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ 
using assms by(nominal-induct  $\tau$  avoiding:  $\Delta'$  rule: infer-e.strong-induct,auto simp add:infer-e.intros)

```

lemma wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple:

```

fixes x::x and v :: v
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and atom x  $\# \Gamma$ 
shows atom x  $\# v$ 
using wfV-x-fresh infer-v-wf assms by metis

```

lemma check-s-g-weakening:

```

fixes v::v and s::s and cs::branch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and css::branch-list
shows check-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ s \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \text{check-s } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ s \ t$  and

```

```

  check-branch-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid cons const } v \ cs \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow$ 
  check-branch-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ \text{tid cons const } v \ cs \ t$  and

```

```

  check-branch-list  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid dclist } v \ css \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow$ 
  check-branch-list  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ \text{tid dclist } v \ css \ t$ 

```

proof(nominal-induct t and t and t avoiding: Γ' rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)

```

  case (check-valI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$ )

```

```

  then show ?case using Typing.check-valI infer-v-g-weakening wf-weakening subtype-weakening by metis

```

next

```

  case (check-letI x  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$ )

```

```

  hence xf:atom x  $\# \Gamma'$  by metis

```

```

  show ?case proof

```

```

    show atom x  $\# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau)$  using check-letI using fresh-prod4 xf by metis

```

```

    show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ \mid z : b \mid c \}$  using infer-e-g-weakening check-letI by metis

```

```

    show atom z  $\# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau, s)$ 

```

```

      by(unfold fresh-prodN,auto simp add: check-letI fresh-prodN)

```

```

    have setG  $((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using check-letI setG.simps

```

```

      by (metis Un-commute Un-empty-right Un-insert-right insert-mono)

```

moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } check-letI\ wfG-cons-weakening\ check-s-wf \text{ by } metis$
ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using } check-letI \text{ by } metis$
qed
next
case ($check-let2I\ x\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ t\ s1\ \tau\ s2$)
show $?case \text{ proof}$
show $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, t, s1, \tau) \text{ using } check-let2I \text{ using } fresh-prod4 \text{ by } auto$
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \text{ using } check-let2I \text{ by } metis$
have $setG((x, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ x) \#_{\Gamma} G) \subseteq setG((x, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } check-let2I \text{ by } auto$
moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } check-let2I\ wfG-cons-weakening\ check-s-wf \text{ by } metis$
ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using } check-let2I \text{ by } metis$
qed
next
case ($check-branch-list-consI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist'\ v\ cs\ \tau\ css\ dclist$)
thus $?case \text{ using } Typing.check-branch-list-consI \text{ by } metis$
next
case ($check-branch-list-finalI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist'\ v\ cs\ \tau\ dclist$)
thus $?case \text{ using } Typing.check-branch-list-finalI \text{ by } metis$
next
case ($check-branch-s-branchI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ \tau\ const\ x\ \Phi\ tid\ cons\ v\ s$)
show $?case \text{ proof}$
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \text{ using } wf-weakening2(6)\ check-branch-s-branchI \text{ by } metis$
show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ using } check-branch-s-branchI \text{ by } auto$
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ using } check-branch-s-branchI\ wfT-weakening\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma' \rangle \text{ by } presburger$

show $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} const \text{ using } check-branch-s-branchI \text{ by } auto$
show $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, tid, cons, const, v, \tau) \text{ using } check-branch-s-branchI \text{ by } auto$
have $setG((x, b-of\ const, CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-cons\ tid\ cons\ (V-var\ x)) \text{ AND } c-of\ const\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((x, b-of\ const, CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-cons\ tid\ cons\ (V-var\ x)) \text{ AND } c-of\ const\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$
using $check-branch-s-branchI \text{ by } auto$
moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b-of\ const, CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-cons\ tid\ cons\ (V-var\ x)) \text{ AND } c-of\ const\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$
using $check-branch-s-branchI\ wfG-cons-weakening\ check-s-wf \text{ by } metis$
ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b-of\ const, CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-cons\ tid\ cons\ (V-var\ x)) \text{ AND } c-of\ const\ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
using $check-branch-s-branchI \text{ using } fresh-dom-free \text{ by } auto$
qed
next
case ($check-ifI\ z\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v\ s1\ s2\ \tau$)
show $?case \text{ proof}$
show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle \text{ using } fresh-prodN\ check-ifI \text{ by } auto$
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } check-v-g-weakening\ check-ifI \text{ by } auto$
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-lit\ L-true) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c-of\ \tau\ z \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } check-ifI \text{ by } auto$
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ v == CE-val(V-lit\ L-false) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c-of\ \tau\ z \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } check-ifI \text{ by } auto$
qed
next

```

    case (check-whileI  $\Delta$  G P s1 z s2  $\tau'$ )
    then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
    wf-weakening
      by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
  next
  case (check-seqI  $\Delta$  G P s1 z s2  $\tau$ )
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
  wf-weakening
    by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
  next
  case (check-varI u  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau'$  v  $\tau$  s)
  thus ?case using check-v-g-weakening check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by auto
  next
  case (check-assignI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  u  $\tau$  v z  $\tau'$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-assignI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assignI wf-weakening by auto
    show  $\langle (u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta \rangle$  using check-assignI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using check-assignI check-v-g-weakening by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid \text{TRUE} \} \lesssim \tau' \rangle$  using subtype-weakening check-assignI by auto
  qed
  next
  case (check-caseI  $\Delta$   $\Gamma$   $\Theta$  dclist cs  $\tau$  tid v z)

  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
  wf-weakening
    by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
  next
  case (check-assertI x  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  c  $\tau$  s)
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, \tau, s) \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto

    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
    hence *:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons-weakening check-assertI by metis
    moreover have  $\text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using check-assertI by
    auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using check-assertI(11) [OF - *] by auto

    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \models c \rangle$  using check-assertI valid-weakening by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assertI wf-weakening by metis
  qed
  qed

```

lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-v:

```

  fixes c::c and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $G::\Gamma$  and v::v and xa::x
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and  $G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $\text{atom } xa \# G$  and  $\Theta ;$ 
 $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$ 
  shows  $\text{atom } xa \# v$ 
  proof -
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using infer-v-wf assms by metis
    hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using wfV-supp by simp
  qed

```

moreover have $\text{atom } xa \notin \text{atom-dom } G$
using $\text{assms wfG-atoms-suppl-eq}[OF \text{ assms}(4)]$ **fresh-def by metis**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using fresh-def**
using $\text{assms infer-v-wf wfG-atoms-suppl-eq}$
 $\text{fresh-GCons fresh-append-g subsetCE}$
by $(metis \text{ wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple})$
qed

lemma fresh-z-subst-g:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $\text{atom } z' \# (x, v)$ **and** $(\text{atom } z' \# G)$
shows $\text{atom } z' \# G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$
proof –
have $\text{atom } z' \# v$ **using** assms fresh-prod2 **by auto**
thus $?thesis$ **using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis**
qed

lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-subst-v:
fixes $c::c$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# (\text{subst-gv } G \ x \ v)$
proof –
have $\text{atom } xa \# v$ **using** $\text{wfG-xa-fresh-in-v assms}$ **by metis**
thus $?thesis$ **using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis**
qed

12.8.1 Weakening Immutable Variable Context

declare $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros[simp]}$
declare $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros[intro]}$

lemma check-s-d-weakening:
fixes $s::s$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau$
proof $(\text{nominal-induct } \tau \text{ and } \tau \text{ and } \tau \text{ avoiding: } \Delta' \text{ arbitrary: } v \text{ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct})$
case $(\text{check-valI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros}$ **by blast**
next
case $(\text{check-letI } x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c)$
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau)$ **using** check-letI **by auto**
show $\text{atom } z \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau, s)$ **using** check-letI **by auto**
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $\text{check-letI infer-e-d-weakening}$ **by auto**
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $\text{check-letI check-s-wf}$ **by metis**
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** $\text{check-letI check-s-wf}$ **by metis**
ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** $\text{wf-weakening2}(6)$ setG.simps
by fast

```

    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-letI by simp
qed
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const } x \Phi \text{tid cons } v \ s$ )
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND }$ 
c-of const x  $) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
    using check-s-wf[OF check-branch-s-branchI(16)] by metis
  moreover hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND }$ 
c-of const x  $) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ 
    using wf-weakening2(6) check-branch-s-branchI by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid dclist } v \ cs \ \tau \ css$ )
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid dclist } v \ cs \ \tau$ )
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-ifI  $z \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle$  using fresh-prodN check-ifI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c\text{-of}$ 
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c\text{-of}$ 
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
  qed
next
case (check-assertI  $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\text{atom } x \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', c, \tau, s)$  using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto
    show  $\ast : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using check-assertI by auto
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6)[OF *, of  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
 $] \text{check-assertI check-s-wf setG.simps}$  by auto
    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ 
      using check-assertI(11)[OF  $\langle \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \rangle$ ] by simp

    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c$  using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto

  qed
next
case (check-let2I  $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\text{atom } x \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta', t, s1, \tau)$  using check-let2I by auto

    show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta' \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$  using check-let2I infer-e-d-weakening by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using check-let2I wf-weakening2(6) check-s-wf by
fastforce
    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-let2I by simp
  qed
next

```

```

case (check-varI u  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau'$  v  $\tau$  s)
show ?case proof
  show atom u  $\#$  ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta'$ ,  $\tau'$ , v,  $\tau$ ) using check-varI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau'$  using check-varI by auto
  have setD ((u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta$ )  $\subseteq$  setD ((u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$ ) using setD.simps check-varI by auto
  moreover have u  $\notin$  fst 'setD  $\Delta'$  using check-varI(1) setD.simps fresh-DCons by (simp add:
fresh-d-not-in)
  moreover hence  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf}$  (u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$  using wfD-cons fresh-DCons setD.simps check-varI
check-v-wf by metis
  ultimately show  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ; (u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$   $\vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-varI by auto
qed
next
case (check-assignI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  u  $\tau$  v z  $\tau'$ )
moreover hence (u,  $\tau$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta'$  by auto
ultimately show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by simp
next
case (check-whileI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau'$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-seqI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-caseI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$  z)
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson

qed

thm valid-ce-eq

lemma valid-ce-eq:
  fixes v::v and ce2::ce
  assumes ce1 = ce2[x::=v]cev and wfV  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  GNil v b and wfCE  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  ((x, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma}$  GNil)
  ce2 b' and wfCE  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  GNil ce1 b'
  shows  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models ce1 == ce2 \rangle$ 
  unfolding valid.simps proof
  have wfg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}$  (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce})  $\#_{\Gamma}$  GNil
    using wfG-cons1I wfG-nilI wfX-wfY assms wf-intros
    by (meson fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq wfG-intros2)

  show wf:  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf}$  ce1 == ce2  $\rangle$ 
    apply (rule wfC-eqI[where b=b'])
    using wfg setG.simps assms wfCE-weakening apply simp

    using wfg assms wf-replace-inside1(8) assms
    using wfC-trueI wf-trans(8) by auto

  show  $\forall i. ((\Theta ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \models (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) \longrightarrow$ 
    (i  $\models$  (ce1 == ce2))) proof (rule+, goal-cases)
    fix i
    assume as: $\Theta ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i$  i  $\models (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ 

```

have $1:wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) v b$
using *wf-weakening assms append-g.simps setG.simps wf wfX-wfY*
by (*metis empty-subsetI*)
 hence $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **using** *eval-v-exist[OF - 1]* **as by auto**
 then obtain s **where** $iv:i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s ..$

hence $ix:i x = \text{Some } s$ **proof** –
 have $i \models [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps as by auto*
 hence $i \llbracket [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}] \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *is-satis.simps by auto*
 hence $i \llbracket [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s$ **using**
 iv eval-e-elim
 by (*metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-uniqueness eval-e-valI*)
 thus *?thesis* **using** *eval-v-elim(2) eval-e-elim(1) by metis*
 qed

have $1:wfCE \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) ce1 b'$
using *wfCE-weakening assms append-g.simps setG.simps wf wfX-wfY*
by (*metis empty-subsetI*)
 hence $\exists s1. i \llbracket ce1 \rrbracket \sim s1$ **using** *eval-e-exist assms as by auto*
 then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1: i \llbracket ce1 \rrbracket \sim s1 ..$

moreover have $i \llbracket ce2 \rrbracket \sim s1$ **proof** –
 have $i \llbracket ce2[x::=v]_{cev} \rrbracket \sim s1$ **using** *assms s1 by auto*
 moreover have $ce1 = ce2[x::=v]_{cev}$ **using** *subst-v-ce-def assms subst-v-simple-commute by auto*
 ultimately have $i(x \mapsto s) \llbracket ce2 \rrbracket \sim s1$
 using *ix subst-e-eval-v[of i ce1 s1 ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v x v s] iv s1 by auto*
 moreover have $i(x \mapsto s) = i$ **using** *ix by auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by auto**
 qed
 ultimately show $i \llbracket ce1 == ce2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *eval-c-eqI by metis*
 qed
 qed

lemma *check-v-top:*

fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $ce1 = ce2[z::=v]_{cev}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid$
 $ce1 == ce2 \}$
and $\text{supp } ce1 \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ce1 == ce2 \}$
proof –
 obtain t **where** $t: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \tau$
using *assms check-v-elim by metis*

then obtain z' **and** b' **where** $*:t = \{ z' : b' \mid [\![z']^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}] \} \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v \wedge \text{atom } z' \#$
 $GNil$
using *assms infer-v-form by metis*
have $beq: b\text{-of } t = b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps t by auto*
obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf: (\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z', [\![z']^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}], z, ce1 == ce2))$
using *obtain-fresh by metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v)$

```

using wfT-wfC2[OF assms(3), of x] subst-cv.simps(6) subst-v-c-def subst-v-ce-def fresh-GNil by
simp

then obtain b2 where b2:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \wedge$ 
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2$  using wfC-elim(3)
beq by metis

from xf have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid ce1 == ce2 \}$ 
proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce} \} \rangle$  using b-of.simps assms
infer-v-wf t * by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid ce1 == ce2 \} \rangle$  using beq assms by auto
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, ([x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \models (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v) \rangle$ 
proof(rule valid-ce-eq)
  show  $\langle ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev} \rangle$  proof -
    have atom z  $\#$  ce1 using assms fresh-def x-not-in-b-set by fast
    hence  $ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce1$ 
    using forget-subst-v by auto
    also have  $\dots = ce2[z::=v]_{cev}$  using assms by auto
    also have  $\dots = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev}$  proof -
      have atom x  $\#$  ce2 using xf fresh-prodN c.fresh by metis
      thus ?thesis using subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp
    qed
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } t \rangle$  using infer-v-wf t by simp
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \rangle$  using b2 by auto

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2$  using b2 by auto
moreover have atom x  $\#$  ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v
  using fresh-subst-v-if assms fresh-def
  using  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } t \rangle \langle ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev} \rangle$ 
fresh-GNil subst-v-ce-def wfV-x-fresh by auto
ultimately show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \rangle$  using
wf-restrict(8) by force
qed
moreover have  $v[z'::=[x]^v]_{vv} = v$ 
  using forget-subst assms infer-v-wf wfV-supp x-not-in-b-set
  by (simp add: local.*)
ultimately show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, ([z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})[z'::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \models (ce1 ==$ 
 $ce2)[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps
  using subst-v-ce-def by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using b-of.simps assms * check-v-subtypeI t b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 by metis
qed

```

This lemma confirms that if we assume the existence of a boolean like datatype then if and match are the same where the latter is a match for this datatype

end

declare *freshers*[*simp del*]

Chapter 13

Context Subtyping Lemmas

Lemmas allowing us to replace the type of a variable in the context with a subtype and have the judgement remain valid. Otherwise known as narrowing.

13.1 Replace Type of Variable in Context

Because the G-context is extended by the statements like let, we will need a generalised substitution lemma for statements. For this we setup a function that replaces in G for a particular x the constraint for it

nominal-function *replace-in-g-many* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**
replace-in-g-many G xcs = *List.foldr* ($\lambda(x,c) \ G. \ G[x \mapsto c]$) xcs G
by(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-many-graph-aux-def*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

inductive *replace-in-g-subtyped* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - \vdash - \langle - $\rangle \rightsquigarrow$ - [100,50,50] 50) **where**

replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \rightsquigarrow G$
| *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*: \llbracket
Some (b,c') = *lookup* G x ;
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c] \models c' ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G[x \mapsto c] \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G' ; x \notin \text{fst} \text{ ' set } xcs \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle (x,c)\#xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$

equivariance *replace-in-g-subtyped*

nominal-inductive *replace-in-g-subtyped* .

inductive-cases *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim*[*elim!*]:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G) \langle acs \rangle \rightsquigarrow ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G')$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G' \langle (x,c)\# acs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G$

thm *replace-in-g-def*

lemma *rigs-atom-dom-eq*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$
shows *atom-dom* G = *atom-dom* G'

```

using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $G$ )
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )
  then show ?case using rig-dom-eq atom-dom.simps dom.simps by simp
qed

```

```

lemma replace-in-g-wfG:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$ 
  shows  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G'$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $\Theta\ G$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )
  then show ?case using valid-g-wf by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfD-rig-single:
  fixes  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $x::x$  and  $c::c$  and  $G::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (G[x \mapsto c])$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c] \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ 
proof(cases atom x \in atom-dom G)
  case False
  hence  $(G[x \mapsto c]) = G$  using assms replace-in-g-forget wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?thesis using assms by auto
next
  case True
  then obtain  $G1\ G2\ b\ c'$  where  $*$ :  $G = G1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G2$  using split-G by fastforce
  hence  $**$ :  $(G[x \mapsto c]) = G1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G2$  using replace-in-g-inside wfD-wf assms wfD-wf by metis

  hence  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G2)$  using wfG-suffix assms by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G2 \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfG-elim2 by auto

  thus ?thesis using wf-replace-inside1 assms  $*$   $**$ 
  by (simp add: wf-replace-inside2(6))
qed

```

```

lemma wfD-rig:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$  and  $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ \Delta$ 
  shows  $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G'\ \Delta$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $\Theta\ G$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )

```

then show *?case* **using** *wfD-rig-single valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh*:

fixes *x::x*

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$

using *wfG-dom-suppl assms fresh-def rigs-atom-dom-eq* **by** *metis*

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh1*:

fixes *x::x*

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$

proof –

have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **using** *replace-in-g-wfG assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms replace-in-g-fresh* **by** *metis*

qed

Wellscoping for an eXchange list

inductive *wsX*:: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c)\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

wsX-NilI: $wsX\ G\ []$

| *wsX-ConsI*: $\llbracket wsX\ G\ xcs ; atom\ x \in atom-dom\ G ; x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow wsX\ G\ ((x,c)\#xcs)$

equivariance *wsX*

nominal-inductive *wsX* .

lemma *wsX-if1*:

assumes $wsX\ G\ xcs$

shows $((atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs) \subseteq atom-dom\ G) \wedge List.distinct\ (List.map\ fst\ xcs)$

using *assms* **by**(*induct rule: wsX.induct,force+*)

lemma *wsX-if2*:

assumes $((atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs) \subseteq atom-dom\ G) \wedge List.distinct\ (List.map\ fst\ xcs)$

shows $wsX\ G\ xcs$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct xcs*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *wsX-NilI* **by** *fast*

next

case (*Cons a xcs*)

then obtain *x* **and** *c* **where** $xc: a=(x,c)$ **by** *force*

have $wsX\ G\ xcs$ **proof** –

have $distinct\ (map\ fst\ xcs)$ **using** *Cons* **by** *force*

moreover have $atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs \subseteq atom-dom\ G$ **using** *Cons* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by** *fast*

qed

moreover have $atom\ x \in atom-dom\ G$ **using** *Cons xc*

by *simp*

moreover have $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs$ **using** *Cons xc*

by *simp*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *wsX-ConsI xc* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *wsX-iff*:

$wsX\ G\ xcs = (((\text{atom } 'fst' \text{ set } xcs) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } G) \wedge \text{List.distinct } (\text{List.map } fst\ xcs))$
using $wsX\text{-if1}$ $wsX\text{-if2}$ **by** $meson$

inductive-cases $wsX\text{-elims}[elim!]$:

$wsX\ G\ []$
 $wsX\ G\ ((x,c)\#xcs)$

lemma $wsX\text{-cons}$:

assumes $wsX\ \Gamma\ xcs$ **and** $x \notin fst' \text{ set } xcs$
shows $wsX\ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c2) \# xcs)$

using $assms$ **proof**($induct\ \Gamma$)

case $GNil$

then show $?case$ **using** $atom\text{-dom.simps}$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($GCons\ xbc\ \Gamma$)

obtain x' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')$ **using** $prod\text{-cases3}$ **by** $blast$

then have $atom' \text{ fst' set } xcs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map } fst\ xcs)$

using $GCons.prem1$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $blast$

then have $wsX\ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ xcs$

by ($simp\ add: Un\text{-commute subset-Un-eq } wsX\text{-if2}$)

then show $?case$ **by** ($simp\ add: GCons.prem2$) $wsX\text{-ConsI}$)

qed

lemma $wsX\text{-cons2}$:

assumes $wsX\ \Gamma\ xcs$ **and** $x \notin fst' \text{ set } xcs$

shows $wsX\ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ xcs$

using $assms$ **proof**($induct\ \Gamma$)

case $GNil$

then show $?case$ **using** $atom\text{-dom.simps}$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($GCons\ xbc\ \Gamma$)

obtain x' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')$ **using** $prod\text{-cases3}$ **by** $blast$

then have $atom' \text{ fst' set } xcs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map } fst\ xcs)$

using $GCons.prem1$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $blast$ **then show** $?case$ **by** ($simp\ add: Un\text{-commute subset-Un-eq } wsX\text{-if2}$)

qed

lemma $wsX\text{-cons3}$:

assumes $wsX\ \Gamma\ xcs$

shows $wsX\ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ xcs$

using $assms$ **proof**($induct\ \Gamma$)

case $GNil$

then show $?case$ **using** $atom\text{-dom.simps}$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($GCons\ xbc\ \Gamma$)

obtain x' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')$ **using** $prod\text{-cases3}$ **by** $blast$

then have $atom' \text{ fst' set } xcs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{map } fst\ xcs)$

using $GCons.prem1$ $wsX\text{-iff}$ **by** $blast$ **then show** $?case$ **by** ($simp\ add: Un\text{-commute subset-Un-eq } wsX\text{-if2}$)

qed

lemma $wsX\text{-fresh}$:

assumes $wsX\ G\ xcs$ **and** $atom\ x\ \#_\Gamma\ G$ **and** $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$
shows $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs$
proof –
have $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G$ **using** $assms$
using $fresh-def\ wfG-dom-suppl\ by\ auto$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $wsX-iff\ assms\ by\ blast$
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-dist*:
assumes $x' \neq x$
shows $replace-in-g\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G)\ x'\ c'' = ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ (replace-in-g\ G\ x'\ c''))$ **using** $replace-in-g.simps\ assms\ by\ presburger$

lemma *wfG-replace-inside-rig*:
fixes $c''::c$
assumes $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ G[x' \mapsto c''] \rangle\ \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G \rangle$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G[x' \mapsto c'']$
proof(*rule wfG-consI*)

have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$ **using** $wfG-cons\ assms\ by\ auto$

show $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ G[x' \mapsto c'']$ **using** $assms\ by\ auto$
show $atom\ x \#_\Gamma\ G[x' \mapsto c'']$ **using** $replace-in-g-fresh-single[OF\ *]\ assms\ wfG-elim\ assms\ by\ metis$
show $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ b$ **using** $wfG-elim2\ assms\ by\ auto$
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma\ G[x' \mapsto c''] \vdash_{wf}\ c$
proof(*cases atom x' not in atom-dom G*)
case *True*
hence $G = G[x' \mapsto c'']$ **using** $replace-in-g-forget\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G \rangle\ by\ auto$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $assms\ wfG-wfC\ by\ auto$
next
case *False*
then obtain $G1\ G2\ b'\ c'$ **where** $*:G = G1 @ (x', b', c') \#_\Gamma\ G2$
using $split-G\ by\ fastforce$
hence $***: (G[x' \mapsto c'']) = G1 @ (x', b', c'') \#_\Gamma\ G2$
using $replace-in-g-inside\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G \rangle\ by\ metis$
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma\ G1 @ (x', b', c') \#_\Gamma\ G2 \vdash_{wf}\ c$ **using** $*\ **\ assms\ wfG-wfC\ by\ auto$
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma\ G1 @ (x', b', c'') \#_\Gamma\ G2 \vdash_{wf}\ c$ **using** $*\ ***\ wf-replace-inside\ assms$
by (*metis ** append-g.simps(2) wfG-elim2 wfG-suffix*)
thus $?thesis$ **using** $*\ **\ ***\ by\ auto$
qed
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-valid-weakening*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x' \mapsto c''] \models c'$ **and** $x' \neq x$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ \Gamma[x' \mapsto c'']$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ \Gamma)[x' \mapsto c''] \models c'$
apply(*subst replace-in-g-dist,simp add: assms,rule valid-weakening*)
using $assms\ by\ auto+$

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-cons*:
assumes $replace-in-g-subtyped\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ xcs\ G'$ **and** $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G)$
shows $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs \implies replace-in-g-subtyped\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G)\ xcs\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma\ G')$
using $assms\ proof(induct\ rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)$

```

case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI G)
then show ?case
  by (simp add: replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI)
next
case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b' c' G x'  $\Theta$  B c'' xcs' G')
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c']$  using valid.simps wfC-wf by auto

show ?case proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-consI)
  show Some (b', c') = lookup ((x, b, c) # $\Gamma$  G) x' using lookup.simps
  fst-conv image-iff  $\Gamma$ -set-intros surj-pair replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by force
  show wbc:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c''$  using wf-weakening  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle$  by fastforce
  have  $x' \neq x$  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
  have wbc1:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \mapsto c']$  proof -
    have  $(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \mapsto c'] = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G)[x' \mapsto c']$  using  $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  using replace-in-g.simps
  by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside-rig  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c'] \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle$ 
by fastforce
qed
show *:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'' \models c'$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x' \mapsto c'] \models c'$  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
  thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-valid-weakening wbc1  $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  by auto
qed

show replace-in-g-subtyped  $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'') xcs' ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G')$ 
  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI wbc1 by auto
show  $x' \notin \text{fst 'set xcs'}$ 
  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by linarith
qed
qed

lemma replace-in-g-split:
  fixes G:: $\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Gamma = \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c$  and  $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  and  $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$ 
using assms proof(induct G' arbitrary: G  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by simp
next
case (GCons x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma 1$ )
  hence  $x1 \neq x$ 
  using wfG-cons-fresh2[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} x1 b1 c1 \Gamma 1 x b$ ]
  using GCons.prem1(2) GCons.prem1(3) append-g.simps(2) by auto
  moreover hence *:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G)$  using GCons append-g.simps wfG-elim by
metis
  moreover hence replace-in-g  $(\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G) x c = \Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons
replace-in-g-inside[OF *, of c] by auto

ultimately show ?case using replace-in-g.simps(2)[of x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G x c$ ] GCons
  by (simp add: GCons.prem1(1) GCons.prem1(2))

```

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-split0*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma[(x,c)] \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' = G'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} G$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$

shows $\Gamma = G'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G$

proof –

have $\Gamma = \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c$ **using** *assms replace-in-g-subtyped.simps*

by (*metis Pair-inject list.distinct(1) list.inject*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms replace-in-g-split* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-split*:

assumes *Some* $(b, c') = \text{lookup } G x$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } G x c \models c'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G$

shows $\exists \Gamma \Gamma'. G = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$

proof –

obtain Γ **and** Γ' **where** $G = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *assms lookup-split* **by** *blast*

moreover **hence** *replace-in-g* $G x c = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-split* *assms* **by** *blast*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis assms(2)*)

qed

13.2 Validity and Subtyping

lemma *wfC-replace-in-g*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $c0::c$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

using *wf-replace-inside1(2)* *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *ctx-subtype-valid*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c$

proof(*rule validI*)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** *valid.simps* *assms* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$ **proof** –

have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *assms valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*

hence $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-wfC* **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms wfC-replace-in-g* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\forall i. wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow is-satis i c$

proof(*rule,rule*)

fix i

assume $*$: $wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

hence *is-satis-g* $i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i$ **using** *is-satis-g-append* *wfI-suffix* **by** *metis*

moreover hence *is-satis* i $c0'$ **using** *valid.simps* *assms* **by** *presburger*
 moreover have *is-satis-g* i Γ' **using** *is-satis-g-append* $*$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately have *is-satis-g* i $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g-append* **by** *simp*
 moreover have *wfI* Θ $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ i **using** *wfI-def* *wfI-suffix* $*$ *wfI-def* *wfI-replace-inside*
by *metis*
 ultimately show *is-satis* i c **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype*:
 fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
proof(*nominal-induct* *avoiding*: $c0$ *rule*: *subtype.strong-induct*)

case (*subtype-baseI* $x' \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' z c z' c' b$)
 let $? \Gamma c0 = \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 have *wb1*: *wfG* $\Theta \mathcal{B} ? \Gamma c0$ **using** *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *subtype-baseI* **by** *metis*
 show *?case* **proof**
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle$ **using** *wfT-replace-inside2* [*OF* - *wb1*]
subtype-baseI **by** *metis*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \rangle$ **using** *wfT-replace-inside2* [*OF* - *wb1*]
subtype-baseI **by** *metis*
 have *atom* $x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-prodN* *subtype-baseI* *fresh-replace-inside* *wb1*
subtype-wf *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
 thus $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, z, c, z', c') \rangle$ **using** *subtype-baseI* *fresh-prodN*
by *metis*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v$ **proof**(*rule* *ctx-subtype-valid*)
 show $1: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v \rangle$
using *subtype-baseI* *append-g.simps* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*
 have $*: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **proof**(*rule* *wfG-replace-inside2*)
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using $*$ *valid-wf-all* *wfC-wf* 1 *append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-suffix* *wb1* **by** *auto*
qed
 moreover have *setG* $(\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } (((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *setG.simps* *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0' \rangle$ **using** *valid-weakening* *subtype-baseI* $*$ **by** *blast*
qed
 thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v \rangle$ **using** *append-g.simps* *subst-defs* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype-rig*:
 assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
proof –

have $wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **using** *subtype-g-wf assms* **by** *auto*
obtain b **and** $c0'$ **where** $Some (b, c0') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; replace-in-g \Gamma' x c0 \models c0')$ **using**
 $replace-in-g-subtyped.simps[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma] assms(1)$
by (*metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15) not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject set-ConsD surj-pair*)
moreover then obtain G **and** G' **where** $*: \Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$
using $replace-in-g-subtyped-split[of b \ c0' \Gamma' x \Theta \mathcal{B} c0]$ wf **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *ctx-subtype-subtype*
 $assms(1) \ assms(2) \ replace-in-g-subtyped-split0 \ subtype-g-wf$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) local.wf replace-in-g-split*)
qed

We now prove versions of the *ctx-subtype* lemmas above using *replace-in-g*. First we do case where the replace is just for a single variable (indicated by suffix *rig*) and then the general case for multiple replacements (indicated by suffix *rigs*)

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs*:

assumes $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
using *assms* **proof** (*induct xcs arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma'*)
case *Nil*
moreover have $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*
using *calculation(1)* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons a xcs*)
then obtain x **and** c **where** $a=(x, c)$ **by** *fastforce*
then obtain b **and** c' **where** $bc: Some (b, c') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge$
 $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$
 $x \notin fst \text{ `set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \models c'$ **using** $replace-in-g-subtyped-elim(3)[of$
 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma] Cons$
by (*metis valid.simps*)

hence $*: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson image-iff list.distinct(1) list.set-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
using *ctx-subtype-subtype-rig * assms Cons.prem(2)* **by** *auto*

moreover have $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma$ **using** *Cons*
using bc **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *Cons* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-inside-valid*:

assumes $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$
shows $\exists b \ c0' \ G \ G'. \Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$

proof –
obtain b **and** $c0'$ **where** bc : $\text{Some } (b, c0') = \text{lookup } \Gamma' x \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c0 \models c0'$
using $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped.simps}[of \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \ \Gamma] \ \text{assms}(1)$
by $(\text{metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros}(1) \ \text{list.simps}(15) \ \text{not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject set-ConsD surj-pair})$
then obtain G **and** G' **where** $*$: $\Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$ **using**
 $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-split}[of \ b \ c0' \ \Gamma' x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c0] \ \text{assms}$
by metis
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{replace-in-g-inside bc}$
using $\text{assms}(1) \ \text{assms}(2)$ **by** blast
qed

lemma $\text{replace-in-g-valid}$:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \models c$
shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \models c \rangle$
using assms **proof** $(\text{induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.inducts})$
case $(\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G)$
then show $?case$ **by** auto
next
case $(\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI } b \ c1 \ G \ x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c2 \ xcs \ G')$
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c2] \models c$
by $(\text{metis ctx-subtype-valid replace-in-g-split replace-in-g-subtyped-split valid-g-wf})$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI}$ **by** auto
qed

13.3 Literals

13.4 Values

lemma $\text{lookup-inside-unique-b[simp]}$:
assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
and $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ y$ **and** $\text{Some } (b0, c0) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 x **and** $x=y$
shows $b = b0$
by $(\text{metis assms}(2) \ \text{assms}(3) \ \text{assms}(5) \ \text{lookup-inside-wf old.prod.exhaust option.inject prod.inject})$

I think using rule induction for values and expressions is only going to save us from doing the elimination step

lemma ctx-subtype-v :
fixes $v::v$
assumes
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\exists t2. \ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$
using assms **proof** $(\text{nominal-induct } v \ \text{arbitrary: } t1 \ \text{rule: } v.\text{strong-induct})$
case $(V\text{-lit } l)$
have $\vdash l \Rightarrow t1$ **using** $V\text{-lit infer-v-elim}$ **by** force
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit } l \Rightarrow t1$
using $\text{infer-v-litI } V\text{-lit valid.simps wfC-wf}$ **by** metis
moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ **using** infer-v-wf
by $(\text{meson subtype-refl2})$

ultimately show $?case$ using $*$ by *metis*

next

case $(V\text{-var } y)$

have $wfg0: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *infer-v-wf* $V\text{-var}$ by *fast*

hence $wfg1: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using $V\text{-var}$ *wfG-inside-valid2* by *metis*

obtain z and b and c where $zb: t1 = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $atom\ z \# y \wedge atom\ z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0,$
 $c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$

using *infer-v-elim1* $[OF\ V\text{-var}(1)]$ by *metis*

hence $lu1: Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$ by *auto*

show $?case$ proof(*cases* $x = y$)

case *True*

have $lu: Some\ (b0, c0) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ x$ using *lookup-inside-wf* $wfg1$ by *metis*

moreover hence $b0 = b$ using $lu1$ *True* *lookup-inside-unique-b*

using $\langle wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle wfg1$ by *metis*

moreover have $atom\ z \# x$ using *True* zb by *simp*

moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using zb *fresh-replace-inside* $wfg0\ wfg1$ by *metis*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } x) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z))$
 $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \rrbracket)$

using *infer-v-varI* $wfg1$ by *metis*

thus *?thesis*

using *True* *infer-v-t-wf* *subtype-reflI2* zb by *metis*

next

case *False*

then obtain $b1$ and $c1$ where $bc: Some\ (b1, c1) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ y$

using *infer-v-elim1* $V\text{-var}$ by *meson*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } y) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b1 \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \rrbracket)$ proof

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using $wfg1$ by *auto*

show $Some\ (b1, c1) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$ using *lookup-inside2* *False* bc by *blast*

show $atom\ z \# y$ using zb by *auto*

show $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using *fresh-replace-inside* $wfg0\ wfg1\ zb$ by *metis*

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *subtype-reflI2* *infer-v-t-wf*

by (*metis* *Pair-inject* $V\text{-var.prem1}$) bc *infer-v-elim1* $option.inject\ type\ eq\ subst\ eq2(2)\ zb$)

qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair } v1\ v2)$

then obtain $tv1$ and $tv2$ and z where $tt1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow tv1 \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow tv2 \wedge t1 = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1)\ (b\text{-of } tv2) \mid$
 $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2) \rrbracket) \wedge atom\ z \# (v1, v2)$

using *infer-v-pair2E* by *presburger*

obtain $tv1'$ where $t1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow tv1' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma}$
 $\Gamma \vdash tv1' \lesssim tv1$ using $tt1$ *V-pair* by *fast*

moreover obtain $tv2'$ where $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow tv2' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x,$
 $b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv2' \lesssim tv2$ using $tt1$ *V-pair* by *fast*

ultimately obtain t' and z' where $tt2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow t' \wedge$
 $t' = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1') (b\text{-of } tv2') \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$
 $\rrbracket) \wedge atom \ z' \# (v1, v2)$
using *infer-v-pair2I-zbc* $t1 \ t2$ **by** *metis*

hence $t1 = t'$ **proof** –
have $t' = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1') (b\text{-of } tv2') \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$
using $tt2$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $t1 = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1) (b\text{-of } tv2) \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$ **using** $tt1$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $b\text{-of } tv1 = b\text{-of } tv1' \wedge b\text{-of } tv2 = b\text{-of } tv2'$
using $t1 \ t2$ **by** (*metis subtype-eq-base2*)
moreover **have** $atom \ z \# CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \wedge atom \ z' \# CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)$ **using** $tt1 \ tt2$
ce.fresh v.fresh **by** *force*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *type-e-eq* **by** *presburger*
qed

moreover **have** $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ t'$ **using** $t1$ *infer-v-t-wf* $tt2$ **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t' \lesssim t1$ **using** *subtype-refl*
using *subtype-refl2* **by** *blast*

then **show** *?case* **using** $tt2$ **by** *meson*

next
case $(V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v')$

obtain $z::x$ **where** $zf: atom \ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b', V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v')$ **using**
obtain-fresh **by** *metis*

from $V\text{-consp}(2) \ V\text{-consp}(1) \ V\text{-consp}(3) \ zf$ **have** $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [\llbracket z \rrbracket^v]^{ce} == [\llbracket V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \rrbracket^{ce}] \rrbracket$
proof(*nominal-induct* $\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \ V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \ t1$ *avoiding: c0 arbitrary: t1* *rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-v-conspI* $bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ tc \ \mathcal{B} \ tv \ zz$)
obtain $tv2$ **where** $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow tv2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv2 \lesssim tv$
using *infer-v-conspI(17)* *infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*
thm *ctx-subtype-subtype infer-v-conspI(18)*
show *?case* **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $iv: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow tv2 \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *infer-v-conspI infer-v-conspI(18) ctx-subtype-subtype* **by** *metis*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv2 \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$ **using** $*$ *subtype-trans* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b') \rangle$ **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*

have $atom \ bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **unfolding** *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3*

fresh-append-g

using *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 fresh-append-g* $\langle \text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$
infer-v-conspI **by** *metis*

thus $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b') \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

qed
qed

let $?t2 = \{ z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $t1:t1 = \{ z1 : b1 \mid [[z1]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v'$

using *V-consp(2) infer-v-form* **by** *metis*

moreover then have $b1:b1 = B\text{-app } s \ b'$ **using** *infer-v-form-consp V-consp b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

let $?t1 = \{ z1 : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z1]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

have $?t1 = ?t2$ **using** *type-e-eq zf t1 ce.fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

using $t2$ **using** *infer-v-wf* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1$ **using** *subtype-refl* **by** *metis*

moreover have $?t1 = t1$ **using** $t1 \ b1$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show $?case$ **using** $t2$ **by** *metis*

next

case $(V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v')$

obtain xa **and** b **and** c **and** z' **and** c' **and** z **and** $dclist$ **where** tt :

$t1 = (\{ z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v') \}) \wedge$

$AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge$

$(dc, \{ xa : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# v'$

using *infer-v-elim(4)[OF V-cons(2)]* **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **by** *linarith*

then obtain $t2$ **where** $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

using *V-cons* **by** *presburger*

obtain $z3$ **and** $b3$ **and** $c3$ **where** $t2: t2 = (\{ z3 : b3 \mid c3 \})$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *meson*

hence $beq: b = b3$ **using** *subtype-eq-base ** **by** *blast*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \}$ **using** $tt \ ctx\text{-subtype-subtype } V\text{-cons}$ **by** *metis*

hence $tsub: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \}$

using *subtype-trans ** **by** *blast*

have $wfTh \Theta$ using tt infer- v - wf by auto
 moreover have $AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in set \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket xa : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \text{dclist}$ using tt by auto
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : b \mid c3 \rrbracket$ using $* t2$ beq by blast
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z3 : b \mid c3 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket xa : b \mid c \rrbracket$ using $t2$ tsub
 beq by blast
 moreover have $atom\ z \# v'$ using tt by auto
 moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using fresh-replace-inside tt infer- v - wf $*$ by metis
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v' \Rightarrow$
 $\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v') \rrbracket$
 using infer- v -consI by metis

 hence **: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v' \Rightarrow t1$
 using tt by argo

 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ proof –
 have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) t1$ using ** infer- v - wf by metis
 thus ?thesis using subtype-refl2 by presburger
 qed
 ultimately show ?case by metis
 qed

lemma $ctx\text{-subtype-}v\text{-eq}$:
 fixes $v :: v$
 assumes
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$
 proof –
 obtain $t1'$ where $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1'$ using $ctx\text{-subtype-}v$ assms by metis
 moreover have $replace\text{-in-}g (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) x c0 = \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using $replace\text{-in-}g\text{-inside}$
 infer- v - wf assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using infer- v -uniqueness-rig assms by metis
 qed

lemma $ctx\text{-subtype-check-}v\text{-eq}$:
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$
 proof –
 obtain $t2$ where $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim$
 $t1$
 using $check\text{-}v\text{-elims}$ assms by blast
 hence $t3: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2$
 using assms $ctx\text{-subtype-}v\text{-eq}$ by blast

 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2$ using $t3$ by auto
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ proof –

 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ using $t2$ by auto
 thus ?thesis using subtype-trans
 using assms(2) $ctx\text{-subtype-subtype}$ by blast
 qed

ultimately show *?thesis* using *check-v.intros* by *presburger*
qed

Basically the same as *ctx-subtype-v-eq* but in a different form

lemma *ctx-subtype-v-rig-eq*:

fixes *v::v*

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

proof –

obtain *b* **and** *c0'* **and** *G* **and** *G'* **where** $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$

using *assms* *replace-in-g-inside-valid* *infer-v-wf* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** *ctx-subtype-v-eq*[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} G' x b c0' G v t1 c0$] *assms* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq*:

fixes *v::v*

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* *xcs* *arbitrary*: $\Gamma \Gamma' t1$)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons* *a* *xcs*)

then obtain *x* **and** *c* **where** *a*=(*x*,*c*) **by** *fastforce*

then obtain *b* **and** *c'* **where** *bc*: *Some* (*b*, *c'*) = *lookup* $\Gamma' x \wedge$

replace-in-g-subtyped $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$

$x \notin \text{fst ' set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \models c'$

using *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim*(*3*)[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma$] *Cons* **by** (*metis* *valid.simps*)

hence *: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson* *image-iff* *list.distinct*(1) *list.set-cases* *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)

hence *t2*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-v-rig-eq*[*OF* * *Cons*(*3*)] **by** *blast*

moreover have **: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma$ **using** *bc* **by** *auto*

ultimately have *t2'*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *Cons* **by** *blast*

thus *?case* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq*:

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$

proof –

obtain *t2* **where** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ **using** *check-v-elim* *assms* **by** *fast*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq* *ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs*

using *assms*(1) **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *check-v-subtypeI* by *blast*
qed

13.5 Expressions

lemma *valid-wfC*:

fixes *c0*::*c*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b0, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$
using *wfG-elim2* *valid.simps* *wfG-suffix*
using *assms valid-g-wf* by *metis*

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-eq*:

fixes *G*:: Γ
assumes
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ and $G = \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
using *assms proof* (*nominal-induct t1* avoiding: *c0* rule: *infer-e.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v \tau$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-valI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-valI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle$ using *infer-e-valI ctx-subtype-v-eq* by *auto*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-plusI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI* by *auto*
 show $\ast: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B-int \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI ctx-subtype-v-eq* by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B-int \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE-op\ Plus\ v1\ v2 \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI* by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ using \ast *infer-e-plusI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf* by *metis*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-leqI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI* by *auto*
 show $\ast: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B-int \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B-int \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE-op\ LEq\ v1\ v2 \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI* by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ using \ast *infer-e-leqI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf* by

```

metis
qed
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi f x' b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-appI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x' b c \tau' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
infer-e-appI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \{ x' : b \mid c \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-appI ctx-subtype-check-v-eq
by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-appI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 x'$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show  $\langle \tau'[x'::=v]_v = \tau \rangle$  using infer-e-appI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma1 \Delta \Phi b' f bv x1 b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-appPI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x1 b c \tau' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \{ x1 : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI
ctx-subtype-check-v-eq subst-defs by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 x1$ ]
infer-v-wf infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \tau'[bv::=b]_b[x1::=v]_v = \tau \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  have  $\text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using infer-e-appPI by metis
  hence  $\text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  unfolding fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 using  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# c0 \rangle$  fresh-append-g by metis
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, \tau) \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-fstI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 b2 \mid c \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI
ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z \# AE\text{-fst } v \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-sndI
by auto

```

```

    show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI by auto
    show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI
    ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
    thus ⟨  $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
    show ⟨  $atom\ z \# AE\text{-snd } v$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ z$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-lenI
  by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI ctx-subtype-v-eq
  by auto
  thus ⟨  $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show ⟨  $atom\ z \# AE\text{-len } v$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Phi \ \Delta \ u \ \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-mvarI
  by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using infer-e-mvarI fresh-replace-inside wfD-wf by blast
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-concatI
  by auto
  thus ⟨  $atom\ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z3$ ] infer-v-wf wfX-wfY by metis
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show ⟨  $atom\ z3 \# AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $*:(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta)$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-splitI
  by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-splitI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @$ 
     $(x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma}$ 

```

$\Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid \llbracket \text{leq} [\llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v]^{ce} [\llbracket z2 \rrbracket^v]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v]^{ce} \text{ AND}$
 $\llbracket \text{leq} [\llbracket z2 \rrbracket^v]^{ce} [\llbracket v1 \rrbracket^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *infer-e-splitI ctx-subtype-check-v-eq* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 z1$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } z3 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-rig-eq*:
assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
proof –
obtain b **and** $c0'$ **and** G **and** G' **where** $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta$
 $; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$
using *assms replace-in-g-inside-valid infer-e-wf* **by** *meson*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms ctx-subtype-e-eq* **by** *presburger*
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq*:
assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
using *assms proof*(*induct xcs arbitrary: $\Gamma \Gamma' t1$*)
case *Nil*
moreover **have** $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*
using *calculation*(1) **by** *blast*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ **using** *subtype-reflI2 Nil infer-e-t-wf* **by** *blast*
ultimately **show** *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*Cons a xcs*)
then **obtain** x **and** c **where** $a = (x, c)$ **by** *fastforce*
then **obtain** b **and** c' **where** bc : *Some* $(b, c') = \text{lookup } \Gamma' x \wedge$
 $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$
 $x \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \models c'$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim3*[of
 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma]$ *Cons*
by (*metis valid.simps*)
hence *: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson image-iff list.distinct*(1) *list.set-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)
hence $t2$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-e-rig-eq*[*OF* * *Cons*(3)]

by blast

moreover have **: replace-in-g-subtyped $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ (replace-in-g $\Gamma' x c$) $xcs \Gamma$ using bc by auto

ultimately have $t2': \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ using Cons by blast

thus ?case by blast

qed

13.6 Statements

lemma ctx-subtype-s-rigs:

fixes $c0::c$ and $s::s$ and $G'::\Gamma$ and $xcs :: (x*c)$ list and $css::branch\text{-}list$

shows

$check\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta s t1$ and

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid cons const v cs t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta tid cons const v cs t1$

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid dclist v css t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta tid dclist v css t1$

proof(induction arbitrary: $xcs G'$ and $xcs G'$ and $xcs G'$ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)

case (check-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

hence $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$ using ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs

by (meson check-v.simps)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using check-valI wfD-rig by auto

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using check-valI by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle$ using * by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \rangle$ using * by auto

qed

next

case (check-letI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z' s b' c'$)

thm replace-in-g-wfG

show ?case proof

have wfG: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G'$ using infer-e-wf check-letI replace-in-g-wfG using infer-e-wf(2) by (auto simp add: freshers)

hence atom $x \# G'$ using check-letI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto

thus atom $x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau)$ using check-letI by auto

have atom $z' \# G'$ apply (rule replace-in-g-fresh[OF check-letI(7)])

using replace-in-g-wfG check-letI fresh-prodN infer-e-wf by metis+

thus atom $z' \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau, s)$ using check-letI fresh-prodN by metis

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z' : b' \mid c' \}$

using check-letI ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq by blast

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$

proof(rule check-letI(5))

have vld: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_{cv}$ proof –

have wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using check-letI check-s-wf by metis

hence wfC $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_{cv})$ using wfC-refl subst-defs

by auto

thus ?thesis using valid-refl[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} x b' c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v \Gamma c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v$] subst-defs by

auto

qed

have xf: $x \notin fst \text{ ` set } xcs$ proof –

have $\text{atom} \text{ 'fst' 'set' } xcs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** *check-letI wsX-iff* **by** *meson*
moreover have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** *infer-e-wf check-letI* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def check-letI wfG-dom-supp*
using *wsX-fresh* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \# xcs)$
 $((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G')$ **proof** –
have $\text{Some } (b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = \text{lookup } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \models$
 $c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **proof** –
have $\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
using *replace-in-g.simps* **by** *presburger*
thus *?thesis* **using** *vld subst-defs* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$
 $(c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v)) xcs (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G'))$ **proof** –
have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** *check-letI check-s-wf* **by** *metis*
hence $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) xcs (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G'))$
using *check-letI replace-in-g-subtyped-cons xf* **by** *meson*
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
using *replace-in-g.simps* **by** *presburger*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *argo*
qed
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *vld*
subst-defs **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI xf replace-in-g.simps(2)* **by** *metis*
qed
show $\text{wsX } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \# xcs)$
using *check-letI xf subst-defs* **by** *(simp add: wsX-cons)*
qed
qed
next
case $(\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ cs } \tau \text{ css})$
then show *?case* **using** *Typing.check-branch-list-consI* **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{check-branch-list-finalI } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ cs } \tau)$
then show *?case* **using** *Typing.check-branch-list-finalI* **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{check-branch-s-branchI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ const } x \Phi \text{ tid cons } v \text{ s})$
have $\text{wfcons: wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of const, CE-val } v == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *check-s-wf check-branch-s-branchI*
by *meson*
hence $\text{wf: wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-cons* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \# (const, G', v)$ **proof** –
have $\text{atom } x \# G'$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wf replace-in-g-fresh}$
 $\text{wfG-dom-supp replace-in-g-wfG}$ **by** simp
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN}$ **by** simp
qed

moreover have $st: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x))$
 $AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –
have $wsX ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x)$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wsX-cons2 wsX-fresh wf}$ **by** force
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}$
 $(V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}$
 $(V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G')$
using $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-cons wsX-fresh wf check-branch-s-branchI wfcons}$ **by** auto
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI calculation}$ **by** meson
qed
moreover have $\text{wft: wfT } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \tau$ **using**
 $\text{check-branch-s-branchI ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs subtype-refl2 subtype-wf}$ **by** metis
moreover have $\text{wfD } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \Delta$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wfD-rig}$ **by** presburger
ultimately show $?case$ **using**
 $\text{Typing.check-branch-s-branchI}$
using $\text{check-branch-s-branchI.hyps}$ **by** simp

next

case $(\text{check-iffI } z \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau)$
hence $\text{wf:wfG } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma$ **using** check-s-wf **by** presburger
show $?case$ **proof** $(\text{rule check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-iffI})$
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle$ **using** $\text{fresh-prodN replace-in-g-fresh1 wf check-iffI}$
by auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** $\text{ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-iffI}$ **by**
 presburger
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of}$
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** check-iffI **by** auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of}$
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** check-iffI **by** auto
qed
next

case $(\text{check-let2I } x \ P \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ \Delta \ t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2)$

show $?case$ **proof**
have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$ **using** $\text{check-let2I check-s-wf}$ **by** metis
show $*: P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$ **using** check-let2I **by** blast
show $\text{atom } x \# (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, t, s1, \tau)$ **proof** –
have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G'$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf } *$ **by** blast
hence $\text{atom-dom } G = \text{atom-dom } G'$ **using** $\text{check-let2I rigs-atom-dom-eq}$ **by** presburger
moreover have $\text{atom } x \# G$ **using** check-let2I **by** auto
moreover have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf } * \ \text{replace-in-g-wfG check-let2I}$ **by** simp
ultimately have $\text{atom } x \# G'$ **using** $\text{wfG-dom-supp fresh-def } \langle \text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \rangle$ **by** metis
thus $?thesis$ **using** check-let2I **by** auto
qed
show $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –

```

    have wsX ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) xcs using check-let2I wsX-cons2 wsX-fresh ⟨wfG P B G⟩
  by simp
    moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped P B ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) xcs ((x, b-of t, c-of t x)
#Γ G') proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons)
      show replace-in-g-subtyped P B G xcs G' using check-let2I by auto
      have atom x # G using check-let2I by auto
      moreover have wfT P B G t using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis

      moreover have atom x # t using check-let2I check-s-wf wfT-sup by auto
      ultimately show wfG P B ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) using wfT-wf-cons b-of-c-of-eq[of x t]
    by auto
      show x ∉ fst 'set xcs using check-let2I wsX-fresh ⟨wfG P B G⟩ by simp
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using check-let2I by presburger
    qed
  qed
next
case (check-varI u Θ Φ B Γ Δ τ' v τ s)
show ?case proof
  have atom u # G' unfolding fresh-def
    apply(rule u-not-in-g, rule replace-in-g-wfG)
    using check-v-wf check-varI by simp+
  thus ⟨atom u # (Θ, Φ, B, G', Δ, τ', v, τ)⟩ unfolding fresh-prodN using check-varI by simp
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ τ'⟩ using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-varI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; G' ; (u, τ') #Δ Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ⟩ using check-varI by auto
  qed
next
case (check-assignI P Φ B G Δ u τ v z τ')
show ?case proof
  show ⟨P ⊢wf Φ⟩ using check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using check-assignI wfD-rig by auto
  show ⟨(u, τ) ∈ setD Δ⟩ using check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ τ⟩ using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢ ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ ≲ τ'⟩ using ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs check-assignI by
auto
  qed
next
case (check-whileI Δ G P s1 z s2 τ')
then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI
  by (meson ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs)
next
case (check-seqI Δ G P s1 z s2 τ)
then show ?case
  using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-seqI by blast
next
case (check-caseI Θ Φ B Γ Δ tid dclist v cs τ z)
show ?case proof
  show Θ ; Φ ; B ; G' ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ; v ⊢ cs ⇐ τ using check-caseI ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq
by auto
  show AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ using check-caseI by auto
  show Θ ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ ⌊ z : B-id tid | TRUE ⌋ using check-caseI ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq by
auto

```



```

  show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using check-caseI by auto
qed
next
case (check-assertI  $x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$ )
show ?case proof
  have  $wfG: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G'$  using check-s-wf check-assertI replace-in-g-wfG wfX-wfY
by metis
  hence atom  $x \# G'$  using check-assertI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, c, \tau, s) \rangle$  using check-assertI fresh-prodN by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  proof(rule check-assertI(5))
    show  $wsX ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs$  using check-assertI wsX-cons3 by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} G'$  proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G' \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
    thus  $\langle x \notin fst \text{ ' set } xcs \rangle$  using check-assertI wsX-fresh wfG-elim wsX-wfY by metis
  qed
qed
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \models c \rangle$  using check-assertI replace-in-g-valid by auto
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assertI wfD-rig by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-empty*:

```

  assumes  $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  shows replace-in-g-subtyped  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\text{replace-in-g } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv})) \sqcap (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
proof -
  have replace-in-g  $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by auto
next
case (GCons  $x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1$ )
  have  $x \notin fst \text{ ' set } G \ ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)$  using GCons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps setG.simps append-g.simps by fast
  hence  $x1 \neq x$  using assms wfG-inside-fresh GCons by force
  hence  $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [x \mapsto c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}] = (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  using replace-in-g.simps GCons wfG-elim append-g.simps by metis
  thus ?case using append-g.simps by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI by presburger
qed

```

lemma *ctx-subtype-s*:

```

  fixes  $s :: s$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  and
    atom  $x \# (z, z', c, c')$ 

```

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –

have $wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** *check-s-wf assms* **by** *meson*
hence $*:x \notin fst \text{ 'setG } \Gamma'$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh* **by** *force*
have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wf wfG-suffix* **by** *metis*
hence $xfG: atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-elim* **by** *metis*
have $x \neq z'$ **using** *assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
hence $a2: atom\ x \# c'$ **using** *assms fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*

have $atom\ x \# (z', c', z, c, \Gamma)$ **proof** –

have $x \neq z$ **using** *assms* **using** *assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
hence $a1 : atom\ x \# c$ **using** *assms subtype-wf subtype-wf assms wfT-fresh-c xfg* **by** *meson*
thus $?thesis$ **using** $a1\ a2 \langle atom\ x \# (z, z', c, c') \rangle$ *fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair xfg* **by** *simp*

qed

hence $wc1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$
using *subtype-valid assms fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

have $vld: \Theta; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **proof** –

have $setG ((x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof** –
have $*:wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z' : b \mid c' \})$ **using** *subtype-wf assms* **by** *meson*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \# (c', \Gamma)$ **using** $xfG\ a2$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons-flip freshers* **by**

blast

thus $?thesis$ **using** *wfG-replace-inside2 check-s-wf assms* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $wc1\ valid\ weakening\ subst\ defs$ **by** *metis*

qed

hence $wbc: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **using** *valid.simps*
by *auto*

have $wbc1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **using** $wc1\ valid.simps$
subst-defs **by** *auto*

have $wsX (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [(x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})]$ **proof**
show $wsX (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) []$ **using** *wsX-NilI* **by** *auto*
show $atom\ x \in atom\ dom (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *simp*
show $x \notin fst \text{ 'set } []$ **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $replace\ in\ g\ subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [(x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})]$
 $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof**

show $Some (b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$ **using** *lookup-inside**
by *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; replace\ in\ g (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \models c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$
using *vld replace-in-g-split wf* **by** *metis*

show $replace\ in\ g\ subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace\ in\ g (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})) [] (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

using *replace-in-g-subtyped-empty wf* **by** *presburger*

show $x \notin fst \text{ 'set } []$ **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$

proof(*rule wf-weakening*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rangle$ **using** *wfC-cons-switch[OF*

```

wbc1] wf-weakening(6) check-s-wf assms setG.simps by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using wfC-cons-switch[OF wbc1]
wf-weakening(6) check-s-wf assms setG.simps by metis
  show  $\langle setG ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using
append-g.simps setG.simps by auto
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using ctx-subtype-s-rigs(1)[OF assms(1)] by presburger
qed
end

```

Chapter 14

Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas

Lemmas that show that types are preserved, in some way, under immutable variable substitution

14.1 Misc

lemma *subst-top-eq*:

$\llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain $z'::x$ **and** c' **where** $zeq: \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z' \nmid (x,v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z2 b-of.simps by metis*

hence $\llbracket z' : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \llbracket z' : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps by metis*

moreover have $c' = C\text{-true}$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-iff}\ Abs1\text{-eq}\text{-iff}(3)\ c.\text{fresh}\ \text{flip-fresh-fresh}$ **by** *(metis zeq)*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** zeq **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *wfD-subst*:

fixes $\tau_1::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ \Delta$ **and** *b-of* $\tau_1 = b_1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$

proof –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b_1$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf assms by auto*

moreover have $(\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *subst-g-inside wfD-wf assms by metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *wf-subst assms by metis*

qed

lemma *subst-v-c-of*:

assumes $atom\ xa \nmid (v,x)$

shows $c\text{-of}\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa = (c\text{-of}\ t\ xa)[x::=v]_{cv}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct t avoiding: x v xa rule:\tau.strong-induct)*

case *(T-refined-type z' b' c')*

then have $c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : b' \mid c' \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa = c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : b' \mid c'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket xa$

using *subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair by metis*

also have $\dots = c'[x::=v]_{cv} [z'::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}$ **using** $c\text{-of.simps } T\text{-refined-type}$ **by** metis
 also have $\dots = c'[z'::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv} [x::=v]_{cv}$
using $\text{subst-cv-commute-subst}[of\ z'\ v\ x\ V\text{-var } xa\ c']\ \text{subst-v-c-def } T\text{-refined-type fresh-Pair fresh-at-base}$
 $v.\text{fresh fresh-x-neq}$ **by** metis
 finally show $?case$ **using** $c\text{-of.simps } T\text{-refined-type}$ **by** metis
qed

14.2 Context

lemma subst-lookup :

assumes $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ y$ **and** $x \neq y$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $\exists d. \text{Some } (b, d) = \text{lookup } ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)\ y$
using assms **proof** ($\text{induct } \Gamma'$ $\text{rule: } \Gamma\text{-induct}$)
case $GNil$
hence $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma\ y$ **by** ($\text{simp add: assms}(1)$)
then show $?case$ **using** subst-gv.simps **by** auto
next
case ($GCons\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \Gamma1$)
show $?case$ **proof** ($\text{cases } x1 = x$)
case $True$
hence $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Gamma1 @ (x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $GCons\ \text{wfG-elim}(2)$
 append-g.simps **by** metis
moreover have $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma1 @ (x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** simp
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $\text{forget-subst-gv not-GCons-self2 subst-gv.simps append-g.simps}$
by ($\text{metis } GCons.\text{prems}(3)\ True\ \text{wfG-cons-fresh2}$)
next
case $False$
hence $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$ **using** subst-gv.simps **by**
 auto
then show $?thesis$ **proof** ($\text{cases } x1 = y$)
case $True$
then show $?thesis$ **using** $GCons$ **using** lookup.simps
by ($\text{metis } ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$) append-g.simps
 $\text{fst-conv option.inject}$
next
case $False$
then show $?thesis$ **using** $GCons$ **using** lookup.simps
using $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$) append-g.simps
 $\Gamma.\text{distinct } \Gamma.\text{inject wfG.simps wfG-elim}$ **by** metis

qed
qed
qed

14.3 Satisfiability

lemma is-satis-g-i-upd2 :

assumes $\text{eval-v } i\ v\ s$ **and** $\text{is-satis } ((i\ (x \mapsto s)))\ c0$ **and** $\text{atom } x \nmid G$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (G3 @ ((x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G))$
and $\text{wfV } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ v\ b$ **and** $\text{wfI } \Theta\ (G3[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ G)\ i$
and $\text{is-satis-g } i\ (G3[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ G)$

shows *is-satis-g* (*i* ($x \mapsto s$)) ($G3 @ ((x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G)$)
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* $G3$ *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
hence *is-satis-g* ($i(x \mapsto s)$) G **using** *is-satis-g-i-upd* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *GNil* **using** *is-satis-g.simps* *append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case ($GCons\ x'\ b'\ c'\ \Gamma'$)
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *wfG-cons-append* **by** *metis*
hence *is-satis-g* $i\ ((x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G)$ **using** *subst-gv.simps* $GCons$ **by** *auto*
hence $*:is-satis\ i\ c'[x::=v]_{cv} \wedge is-satis-g\ i\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G)$ **using** *subst-gv.simps* **by** *auto*
have *is-satis-g* ($i(x \mapsto s)$) ($(x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G)$) **proof**(*subst is-satis-g.simps,rule*)
show *is-satis* ($i(x \mapsto s)$) c' **proof**(*subst subst-c-satis-full[symmetric]*)
show $\langle eval-v\ i\ v\ s \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c' \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ *wfC-refl* **by** *auto*
show $\langle wfI\ \Theta\ (((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle is-satis\ i\ c'[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
qed
show *is-satis-g* ($i(x \mapsto s)$) ($\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G$) **proof**(*rule GCons(1)*)
show $\langle eval-v\ i\ v\ s \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle is-satis\ (i(x \mapsto s))\ c0 \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ x\ \# G \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle$ **using** $GCons$ *wfG-elim* *append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
show $\langle is-satis-g\ i\ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
show $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G)\ i$ **using** $GCons$ *wfI-def* *subst-g-assoc-cons* $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed
moreover have ($(x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G = (((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G)$)
by *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** $GCons$ **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *is-satis-eq*:

assumes $wfI\ \Theta\ G\ i$ **and** $wfCE\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ e\ b$

shows *is-satis* $i\ (e == e)$

proof(*rule*)

obtain s **where** *eval-e* $i\ e\ s$ **using** *eval-e-exist* *assms* **by** *metis*

thus *eval-c* $i\ (e == e)$ *True* **using** *eval-c-eqI* **by** *metis*

qed

14.4 Validity

lemma *subst-self-valid*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** *atom* $z\ \# v$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}$

proof –

have $c = (CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ v)$ **using** *infer-v-form2* *assms* **by** *presburger*

hence $c[z::=v]_{cv} = (CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ v)[z::=v]_{cv}$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (((CE-val\ (V-var\ z))[z::=v]_{cev}) == ((CE-val\ v)[z::=v]_{cev}))$ **by** *fastforce*

```

    also have ... = ((CE-val v) == ((CE-val v)[z::=v]cev)) using subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps by
presburger
    also have ... = (CE-val v == CE-val v) using infer-v-form subst-cev.simps assms forget-subst-vv
by presburger
    finally have *:c[z::=v]cv = (CE-val v == CE-val v) by auto

have **:Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf CE-val v : b using wfCE-valI assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps by metis

show ?thesis proof(rule validI)
  show Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf c[z::=v]cv proof -
    have Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf v : b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
    moreover have Θ ⊢wf ([]::Φ) ∧ Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf []Δ using wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI infer-v-wf
assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using * wfCE-valI wfC-eqI by metis
  qed
show ∀ i. wfI Θ G i ∧ is-satis-g i G ⟶ is-satis i c[z::=v]cv proof(rule,rule)
  fix i
  assume ⟨wfI Θ G i ∧ is-satis-g i G⟩
  thus ⟨is-satis i c[z::=v]cv⟩ using * ** is-satis-eq by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *subst-valid-simple*:

```

  fixes v::v
  assumes Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⟹ ⌊ z0 : b | c0 ⌋ and
    atom z0 ⧸ c and atom z0 ⧸ v
    Θ ; B ; (z0, b, c0) #Γ G ⊢ c[z::=V-var z0]cv
  shows Θ ; B ; G ⊢ c[z::=v]cv
proof -
  have Θ ; B ; G ⊢ c0[z0::=v]cv using subst-self-valid assms by metis
  moreover have atom z0 ⧸ G using assms valid-wf-all by meson
  moreover have wfV Θ B G v b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
  moreover have (c[z::=V-var z0]cv)[z0::=v]cv = c[z::=v]cv using subst-v-simple-commute assms
subst-v-c-def by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid-trans assms subst-defs by metis
qed

```

lemma *wfI-subst1*:

```

  assumes wfI Θ (G'[x::=v]Γv @ G) i and wfG Θ B (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G) and eval-v i
v sv and wfRCV Θ sv b
  shows wfI Θ (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G) ( i( x ↦ sv))
proof -
  {
    fix xa::x and ba::b and ca::c
    assume as: (xa, ba, ca) ∈ setG ((G' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G)))
    then have ∃ s. Some s = (i(x ↦ sv)) xa ∧ wfRCV Θ s ba
    proof(cases x=xa)
      case True
      have Some sv = (i(x ↦ sv)) x ∧ wfRCV Θ sv b using as assms wfI-def by auto
      moreover have b=ba using assms as True wfG-member-unique by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using True by auto
    qed
  }

```

```

next
case False

then obtain ca' where (xa, ba, ca') ∈ setG (G'[x::=v]Γv @ G) using wfG-member-subst2 assms
as by metis
then obtain s where Some s = i xa ∧ wfRCV Θ s ba using wfI-def assms False by blast
thus ?thesis using False by auto
qed
}
from this show ?thesis using wfI-def allI by blast
qed

lemma subst-valid:
fixes v::v and c'::c and Γ::Γ
assumes Θ ; B ; Γ ⊨ c[z::=v]cv and Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b and
  Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ and atom x # c and atom x # Γ and
  Θ ; B ⊢wf (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) and
  Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊨ c' (is Θ ; B ; ?G ⊨ c')
shows Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊨ c'[x::=v]cv
proof -
have *: wfC Θ B (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) c' using valid.simps assms by metis
hence wfC Θ B (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) (c'[x::=v]cv) using wf-subst(2)[OF *] b-of.simps assms
subst-g-inside wfC-wf by metis
moreover have ∀ i. wfI Θ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) i ∧ is-satis-g i (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) ⟶ is-satis i
(c'[x::=v]cv)
proof(rule, rule)
fix i
assume as: wfI Θ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) i ∧ is-satis-g i (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ)
thm valid.simps
hence wfi: wfI Θ Γ i using wfI-suffix infer-v-wf assms by metis
then obtain s where s: eval-v i v s and b: wfRCV Θ s b using eval-v-exist infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis
thm is-satis-g-i-upd2
have is1: is-satis-g (i (x ↦ s)) (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) proof(rule is-satis-g-i-upd2)
show is-satis (i (x ↦ s)) (c[z::=[x]v]cv) proof -
have is-satis i (c[z::=[x]v]cv)
using subst-valid-simple assms as valid.simps infer-v-wf assms
is-satis-g-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
hence is-satis i ((c[z::=[x]v]cv) [x::=v]cv) using assms subst-v-simple-commute[of x c z v]
subst-v-c-def by metis
moreover have Θ ; B ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢wf c[z::=[x]v]cv using wfC-refl wfG-suffix
assms by metis
moreover have Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
ultimately show ?thesis using subst-c-satis[OF s, of Θ B x b c[z::=[x]v]cv Γ c[z::=[x]v]cv]
wfi by auto
qed
show atom x # Γ using assms by metis
show wfG Θ B (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) using valid-wf-all assms by metis
show Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf by force
show i [v] ~ s using s by auto
show Θ ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ i using as by auto

```



```

  show  $i \models \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$  using as by auto
qed
hence is-satis (  $i(x \mapsto s)$  )  $c'$  proof –
  have wfI  $\Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(x \mapsto s))$ 
    using wfI-subst1 [of  $\Theta \Gamma' x v \Gamma i \mathcal{B} b c z s$ ] as  $b s$  assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using is1 valid.simps assms by presburger
qed

  thus is-satis  $i (c'[x::=v]_{cv})$  using subst-c-satis-full [OF  $s$ ] valid.simps as infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis

qed
ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by auto
qed

lemma subst-valid-infer-v:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $c'::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}$  and atom  $x \# c$  and atom  $x \# G$  and wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ 
  ( $G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G$ ) and atom  $z0 \# v$ 
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c[z::=V\text{-var } z0]_{cv}$  and atom  $z0 \# c$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c' (\text{is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models c')$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G \models c'[x::=v]_{cv}$ 
proof –
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}$ 
    using infer-v-wf subst-valid-simple valid.simps assms using subst-valid-simple assms valid.simps
infer-v-wf assms
    is-satis-g-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
  moreover have wfV  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G v b$  and wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G$ 
    using assms infer-v-wf b-of.simps apply metis using assms infer-v-wf by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms subst-valid by metis
qed

```

14.5 Subtyping

```

lemma subst-subtype:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{ z0 : b \mid c0 \})$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}) \lesssim (\{ z : b \mid c \})$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \lesssim (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \})$  (is  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G1 \vdash$ 
     $?t1 \lesssim ?t2$ ) and
    atom  $z \# (x, v) \wedge$  atom  $z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma) \wedge$  atom  $z1 \# (x, v) \wedge$  atom  $z2 \# (x, v)$  and wsV  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof –
  have  $z2 : \text{atom } z2 \# (x, v)$  using assms by auto
  hence  $x \neq z2$  by auto

  obtain  $xx::x$  where  $xxf : \text{atom } xx \# (x, z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, c1[x::=v]_{cv},$ 
   $c2[x::=v]_{cv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma,$ 
     $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma, z1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}, z2, c2[x::=v]_{cv})$  (is atom  $xx \# ?tup$ )
    using obtain-fresh by blast
  hence  $xxf2 : \text{atom } xx \# (z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using fresh-prod9 fresh-prod5
by fast

```

```

have vd1:  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (c2[z2 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv})[x ::= v]_{cv}$ 
proof(rule subst-valid-infer-v[of  $\Theta$  - - -  $z0\ b\ c0 - c$ , where  $z=z$ ])
  show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z0 : b \mid c0 \rrbracket$  using assms by auto

show xf:  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$  using subtype-g-wf wfG-inside-fresh-suffix assms by metis

show  $atom\ x \# c$  proof -
  have wfT  $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$  using subtype-wf[OF assms(2)] by auto
  moreover have  $x \neq z$  using assms(4)
  using fresh-Pair not-self-fresh by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using xf wfT-fresh-c assms by presburger
qed

show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
proof(rule subst append-g.simps, rule wfG-consI)
  show  $\ast: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using subtype-g-wf assms by metis
  show  $\langle atom\ xx \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using xf fresh-prod9 by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using subtype-elimis[OF assms(3)] wfT-wfC wfC-wf wfG-cons by metis
  show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xx, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ 
proof(rule wfT-wfC)
  have  $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket xx : b1 \mid c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv} \rrbracket$  using xf fresh-prod9 type-eq-subst
xf2 fresh-prodN by metis
  thus  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket xx : b1 \mid c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv} \rrbracket$  using
subtype-wfT[OF assms(3)] by metis
  show  $atom\ xx \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using xf fresh-prod9 by metis
  qed
qed

show  $atom\ z0 \# v$  using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
have  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z ::= V\text{-}var\ z0]_v$ 
apply(rule obtain-fresh[of (z0, c0,  $\Gamma$ , c, z)], rule subtype-valid[OF assms(2), THEN valid-flip],
  (fastforce simp add: assms fresh-prodN)+) done
thus  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z ::= V\text{-}var\ z0]_{cv}$  using subst-defs by auto

show  $atom\ z0 \# c$  using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c2[z2 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ 
using subtype-valid assms(3) xf xf2 fresh-prodN append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
qed

have xfw1:  $atom\ z1 \# v \wedge atom\ x \# [xx]^v \wedge x \neq z1$ 
apply(intro conjI)
apply(simp add: assms xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers fresh-x-neq)+
using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN xf apply blast
using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN assms by blast

have xfw2:  $atom\ z2 \# v \wedge atom\ x \# [xx]^v \wedge x \neq z2$ 
apply(auto simp add: assms xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers)
by(insert xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN assms, fast+)

have wf1:  $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1[x ::= v]_{cv} \rrbracket)$  proof -

```

have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \})[x::v]_{\tau_v}$
 using $wf\text{-subst}(4)$ $assms$ $b\text{-of.simps}$ $infer\text{-}v\text{-}v\text{-}wf$ $subtype\text{-}wf$ $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $subst\text{-}g\text{-inside}$ $wfT\text{-}wf$
 by *metis*
 moreover have $atom\ z1 \# (x, v)$ using $assms$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ by *auto*
 qed
 moreover have $wf2: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2[x::v]_{cv} \})$ **proof** –
 have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \})[x::v]_{\tau_v}$ using $wf\text{-subst}(4)$ $assms$ $b\text{-of.simps}$
 $infer\text{-}v\text{-}v\text{-}wf$ $subtype\text{-}wf$ $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $subst\text{-}g\text{-inside}$ $wfT\text{-}wf$ by *metis*
 moreover have $atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$ using $assms$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ by *auto*
 qed
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx, b1, c1[x::v]_{cv}[z1::V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \models (c2[x::v]_{cv})[z2::V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ **proof** –
 have $xx \neq x$ using $xxf\text{-}fresh\text{-}Pair$ $fresh\text{-}at\text{-}base$ by *fast*
 hence $((xx, b1, subst\text{-}cv\ c1\ z1\ (V\text{-}var\ xx)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} = (xx, b1, (subst\text{-}cv\ c1\ z1\ (V\text{-}var\ xx))$
 $)[x::v]_{cv} \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v})$
 using $subst\text{-}gv.simps$ by *auto*
 moreover have $(c1[z1::V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv})[x::v]_{cv} = (c1[x::v]_{cv})[z1::V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ using $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}commute\text{-}subst$
 $xfw1$ by *metis*
 moreover have $c2[z2::[xx]^v]_{cv}[x::v]_{cv} = (c2[x::v]_{cv})[z2::V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ using $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}commute\text{-}subst$
 $xfw2$ by *metis*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using $vd1$ $append\text{-}g.simps$ by *metis*
 qed
 moreover have $atom\ xx \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, z1, c1[x::v]_{cv}, z2, c2[x::v]_{cv})$
 using $xxf\text{-}fresh\text{-}prodN$ by *metis*
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1[x::v]_{cv} \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2[x::v]_{cv} \}$
 using $subtype\text{-}baseI$ $subst\text{-}defs$ by *metis*
 thus $?thesis$ using $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $assms$ by *presburger*
 qed

lemma *subst-subtype-tau:*

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim (\{ z : b \mid c \})$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$ **and**

$atom\ z \# (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \tau 1[x::v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim \tau 2[x::v]_{\tau_v}$

proof –

obtain $z0$ **and** $b0$ **and** $c0$ **where** $zbc0: \tau = (\{ z0 : b0 \mid c0 \}) \wedge atom\ z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma)$

using *obtain-fresh-z* by *metis*

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **where** $zbc1: \tau 1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \wedge atom\ z1 \# (x, v)$

using *obtain-fresh-z* by *metis*

obtain $z2$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c2$ **where** $zbc2: \tau 2 = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \wedge atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$

using *obtain-fresh-z* by *metis*

have $b0=b$ using *subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base* $zbc0$ $assms$ by *blast*

hence $vinf: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}$ using $assms$ $zbc0$ by *blast*

have $vsub: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ using $assms$ $zbc0$ $(b0=b)$ by *blast*

have $beq: b1=b2$ using *subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base*

using $zbc1$ $zbc2$ $assms$ by *blast*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-subtype*[*OF vinf vsub*])
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma) \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \}$
using *beq assms zbc1 zbc2 by auto*
show $atom\ z \# (x, v) \wedge atom\ z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma) \wedge atom\ z1 \# (x, v) \wedge atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$
using *zbc0 zbc1 zbc2 assms by blast*
show $wfV\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ (b\text{-of}\ \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-wf assms by simp*
qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *zbc1 zbc2 <b1=b2> assms by blast*
qed

lemma *subtype-if1*:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** $wfV\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v$ (*base-for-lit l*) **and**
 $atom\ z1 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# t1$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# t2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# \Gamma$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid CE\text{-val}\ v == CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ l) \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1) \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t2 \mid CE\text{-val}\ v == CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ l) \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2) \}$
proof –
obtain $z1'$ **where** $t1 : t1 = \{ z1' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \} \wedge atom\ z1' \# (z1, \Gamma, t1)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis*
obtain $z2'$ **where** $t2 : t2 = \{ z2' : b\text{-of}\ t2 \mid c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \} \wedge atom\ z2' \# (z2, t2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis*
have $beq : b\text{-of}\ t1 = b\text{-of}\ t2$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 assms by auto*

have $c1 : (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1$ **using** *c-of-switch t1 assms by simp*
have $c2 : (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2$ **using** *c-of-switch t2 assms by simp*

have $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_v \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_v \}$
proof(*rule subtype-if*)
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \} \lesssim \{ z2' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \} \rangle$ **using** *t1 t2 assms beq by auto*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_v \} \rangle$
using *wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c1 subst-defs by metis*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_v \} \rangle$
using *wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c2 subst-defs beq by metis*
show $\langle atom\ z1 \# v \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*
show $\langle atom\ z1' \# \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *t1 by auto*
show $\langle atom\ z1 \# c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \rangle$ **using** *t1 assms c-of-fresh by force*
show $\langle atom\ z2 \# c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \rangle$ **using** *t2 assms c-of-fresh by force*
show $\langle atom\ z2 \# v \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *t1 t2 assms c1 c2 beq subst-defs by metis*
qed

14.6 Values

lemma *subst-infer-aux*:

fixes $\tau_1::\tau$ **and** $v'::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **and** $b\text{-of}\ \tau_1 = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2$

shows $\tau_1 = (\tau_2[x::=v])_{\tau v}$
proof –
obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $zb1: \tau_1 = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# ((CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}), CE\text{-val } v), v'[x::=v]_{vv})$
using *infer-v-form-fresh* [*OF* *assms*(1)] **by** *fastforce*
obtain $z2$ **and** $b2$ **where** $zb2: \tau_2 = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val } v') \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# ((CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}), CE\text{-val } v, x, v), v')$
using *infer-v-form-fresh* [*OF* *assms*(2)] **by** *fastforce*
have *beq*: $b1 = b2$ **using** *assms* $zb1$ $zb2$ **by** *simp*

hence $(\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val } v') \rrbracket)[x::=v]_{\tau v} = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \rrbracket)$
using *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* *subst-ev.simps* *forget-subst-vv* [*of* x $V\text{-var } z2$] $zb2$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \rrbracket)$
using *type-e-eq* [*of* $z2$ $CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) z1$ $b1$] $zb1$ $zb2$ *fresh-PairD*(1) *assms* *beq* **by** *metis*
finally show *?thesis* **using** $zb1$ $zb2$ **by** *argo*
qed

lemma *subst-t-b-eq*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
shows $b\text{-of } (\tau[x::=v])_{\tau v} = b\text{-of } \tau$
proof –
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, v)$
using *has-fresh-z* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *fresh-g-fresh-v*:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$
shows $\text{atom } x \# v$
using *assms* *wfV-suppl* *wfX-wfY* *wfG-atoms-suppl-eq* *fresh-def*
by (*metis* *wfV-x-fresh*)

lemma *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma' @ \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\text{atom } x \# v$
proof –
have $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-suffix* *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ ($b\text{-of } \tau$) **using** *infer-v-wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-g-fresh-v* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv*:
fixes $xa::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $\text{atom } xa \# \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \Gamma)$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# (x, v)$
proof –
have $\text{atom } xa \# x$ **using** *assms* *fresh-in-g* *fresh-def* **by** *blast*
moreover have $\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \Gamma) = ((\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \text{GNil}) @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g.simps* *append-g-assoc* **by** *simp*

moreover hence $\text{atom } xa \# v$ using *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v* *assms* by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-subst-infer-v*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ and $b\text{-of } \tau = b$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$

using *wfG-subst-wfV infer-v-v-wf* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *fresh-subst-gv-inside*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ and $\text{atom } z \# v$

shows $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$

unfolding *fresh-append-g* using *fresh-append-g* *assms* *fresh-subst-gv* *fresh-GCons* by *metis*

lemma *subst-infer-v*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v'::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\{ \{ z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \} \})$ and $\text{atom } z0 \# (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* *v'* *avoiding: x v arbitrary: \tau_2* rule: *v.strong-induct*)
case (*V-lit l*)

hence $*$: $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau_2$ using *infer-v-elim*s by *metis*

thm *type-eq-flip obtain-fresh-z type-e-eq*

then obtain $z\ b$ where $t: \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma'$

@ $(x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

using *infer-l-form ** by *metis*

hence $**$: $\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2$ **proof** –

have $\text{atom } z \# (x, v)$ using *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv[of z]* *V-lit infer-v-wf t* by *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \# V\text{-lit } l$ using *v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def* by *fast*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *type-v-subst-fresh t* by *metis*

qed

have $b\text{-of } \tau_1 = b_1$ using *subtype-eq-base2 V-lit b-of.simps* by *auto*

show *?case*

proof(*subst subst-vv.simps* , rule *infer-v-litI*)

show $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ using $**$ by *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ using *wfG-subst-infer-v V-lit (b-of \tau_1 = b_1)* by *blast*

qed

next

case (*V-var y*)

have $b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1$ using *subtype-eq-base2 assms b-of.simps* by *auto*

then obtain z and b and c where $zb: \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \} \} \wedge$

$\text{atom } z \# y \wedge \text{atom } z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ y$

proof –

assume $\bigwedge z\ b\ c. \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# y \wedge$

$atom\ z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv})$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ y \implies thesis$
then show *?thesis*
using *infer-var3[OF V-var(2)]* **by** *blast*
qed

If y is x then we are dealing with v otherwise substitution is identity

have *wfg1: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using infer-v-wf using V-var by fast*
moreover have *wfV $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ v \ b_1$ using infer-v-v-wf V-var $\langle b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1 \rangle$ by auto*
ultimately have *wfg: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ using wf-subst(3)[OF wfg1] subst-g-inside by metis*

have *wsg1: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using wfg1 by auto*
hence *zf: atom $z \# ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ using wfG-xa-fresh-in-subst-v V-var zb subst-g-inside wsg1*
subst-defs by metis

show *?case proof(cases $x = y$)*
case *True*
have *lu: Some $(b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv})) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x$ using lookup-inside-wf*
wfg1 by metis

moreover have *$(V\text{-var } y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = v$ by (simp add: True)*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v})$ **proof** –
have $\tau_1 = (\tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v})$ **using** *subst-infer-aux* [**where** $x=x$ **and** $v=v$ **and** $v'=V\text{-var } y$ **and**
 $\tau_1=\tau_1$ **and** $\tau_2=\tau_2, OF - V\text{-var}(2)$]
by (*metis Pair-inject True V-var.prem(1) V-var.prem(2) assms(3) b-of.simps calculation(2)*
infer-v-elim(1) infer-v-form lu option.inject subst-infer-aux subtype-eq-base)
thus *?thesis using subtype-refl1 infer-v-t-wf*
using *assms subtype-refl2 by metis*
qed

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (V\text{-var } y)[x ::= v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v}$
using *V-var True by argo*

moreover have *setG $\Gamma \subseteq setG\ (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ by simp*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *infer-v-wf V-var by auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau_1$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf V-var by auto*

moreover have $b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 assms b-of.simps by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis using wf-subst(3) subst-g-inside by metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis using infer-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening wfg*

append-g-assoc in-set-conv-decomp subset-code

by (*metis V-var.prem(2) subst-infer-aux subst-t-b-eq subtype-eq-base2*)

next

case *False*

have *$(V\text{-var } y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = V\text{-var } y$ by (simp add: False)*

have *eq: $(V\text{-var } y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = V\text{-var } y$ by (simp add: False)*

then obtain c' **where** *Some $(b, c') = lookup\ (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \ y$ using subst-lookup[of $b \ c \ \Gamma' \ x \ b_1$*
 $c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv} \ \Gamma \ y] \ zb \ False \ wfg1 \ V\text{-var}$ by metis

hence $a1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } y) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \rrbracket)$
 using *infer-v-varI*[of $\Theta - - b \ c' \ y \ z$, *OF wfg*] *wfg zf zb* **by** *metis*
 moreover have $(\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \rrbracket) = \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **proof** –
 have $\text{supp } \tau_2 = \{ \text{atom } y \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **using** *zb supp-v-var-tau* *False* **by** *force*
 hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau_2$ **using** *False fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-tv zb* **by** *metis*
qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-reflI infer-v-t-wf eq subtype-reflI2* **by** *metis*
qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)$

Unpack into typing for parts

then obtain τ'_1 and τ'_2 and z where $t1t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau'_1 \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau'_2 \wedge$
 $(\tau_2 = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2) \mid ((CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2))) \rrbracket))$
using *infer-v-pair2E* **by** *meson*

Apply IH and repack to get required typing judgement

have $t1'': \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash v_1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *V-pair t1t2* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $t2'': \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash v_2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *V-pair t1t2* **by** *auto*
 ultimately obtain τ_3 where $t3: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash V\text{-pair } (v_1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v_2[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow$
 $\tau_3 \wedge (b\text{-of } \tau_3 = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2))$
using *infer-v-pair2I subst-tbase-eq* **by** *metis*

Show required subtyping judgement

moreover have $\tau_3 = (\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v})$ **proof** –
 have $\text{veq}: V\text{-pair } (v_1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v_2[x::=v]_{vv}) = (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *subst-vv.simps* **by** *presburger*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_3$ **using** *veq t3* **by** *simp*
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **using** *V-pair* **by** *simp*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau_3 = b\text{-of } \tau_2$ **proof** –
 have $b\text{-of } \tau_3 = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2)$ **using** *t3* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_1 = b\text{-of } \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *t1'' subst-tbase-eq*
by *(metis τ .exhaust b-of.simps)*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_2 = b\text{-of } \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *t2'' subst-tbase-eq*
by *(metis τ .exhaust b-of.simps)*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v} = b\text{-of } \tau'_2 \wedge b\text{-of } \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v} = b\text{-of } \tau'_1$
using *subst-t-b-eq* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *t1t2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subst-infer-aux* **by** *meson*
qed

ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

next

case $(V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ w)$

Proof outline: unpack using elimination, apply IH to type of w and then repack using `infer v consI`

```

have eq1: (V-cons s dc w)[x::=v]vv = V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv) using subst-vv.simps by presburger

obtain dclist x2 b2 c2 z' c' z where *:
  τ2 = { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc w) } ∧
  AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ ∧
  (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist ∧
  (Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢ w ⇒ { z' : b2 | c' }) ∧
  (Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∧
  atom z # w ∧ atom z # Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ
using infer-v-elim(4)[OF V-cons(3)] by metis

obtain τ3' where yy: Θ ; B ; (Γ'[x::=v]Γv@Γ) ⊢ w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv
using V-cons (1)[of v x { z' : b2 | c' }] using V-cons * by auto
then obtain z3 b3 c3 where yy2: atom z3 # (x,v) ∧ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv = { z3 : b3 | c3 }
using obtain-fresh-z by metis

hence b2=b3 using subtype-eq-base2 yy subst-tbase-eq b-of.simps by metis

have zvf: atom z # (x,v) using infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv * V-cons infer-v-wf by blast
hence zf: atom z # CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv))
unfolding ce.fresh v.fresh by(simp add: pure-fresh *)

obtain z0':x where z0:atom z0' # (x,v,w[x::=v]vv, Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ, CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)))
using obtain-fresh by metis
hence zf2: atom z0' # CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) using e.fresh fresh-Pair v.fresh pure-fresh
fresh-prod5 by metis
hence zeg: { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } =
  { z0' : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z0') == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } using type-e-eq
zf e.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
moreover have teg: { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } =
τ2[x::=v]τv
using * subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-vv.simps zvf by simp

have **:Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ V-cons s dc w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z0' : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z0')
== CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) }
proof
  show ⟨AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ⟩ using * by auto
  show ⟨(dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist⟩ using * by auto
  show **:⟨ Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z3 : b2 | c3 } ⟩ using yy yy2 ⟨b2=b3⟩ by
auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ { z3 : b2 | c3 } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 } ⟩ proof –
    have xx: Θ ; B ; Γ'@((x,b1,c0[z0::=[x]v]cv)#ΓΓ) ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 } using *
by auto
    hence Θ ; B ; (Γ'[x::=v]Γv@Γ) ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 }[x::=v]τv using
subst-subtype-tau[OF V-cons(2) assms(3) xx V-cons(5)] by auto
    moreover have ⊢wf Θ using infer-v-wf * by auto
    moreover hence { x2 : b2 | c2 }[x::=v]τv = { x2 : b2 | c2 } using dc-t-closed(1) * forget-subst-tv

```

fresh-def wfG-nilI **by** *fast*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash \{ z3 : b2 \mid c3 \} \lesssim \{ z' : b2 \mid c' \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *yy*
yy2 $\langle b2=b3 \rangle$ *subtype-reflI infer-v-t-wf[OF ***]* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-trans* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ z0' \# w[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *z0 fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom\ z0' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *z0* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z0' : B-id\ s \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z0') \} == CE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ (w[x::=v]_{vv})) \lesssim \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *subtype-reflI teq zeq infer-v-t-wf* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *zeq teq* **by** *auto*

next

case $(V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w)$

from $V-cons(3)\ V-cons(1,2,4,5)$ **show** *?case*

proof(*nominal-induct* $\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_{cv}]) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w\ \tau_2$ *avoiding: x v rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)

case $(infer-v-cons\ I\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ tv\ z)$

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash (V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{ z : B-app\ s\ b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} \} == [V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \}$

proof(*rule Typing.infer-v-cons\ I[OF infer-v-cons\ I(5,6)]*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash w[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash w[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *infer-v-cons\ I(21) infer-v-cons\ I(24) infer-v-cons\ I(3) infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b} \rangle$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b}[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *infer-v-cons\ I subst-subtype-tau* **by** *metis*

moreover have $atom\ x \# tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b}$ **proof** –

have $supp\ tc \subseteq \{ atom\ bv \}$ **using** *wfTh-poly-lookup-supp infer-v-cons\ I wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

hence $atom\ x \# tc$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set*

using *fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $atom\ x \# b$ **using** *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-subst-if subst-b-τ-def* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v-τ-def* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, w[x::=v]_{vv}, b) \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ z \# w[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-cons\ I subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*

moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, w[x::=v]_{vv}, b) \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ bv \# w[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-cons\ I subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*

moreover have $atom\ bv \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ using *infer-v-conspI* by *metis*
 qed
 moreover have $atom\ z \# (x, v)$ using *infer-v-conspI fresh-Pair* by *metis*
 ultimately show $?case$ using *subst-vv.simps subst-tv.simps* by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *subst-infer-check-v*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v':v$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and
 $check\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ v'\ \tau_2$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ and $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$
 shows $check\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v})$

proof –

obtain τ_2' where $t2: infer\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ v'\ \tau_2' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau_2' \lesssim \tau_2$
 using *check-v-elimss assms* by *blast*
 hence $infer\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
 using *subst-infer-v[OF assms(1) - assms(3) assms(4)]* by *blast*
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma) \vdash \tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
 using *subst-subtype assms t2* by (*meson subst-subtype-tau subtype-trans*)
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using *check-v.intros* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *type-veq-subst[simp]*:

assumes $atom\ z \# (x, v)$
 shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \llbracket \rrbracket$
 using *assms* by *auto*

lemma *subst-infer-v-form*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v':v$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and $b = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket)$ and $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$ and $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, v', \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
 shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \llbracket \rrbracket \rangle$

proof –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \mid C\text{-eq}\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3')) \rrbracket$
 ($CE\text{-}val\ v'$) $\llbracket \rrbracket$

proof(*rule infer-v-form4*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$ using *assms* by *metis*
 show $\langle atom\ z3' \# (v', \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$ using *assms fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 show $\langle b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \rangle$ by *auto*

qed

hence $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v assms* by *metis*

thus $?thesis$ using *type-veq-subst fresh-prodN assms* by *metis*

qed

14.7 Expressions

For operator, fst and snd cases, we use elimination to get one or more values, apply the substitution lemma for values. The types always have the same form and are equal under substitution. For function application, the subst value is a subtype of the value which is a subtype of the argument. The return of the function is the same under substitution.

Observe a similar pattern for each case

lemma *subst-infer-e*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$

assumes

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **and** $G = (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, \text{subst-cv } c0 \ z0 \ (V\text{-var } x)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z0 \nVdash (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma) ; (\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}) \vdash (\text{subst-ev } e \ x \ v) \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: x v rule: infer-e.strong-induct)*

case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ \tau$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-val } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

proof

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2*

by (*metis b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf subst-g-inside-simple wfD-wf wf-subst(11)*)

show $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *infer-e-valI* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *subst-infer-v infer-e-valI* **using**

wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2

by *metis*

qed

thus *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* **by** *simp*

next

case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$)

hence *z3f*: $\text{atom } z3 \nVdash CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}$ **using** *e.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3':x$ **where** $*: \text{atom } z3' \nVdash (x, v, AE\text{-op Plus } v1 \ v2, \ CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}, AE\text{-op Plus } v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv}, CE\text{-op Plus } [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$

using *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

hence $*(\llbracket z3 : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3) == CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-plusI fresh-Pair z3f* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1' \ b1' \ c1'$ **where** $z1: \text{atom } z1' \nVdash (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z1' : b1' \mid c1' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

obtain $z2' \ b2' \ c2'$ **where** $z2: \text{atom } z2' \nVdash (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket = \llbracket z2' : b2' \mid c2' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb: b1' = B\text{-int} \wedge b2' = B\text{-int}$ **using** $z1 \ z2 \ \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-op Plus } (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-op Plus } ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$

using *infer-e-plusI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-plusI* **by** *blast*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z1' : B-int \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z1 bb by metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z2' : B-int \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z2 bb by metis
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-op\ Plus\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-prod6* ***** **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** ***** **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $\llbracket z3' : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ Plus\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$
 $= \llbracket z3' : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$
by (*subst subst-tv.simps, auto simp add: **)
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* ****** **by** *metis*
next
case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3$)

hence *z3f*: $atom\ z3 \# CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}$ **using** *e.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, AE-op\ LEq\ v1\ v2,\ CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}, CE-op\ LEq\ [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}\ [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, AE-op\ LEq\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $**(\llbracket z3 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3) == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-leqI fresh-Pair z3f* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1'\ b1'\ c1'$ **where** $z1:atom\ z1' \# (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z1 : B-int \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z1' : b1' \mid c1' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
obtain $z2'\ b2'\ c2'$ **where** $z2:atom\ z2' \# (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z2 : B-int \mid c2 \rrbracket = \llbracket z2' : b2' \mid c2' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb:b1' = B-int \wedge b2' = B-int$ **using** $z1\ z2\ \tau.eq-iff$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE-op\ LEq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$
proof
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-leqI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-leqI(2)* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z1' : B-int \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z1 bb by metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z2' : B-int \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z2 bb by metis
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-op\ LEq\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair* ***** **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** ***** **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $\llbracket z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$
 $= \llbracket z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps* ***** **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* ****** **by** *metis*
next
case (*infer-e-appI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ f\ x'\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'\ v'\ \tau$)

hence $x \neq x'$ **using** $\langle atom\ x' \# \Gamma'' \rangle$ **using** *wfG-inside-x-neq wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

show ?case **proof**(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ } (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \text{ } b \text{ } c \text{ } \tau' \text{ } s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \text{ } f) \rangle$ **using**
infer-e-appI **by metis**

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **proof**(rule subst-infer-check-v
)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_{cv}]) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \}$ **using** infer-e-appI **by**
metis
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \}$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\text{atom } z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
qed
moreover have $\text{atom } x \# c$ **using** wfPhi-f-supp-c[OF infer-e-appI(3)] fresh-def $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$
by (metis atom-eq-iff empty-iff infer-e-appI.hyps(2) insert-iff subset-singletonD)

moreover hence $\text{atom } x \# \{ x' : b \mid c \}$ **using** $\tau.\text{fresh supp-b-empty fresh-def}$ **by blast**
ultimately show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} \rangle$ **using** forget-subst-tv
by metis

have $\text{atom } x' \# (x, v)$ **using** infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv infer-e-appI check-v-wf **by blast**

thus $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI fresh-subst-gv wfD-wf subst-g-inside fresh-Pair
by metis
have $\text{supp } \tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x' \} \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** infer-e-appI wfT-supp wfPhi-f-simple-wfT
by (meson infer-e-appI.hyps(2) le-supI1 wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t)
hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau'$ **using** $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ fresh-def supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set **by fastforce**
thus $\langle \tau[x'::=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **using** subst-tv-commute infer-e-appI subst-defs **by metis**
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi b' f bv x' b c \tau' s' v' \tau$)

hence $x \neq x'$ **using** $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma'' \rangle$ **using** wfG-inside-x-neq wfX-wfY **by metis**

show ?case **proof**(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appPI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appPI(4) **by auto**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ **using** infer-e-appPI(5) **by auto**
show $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ } (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \text{ } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \text{ } b \text{ } c \text{ } \tau' \text{ } s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \text{ } f)$ **using**
infer-e-appPI(6) **by auto**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \}$ **proof** –
have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$
proof(rule subst-infer-check-v)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **using** infer-e-appPI **by metis**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_{cv}]) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$
using infer-e-appPI subst-defs **by metis**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
 show $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
 qed
 moreover **have** $atom\ x \# c$ **proof** –
have $supp\ c \subseteq \{atom\ x', atom\ bv\}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c[OF infer-e-appPI(6)] infer-e-appPI*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *fresh-def* **using** $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ *atom-eq-iff* **by** *auto*
 qed
 moreover **hence** $atom\ x \# \llbracket x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.fresh\ supp\text{-}b\text{-}empty\ fresh\text{-}def$
subst-b-fresh-x
by (*metis subst-b-c-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-tv subst-defs* **by** *metis*
 qed
have $supp\ \tau' \subseteq \{atom\ x', atom\ bv\}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
hence $atom\ x \# \tau'$ **using** *fresh-def* $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** *force*
hence $*:atom\ x \# \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **using** *subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- τ -def* **by** *metis*
have $atom\ x' \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv infer-e-appPI check-v-wf* **by** *blast*
thus $atom\ x' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *infer-e-appPI fresh-subst-gv wfD-wf subst-g-inside fresh-Pair*
by *metis*
show $\tau'[bv::=b]_b[x'::=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *infer-e-appPI subst-tv-commute[OF *]*
subst-defs **by** *metis*
show $atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, b', v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})$

apply(*unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*subst subst-g-inside[symmetric]*)
apply(*(insert infer-e-appPI wfX-wfY) [1], fast*)
apply(*metis fresh-subst-gv-if infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-v-def infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- τ -def infer-e-appPI*)
done

 qed
 next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v'\ z'\ b1\ b2\ c\ z$)

hence $zf: atom\ z \# CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $*:atom\ z3' \# (x, v, AE\text{-}fst\ v', CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce}, AE\text{-}fst\ v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*
hence $**:(\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-fstI(4) fresh-Pair zf* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1'\ b1'\ c1'$ **where** $z1:atom\ z1' \# (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z' : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket z1' : b1' \mid c1' \rrbracket$ **using**
obtain-fresh-z **by** *metis*

have $bb:b1' = B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2$ **using** $z1\ \tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-fstI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-fstI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-fstI z1 bb* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# AE\text{-fst } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair ** **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *** **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \} = \{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v']^{ce} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps ** **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps ** **by** *metis*

next

case $(infer\text{-e}\text{-sndI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ z' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z)$

hence *zf*: $atom \ z \# CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $*:atom \ z3' \# (x,v,AE\text{-snd } v', CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce}, AE\text{-snd } v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, v', \Gamma'')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $**:(\{ z : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} \}$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-sndI(4) fresh-Pair zf* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1' \ b2' \ c1'$ **where** $z1:atom \ z1' \# (x,v) \wedge \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \} = \{ z1' : b2' \mid c1' \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb:b2' = B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$ **using** $z1 \ \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-snd } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-sndI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-sndI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-sndI z1 bb* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# AE\text{-snd } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair ** **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *** **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} = \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \}$

by $(subst \ subst\text{-tv.simps}, auto \ simp \ add: \ fresh\text{-prodN } *)$

ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps ** **by** *metis*

next

case $(infer\text{-e}\text{-lenI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ z' \ c \ z)$

hence *zf*: $atom \ z \# CE\text{-len } [v']^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $*:atom \ z3' \# (x,v,AE\text{-len } v', CE\text{-len } [v']^{ce}, AE\text{-len } v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, \Gamma'', v')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $**(\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{\text{ce}} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{\text{ce}} \rrbracket$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-lenI fresh-Pair zf by metis*

have $***: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket$

using *infer-e-lenI infer-v-form3[OF infer-e-lenI(3), of z3'] b-of.simps * fresh-Pair by metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-len } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{\text{ce}}) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-lenI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{\tau v}}$

proof(*rule subst-infer-v*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z3']^v]^{\text{ce}} \rrbracket == [v']^{\text{ce}} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** $***$ *infer-e-lenI by metis*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

show *atom z0 # (x, v)* **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

qed

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{vv}}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps fresh-Pair * fresh-prodN subst-vv.simps by auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } z3' \# AE\text{-len } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair * by metis*

show $\langle \text{atom } z3' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair * by metis*

qed

moreover **have** $\llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{\text{ce}}) \rrbracket = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{\text{ce}} \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{\tau v}}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps * by auto*

ultimately **show** *?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis*

next

case (*infer-e-mvarI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Phi \Delta u \tau$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **proof** $-$

have *wfV* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ (*b-of* τ_1) **using** *infer-v-wf infer-e-mvarI by auto*

moreover **have** *b-of* $\tau_1 = b_1$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 infer-e-mvarI b-of.simps by simp*

ultimately **show** *?thesis using wf-subst(3)[OF infer-e-mvarI(1), of $\Gamma' x b_1 c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv} \Gamma v$ infer-e-mvarI subst-g-inside by metis*

qed

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-mvarI by auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-mvarI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle (u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in \text{setD } \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-mvarI subst-dv-member by metis*

qed

moreover have $(AE-mvar\ u) = (AE-mvar\ u)[x::=v]_{ev}$ using *subst-ev.simps* by auto

ultimately show *?case* by auto

next

case $(infer-e-concatI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3)$

hence $zf: atom\ z3\ \# \ CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}$ using *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* by metis

obtain $z3':x$ where $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, v1, v2, AE-concat\ v1\ v2, CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}, AE-concat\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}), \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, \Gamma'', v1, v2)$ using *obtain-fresh* by auto

hence $*(\{ z3 : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3) == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-concatI fresh-Pair zf* by metis

have $zfx: atom\ x\ \# \ z3'$ using *fresh-at-base fresh-prodN ** by auto

have $v1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ v1 \}$

using *infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair* by metis

have $v2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ v2 \}$

using *infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair* by metis

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE-concat\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ using *wfD-subst infer-e-concatI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ by *(simp add: infer-e-concatI)*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) \} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN * b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) \} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN * b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-concat\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ using *fresh-Pair ** by metis

show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ using *fresh-Pair ** by metis

qed

moreover have $\{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} = \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps ** by auto

ultimately show *?case* using *subst-ev.simps ** ** by metis

next

thm *subst-tv.simps*

case $(infer-e-splitI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ z3)$

hence $atom\ z3\ \# (x, v)$ using *fresh-Pair* by auto

have $\langle x \neq z3 \rangle$ using *infer-e-splitI* by force

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3 : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} == [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \}$ AND

$$[[\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]$$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-splitI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI by auto*

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v infer-e-splitI by metis*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$

using *infer-e-splitI subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair by metis*

have $\langle x \neq z2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI by force*

have $(\{ z2 : B-int \mid ([leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND ([leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \}) =$
 $(\{ z2 : B-int \mid ([leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND ([leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v})$

unfolding *subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **using** $\langle x \neq z2 \rangle$ *infer-e-splitI fresh-Pair*

by simp

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B-int \mid [leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND [leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \} \rangle$

using *infer-e-splitI subst-infer-check-v fresh-Pair by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z1 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z2 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z2 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z1 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

qed

thus *?case apply (subst subst-tv.simps)*

using *infer-e-splitI fresh-Pair apply metis*

unfolding *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps **

using $\langle x \neq z3 \rangle$ **by simp**

qed

lemma *infer-e-uniqueness:*

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$

shows $\tau_1 = \tau_2$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct rule:e.strong-induct)*

case *(AE-val x)*

then show *?case using infer-e-elim(7)[OF AE-val(1)] infer-e-elim(7)[OF AE-val(2)] infer-v-uniqueness by metis*

next

case *(AE-app f v)*

obtain $x1\ b1\ c1\ s1'\ \tau1'$ **where** $t1: Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-none\ (AF-fun-typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau1'[x1::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *infer-e-app2E[OF AE-app(1)] by metis*

moreover obtain $x2\ b2\ c2\ s2'\ \tau2'$ **where** $t2: Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-none\ (AF-fun-typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge \tau_2 = \tau2'[x2::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *infer-e-app2E[OF AE-app(2)] by*

metis

```

have  $\tau_1[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using t1 and t2 fun-ret-unique by metis
thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
  case (AE-appP f b v)
  obtain bv1 x1 b1 c1 s1'  $\tau_1'$  where t1: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau_1'$  s1')))
    = lookup-fun  $\Phi f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau_1'[bv1 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using infer-e-appP2E[OF AE-appP(1)]
by metis
  moreover obtain bv2 x2 b2 c2 s2'  $\tau_2'$  where t2: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2  $\tau_2'$  s2')))
    = lookup-fun  $\Phi f \wedge \tau_2 = \tau_2'[bv2 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using infer-e-appP2E[OF AE-appP(2)] by metis

  have  $\tau_1'[bv1 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2'[bv2 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using t1 and t2 fun-poly-ret-unique by
metis
  thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  show ?case proof(cases opp=Plus)
  case True
  hence  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus$ 
v1 v2  $\Rightarrow \tau_2$  using AE-op by auto
  thm infer-e-elim3(3)
  thus ?thesis using infer-e-elim3(11)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  ]
infer-e-elim3(11)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$  ]
  by force
next
  case False
  hence opp = LEq using opp.strong-exhaust by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq$ 
v1 v2  $\Rightarrow \tau_2$  using AE-op by auto
  thm infer-e-elim3(3)
  thus ?thesis using infer-e-elim3(12)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  ]
infer-e-elim3(12)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$  ]
  by force
qed
next
  case (AE-concat v1 v2)

  obtain z3::x where t1: $\tau_1 = \llbracket z3 : B-bitvec \mid \llbracket z3 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge atom$ 
z3  $\#$  v1  $\wedge atom$  z3  $\#$  v2 using infer-e-elim3(18)[OF AE-concat(1)] by metis
  obtain z3'::x where t2: $\tau_2 = \llbracket z3' : B-bitvec \mid \llbracket z3' \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge atom$ 
z3'  $\#$  v1  $\wedge atom$  z3'  $\#$  v2 using infer-e-elim3(18)[OF AE-concat(2)] by metis

  thus ?case using t1 t2 type-e-eq ce.fresh by metis

next
  case (AE-fst v)

  obtain z1 and b1 where  $\tau_1 = \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid CE-val (V-var z1) == (CE-fst [v]^{ce}) \rrbracket$  using infer-v-form
AE-fst by auto

```

obtain $xx :: x$ **and** $bb :: b$ **and** $xxa :: x$ **and** $bba :: b$ **and** $cc :: c$ **where**
 $f1: \tau_2 = \llbracket xx : bb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxa : B\text{-pair } bb \ bba \mid cc \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xx \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(8)[OF \ AE\text{-fst}(2)]$ **by** $metis$
obtain $xxb :: x$ **and** $bbb :: b$ **and** $xxc :: x$ **and** $bbc :: b$ **and** $cca :: c$ **where**
 $f2: \tau_1 = \llbracket xxb : bbb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xxb) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxc : B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc \mid cca \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xxb \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(8)[OF \ AE\text{-fst}(1)]$ **by** $metis$
then have $B\text{-pair } bb \ bba = B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc$
using $f1$ **by** $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ b\text{-of.simps} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-uniqueness})$
then have $\llbracket xx : bbb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \tau_2$
using $f1$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$
using $f2$ **by** $(meson \ ce.\text{fresh} \ fresh\text{-}GNil \ type\text{-e}\text{-eq} \ wfG\text{-}x\text{-fresh-in-v-simple})$
next
case $(AE\text{-snd } v)$
obtain $xx :: x$ **and** $bb :: b$ **and** $xxa :: x$ **and** $bba :: b$ **and** $cc :: c$ **where**
 $f1: \tau_2 = \llbracket xx : bba \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxa : B\text{-pair } bb \ bba \mid cc \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xx \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(9)[OF \ AE\text{-snd}(2)]$ **by** $metis$
obtain $xxb :: x$ **and** $bbb :: b$ **and** $xxc :: x$ **and** $bbc :: b$ **and** $cca :: c$ **where**
 $f2: \tau_1 = \llbracket xxb : bbc \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xxb) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxc : B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc \mid cca \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xxb \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(9)[OF \ AE\text{-snd}(1)]$ **by** $metis$
then have $B\text{-pair } bb \ bba = B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc$
using $f1$ **by** $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ b\text{-of.simps} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-uniqueness})$
then have $\llbracket xx : bbc \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \tau_2$
using $f1$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$
using $f2$ **by** $(meson \ ce.\text{fresh} \ fresh\text{-}GNil \ type\text{-e}\text{-eq} \ wfG\text{-}x\text{-fresh-in-v-simple})$
next
case $(AE\text{-mvar } x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(10)[OF \ AE\text{-mvar}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(10)[OF \ AE\text{-mvar}(2)] \ wfD\text{-unique}$
by $metis$
next
case $(AE\text{-len } x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(16)[OF \ AE\text{-len}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(16)[OF \ AE\text{-len}(2)]$ **by** $force$
next
case $(AE\text{-split } x1a \ x2)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(22)[OF \ AE\text{-split}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(22)[OF \ AE\text{-split}(2)]$ **by** $force$
qed

14.8 Statements

method $subst\text{-}mth = (metis \ subst\text{-}g\text{-inside} \ infer\text{-e}\text{-wf} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-wf} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-wf})$

lemma $subst\text{-}infer\text{-check}\text{-}v1:$

fixes $v::v$ **and** $v'::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma_2)$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau_2$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **and** $atom \ z0 \ \# \ (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-g-inside check-v-wf assms subst-infer-check-v by metis*

method *subst-tuple-mth* **uses** $\text{add} = ($
 (*unfold fresh-prodN*), (*simp add: add*)+,
 (*rule,metis fresh-z-subst-g add fresh-Pair*),
 (*metis fresh-subst-dv add fresh-Pair*))

thm *subst-valid-simple*

lemma *infer-v-c-valid*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$
shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle$

proof –

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **where** $*:\tau = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# (c,v,\Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z*
by *metis*

then have $b1 = b$ **using** *assms subtype-eq-base* **by** *metis*

moreover then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ **using** *assms ** **by** *auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv}$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b, c1[z1::=[z1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_v$

using *subtype-valid[OF assms(2), of z1 z1 b c1 z c] * fresh-prodN (b1 = b)* **by** *metis*

moreover have $c1[z1::=[z1]^v]_v = c1$ **using** *subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-c-def* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** ** fresh-prodN subst-valid-simple* **by** *metis*

qed

Substitution Lemma for Statements

lemma *subst-infer-check-s*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and**

$\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and**
 $\text{atom } z \# (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau' \Longrightarrow$

$\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

and

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v' \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau' \Longrightarrow$

$\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ;$

$\text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash cs[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

and

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v' \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau' \Longrightarrow$

$\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash$

$\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct τ' and τ' and τ' avoiding: $x \ v$ arbitrary: Γ_2 and Γ_2 and Γ_2*
rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)

case (*check-valI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ \tau' \ \tau'$)

```

have sg:  $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1$  using check-valI by subst-mth
thm wf-subst(12)
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AS\text{-}val (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$  proof
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-v-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps check-valI by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$  using wf-subst(15) check-valI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-valI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$  proof (subst sg, rule subst-infer-v)
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  using check-valI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau'$  using check-valI by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$  using check-valI by auto
    show  $atom\ z \# (x, v)$  using check-valI by auto
  qed
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$  using subst-subtype-tau check-valI sg by
metis
  qed

thus ?case using Typing.check-valI subst-sv.simps sg by auto
next
case (check-letI  $xa\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ ea\ \tau a\ za\ sa\ ba\ ca$ )
have  $*(AS\text{-}let\ xa\ ea\ sa[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-}let\ xa\ (ea[x::=v]_{ev})\ sa[x::=v]_{sv})$ 
  using subst-sv.simps  $\langle atom\ xa\ \# x \rangle\ \langle atom\ xa\ \# v \rangle$  by auto
show ?case unfolding  $*$  proof

  show  $atom\ xa\ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, ea[x::=v]_{ev}, \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v})$ 
  by (subst-tuple-mth add: check-letI)

  show  $atom\ za\ \# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, ea[x::=v]_{ev},$ 
     $\tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}, sa[x::=v]_{sv})$ 
  by (subst-tuple-mth add: check-letI)

  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash$ 
     $ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca[x::=v]_{cv} \}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash$ 
       $ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
    using check-letI subst-infer-e by metis
    thus ?thesis using check-letI subst-tv.simps
    by (metis fresh-prod2I infer-e-wf subst-g-inside-simple)
  qed

  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, ba, ca[x::=v]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ;$ 
     $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ;$ 
       $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
    apply (rule check-letI(23)[of (xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2])
    by (metis check-letI append-g.simps subst-defs) +

  moreover have  $(xa, ba, ca[x::=v]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} =$ 
     $((xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v})$ 
  using subst-cv-commute subst-gv.simps check-letI
  by (metis ms-fresh-all(39) ms-fresh-all(49) subst-cv-commute-subst)

```

```

    ultimately show ?thesis
      using subst-defs by auto
  qed
qed
next
case (check-assertI xa  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  ca  $\tau$  s)
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show  $\langle \text{atom } xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, ca[x::=v]_{cv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}, s[x::=v]_{sv}) \rangle$ 
    by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-assertI)
  have  $xa \neq x$  using check-assertI by fastforce
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, B\text{-bool}, ca[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ 

    using check-assertI(12)[of (xa, Bbool, c)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 x v$ ] check-assertI subst-gv.simps append-g.simps
  by metis
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  proof(rule subst-valid )
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using infer-v-c-valid check-assertI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle$  using check-assertI infer-v-wf b-of.simps subtype-eq-base
      by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI infer-v-wf by metis
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$  using check-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
    thus  $\langle \text{atom } x \# \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI wfG-suffix wfG-elim by metis

  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$  using subtype-wfT check-assertI by metis

  moreover have  $x \neq z$  using fresh-Pair check-assertI fresh-x-neq by metis
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{atom } x \# c \rangle$  using check-assertI wfT-fresh-c by metis

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \models ca \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto
  qed
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using check-assertI
  proof –
    show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \Gamma = \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models ca \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  subst-g-inside valid-g-wf)
  qed

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-v-wf b-of.simps check-assertI
    by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wf-subst2(6) check-assertI by metis
  qed
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist vv cs  $\tau$  css)
show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-consI by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-finalI by simp
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau$  const xa  $\Phi$  tid cons va sa)
hence  $*(AS\text{-branch cons } xa sa)[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-branch cons } xa sa[x::=v]_{sv})$  using subst-branchv.simps
fresh-Pair by metis

```


show ?case unfolding * **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$
using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf **by** metis

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI **by** metis

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf **by** metis

show wft: $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} const$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI **by** metis

show atom $xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, tid, cons, const, va[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
apply(unfold fresh-prodN, (simp add: check-branch-s-branchI)+)
apply(rule,metis fresh-z-subst-g check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)
by(metis fresh-subst-dv check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using check-branch-s-branchI **by** (metis append-g.simps(2))

moreover have $(xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va[x::=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} =$
 $((xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$

proof –

have $*:xa \neq x$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base **by** metis

have atom $x \# const$ **using** wfT-nil-suppl[OF wft] fresh-def **by** auto

hence atom $x \# (const, xa)$ **using** fresh-at-base wfT-nil-suppl[OF wft] fresh-Pair fresh-def * **by** auto

moreover hence $(c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa)[x::=v]_{cv} = c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa$

using c-of-fresh[of x const xa] forget-subst-cv wfT-nil-suppl wft **by** metis

moreover hence $(V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa))[x::=v]_{vv} = (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa))$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI subst-vv.simps * **by** metis

ultimately show ?thesis **using** subst-gv.simps check-branch-s-branchI subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps * **by** presburger
qed

ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va[x::=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
by metis
qed

next

case (check-let2I $xa \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau a s2$)

hence $*(AS\text{-let2 } xa \text{ } t \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2)[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-let2 } xa \text{ } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ } (s1[x::=v]_{sv} \text{ } s2[x::=v]_{sv}))$ **using** subst-sv.simps fresh-Pair **by** metis

have $xa \neq x$ **using** check-let2I fresh-at-base **by** metis

show ?case unfolding * **proof**

show atom $xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, s1[x::=v]_{sv}, \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v})$

by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-let2I)

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** check-let2I **by** metis

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule check-let2I(14)*)
show $\langle (xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G = (((xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2)) @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$
using *check-let2I append-g.simps by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (x, v) \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
qed
moreover **have** $c\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ } xa = (c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa)[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-v-c-of fresh-Pair check-let2I*
by *metis*
moreover **have** $b\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} = b\text{-of } t$ **using** *b-of.simps subst-tv.simps b-of-subst by metis*
ultimately **show** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *check-let2I(14) subst-gv.simps (xa ≠ x) b-of.simps by metis*
qed
next
case (*check-varI u* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' va \tau'' s$)
have $** : \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1$ **using** *subst-g-inside check-s-wf check-varI by meson*
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{subst-gv } \Gamma \text{ } x \text{ } v ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS\text{-var } u \text{ } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} (va[x::=v]_{vv}) (\text{subst-sv } s \text{ } x \text{ } v) \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule Typing.check-varI*)
show $\text{atom } u \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}, va[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
by(*subst-tuple-mth add: check-varI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash va[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *check-varI subst-infer-check-v ** by metis*
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{subst-gv } \Gamma \text{ } x \text{ } v ; (u, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof –
have $\text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta)$ **using** *check-varI check-s-wf by meson*
moreover **have** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma_1 \text{ } v (b\text{-of } \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-wf check-varI(6) check-varI by metis*
have $\text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) ((u, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v})$ **proof**(*subst subst-dv.simps(2)[symmetric], subst **, rule wfD-subst*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *check-varI by auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **using** *check-varI check-s-wf by simp*
show $b\text{-of } \tau = b$ **using** *check-varI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *check-varI by auto*
qed
moreover **have** $\text{atom } u \# (x, v)$ **using** *u-fresh-xv by auto*
ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-sv.simps(7) by auto*
next
case (*check-assignI P* $\Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau_1 \text{ } v' \text{ } z1 \text{ } \tau'$)
have $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** *check-v-wf check-assignI by simp*
hence $gs : \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 = \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ **using** *subst-g-inside check-assignI by simp*

have $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AS\text{-assign } u \ (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule Typing.check-assignI*)
show $P \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
show $wfD \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** $wf\text{-subst}(15)[OF \ check\text{-assignI}(2)] \ gs \ infer\text{-v-v-wf}$
check-assignI b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 **by** *metis*
thus $(u, \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** *check-assignI subst-dv-member* **by** *metis*
thus $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *subst-infer-check-v check-assignI* **gs**
by *metis*

have $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof**(*rule*
subst-subtype-tau)
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *meson*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'$ **using** *check-assignI*
by (*metis Abs1-eq-iff*(3) *τ.eq-iff* *c.fresh*(1) *c.perm-simps*(1))
show $atom \ z \ \# \ (x, v)$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover **have** $\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} = \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-cv.simps check-assignI **by** *presburger*
ultimately **show** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *gs* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *subst-sv.simps*(5) **by** *auto*

next

case (*check-whileI* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ s1 \ z' \ s2 \ \tau'$)
have $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)$ **using** *check-whileI check-s-wf* **by** *meson*
hence $** : \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1$ **using** *subst-g-inside wf check-whileI* **by** *auto*
have $teq : (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}) = (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **by**(*auto simp add:*
subst-sv.simps check-whileI)
moreover **have** $(\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}) = (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **using** *type-eq-flip c.fresh*
flip-fresh-fresh **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** $teq2 : (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}) = (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}$ **using** *check-whileI*
subst-sv.simps subst-top-eq **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}$ **using**
check-whileI subst-top-eq **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof**(*rule*
subst-subtype-tau)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'$ **using** *check-whileI*
by *metis*

show $atom \ z \ \# \ (x, v)$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *teq2 *** **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AS\text{-while } s1[x::=v]_{sv} \ s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow$
 $\tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

```

    using Typing.check-whileI by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
next
case (check-seqI P Φ B Γ Δ s1 z s2 τ)
  hence P ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢ AS-seq (s1[x::=v]sv) (s2[x::=v]sv) ⇐ τ[x::=v]τv
using Typing.check-seqI subst-top-eq check-seqI by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
next
case (check-caseI Θ Φ B Γ Δ tid dclist v' cs τ za)

  have wf: wfG Θ B Γ using check-caseI check-v-wf by simp
  have **: Γ[x::=v]Γv = Γ2[x::=v]Γv@Γ1 using subst-g-inside wf check-caseI by auto

  have Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢ AS-match (v'[x::=v]vv) (subst-branchlv cs x v) ⇐
τ[x::=v]τv proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
    show check-branch-list Θ Φ B (Γ[x::=v]Γv) Δ[x::=v]Δv tid dclist v'[x::=v]vv (subst-branchlv cs x v)
) (τ[x::=v]τv) using check-caseI by auto
    show AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ using check-caseI by auto
    show Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢ v'[x::=v]vv ⇐ { za : B-id tid | TRUE } proof -
      have Θ ; B ; Γ2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]vcv]) #Γ Γ1 ⊢ v' ⇐ { za : B-id tid | TRUE }
      using check-caseI by argo
      hence Θ ; B ; Γ2[x::=v]Γv @ Γ1 ⊢ v'[x::=v]vv ⇐ ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv
      using check-caseI subst-infer-check-v[OF check-caseI(7) - check-caseI(8) check-caseI(9)] by
meson
    moreover have ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv = ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv
      using subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps subst-cv-true by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using check-caseI ** by argo
  qed
  show wfTh Θ using check-caseI by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-branchlv.simps subst-sv.simps(4) by metis
next
case (check-ifI z' Θ Φ B Γ Δ va s1 s2 τ')
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show ⟨atom z' # (Θ, Φ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, va[x::=v]vv, s1[x::=v]sv, s2[x::=v]sv, τ'[x::=v]τv)⟩

    by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-ifI)
  have *: { z' : B-bool | TRUE }[x::=v]τv = { z' : B-bool | TRUE } using subst-tv.simps check-ifI
    by (metis freshers(19) subst-cv.simps(1) type-eq-subst)
  have **: Γ[x::=v]Γv = Γ2[x::=v]Γv@Γ1 using subst-g-inside wf check-ifI check-v-wf by metis
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢ va[x::=v]vv ⇐ { z' : B-bool | TRUE }⟩
  proof(subst *[symmetric], rule subst-infer-check-vI[where Γ1=Γ2 and Γ2=Γ1])
    show Γ = Γ2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]vcv]) #Γ Γ1) using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 ⊢ v ⇒ τ⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢ va ⇐ { z' : B-bool | TRUE }⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 ⊢ τ ≲ { z : b | c }⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨atom z # (x, v)⟩ using check-ifI by metis
  qed

  have { z' : b-of τ'[x::=v]τv | [ va[x::=v]vv ]ce } == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ'[x::=v]τv z' }
= { z' : b-of τ' | [ va ]ce } == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' z' }[x::=v]τv
    by(simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-ifI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of)

```

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 $]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
using *check-letI* **by** *metis*
have $\llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
 $= \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau' \mid [va]^{ce} \rrbracket == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau' z' \rrbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
by(*simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-letI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of*)
thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 $]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
using *check-letI* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *subst-check-check-s*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \nmid (x, v)$
and $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ s \ \tau'$ **and** $\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1))$
shows $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} (\text{subst-gv } \Gamma \ x \ v) \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \ (s[x::=v]_{sv}) \ (\text{subst-tv } \tau' \ x \ v)$
proof –
obtain τ **where** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *check-v-elim* **assms** **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-infer-check-s* **assms** **by** *metis*
qed

If a statement checks against a type τ then it checks against a supertype of τ

lemma *check-s-supertype*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ s \ t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow \text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ s \ t2$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{cons } v \ cs \ t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-s}$
 $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{cons } v \ cs \ t2$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{dclist } v \ css \ t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-list}$
 $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{dclist } v \ css \ t2$
proof(*induct arbitrary: t2 and t2 rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*)
case (*check-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim t2$ **using** *subtype-trans* **by** *meson*
then show *?case* **using** *subtype-trans* *Typing.check-valI* *check-valI* **by** *metis*

next

case (*check-letI* $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$)
show *?case* **proof**(*rule* *Typing.check-letI*)
show $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2)$ **using** *check-letI* *subtype-fresh-tau* *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
thm *subtype-fresh-tau*
show $\text{atom } z \nmid (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2, s)$ **using** *check-letI*(2) *subtype-fresh-tau*[*of z \tau \Gamma - - t2*]
fresh-prodN *check-letI*(6) **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *check-letI* **by** *meson*

have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *check-letI* *check-s-wf* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$ **using** *subtype-weakening*[*OF* *check-letI*(6)] **by** *auto*
thus $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$ **using** *check-letI* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*
qed

next

next

case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid} \text{dclist} v \text{cs} \tau \text{css}$)
 then show ?case using *Typing.check-branch-list-consI* by auto

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid} \text{dclist} v \text{cs} \tau$)
 then show ?case using *Typing.check-branch-list-finalI* by auto

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const} x \Phi \text{tid} \text{cons} v s$)
 show ?case proof
 have $\text{atom } x \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[of $x \tau$] *check-branch-s-branchI*(5,8) *fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 thus $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \text{tid}, \text{cons}, \text{const}, v, t2)$ using *check-branch-s-branchI* *fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 show $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t2$ using *subtype-wf* *check-branch-s-branchI* by *meson*
 show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$ proof –
 have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *check-s-wf* *check-branch-s-branchI* by *metis*
 moreover have $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ by auto
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$
 using *check-branch-s-branchI* *subtype-weakening*
 using *calculation* by *presburger*
 thus ?thesis using *check-branch-s-branchI* by *presburger*
 qed
 qed(auto simp add: *check-branch-s-branchI*)

next

case (*check-ifI* $z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$)
 show ?case proof(rule *Typing.check-ifI*)
 have $\text{atom } z \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[of $z \tau \Gamma$] *check-ifI* *fresh-prodN* by auto
 thus $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, s1, s2, t2) \rangle$ using *check-ifI* *fresh-prodN* by auto
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket \rangle$ using *check-ifI* by auto
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t2 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t2 z \rrbracket \rangle$
 using *check-ifI* *subtype-if1* *fresh-prodN* *base-for-lit.simps* *b-of.simps* * *check-v-wf* by *metis*

 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t2 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t2 z \rrbracket \rangle$
 using *check-ifI* *subtype-if1* *fresh-prodN* *base-for-lit.simps* *b-of.simps* * *check-v-wf* by *metis*
 qed

next

case (*check-assertI* $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)
 thm *subtype-fresh-tau*[where ? $t1.0=\tau$ and ? $x=x$]
 show ?case proof
 have $\text{atom } x \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[OF - - $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2 \rangle$] *check-assertI* *fresh-prodN* by *simp*
 thus $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, t2, s)$ using *subtype-fresh-tau* *check-assertI* *fresh-prodN* by *simp*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$ apply(rule *subtype-weakening*)

```

    using check-assertI apply simp
    using setG.simps apply blast
    using check-assertI check-s-wf by simp
    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$  using check-assertI by simp
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c$  using check-assertI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using check-assertI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-let2I  $x P \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$ )
  have wfG  $P \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G)$ 
    using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis
  moreover have  $setG G \subseteq setG ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G)$  by auto
  ultimately have  $*:P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$  using check-let2I subtype-weakening
by metis
show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-let2I)
  have atom  $x \# t2$  using subtype-fresh-tau[of  $x \tau$ ] check-let2I fresh-prodN by metis
  thus atom  $x \# (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, t2)$  using check-let2I fresh-prodN by metis
  show  $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$  using check-let2I by blast
  show  $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow t2$  using check-let2I * by blast
qed
next
  case (check-varI  $u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-varI)
    have atom  $u \# t2$  using u-fresh-t by auto
    thus  $\langle atom u \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, t2) \rangle$  using check-varI fresh-prodN by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$  using check-varI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2 \rangle$  using check-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Delta u \tau P G v z \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by (meson subtype-trans)
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI by (meson subtype-trans)
next
  case (check-seqI  $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-seqI by blast
next
  case (check-caseI  $\Delta \Gamma \Theta tid cs \tau v z$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-caseI subtype-trans by meson
qed

```

lemma *subtype-let*:

```

  fixes  $s'::s$  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$  and  $v::v$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ e_1\ s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \tau_2 \lesssim \tau_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let$ 
 $x\ e_2\ s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
    check-branch-s  $\Theta \Phi \{||\} GNil \Delta tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \Longrightarrow True$  and
    check-branch-list  $\Theta \Phi \{||\} \Gamma \Delta tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \Longrightarrow True$ 
  proof(nominal-induct  $GNil \Delta AS\text{-}let\ x\ e_1\ s\ \tau$  and  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  avoiding:  $e_2\ \tau_1\ \tau_2$ 

```

```

    rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-letI x1  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Delta$   $\tau_1$  z1 s1 b1 c1)
  obtain z2 and b2 and c2 where t2: $\tau_2 = \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# (x1, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1, s1)$ 
    using obtain-fresh-z by metis

  obtain z1a and b1a and c1a where t1: $\tau_1 = \llbracket z1a : b1a \mid c1a \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z1a \# x1$  using
  infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis
  hence t3:  $\llbracket z1a : b1a \mid c1a \rrbracket = \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket$  using infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis

  have beq: b1a = b2  $\wedge$  b2 = b1 using check-letI subtype-eq-base t1 t2 t3 by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash \text{AS-let } x1 \ e_2 \ s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1$  proof
    show  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1) \rangle$  using check-letI t2 fresh-prodN by metis
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# (x1, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1, s1) \rangle$  using check-letI t2 using check-letI t2
    fresh-prodN by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-letI t2 by metis

  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} @ (x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$ 
  proof(rule ctx-subtype-s)
    have c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv} = c1[z1::=[x1]^v]_{cv} using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-c-def t3  $\tau$ .eq-iff
  by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} @ (x1, b2, c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  using check-letI
  beq append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z1a : b2 \mid c1a \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-letI beq t1 t2 by metis
  have atom x1  $\#$  c2 using t2 check-letI  $\tau$ -fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
  moreover have atom x1  $\#$  c1a using t1 check-letI  $\tau$ -fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# (z1a, z2, c1a, c2) \rangle$  using t1 t2 fresh-prodN fresh-x-neq by metis
  qed

  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  using append-g.simps
  subst-defs by metis
  qed

  moreover have AS-let x1 e2 s1 = AS-let x e2 s using check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by
  metis

  ultimately show ?case by metis

qed(auto+)

end

```


Chapter 15

Base Type Variable Substitution Lemmas

```
lemma subst-vv-subst-bb-commute:
  fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
  assumes atom bv  $\#$  v
  shows  $(v'[x::=v]_{vv})[bv::=b]_{vb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{vb})[x::=v]_{vv}$ 
  using assms proof (nominal-induct v' rule: v.strong-induct)
    case (V-lit x)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-var y)
    hence  $v[bv::=b]_{vb}=v$  using forget-subst subst-b-v-def by metis
    then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps(2) subst-vv.simps(2) using V-var by auto
  next
    case (V-pair x1a x2a)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
qed

lemma subst-cev-subst-bb-commute:
  fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
  assumes atom bv  $\#$  v
  shows  $(ce[x::=v]_v)[bv::=b]_{ceb} = (ce[bv::=b]_{ceb})[x::=v]_v$ 
  using assms apply (nominal-induct ce rule: ce.strong-induct, (simp add: subst-vv-subst-bb-commute
subst-ceb.simps subst-cv.simps))
  using assms subst-vv-subst-bb-commute subst-ceb.simps subst-cv.simps
  apply (simp add: subst-v-ce-def)+
  done

lemma subst-cv-subst-bb-commute:
```

fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $atom\ bv \ \# \ v$
shows $c[x::=v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb} = (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v]_{cv}$
using $assms$ **apply** ($nominal-induct\ c\ rule: c.strong-induct$)
using $assms\ subst-vv-subst-bb-commute\ subst-ceb.simps\ subst-cv.simps$
 $subst-v-c-def\ subst-b-c-def$ **apply** $auto$
using $subst-cev-subst-bb-commute\ subst-v-ce-def$ **apply** $auto+$
done

thm $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute$

lemma $subst-b-c-of$:
 $(c-of\ \tau\ z)[bv::=b]_{cb} = c-of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})\ z$
proof($nominal-induct\ \tau\ avoiding: z\ rule:\tau.strong-induct$)
case ($T-refined-type\ z'\ b'\ c'$)
moreover **have** $atom\ bv \ \# \ [z]^\nu$ **using** $fresh-at-base\ v.fresh$ **by** $auto$
ultimately show $?case$ **using** $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of\ bv\ V-var\ z\ c'\ z'\ b]$ $c-of.simps\ subst-tb.simps$
by $metis$
qed

lemma $subst-b-b-of$:
 $(b-of\ \tau)[bv::=b]_{bb} = b-of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
by($nominal-induct\ \tau\ rule:\tau.strong-induct, simps\ add: b-of.simps\ subst-tb.simps$)

lemma $subst-b-if$:
 $\{z : b-of\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid CE-val\ (v[bv::=b]_{vb})\} == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll)\ IMP\ c-of\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}\ z\} =$
 $\{z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ (v)\} == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll)\ IMP\ c-of\ \tau\ z\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
unfolding $subst-tb.simps\ subst-cb.simps\ subst-ceb.simps\ subst-vb.simps$ **using** $subst-b-b-of\ subst-b-c-of$
by $auto$

lemma $subst-b-top-eq$:
 $\{z : B-unit \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \{z : B-unit \mid TRUE\}$ **and** $\{z : B-bool \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} =$
 $\{z : B-bool \mid TRUE\}$ **and** $\{z : B-id\ tid \mid TRUE\} = \{z : B-id\ tid \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
unfolding $subst-tb.simps\ subst-bb.simps\ subst-cb.simps$ **by** $auto$

lemmas $subst-b-eq = subst-b-c-of\ subst-b-b-of\ subst-b-if\ subst-b-top-eq$

lemma $subst-cx-subst-bb-commute[simp]$:
fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v':c$
shows $(v'[x::=V-var\ y]_{cv})[bv::=b]_{cb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=V-var\ y]_{cv}$
using $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute\ fresh-at-base\ v.fresh$ **by** $auto$

lemma $subst-b-infer-b$:
fixes $l::l$ **and** $b::b$
assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$ **and** $B = \{|bv|\}$
shows $\vdash l \Rightarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
using $assms\ infer-l-form3\ infer-l-form4\ wf-b-subst\ infer-l-wf\ subst-tb.simps\ base-for-lit.simps\ subst-tb.simps$
 $subst-b-base-for-lit\ subst-cb.simps(6)\ subst-ceb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(2)\ type-l-eq$
by $metis$

```

lemma subst-b-subtype:
  fixes  $s::s$  and  $b'::b$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$  and  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b'$  and  $B = \{|bv|\}$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim \tau 2[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct {|bv|}  $\Gamma \tau 1 \tau 2$  rule:subtype.strong-induct)
  case (subtype-baseI  $x \Theta \Gamma z c z' c' b$ )

  hence **:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$  using validI subst-defs
by metis

  thm Typing.subtype-baseI
  have  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \{z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb}\} \lesssim \{z' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
proof(rule Typing.subtype-baseI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \{z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
    using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \{z' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
    using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps by metis
  show atom  $x \# (\Theta, \{|\}\vdash bv \text{ fset}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, z, c[bv::=b]_{cb}, z', c'[bv::=b]_{cb})$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: * fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset)
    using subst-b-fresh-x * fresh-prodN (atom x # c) (atom x # c') subst-defs subtype-baseI by metis+
  have  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v[bv::=b]_{cb}$ 
    using ** subst-b-valid subst-gb.simps assms subtype-baseI by metis
  thus  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models (c'[bv::=b]_{cb})[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ 
    using subst-defs subst-cv-subst-bb-commute by (metis subst-cx-subst-bb-commute)
qed
  thus ?case using subtype-baseI subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
qed

```

```

lemma subst-b-infer-v:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $b::b$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; B ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$  and  $B = \{|bv|\}$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b' c x z$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
    show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using infer-v-varI wf-b-subst by metis
    show Some  $(b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) = \text{lookup } \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} x$  using subst-b-lookup infer-v-varI by metis
    show atom  $z \# x$  using infer-v-varI by auto
    show atom  $z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using infer-v-varI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def by metis
qed
next
  case (infer-v-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l \tau$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.infer-v-litI subst-b-infer-b
    using wf-b-subst1(3) by auto
next
  case (infer-v-pairI  $z v1 v2 \Gamma \Theta \mathcal{B} z1 b1 c1 z2 b2 c2$ )

```

```

show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps apply(rule Typing.infer-v-pairI)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fresh-x infer-v-pairI)+
proof(goal-cases)
show (Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v1[bv::=b]vb ⇒  $\llbracket z1 : b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c1[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ ) using subst-tb.simps
infer-v-pairI by metis
show (Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v2[bv::=b]vb ⇒  $\llbracket z2 : b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c2[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ ) using subst-tb.simps
infer-v-pairI by metis
qed

```

next

```

case (infer-v-consI s dclist Θ dc x b' c B Γ v z' c' z)
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof

```

```

show AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ using infer-v-consI by auto
show (dc,  $\llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket$ ) ∈ set dclist using infer-v-consI by auto
have ⊢wf Θ using infer-v-consI wfX-wfY infer-v-wf by metis
hence **:supp  $\llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket = \{\}$  using wfTh-wfT wfT-nil-supp infer-v-consI by metis
hence atom bv  $\#$  b' using infer-v-consI wfTh-wfT τ.fresh fresh-def wfT-supp τ.supp by fastforce
hence *: b'[bv::=b]bb = b' using forget-subst[of bv b' b] subst-b-b-def by simp

```

```

hence teq2:  $\llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket[bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket$  using forget-subst subst-b-τ-def fresh-def **
  by (metis empty-iff)
thus Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇒  $\llbracket z' : b' \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$  using infer-v-consI *
subst-tb.simps by metis
show Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢  $\llbracket z' : b' \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket$ 
  using * teq2 subst-b-subtype subst-tb.simps
  by (metis infer-v-consI.hyps(5) infer-v-consI.prem(1) infer-v-consI.prem(2))

```

```

show atom z  $\#$  v[bv::=b]vb using infer-v-consI using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def by metis
show atom z  $\#$  Γ[bv::=b]Γb using infer-v-consI subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto

```

qed

next

```

case (infer-v-conspI s bv2 dclist2 Θ dc tc B Γ v tv ba z)
thm Typing.infer-v-conspI
have Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ V-consp s dc (ba[bv::=b]bb) (v[bv::=b]vb) ⇒  $\llbracket z : B\text{-app } s \text{ (ba[bv::=b]_{bb})} \rrbracket$ 
| [ [ z ]v ]ce == [ V-consp s dc (ba[bv::=b]_{bb}) (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) ]ce  $\llbracket$ 
proof(rule Typing.infer-v-conspI)

```

```

show AF-typedef-poly s bv2 dclist2 ∈ set Θ using infer-v-conspI by auto
show (dc, tc) ∈ set dclist2 using infer-v-conspI by auto
show Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇒ tv[bv::=b]tb
  using infer-v-conspI subst-tb.simps by metis
find-theorems fresh
show Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ tv[bv::=b]tb  $\lesssim$  tc[bv2::=ba[bv::=b]_{bb}]tb proof -
  have supp tc ⊆ { atom bv2 } using infer-v-conspI wfTh-poly-lookup-supp wfX-wfY by metis
  moreover have bv2 ≠ bv using (atom bv2  $\#$  B) (B = {||bv||}) fresh-at-base fresh-def
  using fresh-finsert by fastforce
  ultimately have atom bv  $\#$  tc unfolding fresh-def by auto
  hence tc[bv2::=ba[bv::=b]_{bb}]tb = tc[bv2::=ba]tb[bv::=b]tb
  using subst-tb-commute by metis
  moreover have Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ tv[bv::=b]tb  $\lesssim$  tc[bv2::=ba]tb[bv::=b]tb

```

```

    using infer-v-conspI(7) subst-b-subtype infer-v-conspI by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

show atom z # (Θ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, v[bv::=b]vb, ba[bv::=b]bb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
  using ⟨atom z # Γ⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-Γ-def x-fresh-b apply metis
  using ⟨atom z # v⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def x-fresh-b by metis
show atom bv2 # (Θ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, v[bv::=b]vb, ba[bv::=b]bb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # Γ⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-Γ-def apply metis
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # v⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def apply metis
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # ba⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def by metis
show Θ ; {||} ⊢wf ba[bv::=b]bb
  using infer-v-conspI wf-b-subst by metis
qed
thus ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-tb.simps subst-bb.simps by simp

qed

lemma subst-b-check-v:
  fixes v::v and b::b
  assumes Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⇐ τ and Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = {|bv|}
  shows Θ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb)
proof -
  obtain τ' where Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⇒ τ' ∧ Θ ; B ; G ⊢ τ' ≲ τ using check-v-elim[OF assms(1)] by
metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-b-subtype subst-b-infer-v assms
    by (metis (no-types) check-v-subtypeI subst-b-infer-v subst-b-subtype)
qed

lemma subst-vv-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (v'[bv::=b]vb)[x::=v[bv::=b]vb]vv = v'[x::=v]vv[bv::=b]vb
proof(nominal-induct v' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-pair x1a x2a)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
next
  case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
next
  case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh pure-fresh
    by (metis forget-subst subst-b-b-def)
qed

lemma subst-cev-subst-vb-switch:

```

shows $(ce[bv::=b]_{ceb})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{cev} = (ce[x::=v]_{cev})[bv::=b]_{ceb}$
by(*nominal-induct ce rule:ce.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-vv-subst-vb-switch ce.fresh*)

lemma *subst-cv-subst-vb-switch*:

shows $(c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x::=v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb}$
by(*nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cev-subst-vb-switch c.fresh*)

lemma *subst-tv-subst-vb-switch*:

shows $(\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
proof(*nominal-induct τ avoiding: x v rule: τ .strong-induct*)
case (*T-refined-type z b c*)
hence *ceq*: $(c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x::=v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb}$ **using** *subst-cv-subst-vb-switch by auto*

moreover have *atom z* $\#$ $v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ **using** *x-fresh-b fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def T-refined-type by metis*

hence $\{ z : b \mid c \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} [x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \{ z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{cv} \}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps T-refined-type fresh-Pair by metis*

moreover have $\{ z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{cv} \} = \{ z : b \mid c[x::=v]_{cv} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} \}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps ceq τ .fresh forget-subst[of bv b b] subst-b-b-def T-refined-type by metis*

ultimately show *?case using subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps ceq T-refined-type by auto qed*

lemma *subst-tb-triple*:

assumes *atom bv* $\#$ τ'
shows $\tau'[bv'::=b[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} [x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b} [x'::=v]_{\tau v} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
proof –
have $\tau'[bv'::=b[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} [x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} [x'::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-tb-commute (atom bv $\#$ τ') by auto*
also have $\dots = \tau'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b} [x'::=v]_{\tau v} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *subst-tv-subst-vb-switch by auto*
finally show *?thesis using fresh-subst-if forget-subst by auto qed*

lemma *subst-b-infer-e*:

fixes *s::s and b::b*
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; D \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$ **and** $B = \{|bv|\}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\}\vdash G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; D[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash (e[bv::=b]_{eb}) \Rightarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b rule: infer-e.strong-induct)*
case (*infer-e-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau$*)
thus *?case using subst-eb.simps infer-e.intros wf-b-subst subst-db.simps wf-b-subst infer-v-wf subst-b-infer-v by (metis forget-subst ms-fresh-all(1) wfV-b-fresh)*
next
case (*infer-e-plusI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$*)

```

thm wf-b-subst(15)
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps subst-eb.simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-plusI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-plusI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-plusI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-op Plus } (v1[bv ::= b]_{vb}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{vb})$  using subst-b-simps infer-e-plusI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-plusI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-leqI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-leqI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-leqI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-op LEq } (v1[bv ::= b]_{vb}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{vb})$  using subst-b-simps infer-e-leqI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-leqI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b' c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-appI
wfX-wfY by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-appI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c  $\tau'$  s'))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f$  using
infer-e-appI by auto

  have atom bv  $\# b'$  using  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-supp fresh-def[of atom bv b'] by simp
  hence  $b' = b'[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using subst-b-simps
    using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-b-def by force
  moreover have  $ceq:c = c[bv ::= b]_{cb}$  using subst-b-simps proof –
    have atom bv  $\# c$  using infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-supp-c[OF infer-e-appI(3)  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ ] fresh-def[of
atom bv c]
    using fresh-def fresh-finsert insert-absorb insert-subset ms-fresh-all supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set
by metis
    thus ?thesis
      using forget-subst subst-b-c-def fresh-def[of atom bv c] by metis
  qed
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ x : b' \mid c \}$  using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps
subst-vb.simps infer-e-appI
  proof –

```

```

have  $\Theta ; \{ |bv| \} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ |x : b' \mid c| \}$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \mathcal{B} = \{ |bv| \} \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ |x : b' \mid c| \} \rangle$ )
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle \langle b' = b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps ceq)
qed
show atom  $x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appI by auto
have supp  $\tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$  using wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t infer-e-appI by auto
hence atom  $bv \# \tau'$  using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
then show  $\tau'[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using infer-e-appI (6) forget-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def
subst-tv-subst-vb-switch subst-defs by metis
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta' \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta \Phi' b' f' bv' x' b1 c \tau' s' v' \tau 1$ )

have beq:  $b1[bv'::=b]_{bb}[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb}$ 
proof -
  have supp  $b1 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } bv' \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b infer-e-appPI
  using supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have  $bv \neq bv'$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
  ultimately have atom  $bv \# b1$  using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

have ceq:  $(c[bv'::=b]_{cb})[bv::=b]_{cb} = c[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{cb}$  proof -
  have supp  $c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } bv', \text{atom } x' \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c infer-e-appPI
  using supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have  $bv \neq bv'$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
  moreover have atom  $x' \neq \text{atom } bv$  by auto
  ultimately have atom  $bv \# c$  using fresh-def[of atom bv c] fresh-at-base by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appPI)
  show  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst subst-db.simps infer-e-appPI wfX-wfY
by metis
  show  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef  $f' (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-some } bv' (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\text{-some } x' b1 c \tau' s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi' f'$ )
using infer-e-appPI by auto
  thus  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ |x' : b1[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \mid c[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \}$ 
  }
  using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps subst-b.simps infer-e-appPI
proof -
  have  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ |x' : b1[bv'::=b]_b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv'::=b]_b)[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
  }
  using infer-e-appPI subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps by metis
  thus ?thesis using beq ceq subst-defs by metis
qed
show atom  $x' \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appPI by auto
show  $\tau'[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b[x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  proof -

```



```

    have supp  $\tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x', \text{atom } bv' \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t infer-e-appPI by auto
    moreover hence  $bv \neq bv'$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
    by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
    ultimately have  $\text{atom } bv \# \tau'$  using fresh-def by force
    hence  $\tau'[bv'::=b][bv::=b]_{bb}[x'::=v][bv::=b]_{vb} = \tau'[bv'::=b]_b[x'::=v]_v[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using subst-tb-triple
subst-defs by auto
    thus ?thesis using infer-e-appPI by metis
qed
show  $\text{atom } bv' \# (\Theta', \Phi', \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, v'[bv::=b]_{vb}, \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
    unfolding fresh-prodN apply( auto simp add: infer-e-appPI fresh-empty-fset)
    using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def infer-e-appPI
by metis+
    show  $\Theta' ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using infer-e-appPI wf-b-subst by simp
qed
next
case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-fstI)
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-fstI
wfX-wfY
    by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-fstI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1[bv::=b]_{bb} b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
        using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-fstI by force
    show  $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-fst } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$  using infer-e-fstI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-fstI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-sndI)
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI
wfX-wfY
    by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-sndI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1[bv::=b]_{bb} b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
        using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-sndI by force
    show  $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-snd } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$  using infer-e-sndI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-sndI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' c z$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-lenI)
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-lenI
wfX-wfY
    by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-lenI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
        using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-lenI by force
    show  $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-len } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$  using infer-e-lenI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-lenI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$ )

```

```

show ?case proof(subst subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-mvarI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI wf-b-subst by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI using wf-b-subst(10)
subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $(u, \tau[bv ::= b]_{\tau_b}) \in \text{set} D \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert
    subst-d-b-member by simp
qed
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-concatI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-concatI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-concatI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \}$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \}$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
  show atom  $z3 \# AE\text{-concat} (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b})$  using infer-e-concatI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
  show atom  $z3 \# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-concatI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-splitI)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b} \rangle$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-splitI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \} \rangle$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-splitI by force
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid [ \text{leq} [ [ L\text{-num } 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \text{ AND} [ \text{leq} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \} \rangle$ 
using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-splitI
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid [ \text{leq} [ [ L\text{-num } 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \text{ AND} [ \text{leq} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ v1 ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \} [bv ::= b]_{\tau_b}$ 
using infer-e-splitI.hyps(7) infer-e-splitI.prem(1) infer-e-splitI.prem(2) subst-b-check-v by
presburger
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# AE\text{-split} (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \rangle$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto

  show  $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# AE\text{-split} (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis

```

```

  show ⟨atom z2 # Γ[bv::=b]Γb⟩ using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto
  show ⟨atom z3 # AE-split (v1[bv::=b]vb) (v2[bv::=b]vb)⟩ using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis
  show ⟨atom z3 # Γ[bv::=b]Γb⟩ using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-c-of-forget*:

```

  assumes atom bv # const
  shows (c-of const x)[bv::=b]cb = c-of const x
using assms proof(nominal-induct const avoiding: x rule:τ.strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x' b' c')
  hence c-of { x' : b' | c' } x = c'[x'::=V-var x]cv using c-of.simps by metis
  moreover have atom bv # c'[x'::=V-var x]cv proof -
    have atom bv # c' using T-refined-type τ.fresh by simp
    moreover have atom bv # V-var x using v.fresh by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using T-refined-type τ.fresh subst-b-c-def fresh-subst-if
    τ-fresh-c fresh-subst-cv-if has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x ms-fresh-all(37) ms-fresh-all assms by
metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using forget-subst subst-b-c-def by metis
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-check-s*:

```

  fixes s::s and b::b and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and v::v and τ::τ
  assumes Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = { |bv| }
  shows Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ⊢ s ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ⊢ (s[bv::=b]sb) ⇐
(τ[bv::=b]τb) and
  Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ; tid ; cons ; const ; v ⊢ cs ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ;
tid ; cons ; const ; v[bv::=b]vb ⊢ (subst-branchb cs bv b) ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb) and
  Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ; tid ; dclist ; v ⊢ css ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ; tid ;
dclist ; v[bv::=b]vb ⊢ (subst-branchlb css bv b) ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb)
using assms proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  note facts = wfD-emptyI wfX-wfY wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype subst-b-infer-v
  case (check-valI Θ B Γ Δ Φ v τ' τ)
  show ?case
    apply(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-valI)
    using facts check-valI apply metis
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype by metis
next

```

case (check-letI x Θ Φ B Γ Δ e τ z s b' c)

show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-letI)

```

  show atom x # (Θ, Φ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, Δ[bv::=b]Δb, e[bv::=b]eb, τ[bv::=b]τb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
  apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+

```

```

    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prodN)+ done
show atom z # (x,  $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ ,  $e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ ,  $s[bv::=b]_{sb}$ )
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
    apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+
    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prodN)+ done
show  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash e[bv::=b]_{eb} \Rightarrow \{\!| z : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \!\}$ 
    using check-letI subst-b-infer-e subst-tb.simps by metis
have  $c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb} = (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ 
    using subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of bv V-var x c z b] fresh-at-base by simp
thus  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $((x, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash$ 
 $s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
    using check-letI subst-gb.simps subst-defs by metis
qed
next
case (check-assertI x  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  c  $\tau$  s)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-assertI)
    show atom x # ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ ,  $c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ ,  $s[bv::=b]_{sb}$ )
        apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
        apply(simp add: check-assertI fresh-empty-fset)+
        apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-assertI fresh-prodN)+ done

    have  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using
check-assertI
    by metis
    thus  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
using subst-gb.simps by auto
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using subst-b-valid check-assertI by simp
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst2(6) check-assertI by simp
qed
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$  css)
then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by simp
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau$  const x  $\Phi$  tid cons v s)

show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-branch-s-branchI)
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using check-branch-s-branchI wf-b-subst subst-db.simps
by metis
    show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-branch-s-branchI wf-b-subst by metis

show atom x # ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ , tid, cons, const,  $v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ )
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
    apply(simp add: check-branch-s-branchI fresh-empty-fset)+
    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN)+
    done
show wft: $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} \text{const}$  using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
hence (b-of const) = (b-of const)[bv::=b]bb
    using wfT-nil-supp fresh-def[of atom bv] forget-subst subst-b-b-def  $\tau$ .supp

```

$\text{bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset}$
by (*metis b-of-supp*)
moreover have ($c\text{-of const } x$) $[bv::=b]_{cb} = c\text{-of const } x$
using *wft wftT-nil-supp fresh-def[of atom bv] forget-subst subst-b-c-def τ .supp*
 $\text{bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset subst-b-c-of-forget}$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of const, CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *check-branch-s-branchI subst-gb.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*check-ifI z $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-ifI)*
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}, s1[bv::=b]_{sb}, s2[bv::=b]_{sb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \rangle$
by (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-ifI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-x*)
have $\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket$ **by** *auto*
thus $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *check-ifI subst-b-check-v*
by *metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid \text{CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} z \rrbracket \rangle$
using *subst-b-if check-ifI by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid \text{CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} z \rrbracket \rangle$
using *subst-b-if check-ifI by metis*
qed

next

case (*check-let2I x $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-let2I)*
have *atom x $\# b$ using x-fresh-b by auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, s1[bv::=b]_{sb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \rangle$
apply (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-let2I fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset*)
apply (*metis subst-b-fresh-x check-let2I fresh-prodN*)
done

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I subst-tb.simps by auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of } t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, c\text{-of } t[bv::=b]_{\tau b} x) \#_{\Gamma} G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$
using *check-let2I subst-tb.simps subst-gb.simps b-of.simps subst-b-c-of subst-b-b-of by auto*
qed

next

case (*check-varI u $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-varI)*
show *atom u $\# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$*
by (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: check-varI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-u*)
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **using** *check-varI subst-b-check-v by auto*
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (\text{subst-gb } \Gamma bv b) ; (u, (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) \#_{\Delta} (\text{subst-db } \Delta bv b) \vdash (s[bv::=b]_{sb}) \Leftarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ **using** *check-varI by auto*

```

qed
next
case (check-assignI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof( rule Typing.check-assignI)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using check-assignI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst check-assignI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using check-assignI subst-d-b-member by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-assignI subst-b-check-v by
auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-assignI
subst-b-subtype subst-b-simps subst-tb.simps by fastforce
qed
next
case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-whileI)
  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$  using
check-whileI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket$  using
check-whileI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using subst-b-subtype
check-whileI by fastforce
qed
next
case (check-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using check-seqI Typing.check-seqI subst-b-eq by metis
next
case (check-caseI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau z$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} ; tid ; dclist ; v[bv::=b]_{vb} \vdash subst-branchlb cs bv b \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
  show  $\langle AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-caseI
subst-b-check-v subst-b-simps subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps
proof –
  have  $\llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using subst-b-eq by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types) check-caseI.hyps(4) check-caseI.prem(1) check-caseI.prem(2) subst-b-check-v)

qed
show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
qed
qed

```

end

```

method supp-calc = (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) pure-supp c.supp e.supp v.supp supp-l-empty
opp.supp sup-bot.right-neutral supp-at-base)
declare infer-e.intros[simp]
declare infer-e.intros[intro]

```

Chapter 16

Safety

16.1 Operational Semantics

lemma *dclist-distinct-unique*:

assumes $(dc, const) \in \text{set } dclist2$ **and** $(cons, const1) \in \text{set } dclist2$ **and** $dc=cons$ **and** *distinct*
 $(List.map \text{fst } dclist2)$

shows $(const) = const1$

proof –

have $(cons, const) = (dc, const1)$

using *assms* **by** $(metis \text{ (no-types, lifting) } assms(3) \text{ } assms(4) \text{ } distinct.simps(1) \text{ } distinct.simps(2) \text{ } empty\text{-iff} \text{ } insert\text{-iff} \text{ } list.set(1) \text{ } list.simps(15) \text{ } list.simps(8) \text{ } list.simps(9) \text{ } map\text{-of-eq-Some-iff})$

thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fresh-d-fst-d*:

assumes $atom \ u \ \# \ \delta$

shows $u \notin \text{fst } 'set \ \delta$

using *assms* **proof** $(induct \ \delta)$

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(Cons \ ut \ \delta')$

obtain u' **and** t' **where** $*:ut = (u', t')$ **by** *fastforce*

hence $atom \ u \ \# \ ut \wedge atom \ u \ \# \ \delta'$ **using** *fresh-Cons Cons* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $atom \ u \ \# \ \text{fst } ut$ **using** $* \text{ fresh-Pair[of } atom \ u \ u' \ t'] \text{ Cons}$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *Cons* **by** *auto*

qed

nominal-function *dc-of* $:: \text{branch-}s \Rightarrow \text{string}$ **where**

dc-of $(AS\text{-branch } dc \ -) = dc$

apply $(auto, simp \text{ add: } eqvt\text{-def } dc\text{-of-graph-aux-def})$

using *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust* **by** *metis*

nominal-termination $(eqvt)$ **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *delta-sim-fresh*:

assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $atom \ u \ \# \ \delta$

shows $\text{atom } u \# \Delta$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule* : *delta-sim.inducts*)
 case (*delta-sim-nilI* Θ)
 then show ?case using *fresh-def supp-DNil* by *blast*
 next
 case (*delta-sim-consI* $\Theta \delta \Delta v \tau u'$)
 hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ using *check-v-wf* by *meson*
 hence $\text{supp } \tau = \{\}$ using *wfT-supp* by *fastforce*
 moreover have $\text{atom } u \# u'$ using *delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons fresh-Pair* by *blast*
 moreover have $\text{atom } u \# \Delta$ using *delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons* by *blast*
 ultimately show ?case using *fresh-Pair fresh-DCons fresh-def* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *delta-sim-v*:

fixes $\Delta :: \Delta$
 assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ and $(u, v) \in \text{set } \delta$ and $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$ and $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct* δ *arbitrary*: Δ)
 case *Nil*
 then show ?case by *auto*
 next
 case (*Cons uv* δ)
 obtain u' and v' where $uv : uv = (u', v')$ by *fastforce*
 show ?case **proof**(*cases* $u' = u$)
 case *True*
 hence $* : \Theta \vdash ((u, v') \# \delta) \sim \Delta$ using *uv Cons* by *blast*
 then obtain τ' and Δ' where *tt*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u \notin \text{fst } \delta \wedge \Delta = (u, \tau') \# \Delta'$
 using *delta-sim-elim*(\mathcal{J})[*OF* *] by *metis*
 moreover hence $v' = v$ using *Cons True*
 by (*metis Pair-inject fst-conv image-eqI set-ConsD uv*)
 moreover have $\tau = \tau'$ using *wfD-unique tt Cons*
setD.simps list.set-intros by *blast*
 ultimately show ?thesis by *metis*
 next
 case *False*
 hence $* : \Theta \vdash ((u, v') \# \delta) \sim \Delta$ using *uv Cons* by *blast*
 then obtain τ' and Δ' where *tt*: $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u' \notin \text{fst } \delta \wedge \Delta = (u', \tau') \# \Delta'$ using *delta-sim-elim*(\mathcal{J})[*OF* *] by *metis*
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ using *wfD-elim Cons delta-sim-elim* by *metis*
 ultimately show ?thesis using *Cons*
 using *False* by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *delta-sim-delta-lookup*:

assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ and $(u, \lfloor z : b \mid c \rfloor) \in \text{setD } \Delta$
 shows $\exists v. (u, v) \in \text{set } \delta$
 using *assms* by(*induct rule*: *delta-sim.inducts, auto*+)

lemma *update-d-stable*:


```

fst ' set  $\delta = \text{fst ' set (update-d } \delta \text{ u v)}$ 
proof(induct  $\delta$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a  $\delta$ )
  then show ?case using update-d.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) eq-fst-iff image-cong image-insert list.simps(15) prod.exhaust-sel)
qed

lemma update-d-sim:
  fixes  $\Delta::\Delta$ 
  assumes  $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$  and  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$  and  $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$  and  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ 
  shows  $\Theta \vdash (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v}) \sim \Delta$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\delta$  arbitrary:  $\Delta$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case using delta-sim-consI by simp
next
  case (Cons uv  $\delta$ )
  obtain  $u'$  and  $v'$  where  $uv : uv=(u',v')$  by fastforce

  hence  $*:\Theta \vdash ((u',v')\#\delta) \sim \Delta$  using uv Cons by blast
  then obtain  $\tau'$  and  $\Delta'$  where  $tt: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u' \notin \text{fst ' set } \delta \wedge \Delta =$ 
   $(u',\tau')\#\Delta\Delta'$  using delta-sim-elim * by metis

  show ?case proof(cases  $u=u'$ )
    case True
    then have  $(u,\tau') \in \text{setD } \Delta$  using tt by auto
    then have  $\tau = \tau'$  using Cons wfD-unique by metis
    moreover have  $\text{update-d } ((u',v')\#\delta) \text{ u v} = ((u',v')\#\delta)$  using update-d.simps True by presburger
    ultimately show ?thesis using delta-sim-consI tt Cons True
      by (simp add: tt uv)
  next
    case False
    have  $\Theta \vdash (u',v') \# (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v}) \sim (u',\tau')\#\Delta\Delta'$ 
    proof(rule delta-sim-consI)
      show  $\Theta \vdash \text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v} \sim \Delta'$  using Cons using delta-sim-consI
        delta-sim.simps update-d.simps Cons delta-sim-elim uv tt
        False fst-conv set-ConsD wfG-elim wfD-elim by (metis setD-ConsD)
      show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau'$  using tt by auto
      show  $u' \notin \text{fst ' set (update-d } \delta \text{ u v)}$  using update-d.simps Cons update-d-stable tt by auto
    qed
  thus ?thesis using False update-d.simps uv
    by (simp add: tt)
qed
qed

```

16.2 Preservation

Types are preserved under reduction step

lemma check-if:

fixes $s'::s$ **and** $cs::branch-s$ **and** $css::branch-list$ **and** $v::v$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \implies s' = IF (V\text{-lit } ll) \text{ THEN } s1 \text{ ELSE } s2 \implies$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies G = GNil \implies B = \{\|\} \implies ll = L\text{-true} \wedge s = s1 \vee ll = L\text{-false} \wedge s = s2 \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $check\text{-branch-}s \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \ tid \ dc \ const \ v \ cs \ \tau \implies True$ **and**
 $check\text{-branch-list} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
proof(*nominal-induct* τ **and** τ **and** τ *rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
case ($check\text{-ifI} \ z \ \Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$)
obtain z' **where** $teq: \tau = \{\|\ z' : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau \ z' \} \wedge atom \ z' \# (z, \tau)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z-c-of* **by** *metis*
hence $ceq: (c\text{-of } \tau \ z') [z'::[z]^v]_{cv} = (c\text{-of } \tau \ z)$ **using** *c-of-switch fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
have $zf: atom \ z \# c\text{-of } \tau \ z'$ **by**(*rule c-of-fresh, auto simp add: freshers check-ifI, insert fresh-Pair*
teq fresh-at-base, simp add: freshers)

hence $1:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \{\|\ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) \ IMP$
 $c\text{-of } \tau \ z \}$ **using** *check-ifI* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $2:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash (\{\|\ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) \ IMP$
 $c\text{-of } \tau \ z \}) \lesssim \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\{\|\ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } ll) \ IMP$ $c\text{-of } \tau \ z$
 $\})$ **using** *check-ifI check-s-wf* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *check-s-wf check-ifI* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** *subtype-if-simp[of* $\Theta \ \{\|\} \ z \ b\text{-of } \tau \ ll \ c\text{-of } \tau \ z' \ z']$ **using** *teq ceq zf*
subst-defs **by** *metis*
qed
ultimately **show** $?case$ **using** *check-s-supertype(1) check-ifI* **by** *metis*

qed(*auto*+))

lemma *preservation-if*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, IF (V\text{-lit } ll) \text{ THEN } s1 \text{ ELSE } s2 \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $ll = L\text{-true} \wedge s = s1 \vee ll = L\text{-false} \wedge s = s2$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta$
proof –
have $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-if } (V\text{-lit } ll) \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. check\text{-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)$
using *assms config-type-elim* **by** *metis*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-wf check-if assms* **by** *metis*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta$ **using** *config-typeI **
using *assms(1)* **by** *blast*
thus $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *check-s-x-fresh*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $s::s$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; GNil ; D \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $atom \ x \# s \wedge atom \ x \# \tau \wedge atom \ x \# D$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; GNil ; D \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *check-s-wf[OF assms]* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *check-s-wf assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} D$ **using** *check-s-wf assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** *wf-supp x-fresh-u*

by (meson fresh-GNil wfS-x-fresh wfT-x-fresh wfD-x-fresh)
qed

lemma check-funtyp-subst-b:

fixes $b'::b$
assumes check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ |bv| \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) and $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \rangle \vdash_{wf} b'$
shows check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ | \} \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s[bv::=b]_{sb}$)
using assms proof (nominal-induct $\{ |bv| \}$ AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$ rule: check-funtyp.strong-induct)
case (check-funtypI $x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau'$)
have check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ | \} \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x' b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s'[bv::=b]_{sb}$) proof
show $\langle atom x' \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset}, b[bv::=b]_{bb}) \rangle$ using check-funtypI fresh-prodN x-fresh-b fresh-empty-fset
by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \rangle ; ((x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \sqcup_{\Delta}[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s'[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
proof(rule subst-b-check-s)
show $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \rangle \vdash_{wf} b'$ using check-funtypI by metis
show $\langle \{ |bv| \} = \{ |bv| \} \rangle$ by auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ |bv| \} ; (x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \sqcup_{\Delta} \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ using check-funtypI by metis
qed

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \rangle ; (x', b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \sqcup_{\Delta} \vdash s'[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using subst-gb.simps subst-db.simps by simp
qed

moreover have (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) = (AF-fun-typ $x' b c' \tau' s'$) using fun-typ.eq-iff check-funtypI
by metis
moreover hence (AF-fun-typ $x b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s[bv::=b]_{sb}$) = (AF-fun-typ
 $x' b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s'[bv::=b]_{sb}$)
using subst-ft-b.simps by metis
ultimately show ?case by metis
qed

lemma funtyp-simple-check:

fixes $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $v::v$
assumes check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi (\{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset})$ (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) and
 $\Theta ; \{ | \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using assms proof (nominal-induct $(\{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset})$ AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$ avoiding: $v x$ rule: check-funtyp.strong-induct)
case (check-funtypI $x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau'$)

hence eq1: $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$ using funtyp-eq-iff-equalities by metis

obtain x'' and c'' where $xf:\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x'' : b \mid c'' \rrbracket \wedge atom x'' \# (x', v) \wedge atom x'' \# (x, c)$
using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis

moreover have $atom x' \# c''$ proof –

have supp $\llbracket x'' : b \mid c'' \rrbracket = \{ \}$ using eq1 check-funtypI xf check-v-wf wfT-nil-sup by metis

hence supp $c'' \subseteq \{ atom x'' \}$ using $\tau.supp$ eq1 xf by (auto simp add: freshers)

moreover have $atom x' \neq atom x''$ using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq by metis

ultimately show ?thesis using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq fresh-def fresh-at-base by blast

qed

ultimately have eq2: $c''[x'::=x]_{cv} = c'$ using eq1 type-eq-subst-eq3(1)[of $x' b c' x'' b c'$] by metis

have $\text{atom } x' \# c$ **proof** –
have $\text{supp } \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ \}$ **using** $\text{eq1 check-funtypI xf check-v-wf wfT-nil-supp}$ **by** metis
hence $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp}$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \neq \text{atom } x'$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq}$ **by** metis
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** fresh-def **by** force
qed
hence $\text{eq: } c[x::=[x']^v]_{cv} = c' \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-eq-iff-equalities type-eq-subst-eq3 check-funtypI}$ **by** metis

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; ((x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[x'::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; [\Delta][x'::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof($\text{rule subst-check-check-s}$)
show $\langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x'' : b \mid c'' \} \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI eq1 xf}$ **by** metis
show $\langle \text{atom } x'' \# (x', v) \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI fresh-x-neq fresh-Pair xf}$ **by** metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ **using** check-funtypI eq2
by metis
show $\langle (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil = GNil @ (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$ **using** append-g.simps **by** auto
qed
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** $\text{subst-gv.simps subst-dv.simps}$
by auto
thus $?case$ **using** eq **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{funtypq-simple-check}$:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\text{check-funtypq } \Theta \Phi$ ($\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s)$) **and**
 $\Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using assms **proof**($\text{nominal-induct } (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s))$ *avoiding: v rule: check-funtypq.strong-induc*)
case ($\text{check-fundefq-simpleI } \Theta \Phi x' c' t' s'$)
hence $\text{eq: } \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ x' : b \mid c' \} \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t[x::=v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-eq-iff-equalities}$ **by** metis
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-simple-check}[OF \text{ check-fundefq-simpleI}(1)]$ $\text{check-fundefq-simpleI}$ **by** metis
thus $?case$ **using** eq **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities}$:

assumes $[[\text{atom } bv]]\text{lst. AF-fun-typ } x' \ b'' \ c' \ t' \ s' = [[\text{atom } bv]]\text{lst. AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s$
shows $\{ x' : b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \} \wedge$
 $s[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $\text{subst-ft-b } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x' \ b'' \ c' \ t' \ s') \ bv' \ b' = \text{subst-ft-b } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s) \ bv \ b'$
using $\text{subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def assms}$ **by** metis
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{fun-typ.eq-iff subst-ft-b.simps funtyp-eq-iff-equalities subst-tb.simps}$
by ($\text{metis (full-types) assms fun-poly-arg-unique}$)

qed

lemma *funtypq-poly-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $b'::b$

assumes *check-funtypq* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$)) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$)) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-funtypq.strong-induct*)

case (*check-funtypq-polyI* $bv' \Theta \Phi x' b'' c' t' s'$)

hence $**:\llbracket x' : b'[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \wedge$

$s'[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities* **by** *metis*

have $*:check-funtyp \Theta \Phi \{\|\} (AF-fun-typ x' b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv'::=b]_{cb}) (t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}) s'[bv'::=b]_{sb})$

using *check-funtyp-subst-b*[*OF* *check-funtypq-polyI*(5) *check-funtypq-polyI*(8)] **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x' : b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **using** $**$ *check-funtypq-polyI* **by** *metis*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Box \vdash s'[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *funtyp-simple-check*[*OF* $*$] *check-funtypq-polyI* **by** *metis*

thus $?case$ **using** $**$ **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fundef-simple-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$

assumes *check-fundef* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-fundef.strong-induct*)

case (*check-fundefI* $\Theta \Phi$)

then **show** $?case$ **using** *funtypq-simple-check*[*THEN* *check-s-d-weakening*(1)] *setD.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fundef-poly-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $b'::b$

assumes *check-fundef* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta ;$

$\{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-fundef.strong-induct*)

case (*check-fundefI* $\Theta \Phi$)

then **show** $?case$ **using** *funtypq-poly-check*[*THEN* *check-s-d-weakening*(1)] *setD.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *preservation-app*:

assumes
Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1')) = lookup-fun Φ f and
($\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ fd$)
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow B = \{\mid\} \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow ss = LET \ x = (AE-app \ f$
v) IN s \Longrightarrow
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x : (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv}) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
check-branch-s $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ GNil \ \Delta \ tid \ dc \ const \ v \ cs \ \tau \Longrightarrow True$ and
check-branch-list $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \Longrightarrow True$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct τ and τ and τ avoiding: v rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*
case (check-letI x2 $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s2 \ b \ c$)

hence *eq: e = (AE-app f v) by simp*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-app \ f \ v) \Rightarrow \{\mid z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *check-letI by auto*

then obtain $x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3$ **where**
 $**:\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \text{Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x3 b3$
 $c3 \ \tau3 \ s3))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} \wedge \text{atom } x3 \ \# \ GNil \wedge \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \{\mid z : b \mid c \}$
using *infer-e-elim(6)[OF *] subst-defs by metis*

obtain $z3$ **where** $z3:\{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} = \{\mid z3 : b3 \mid c3[x3::=V-var \ z3]_{cv} \} \wedge \text{atom } z3 \ \# (x3,$
 $v, c3, x1, c1)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z3 by metis*

have *seq:[[atom x3]]lst. s3 = [[atom x1]]lst. s1' using fun-def-eq check-letI ** option.inject by metis*

let $?ft = AF-fun-typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3$
thm *check-fundef-elim*

have *sup: supp $\tau3 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x3 \} \wedge \text{supp } s3 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x3 \}$ using wfPhi-f-supp ** by force*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 \ x2 \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**
show $\langle \text{atom } x2 \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\mid\}::bv \ fset, GNil, \Delta, \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, s3[x3::=v]_{sv}, \tau) \rangle$
unfolding *fresh-prodN using check-letI fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- τ -def sup*
by *(metis all-not-in-conv fresh-def fresh-empty-fset fresh-subst-sv-if fresh-subst-tv-if singleton-iff*
subset-singleton-iff)

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s3[x3::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **proof**(*rule fundef-simple-check*)
show $\langle \text{check-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ (AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3))) \rangle$ **using**
 $** \text{ check-letI lookup-fun-member by metis}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} \rangle$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
qed
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; (x2, b-of \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, c-of \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \ x2) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$
using *check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis*
qed

moreover have $AS-let2 \ x2 \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) \ s2 = AS-let2 \ x \ (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv})$
s proof –
have $*: [[\text{atom } x2]]lst. s2 = [[\text{atom } x]]lst. s$ **using** *check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by auto*
moreover have $\tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *fun-ret-unique ** check-letI by metis*
moreover have $s3[x3::=v]_{sv} = (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def seq by metis*
ultimately show *?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis*

```

qed

ultimately show ?case using check-letI by auto
qed(auto+)

lemma fresh-subst-v-subst-b:
  fixes  $x2::x$  and  $tm::'a::\{has-subst-v, has-subst-b\}$  and  $x::x$ 
  assumes  $supp\ tm \subseteq \{atom\ bv, atom\ x\}$  and  $atom\ x2 \# v$ 
  shows  $atom\ x2 \# tm[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v$ 
using assms proof(cases  $x2=x$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by blast
next
  case False
  hence  $atom\ x2 \# tm$  using assms fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
  hence  $atom\ x2 \# tm[bv::=b]_b$  using assms fresh-subst-if x-fresh-b False by force
  then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by auto
qed

lemma preservation-poly-app:
  assumes
    Some (AF-fundef  $f$  (AF-fun-tyt-some  $bv1$  (AF-fun-tyt  $x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1'$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi\ f$ 
  and  $(\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \leftarrow \tau \implies B = \{\|\} \implies G = GNil \implies ss = LET\ x = (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ IN\ s \implies \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b' \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x : (\tau1'\ [bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'\ [bv1::=b]_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv})$ 
 $IN\ s \leftarrow \tau$  and
 $check-branch-s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ GNil\ \Delta\ tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies True$  and
 $check-branch-list\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \implies True$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  avoiding:  $v\ x1$  rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-i)
  case (check-letI  $x2\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ \tau\ z\ s2\ b\ c$ )

  hence  $eq: e = (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v)$  by simp
  hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using check-letI by auto

  then obtain  $x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3\ bv3$  where
     $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3))) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge$ 
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \leftarrow \llbracket x3 : b3[bv3::=b]_{bb} \mid c3[bv3::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \wedge atom\ x3 \# GNil \wedge$ 
 $\tau3[bv3::=b]_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ 
 $\wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  using infer-e-elim(21)[OF  $*$ ] subst-defs by metis

  obtain  $z3$  where  $z3:\llbracket x3 : b3 \mid c3 \rrbracket = \llbracket z3 : b3 \mid c3[x3::=V-var\ z3]_{cv} \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z3 \# (x3,$ 
 $v, c3, x1, c1)$  using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis

  let  $?ft = (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ (b3[bv3::=b]_{bb})\ (c3[bv3::=b]_{cb})\ (\tau3[bv3::=b]_{\tau b})\ (s3[bv3::=b]_{sb}))$ 

  have  $*:check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3)))$  using
 $**\ check-letI\ lookup-fun-member$  by metis

  hence  $ftq:check-funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3))$  using check-fundef-elim

```

by auto

let ?ft = AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 τ3 s3)

have sup: supp τ3 ⊆ { atom x3, atom bv3 } ∧ supp s3 ⊆ { atom x3, atom bv3 } using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t ** by force

have Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-let2 x2 τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv (s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv) s2 ⇐
τ

proof

show ⟨ atom x2 # (Θ, Φ, {||}::bv fset, GNil, Δ, τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv, s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv, τ) ⟩

proof –

thm fresh-subst-v-subst-b

have atom x2 # τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv

using fresh-subst-v-subst-b subst-v-τ-def subst-b-τ-def ⟨ atom x2 # v ⟩ sup by fastforce

moreover have atom x2 # s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv

using fresh-subst-v-subst-b subst-v-s-def subst-b-s-def ⟨ atom x2 # v ⟩ sup

proof –

have ∀ b. atom x2 = atom x3 ∨ atom x2 # s3[bv3::=b]b

by (metis (no-types) check-letI.hyps(1) fresh-subst-sv-if(1) fresh-subst-v-subst-b insert-commute subst-v-s-def sup)

then show ?thesis

by (metis check-letI.hyps(1) fresh-subst-sb-if fresh-subst-sv-if(1) has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x x-fresh-b)

qed

ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prodN check-letI by metis

qed

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv ⇐ τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv ⟩ proof(rule fundef-poly-check)

show ⟨ check-fundef Θ Φ (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 τ3 s3))) ⟩

using ** lookup-fun-member check-letI by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ v ⇐ { x3 : b3[bv3::=b]bb | c3[bv3::=b]cb } ⟩ using ** by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢_{wf} Δ ⟩ using ** by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ⊢_{wf} b' ⟩ using ** by metis

qed

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; (x2, b-of τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv, c-of τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv x2) #_Γ GNil ; Δ ⊢ s2 ⇐ τ ⟩

using check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis

qed

moreover have AS-let2 x2 τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv (s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv) s2 = AS-let2 x (τ1'[bv1::=b]τb[x1::=v]τv) (s1'[bv1::=b]sb[x1::=v]sv) s proof –

have *: [[atom x2]]lst. s2 = [[atom x]]lst. s using check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by auto

moreover have τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv = τ1'[bv1::=b]τb[x1::=v]τv using fun-poly-ret-unique ** check-letI by metis

moreover have s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv = (s1'[bv1::=b]sb[x1::=v]sv) using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def fun-poly-body-unique ** check-letI by metis

ultimately show ?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis

qed

ultimately show ?case using check-letI by auto
qed(auto+)

lemma check-s-plus:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-op\ Plus\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))))$ IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof -

obtain $t1$ where $1: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ Plus\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))$
 $\Rightarrow t1$

using assms check-s-elim by metis

then obtain $z1$ where $2: t1 = \{\!\!| z1 : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == CE-op\ Plus\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)]^{ce}) \}\!\!$

using infer-e-plus by metis

obtain $z2$ where $3: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))) \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))) \}\!\!$

using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1

by (simp add: fresh-GNil)

thus ?thesis using subtype-let 1 2 subtype-bop infer-e-wf type-for-lit.simps

by (metis assms opp.distinct(1) type-l-eq)

qed

lemma check-s-leq:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-op\ LEq\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-val\ (V-lit\ (if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof -

obtain $t1$ where $1: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ LEq\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))$
 $\Rightarrow t1$

using assms check-s-elim by metis

then obtain $z1$ where $2: t1 = \{\!\!| z1 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == CE-op\ LEq\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)]^{ce}) \}\!\!$

using infer-e-leq by auto

obtain $z2$ where $3: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false)))) \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\!$

using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1

fresh-GNil

by simp

thm subtype-let

show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-let)

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let\ x\ (AE-op\ LEq\ [L-num\ n1]^v\ [L-num\ n2]^v)\ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$
using assms by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ LEq\ [L-num\ n1]^v\ [L-num\ n2]^v \Rightarrow t1 \rangle$ using 1 by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [[if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false]^v]^e \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\! \rangle$ using 3 by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\! \rangle$

then $L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})) \} \lesssim t1 \rangle$

using *subtype-bop*[**where** $opp = LEq$] *check-s-wf* *assms 2*
by (*metis* $opp.distinct(1)$ *subtype-bop* *type-l-eq*)

qed

qed

lemma *preservation-plus*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-op}\ Plus\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow$

τ

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ Plus\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$
and $dsim: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $fd: (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *assms config-type-elim*s **by** *blast+*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-plus* *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *dsim config-typeI* *fd* **by** *presburger*

then show *?thesis* **using** *dsim config-typeI*

by (*meson order-refl*)

qed

lemma *preservation-leq*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ LEq\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow$

τ

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ LEq\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$
and $dsim: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $fd: (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *assms config-type-elim*s **by** *blast+*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-leq* *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *dsim config-typeI* *fd* **by** *presburger*

then show *?thesis* **using** *dsim config-typeI*

by (*meson order-refl*)

qed

lemma *subst-s-abs-lst*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $v'::v$

assumes $[[atom\ x]]lst. s = [[atom\ xa]]lst. sa$ **and** $atom\ xa \not\# v \wedge atom\ x \not\# v$

shows $s[x::=v]_{sv} = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$

proof –

obtain $c'::x$ **where** $cdash: atom\ c' \# (v, x, xa, s, sa)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow c') \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c') \cdot sa$ **proof** –
have $atom\ c' \# (s, sa) \wedge atom\ c' \# (x, xa, s, sa)$ **using** $cdash$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
using *subst-sv-flip* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *check-let-val:*

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \implies B = \{\|\} \implies G = GNil \implies$
 $ss = AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ v)\ s \vee ss = AS\text{-}let2\ x\ t\ (AS\text{-}val\ v)\ s \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash$
 $(s[x::=v]_{sv}) \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ \Delta\ tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies True$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}list\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \implies True$
proof(*nominal-induct* τ **and** τ **and** τ *avoiding: v rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
case (*check-letI* $x1\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ \tau\ z\ s1\ b\ c$)
hence $*:e = AE\text{-}val\ v$ **by** *auto*
let $?G = (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-infer-check-s(1)*)
show $*:(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{z : b \mid c\})$ **using** *infer-e-elimis check-letI ** **by** *fast*
thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{z : b \mid c\} \lesssim \{z : b \mid c\} \rangle$ **using** *subtype-refl infer-v-wf* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z \# (x1, v) \rangle$ **using** *check-letI fresh-Pair* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-letI subst-defs* **by**
auto
show $(x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
qed
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-letI* **by** *auto*
moreover have $s1[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv}$
by (*metis (full-types) check-letI fresh-GNil infer-e-elimis(7) s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff*)
subst-s-abs-lst wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple

ultimately show *?case* **using** *check-letI* **by** *simp*

next

case (*check-let2I* $x1\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ t\ s1\ \tau\ s2$)

hence $s1eq:s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ **by** *auto*
let $?G = (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
obtain $z::x$ **where** $*:atom\ z \# (x1, v, t)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
hence $teq:t = \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\}$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* **by** *auto*
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-check-check-s(1)*)
obtain t' **where** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t' \lesssim t$ **using** *check-s-elimis(1) check-let2I(10)*
s1eq **by** *auto*
thus $*:(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\})$ **using** *check-v.intros teq* **by** *auto*
show $atom\ z \# (x1, v)$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I* **by** *auto*

show $(x1, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x1, b\text{-of } t, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=V\text{-var } x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using**
*append-g.simps c-of-switch * fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-let2I* **by** *auto*

moreover have $s2[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv}$ **using**
check-let2I fresh-GNil check-s-elim s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff
subst-s-abs-lst wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple

proof –

have $AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s = AS\text{-let2 } x1 \ t \ s1 \ s2$

by $(metis \ check\text{-let2I}.\text{prems}(3) \ s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.distinct } s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff}(3))$

then show *?thesis*

by $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ check\text{-let2I} \ check\text{-let2I}.\text{prems}(2) \ check\text{-s-elim}(1) \ fresh\text{-GNil } s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff}(3) \ subst\text{-s-abs-lst } wfG\text{-x-fresh-in-v-simple})$

qed

ultimately show *?case* **using** *check-let2I* **by** *simp*

qed(*auto*+))

lemma *preservation-let-val*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \vee \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **(is** $?A \vee ?B$ **)**

shows $\exists \Delta'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta'$

proof –

have *tt*: $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** *fd*: $(\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check\text{-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)$

using *assms* **by** *blast*+

have $?A \vee ?B$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

then have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$

proof

assume $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

hence $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *check-let-val* **by** *simp*

next

assume $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

hence $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *check-let-val* **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *tt config-typeI fd*

order-refl **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *check-s-fst-snd*:

assumes $fst\text{-snd} = AE\text{-fst} \wedge v=v1 \vee fst\text{-snd} = AE\text{-snd} \wedge v=v2$

and $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (fst\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof –

have $1 : \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (fst\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

then obtain $t1$ where $2:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)) \Rightarrow t1$ using *check-s-elim* by *auto*

show *?thesis* using *subtype-let 1 2 assms*
by (*meson infer-e-fst-pair infer-e-snd-pair*)
qed

lemma *preservation-fst-snd*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ and

$fst\text{-}snd = AE\text{-}fst \wedge v=v1 \vee fst\text{-}snd = AE\text{-}snd \wedge v=v2$

shows $\exists \Delta' . \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-}val\ v)\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ using *assms* by *blast*

hence $t2: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ by *auto*

moreover have $\forall fd \in set\ \Phi . check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd$ using *assms config-type-elim* by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *config-typeI order-refl tt assms check-s-fst-snd* by *metis*

qed

inductive-cases *check-branch-s-elim2[elim!]*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau$

lemmas *freshers* = *freshers atom-dom.simps setG.simps fresh-def x-not-in-b-set*

declare *freshers* [*simp*]

lemma *subtype-eq-if*:

fixes $t::\tau$ and $va::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\}$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\ IMP\ c\text{-}of\ t\ z\}$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\} \lesssim \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\ IMP\ c\text{-}of\ t\ z\}$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ where $xf:atom\ x \# ((\Theta , \mathcal{B} , \Gamma , z , c\text{-}of\ t\ z , z , c\ IMP\ c\text{-}of\ t\ z), c)$ using *obtain-fresh* by *metis*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-}of\ t , (c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (c\ IMP\ c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}$

unfolding *subst-cv.simps*

proof(*rule valid-eq-imp*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-}of\ t , (c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (c\ IMP\ (c\text{-}of\ t\ z))[z::=[x]^v]_v$

apply(*rule wfT-wfC-cons*)

apply(*simp add: assms, simp add: assms, unfold fresh-prodN*)

using *xf fresh-prodN* by *metis+*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-}of\ t , (c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \ IMP\ (c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}$

using *subst-cv.simps subst-defs* by *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* using *subtype-baseI assms fresh-Pair subst-defs* by *metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-eq-if- τ* :
fixes $t::\tau$ **and** $va::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t \mid c \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t \, z \rrbracket$ **and** $atom \, z \# t$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t \lesssim \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t \mid c \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t \, z \rrbracket$
proof –
have $t = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t \mid c\text{-of } t \, z \rrbracket$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subtype-eq-if* *assms* *c-of.simps* *b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *valid-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c2$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1 \text{ AND } c2$
proof
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$ **using** *valid.simps* *wfC-conjI* *assms* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \forall i. \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow i \models c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$
proof(*rule+*)
fix i
assume $*:\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma$
thus $i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps*
using *is-satis.cases* **by** *blast*
show $i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps*
using *is-satis.cases* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *wfT-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c1 \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c2 \rrbracket$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rrbracket$
proof
show $\langle atom \, z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil) \rangle$
apply(*unfold fresh-prodN*, *intro conjI*)
using *wfTh-fresh* *wfT-wf* *assms* **apply** *metis*
using *fresh-GNil* *x-not-in-b-set* *fresh-def* **by** *metis+*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *wfT-elim* *assms* **by** *metis*
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1$ **using** *wfT-wfC* *fresh-GNil* *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c2$ **using** *wfT-wfC* *fresh-GNil* *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$ **using** *wfC-conjI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subtype-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c1 \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c2 \rrbracket$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \, z \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rrbracket$
proof –
have *beq*: $b\text{-of } t = b$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2* *b-of.simps* *assms* **by** *metis*
obtain $x::x$ **where** $x:\langle atom \, x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z, c\text{-of } t \, z, z, c1 \text{ AND } c2) \rangle$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **proof**
have $atom \, z \# t$ **using** *subtype-wf* *wfT-sup* *fresh-def* *x-not-in-b-set* *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* *assms* **by** *blast*
hence $t:t = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t \mid c\text{-of } t \, z \rrbracket$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* **by** *auto*

```

thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using subtype-wf beq assms by auto

show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (c1 \text{ AND } c2)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
proof –
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c1[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
  proof(rule subtype-valid)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by auto
    show  $\langle t = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using t beq by auto
    show  $\langle \{ z : b \mid c1 \} = \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \rangle$  by auto
  qed
  moreover have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c2[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
  proof(rule subtype-valid)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by auto
    show  $\langle t = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using t beq by auto
    show  $\langle \{ z : b \mid c2 \} = \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \rangle$  by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def using valid-conj by metis
qed
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \} \rangle$  using subtype-wf wfT-conj assms by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *infer-v-conj*:

```

assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$ 
proof –
  obtain t1 where  $t1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ 
  using assms check-v-elim by metis
  obtain t2 where  $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$ 
  using assms check-v-elim by metis
  have teq:  $t1 = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t1 \ z \}$  using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps
  by (metis (full-types) b-of-c-of-eq fresh-GNil infer-v-t-wf t1 wfT-x-fresh)
  have  $t1 = t2$  using infer-v-uniqueness t1 t2 by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t1 \ z \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$  using subtype-conj t1 t2 by simp
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$  using teq by auto
  thus ?thesis using t1 using check-v.intros by auto
qed

```

lemma *wfG-conj*:

```

fixes c1::c
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1 \text{ AND } c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
proof(cases c1  $\in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons2I wfG-elim wfX-wfY by metis
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons1I wfG-elim wfX-wfY wfC-elim
  by (metis wfG-elim2)

```

qed

lemma *check-match*:

fixes $s'::s$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $css::branch\text{-}list$ **and** $cs::branch\text{-}s$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; const ; vcons \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \implies$
 $vcons = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ dc\ v \implies B = \{\|\} \implies G = GNil \implies cs = (dc\ x' \Rightarrow s') \implies$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; vcons \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \implies distinct\ (map\ fst\ dclist) \implies$
 $vcons = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ dc\ v \implies B = \{\|\} \implies (dc, const) \in set\ dclist \implies G = GNil \implies$
 $Some\ (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x'\ s') = lookup\text{-}branch\ dc\ css \implies \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$

proof(*nominal-induct* τ **and** τ **and** τ *avoiding: $x' v$ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ consa\ consta\ va\ cs\ \tau\ dclist\ cssa$)

then obtain xa **and** sa **where** $cseq:cs = AS\text{-}branch\ consa\ xa\ sa$ **using** *check-branch-s-elim2[OF check-branch-list-consI(1)]* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **proof**(*cases* $dc = consa$)

case *True*

hence $cs = AS\text{-}branch\ consa\ x'\ s'$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI cseq*

by (*metis lookup-branch.simps(2) option.inject*)

moreover have $const = consta$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI distinct.simps*

by (*metis True dclist-distinct-unique list.set-intros(1)*)

moreover have $va = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ consa\ v$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI True* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *check-branch-list-consI* **by** *auto*

next

case *False*

hence $Some\ (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x'\ s') = lookup\text{-}branch\ dc\ cssa$ **using** *lookup-branch.simps(2) check-branch-list-consI(10)*
cseq **by** *auto*

moreover have $(dc, const) \in set\ dclist$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI False* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *check-branch-list-consI* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ cons\ const\ va\ cs\ \tau$)

hence $cs = AS\text{-}branch\ cons\ x'\ s'$ **using** *lookup.simps check-branch-list-finalI lookup-branch.simps option.inject*

by (*metis map-of.simps(1) map-of-Cons-code(2) option.distinct(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust(2) weak-map-of-SomeI*)

then show *?case* **using** *check-branch-list-finalI* **by** *auto*

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ \tau\ const\ x\ \Phi\ tid\ cons\ va\ s$)

Supporting facts here to make the main body of the proof concise

have $xf:atom\ x \not\# \tau$ **proof** —

have $supp\ \tau \subseteq supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wf-supp(4) check-branch-s-branchI atom-dom.simps setG.simps* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def x-not-in-b-set* **by** *blast*

qed

hence $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v- τ -def* **by** *auto*
 have $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta$ **using** *forget-subst-dv wfD-x-fresh fresh-GNil check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *metis*

have $\text{supp } v = \{\}$ **using** *check-branch-s-branchI check-v-wf wfV-supp-nil* **by** *metis*
 hence $\text{supp } va = \{\}$ **using** $\langle va = V\text{-cons tid cons } v \rangle v.\text{supp pure-supp}$ **by** *auto*

let $?G = (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 obtain $z::x$ **where** $z: \text{const} = \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x', v, x, \text{const}, va)$
using *obtain-fresh-z-c-of* **by** *metis*

thm *check-branch-s-branchI(23)*

have $vt: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket$

proof(*rule infer-v-conj*)

obtain t' **where** $t: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash t' \lesssim \text{const}$

using *check-v-elims check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *metis*

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

proof(*rule check-v-top*)

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

proof(*rule wfG-wfT*)

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce})) [z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

proof –

have $1: va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va$ **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $2: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

using *check-branch-s-branchI(17)[THEN check-s-wf] $\langle \Gamma = GNil \rangle$* **by** *auto*

moreover hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

using *wfG-conj* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis*

unfolding *subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\langle \text{atom } x \# ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce}) \rangle$ **unfolding** *c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh*

apply(*intro conjI*)

using *check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers* **apply** *simp*

using *check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers* **apply** *simp*

using *pure-supp* **apply** *force*

using *z fresh-x-neq fresh-prod5* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle [va]^{ce} = [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} [z::=v]_{cev} \rangle$

using $\langle va = V\text{-cons tid cons } v \rangle$ *subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \text{const} \rangle$ **using** *check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *auto*

show $\text{supp } [va]^{ce} \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\langle \text{supp } va = \{\} \rangle$ *ce.supp* **by** *simp*

qed

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket$

using *check-branch-s-branchI z* **by** *auto*

qed

Main body of proof for this case

```

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (?G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule subst-check-check-s)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} \rrbracket == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of}$ 
 $\text{const } z \rrbracket \rangle$  using vt by auto
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z \nmid (x, v) \rangle$  using z fresh-prodN by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ 
    using check-branch-s-branchI by auto

  show  $\langle ?G = GNil @ (x, b\text{-of const}, ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const}$ 
 $z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$ 
  proof –
    have  $va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va$  using forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN by metis
    moreover have  $(c\text{-of const } z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of const } x$ 
      using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps append-g.simps
      using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN z fresh-prodN check-branch-s-branchI by argo
    qed
  qed
  moreover have  $s[x::=v]_{sv} = s'[x'::=v]_{sv}$ 
    using check-branch-s-branchI subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis
  ultimately show ?case using check-branch-s-branchI  $\langle \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau \rangle \langle \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta \rangle$  by auto

qed(auto+)

```

Lemmas for while reduction. Making these separate lemmas allows flexibility in wiring them into the main proof and robustness if we change it

lemma check-unit:

```

fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $G::\Gamma$ 
assumes  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'$  and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} G$ 
shows  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; G ; \Delta \vdash \llbracket L\text{-unit} \rrbracket^v \rangle^s \Leftarrow \tau'$ 
proof –
  have  $*:\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash [L\text{-unit}]^v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid [ [z]^v ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-unit}]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
    using infer-l.intros(4) infer-v-litI fresh-GNil assms wfX-wfY by (meson subtype-g-wf)
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid [ [z]^v ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-unit}]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'$ 
    using subtype-top subtype-trans * infer-v-wf
    by (meson assms(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using subtype-top subtype-trans fresh-GNil assms check-valI assms check-s-g-weakening assms setG.simps
    by (metis bot.extremum infer-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening wfD-wf)
  qed

```

lemma preservation-var:

```

shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash VAR u : \tau' = v IN s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow \text{atom } u \nmid \delta \Longrightarrow \text{atom}$ 
 $u \nmid \Delta \Longrightarrow$ 
   $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash (u, v) \# \delta \sim (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ 
and
  check-branch-s  $\Theta \Phi \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} GNil \Delta \text{ tid dc const } v \text{ cs } \tau \Longrightarrow \text{True}$  and

```

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
proof(nominal-induct $\{\|\}::bv \ fset \ GNil \ \Delta \ VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s \ \tau$ **and** τ **and** τ rule: *check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch*)
 case (*check-varI* $u' \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \Delta \ \tau \ s'$)
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-abs-u check-v-wf* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta)$ **proof**
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \rangle$ **using** *check-varI* **by** *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ **using** *check-varI* **by** *auto*
 show $\langle u \notin fst \ ' \ set \ \delta \rangle$ **using** *check-varI fresh-d-fst-d* **by** *auto*
qed

ultimately show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed(*auto*+)

lemma *check-while*:
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{ \ s2 \ \} \Leftarrow \tau \implies atom \ x \ \# \ (s1, s2) \implies atom \ z' \ \# \ x$
 \implies
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x : (\llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V\text{-}var \ x) \ THEN \ (s2$
 $;; (WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{s2\}))$
 $ELSE \ (\llbracket V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}unit \rrbracket^s)) \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \ tid \ dc \ const \ v \ cs \ \tau \implies True$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
proof(nominal-induct $\{\|\}::bv \ fset \ GNil \ \Delta \ AS\text{-}while \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$ **and** τ **and** τ avoiding: $s1 \ s2 \ x \ z'$ rule: *check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
 case (*check-whileI* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \Delta \ s1 \ z \ s2 \ \tau'$)
 have $teq:\llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *auto*

show *?case* **proof**
 have $atom \ x \ \# \ \tau'$ **using** *wfT-nil-supp fresh-def subtype-wfT check-whileI(5)* **by** *fast*
moreover have $atom \ x \ \# \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.fresh \ c.fresh \ b.fresh$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}, GNil, \Delta, \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, s1, \tau') \rangle$
 apply(*unfold fresh-prodN*)
using *check-whileI wb-x-fresh check-s-wf wfD-x-fresh fresh-empty-fset fresh-GNil fresh-Pair* $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ \tau' \rangle$ **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *check-whileI teq* **by** *metis*

let $?G = (x, b\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

have $cof:(c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x) = C\text{-}true$ **using** *c-of.simps check-whileI subst-cv.simps*
by *metis*
have $wfg:\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} ?G$ **proof**
 show $c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ **using** *subst-cv.simps cof* **by** *auto*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$ **using** *wfG-nilI check-whileI wfX-wfY check-s-wf* **by** *metis*
 show $atom \ x \ \# \ GNil$ **using** *fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** *wfB-boolI wfX-wfY check-s-wf b-of.simps*
by (*metis* $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$)
qed

obtain $zz::x$ **where** $zf:\langle atom \ zz \ \# \ ((\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv \ fset, ?G, \Delta, [x]^v,$
 $AS\text{-}seq \ s2 \ (AS\text{-}while \ s1 \ s2), AS\text{-}val \ [L\text{-}unit]^v, \tau'), x, ?G \rangle$
using *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*

```

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢
  AS-if [ x ]v (AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2)) (AS-val [ L-unit ]v) ⇐ τ'
proof
  show atom zz # (Θ, Φ, {||}::bv fset, ?G, Δ, [ x ]v, AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2), AS-val [ L-unit ]v,
τ') using zf by auto
  show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ [ x ]v ⇐ ⌊ zz : B-bool | TRUE ⌋ ⟩ proof
    have atom zz # x ∧ atom zz # ?G using zf fresh-prodN by metis
    thus ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ [ x ]v ⇒ ⌊ zz : B-bool | [[zz]v]ce == [[ x ]v]ce ⌋ ⟩
      using infer-v-varI lookup.simps wfg b-of.simps by metis
    thus ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ ⌊ zz : B-bool | [[ zz ]v]ce == [[ x ]v]ce ⌋ ⟩ ≲ ⌊ zz : B-bool | TRUE ⌋ ⟩
      using subtype-top infer-v-wf by metis
  qed
  show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2) ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [
L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
  proof
    have ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ using τ.eq-iff by auto
    thus ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ s2 ⇐ ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ ⟩ using check-s-g-weakening(1)
[OF check-whileI(3) - wfg] setG.simps
    by (simp add: ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋)

    show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v
]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
    proof(rule check-s-supertype(1))
      have ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ' ⟩ using check-whileI by auto
      thus *:⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ' ⟩ using check-s-g-weakening(1)[OF - -
wfg] setG.simps by auto

      show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ τ' ≲ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ'
zz ⌋ ⟩
      proof(rule subtype-eq-if-τ)
        show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf τ' ⟩ using * check-s-wf by auto
        thm wfT-wfT-if-rev
        show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz
⌋ ⟩
          apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
          using subtype-wf check-whileI wfg zf fresh-prodN by metis+
          show ⟨ atom zz # τ' ⟩ using zf fresh-prodN by metis
        qed
      qed

    qed
  show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-val [ L-unit ]v ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-false
]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
  proof(rule check-s-supertype(1))

    show *:⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-val [ L-unit ]v ⇐ τ' ⟩
      using check-unit[OF check-whileI(5) - - wfg] using check-whileI wfg wfX-wfY check-s-wf by
metis
    show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ τ' ≲ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-false ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz
⌋ ⟩
    proof(rule subtype-eq-if-τ)

```

```

    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$  using * check-s-wf by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \{\!| zz : b\text{-of } \tau' \mid [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-false} ]^v ]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau' zz \}$ 
 $\}\rangle$ 
    apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
    using subtype-wf check-whileI wfg zf fresh-prodN by metis+
    show  $\langle atom\ zz \# \tau' \rangle$  using zf fresh-prodN by metis
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed(auto+)

thm split.intros

```

lemma *check-s-narrow*:

```

  fixes  $s::s$  and  $x::x$ 
  assumes  $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, \tau, s)$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ 
proof –
  let  $?B = (\{\|\}::bv\ fset)$ 
  let  $?v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}$ 

```

obtain $z::x$ **where** $z: atom\ z \# (x, [L\text{-true}]^v, c)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

```

  have  $atom\ z \# c$  using z fresh-prodN by auto
  hence  $c: c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v[z::=[ x ]^v]_{cv} = c$  using subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=?v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=?v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=?v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=?v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule subst-infer-check-s(1))
  show  $vt: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash [ L\text{-true} ]^v \Rightarrow \{\!| z : B\text{-bool} \mid (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} )) \}$ 
 $\}\rangle$ 
    using infer-v-litI check-s-wf wfG-elim(2) infer-trueI assms by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{\!| z : B\text{-bool} \mid (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} )) \} \lesssim \{\!| z : B\text{-bool}$ 
 $\mid c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v \}\rangle$  proof
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce}, z, c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    prefer 5
    using c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh z fresh-prodN apply auto[1]
    prefer 6
    using fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c x] assms fresh-prodN apply simp
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
  using z fresh-prodN assms fresh-at-base by metis+
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{\!| z : B\text{-bool} \mid [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \}\rangle$  using vt infer-v-wf by
simp
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{\!| z : B\text{-bool} \mid c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v \}\rangle$  proof(rule wfG-wfT)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v[z::=[ x ]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using c check-s-wf assms by
metis

```

```

  have atom x # [ z ]v using v.fresh z fresh-at-base by auto
  thus ⟨atom x # c[x::=[ z ]v]v⟩ using fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c ] by auto
qed
have wfg: Θ ; B ⊢wf (x, B-bool, ([ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ) [z::=[ x ]v]v) #Γ Γ
  using wfgT-wfg vt infer-v-wf fresh-prodN assms by simp
show ⟨Θ ; B ; (x, B-bool, ([ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ) [z::=[ x ]v]v) #Γ Γ ⊢ c[x::=[ z ]v]v [z::=[ x ]v]v⟩
  using c.valid-weakening[OF assms(3) - wfg ] setG.simps
  using subst-v-c-def by auto
qed
show ⟨atom z # (x, [ L-true ]v)⟩ using z.fresh-prodN by metis
show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ⟩ using assms by auto

  thus ⟨(x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ = GNil @ (x, B-bool, c[x::=[ z ]v]v [z::=[ x ]v]cv) #Γ Γ⟩ using append-g.simps
c by auto
qed

moreover have ((x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ) [x::=?v]Γv = Γ using subst-gv.simps by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using assms forget-subst-dv forget-subst-sv forget-subst-tv fresh-prodN by
metis
qed

```

lemma check-assert-s:

```

  fixes s::s and x::x
  assumes Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-assert c s ⇐ τ
  shows Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ c
proof -
  let ?B = ({||}::bv fset)
  let ?v = V-lit L-true

  obtain x where x: Θ ; Φ ; ?B ; (x, B-bool, c) #Γ GNil ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ ∧ atom x # (Θ, Φ, ?B, GNil,
Δ, c, τ, s) ∧ Θ ; ?B ; GNil ⊢ c
  using check-s-elim(10)[OF ⟨Θ ; Φ ; ?B ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-assert c s ⇐ τ⟩] valid.simps by metis

  show ?thesis using assms check-s-narrow x by metis
qed

```

lemma preservation:

```

  fixes s::s and s'::s
  assumes Φ ⊢ ⟨ δ , s ⟩ ⟶ ⟨ δ' , s' ⟩ and Θ ; Φ ; Δ ⊢ ⟨ δ , s ⟩ ⇐ τ
  shows ∃ Δ'. Θ ; Φ ; Δ' ⊢ ⟨ δ' , s' ⟩ ⇐ τ ∧ setD Δ ⊆ setD Δ'
  using assms
proof(induct arbitrary: τ rule: reduce-stmt.induct)
  case (reduce-let-plusI δ x n1 n2 s')
  then show ?case using preservation-plus
    by (metis order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-leqI b n1 n2 δ x s)
  then show ?case using preservation-leq by (metis order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-appI f z b c τ' s' Φ δ x v s)

```

hence $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}app\ f\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** $config\text{-}type\text{-}elims[OF\ reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appI(2)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}app\ f\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ (s'[z::=v]_{sv})\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *preservation-app reduce-let-appI tt* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ s'[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *config-typeI tt* **by** *auto*
then show $?case$ **using** *tt order-refl reduce-let-appI* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appPI\ f\ bv\ z\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'\ \Phi\ \delta\ x\ b'\ v\ s)$

hence $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** $config\text{-}type\text{-}elims[OF\ reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appPI(2)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ (s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv})\ s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof(*rule preservation-poly-app*)

show $\langle Some\ (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ z\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f \rangle$ **using** *reduce-let-appPI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd \rangle$ **using** *tt lookup-fun-member* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf}\ b' \rangle$ **using** *check-s-elims infer-e-wf wfE-elims* $*$ **by** *metis*

qed(*auto+*)

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *config-typeI tt* **by** *auto*

then show $?case$ **using** *tt order-refl reduce-let-appI* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}if\text{-}trueI\ \delta\ s1\ s2)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-if* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}if\text{-}falseI\ uw\ \delta\ s1\ s2)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-if* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}valI\ \delta\ x\ v\ s)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-let-val* **by** *presburger*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}mvar\ u\ v\ \delta\ \Phi\ x\ s)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ u)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *config-type-elims* **by** *blast*

hence $**:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ u)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

obtain $xa::x$ **and** $za::x$ **and** $ca::c$ **and** $ba::b$ **and** $sa::s$ **where**

$sa1: atom\ xa \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-}mvar\ u, \tau) \wedge atom\ za \ \# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-}mvar\ u, \tau, sa) \wedge$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}mvar\ u \Rightarrow \{ \{ za : ba \mid ca \} \}$ \wedge

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$

$(\forall c. atom\ c \ \# (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom\ c \ \# (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)$

using *check-s-elim*(2)[*OF* **] *subst-defs* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$ **proof** –
have $(u, \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket) \in \text{set}D \Delta$ **using** *infer-e-elim*(11) *sa1* **by** *fast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *delta-sim-v* *reduce-let-mvar* *config-type-elim* *check-s-wf* **by** *metis*
qed

then obtain τ' **where** $\text{vst}: \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$ **using** *check-v-elim* **by** *blast*

obtain *za2* **and** *ba2* **and** *ca2* **where** $\text{zbc}: \tau' = (\llbracket za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } za2 \# (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv \text{ fset}, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-val } v, \tau, sa))$
using *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *blast*
have *beq*: *ba=ba2* **using** *subtype-eq-base* *vst* *zbc* **by** *blast*

moreover have *xaf*: *atom* *xa* $\# (za, za2)$
apply(*unfold fresh-prodN*, *intro conjI*)
using *sa1* *zbc* *fresh-prodN* *fresh-x-neq* **by** *metis*

have *sat2*: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil @ (xa, ba, ca2[za::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**(*rule ctx-subtype-s*)
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil @ (xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *sa1* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket za2 : ba \mid ca2 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$ **using** *beq* *zbc* *vst* **by** *fast*
show *atom* *xa* $\# (za, za2, ca, ca2)$ **proof** –
have $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \rrbracket)$ **using** *zbc* *vst* *subtype-wf* **by** *auto*
hence $\text{supp } ca2 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } za2 \}$ **using** *wfT-supp-c*[*OF* *] *supp-GNil* **by** *simp*
moreover have *atom* *za2* $\# xa$ **using** *zbc* *fresh-Pair* *fresh-x-neq* **by** *metis*
ultimately have *atom* *xa* $\# ca2$ **using** *zbc* *supp-at-base* *fresh-def*
by (*metis empty-iff singleton-iff subset-singletonD*)
moreover have *atom* *xa* $\# ca$ **proof** –
have $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket)$ **using** *zbc* *vst* *subtype-wf* **by** *auto*
hence $\text{supp } ca \subseteq \{ \text{atom } za \}$ **using** *wfT-supp* $\tau.\text{supp}$ **by** *force*
moreover have *xa* $\neq za$ **using** *fresh-def* *fresh-x-neq* *xaf* *fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *xaf* *sa1* *fresh-prod4* *fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
qed
qed
hence *dwf*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **using** *sa1* *infer-e-wf* **by** *meson*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } xa (AE\text{-val } v) sa \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**
have *atom* *xa* $\# (AE\text{-val } v)$ **using** *infer-v-wf*(1) *wfV-supp* *fresh-def* *e.fresh* *x-not-in-b-set* *vst* **by** *fastforce*
thus *atom* *xa* $\# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv \text{ fset}, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-val } v, \tau)$ **using** *sa1* *freshers* **by** *simp*
have *atom* *za2* $\# (AE\text{-val } v)$ **using** *infer-v-wf*(1) *wfV-supp* *fresh-def* *e.fresh* *x-not-in-b-set* *vst* **by** *fastforce*
thus *atom* *za2* $\# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv \text{ fset}, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-val } v, \tau, sa)$ **using** *zbc* *freshers* *fresh-prodN*
by *auto*
have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *sa1* *infer-e-wf* **by** *auto*
thus $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } v \Rightarrow \llbracket za2 : ba \mid ca2 \rrbracket$
using *zbc* *vst* *beq* *dwf* *infer-e-valI* **by** *blast*

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, ba, ca2[za2::=V-var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *sat2 append-g.simps*
subst-defs by metis
qed
moreover have $AS-let\ xa\ (AE-val\ v)\ sa = AS-let\ x\ (AE-val\ v)\ s$ **proof** –
have $[[atom\ x]]lst.\ s = [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ sa$
using *sa1 Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[where z = (s, sa)] by metis*
thus *?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(2) by metis*
qed
ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let\ x\ (AE-val\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

then show *?case using reduce-let-mvar * config-typeI*
by *(meson order-refl)*
next
case *(reduce-let2I $\Phi\ \delta\ s1\ \delta'\ s1'\ x\ t\ s2$)*
hence $** : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi.\ check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** *config-type-elim[OF reduce-let2I(3)] by blast*
hence $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

obtain $xa::x$ **and** $z::x$ **and** c **and** b **and** $s2a::s$ **where** $st: atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, t, s1, \tau) \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau \wedge ([[atom\ x]]lst.\ s2 = [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ s2a)$
using *check-s-elim(4)[OF *] Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow t$ **using** *config-typeI ** by auto*
then obtain Δ' **where** $s1r: \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow t \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$ **using** *reduce-let2I*
by *presburger*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2\ xa\ t\ s1'\ s2a \Leftarrow \tau$
proof(*rule check-let2I*)
show $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow t$ **using** *config-type-elim st s1r by metis*
show $atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta', t, s1', \tau)$ **proof** –
have $atom\ xa \# s1'$ **using** *check-s-x-fresh * by auto*
moreover have $atom\ xa \# \Delta'$ **using** *check-s-x-fresh * by auto*
ultimately show *?thesis using st fresh-prodN by metis*
qed

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** ** check-s-wf by auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} ((xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)$ **using** *st check-s-wf by auto*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; ((xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** *wf-weakening by auto*
thus *?thesis using check-s-d-weakening check-s-wf st s1r by metis*
qed
qed
moreover have $AS-let2\ xa\ t\ s1'\ s2a = AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1'\ s2$ **using** *st s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1'\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *st by argo*
moreover have $\Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$ **using** *config-type-elim s1r by fast*
ultimately show *?case using config-typeI ***
by *(meson s1r)*
next

case (reduce-let2-valI vb δ x t v s)
 then show ?case using preservation-let-val by meson
 next
 case (reduce-varI u δ Φ τ' v s)
 thm check-s-flip-u
 hence $** : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau'\ v\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by meson
 have uf: atom u $\#$ Δ using reduce-varI delta-sim-fresh by force
 hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau'\ v\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ and $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ using $**$ by auto

 thus ?case using preservation-var reduce-varI config-typeI $**$ set-subset-Cons
 setD-ConsD subsetI by (metis delta-sim-fresh)

next
 case (reduce-assignI Φ δ u v)
 hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}assign\ u\ v \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by meson
 then obtain z and τ' where $zt : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau \wedge (u, \tau') \in setD\ \Delta$
 $\wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 using check-s-elim(8) by metis
 hence $\Theta \vdash update\text{-}d\ \delta\ u\ v \sim \Delta$ using update-d-sim $*$ by metis
 moreover have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}unit) \Leftarrow \tau$ using $zt * check\text{-}s\text{-}v\text{-}unit$
 check-s-wf
 by auto
 ultimately show ?case using config-typeI $*$ by (meson order-refl)

next
 case (reduce-seq1I Φ δ s)
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using check-s-elim config-type-elim by force
 then show ?case using config-typeI by blast

next
 case (reduce-seq2I s1 v Φ δ δ' s1' s2)
 hence $tt : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}seq\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by blast
 then obtain z where $zz : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 using check-s-elim by blast
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$
 using tt config-typeI tt by simp
 then obtain Δ' where $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$
 using reduce-seq2I by meson
 moreover hence $s't : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$
 using config-type-elim by force
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ using check-s-wf by meson
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 using calculation(1) zz check-s-d-weakening $*$ by metis
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash (AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2) \Leftarrow \tau$
 using check-seqI zz s't by meson
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2 \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$

```

    using zz config-typeI tt by meson
  then show ?case by meson
next
case (reduce-whileI x s1 s2 z' Φ δ)

  hence *: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ⊢ δ ∼ Δ ∧ (∀ fd ∈ set Φ. check-fundef
  Θ Φ fd)
  using config-type-elim by meson

  hence **: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ by auto
  hence Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-let2 x (λ z' : B-bool | TRUE) s1 (AS-if (V-var x) (AS-seq s2
  (AS-while s1 s2)) (AS-val (V-lit L-unit))) ⇐ τ
  using check-while reduce-whileI by auto
  thus ?case using config-typeI * by (meson subset-refl)

next
case (reduce-caseI dc x' s' css Φ δ tyid v)

  hence **: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ⊢ δ ∼ Δ ∧ (∀ fd ∈ set
  Φ. check-fundef Θ Φ fd)
  using config-type-elim[OF reduce-caseI(2)] by metis
  hence ***: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css ⇐ τ by auto

  let ?vcons = V-cons tyid dc v

  obtain dclist tid and z::x where cv: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ (V-cons tyid dc v) ⇐ (λ z : B-id tid | TRUE
  λ) ∧
  Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ; (V-cons tyid dc v) ⊢ css ⇐ τ ∧ AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ
  ∧
  Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇐ λ z : B-id tid | TRUE λ
  using check-s-elim(9)[OF ***] by metis

  hence vi: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇐ λ z : B-id tid | TRUE λ by auto
  obtain tcons where vi2: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇒ tcons ∧ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ tcons ≲ λ
  z : B-id tid | TRUE λ
  using check-v-elim(1)[OF vi] by metis
  hence vi1: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇒ tcons by auto

  show ?case proof(rule infer-v-elim(4)[OF vi1],goal-cases)
  case (1 dclist2 x2 b2 c2 z2' c2' z2)
  have tyid = tid using τ.eq-iff using subtype-eq-base vi2 1 by fastforce
  hence deq:dclist = dclist2 using check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv 1 wfTh-dclist-unique by metis
  have Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s'[x'::=v]sv ⇐ τ proof(rule check-match(3))
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ; tyid ; dclist ; ?vcons ⊢ css ⇐ τ⟩ using ⟨tyid = tid⟩ cv by auto
  show distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv by metis
  show ⟨?vcons = V-cons tyid dc v⟩ by auto
  show ⟨{||} = {||}⟩ by auto
  show ⟨(dc, λ x2 : b2 | c2) ∈ set dclist⟩ using 1 deq by auto
  show ⟨GNil = GNil⟩ by auto
  show ⟨Some (AS-branch dc x' s') = lookup-branch dc css⟩ using reduce-caseI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ v ⇐ λ x2 : b2 | c2 λ⟩ using 1 check-v.intros by auto
qed

```

```

    thus ?case using config-typeI ** by blast
qed

next
  case (reduce-let-fstI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
next
  case (reduce-let-sndI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
next
  case (reduce-let-concatI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)))\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
     $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ 
    using config-type-elim by metis

  obtain  $z :: x$  where  $z$ :  $atom\ z \# (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))), GNil, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2)))$ 
    using obtain-fresh by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  using check-s-wf elim by auto

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))))\ s \Leftarrow \tau$  proof(rule
  subtype-let)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)))\ s$ 
 $\Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using elim by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == (CE\text{-}concat\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)]^{ce})) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
      (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e1 \Rightarrow ?t1$ )
    proof
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-s-wf elim by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-s-wf elim by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
        using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
        using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil by auto
      show  $\langle atom\ z \# AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)) \rangle$  using  $z$  fresh-Pair by metis
      show  $\langle atom\ z \# GNil \rangle$  using  $z$  fresh-Pair by auto
    qed
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
      (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2$ )
      using infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil check-s-wf elim by
      metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1 \rangle$  using subtype-concat check-s-wf elim by auto
  qed

  thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-lenI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v$   $s$ )

```

hence $\text{elim} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x (AE\text{-len } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v))) s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge$
 $(\forall fd \in \text{set } \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$

using $\text{check-s-elim config-type-elim by metis}$

then obtain t **where** $t : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-len } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) \Rightarrow t$ **using** $\text{check-s-elim by meson}$

moreover then obtain $z :: x$ **where** $t = \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-len } ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)]^{ce}) \rrbracket$ **using** $\text{infer-e-elim by meson}$

moreover obtain $z' :: x$ **where** $\text{atom } z' \# v$ **using** $\text{obtain-fresh by metis}$

moreover have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (\text{length } v)))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (\text{length } v)))) \rrbracket$

using $\text{infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros(3) t check-s-wf elim}$

by $(\text{metis infer-l-form2 type-for-lit.simps(3)})$

moreover have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (\text{length } v)))) \rrbracket \lesssim$

$\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-len } ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)]^{ce}) \rrbracket$ **using** $\text{subtype-len check-s-wf elim by auto}$

ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (\text{length } v)))) s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** $\text{subtype-let by (meson elim)}$

thus $?case$ **using** $\text{config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)}$

next

case $(\text{reduce-let-splitI } n v v1 v2 \Phi \delta x s)$

hence $\text{elim} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n))) s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$

$\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in \text{set } \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$

using $\text{config-type-elim by metis}$

obtain $z :: x$ **where** $z : \text{atom } z \# (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)), GNil, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2))))$,
 $([L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v, [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v)$

using $\text{obtain-fresh by metis}$

have $* : \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf elim by auto}$

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)))) s \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** $(\text{rule subtype-let})$

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n))) s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** elim by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec}$

$\mid ((CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v))) == (CE\text{-concat } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z))) (CE\text{-snd } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)))))$

$AND (((CE\text{-len } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)))) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))) \rrbracket \rangle$

$(\text{is } \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e1 \Rightarrow ?t1)$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf elim by auto}$

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf elim by auto}$

```

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
  using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  ⟩ fresh-GNil by auto
show  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash [L\text{-num}$ 
   $n]^v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [leq [ [L\text{-num}$ 
   $0]^v ]^{ce} [ [z]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-true}]^v ]^{ce} \text{ AND}$ 
   $[leq [ [z]^v ]^{ce} [ [ [L\text{-bitvec}$ 
   $v]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-true}]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket$  using split-n reduce-let-splitI check-v-num-leq
  * wfX-wfY by metis
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [L\text{-bitvec } v]^v [L\text{-num } n]^v \rangle$  using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [L\text{-bitvec } v]^v [L\text{-num } n]^v \rangle$  using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [L\text{-bitvec } v]^v [L\text{-num } n]^v \rangle$  using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
qed

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } ((V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)))) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
  (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2$ )
  apply(rule infer-e-valI)
  using check-s-wf elim apply metis
  using check-s-wf elim apply metis
  apply(rule infer-v-pairI)
  using z fresh-prodN apply metis
  using fresh-GNil apply metis
  using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  ⟩ apply blast+
  done
show ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1$  ⟩ using subtype-split check-s-wf elim reduce-let-splitI by auto
qed

thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)
next
case (reduce-assert1I  $\Phi \delta c v$ )

hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
   $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check\text{-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$ 
  using config-type-elims reduce-assert1I by metis
hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau$  by auto

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-assert-s * by metis
thus ?case using elim config-typeI by blast
next
case (reduce-assert2I  $\Phi \delta s \delta' s' c$ )

hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
   $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check\text{-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$ 
  using config-type-elims by metis
hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c s \Leftarrow \tau$  by auto

have cv:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \models c$  using check-assert-s * by metis

```

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *elim config-typeI* **by** *simp*
 then obtain Δ' where $D: \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \text{set} D \Delta \subseteq \text{set} D \Delta'$ **using** *reduce-assert2I*
by *metis*
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$ **using** *config-type-elim* **by** *metis*

 obtain $x::x$ where $x:\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, (\{\|\}::\text{bv fset}), \text{GNil}, \Delta', c, \tau, s')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

 have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash \text{AS-assert } c \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**
 show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}, \text{GNil}, \Delta', c, \tau, s')$ **using** x **by** *auto*
 have $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $*$ *check-s-wf* **by** *auto*
 hence $wf:\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}$ **using** *wfC-wfG wfB-boolI check-s-wf ** *fresh-GNil*
by *auto*
 moreover have $cs: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-g-weakening(1)[OF*
cs - wf] *setG.simps* **by** *simp*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \models c$ **using** cv **by** *auto*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** *check-s-wf *** **by** *auto*
qed

 thus $?case$ **using** *elim config-typeI D *** **by** *metis*
qed

thm *valid-wfC*

lemma *preservation-many*:

fixes $s::s$ and $s'::s$
 assumes $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \implies \exists \Delta'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \text{set} D \Delta \subseteq \text{set} D \Delta'$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct arbitrary: Δ rule: reduce-stmt-many.induct*)
 case (*reduce-stmt-many-oneI* $\Phi \delta s \delta' s'$)
 then show $?case$ **using** *preservation* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*reduce-stmt-many-manyI* $\Phi \delta s \delta' s' \delta'' s''$)
 then show $?case$ **using** *preservation subset-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

16.3 Progress

Well typed program is either a value or we can make a step

lemma *check-let-op-infer*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{supp } (\text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s) \subseteq \text{atom}' \text{fst}' \text{set} D \Delta$
 shows $\exists z \ b \ c. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket$
proof –
 have $xx: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
 then show $?thesis$ **using** *check-s-elim(2)[OF xx]* **by** *meson*
qed

lemma *infer-pair*:

assumes $\Theta ; B; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{supp } v = \{\}$

obtains $v1$ **and** $v2$ **where** $v = V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$
using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct*)
 case ($V\text{-lit } x$)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case ($V\text{-var } x$)
 then show *?case* **using** $v.\text{supp}$ *supp-at-base* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-pair } x1a \ x2a$)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-cons } x1a \ x2a \ x3$)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-consp } x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4$)
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *progress-fst*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $\text{supp } (LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s) \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'setD \ \Delta$
 shows $\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$

proof –

have $*:\text{supp } v = \{\}$ **using** *assms s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* **by** *auto*
 obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v) \Rightarrow \{\!| \ z : b \mid c \ |\!\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) **using** *assms* **by** *meson*
 moreover obtain z' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\!| \ z' : B\text{-pair } b \ b' \mid c' \ |\!\}$
 using *infer-e-elim*(8) **using** *calculation* **by** *auto*
 moreover **then obtain** $v1$ **and** $v2$ **where** $V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 = v$
 using $* \text{infer-pair}$ **by** *metis*
 ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *reduce-let-fstI* *assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *progress-let*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = e \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $\text{supp } (LET \ x = e \ IN \ s) \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'setD \ \Delta$ **and** *sble* $\Theta \ \Gamma$
 shows $\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, LET \ x = e \ IN \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$

using *assms*

proof(*nominal-induct e rule: e.strong-induct*)

case ($AE\text{-val } v$)
then show *?case* **using** *reduce-stmt-elim* *reduce-let-valI*
proof –
 show *?thesis*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*) *reduce-let-valI*)
qed

next

case ($AE\text{-app } f \ v$)
 obtain τ'' **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau''$
 using *check-s-elim*(2)[*OF AE-app*(1)] **by** *metis*

hence $\exists y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'.$ *Some* $(AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \text{ using infer-e-app2E by metis}$
then obtain $y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'$ **where** $*:Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \text{ by auto}$
hence $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau'[y::v]_{\tau v} \ s'[y::v]_{sv} \ s \rangle$ **using** *reduce-let-appI* **by auto**
thus *?case* **by meson**
next
case $(AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v)$
obtain τ'' **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v) \Rightarrow \tau''$
using *check-s-elim*s *AE-appP* **by metis**

hence $\exists bv \ y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'.$ *Some* $(AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \text{ using infer-e-app2E by blast}$
then obtain $bv \ y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'$ **where** $*:Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } y \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \text{ by auto}$
hence $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau'[bv::b]_{\tau b} [y::v]_{\tau v} \ s'[bv::b]_{sb} [y::v]_{sv} \ s \rangle$ **using** *reduce-let-appPI* **by simp**
thus *?case* **by metis**
next
case $(AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2)$
then obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{\!|z:b|c|\!\}$ **using** *check-let-op-infer* **by meson**
have $vf: \text{supp } v1 = \{\} \wedge \text{supp } v2 = \{\}$ **using** *AE-op s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* **by auto**
consider $opp = Plus \mid opp = LEq$ **using** *opp.exhaust* **by meson**
thus *?case* **proof**(*cases*)
case 1
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *AE-op.prem*s **by blast**
then obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** *infer-e* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \ (\{\!|z:b|c|\!\})$ **using** *check-s-elim*s(2)
using 1 *<infer-e* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2) \ (\{\!|z : b \mid c \ |\!\})$ **by auto**
hence $\exists z1 \ c1 \ z2 \ c2.$ *infer-v* $\Theta \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ (\{\!|z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \ |\!\}) \wedge$ *infer-v* $\Theta \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ v2 \ (\{\!|z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \ |\!\})$ **using** *infer-e-elim*s **by blast**
then obtain $n1$ **and** $n2$ **where** $v1 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)$ **using** *infer-int vf* **by metis**
have $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))) \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } ((n1)+(n2))))) \ s \rangle$
by (*simp add: reduce-let-plusI*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis 1 <thesis. (* $\bigwedge n1 \ n2. v1 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2) \implies thesis$ *)* *reduce-let-plusI*)
next
case 2
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *AE-op.prem*s **by blast**
then obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** *infer-e* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \ (\{\!|z:b|c|\!\})$ **using** *check-s-elim*s(2)
using 2 *<infer-e* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2) \ (\{\!|z : b \mid c \ |\!\})$ *vf* **by metis**
hence $\exists z1 \ c1 \ z2 \ c2.$ *infer-v* $\Theta \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ (\{\!|z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \ |\!\}) \wedge$ *infer-v* $\Theta \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ v2 \ (\{\!|z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \ |\!\})$ **using** *infer-e-elim*s *vf* **by blast**
then obtain $n1$ **and** $n2$ **where** $v1 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)$ **using** *infer-int vf* **by metis**
obtain b **where** $b = (\text{if } n1 \leq n2 \text{ then } L\text{-true} \text{ else } L\text{-false})$ **by simp**

hence $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \text{ (AE-op LEq ((V-lit (L-num n1))) ((V-lit (L-num n2)))) s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \text{ (AE-val (V-lit (b))) } s \rangle$
 using *reduce-let-leqI* by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*metis* 2 $\langle \wedge thesis. (\wedge n1\ n2. v1 = V\text{-lit (L-num n1)} \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit (L-num n2)} \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$ *reduce-let-leqI*)
 qed
 next
 case (*AE-fst* *v*)
 thus *?case* using *progress-fst* by *auto*
 next
 case (*AE-snd* *v*)
 have $*:supp\ v = \{\}$ using *AE-snd s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-snd } v) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) using *AE-snd.prem*s by *meson*
 moreover obtain *z'* and *b'* and *c'* where $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{ z' : B\text{-pair } b' b \mid c' \}\}$
 using *infer-e-elim*(8) using *calculation* by *auto*
 moreover then obtain *v1* and *v2* where $V\text{-pair } v1\ v2 = v$
 using $*$ *infer-pair* by *metis*

 ultimately show *?case* using *reduce-let-sndI AE-snd* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-mvar* *u*)
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) by *meson*
 hence $(u, \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}) \in setD\ \Delta$ using *infer-e-elim*(10) by *meson*
 then obtain *v* where $(u, v) \in set\ \delta$ using *assms delta-sim-delta-lookup* by *meson*
 then show *?case* using *reduce-let-mvar* by *blast*
 next
 case (*AE-len* *v*)
 have $*:supp\ v = \{\}$ using *AE-len s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-len* by *meson*
 then obtain *z'* and *c'* where $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{ z' : B\text{-bitvec } \mid c' \}\}$ using *infer-e-elim*s by *auto*
 then obtain *bv* where $v = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec } bv)$ using *infer-bitvec ** by *metis*
 thus *?case* using *reduce-let-lenI AE-len* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-concat* *v1* *v2*)
 have $*:supp\ v1 = \{\} \wedge supp\ v2 = \{\}$ using *AE-concat s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-concat } v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-concat* by *meson*
 then obtain *z1* and *c1* and *z2* and *c2* where $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{\{ z1 : B\text{-bitvec } \mid c1 \}\} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{\{ z2 : B\text{-bitvec } \mid c2 \}\}$ using *infer-e-elim*s by *auto*
 then obtain *bv1* and *bv2* where $v1 = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec } bv1) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec } bv2)$ using *infer-bitvec ** by *metis*
 thus *?case* using *reduce-let-concatI AE-concat* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-split* *v1* *v2*)
 have $vs:supp\ v1 = \{\} \wedge supp\ v2 = \{\}$ using *AE-split s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-split } v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-split* by *meson*

then obtain $z1$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $z3$ **where** $**:\Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{\| z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{\| z2 : B\text{-int} \mid \text{leq} [[L\text{-num} \quad 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } [\text{leq} [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma$
using $\text{infer-e-elim}(22)[OF *]$ **by** metis
then obtain bv **and** n **where** $*: v1 = V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec } bv) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n)$ **using** $\text{infer-bitvec check-int vs}$ **by** metis
moreover have $\text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma$ **using** $**$ **by** auto
ultimately have $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int} (\text{length } bv)$ **using** $\text{check-v-range}[OF - *]$ $**$ $AE\text{-split}$ **by** metis
then obtain $bv1$ **and** $bv2$ **where** $\text{split } n \text{ } bv (bv1, bv2)$ **using** obtain-split **by** metis

thus $?case$ **using** $\text{reduce-let-splitI}[of \ n \ bv \ bv1 \ bv2 \ \Phi \ \delta \ x \ s]$ $AE\text{-split} *$ **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{check-css-lookup-branch-exist}$:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $v::v$
shows
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{True}$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ dc \ \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau \Longrightarrow \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dclist ; v \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow (dc, t) \in \text{set } dclist \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists x' s'. \text{Some } (AS\text{-branch } dc \ x' \ s') = \text{lookup-branch } dc \ css$
proof($\text{nominal-induct } \tau$ **and** τ **and** τ **rule:** $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct}$)
case ($\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons} \ \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau \ dclist \ css$)
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-finalI}$ **by** force
next
case ($\text{check-branch-list-finalI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons} \ \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau$)
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-finalI}$ **by** force
qed(auto+)

lemma progress-aux :

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} = \{\|\} \Longrightarrow \text{sble } \Theta \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom } 'fst' \text{ setD } \Delta$
 $\Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow$
 $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta' s'. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle)$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dc ; \text{const} ; v2 \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{supp } cs = \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dclist ; v2 \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{supp } css = \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
proof($\text{induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts}$)
case ($\text{check-valI } \Delta \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto
next
case ($\text{check-letI } x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$)
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** Typing.check-letI **by** meson
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{progress-let check-letI}$ **by** metis
next
case ($\text{check-branch-s-branchI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ \text{const } x \ \Phi \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons } v \ s$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto
next
case ($\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ dclist \ v \ cs \ \tau \ css$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto

```

next
  case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-ifI z  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  v s1 s2  $\tau$ )
  have supp v = {} using check-ifI s-branch-s-branch-list.supp by auto
  hence v = V-lit L-true  $\vee$  v = V-lit L-false using check-bool-options check-ifI by auto
  then show ?case using reduce-if-falseI reduce-if-trueI check-ifI by meson
next
  case (check-let2I x  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta$  t s1  $\tau$  s2 )
  then consider ( $\exists v. s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ ) | ( $\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle$ ) by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using reduce-let2-valI by fast
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using reduce-let2I check-let2I by meson
  qed
next
  case (check-varI u  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )

  obtain uu::u where uf: atom uu  $\sharp$  (u, $\delta$ ,s) using obtain-fresh by blast
  obtain sa where (uu  $\leftrightarrow$  u)  $\cdot$  s = sa by presburger
  moreover have atom uu  $\sharp$  s using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
  ultimately have AS-var uu  $\tau' v$  sa = AS-var u  $\tau' v$  s using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(7) Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of
uu sa u s] by auto

  moreover have atom uu  $\sharp$   $\delta$  using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
  ultimately have  $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau' v\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (uu, v) \# \delta, sa \rangle$ 
    using reduce-varI uf by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Delta u \tau P G v z \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using reduce-assignI by blast
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
  obtain x::x where atom x  $\sharp$  (s1,s2) using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover obtain z::x where atom z  $\sharp$  x using obtain-fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?case using reduce-whileI by fast
next
  case (check-seqI P  $\Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s1 z s2 \tau$ )
  thus ?case proof(cases  $\exists v. s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ )
    case True
    then obtain v where v: s1 = AS-val v by blast
    hence supp v = {} using check-seqI by auto
    have  $\exists z1\ c1. P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 \rrbracket)$  proof -
      obtain t where t:P ;  $\mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow t \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t \lesssim (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$ 
      using v check-seqI(1) check-s-elim(1) by blast
      obtain z1 and b1 and c1 where teq: t = ( $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket$ ) using obtain-fresh-z by meson
      hence b1 = B-unit using subtype-eq-base t by meson
      thus ?thesis using t teq by fast
    qed
  qed

```

then obtain $z1$ and $c1$ where $P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket)$ by *auto*
 hence $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}$ using *infer-v-unit-form* $\langle \text{supp } v = \{\} \rangle$ by *simp*
 hence $s1 = AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit})$ using v by *auto*
 then show *?thesis* using *check-seqI reduce-seq1I* by *meson*
 next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *check-seqI reduce-seq2I*
 by (*metis* *Un-subset-iff s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(9)*)
 qed
 next
 case (*check-caseI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid dclist } v \text{ cs } \tau \text{ z}$)
 hence $\text{supp } v = \{\}$ by *auto*

 then obtain v' and dc and $t::\tau$ where $v: v = V\text{-cons tid dc } v' \wedge (dc, t) \in \text{set dclist}$
 using *check-v-tid-form check-caseI* by *metis*
 obtain z and b and c where $\text{teq}: t = (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$ using *obtain-fresh-z* by *meson*

 moreover then obtain $x' s'$ where $\text{Some } (AS\text{-branch dc } x' s') = \text{lookup-branch dc cs}$ using $v \text{ teq}$
check-caseI check-css-lookup-branch-exist by *metis*
 ultimately show *?case* using *reduce-caseI v check-caseI dc-of.cases* by *metis*
 next
 case (*check-assertI* $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)
 hence $\text{sps}: \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom 'fst' setD } \Delta$ by *auto*
 have $\text{atom } x \# c$ using *check-assertI* by *auto*
 have $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ using *check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elim* by *metis*
 have $\text{sble } \Theta ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof** –
 obtain i' where $i': i' \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$ using *check-assertI sble-def* by *metis*
 obtain $i::\text{valuation}$ where $i:i = i' (x \mapsto S\text{Bool True})$ by *auto*

 have $i \models (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **proof** –
 have $i' \models c$ using *valid.simps i' check-assertI* by *metis*
 hence $i \models c$ using *is-satis-weakening-x i (atom x # c)* by *auto*
 moreover have $i \models \Gamma$ using *is-satis-g-weakening-x i' i check-assertI (atom x # \Gamma)* by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *is-satis-g.simps i* by *auto*
 qed
 moreover have $\Theta ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash i$ **proof**(*rule wfI-cons*)
 show $\langle i' \models \Gamma \rangle$ using i' by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' \rangle$ using i' by *auto*
 show $\langle i = i'(x \mapsto S\text{Bool True}) \rangle$ using i by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash S\text{Bool True}: B\text{-bool} \rangle$ using *wfRCV-BBoolI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \text{atom } x \# \Gamma \rangle$ using *check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elim* by *auto*
 qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *sble-def* by *auto*
 qed
 then consider $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \mid (\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle)$ using *check-assertI sps* by *metis*
 hence $(\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \text{ASSERT } c \text{ IN } s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle)$ **proof**(*cases*)
 case 1
 then show *?thesis* using *reduce-assert1I* by *metis*
 next
 case 2

then show *?thesis* using *reduce-assert2I* by *metis*
 qed
 thus *?case* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *progress*:

fixes $s::s$
 assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$
 shows $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta' s'. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta' , s' \rangle)$

proof –

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ and $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$
 using *config-type-elim*[*OF* *assms*(1)] by *auto*+
 moreover hence *supp* $s \subseteq \text{atom} \text{ `fst ` setD } \Delta$ using *check-s-wf wfS-sup* by *fastforce*
 moreover have *sble* $\Theta \ GNil$ using *sble-def wfI-def is-satis-g.simps* by *simp*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *progress-aux* by *blast*

qed

16.4 Safety

lemma *safety*:

assumes $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta' , s' \rangle$ and $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$
 shows $(\exists v. s' = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta'' s''. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta' , s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta'' , s'' \rangle)$
 using *preservation-many progress assms* by *meson*

unused-thms *Eisbach-Tools Nominal2 AList Nominal-Ut*ls *RCLogic*–

end

