

MiniSail

Mark P. Wassell, University of Cambridge

September 29, 2020

Contents

1	Introduction	6
2	Prelude	7
2.1	Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs	7
2.2	Freshness via equivariance	8
2.3	Additional simplification rules	9
2.4	Additional equivariance lemmas	9
2.5	Freshness lemmas	11
2.6	Freshness and support for subsets of variables	12
2.7	The set of free variables of an expression	12
2.8	Other useful lemmas	14
3	Syntax	19
3.1	Program Syntax	19
3.1.1	Datatypes	19
3.1.2	Lemmas	22
3.2	Context Syntax	32
3.2.1	Datatypes	32
3.2.2	Functions and Lemmas	32
3.2.3	Immutable Variable Context Lemmas	35
3.2.4	Mutable Variable Context Lemmas	39
3.2.5	Lookup Functions	40
4	Immutable Variable Substitution	43
4.1	Class	43
4.2	Values	44
4.3	Expressions	46
4.4	Expressions in Constraints	48
4.5	Constraints	51
4.6	Variable Context	54
4.7	Types	56
4.8	Mutable Variable Context	63
4.9	Statements	64
4.10	Type Definition	70
4.11	Variable Context	73
4.12	Lookup	74

5	Base Type Variable Substitution	75
5.1	Class	75
5.2	Base Type	76
5.3	Value	79
5.4	Constraints Expressions	81
5.5	Constraints	83
5.6	Types	84
5.7	Expressions	87
5.8	Statements	89
5.9	Function Type	99
5.10	Contexts	101
	5.10.1 Immutable Variables	101
	5.10.2 Mutable Variables	104
6	Wellformed Terms	109
6.1	Definitions	109
7	Refinement Constraint Logic	120
7.1	Evaluation and Satisfiability	120
	7.1.1 Valuation	120
	7.1.2 Evaluation base-types	122
	7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation	122
	7.1.4 Evaluating Terms	122
	7.1.5 Satisfiability	123
7.2	Validity	124
7.3	Lemmas	124
7.4	Syntax Lemmas	125
7.5	Type Definitions	129
7.6	Function Definitions	130
8	Wellformedness Lemmas	133
8.1	Prelude	133
8.2	Strong Elimination	133
8.3	Context Extension	134
8.4	Context	134
8.5	Converting between wb forms	137
8.6	Support	140
8.7	Freshness	151
8.8	Misc	155
8.9	Context Strengthening	156
8.10	Type Definitions	162
	8.10.1 Simple	165
	8.10.2 Polymorphic	167
8.11	Equivariance Lemmas	172
8.12	Lookup	173
8.13	Function Definitions	180
8.14	Weakening	191

8.15	Forms	202
8.16	Replacing	204
8.17	Substitution	212
9	Type System	231
9.1	Subtyping	231
9.2	Literals	231
9.3	Values	232
9.4	Introductions	234
9.5	Expressions	234
9.6	Statements	237
9.7	Programs	240
10	Operational Semantics	242
10.1	Reduction Rules	242
10.2	Reduction Typing	245
11	Refinement Constraint Logic Lemmas	246
11.1	Lemmas	246
11.2	Existence of evaluation	247
11.3	Satisfiability	255
11.4	Substitution for Evaluation	256
11.5	Validity	262
11.5.1	Weakening and Strengthening	263
11.5.2	Updating valuation	267
11.6	Base Type Substitution	271
11.7	Expression Operator Lemmas	287
12	Typing Lemmas	300
12.1	Subtyping	300
12.2	Literals	315
12.3	Values	317
12.4	Expressions	327
12.5	Statements	333
12.6	Replacing Variables	333
12.7	Additional Elimination and Intros	345
12.7.1	Values	345
12.7.2	Expressions	346
12.8	Weakening	346
12.8.1	Weakening Immutable Variable Context	356
13	Context Subtyping Lemmas	362
13.1	Replace Type of Variable in Context	362
13.2	Validity and Subtyping	368
13.3	Literals	371
13.4	Values	371
13.5	Expressions	377

13.6 Statements	381
14 Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas	388
14.1 Misc	388
14.2 Context	389
14.3 Satisfiability	389
14.4 Validity	390
14.5 Subtyping	393
14.6 Values	396
14.7 Expressions	404
14.8 Statements	413
15 Base Type Variable Substitution Lemmas	425
16 Safety	439
16.1 Operational Semantics	439
16.2 Preservation	441
16.3 Progress	471
16.4 Safety	478
16.5 Conversion Utilities	478
16.6 Sail AST	480
16.7 AST Utils	486
16.8 Sail Environment	488
16.9 Unpacking tannot	489
16.10Generic Lookup	490
16.11Enumerations	490
16.12Constraints	490
16.13Records	490
16.14Variants	491
16.15Val Specs	491
16.16Registers	491
16.17Local Identifiers	492
16.18Vectors	492
16.19Substitution in Types	494
16.20Constructors	495
16.21Show AST Setup	496
16.21.1 Integer	496
16.21.2 Sting Literal	497
16.22MiniSail AST	498
16.23Contexts	501
17 Convert from Sail to MiniSail	502
17.1 Variables	502
17.2 Literals and Values	503
17.3 Constraints	504
17.4 Types	508
17.5 Environment to Context	508

17.6	Let context	509
17.7	Patterns	510
17.7.1	Expand Literals	510
17.7.2	Expand Constructor	513
17.7.3	Expand Tuple	514
17.7.4	Convert Pattern Matrix	515
17.8	Statements	518
17.9	Definitions	523
17.10	Programs	528
17.11	Examples	528
18	Sail Validator	530
18.1	Wellformedness	530
18.2	Subtyping	531
18.3	Printing	534
18.4	Checking	535
18.4.1	Literals	535
18.4.2	Patterns	536
18.4.3	L-values	538
18.4.4	Expressions	538
18.4.5	Definitions	546
18.5	Examples	548

Chapter 1

Introduction

Syntax and Semantics of MiniSail. This is a kernel language for Sail, an instruction set architecture specification language. The idea behind this language is to capture the key and novel features of Sail in terms of their syntax, typing rules and operational semantics and to confirm that they work together by proving progress and preservation lemmas. We use the Nominal2 library to handle binding.

Chapter 2

Prelude

Some useful generic lemmas. Many of these are from Launchbury.Nominal-Utills.

2.1 Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs

lemma *perm-rel-lemma*:

assumes $\bigwedge \pi \ x \ y. \ r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y) \implies r \ x \ y$
shows $r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y) \iff r \ x \ y$ (**is** ?l \iff ?r)

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *assms* *permute-minus-cancel*(2))

lemma *perm-rel-lemma2*:

assumes $\bigwedge \pi \ x \ y. \ r \ x \ y \implies r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y)$
shows $r \ x \ y \iff r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y)$ (**is** ?l \iff ?r)

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *assms* *permute-minus-cancel*(2))

lemma *fun-equivI*:

assumes *f-equiv*[*eqvt*]: $(\bigwedge p \ x. \ p \cdot (f \ x) = f \ (p \cdot x))$
shows $p \cdot f = f$ **by** *perm-simp* *rule*

lemma *eqvt-at-apply*:

assumes *eqvt-at* *f* *x*
shows $(p \cdot f) \ x = f \ x$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def* *permute-fun-def* *permute-minus-cancel*(1))

lemma *eqvt-at-apply'*:

assumes *eqvt-at* *f* *x*
shows $p \cdot f \ x = f \ (p \cdot x)$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def*)

lemma *eqvt-at-apply''*:

assumes *eqvt-at* *f* *x*
shows $(p \cdot f) \ (p \cdot x) = f \ (p \cdot x)$

by (*metis* (*hide-lams*, *no-types*) *assms* *eqvt-at-def* *permute-fun-def* *permute-minus-cancel*(1))

lemma *size-list-equiv*[*eqvt*]: $p \cdot \text{size-list } f \ x = \text{size-list } (p \cdot f) \ (p \cdot x)$

proof (*induction* *x*)


```

case (Cons x xs)
have f x = p · (f x) by (simp add: permute-pure)
also have ... = (p · f) (p · x) by simp
with Cons
show ?case by (auto simp add: permute-pure)
qed simp

```

2.2 Freshness via equivariance

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong1: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )  $\implies a \# x \implies a \# f x$ 
  apply (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app[of f])
  apply (rule eqvtI)
  apply (rule eq-reflection)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (metis permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
  apply assumption
  done

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$ 
  shows  $a \# f x y$ 
proof-
  have eqvt ( $\lambda (x,y). f x y$ )
  using eqvt
  apply -
  apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply auto
  by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
moreover
  have  $a \# (x, y)$  using fresh1 fresh2 by auto
ultimately
  have  $a \# (\lambda (x,y). f x y) (x, y)$  by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong1:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong1 assms)

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$  and fresh2:  $a \#* y$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x y$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong2 assms)

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y z. p \cdot (f x y z) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y) (p \cdot z)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$  and fresh3:  $a \# z$ 

```

```

shows a # f x y z
proof-
have eqvt (λ (x,y,z). f x y z)
  using eqvt
  apply -
  apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply auto
  by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
moreover
have a # (x, y, z) using fresh1 fresh2 fresh3 by auto
ultimately
have a # (λ (x,y,z). f x y z) (x, y, z) by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: (λ p x y z. p · (f x y z) = f (p · x) (p · y) (p · z))
  and fresh1: a #* x and fresh2: a #* y and fresh3: a #* z
  shows a #* f x y z
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong3 assms)

```

2.3 Additional simplification rules

```

lemma not-self-fresh[simp]: atom x # x ⟷ False
  by (metis fresh-at-base(2))

lemma fresh-star-singleton: { x } #* e ⟷ x # e
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def)

```

2.4 Additional equivariance lemmas

```

lemma eqvt-cases:
  fixes f x π
  assumes eqvt: λ x. π · f x = f (π · x)
  obtains f x f (π · x) | ¬ f x ¬ f (π · x)
  using assms[symmetric]
  by (cases f x) auto

lemma range-eqvt: π · range Y = range (π · Y)
  unfolding image-eqvt UNIV-eqvt ..

lemma case-option-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π · case-option d f x = case-option (π · d) (π · f) (π · x)
  by (cases x)(simp-all)

lemma supp-option-eqvt:
  supp (case-option d f x) ⊆ supp d ∪ supp f ∪ supp x
  apply (cases x)
  apply (auto simp add: supp-Some)
  apply (metis (mono-tags) Un-iff subsetCE supp-fun-app)

```

done

lemma *funpow-eqv*[*simp*,*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot ((f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a::pt) \wedge^n n) = (\pi \cdot f) \wedge^n (\pi \cdot n)$
apply (*induct* *n*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule* *ext*)
apply *simp*
apply *perm-simp*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *delete-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.delete\ x\ \Gamma = AList.delete\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induct* Γ , *auto*)

lemma *restrict-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.restrict\ S\ \Gamma = AList.restrict\ (\pi \cdot S)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
unfolding *AList.restrict-eq* **by** *perm-simp* *rule*

lemma *supp-restrict*:
 $supp\ (AList.restrict\ S\ \Gamma) \subseteq supp\ \Gamma$
by (*induction* Γ) (*auto* *simp* *add: supp-Pair supp-Cons*)

lemma *clearjunk-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot AList.clearjunk\ \Gamma = AList.clearjunk\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induction* Γ *rule: clearjunk.induct*) *auto*

lemma *map-ran-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot map-ran\ f\ \Gamma = map-ran\ (\pi \cdot f)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
by (*induct* Γ , *auto*)

lemma *dom-perm*:
 $dom\ (\pi \cdot f) = \pi \cdot (dom\ f)$
unfolding *dom-def* **by** (*perm-simp*) (*simp*)

lemmas *dom-perm-rev*[*simp*,*eqv*] = *dom-perm*[*symmetric*]

lemma *ran-perm*[*simp*]:
 $\pi \cdot (ran\ f) = ran\ (\pi \cdot f)$
unfolding *ran-def* **by** (*perm-simp*) (*simp*)

lemma *map-add-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot (m1 ++ m2) = (\pi \cdot m1) ++ (\pi \cdot m2)$
unfolding *map-add-def*
by (*perm-simp*, *rule*)

lemma *map-of-eqv*[*eqv*]:
 $\pi \cdot map-of\ l = map-of\ (\pi \cdot l)$
apply (*induct* *l*)
apply (*simp* *add: permute-fun-def*)
apply *simp*

```

apply perm-simp
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma concat-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{concat } l = \text{concat } (\pi \cdot l)$ 
by (induction l)(auto simp add: append-eqvt)

```

```

lemma tranclp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{tranclp } P \ v_1 \ v_2 = \text{tranclp } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
unfolding tranclp-def by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma rtranclp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{rtranclp } P \ v_1 \ v_2 = \text{rtranclp } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
unfolding rtranclp-def by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma Set-filter-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{Set.filter } P \ S = \text{Set.filter } (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot S)$ 
unfolding Set.filter-def
by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma Sigma-eqvt'[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{Sigma} = \text{Sigma}$ 
apply (rule ext)
apply (rule ext)
apply (subst permute-fun-def)
apply (subst permute-fun-def)
unfolding Sigma-def
apply perm-simp
apply (simp add: permute-self)
done

```

```

lemma override-on-eqvt[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (\text{override-on } m1 \ m2 \ S) = \text{override-on } (\pi \cdot m1) \ (\pi \cdot m2) \ (\pi \cdot S)$ 
by (auto simp add: override-on-def )

```

```

lemma card-eqvt[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (\text{card } S) = \text{card } (\pi \cdot S)$ 
by (cases finite S, induct rule: finite-induct) (auto simp add: card-insert-if mem-permute-iff permute-pure)

```

```

lemma Projl-permute:
assumes a:  $\exists y. f = \text{Inl } y$ 
shows  $(p \cdot (\text{Sum-Type.projl } f)) = \text{Sum-Type.projl } (p \cdot f)$ 
using a by auto

```

```

lemma Projr-permute:
assumes a:  $\exists y. f = \text{Inr } y$ 
shows  $(p \cdot (\text{Sum-Type.projr } f)) = \text{Sum-Type.projr } (p \cdot f)$ 
using a by auto

```

2.5 Freshness lemmas

```

lemma fresh-list-elem:
assumes a  $\sharp \Gamma$ 
and  $e \in \text{set } \Gamma$ 

```

shows $a \# e$
 using *assms*
 by (induct Γ) (auto simp add: fresh-Cons)

lemma *set-not-fresh*:
 $x \in \text{set } L \implies \neg(\text{atom } x \# L)$
 by (metis fresh-list-elem not-self-fresh)

lemma *pure-fresh-star[simp]*: $a \#* (x :: 'a :: \text{pure})$
 by (simp add: fresh-star-def pure-fresh)

lemma *supp-set-mem*: $x \in \text{set } L \implies \text{supp } x \subseteq \text{supp } L$
 by (induct L) (auto simp add: supp-Cons)

lemma *set-supp-mono*: $\text{set } L \subseteq \text{set } L2 \implies \text{supp } L \subseteq \text{supp } L2$
 by (induct L) (auto simp add: supp-Cons supp-Nil dest:supp-set-mem)

lemma *fresh-star-at-base*:
 fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{at-base}$
 shows $S \#* x \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \notin S$
 by (metis fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def)

2.6 Freshness and support for subsets of variables

lemma *supp-mono*: $\text{finite } (B :: 'a :: \text{fs set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{supp } A \subseteq \text{supp } B$
 by (metis infinite-super subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)

lemma *fresh-subset*:
 $\text{finite } B \implies x \# (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies x \# A$
 by (auto dest:supp-mono simp add: fresh-def)

lemma *fresh-star-subset*:
 $\text{finite } B \implies x \#* (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base set}) \implies A \subseteq B \implies x \#* A$
 by (metis fresh-star-def fresh-subset)

lemma *fresh-star-set-subset*:
 $x \#* (B :: 'a :: \text{at-base list}) \implies \text{set } A \subseteq \text{set } B \implies x \#* A$
 by (metis fresh-star-set fresh-star-subset[OF finite-set])

2.7 The set of free variables of an expression

definition *fv* :: $'a :: \text{pt} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{at-base set}$
 where $\text{fv } e = \{v. \text{atom } v \in \text{supp } e\}$

lemma *fv-eqvt[simp,eqvt]*: $\pi \cdot (\text{fv } e) = \text{fv } (\pi \cdot e)$
 unfolding *fv-def* by *simp*

lemma *fv-Nil[simp]*: $\text{fv } [] = \{\}$
 by (auto simp add: *fv-def* *supp-Nil*)

lemma *fv-Cons[simp]*: $\text{fv } (x \# xs) = \text{fv } x \cup \text{fv } xs$
 by (auto simp add: *fv-def* *supp-Cons*)

```

lemma fv-Pair[simp]:  $fv\ x\ y = fv\ x \cup fv\ y$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Pair)
lemma fv-append[simp]:  $fv\ (x\ @\ y) = fv\ x \cup fv\ y$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-append)
lemma fv-at-base[simp]:  $fv\ a = \{a::'a::at-base\}$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-at-base)
lemma fv-pure[simp]:  $fv\ (a::'a::pure) = \{\}$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-def pure-sup)

lemma fv-set-at-base[simp]:  $fv\ (l :: ('a :: at-base)\ list) = set\ l$ 
  by (induction l auto)

lemma flip-not-fv:  $a \notin fv\ x \implies b \notin fv\ x \implies (a \leftrightarrow b) \cdot x = x$ 
  by (metis flip-def fresh-def fv-def mem-Collect-eq swap-fresh-fresh)

lemma fv-not-fresh:  $atom\ x \# e \longleftrightarrow x \notin fv\ e$ 
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def by blast

lemma fresh-fv:  $finite\ (fv\ e :: 'a\ set) \implies atom\ (x :: ('a::at-base)) \# (fv\ e :: 'a\ set) \longleftrightarrow atom\ x \# e$ 
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def
  by (auto simp add: supp-finite-set-at-base)

lemma finite-fv[simp]:  $finite\ (fv\ (e::'a::fs) :: ('b::at-base)\ set)$ 
proof–
  have finite (supp e) by (metis finite-sup)
  hence finite (atom –‘ supp e :: 'b set)
    apply (rule finite-vimageI)
    apply (rule inj-onI)
    apply (simp)
    done
  moreover
  have  $(atom\ –‘\ supp\ e :: 'b\ set) = fv\ e$  unfolding fv-def by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition fv-list ::  $'a::fs \Rightarrow 'b::at-base\ list$ 
  where fv-list e = (SOME l. set l = fv e)

lemma set-fv-list[simp]:  $set\ (fv-list\ e) = (fv\ e :: ('b::at-base)\ set)$ 
proof–
  have finite (fv e :: 'b set) by (rule finite-fv)
  from finite-list[OF finite-fv]
  obtain l where set l = (fv e :: 'b set)..
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding fv-list-def by (rule someI)
qed

lemma fresh-fv-list[simp]:
   $a \# (fv-list\ e :: 'b::at-base\ list) \longleftrightarrow a \# (fv\ e :: 'b::at-base\ set)$ 
proof–
  have  $a \# (fv-list\ e :: 'b::at-base\ list) \longleftrightarrow a \# set\ (fv-list\ e :: 'b::at-base\ list)$ 

```

by (rule fresh-set[symmetric])
 also have ... $\longleftrightarrow a \# (fv\ e :: 'b::at-base\ set)$ by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
 qed

2.8 Other useful lemmas

lemma pure-permute-id: $permute\ p = (\lambda\ x.\ (x::'a::pure))$
 by rule (simp add: permute-pure)

lemma supp-set-elem-finite:
 assumes finite S
 and $(m::'a::fs) \in S$
 and $y \in supp\ m$
 shows $y \in supp\ S$
 using assms supp-of-finite-sets
 by auto

lemmas fresh-star-Cons = fresh-star-list(2)

lemma mem-permute-set:
 shows $x \in p \cdot S \longleftrightarrow (-\ p \cdot x) \in S$
 by (metis mem-permute-iff permute-minus-cancel(2))

lemma flip-set-both-not-in:
 assumes $x \notin S$ and $x' \notin S$
 shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot S) = S$
 unfolding permute-set-def
 by (auto) (metis assms flip-at-base-simps(3))+

lemma inj-atom: $inj\ atom$ by (metis atom-eq-iff injI)

lemmas image-Int[OF inj-atom, simp]

lemma eqvt-uncurry: $eqvt\ f \implies eqvt\ (case-prod\ f)$
 unfolding eqvt-def
 by perm-simp simp

lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt2:
 assumes $a: eqvt\ f$
 shows $supp\ (f\ x\ y) \subseteq supp\ x \cup supp\ y$
 proof—
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
 have $supp\ (case-prod\ f\ (x,y)) \subseteq supp\ (x,y)$.
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
 qed

lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt3:
 assumes $a: eqvt\ f$
 shows $supp\ (f\ x\ y\ z) \subseteq supp\ x \cup supp\ y \cup supp\ z$
 proof—
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt2[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]

have $\text{supp } (\text{case-prod } f \ (x,y) \ z) \subseteq \text{supp } (x,y) \cup \text{supp } z.$
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: supp-Pair*)
qed

lemma *permute-0[simp]*: $\text{permute } 0 = (\lambda x. x)$
by *auto*

lemma *permute-comp[simp]*: $\text{permute } x \circ \text{permute } y = \text{permute } (x + y)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *map-permute*: $\text{map } (\text{permute } p) = \text{permute } p$
apply *rule*
apply (*induct-tac x*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *fresh-star-restrictA[intro]*: $a \# \Gamma \implies a \# AList.restrict \ V \ \Gamma$
by (*induction \Gamma*) (*auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons*)

lemma *Abs-lst-Nil-eq[simp]*: $[\Box]lst. (x::'a::fs) = [xs]lst. x' \longleftrightarrow ((\Box, x) = (xs, x'))$
apply *rule*
apply (*frule Abs-lst-fcb2* **where** $f = \lambda x \ y. (x, y)$ **and** $as = \Box$ **and** $bs = xs$ **and** $c = ()$)
apply (*auto simp add: fresh-star-def*)
done

lemma *Abs-lst-Nil-eq2[simp]*: $[xs]lst. (x::'a::fs) = [\Box]lst. x' \longleftrightarrow ((xs, x) = (\Box, x'))$
by (*subst eq-commute*) *auto*

lemma *prod-cases8* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$
by (*cases y, case-tac g*) *blast*

lemma *prod-induct8* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:
 $(\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h. P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) \implies P \ x$
by (*cases x*) *blast*

lemma *prod-cases9* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)$
by (*cases y, case-tac h*) *blast*

lemma *prod-induct9* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:
 $(\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i. P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) \implies P \ x$
by (*cases x*) *blast*

named-theorems *nominal-prod-simps*

named-theorems *ms-fresh Facts for helping with freshness proofs*

lemma *fresh-prod2[nominal-prod-simps, ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a, b) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b)$

using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod3[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod4[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod5[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod6[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod7[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod8[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod9[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod10[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i \wedge x \# j)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *fresh-prod12[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]*: $x \# (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k,l) = (x \# a \wedge x \# b \wedge x \# c \wedge x \# d \wedge x \# e \wedge x \# f \wedge x \# g \wedge x \# h \wedge x \# i \wedge x \# j \wedge x \# k \wedge x \# l)$
using *fresh-def supp-Pair* **by** *fastforce*

lemmas *fresh-prodN = fresh-Pair fresh-prod3 fresh-prod4 fresh-prod5 fresh-prod6 fresh-prod7 fresh-prod8 fresh-prod9 fresh-prod10 fresh-prod12*

lemma *fresh-prod2I*:
fixes x **and** $x1$ **and** $x2$
assumes $x \# x1$ **and** $x \# x2$
shows $x \# (x1,x2)$ **using** *fresh-prod2 assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod3I*:
fixes x **and** $x1$ **and** $x2$ **and** $x3$
assumes $x \# x1$ **and** $x \# x2$ **and** $x \# x3$
shows $x \# (x1,x2,x3)$ **using** *fresh-prod3 assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod4I*:

fixes x and $x1$ and $x2$ and $x3$ and $x4$
 assumes $x \# x1$ and $x \# x2$ and $x \# x3$ and $x \# x4$
 shows $x \# (x1, x2, x3, x4)$ using *fresh-prod4* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *fresh-prod5I*:

fixes x and $x1$ and $x2$ and $x3$ and $x4$ and $x5$
 assumes $x \# x1$ and $x \# x2$ and $x \# x3$ and $x \# x4$ and $x \# x5$
 shows $x \# (x1, x2, x3, x4, x5)$ using *fresh-prod5* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *flip-collapse[simp]*:

fixes $b1::'a::pt$ and $bv1::'b::at$ and $bv2::'b::at$
 assumes $atom\ bv2 \# b1$ and $atom\ c \# (bv1, bv2, b1)$ and $bv1 \neq bv2$
 shows $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1$

proof –

have $c \neq bv1$ and $bv2 \neq bv1$ using *assms* by *auto+*

hence $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) + (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) + (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c)$ using *flip-triple*[of $c\ bv1\ bv2$] *flip-commute*

by *metis*

hence $(bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1$ using *permute-plus* by *metis*

thus *?thesis* using *assms* *flip-fresh-fresh* by *force*

qed

lemma *triple-eqt[simp]*:

$p \cdot (x, b, c) = (p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c)$

proof –

have $(x, b, c) = (x, (b, c))$ by *simp*

thus *?thesis* using *Pair-eqt* by *simp*

qed

lemma *lst-fst*:

fixes $x::'a::at$ and $t1::'b::fs$ and $x'::'a::at$ and $t2::'c::fs$
 assumes $([[atom\ x]]lst. (t1, t2) = [[atom\ x']]lst. (t1', t2'))$
 shows $([[atom\ x]]lst. t1 = [[atom\ x']]lst. t1')$

proof –

have $(\forall c. atom\ c \# (t2, t2') \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (x, x', t1, t1') \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1')$

proof(*rule, rule, rule*)

fix $c::'a$

assume $atom\ c \# (t2, t2')$ and $atom\ c \# (x, x', t1, t1')$

hence $atom\ c \# (x, x', (t1, t2), (t1', t2'))$ using *fresh-prod2* by *simp*

thus $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1'$ using *assms* *Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)* *Pair-eqt* by *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* using *Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)*[of $x\ t1\ x'\ t1'\ (t2, t2')$] by *simp*

qed

lemma *lst-snd*:

fixes $x::'a::at$ and $t1::'b::fs$ and $x'::'a::at$ and $t2::'c::fs$
 assumes $([[atom\ x]]lst. (t1, t2) = [[atom\ x']]lst. (t1', t2'))$
 shows $([[atom\ x]]lst. t2 = [[atom\ x']]lst. t2')$

proof –

have $(\forall c. atom\ c \# (t1, t1') \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (x, x', t2, t2') \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2')$

```

proof(rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::'a
  assume atom c # (t1,t1') and atom c # (x, x', t2, t2')
  hence atom c # (x, x', (t1,t2), (t1',t2')) using fresh-prod2 by simp
  thus (x ↔ c) · t2 = (x' ↔ c) · t2' using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) Pair-eqt by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of x t2 x' t2' (t1,t1')] by simp
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons-pair:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2 # xs2)
shows [[atom y1]]lst. (x1,xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2,xs2)
proof(subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of y1 (x1,xs1) y2 (x2,xs2)],rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::'a
  assume atom c # (x1#xs1,x2#xs2) and atom c # (y1, y2, (x1, xs1), x2, xs2)
  thus (y1 ↔ c) · (x1, xs1) = (y2 ↔ c) · (x2, xs2) using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons-neq-nil:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2)
shows xs2 ≠ []
proof
  assume as:xs2 = []
  thus False using Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of y1 x1#xs1 y2 Nil] assms as by auto
qed

```

lemma lst-head-cons:

```

fixes y1::'a ::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
assumes [[atom y1]]lst. (x1 # xs1) = [[atom y2]]lst. (x2 # xs2)
shows [[atom y1]]lst. x1 = [[atom y2]]lst. x2 and [[atom y1]]lst. xs1 = [[atom y2]]lst. xs2
using lst-head-cons-pair lst-fst lst-snd assms by metis+

```

lemma lst-pure:

```

fixes x1::'a ::at and t1::'b::pure and x2::'a ::at and t2::'b::pure
assumes [[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2
shows t1=t2
using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh
by (metis Abs1-eq(3) permute-pure)

```

lemma projl-inl-eqt:

```

fixes π :: perm
shows π · (projl (Inl x)) = projl (Inl (π · x))
unfolding projl-def Inl-eqt by simp

```

end

sledgehammer-params[debug=true, timeout=600, provers= cvc4 spass e vampire z3, isar-proofs=true, smt-proofs=false]

Chapter 3

Syntax

Syntax of MiniSail and contexts

3.1 Program Syntax

3.1.1 Datatypes

type-synonym *num-nat* = *nat*

atom-decl *x*

atom-decl *u*

atom-decl *bv*

type-synonym *f* = *string*

type-synonym *dc* = *string*

type-synonym *tyid* = *string*

Base types

nominal-datatype *b* =

B-int
| *B-bool*
| *B-id tyid*
| *B-pair b b* ([- , -]^{*b*})
| *B-unit*
| *B-bitvec*
| *B-var bv*
| *B-app tyid b*

nominal-datatype *bit* = *BitOne* | *BitZero*

Literals

nominal-datatype *l* =

L-num int
| *L-true*
| *L-false*
| *L-unit*
| *L-bitvec bit list*

Values

nominal-datatype $v =$
 $V\text{-lit } l \quad ([-]^v)$
 $| V\text{-var } x \quad ([-]^v)$
 $| V\text{-pair } v \ v \quad ([- , -]^v)$
 $| V\text{-cons } tyid \ dc \ v$
 $| V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v$

Binary Operations

nominal-datatype $opp = Plus \ (\ plus) \ | \ LEq \ (leq)$

Expressions

nominal-datatype $e =$
 $AE\text{-val } v \quad ([-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-app } f \ v \quad ([- \ (-)]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v \quad ([- \ [-] \ (-)]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-op } opp \ v \ v \quad ([- - -]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-concat } v \ v \quad ([- \ @\@ \ -]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-fst } v \quad ([\#1-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-snd } v \quad ([\#2-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-mvar } u \quad ([-]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-len } v \quad ([| - |]^e)$
 $| AE\text{-split } v \ v$

Expressions for Constraints

nominal-datatype $ce =$
 $CE\text{-val } v \quad ([-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-op } opp \ ce \ ce \quad ([- - -]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-concat } ce \ ce \quad ([- \ @\@ \ -]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-fst } ce \quad ([\#1-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-snd } ce \quad ([\#2-]^{ce})$
 $| CE\text{-len } ce \quad ([| - |]^{ce})$

Constraints

nominal-datatype $c =$
 $C\text{-true} \quad (TRUE \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-false} \quad (FALSE \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-conj } c \ c \quad (- \ AND \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-disj } c \ c \quad (- \ OR \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-not } c \quad (\neg \ - \ [] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-imp } c \ c \quad (- \ IMP \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$
 $| C\text{-eq } ce \ ce \quad (- \ == \ - \ [50, 50] \ 50)$

Refined type

nominal-datatype $\tau =$
 $T\text{-refined-type } x :: x \ b \ c :: c \ \text{binds } x \text{ in } c \quad (\{ - : - \mid - \} \ [50, 50] \ 1000)$

value $\{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce}) \ IMP \ (c\text{-of } \tau \ z) \}$

Statements

nominal-datatype

$s =$
 $AS\text{-}val\ v \quad ([-]^s)$
 $| AS\text{-}let\ x::x\ e\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((LET\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}let2\ x::x\ \tau\ s\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((LET\ -\ :\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}if\ v\ s\ s \quad ((IF\ -\ THEN\ -\ ELSE\ -)\ [0, 61, 0]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}var\ u::u\ \tau\ v\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ u\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((VAR\ -\ :\ -\ =\ -\ IN\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}assign\ u\ v \quad ((-\ ::\ =\ -))$
 $| AS\text{-}match\ v\ branch\text{-}list \quad ((MATCH\ -\ WITH\ \{-\})$
 $| AS\text{-}while\ s\ s \quad ((WHILE\ -\ DO\ \{-\})\ [0, 0]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}seq\ s\ s \quad ((-\ ;\ -)\ [1000, 61]\ 61)$
 $| AS\text{-}assert\ c\ s \quad ((ASSERT\ -\ IN\ -)\)$
 $\mathbf{and}\ branch\text{-}s =$
 $AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x::x\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s \quad ((-\ -\ \Rightarrow\ -))$
 $\mathbf{and}\ branch\text{-}list =$
 $AS\text{-}final\ branch\text{-}s \quad (\{-\})$
 $| AS\text{-}cons\ branch\text{-}s\ branch\text{-}list \quad ((-\ | -))$

term $LET\ x = [plus\ [x]^v\ [x]^v]^e\ IN\ [[x]^v]^s$

Function and union type definitions

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}typ =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x::x\ b\ c::c\ \tau::\tau\ s::s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ c\ \tau\ s$

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv::bv\ ft::fun\text{-}typ\ \mathbf{binds}\ bv\ \mathbf{in}\ ft$
 $| AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ fun\text{-}typ$

nominal-datatype $fun\text{-}def =$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ f\ fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$

nominal-datatype $type\text{-}def =$
 $AF\text{-}typedef\ string\ (string\ * \tau)\ list$
 $| AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ string\ bv::bv\ dclist::(string\ * \tau)\ list\ \mathbf{binds}\ bv\ \mathbf{in}\ dclist$

lemma $check\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly$:

$AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ "option"\ bv\ [("None", \{\} zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}), ("Some", \{\} zz : B\text{-}var\ bv \mid TRUE \})] =$

$AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ "option"\ bv2\ [("None", \{\} zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}), ("Some", \{\} zz : B\text{-}var\ bv2 \mid TRUE \})]$

by $auto$

nominal-datatype $var\text{-}def =$
 $AV\text{-}def\ u\ \tau\ v$

Programs

nominal-datatype $p =$
 $AP\text{-}prog\ type\text{-}def\ list\ fun\text{-}def\ list\ var\text{-}def\ list\ s$

declare $l.suppl\ [simp]\ v.suppl\ [simp]\ e.suppl\ [simp]\ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.suppl\ [simp]\ \tau.suppl\ [simp]$
 $c.suppl\ [simp]\ b.suppl\ [simp]$

3.1.2 Lemmas

Atoms

lemma *x-not-in-u-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x* and *us::u* set
 shows *atom* *x* \notin *atom*'*us*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *x-fresh-u*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *u*
 by auto

lemma *x-not-in-b-set*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *bs::bv* fset
 shows *atom* *x* \notin *supp* *bs*
 by (induct *bs*, auto, simp add: supp-finsert supp-at-base)

lemma *x-fresh-b*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *b::b*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *b*
 apply (induct *b* rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp)
 using pure-supp fresh-def by blast+

lemma *x-fresh-bv*[simp]:
 fixes *x::x* and *bv::bv*
 shows *atom* *x* \sharp *bv*
 using fresh-def supp-at-base by auto

lemma *u-not-in-x-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *x::x* and *xs::x* set
 shows *atom* *u* \notin *atom*'*xs*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *bv-not-in-x-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *bv::bv* and *x::x* and *xs::x* set
 shows *atom* *bv* \notin *atom*'*xs*
 by (simp add: image-iff)

lemma *u-not-in-b-atoms*[simp]:
 fixes *b :: b* and *u::u*
 shows *atom* *u* \notin *supp* *b*
 by (induct *b* rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base)

lemma *u-not-in-b-set*[simp]:
 fixes *u::u* and *bs::bv* fset

shows $\text{atom } u \notin \text{supp } bs$
by(*induct* bs , *auto simp add: supp-at-base supp-finsert*)

lemma *u-fresh-b[simp]*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$
shows $\text{atom } x \# b$
by(*induct* b *rule: b.induct*, *auto simp: pure-fresh*)

lemma *supp-b-v-disjoint*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $\text{supp } (V\text{-var } x) \cap \text{supp } (B\text{-var } bv) = \{\}$
by (*simp add: supp-at-base*)

lemma *supp-b-u-disjoint[simp]*:
fixes $b::b$ **and** $u::u$
shows $\text{supp } u \cap \text{supp } b = \{\}$
by(*nominal-induct* b *rule: b.strong-induct*,(*auto simp add: pure-supp b.supp supp-at-base*)+)

lemma *u-fresh-bv[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $b::bv$
shows $\text{atom } u \# b$
using *fresh-at-base* **by** *simp*

Base Types

nominal-function *b-of* $:: \tau \Rightarrow b$ **where**
 $b\text{-of } \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = b$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def b-of-graph-aux-def*)
by (*meson* $\tau.\text{exhaust}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *supp-b-empty[simp]*:
fixes $b :: b$ **and** $x::x$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } b$
by (*induct* b *rule: b.induct*, *auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set*)

lemma *flip-b-id[simp]*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $b::b$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot b = b$
by(*rule flip-fresh-fresh*, *auto simp add: fresh-def*)

lemma *flip-x-b-cancel[simp]*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $y::x$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot bv = bv$
using *flip-b-id* **apply** *simp*
by (*metis* $b.\text{eq-iff}(\gamma)$ $b.\text{perm-simps}(\gamma)$ *flip-b-id*)

lemma *flip-bv-x-cancel[simp]*:

fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $z::bv$ **and** $x::x$
shows $(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh*[of $bv\ x\ z$] *fresh-at-base* **by** *auto*

lemma *flip-bv-u-cancel*[*simp*]:
fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $z::bv$ **and** $x::u$
shows $(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh*[of $bv\ x\ z$] *fresh-at-base* **by** *auto*

Literals

lemma *supp-bitvec-empty*:
fixes $bv::bit\ list$
shows $supp\ bv = \{\}$
proof(*induct* bv)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **using** *supp-Nil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons* $a\ bv$)
then show *?case* **using** *supp-Cons* *bit.supp*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *bit.strong-exhaust* $l.supp(5)$ *sup-bot.right-neutral*)
qed

lemma *bitvec-pure*[*simp*]:
fixes $bv::bit\ list$ **and** $x::x$
shows $atom\ x \# bv$ **using** *fresh-def* *supp-bitvec-empty* **by** *auto*

lemma *supp-l-empty*[*simp*]:
fixes $l::l$
shows $supp\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) = \{\}$
apply(*nominal-induct* l *rule*: *l.strong-induct*)
apply(*auto* *simp* *add*: $l.supp\ l.strong-exhaust\ pure-supp\ v.fv-defs$)[4]
using $l.supp\ pure-supp\ supp-of-atom-list\ supp-bitvec-empty$ **by** *simp*

lemma *type-l-nosupp*[*simp*]:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $l::l$
shows $atom\ x \notin supp\ (\llbracket z : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket l \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce})$
using *supp-at-base* *supp-l-empty* $ce.supp(1)$ $c.supp\ \tau.supp$ **by** *force*

lemma *flip-bitvec0*:
fixes $x::bit\ list$
assumes $atom\ c \# (z, x, z')$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$
proof —
have $atom\ z \# x$ **and** $atom\ z' \# x$
using *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *blast+*
moreover have $atom\ c \# x$ **using** *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms* *flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *flip-bitvec*:
assumes $atom\ c \# (z, L\text{-}bitvec\ x, z')$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$
proof —

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ **and** $\text{atom } z' \# x$
using *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *blast*+
moreover **have** $\text{atom } c \# x$ **using** *supp-bitvec-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms* *flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *type-l-eq*:

shows $\{ z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-lit } l]^{ce} \} = (\{ z' : b \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-lit } l]^{ce} \})$
by (*auto*, *nominal-induct l* *rule: l.strong-induct*, *auto*, *metis* *permute-pure*, *auto* *simp add: flip-bitvec*)

lemma *flip-l-eq*:

fixes $x::l$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ **and** $\text{atom } c \# x$ **and** $\text{atom } z' \# x$
using *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def* *supp-l-empty* **by** *fastforce*+
thus *?thesis* **using** *flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *flip-l-eq1*:

fixes $x::l$
assumes $(z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x'$
shows $x' = x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } z \# x$ **and** $\text{atom } c \# x'$ **and** $\text{atom } c \# x$ **and** $\text{atom } z' \# x'$
using *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def* *supp-l-empty* **by** *fastforce*+
thus *?thesis* **using** *flip-fresh-fresh* *assms* **by** *metis*

qed

Types

lemma *flip-base-eq*:

fixes $b::b$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $y::x$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b$
using *b.fresh* **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-def*)

Obtain an alpha-equivalent type where the bound variable is fresh in some term t

lemma *has-fresh-z0*:

fixes $t::'b::fs$
shows $\exists z. \text{atom } z \# (c', t) \wedge (\{ z' : b \mid c' \}) = (\{ z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \})$

proof –

obtain $z::x$ **where** *fr: atom z # (c', t)* **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
moreover **hence** $(\{ z' : b \mid c' \}) = (\{ z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \})$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *Abs1-eq-iff*
by (*metis* *flip-commute* *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-PairD(1)*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *has-fresh-z*:

fixes $t::'b::fs$
shows $\exists z b c. \text{atom } z \# t \wedge \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \}$

proof –

obtain z' **and** b **and** c' **where** $\text{teq: } \tau = (\{ z' : b \mid c' \})$ **using** $\tau.\text{exhaust}$ **by** *blast*

obtain $z::x$ **where** $fr: atom\ z \# (t, c')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
hence $(\llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \rrbracket)$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff$
flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) **by** $(metis\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2))$
hence $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \rrbracket)$ **using** $fr\ teq$ **by** *force*
thus *?thesis* **using** *teq fr* **by** *fast*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-z*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
obtains z **and** b **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$
using *has-fresh-z* **by** *blast*

lemma *has-fresh-z2*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
shows $\exists z\ c. atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of}\ \tau \mid c \rrbracket$
proof $-$
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
moreover **then** **have** $b\text{-of}\ \tau = b$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *obtain-fresh-z* $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-z2*:
fixes $t::'b::fs$
obtains z **and** c **where** $atom\ z \# t \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of}\ \tau \mid c \rrbracket$
using *has-fresh-z2* **by** *blast*

Value

lemma *u-notin-supp-v[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $v::v$
shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ v$
proof $(nominal\text{-}induct\ v\ rule: v.\text{strong-induct})$
case $(V\text{-}lit\ l)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *supp-l-empty* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}var\ x)$
then **show** *?case*
by $(simp\ add: supp\text{-}at\text{-}base)$
next
case $(V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)$
then **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ list\ v)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *pure-supp* **by** *auto*
next
case $(V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ list\ b\ v)$
then **show** *?case* **using** *pure-supp* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *u-fresh-xv[simp]*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
shows $atom\ u \# (x, v)$

proof –

have $atom\ u \# x$ **using** *fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have $atom\ u \# v$ **using** *fresh-def* *u-notin-supp-v* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-prod2* **by** *auto*

qed

Part of effort to make the proofs across cases more uniform by distilling the non-uniform parts into lemmas like this

lemma *v-flip-eq*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $va::v$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::x$

assumes $atom\ c \# (v, va)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (x, xa, v, va)$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va$

shows $((v = V\text{-lit}\ l \longrightarrow (\exists l'. va = V\text{-lit}\ l' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-var}\ y \longrightarrow (\exists y'. va = V\text{-var}\ y' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')) \wedge$

$((v = V\text{-consp}\ tyid\ dc\ b\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-consp}\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1'))$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* *v* *rule:v.strong-induct*)

case (*V-lit* *l*)

then show *?case* **using** *assms* *v.perm-simps*

empty-iff *flip-def* *fresh-def* *fresh-permute-iff* *supp-l-empty* *swap-fresh-fresh* *v.fresh*

by (*metis* *permute-swap-cancel2* *v.distinct*)

next

case (*V-var* *x*)

then show *?case* **using** *assms* *v.perm-simps*

empty-iff *flip-def* *fresh-def* *fresh-permute-iff* *supp-l-empty* *swap-fresh-fresh* *v.fresh*

by (*metis* *permute-swap-cancel2* *v.distinct*)

next

case (*V-pair* *v1* *v2*)

have $(V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2'))$ **proof**

assume $V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 = V\text{-pair}\ vone\ vtwo$

thus $(\exists v1'\ v2'. va = V\text{-pair}\ v1'\ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')$

using *V-pair* *assms*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *flip-def* *permute-swap-cancel* *v.perm-simps*(3))

qed

thus *?case* **using** *V-pair* **by** *auto*

next

case (*V-cons* *tyid* *dc* *v1*)

have $(V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1 = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1'))$ **proof**

assume *as*: $V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1 = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone$

hence $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ vone) = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone)$ **proof** –

have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc$ **using** *pure-permute-id* **by** *metis*

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid$ **using** *pure-permute-id* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *v.perm-simps*(4) **by** *simp*

qed

then obtain *v1'* **where** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V\text{-cons}\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ **using** *assms*

V-cons

using *as* **by** *fastforce*
hence $va = V\text{-cons } tyid \text{ dc } ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ **using** *permute-flip-cancel*
empty-iff flip-def fresh-def supp-b-empty swap-fresh-fresh
by (*metis pure-fresh v.perm-simps(4)*)

thus $(\exists v1'. va = V\text{-cons } tyid \text{ dc } v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$
using *V-cons assms* **by** *simp*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *V-cons* **by** *auto*
next

case (*V-consp tyid dc b v1*)
have (*V-consp tyid dc b v1 = V-consp tyid dc b vone $\longrightarrow (\exists v1'. va = V-consp tyid dc b v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$*) **proof** –
assume *as*: *V-consp tyid dc b v1 = V-consp tyid dc b vone*
hence $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ dc } b \text{ vone}) = V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ dc } b ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone)$ **proof** –
have $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc$ **using** *pure-permute-id* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid$ **using** *pure-permute-id* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *v.perm-simps(4)* **by** *simp*
qed
then obtain $v1'$ **where** $(xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ dc } b \text{ v1}' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ **using**
assms V-consp
using *as* **by** *fastforce*
hence $va = V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ dc } b ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1'$ **using** *permute-flip-cancel*
empty-iff flip-def fresh-def supp-b-empty swap-fresh-fresh
pure-fresh v.perm-simps
by (*metis (mono-tags, hide-lams)*)
thus $(\exists v1'. va = V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ dc } b \text{ v1}' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')$
using *V-consp assms* **by** *simp*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *V-consp* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *flip-eq*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $s::'a::fs$ **and** $sa::'a::fs$
assumes $(\forall c. atom \ c \ \# \ (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \# \ (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)$ **and** $x \neq xa$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot s = sa$
proof –
have $([[atom \ x]]lst. s = [[atom \ xa]]lst. sa)$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff-all* **by** *simp*
hence $(xa = x \wedge sa = s \vee xa \neq x \wedge sa = (xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s \wedge atom \ xa \ \# \ s)$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff[of xa sa x s]* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms*
by (*metis flip-commute*)
qed

lemma *swap-v-supp*:
fixes $v::v$ **and** $d::x$ **and** $z::x$
assumes $atom \ d \ \# \ v$
shows $supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v) \subseteq supp \ v - \{atom \ z\} \cup \{atom \ d\}$
using *assms*
proof(*nominal-induct v rule:v.strong-induct*)

```

case (V-lit l)
  then show ?case using l.supp by (metis supp-l-empty empty-subsetI l.strong-exhaust pure-supp
supp-eqv v.supp)
next
case (V-var x)
  hence  $d \neq x$  using fresh-def by fastforce
  thus ?case apply(cases  $z = x$ ) using supp-at-base V-var  $\langle d \neq x \rangle$  by fastforce+
next
case (V-cons tyid dc v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
  using V-cons.hyps V-cons.premis fresh-def by auto
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
  using V-consp.hyps V-consp.premis fresh-def by auto
qed(force+)

```

Expressions

```

lemma swap-e-supp:
  fixes  $e::e$  and  $d::x$  and  $z::x$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } d \# e$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot e) \subseteq \text{supp } e - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
  case (AE-val v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by simp
next
  case (AE-app f v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by (simp add: pure-supp)
next
  case (AE-appP b f v)
  hence df:  $\text{atom } d \# v$  using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (\text{AE-appP } b f v)) = \text{supp } (\text{AE-appP } b f ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v))$  using e.supp
  by (metis b.eq-iff(3) b.perm-simps(3) e.perm-simps(3) flip-b-id)
  also have  $\dots = \text{supp } b \cup \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v)$  using e.supp by auto
  also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{supp } b \cup \text{supp } f \cup \text{supp } v - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}$  using swap-v-supp[OF df]
  pure-supp by auto
  finally show ?case using e.supp by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence df:  $\text{atom } d \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } d \# v2$  using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have  $((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 v2)) = \text{AE-op } \text{opp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)$  using
  e.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps AE-op opp.strong-exhaust pure-supp
  by (metis (full-types))

  hence  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot \text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 v2) = \text{supp } (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2))$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \cup \text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)$  using e.supp
  by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.supp sup-bot.left-neutral)
  also have  $\dots \subseteq (\text{supp } v1 - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \}) \cup (\text{supp } v2 - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \{ \text{atom } d \})$  using
  swap-v-supp AE-op df by blast
  finally show ?case using e.supp opp.supp by blast

```

```

next
  case (AE-fst v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply by auto
next
  case (AE-snd v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply by auto
next
  case (AE-mvar u)
  then show ?case using
    Diff-empty Diff-insert0 Un-upper1 atom-x-sort flip-def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def set-eq-subset supp-eqv
    swap-set-in-eq
    by (metis sort-of-atom-eq)
next
  case (AE-len v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply by auto
next
  case (AE-concat v1 v2)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply by auto
next
  case (AE-split v1 v2)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply by auto
qed

```

```

lemma swap-ce-supply:
  fixes e::ce and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d  $\#$  e
  shows supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  e)  $\subseteq$  supp e - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d }
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supply ce.fresh ce.supply by simp
next
  case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence df: atom d  $\#$  v1  $\wedge$  atom d  $\#$  v2 using fresh-def e.supply by force
  have ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  (CE-op opp v1 v2)) = CE-op opp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1) ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2) using
    ce.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps CE-op opp.strong-exhaust x-fresh-b pure-supply
    by (metis (full-types))

  hence supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  CE-op opp v1 v2) = supp (CE-op opp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1) ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2)) by simp
  also have ... = supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v1)  $\cup$  supp ((z  $\leftrightarrow$  d)  $\cdot$  v2) using ce.supply
    by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.supply sup-bot.left-neutral)
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  (supp v1 - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d })  $\cup$  (supp v2 - { atom z }  $\cup$  { atom d }) using
    swap-v-supply CE-op df by blast
  finally show ?case using ce.supply opp.supply by blast
next
  case (CE-fst v)
  then show ?case using ce.supply ce.fresh swap-v-supply by auto
next
  case (CE-snd v)
  then show ?case using ce.supply ce.fresh swap-v-supply by auto

```

```

next
  case (CE-len v)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
  case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp ce.perm-simps
  proof -
    have  $\forall x v xa. \neg \text{atom } (x::x) \# (v::v) \vee \text{supp } ((xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v) \subseteq \text{supp } v - \{\text{atom } xa\} \cup \{\text{atom } x\}$ 
    by (meson swap-v-supp)
    then show ?thesis
    using CE-concat ce.supp by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma swap-c-supp:
  fixes c::c and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d # c
  shows  $\text{supp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot c) \subseteq \text{supp } c - \{\text{atom } z\} \cup \{\text{atom } d\}$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct)
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using swap-ce-supp by auto
qed(auto+)

```

```

lemma type-e-eq:
  assumes atom z # e and atom z' # e
  shows  $\llbracket z : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^{ce} == e \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^{ce} == e \rrbracket)$ 
  by (auto,metis (full-types) assms(1) assms(2) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2))

```

```

lemma type-e-eq2:
  assumes atom z # e and atom z' # e and b=b'
  shows  $\llbracket z : b \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^{ce} == e \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : b' \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^{ce} == e \rrbracket)$ 
  using assms type-e-eq by fast

```

```

lemma e-flip-eq:
  fixes e::e and ea::e
  assumes atom c # (e, ea) and atom c # (x, xa, e, ea) and  $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea$ 
  shows  $(e = \text{AE-val } w \longrightarrow (\exists w'. ea = \text{AE-val } w' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-op } opp \ v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2'. ea = \text{AS-op } opp \ v1' \ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot$ 
 $v1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-fst } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-fst } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-snd } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-snd } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-len } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-len } v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-concat } v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2'. ea = \text{AS-concat } v1' \ v2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge$ 
 $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2')) \vee$ 
 $(e = \text{AE-app } f \ v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. ea = \text{AE-app } f \ v' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v'))$ 
  by (metis assms e.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2)

```

```

lemma fresh-opp-all:
  fixes opp::opp
  shows  $z \# opp$ 

```


using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

lemma *fresh-e-opp-all*:

shows $(z \# v1 \wedge z \# v2) = z \# AE\text{-}op\ opp\ v1\ v2$

using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh fresh-opp-all* **by** *simp*

lemma *fresh-e-opp*:

fixes $z::x$

assumes $atom\ z \# v1 \wedge atom\ z \# v2$

shows $atom\ z \# AE\text{-}op\ opp\ v1\ v2$

using *e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh opp.supp* **by** (*metis assms*)

Statements

lemma *branch-s-flip-eq*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $va::v$

assumes $atom\ c \# (v, va)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (x, xa, v, va)$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$

shows $(s = AS\text{-}val\ w \longrightarrow (\exists w'. sa = AS\text{-}val\ w' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \vee$

$(s = AS\text{-}seq\ s1\ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists s1'\ s2'. sa = AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2') \vee$

$(s = AS\text{-}if\ v\ s1\ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists v'\ s1'\ s2'. sa = AS\text{-}if\ seq\ s1'\ s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')$

by (*metis assms s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2*)

3.2 Context Syntax

3.2.1 Datatypes

type-synonym $\Phi = fun\text{-}def\ list$

type-synonym $\Theta = type\text{-}def\ list$

type-synonym $\mathcal{B} = bv\ fset$

datatype $\Gamma =$

GNil

| *GCons* $x*b*c\ \Gamma$ (**infixr** $\#_{\Gamma}\ 65$)

datatype $\Delta =$

DNil ($\llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$)

| *DCons* $u*\tau\ \Delta$ (**infixr** $\#_{\Delta}\ 65$)

3.2.2 Functions and Lemmas

lemma $\Gamma\text{-}induct\ [case\text{-}names\ GNil\ GCons] : P\ GNil \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x\ b\ c\ \Gamma'. P\ \Gamma' \Longrightarrow P\ ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')) \Longrightarrow P\ \Gamma$

proof(*induct* $\Gamma\ rule:\Gamma.induct$)

case *GNil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x1\ x2$)

then obtain x **and** b **and** c **where** $x1=(x,b,c)$ **using** *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*

then show *?case* **using** *GCons* **by** *presburger*

qed

```

instantiation  $\Delta :: pt$ 
begin

primrec permute- $\Delta$ 
where
  DNil-eqv:  $p \cdot DNil = DNil$ 
| DCons-eqv:  $p \cdot (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Delta} p \cdot (xs::\Delta)$ 

instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end

lemmas [eqv] = permute- $\Delta$ .simps

lemma  $\Delta$ -induct [case-names DNil DCons] :  $P \ DNil \implies (\bigwedge u \ t \ \Delta'. \ P \ \Delta' \implies P \ ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta')) \implies P \ \Delta$ 
proof(induct  $\Delta$  rule:  $\Delta$ .induct)
case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons x1 x2)
  then obtain u and t where  $x1=(u,t)$  by fastforce
  then show ?case using DCons by presburger
qed

lemma  $\Phi$ -induct [case-names PNil PConsNone PConsSome] :  $P \ [] \implies (\bigwedge f \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s' \ \Phi'. \ P \ \Phi' \implies P \ ((AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s')))) \# \ \Phi')) \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s' \ \Phi'. \ P \ \Phi' \implies P \ ((AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-some \ bv \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s')))) \# \ \Phi')) \implies P \ \Phi$ 
proof(induct  $\Phi$  rule: list.induct)
case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons x1 x2)
  then obtain f and t where  $ft: x1 = (AF-fundef \ f \ t)$ 
  by (meson fun-def.exhaust)
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct t rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  case (AF-fun-typ-some bv ft)
  then show ?case using Cons ft
  by (metis fun-typ.exhaust)
  next
  case (AF-fun-typ-none ft)
  then show ?case using Cons ft
  by (metis fun-typ.exhaust)
qed
qed

lemma  $\Theta$ -induct [case-names TNil AF-typedef AF-typedef-poly] :  $P \ [] \implies (\bigwedge tid \ dclist \ \Theta'. \ P \ \Theta' \implies P \ ((AF-typedef \ tid \ dclist) \# \ \Theta')) \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge tid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta'. \ P \ \Theta' \implies P \ ((AF-typedef-poly \ tid \ bv \ dclist) \# \ \Theta')) \implies P \ \Theta$ 

```

```

proof(induct  $\Theta$  rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons td T)
  show ?case by(cases td rule: type-def.exhaust, (simp add: Cons)+)
qed

```

```

instantiation  $\Gamma :: pt$ 
begin

```

```

primrec permute- $\Gamma$ 
where
  GNil-eqvt:  $p \cdot GNil = GNil$ 
| GCons-eqvt:  $p \cdot (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Gamma} p \cdot (xs::\Gamma)$ 

```

```

instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end

```

```

lemmas [eqvt] = permute- $\Gamma$ .simps

```

```

lemma G-cons-eqvt[simp]:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  shows  $p \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} (p \cdot \Gamma))$  (is ?A = ?B )
using Cons-eqvt triple-eqvt supp-b-empty by simp

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x'',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot x'', b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot \Gamma))$ 
using Cons-eqvt triple-eqvt supp-b-empty by auto

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \# (c,\Gamma)$  and atom  $x' \# (c,\Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh2[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \# (c,\Gamma)$  and atom  $x' \# (c,\Gamma)$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x', b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

```

lemma G-cons-flip-fresh3[simp]:
  fixes  $x::x$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes atom  $x \# \Gamma$  and atom  $x' \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force

```

lemma *neq-GNil-conv*: $(xs \neq GNil) = (\exists y\ ys. xs = y \#_{\Gamma} ys)$
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

nominal-function *toList* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c)$ *list* **where**
toList GNil = []
| *toList (GCons xbc G)* = $xbc \# (toList\ G)$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def toList-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *setG* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c)$ *set* **where**
setG GNil = {}
| *setG (GCons xbc G)* = $\{xbc\} \cup (setG\ G)$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def setG-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *append-g* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma$ (*infixr @ 65*) **where**
append-g GNil g = *g*
| *append-g (xbc # _{Γ} g1) g2* = $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} (g1 @ g2))$
apply (*auto*, *simp add: eqvt-def append-g-graph-aux-def*)
using *neq-GNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *dom* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x$ *set* **where**
dom Γ = (*fst' (setG Γ)*)
apply *auto*
unfolding *eqvt-def dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt setG.eqvt* **by** *simp*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *atom-dom* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow atom$ *set* **where**
atom-dom Γ = *atom' (fst' (setG Γ))*
apply *auto*
unfolding *eqvt-def atom-dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt setG.eqvt* **by** *simp*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

3.2.3 Immutable Variable Context Lemmas

lemma *append-GNil[simp]*:
 $GNil @ G = G$
using *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *append-g-setGU [simp]*: $setG\ (G1 @ G2) = setG\ G1 \cup setG\ G2$
by (*induct G1, auto* +)

```

lemma supp-GNil:
  shows supp GNil = {}
  by (simp add: supp-def)

lemma supp-GCons:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows supp (x #Γ xs) = supp x ∪ supp xs
by (simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)

lemma atom-dom-eq[simp]:
  fixes G::Γ
  shows atom-dom ((x, b, c) #Γ G) = atom-dom ((x, b, c') #Γ G)
using atom-dom.simps setG.simps by simp

lemma dom-append[simp]:
  atom-dom (Γ@Γ') = atom-dom Γ ∪ atom-dom Γ'
  using image-Un append-g-setGU atom-dom.simps by metis

lemma dom-cons[simp]:
  atom-dom ((x,b,c) #Γ G) = { atom x } ∪ atom-dom G
  using image-Un append-g-setGU atom-dom.simps by auto

lemma fresh-GNil[ms-fresh]:
  shows a # GNil
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GNil)

lemma fresh-GCons[ms-fresh]:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows a # (x #Γ xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # x ∧ a # xs
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GCons)

lemma dom-suppg[simp]:
  atom-dom G ⊆ supp G
  apply(induct G rule: Γ-induct,simp)
  using supp-at-base supp-Pair atom-dom.simps supp-GCons by fastforce

lemma fresh-append-g[ms-fresh]:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows a # (xs @ ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # xs ∧ a # ys
  by (induct xs) (simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons)

lemma append-g-assoc:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows (xs @ ys) @ zs = xs @ (ys @ zs)
  by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma append-g-inside:
  fixes xs::Γ

```

shows $xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} ys) = (xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ ys$
by(*induct xs, auto+*)

lemma *finite-Γ*:
finite (setG Γ)
by(*induct Γ rule: Γ-induct, auto*)

lemma *supp-Γ*:
supp Γ = supp (setG Γ)
proof(*induct Γ rule: Γ-induct*)
case GNil
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GNil setG.simps*
by (simp add: supp-set-empty)
next
case (GCons x b c Γ')
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GCons setG.simps finite-Γ supp-of-finite-union*
using supp-of-finite-insert by fastforce
qed

lemma *supp-of-subset*:
fixes G::('a::fs set)
assumes finite G and finite G' and $G \subseteq G'$
shows *supp G \subseteq supp G'*
using *supp-of-finite-sets assms by (metis subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)*

lemma *supp-weakening*:
assumes setG G \subseteq setG G'
shows *supp G \subseteq supp G'*
using *supp-Γ finite-Γ by (simp add: supp-of-subset assms)*

lemma *fresh-weakening[ms-fresh]*:
assumes setG G \subseteq setG G' and $x \# G'$
shows *$x \# G$*
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg x \# G$
hence *$x \in \text{supp } G$ using fresh-def by auto*
hence *$x \in \text{supp } G'$ using supp-weakening assms by auto*
thus *False using fresh-def assms by auto*
qed

instance $\Gamma :: fs$
by (*standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-GNil supp-GCons finite-supp*)

lemma *fresh-gamma-elem*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $a \# \Gamma$
and $e \in \text{setG } \Gamma$
shows *$a \# e$*

using *assms* **by**(*induct* Γ ,*auto simp add: fresh-GCons*)

lemma *fresh-gamma-append*:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $a \# (xs @ ys) \longleftrightarrow a \# xs \wedge a \# ys$

by (*induct xs, simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons*)

lemma *supp-triple[simp]*:

shows $\text{supp } (x, y, z) = \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } y \cup \text{supp } z$

proof –

have $\text{supp } (x,y,z) = \text{supp } (x,(y,z))$ **by** *auto*

hence $\text{supp } (x,y,z) = \text{supp } x \cup (\text{supp } y \cup \text{supp } z)$ **using** *supp-Pair* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *supp-append-g*:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $\text{supp } (xs @ ys) = \text{supp } xs \cup \text{supp } ys$

by(*induct xs,auto simp add: supp-GNil supp-GCons*)

lemma *fresh-in-g[simp]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x'::x$

shows $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } b0 \cup \text{supp } c0 \cup \text{supp } \Gamma)$

proof –

have $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } (\Gamma' @ ((x,b0,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))$

using *fresh-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } ((x,b0,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** *supp-append-g* **by** *fast*

also have $\dots = (\text{atom } x' \notin \text{supp } \Gamma' \cup \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } b0 \cup \text{supp } c0 \cup \text{supp } \Gamma)$ **using** *supp-GCons*
supp-append-g supp-triple **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *fresh-suffix[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma' @ \Gamma$

shows $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule: Γ -induct*)

case *GNil*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)

hence $\text{atom } x \# ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ \Gamma))$ **using** *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{atom } x \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **using** *fresh-GCons* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** *GCons* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *not-GCons-self* [*simp*]:

fixes $xs::\Gamma$

shows $xs \neq x \#_{\Gamma} xs$
by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *not-GCons-self2* [*simp*]:
fixes $xs::\Gamma$
shows $x \#_{\Gamma} xs \neq xs$
by (*rule not-GCons-self* [*symmetric*])

lemma *fresh-restrict*:
fixes $y::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $atom\ y \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $atom\ y \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *fresh-GCons* *fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma''$)
then show *?case* **using** *fresh-GCons* *fresh-GNil* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fresh-dom-free*:
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $(x, b, c) \notin setG\ \Gamma$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *fresh-def* *fresh-GCons* *fresh-Pair* *supp-at-base* **by** *blast*
moreover have $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$ **using** *fresh-GCons* *GCons* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *setG.simps* *GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma Γ -*set-intros*: $x \in setG\ (x \#_{\Gamma} xs)$ **and** $y \in setG\ xs \implies y \in setG\ (x \#_{\Gamma} xs)$
by *simp+*

lemma *fresh-dom-free2*:
assumes $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$
shows $(x, b, c) \notin setG\ \Gamma$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *fresh-def* *fresh-GCons* *fresh-Pair* *supp-at-base* **by** *auto*
moreover have $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma'$ **using** *fresh-GCons* *GCons* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *setG.simps* *GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

3.2.4 Mutable Variable Context Lemmas

lemma *supp-DNil*:

shows $\text{supp } DNil = \{\}$
by (*simp add: supp-def*)

lemma *supp-DCons*:
fixes $xs::\Delta$
shows $\text{supp } (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = \text{supp } x \cup \text{supp } xs$
by (*simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq*)

lemma *fresh-DNil[ms-fresh]*:
shows $a \# DNil$
by (*simp add: fresh-def supp-DNil*)

lemma *fresh-DCons[ms-fresh]*:
fixes $xs::\Delta$
shows $a \# (x \#_{\Delta} xs) \longleftrightarrow a \# x \wedge a \# xs$
by (*simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons*)

instance $\Delta :: fs$
by (*standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-DNil supp-DCons finite-supp*)

3.2.5 Lookup Functions

nominal-function *lookup* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow (b*c) \text{ option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup } GNil \ x = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup } ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G) \ y = (\text{if } x=y \text{ then } \text{Some } (b,c) \text{ else } \text{lookup } G \ y)$
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def lookup-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis neg-GNil-conv surj-pair*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*)
by *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *replace-in-g* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \Gamma \ (-[\longrightarrow-] \ [1000,0,0] \ 200)$ **where**
 $\text{replace-in-g } GNil \ - = GNil$
 $| \text{replace-in-g } ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G) \ x' \ c' = (\text{if } x=x' \text{ then } ((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma} G) \text{ else } (x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} (\text{replace-in-g } G \ x' \ c'))$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-graph-aux-def*)
using *surj-pair* $\Gamma.\text{exhaust}$ **by** *metis*
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

Functions for looking up data-constructors in the Pi context

nominal-function *lookup-fun* :: $\Phi \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow \text{fun-def option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup-fun } [] \ g = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup-fun } ((AF-fundef \ f \ ft)\# \Pi) \ g = (\text{if } (f=g) \text{ then } \text{Some } (AF-fundef \ f \ ft) \text{ else } \text{lookup-fun } \Pi \ g)$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def lookup-fun-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis fun-def.exhaust neg-Nil-conv*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *lookup-td* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{type-def option}$ **where**
 $\text{lookup-td } [] \ g = \text{None}$
 $| \text{lookup-td } ((AF-typedef \ s \ lst) \# (\Theta::\Theta)) \ g = (\text{if } (s = g) \text{ then } \text{Some } (AF-typedef \ s \ lst) \text{ else } \text{lookup-td } \Theta \ g)$

| *lookup-td* ((*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *lst*) # ($\Theta::\Theta$)) *g* = (if (*s* = *g*) then *Some* (*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *lst*) else *lookup-td* Θ *g*)

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def lookup-td-graph-aux-def*)
 by (*metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *name-of-type* ::*type-def* \Rightarrow *f* **where**

name-of-type (*AF-typedef* *f* -) = *f*

| *name-of-type* (*AF-typedef-poly* *f* - -) = *f*

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def name-of-type-graph-aux-def*)

using *type-def.exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *name-of-fun* ::*fun-def* \Rightarrow *f* **where**

name-of-fun (*AF-fundef* *f* *ft*) = *f*

apply(*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def name-of-fun-graph-aux-def*)

using *fun-def.exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *remove2* :: '*a*::*pt* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* **where**

remove2 *x* [] = [] |

remove2 *x* (*y* # *xs*) = (if *x* = *y* then *xs* else *y* # *remove2* *x* *xs*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *eqvt-def remove2-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*+

by (*meson list.exhaust*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *base-for-lit* :: *l* \Rightarrow *b* **where**

base-for-lit (*L-true*) = *B-bool*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-false*) = *B-bool*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-num* *n*) = *B-int*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-unit*) = *B-unit*

| *base-for-lit* (*L-bitvec* *v*) = *B-bitvec*

apply (*auto simp*: *eqvt-def base-for-lit-graph-aux-def*)

using *l.strong-exhaust* **by** *blast*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *neq-DNil-conv*: (*xs* \neq *DNil*) = (\exists *y* *ys*. *xs* = *y* # _{Δ} *ys*)

by (*induct* *xs*) *auto*

nominal-function *setD* :: $\Delta \Rightarrow$ (*u** τ) *set* **where**

setD *DNil* = {}

| *setD* (*DCons* *xbc* *G*) = {*xbc*} \cup (*setD* *G*)

apply (*auto,simp* *add*: *eqvt-def setD-graph-aux-def*)

using *neq-DNil-conv surj-pair* **by** *metis*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*)

by *lexicographic-order*

lemma *eqvt-triple*:

fixes $y::'a::at$ **and** $ya::'a::at$ **and** $xa::'c::at$ **and** $va::'d::fs$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $f::s*'c*'d \Rightarrow s$

assumes $atom\ y \# (xa, va)$ **and** $atom\ ya \# (xa, va)$ **and**

$\forall c. atom\ c \# (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (y, ya, s, sa) \longrightarrow (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$

and $eqvt\text{-}at\ f\ (s, xa, va)$ **and** $eqvt\text{-}at\ f\ (sa, xa, va)$ **and**

$atom\ c \# (s, va, xa, sa)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (y, ya, f\ (s, xa, va), f\ (sa, xa, va))$

shows $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (s, xa, va) = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (sa, xa, va)$

proof –

have $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f\ (s, xa, va) = f\ ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s, xa, va))$ **using** *assms eqvt-at-def* **by** *metis*

also have $\dots = f\ ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = f\ ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **proof** –

have $(y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff-all* **by** *auto*

moreover have $((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

also have $\dots = f\ ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa, xa, va))$ **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **using** *assms eqvt-at-def* **by** *metis*

qed

end

Chapter 4

Immutable Variable Substitution

4.1 Class

```

class has-subst-v = fs +
  fixes subst-v :: 'a::fs  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  'a::fs  (-[::=]_v [1000,50,50] 1000)
  assumes fresh-subst-v-if:  y  $\#$  (subst-v a x v)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (atom x  $\#$  a  $\wedge$  y  $\#$  a)  $\vee$  (y  $\#$  v  $\wedge$  (y  $\#$  a  $\vee$  y =
atom x))
  and forget-subst-v[simp]:  atom x  $\#$  a  $\Longrightarrow$  subst-v a x v = a
  and subst-v-id[simp]:      subst-v a x (V-var x) = a
  and eqvt[simp,eqvt]:       (p::perm)  $\cdot$  (subst-v a x v) = (subst-v (p  $\cdot$  a) (p  $\cdot$  x) (p  $\cdot$  v))
  and flip-subst-v[simp]:    atom x  $\#$  c  $\Longrightarrow$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=[x]v]v
  and flip-subst-subst-v[simp]: atom x  $\#$  c  $\Longrightarrow$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
begin

```

lemma subst-v-flip-eq-one:

```

  fixes z1::x and z2::x and x1::x and x2::x
  assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
    and atom x1  $\#$  (z1,z2,c1,c2)
  shows (c1[z1::=[x1]v]v) = (c2[z2::=[x1]v]v)

```

proof –

```

  have (c1[z1::=[x1]v]v) = (x1  $\leftrightarrow$  z1)  $\cdot$  c1 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
  moreover have (c2[z2::=[x1]v]v) = (x1  $\leftrightarrow$  z2)  $\cdot$  c2 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
    by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) flip-commute)

```

qed

lemma subst-v-simple-commute[simp]:

```

  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x  $\#$  c
  shows (c[z::=[x]v]v)[x::=b]v = c[z::=b]v

```

proof –

```

  have (c[z::=[x]v]v)[x::=b]v = ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[x::=b]v using flip-subst-v assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using flip-subst-subst-v assms by simp

```

qed

lemma subst-v-flip-eq-two:

fixes $z1::x$ **and** $z2::x$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $x2::x$
assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst.\ c2$
shows $(c1[z1::=b]_v) = (c2[z2::=b]_v)$
proof –
obtain $x::x$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = (c2[z2::=[x]^v]_v)$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-one* [*OF* *assms*, *of* x] **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v)[x::=b]_v = (c2[z2::=[x]^v]_v)[x::=b]_v$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-simple-commute* * *fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *subst-v-flip-eq-three*:

assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z1']]lst.\ c1'$ **and** $atom\ x \# c1$ **and** $atom\ x' \# (x, z1, z1', c1, c1')$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v$
proof –
have $atom\ x' \# c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v$ **using** *assms* *fresh-subst-v-if* **by** *simp*
hence $(x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v[x::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *flip-subst-v* [*of* x' $c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v$
 x] *flip-commute* **by** *metis*
also have $\dots = c1[z1::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *subst-v-simple-commute* *fresh-prod4* *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-one* [*of* $z1\ c1\ z1'\ c1'\ x$] **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

end

4.2 Values

nominal-function

$subst\text{-}vv :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v$ **where**
 $subst\text{-}vv\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ x\ v = V\text{-}lit\ l$
 $| subst\text{-}vv\ (V\text{-}var\ y)\ x\ v = (if\ x = y\ then\ v\ else\ V\text{-}var\ y)$
 $| subst\text{-}vv\ (V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ c\ v')\ x\ v = V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ c\ (subst\text{-}vv\ v'\ x\ v)$
 $| subst\text{-}vv\ (V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ v')\ x\ v = V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ (subst\text{-}vv\ v'\ x\ v)$
 $| subst\text{-}vv\ (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)\ x\ v = V\text{-}pair\ (subst\text{-}vv\ v1\ x\ v)\ (subst\text{-}vv\ v2\ x\ v)$
apply(*auto* *simp*: *eqvt-def* *subst-vv-graph-aux-def*)
by(*metis* *v.strong-exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

$subst\text{-}vv\text{-}abbrev :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v\ (-[::=]_{vv}\ [1000, 50, 50]\ 1000)$
where
 $v[x::=v']_{vv} \equiv subst\text{-}vv\ v\ x\ v'$

lemma *fresh-subst-vv-if* [*simp*]:

$j \# t[i::=x]_{vv} = ((atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i)))$
using *supp-l-empty* **apply** (*induct* t *rule*: *v.induct*, *auto* *simp* *add*: *subst-vv.simps* *fresh-def*, *auto*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *supp-at-base* |*metis* *b.supp* *supp-b-empty*)+
done

lemma *forget-subst-vv* [simp]: $\text{atom } a \# \text{tm} \implies \text{tm}[a::=x]_{vv} = \text{tm}$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)

lemma *subst-vv-id* [simp]: $\text{tm}[a::=V\text{-var } a]_{vv} = \text{tm}$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) simp-all

lemma *subst-vv-commute* [simp]:
 $\text{atom } j \# \text{tm} \implies \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \ t) \ j \ u = \text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \ (\text{subst-vv } t \ j \ u)$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (auto simp: fresh-Pair)

lemma *subst-vv-commute2* [simp]:
 $\text{atom } j \# t \implies \text{atom } i \# u \implies i \neq j \implies \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \ t) \ j \ u = \text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } j \ u) \ i \ t$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) auto

lemma *repeat-subst-tvm* [simp]: $\text{subst-vv } (\text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \ t) \ i \ u = \text{subst-vv } \text{tm } i \ (\text{subst-vv } t \ i \ u)$
by (induct tm rule: v.induct) auto

lemma *subst-vv-var-flip*[simp]:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\text{atom } y \# v$
shows $(y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v [x::=V\text{-var } y]_{vv}$
using *assms* **apply** (induct v rule: v.induct)
apply auto
using *l.fresh l.perm-simps l.strong-exhaust supp-l-empty permute-pure permute-list.simps fresh-def flip-fresh-fresh* **apply** *fastforce*
using *permute-pure* **apply** *blast+*
done

instantiation $v :: \text{has-subst-v}$
begin

definition

$\text{subst-v} = \text{subst-vv}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::x$ **and** $x::v$ **and** $t::v$
show $(j \# \text{subst-v } t \ i \ x) = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$
using *fresh-subst-vv-if[of j t i x]* *subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*
fix $a::x$ **and** $\text{tm}::v$ **and** $x::v$
show $\text{atom } a \# \text{tm} \implies \text{subst-v } \text{tm } a \ x = \text{tm}$
using *forget-subst-vv* *subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $a::x$ **and** $\text{tm}::v$
show $\text{subst-v } \text{tm } a \ (V\text{-var } a) = \text{tm}$ **using** *subst-vv-id* *subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $t1::v$
show $p \cdot \text{subst-v } t1 \ x1 \ v = \text{subst-v } (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$
using *subst-vv-commute* *subst-v-v-def* **by** *simp*

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::v$ **and** $z::x$
show $\text{atom } x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$

```

using subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-v-def by simp

fix x::x and c::v and z::x
show atom x  $\sharp$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  using subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-v-def by simp
qed

end

```

4.3 Expressions

```

nominal-function subst-ev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  e where
  subst-ev ( (AE-val v') ) x v = ( (AE-val (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-app f v') ) x v = ( (AE-app f (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-appP f b v') ) x v = ( (AE-appP f b (subst-vv v' x v)) )
| subst-ev ( (AE-op opp v1 v2) ) x v = ( (AE-op opp (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)) )
| subst-ev [#1 v]e x v = [#1 (subst-vv v' x v)]e
| subst-ev [#2 v]e x v = [#2 (subst-vv v' x v)]e
| subst-ev ( (AE-mvar u) ) x v = AE-mvar u
| subst-ev [| v' |]e x v = [| (subst-vv v' x v) |]e
| subst-ev ( AE-concat v1 v2 ) x v = AE-concat (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)
| subst-ev ( AE-split v1 v2 ) x v = AE-split (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)
by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ev-graph-aux-def, auto)(meson e.strong-exhaust)

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

```

subst-ev-abbrev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\Rightarrow$  v  $\Rightarrow$  e (-[::=])ev [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[x::=v]ev  $\equiv$  subst-ev e x v'

```

```

lemma size-subst-ev [simp]: size ( subst-ev A i x ) = size A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: e.strong-induct)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma forget-subst-ev [simp]: atom a  $\sharp$  A  $\implies$  subst-ev A a x = A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: e.strong-induct)
  apply (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done

```

```

lemma subst-ev-id [simp]: subst-ev A a (V-var a) = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma fresh-subst-ev-if [simp]:
  j  $\sharp$  (subst-ev A i x) = ((atom i  $\sharp$  A  $\wedge$  j  $\sharp$  A)  $\vee$  (j  $\sharp$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\sharp$  A  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
  apply (induct A rule: e.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: subst-ev.simps fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if subst-vv.simps)

```

```

  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)

```

```

    apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (blast | meson fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (metis b.sup supp-b-empty fresh-opp-all fresh-def)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
  apply (simp add: supp-at-base x-not-in-u-atoms)
  apply (simp add: supp-at-base x-not-in-u-atoms)
  apply (metis (no-types) fresh-def fresh-subst-vv-if)+
done

```

lemma *subst-ev-commute* [simp]:
 $atom\ j \# A \implies (subst-ev\ (subst-ev\ A\ i\ t))\ j\ u = subst-ev\ A\ i\ (subst-vv\ t\ j\ u)$
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma *subst-ev-var-flip* [simp]:
 fixes $e::e$ and $y::x$ and $x::x$
 assumes $atom\ y \# e$
 shows $(y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e = e\ [x::=V-var\ y]_{ev}$
 using assms apply (nominal-induct e rule: e.strong-induct)
 apply (simp add: subst-v-v-def)
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
 subst-vv-var-flip)
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
 subst-vv-var-flip)
 apply (rule-tac $y=x1a$ in opp.strong-exhaust)
 using subst-vv-var-flip flip-def apply (simp add: flip-def permute-pure)+
done

lemma *subst-ev-flip*:
 fixes $e::e$ and $ea::e$ and $c::x$
 assumes $atom\ c \# (e, ea)$ and $atom\ c \# (x, xa, e, ea)$ and $(x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea$
 shows $e[x::=v]_{ev} = ea[xa::=v]_{ev}$
proof –
 have $e[x::=v]_{ev} = (e[x::=V-var\ c]_{ev})[c::=v]_{ev}$ using subst-ev-commute assms by simp
 also have $\dots = ((c \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e)[c::=v]_{ev}$ using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 also have $\dots = ((c \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ea)[c::=v]_{ev}$ using assms flip-commute by metis
 also have $\dots = ea[xa::=v]_{ev}$ using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma *subst-ev-var* [simp]:
 $(AE-val\ (V-var\ x))[x::=[z]^v]_{ev} = AE-val\ (V-var\ z)$
 by auto

instantiation $e :: \text{has-subst-}v$

begin

definition

$\text{subst-}v = \text{subst-ev}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::x$ **and** $x::v$ **and** $t::e$

show $(j \# \text{subst-}v \ t \ i \ x) = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

using $\text{fresh-subst-ev-if}[of \ j \ t \ i \ x] \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** metis

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::e$ **and** $x::v$

show $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies \text{subst-}v \ tm \ a \ x = tm$

using $\text{forget-subst-ev} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::e$

show $\text{subst-}v \ tm \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** $\text{subst-ev-id} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $t1::e$

show $p \cdot \text{subst-}v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = \text{subst-}v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$

using $\text{subst-ev-commute} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::e$ **and** $z::x$

show $\text{atom } x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$

using $\text{subst-ev-var} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

fix $x::x$ **and** $c::e$ **and** $z::x$

show $\text{atom } x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$

using $\text{subst-ev-var-flip} \ \text{subst-}v\text{-e-def}$ **by** simp

qed

end

lemma $\text{subst-ev-commute-subst}$:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $w::v$ **and** $v::v$

assumes $\text{atom } z \# v$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# w$ **and** $x \neq z$

shows $\text{subst-ev} \ (e[z::=w]_{ev}) \ x \ v = \text{subst-ev} \ (e[x::=v]_{ev}) \ z \ w$

using assms **by** $(\text{nominal-induct } e \ \text{rule: } e.\text{strong-induct}, \text{simp}+)$

lemma $\text{subst-ev-v-flip1}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $e::e$

assumes $\text{atom } z1 \# (z, e)$ **and** $\text{atom } z1' \# (z, e)$

shows $(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot e[z::=v]_{ev} = e[z::=((z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot v)]_{ev}$

using assms **proof** $(\text{nominal-induct } e \ \text{rule: } e.\text{strong-induct})$

qed $(\text{simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh})+$

4.4 Expressions in Constraints

nominal-function $\text{subst-cev} :: ce \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow ce$ **where**

$\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-val } v')) \ x \ v = ((CE\text{-val } (\text{subst-vv } v' \ x \ v)))$

| $\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2)) \ x \ v = ((CE\text{-op } \text{opp } (\text{subst-cev } v1 \ x \ v) \ (\text{subst-cev } v2 \ x \ v)))$

| $\text{subst-cev} \ ((CE\text{-fst } v')) \ x \ v = CE\text{-fst } (\text{subst-cev } v' \ x \ v)$

```

| subst-cev ( (CE-snd v') ) x v = CE-snd (subst-cev v' x v )
| subst-cev ( (CE-len v') ) x v = CE-len (subst-cev v' x v )
| subst-cev ( CE-concat v1 v2 ) x v = CE-concat (subst-cev v1 x v ) (subst-cev v2 x v )
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cev-graph-aux-def, auto)
by (meson ce.strong-exhaust)

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) **by** lexicographic-order

abbreviation

```
subst-cev-abbrev :: ce ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ ce ([-::=]_cev [1000,50,50] 500)
```

where

```
e[x::=v]_cev ≡ subst-cev e x v'
```

lemma size-subst-cev [simp]: size (subst-cev A i x) = size A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto)

lemma forget-subst-cev [simp]: atom a # A ⇒ subst-cev A a x = A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cev-id [simp]: subst-cev A a (V-var a) = A

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma fresh-subst-cev-if [simp]:

```
j # (subst-cev A i x ) = ((atom i # A ∧ j # A) ∨ (j # x ∧ (j # A ∨ j = atom i)))
```

proof(nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct)

case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

then show ?case **using** fresh-subst-vv-if subst-ev.simps e.supp pure-fresh opp.fresh

fresh-e-opp

using fresh-opp-all **by** auto

qed(auto)+

lemma subst-cev-commute [simp]:

```
atom j # A ⇒ (subst-cev (subst-cev A i t ) j u) = subst-cev A i (subst-vv t j u )
```

by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cev-var-flip[simp]:

fixes e::ce **and** y::x **and** x::x

assumes atom y # e

shows (y ↔ x) · e = e [x::=V-var y]_cev

using assms **proof**(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)

case (CE-val v)

then show ?case **using** subst-vv-var-flip **by** auto

next

case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

hence yf: atom y # v1 ∧ atom y # v2 **using** ce.fresh **by** blast

have (y ↔ x) · (CE-op opp v1 v2) = CE-op ((y ↔ x) · opp) ((y ↔ x) · v1) ((y ↔ x) · v2)

using opp.perm-simps ce.perm-simps permute-pure ce.fresh opp.strong-exhaust **by** presburger

also have ... = CE-op ((y ↔ x) · opp) (v1[x::=V-var y]_cev) (v2[x::=V-var y]_cev) **using** yf

by (simp add: CE-op.hyps(1) CE-op.hyps(2))

finally show ?case **using** subst-cev.simps opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust

```

    by (metis (full-types))
next
case (CE-fst v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-snd v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-len v)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
next
case (CE-concat v1 v2)
then show ?case using permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip by simp
qed

```

lemma *subst-cev-flip*:

```

fixes e::ce and ea::ce and c::x
assumes atom c  $\#$  (e, ea) and atom c  $\#$  (x, xa, e, ea) and (x  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  e = (xa  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  ea
shows  $e[x::=v]_{cev} = ea[xa::=v]_{cev}$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $e[x::=v]_{cev} = (e[x::=V-var\ c]_{cev})[c::=v]_{cev}$  using subst-ev-commute assms by simp
also have ... = ((c  $\leftrightarrow$  x)  $\cdot$  e)[c::=v]_{cev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
also have ... = ((c  $\leftrightarrow$  xa)  $\cdot$  ea)[c::=v]_{cev} using assms flip-commute by metis
also have ... = ea[xa::=v]_{cev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
finally show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

lemma *subst-cev-var*[simp]:

```

fixes z::x and x::x
shows  $[[x]^v]^{ce} [x::=[z]^v]_{cev} = [[z]^v]^{ce}$ 

```

by auto

instantiation *ce :: has-subst-v*

begin

definition

subst-v = *subst-cev*

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::ce
show (j  $\#$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
using fresh-subst-cev-if[of j t i x] subst-v-ce-def by metis

```

```

fix a::x and tm::ce and x::v
show atom a  $\#$  tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
using forget-subst-cev subst-v-ce-def by simp

```

```

fix a::x and tm::ce
show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-cev-id subst-v-ce-def by simp

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::ce
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  using subst-cev-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp

fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c) = c [z::=V-var x]v
  using subst-cev-var subst-v-ce-def by simp

fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
  using subst-cev-var-flip subst-v-ce-def by simp
qed

end

```

```

lemma subst-cev-commute-subst:
  fixes e::ce and w::v and v::v
  assumes atom z # v and atom x # w and x ≠ z
  shows subst-cev (e[z::=w]cev) x v = subst-cev (e[x::=v]cev) z w
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule: ce.strong-induct,simp+)

```

```

lemma subst-cev-v-flip1[simp]:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes atom z1 # (z,e) and atom z1' # (z,e)
  shows (z1 ↔ z1') · e[z::=v]cev = e[z::=((z1 ↔ z1') · v)]cev
  using assms proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
qed (simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh)+

```

4.5 Constraints

```

nominal-function subst-cv :: c ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ c where
  subst-cv (C-true) x v = C-true
| subst-cv (C-false) x v = C-false
| subst-cv (C-conj c1 c2) x v = C-conj (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (C-disj c1 c2) x v = C-disj (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (C-imp c1 c2) x v = C-imp (subst-cv c1 x v) (subst-cv c2 x v)
| subst-cv (e1 == e2) x v = ((subst-cev e1 x v) == (subst-cev e2 x v))
| subst-cv (C-not c) x v = C-not (subst-cv c x v)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cv-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

subst-cv-abbrev :: c ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ c ([-::=]cv [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
  c[x::=v]cv ≡ subst-cv c x v'

```

```

lemma size-subst-cv [simp]: size (subst-cv A i x) = size A

```

```

  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma forget-subst-cv [simp]: atom a # A  $\implies$  subst-cv A a x = A
  apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: c.strong-induct)
  apply (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done

```

```

lemma subst-cv-id [simp]: subst-cv A a (V-var a) = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma fresh-subst-cv-if [simp]:
  j # (subst-cv A i x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (atom i # A  $\wedge$  j # A)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # A  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct, (auto simp add: pure-fresh)+)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-cv (subst-cv A i t) j u) = subst-cv A i (subst-vv t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma let-s-size [simp]: size s  $\leq$  size (AS-let x e s)
  apply (nominal-induct s avoiding: e x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct(1))
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma subst-cv-var-flip[simp]:
  fixes c::c
  assumes atom y # c
  shows (y  $\leftrightarrow$  x)  $\cdot$  c = c[x::=V-var y]cv
  using assms by (nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, (simp add: flip-subst-v subst-v-ce-def)+)

```

```

instantiation c :: has-subst-v
begin

```

```

definition

```

```

  subst-v = subst-cv

```

```

instance proof

```

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::c
  show (j # subst-v t i x) = ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    using fresh-subst-cv-if[of j t i x] subst-v-c-def by metis

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::c and x::v
  show atom a # tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
    using forget-subst-cv subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::c
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-cv-id subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

fix  $p::perm$  and  $x1::x$  and  $v::v$  and  $t1::c$ 
show  $p \cdot subst\text{-}v\ t1\ x1\ v = subst\text{-}v\ (p \cdot t1)\ (p \cdot x1)\ (p \cdot v)$ 
  using  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}commute\ subst\text{-}v\text{-}c\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 

fix  $x::x$  and  $c::c$  and  $z::x$ 
show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  using  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}var\text{-}flip\ subst\text{-}v\text{-}c\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 

fix  $x::x$  and  $c::c$  and  $z::x$ 
show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  using  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}var\text{-}flip\ subst\text{-}v\text{-}c\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 
qed

end

lemma  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}var\text{-}flip1[simp]$ :
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $atom\ y \# c$ 
  shows  $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c = c[x::=V\text{-}var\ y]_{cv}$ 
  using  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}var\text{-}flip\ flip\text{-}commute$ 
  by  $(metis\ assms)$ 

lemma  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}v\text{-}flip1[simp]$ :
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $atom\ z1 \# (z,c)$  and  $atom\ z1' \# (z,c)$ 
  shows  $(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot c[z::=v]_{cv} = c[z::=((z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot v)]_{cv}$ 
  using  $assms$  proof  $(nominal\text{-}induct\ c\ rule:c.\text{strong}\text{-}induct)$ 
  case  $(C\text{-}conj\ c1\ c2)$ 
  then show  $?case$ 
    by  $(metis\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}PairD(1)\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2)\ subst\text{-}cv.\text{eqvt})$ 
  next
  case  $(C\text{-}disj\ c1\ c2)$ 
  then show  $?case$  by  $(metis\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}PairD(1)\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2)\ subst\text{-}cv.\text{eqvt})$ 
  next
  case  $(C\text{-}not\ c)$ 
  then show  $?case$  by  $(metis\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}PairD(1)\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2)\ subst\text{-}cv.\text{eqvt})$ 
  next
  case  $(C\text{-}imp\ c1\ c2)$ 
  then show  $?case$  by  $(metis\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}PairD(1)\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2)\ subst\text{-}cv.\text{eqvt})$ 
  next
  case  $(C\text{-}eq\ e1\ e2)$ 
  then show  $?case$  using  $subst\text{-}ev\text{-}v\text{-}flip1\ flip\text{-}def\ fresh\text{-}Pair\ swap\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh$ 
    by  $(simp\ add:\ fresh\text{-}Pair)$ 
  qed  $(force+)$ 

lemma  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}v\text{-}flip2[simp]$ :
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $atom\ z1 \# (z,c)$  and  $atom\ z1' \# (z,c)$ 
  shows  $(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv} = c[z::=[z1']^v]_{cv}$ 
  using  $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}v\text{-}flip1\ assms$  by  $simp$ 

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip3[simp]:
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } z1 \# c$  and  $\text{atom } z1' \# c$ 
  shows  $(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv} = c[z::=[z1']^v]_{cv}$ 
proof –
  consider  $z1' = z \mid z1 = z \mid \text{atom } z1 \# z \wedge \text{atom } z1' \# z$  by force
  then show ?thesis proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using 1 assms by auto
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using 2 assms by auto
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis using subst-cv-v-flip2 assms by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma subst-cv-v-flip[simp]:
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } x \# c$ 
  shows  $((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_{cv} = c[z::=v]_{cv}$ 
  using assms subst-v-c-def by auto

```

```

lemma subst-cv-commute-subst:
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } z \# v$  and  $\text{atom } x \# w$  and  $x \neq z$ 
  shows  $(c[z::=w]_{cv})[x::=v]_{cv} = (c[x::=v]_{cv})[z::=w]_{cv}$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using subst-cev-commute-subst by simp
qed(force+)

```

```

lemma subst-cv-eq[simp]:
  assumes  $\text{atom } z1 \# e1$ 
  shows  $(\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == e1)[z1::=[x]^v]_{cv} = (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \text{ (is ?A = ?B)}$ 
proof –
  have ?A =  $((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z1))[z1::=[x]^v]_{cv}) == e1$  using subst-cv.simps assms by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

4.6 Variable Context

```

nominal-function subst-gv ::  $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Gamma$  where
  subst-gv GNil  $x \ v = GNil$ 
  | subst-gv  $((y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ v = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \Gamma \text{ else } ((y, b, c[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\text{subst-gv } \Gamma \ x \ v)))$ 
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1
  then show ?case by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-gv-graph-aux-def )
next

```

case ($\exists P x$)
then show $?case$ **by** (*metis neq-GNil-conv prod-cases3*)
qed(*fast+*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-gv-abbrev :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ } (-[::=]_{\Gamma v} [1000, 50, 50] 1000)$

where

$g[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \equiv \text{subst-gv } g \ x \ v$

lemma *size-subst-gv [simp]*: $\text{size } (\text{subst-gv } G \ i \ x) \leq \text{size } G$
by (*induct G, auto*)

lemma *forget-subst-gv [simp]*: $\text{atom } a \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \text{subst-gv } G \ a \ x = G$
apply (*induct G , auto*)
using *fresh-GCons fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh* **apply** *blast*
apply (*simp add: fresh-GCons*)+
done

lemma *fresh-subst-gv*: $\text{atom } a \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \text{atom } a \ \# \ v \Longrightarrow \text{atom } a \ \# \ \text{subst-gv } G \ x \ v$

proof(*induct G*)

case *GNil*

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons xbc G*)

obtain x' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $xbc: xbc = (x', b', c')$ **using** *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*

show $?case$ **proof**(*cases x=x'*)

case *True*

have $\text{atom } a \ \# \ G$ **using** *GCons fresh-GCons* **by** *blast*

thus $?thesis$ **using** *subst-gv.simps(2)[of x' b' c' G] GCons xbc True* **by** *presburger*

next

case *False*

then show $?thesis$ **using** *subst-gv.simps(2)[of x' b' c' G] GCons xbc False fresh-GCons* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *subst-gv-flip*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $z::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } xa \ \# \ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \ \# \ \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \ \# \ \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \ \# \ (z, c)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \ \# \ (z, c)$

shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) = (xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma$

proof –

have $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) = ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot x, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma)$

using *subst Cons-eqvt flip-fresh-fresh* **using** *G-cons-flip* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma))$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma))$ **using** *assms subst-cv-v-flip1[of x z c xa V-var x]* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = ((xa, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *blast*

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*

qed

4.7 Types

nominal-function *subst-tv* :: $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau$ **where**

atom $z \# (x, v) \implies \text{subst-tv } \{ z : b \mid c \} x v = \{ z : b \mid c[x::=v]_{cv} \}$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-tv-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

apply(*rule-tac* $y=a$ **and** $c=(aa,b)$ **in** $\tau.\text{strong-exhaust}$)

apply (*auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base*)

apply *blast*

proof –

fix $z :: x$ **and** $c :: c$ **and** $za :: x$ **and** $xa :: x$ **and** $va :: v$ **and** $ca :: c$ **and** $cb :: x$

assume $a1: \text{atom } za \# va$ **and** $a2: \text{atom } z \# va$ **and** $a3: \forall cb. \text{atom } cb \# c \wedge \text{atom } cb \# ca \longrightarrow cb \neq z \wedge cb \neq za \longrightarrow c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$

assume $a4: \text{atom } cb \# c$ **and** $a5: \text{atom } cb \# ca$ **and** $a6: cb \neq z$ **and** $a7: cb \neq za$ **and** $\text{atom } cb \# va$ **and** $a8: za \neq xa$ **and** $a9: z \neq xa$

assume $a10: cb \neq xa$

note $assms = a10 \ a9 \ a8 \ a7 \ a6 \ a5 \ a4 \ a3 \ a2 \ a1$

have $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** $assms$ **by** *auto*

hence $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv}$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $c[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-cv-commute-subst[of z va xa V-var cb]* *assms fresh-def v.sup* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $ca[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-cv-commute-subst[of za va xa V-var cb]* *assms fresh-def v.sup* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show $c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv} = ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-var } cb]_{cv}$ **by** *simp*

qed

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-tv-abbrev :: $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau$ ($[-::=]_{\tau v} [1000, 50, 50] 1000$)

where

$t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \equiv \text{subst-tv } t \ x \ v$

lemma *size-subst-tv* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-tv } A \ i \ x) = \text{size } A$

proof (*nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

case (*T-refined-type* $x' \ b' \ c'$)

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *forget-subst-tv* [*simp*]: $\text{atom } a \# A \implies \text{subst-tv } A \ a \ x = A$

apply (*nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

apply(*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)

done

lemma *subst-tv-id* [*simp*]: $\text{subst-tv } A \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = A$

by (*nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*) (*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)

lemma *fresh-subst-tv-if* [*simp*]:

$j \# (\text{subst-tv } A \ i \ x) \iff (\text{atom } i \# A \wedge j \# A) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# A \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

apply (*nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: $\tau.\text{strong-induct}$*)

using *fresh-def supp-b-empty x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-tv-commute* [simp]:
 $atom\ y \# \tau \implies (\tau[x::=t]_{\tau v})[y::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=t[y::=v]_{vv}]_{\tau v}$
by (*nominal-induct* τ *avoiding*: $x\ y\ t\ v$ *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*) (*auto* *simp*: *fresh-at-base*)

lemma *subst-tv-var-flip* [simp]:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
assumes $atom\ xa \# \tau$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \tau[x::=V\text{-var}\ xa]_{\tau v}$

proof –
obtain $z::x$ **and** b **and** c **where** zbc : $atom\ z \# (x, xa, V\text{-var}\ xa) \wedge \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$
using *obtain-fresh-z* **by** (*metis* *prod.inject* *subst-tv.cases*)
hence $atom\ xa \notin \text{supp}\ c - \{atom\ z\}$ **using** τ .*supp*[*of* $z\ b\ c$] *fresh-def* *supp-b-empty* *assms*
by *auto*
moreover **have** $xa \neq z$ **using** zbc *fresh-prod3* **by** *force*
ultimately **have** xaf : $atom\ xa \# c$ **using** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
have $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \llbracket z : b \mid (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c \rrbracket$
by (*metis* τ .*perm-simps* *empty-iff* *flip-at-base-simps*(3) *flip-fresh-fresh* *fresh-PairD*(1) *fresh-PairD*(2) *fresh-def* *not-self-fresh* *supp-b-empty* v .*fresh*(2) zbc)
also **have** $\dots = \llbracket z : b \mid c[x::=V\text{-var}\ xa]_{cv} \rrbracket$ **using** *subst-cv-var-flip1* xaf **by** *presburger*
finally **show** *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* zbc
using *fresh-PairD*(1) *not-self-fresh* **by** *force*
qed

instantiation $\tau :: has\text{-subst-}v$
begin

definition
 $subst\text{-}v = subst\text{-}tv$

instance **proof**
fix $j::atom$ **and** $i::x$ **and** $x::v$ **and** $t::\tau$
show $(j \# subst\text{-}v\ t\ i\ x) = ((atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i)))$

proof(*nominal-induct* t *avoiding*: $i\ x$ *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*)
case (*T-refined-type* $z\ b\ c$)
hence $j \# \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket[i::=x]_v = j \# \llbracket z : b \mid c[i::=x]_{cv} \rrbracket$ **using** *subst-tv.simps* *subst-v- τ -def* *fresh-Pair* **by** *simp*
also **have** $\dots = (atom\ i \# \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge j \# \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \vee j = atom\ i))$
by *auto*
unfolding τ .*fresh* **using** *subst-v-c-def* *fresh-subst-v-if*
using *T-refined-type.hyps*(1) *T-refined-type.hyps*(2) *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*
finally **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::\tau$ **and** $x::v$
show $atom\ a \# tm \implies subst\text{-}v\ tm\ a\ x = tm$
apply(*nominal-induct* tm *avoiding*: $a\ x$ *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*)
using *subst-v-c-def* *forget-subst-v* *subst-tv.simps* *subst-v- τ -def* *fresh-Pair* **by** *simp*

fix $a::x$ **and** $tm::\tau$
show $subst\text{-}v\ tm\ a\ (V\text{-var}\ a) = tm$
apply(*nominal-induct* tm *avoiding*: a *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*)

```

using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by simp

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1:: $\tau$ 
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by simp

fix x::x and c:: $\tau$  and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def flip-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair by auto

fix x::x and c:: $\tau$  and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x v z rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  using subst-v-c-def subst-tv.simps subst-v- $\tau$ -def fresh-Pair
  by (metis flip-commute subst-tv-commute subst-tv-var-flip subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-vv.simps(2))
qed

end

```

```

lemma subst-tv-commute-subst:
  fixes c:: $\tau$ 
  assumes atom z  $\#$  v and atom x  $\#$  w and x $\neq$ z
  shows (c[z::=w] $\tau$ v)[x::=v] $\tau$ v = (c[x::=v] $\tau$ v)[z::=w] $\tau$ v
  using assms proof(nominal-induct c avoiding: x v z w rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x1a x2a x3a)
  then show ?case using subst-cv-commute-subst by simp
qed

```

```

lemma type-eq-subst-eq:
  fixes v::v and c1::c
  assumes  $\{ \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = \{ \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \} \}$ 
  shows c1[z1::=v]c $v$  = c2[z2::=v]c $v$ 
  using subst-v-flip-eq-two[of z1 c1 z2 c2 v]  $\tau$ .eq-iff assms subst-v-c-def by simp

```

```

nominal-function c-of ::  $\tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c$  where
  atom z  $\#$  x  $\implies$  c-of (T-refined-type z b c) x = c[z::=[x]v]c $v$ 
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1
  then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
  case (2 x y)
  then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
  case (3 P x)
  then obtain x1:: $\tau$  and x2::x where *:x = (x1,x2) by force
  obtain z' and b' and c' where x1 =  $\{ \{ z' : b' \mid c' \} \} \wedge$  atom z'  $\#$  x2 using obtain-fresh-z by metis

```

```

  then show ?case using 3 * by auto
next
case (4 z1 x1 b1 c1 z2 x2 b2 c2)
  then show ?case using subst-v-flip-eq-two  $\tau$ .eq-iff by (metis prod.inject type-eq-subst-eq)
qed

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma c-of-eq:
  shows c-of  $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket x = c$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$  avoiding: x rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x' c')
    moreover hence c-of  $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket x = c'[x'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$  using c-of.simps by auto
    moreover have  $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using T-refined-type  $\tau$ .eq-iff by metis
    moreover have  $c'[x'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv} = c$  using T-refined-type Abs1-eq-iff flip-subst-v subst-v-c-def
      by (metis subst-cv-id)
    ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma obtain-fresh-z-c-of:
  fixes t::'b::fs
  obtains z where atom z  $\sharp$  t  $\wedge$   $\tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau z \rrbracket$ 
proof -
  obtain z and c where atom z  $\sharp$  t  $\wedge$   $\tau = \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c \rrbracket$  using obtain-fresh-z2 by metis
  moreover hence c = c-of  $\tau z$  using c-of.simps using c-of-eq by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that by auto
qed

```

```

lemma c-of-fresh:
  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x  $\sharp$  (t,z)
  shows atom x  $\sharp$  c-of t z
proof -
  obtain z' and c' where z:t =  $\llbracket z' : b\text{-of } t \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$  atom z'  $\sharp$  (x,z) using obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis
  hence *:c-of t z = c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv} using c-of.simps fresh-Pair by metis
  have (atom x  $\sharp$  c'  $\vee$  atom x  $\in$  set [atom z'])  $\wedge$  atom x  $\sharp$  b-of t using  $\tau$ .fresh assms z fresh-Pair by metis
  hence atom x  $\sharp$  c' using fresh-Pair z fresh-at-base(2) by fastforce
  moreover have atom x  $\sharp$  V-var z using assms fresh-Pair v.fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c' z' V-var z] subst-v-c-def * by metis
qed

```

```

lemma c-of-switch:
  fixes z::x
  assumes atom z  $\sharp$  t
  shows (c-of t z)[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv} = c-of t x
proof -

```

obtain z' and c' where $z:t = \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid c' \} \wedge \text{atom } z' \# (x, z)$ using $\text{obtain-fresh-z-c-of}$ by metis
hence $(\text{atom } z \# c' \vee \text{atom } z \in \text{set } [\text{atom } z']) \wedge \text{atom } z \# b\text{-of } t$ using $\tau.\text{fresh}[\text{of atom } z \text{ } z' \text{ } b\text{-of } t \text{ } c']$
assms by metis
moreover have $\text{atom } z \notin \text{set } [\text{atom } z']$ using $z \text{ fresh-Pair}$ by force
ultimately have $:\text{atom } z \# c'$ using $\text{fresh-Pair } z \text{ fresh-at-base}(2)$ by metis**

have $(c\text{-of } t \text{ } z)[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv} = c'[z':=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ using $c\text{-of.simps fresh-Pair } z$ by metis
also have $\dots = c'[z':=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ using $\text{subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-c-def assms } c\text{-of.simps } z$ by metis
finally show $?thesis$ using $c\text{-of.simps}[\text{of } z' \text{ } x \text{ } b\text{-of } t \text{ } c'] \text{ fresh-Pair } z$ by metis
qed

lemma type-eq-subst-eq1 :

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$ and $\text{atom } z1 \# c2$
shows $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$ and $c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$
proof –
show $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ using $\text{type-eq-subst-eq assms}$ by blast
show $b1=b2$ using $\tau.\text{eq-iff assms}$ by blast
have $z1 = z2 \wedge c1 = c2 \vee z1 \neq z2 \wedge c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# c2$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff}[\text{of } z1 \text{ } c1 \text{ } z2 \text{ } c2]$ assms by blast
thus $c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$ by auto
qed

lemma type-eq-subst-eq2 :

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$
shows $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$ and $[[\text{atom } z1]]\text{lst. } c1 = [[\text{atom } z2]]\text{lst. } c2$
proof –
show $c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}$ using $\text{type-eq-subst-eq assms}$ by blast
show $b1=b2$ using $\tau.\text{eq-iff assms}$ by blast
show $[[\text{atom } z1]]\text{lst. } c1 = [[\text{atom } z2]]\text{lst. } c2$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff assms}$ by auto
qed

lemma type-eq-subst-eq3 :

fixes $v::v$ and $c1::c$
assumes $\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \})$ and $\text{atom } z1 \# c2$
shows $c1 = c2[z2::=V\text{-var } z1]_{cv}$ and $b1=b2$
using $\text{type-eq-subst-eq1 assms subst-v-c-def}$
by $(\text{metis subst-cv-var-flip})+$

lemma type-eq-flip :

assumes $\text{atom } x \# c$
shows $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ x : b \mid (x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c \}$
using $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff assms}$
by $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) flip-fresh-fresh})$

lemma *c-of-true*:

c-of $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket x = C\text{-true}$
proof(*nominal-induct* $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket$ *avoiding*: x *rule*: τ .*strong-induct*)
case (*T-refined-type* $x1a$ $x3a$)
hence $\llbracket z' : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket = \llbracket x1a : B\text{-bool} \mid x3a \rrbracket$ **using** τ .*eq-iff* **by** *metis*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-cv.simps* *c-of.simps* *T-refined-type*
type-eq-subst-eq3
by (*metis* *type-eq-subst-eq*)
qed

lemma *type-eq-subst*:

assumes *atom* $x \# c$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket$
using τ .*eq-iff* *Abs1-eq-iff* *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *type-e-subst-fresh*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $z::x$
assumes *atom* $z \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $x \# e$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == e \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == e \llbracket$
using *assms* *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* *forget-subst-cev* **by** *simp*

lemma *type-v-subst-fresh*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $z::x$
assumes *atom* $z \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $x \# v'$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket ==$
 $CE\text{-val } v' \llbracket$
using *assms* *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *subst-tbase-eq*:

b-of $\tau = b\text{-of } \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $zbc: \tau = \llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x,v)$ **using** τ .*exhaust*
by (*metis* *prod.inject* *subst-tv.cases*)
hence *b-of* $\llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket = b\text{-of } \llbracket z:b|c \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *zbc* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *subst-tv-if*:

assumes *atom* $z1 \# (x,v)$ **and** *atom* $z' \# (x,v)$
shows $\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } (c'[x::=v]_{cv})[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \llbracket =$
 $\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c'[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-cv-commute-subst*[*of* $z' v x V\text{-var } z1 c'$] *subst-tv.simps* *subst-vv.simps*(1) *subst-ev.simps*
subst-cv.simps *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *subst-tv-tid*:

assumes *atom* $za \# (x,v)$
shows $\llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps* **by** *presburger*

lemma *b-of-subst*:

b-of ($\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$) = *b-of* τ

proof –

obtain $z\ b\ c$ **where** $*:\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps ** **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-tv-flip*:

assumes $\tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# (v, \tau)$ **and** $\text{atom } x' \# (v, \tau)$

shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$

proof –

have $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v \wedge (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau = \tau$ **using** *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.eqvt[of (x' ↔ x) τ' x v]* *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-cv-true*:

$\llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain $za::x$ **where** $\text{atom } za \# (x, v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $\llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.\text{eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff}$ **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $\llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket za : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps* **by** (*simp add: (atom za # (x, v))*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *argo*

qed

lemma *t-eq-supp*:

assumes $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket)$

shows $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} = \text{supp } c1 - \{ \text{atom } z1 \}$

proof –

have $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \text{supp } b = \text{supp } c1 - \{ \text{atom } z1 \} \cup \text{supp } b1$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp } \text{assms}$

by (*metis list.set(1) list.simps(15) sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty*)

moreover have $\text{supp } b = \text{supp } b1$ **using** $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $\text{atom } z1 \notin \text{supp } b1 \wedge \text{atom } z \notin \text{supp } b$ **using** *supp-b-empty* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*metis τ.eq-iff τ.supp assms b.supp(1) list.set(1) list.set(2) sup-bot.right-neutral*)

qed

lemma *fresh-t-eq*:

fixes $x::x$

assumes $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket zz : b \mid cc \rrbracket)$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# c$ **and** $x \neq zz$

shows $\text{atom } x \# cc$

proof –

thm $\tau.\text{supp}$

have $\text{supp } c - \{ \text{atom } z \} \cup \text{supp } b = \text{supp } cc - \{ \text{atom } zz \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp } \text{assms}$

by (*metis list.set(1) list.simps(15) sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty*)

moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } c$ **using** *assms fresh-def* **by** *blast*

ultimately have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } cc - \{ \text{atom } zz \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **by** *force*

hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } cc$ **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
qed

4.8 Mutable Variable Context

nominal-function *subst-dv* :: $\Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta$ **where**
 $\text{subst-dv } DNil \ x \ v = DNil$
 $| \text{subst-dv } ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \ x \ v = ((u,t[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} (\text{subst-dv } \Delta \ x \ v))$
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-dv-graph-aux-def, auto*)
using *delete-aux.elims* **by** (*metis* $\Delta.\text{exhaust surj-pair}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

$\text{subst-dv-abbrev} :: \Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta \ (-[::=]_{\Delta v} [1000,50,50] \ 1000)$
where
 $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \equiv \text{subst-dv } \Delta \ x \ v$

nominal-function *dmap* :: $(u * \tau \Rightarrow u * \tau) \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \Delta$ **where**
 $\text{dmap } f \ DNil = DNil$
 $| \text{dmap } f \ ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = (f \ (u,t) \#_{\Delta} (\text{dmap } f \ \Delta))$
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def dmap-graph-aux-def, auto*)
using *delete-aux.elims* **by** (*metis* $\Delta.\text{exhaust surj-pair}$)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *subst-dv-iff*:

$\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \text{dmap } (\lambda(u,t). (u, t[x::=v]_{\tau v})) \ \Delta$
by(*induct* Δ , *auto*)

lemma *size-subst-dv* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-dv } G \ i \ x) \leq \text{size } G$
by (*induct* G , *auto*)

lemma *forget-subst-dv* [*simp*]: $\text{atom } a \# G \implies \text{subst-dv } G \ a \ x = G$
apply (*induct* G , *auto*)
using *fresh-DCons fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh* **apply** *fastforce*
apply (*simp add: fresh-DCons*)
done

lemma *subst-dv-member*:

assumes $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$
shows $(u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in \text{setD } (\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v})$
using *assms* **by**(*induct* Δ *rule:* $\Delta\text{-induct}$, *auto*)

lemma *fresh-subst-dv*:

fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } xa \# \Delta$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# v$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Δ *rule:* $\Delta\text{-induct}$)
case $DNil$
then show *?case* **by** *auto*


```

next
  case (DCons u t Δ)
  then show ?case using subst-dv.simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by simp
qed

lemma fresh-subst-dv-if:
  fixes j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::Δ
  assumes j # t ∧ j # x
  shows (j # subst-dv t i x)
using assms proof(induct t rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (DCons u' t' D')
  then show ?case unfolding subst-dv.simps using fresh-DCons fresh-subst-tv-if fresh-Pair by metis
qed

```

4.9 Statements

Using ideas from proof at top of AFP/Launchbury/Substitution.thy. Chunks borrowed from there; hence the apply style proofs.

```

nominal-function (default case-sum (λx. Inl undefined) (case-sum (λx. Inl undefined) (λx. Inr unde-
fined)))
subst-sv :: s ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ s
and subst-branchv :: branch-s ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ branch-s
and subst-branchlv :: branch-list ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ branch-list where
  subst-sv ( (AS-val v') ) x v = (AS-val (subst-vv v' x v ))
| atom y # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-let y e s) x v = (AS-let y (e[x::=v]ev) (subst-sv s x v ))
| atom y # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-let2 y t s1 s2) x v = (AS-let2 y (t[x::=v]tv) (subst-sv s1 x v )
(subst-sv s2 x v ))
| subst-sv (AS-match v' cs) x v = AS-match (v'[x::=v]vv) (subst-branchlv cs x v )
| subst-sv (AS-assign y v') x v = AS-assign y (subst-vv v' x v )
| subst-sv ( (AS-if v' s1 s2) ) x v = (AS-if (subst-vv v' x v ) (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v ))
| atom u # (x,v) ⇒ subst-sv (AS-var u τ v' s) x v = AS-var u (subst-tv τ x v ) (subst-vv v' x v )
(subst-sv s x v )
| subst-sv (AS-while s1 s2) x v = AS-while (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v )
| subst-sv (AS-seq s1 s2) x v = AS-seq (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v )
| subst-sv (AS-assert c s) x v = AS-assert (subst-cv c x v ) (subst-sv s x v )
| atom x1 # (x,v) ⇒ subst-branchv (AS-branch dc x1 s1 ) x v = AS-branch dc x1 (subst-sv s1 x v )

| subst-branchlv (AS-final cs) x v = AS-final (subst-branchv cs x v )
| subst-branchlv (AS-cons cs css) x v = AS-cons (subst-branchv cs x v ) (subst-branchlv css x v )
apply (auto,simp add: eqvt-def subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph-aux-def )
proof(goal-cases)

```

have eqvt-at-proj: $\bigwedge s \ x \ a \ v \ a . \text{eqvt-at } \text{subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC } (\text{Inl } (s, x, a, v)) \Rightarrow$

```

  eqvt-at (λa. projl (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC (Inl a))) (s, x, a, v)
apply(simp add: eqvt-at-def)
apply(rule)

```

```

apply(subst Projl-permute)
apply(thin-tac -)+
apply (simp add: subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC-def)
apply (simp add: THE-default-def)
apply (case-tac Ex1 (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph (Inl (s,xa,va))))
apply simp
apply(auto)[1]
apply (erule-tac x=x in allE)
apply simp
apply(cases rule: subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph.cases)
apply(assumption)
apply(rule-tac x=Sum-Type.proj1 x in exI,clarify,rule the1-equality,blast,simp (no-asm) only: sum.sel)+
apply blast +

apply(simp)+
done

{

  case (1 P x')
  then show ?case proof(cases x')
    case (Inl a) thus P
    proof(cases a)
      case (fields aa bb cc)
      thus P using Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by metis
    qed
  next
    case (Inr b) thus P
    proof(cases b)
      case (Inl a) thus P proof(cases a)
        case (fields aa bb cc)
        then show ?thesis using Inr Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
      qed
    next
      case Inr2: (Inr b) thus P proof(cases b)
        case (fields aa bb cc)
        then show ?thesis using Inr Inr2 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
      qed
    qed
  next
    case (2 y s ya xa va sa c)
    thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
  next
    case (3 y s2 ya xa va s1a s2a c)
    thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
  next
    case (4 u s ua xa va sa c)
    moreover have atom u  $\#$  (xa, va)  $\wedge$  atom ua  $\#$  (xa, va) using fresh-Pair u-fresh-xv by auto
    ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple[of u xa va ua s sa] subst-sv-def eqvt-at-proj by metis

```

next
 case (5 x1 s1 x1a xa va s1a c)
 thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
}
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

subst-sv-abbrev :: $s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s \text{ } (-[::=]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)$

where

$s[x::=v]_{sv} \equiv \text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v$

abbreviation

subst-branchv-abbrev :: $\text{branch-s} \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-s } (-[::=]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)$

where

$s[x::=v]_{sv} \equiv \text{subst-branchv } s \ x \ v$

lemma size-subst-sv [simp]: $\text{size } (\text{subst-sv } A \ i \ x) = \text{size } A$ **and** $\text{size } (\text{subst-branchv } B \ i \ x) = \text{size } B$
and $\text{size } (\text{subst-branchlv } C \ i \ x) = \text{size } C$

by(nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct,auto)

lemma forget-subst-sv [simp]: **shows** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ A \Longrightarrow \text{subst-sv } A \ a \ x = A$ **and** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ B \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{subst-branchv } B \ a \ x = B$ **and** $\text{atom } a \ \# \ C \Longrightarrow \text{subst-branchlv } C \ a \ x = C$

by (nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct,auto simp:
 fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-sv-id [simp]: $\text{subst-sv } A \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = A$ **and** $\text{subst-branchv } B \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = B$ **and**
 $\text{subst-branchlv } C \ a \ (V\text{-var } a) = C$

proof(nominal-induct A **and** B **and** C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

case (AS-let x option e s)

then show ?case

by (metis (no-types, lifting) fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps(2) subst-sv.simps(3)
 subst-tv-id v.fresh(2))

next

case (AS-match v branch-s)

then show ?case using fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps subst-sv.simps subst-tv-id
 v.fresh subst-vv-id

by metis

qed(auto)+

lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-rl:

shows

$(\text{atom } x \ \# \ s \wedge j \ \# \ s) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ s \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \Longrightarrow j \ \# \ (\text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v)$ **and**
 $(\text{atom } x \ \# \ cs \wedge j \ \# \ cs) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ cs \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \Longrightarrow j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v)$ **and**
 $(\text{atom } x \ \# \ css \wedge j \ \# \ css) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ css \vee j = \text{atom } x)) \Longrightarrow j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v)$

apply(nominal-induct s **and** cs **and** css avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

using pure-fresh **by** force+

lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-lr:

shows $j \ \# \ (\text{subst-sv } s \ x \ v) \Longrightarrow (\text{atom } x \ \# \ s \wedge j \ \# \ s) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ s \vee j = \text{atom } x))$ **and**

$j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v) \Longrightarrow (\text{atom } x \ \# \ cs \wedge j \ \# \ cs) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ cs \vee j = \text{atom } x))$ **and**

$j \ \# \ (\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v) \Longrightarrow (\text{atom } x \ \# \ css \wedge j \ \# \ css) \vee (j \ \# \ v \wedge (j \ \# \ css \vee j = \text{atom } x))$

proof(*nominal-induct s and cs and css avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)

case (*AS-branch list x s*)
then show ?*case* **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-Pair list.distinct(1) list.set-cases pure-fresh set-ConsD subst-branchv.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*AS-let y e s'*)
thus ?*case* **proof**(*cases atom x # (AS-let y e s')*)
case *True*
hence *subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = (AS-let y e s') using forget-subst-sv by simp*
hence *j # (AS-let y e s') using AS-let by argo*
then show ?*thesis* **using** *True* **by** *blast*
next
case *False*

have *subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = AS-let y (e[x::=v]_{ev}) (s'[x::=v]_{sv}) using subst-sv.simps(2)*
AS-let by force
hence (*j # s'[x::=v]_{sv} ∨ j ∈ set [atom y] ∧ j # None ∧ j # e[x::=v]_{ev}*) **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh AS-let*
by (*simp add: fresh-None*)
then show ?*thesis* **using** *AS-let fresh-None fresh-subst-ev-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh set-ConsD*
by *metis*
qed
next
case (*AS-let2 y τ s1 s2*)
thus ?*case* **proof**(*cases atom x # (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2)*)
case *True*
hence *subst-sv (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) x v = (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) using forget-subst-sv by simp*
hence *j # (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) using AS-let2 by argo*
then show ?*thesis* **using** *True* **by** *blast*
next
case *False*
have *subst-sv (AS-let2 y τ s1 s2) x v = AS-let2 y (τ[x::=v]_{τv}) (s1[x::=v]_{sv}) (s2[x::=v]_{sv}) using*
subst-sv.simps AS-let2 by force
then show ?*thesis* **using** *AS-let2*
fresh-subst-tv-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(4) set-ConsD by auto
qed
qed(*auto*)+

lemma *fresh-subst-sv-if[simp]*:

fixes *x::x and v::v*
shows *j # (subst-sv s x v) ⟷ (atom x # s ∧ j # s) ∨ (j # v ∧ (j # s ∨ j = atom x)) and*
j # (subst-branchv cs x v) ⟷ (atom x # cs ∧ j # cs) ∨ (j # v ∧ (j # cs ∨ j = atom x))
using *fresh-subst-sv-if-lr fresh-subst-sv-if-rl by metis+*

lemma *subst-sv-commute [simp]*:

fixes *A::s and t::v and j::x and i::x*
shows *atom j # A ⟹ (subst-sv (subst-sv A i t) j u) = subst-sv A i (subst-vv t j u) and*
atom j # B ⟹ (subst-branchv (subst-branchv B i t) j u) = subst-branchv B i (subst-vv t j u)
and
atom j # C ⟹ (subst-branchlv (subst-branchlv C i t) j u) = subst-branchlv C i (subst-vv t j u)

)
apply(*nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i j t u rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)
apply(*auto simp: fresh-at-base*)
done

lemma *c-eq-perm*:

assumes $((atom\ z) \Rightarrow (atom\ z')) \cdot c = c'$ **and** $atom\ z' \# c$
shows $\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket$
using $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff(3)$
by (*metis Nominal2-Base.swap-commute assms(1) assms(2) flip-def swap-fresh-fresh*)

lemma *subst-sv-flip*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $v'::v$
assumes $atom\ c \# (s, sa)$ **and** $atom\ c \# (v', x, xa, s, sa)$ $atom\ x \# v'$ **and** $atom\ xa \# v'$ **and** $(x \leftrightarrow c)$
 $\cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa$
shows $s[x::=v]_{sv} = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$
proof –
have $atom\ x \# (s[x::=v]_{sv})$ **and** $xafr: atom\ xa \# (sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$
and $atom\ c \# (s[x::=v]_{sv}, sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *assms* **using** *fresh-sv-sv-if assms* **by** (*blast+ ,force*)

hence $s[x::=v]_{sv} = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s[x::=v]_{sv})$ **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair*)
also have $\dots = ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s)[((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) ::= ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *subst-sv-sv-branchv-sv-branchlv.eqvt*
by *blast*
also have $\dots = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) ::= ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *assms* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) ::= ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv}$ **using** *assms*
by (*metis flip-at-simps(1) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1)*)
also have $\dots = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa[xa::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *subst-sv-sv-branchv-sv-branchlv.eqvt* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$ **using** *xafr assms* **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *if-type-eq*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $z1::x$
assumes $atom\ z1' \# (v, ca, (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# v$
and $atom\ z1 \# (za, ca)$ **and** $atom\ z1' \# (za, ca)$
shows $(\llbracket z1' : ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z1 : ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \rrbracket$
proof –
have $atom\ z1' \# (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$ **using** *assms fresh-prod4*
by *blast*
moreover hence $(CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}) = (z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$
proof –
have $(z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \text{ IMP } ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}) = ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll))) \text{ IMP } ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv})$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll)) \text{ IMP } ((z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))$
using $\langle atom\ z1 \# v \rangle$ *assms*

```

    by (metis (mono-tags) (atom z1' # (CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv)) c.fresh(6)
    c.fresh(7) ce.fresh(1) flip-at-simps(2) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-def supp-l-empty
    v.fresh(1))
    also have ... = ((CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll)) IMP (ca[za::=[z1]v]cv))
    using assms subst-cv-v-flip2 by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using  $\tau$ .eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of z1' CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv
z1 CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]v]cv] by blast
qed

```

```

lemma subst-sv-var-flip:
  fixes x::x and s::s and z::x
  shows atom x # s  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  s) = s[z::=[x]v]sv and
    atom x # cs  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  cs) = subst-branchv cs z [x]v and
    atom x # css  $\implies$  ((x  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  css) = subst-branchlv css z [x]v
  apply (nominal-induct s and cs and css avoiding: z x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  using [[simproc del: alpha-lst]]
  apply (auto)
  using subst-tv-var-flip flip-fresh-fresh v.fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
    subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-v-def subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-e-def subst-ev-var-flip pure-fresh apply auto
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff'(3) flip-fresh-fresh
  apply (simp add: subst-v-c-def)
  using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff'(3) flip-fresh-fresh
  by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)

```

```

instantiation s :: has-subst-v
begin

```

definition

subst-v = *subst-sv*

instance proof

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::s
  show (j # subst-v t i x) = ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t)  $\vee$  (j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    using fresh-subst-sv-if subst-v-s-def by auto

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::s and x::v
  show atom a # tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
    using forget-subst-sv subst-v-s-def by simp

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::s
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-sv-id subst-v-s-def by simp

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::s
show p · subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  using subst-sv-commute subst-v-s-def by simp

fix x::x and c::s and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=[x]v]v
  using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp

fix x::x and c::s and z::x
show atom x # c ⇒ ((x ↔ z) · c)[x::=v]v = c[z::=v]v
  using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp
qed
end

```

4.10 Type Definition

```

nominal-function subst-ft-v :: fun-typ ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ fun-typ where
  atom z # (x,v) ⇒ subst-ft-v ( AF-fun-typ z b c t (s::s) ) x v = AF-fun-typ z b c[x::=v]cv t[x::=v]tv
  s[x::=v]sv
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-v-graph-aux-def )
  apply(simp add: fun-typ.strong-exhaust )
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
  apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
  apply blast
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
  hence c[z::=[ cb ]v]cv = ca[za::=[ cb ]v]cv by metis
  hence c[z::=[ cb ]v]cv[xa::=va]cv = ca[za::=[ cb ]v]cv[xa::=va]cv by auto
  then show ?case using subst-cv-commute atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base subst-cv-commute-subst
  v.fresh
  using 1(14) 1(2) 1(3) 1(4) 1(5) by auto
next
  case (2 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
  hence t[z::=[ cb ]v]tv = ta[za::=[ cb ]v]tv by metis
  hence t[z::=[ cb ]v]tv[xa::=va]tv = ta[za::=[ cb ]v]tv[xa::=va]tv by auto
  then show ?case using subst-tv-commute-subst 2
  by (metis atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base v.fresh(2))
qed

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

nominal-function subst-ftq-v :: fun-typ-q ⇒ x ⇒ v ⇒ fun-typ-q where
  atom bv # (x,v) ⇒ subst-ftq-v ( AF-fun-typ-some bv ft ) x v = (AF-fun-typ-some bv (subst-ft-v ft x v))
  | subst-ftq-v (AF-fun-typ-none ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-none (subst-ft-v ft x v))
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-v-graph-aux-def )
  apply(simp add: fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust )
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)

```

```

  apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 bv ft bva fta xa va c)
  then show ?case using subst-ft-v.simps by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemma size-subst-ft[simp]: size (subst-ft-v A x v) = size A
  by(nominal-induct A avoiding: x v rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto)

```

```

lemma forget-subst-ft [simp]: shows atom x  $\sharp$  A  $\implies$  subst-ft-v A x a = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto simp: fresh-at-base)

```

```

lemma subst-ft-id [simp]: subst-ft-v A a (V-var a) = A
by(nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: fun-typ.strong-induct,auto)

```

```

instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-v
begin

```

```

definition
  subst-v = subst-ft-v

```

```

instance proof

```

```

  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ
  show (j  $\sharp$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\sharp$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\sharp$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\sharp$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\sharp$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
  apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp only: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-ft-v.simps )
  using fun-typ.fresh fresh-subst-v-if apply simp
  by auto

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::fun-typ and x::v
  show atom a  $\sharp$  tm  $\implies$  subst-v tm a x = tm
  proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
    case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
    then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
    subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
  qed

```

```

  fix a::x and tm::fun-typ
  show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm
  proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
    case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
    then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
    subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
  qed

```

```

  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ
  show p  $\cdot$  subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)

```



```

proof(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v- $\tau$ -def by fastforce
qed

fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x z rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-def)

fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
show atom x  $\#$  c  $\implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply auto
  by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-def )
qed
end

instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-v
begin

definition
  subst-v = subst-ftq-v

instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ-q
  show (j  $\#$  subst-v t i x) = ((atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t)  $\vee$  (j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    apply(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)
    by (metis (no-types) fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-fun-typ-def)+

  fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q and x::v
  show atom i  $\#$  t  $\implies$  subst-v t i x = t
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q
  show subst-v t i (V-var i) = t using subst-cv-id subst-v-fun-typ-def
    apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ-q
  show p  $\cdot$  subst-v t1 x1 v = subst-v (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
    apply(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: v x1 rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
    by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

  fix x::x and c::fun-typ-q and z::x

```

```

show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x z rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
  by(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)

fix  $x::x$  and  $c::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $z::x$ 
show  $atom\ x \# c \implies ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x ::= v]_v = c[z ::= v]_v$ 
  apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z v rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if
)
  by (metis subst-v-fun-typ-def flip-bv-x-cancel subst-ft-v.eqvt subst-v-simple-commute v.perm-simps
)+
qed

end

```

4.11 Variable Context

lemma *subst-dv-fst-eq*:

```

   $fst\ 'setD\ (\Delta[x ::= v]_{\Delta v}) = fst\ 'setD\ \Delta$ 
by(induct  $\Delta$  rule:  $\Delta$ -induct,simp,force)

```

lemma *subst-gv-member-iff*:

```

  fixes  $x'::x$  and  $x::x$  and  $v::v$  and  $c'::c$ 
  assumes  $(x',b',c') \in setG\ \Gamma$  and  $atom\ x \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(x',b',c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ \Gamma[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}$ 
proof -
  have  $x' \neq x$  using assms fresh-dom-free2 by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (GCons x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma'$ )
    show ?case proof(cases  $(x',b',c') = (x1,b1,c1)$ )
      case True
        hence  $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} = ((x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}))$  using subst-gv.simps
         $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  by auto
        then show ?thesis using True by auto
      case False
        have  $x1 \neq x$  using fresh-def fresh-GCons fresh-Pair supp-at-base GCons fresh-dom-free2 by auto
        hence  $(x', b', c') \in setG\ \Gamma'$  using GCons False setG.simps by auto
        moreover have  $atom\ x \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma'$  using fresh-GCons GCons dom.simps setG.simps by simp
        ultimately have  $(x', b', c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ \Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}$  using GCons by auto
        hence  $(x', b', c'[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in setG\ ((x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v}))$  by auto
        then show ?thesis using subst-gv.simps  $\langle x1 \neq x \rangle$  by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *fresh-subst-gv-if*:

```

  fixes  $j::atom$  and  $i::x$  and  $x::v$  and  $t::\Gamma$ 

```

```

  assumes  $j \# t \wedge j \# x$ 
  shows  $(j \# \text{subst-gv } t \ i \ x)$ 
using assms proof(induct t rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-gv.simps using fresh-GCons fresh-subst-cv-if by auto
qed

```

4.12 Lookup

lemma *set-GConsD*: $y \in \text{setG } (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) \implies y=x \vee y \in \text{setG } xs$
by *auto*

lemma *subst-g-assoc-cons*:
 assumes $x \neq x'$
 shows $((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) = ((x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G))$
 using *subst-gv.simps append-g.simps assms* **by** *auto*

end

Chapter 5

Base Type Variable Substitution

5.1 Class

```

class has-subst-b = fs +
  fixes subst-b :: 'a::fs ⇒ bv ⇒ b ⇒ 'a::fs (-[::=]_b [1000,50,50] 1000)

  assumes fresh-subst-if:  $j \# (t[i::=x]_b) \longleftrightarrow (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$ 
  and forget-subst[simp]:  $atom\ a \# tm \implies tm[a::=x]_b = tm$ 
  and subst-id[simp]:  $tm[a::=(B-var\ a)]_b = tm$ 
  and eqvt[simp,eqvt]:  $(p::perm) \cdot (subst-b\ t1\ x1\ v) = (subst-b\ (p \cdot t1)\ (p \cdot x1)\ (p \cdot v))$ 
  and flip-subst[simp]:  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B-var\ bv]_b$ 
  and flip-subst-subst[simp]:  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
begin

```

```

lemmas flip-subst-b = flip-subst-subst

```

```

lemma subst-b-simple-commute:

```

```

  fixes x::bv
  assumes atom x # c
  shows  $(c[z::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = c[z::=b]_b$ 
proof -
  have  $(c[z::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x::=b]_b$  using flip-subst assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using flip-subst-subst assms by simp
qed

```

```

lemma subst-b-flip-eq-one:

```

```

  fixes z1::bv and z2::bv and x1::bv and x2::bv
  assumes  $[[atom\ z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst. c2$ 
  and  $atom\ x1 \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ 
  shows  $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x1]_b)$ 
proof -
  have  $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1$  using assms flip-subst by auto
  moreover have  $(c2[z2::=B-var\ x1]_b) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2$  using assms flip-subst by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
    by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) flip-commute)
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-flip-eq-two*:

fixes $z1::bv$ **and** $z2::bv$ **and** $x1::bv$ **and** $x2::bv$
assumes $[[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst.\ c2$
shows $(c1[z1::=b]_b) = (c2[z2::=b]_b)$

proof –

obtain $x::bv$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# (z1, z2, c1, c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x]_b) = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x]_b)$ **using** *subst-b-flip-eq-one* [*OF assms, of x*] **by** *metis*
hence $(c1[z1::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-b-simple-commute* * *fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *subst-b-fresh-x*:

fixes $tm::'a::fs$ **and** $x::x$
shows $atom\ x \# tm = atom\ x \# tm[bv::=b]_b$
using *fresh-subst-if* [*of atom x tm bv b*] **using** *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-b-x-flip[simp]*:

fixes $x':x$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $bv::bv$
shows $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot tm)[bv::=b]_b = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (tm[bv::=b]_b)$

proof –

have $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot bv = bv$ **using** *pure-supp flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *force*
moreover **have** $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot b' = b'$ **using** *x-fresh-b flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *eqvt* **by** *simp*

qed

end

5.2 Base Type

nominal-function *subst-bb* :: $b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ **where**

subst-bb (*B-var* $bv2$) $bv1\ b = (if\ bv1 = bv2\ then\ b\ else\ (B-var\ bv2))$
subst-bb (*B-int* $bv1\ b = B-int$)
subst-bb (*B-bool* $bv1\ b = B-bool$)
subst-bb (*B-id* s) $bv1\ b = B-id\ s$
subst-bb (*B-pair* $b1\ b2$) $bv1\ b = B-pair\ (subst-bb\ b1\ bv1\ b)\ (subst-bb\ b2\ bv1\ b)$
subst-bb (*B-unit* $bv1\ b = B-unit$)
subst-bb (*B-bitvec* $bv1\ b = B-bitvec$)
subst-bb (*B-app* $s\ b2$) $bv1\ b = B-app\ s\ (subst-bb\ b2\ bv1\ b)$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def*)

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

apply (*meson b.strong-exhaust*)

done

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-bb-abbrev :: $b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b$ ($-[::=]_{bb}\ [1000, 50, 50]\ 1000$)

where

$$b[bv::=b']_{bb} \equiv \text{subst-bb } b \text{ bv } b'$$

instantiation $b :: \text{has-subst-b}$

begin

definition $\text{subst-b} = \text{subst-bb}$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::\text{bv}$ **and** $x::b$ **and** $t::b$

show $j \# \text{subst-b } t \ i \ x = (\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

proof (*induct t rule: b.induct*)

case ($B\text{-id } x$)

then show $?case$ **using** $\text{subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def}$ **by** *auto*

next

case ($B\text{-var } x$)

then show $?case$ **using** $\text{subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def}$ **by** *auto*

next

case ($B\text{-app } x1 \ x2$)

then show $?case$ **using** $\text{subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def}$ **by** *auto*

qed(*auto simp add: subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def*)+

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::b$ **and** $x::b$

show $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies tm[a::=x]_b = tm$

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::b$

show $\text{subst-b } tm \ a \ (B\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** $\text{subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def}$

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $p::\text{perm}$ **and** $x1::\text{bv}$ **and** $v::b$ **and** $t1::b$

show $p \cdot \text{subst-b } t1 \ x1 \ v = \text{subst-b } (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$

by (*induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def*)

fix $bv::\text{bv}$ **and** $c::b$ **and** $z::\text{bv}$

show $\text{atom } bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-var } bv]_b$

by (*induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def permute-pure pure-suppl) +*)

fix $bv::\text{bv}$ **and** $c::b$ **and** $z::\text{bv}$ **and** $v::b$

show $\text{atom } bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$

by (*induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def permute-pure pure-suppl) +*)

qed

end

lemma *subst-bb-inject*:

assumes $b1 = b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$ **and** $b2 \neq B\text{-var } bv$

shows

$b1 = B\text{-int} \implies b2 = B\text{-int}$ **and**

$b1 = B\text{-bool} \implies b2 = B\text{-bool}$ **and**

```

  b1 = B-unit  $\implies$  b2 = B-unit and
  b1 = B-bitvec  $\implies$  b2 = B-bitvec and
  b1 = B-pair b11 b12  $\implies$  ( $\exists$  b11' b12' . b11 = b11'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b12 = b12'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b2 = B-pair
  b11' b12') and
  b1 = B-var bv'  $\implies$  b2 = B-var bv' and
  b1 = B-id tyid  $\implies$  b2 = B-id tyid and
  b1 = B-app tyid b11  $\implies$  ( $\exists$  b11' . b11 = b11'[bv::=b]bb  $\wedge$  b2 = B-app tyid b11')
using assms by(nominal-induct b2 rule:b.strong-induct,auto+)

lemma flip-b-subst4:
  fixes b1::b and bv1::bv and c::bv and b::b
  assumes atom c  $\#$  (b1,bv1)
  shows b1[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  b1)[c::=b]bb
using assms proof(nominal-induct b1 rule: b.strong-induct)
  case B-int
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case B-bool
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case (B-id x)
  hence atom bv1  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  atom c  $\#$  x using fresh-def pure-supp by auto
  hence ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  B-id x) = B-id x using fresh-Pair b.fresh(3) flip-fresh-fresh b.perm-simps fresh-def
  pure-supp by metis
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
next
  case (B-pair x1 x2)
  hence x1[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x1)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by
  metis
  moreover have x2[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x2)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4)
  fresh-Pair B-pair by metis
  ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps(5) b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by auto
next
  case B-unit
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case B-bitvec
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
  case (B-var x)
  then show ?case proof(cases x=bv1)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by simp
next
  case False
  moreover have x $\neq$ c using B-var b.fresh fresh-def supp-at-base fresh-Pair by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps(1) b.perm-simps(7) by simp
qed
next
  case (B-app x1 x2)
  hence x2[bv1::=b]bb = ((bv1  $\leftrightarrow$  c)  $\cdot$  x2)[c::=b]bb using b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
  thus ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair B-app

```

by (simp add: permute-pure)
qed

lemma *subst-bb-flip-sym*:

fixes $b1::b$ and $b2::b$

assumes $\text{atom } c \# b$ and $\text{atom } c \# (bv1, bv2, b1, b2)$ and $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2$

shows $b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} = b2[bv2::=b]_{bb}$

using *assms flip-b-subst4* [of $c\ b1\ bv1\ b$] *flip-b-subst4* [of $c\ b2\ bv2\ b$] *fresh-prod4* *fresh-Pair* **by** *simp*

5.3 Value

nominal-function *subst-vb* :: $v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v$ **where**

subst-vb (V-lit l) $x\ v = V\text{-lit } l$

| *subst-vb* (V-var y) $x\ v = V\text{-var } y$

| *subst-vb* (V-cons $tyid\ c\ v'$) $x\ v = V\text{-cons } tyid\ c\ (subst\text{-vb } v'\ x\ v)$

| *subst-vb* (V-consp $tyid\ c\ b\ v'$) $x\ v = V\text{-consp } tyid\ c\ (subst\text{-bb } b\ x\ v)\ (subst\text{-vb } v'\ x\ v)$

| *subst-vb* (V-pair $v1\ v2$) $x\ v = V\text{-pair } (subst\text{-vb } v1\ x\ v)\ (subst\text{-vb } v2\ x\ v)$

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-vb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

using *v.strong-exhaust* **by** *meson*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-vb-abbrev :: $v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v$ ($[-::=]_{vb}$ [1000,50,50] 500)

where

$e[bv::=b]_{vb} \equiv subst\text{-vb } e\ bv\ b$

instantiation $v :: has\text{-subst-}b$

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-vb*

instance proof

fix $j::atom$ and $i::bv$ and $x::b$ and $t::v$

show $j \# subst\text{-b } t\ i\ x = (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$

proof (*induct t rule: v.induct*)

case (V-lit l)

have $j \# subst\text{-b } (V\text{-lit } l)\ i\ x = j \# (V\text{-lit } l)$ **using** *subst-vb.simps* *fresh-def* *pure-fresh*

subst-b-v-def *v.supp* *v.fresh* *has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if* *subst-b-b-def* *subst-b-v-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = True$ **using** *fresh-at-base* *v.fresh* *l.fresh* *supp-l-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *metis*

moreover have $(atom\ i \# (V\text{-lit } l) \wedge j \# (V\text{-lit } l) \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# (V\text{-lit } l) \vee j = atom\ i)) = True$

using *fresh-at-base* *v.fresh* *l.fresh* *supp-l-empty* *fresh-def* **by** *metis*

ultimately show $?case$ **by** *simp*

next

case (V-var y)

then show $?case$ **using** *subst-b-v-def* *subst-vb.simps* *pure-fresh* **by** *force*

next

case (V-pair $x1a\ x2a$)

then show $?case$ **using** *subst-b-v-def* *subst-vb.simps* **by** *auto*

next


```

    case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
    then show ?case using V-cons subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh by force
next
    case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
    then show ?case using subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def by fastforce
qed

fix a::bv and tm::v and x::b
show atom a  $\nmid$  tm  $\implies$  subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst by fastforce

fix a::bv and tm::v
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def)
using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id by metis

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::v
show p  $\cdot$  subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
  apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-v-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp)+)
  apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z]
  apply (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
  using subst-b-b-def by argo

fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
  apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp)+)
  apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z]
  apply (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
  using subst-b-b-def flip-subst-subst by fastforce

qed
end

```

5.4 Constraints Expressions

nominal-function *subst-ceb* :: *ce* \Rightarrow *bv* \Rightarrow *b* \Rightarrow *ce* **where**

```

  subst-ceb ( (CE-val v') ) bv b = ( CE-val (subst-vb v' bv b) )
| subst-ceb ( (CE-op opp v1 v2) ) bv b = ( (CE-op opp (subst-ceb v1 bv b)(subst-ceb v2 bv b)) )
| subst-ceb ( (CE-fst v') ) bv b = CE-fst (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( (CE-snd v') ) bv b = CE-snd (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( (CE-len v') ) bv b = CE-len (subst-ceb v' bv b)
| subst-ceb ( CE-concat v1 v2 ) bv b = CE-concat (subst-ceb v1 bv b) (subst-ceb v2 bv b)

```

apply (*simp add: eqvt-def subst-ceb-graph-aux-def*)

apply *auto*

by (*meson ce.strong-exhaust*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-ceb-abbrev :: *ce* \Rightarrow *bv* \Rightarrow *b* \Rightarrow *ce* ($[-::=]_{ceb}$ [1000,50,50] 500)

where

ce[*bv*::=*b*]_{*ceb*} \equiv *subst-ceb ce bv b*

instantiation *ce* :: *has-subst-b*

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-ceb*

instance proof

fix *j*::*atom* **and** *i*::*bv* **and** *x*::*b* **and** *t*::*ce*

show *j* $\#$ *subst-b t i* *x* = (*atom i* $\#$ *t* \wedge *j* $\#$ *t* \vee *j* $\#$ *x* \wedge (*j* $\#$ *t* \vee *j* = *atom i*))

proof (*induct t rule: ce.induct*)

case (*CE-val v*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-ce-def ce.supp v.supp ce.fresh*
has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def

by *metis*

next

case (*CE-op opp v1 v2*)

have (*j* $\#$ *v1*[*i*::=*x*]_{*ceb*} \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2*[*i*::=*x*]_{*ceb*}) = ((*atom i* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *atom i* $\#$ *v2*) \wedge *j* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2* \vee *j* $\#$ *x*
 \wedge (*j* $\#$ *v1* \wedge *j* $\#$ *v2* \vee *j* = *atom i*))

using *has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def*

using *CE-op.hyps(1) CE-op.hyps(2) subst-b-ce-def* **by** *auto*

thus ?*case* **unfolding** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.fresh*

using *fresh-def pure-fresh opp.fresh subst-b-v-def opp.exhaust fresh-e-opp-all*

by (*metis (full-types)*)

next

case (*CE-concat x1a x2*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if*
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh **by** *force*

next

case (*CE-fst x*)

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if*
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh **by** *metis*

next

case (*CE-snd x*)

```

    then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
  next
    case (CE-len x)
    then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
  qed

fix a::bv and tm::ce and x::b
show atom a  $\sharp$  tm  $\implies$  subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply(induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
  done

fix a::bv and tm::ce
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
  done

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::ce
show p  $\cdot$  subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p  $\cdot$  t1) (p  $\cdot$  x1) (p  $\cdot$  v)
  apply(induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def ce.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-ce-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv
show atom bv  $\sharp$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: ce.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def
permute-pure pure-supp )+)
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def apply
metis
using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def
  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)+
  done

fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\sharp$  c  $\implies$  ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z)  $\cdot$  c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
proof (induct c rule: ce.induct)
  case (CE-val x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-op x1a x2 x3)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.perm-simps using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def

```

```

opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust
  by (metis (full-types) ce.fresh(2))
next
  case (CE-concat x1a x2)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-fst x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-snd x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
  case (CE-len x)
  then show ?case using flip-subst-subst subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
qed
qed
end

```

5.5 Constraints

```

nominal-function subst-cb :: c  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  c where
  subst-cb (C-true) x v = C-true
| subst-cb (C-false) x v = C-false
| subst-cb (C-conj c1 c2) x v = C-conj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-disj c1 c2) x v = C-disj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-imp c1 c2) x v = C-imp (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-eq e1 e2) x v = C-eq (subst-ceb e1 x v) (subst-ceb e2 x v)
| subst-cb (C-not c) x v = C-not (subst-cb c x v)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

abbreviation

```

subst-cb-abbrev :: c  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  c (-[::=]_cb [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  c[bv::=b]_cb  $\equiv$  subst-cb c bv b

```

instantiation *c* :: *has-subst-b*

begin

```

definition subst-b = subst-cb

```

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::c
show j  $\sharp$  subst-b t i x = (atom i  $\sharp$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\sharp$  t  $\vee$  j  $\sharp$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\sharp$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  by (induct t rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-ce-def c.fresh)+
  )

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::c and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-ce-def)+)

fix a::bv and tm::c
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-c-def
  by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
    (metis has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-ce-def)+)

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::c
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh)
  by( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def )

fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
    pure-supp )+)
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def apply
metis
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)+
done

fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
    pure-supp )+)
  using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  using flip-subst-subst apply fastforce
using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
  opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust
proof -
fix x1a :: ce and x2 :: ce
  assume a1: atom bv # x2
  then have ((bv ↔ z) · x2)[bv::=v]_b = x2[z::=v]_b
by (metis flip-subst-subst)
  then show x2[z::=B-var bv]_b[bv::=v]_ceb = x2[z::=v]_ceb
using a1 by (simp add: subst-b-ce-def)
qed

qed
end

```

5.6 Types

```

nominal-function subst-tb :: τ ⇒ bv ⇒ b ⇒ τ where
  subst-tb (⌊ z : b2 | c ⌋) bv1 b1 = ⌊ z : b2[bv1::=b1]_bb | c[bv1::=b1]_cb ⌋
proof(goal-cases)
  case 1

```

```

  then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def by force
next
case (2 x y)
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (3 P x)
  then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def  $\tau$ .strong-exhaust
    by (metis b-of.cases prod-cases3)
next
case (4 z' b2' c' bv1' b1' z b2 c bv1 b1)
show ?case unfolding  $\tau$ .eq-iff proof
  have *:  $[[atom\ z]]lst. c' = [[atom\ z]]lst. c$  using  $\tau$ .eq-iff 4 by auto
  show  $[[atom\ z]]lst. c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = [[atom\ z]]lst. c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  proof (subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3), rule, rule, rule)
    fix  $ca::x$ 
    assume  $atom\ ca \# z$  and  $1:atom\ ca \# (z', z, c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb}, c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb})$ 
    hence  $2:atom\ ca \# (c', c)$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-c-def fresh-Pair fresh-prod4 fresh-at-base
subst-b-fresh-x by metis
    hence  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c' = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c$  using 1 2 * Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
    hence  $((z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c')[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = ((z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c)[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  by auto
    hence  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot bv1' ::= (z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot b1]_{cb} = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c[(z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot bv1 ::= (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot b1]_{cb}$  by auto
    thus  $(z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[bv1' ::= b1]_{cb} = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c[bv1 ::= b1]_{cb}$  using 4 flip-x-b-cancel by simp
  qed
  show  $b2'[bv1' ::= b1]_{bb} = b2[bv1 ::= b1]_{bb}$  using 4 by simp
qed
qed

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

$subst\text{-}tb\text{-}abbrev :: \tau \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \tau \ (-[::=]_{\tau b} [1000, 50, 50] 1000)$

where

$t[bv ::= b]_{\tau b} \equiv subst\text{-}tb\ t\ bv\ b'$

instantiation $\tau :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b$

begin

definition $subst\text{-}b = subst\text{-}tb$

instance proof

fix $j::atom$ and $i::bv$ and $x::b$ and $t::\tau$

show $j \# subst\text{-}b\ t\ i\ x = (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$

proof (nominal-induct t avoiding: i x j rule: τ .strong-induct)

case (T-refined-type z b c)

then show ?case

unfolding subst-b- τ -def subst-tb.simps τ .fresh

using fresh-subst-if[of j b i x] subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def

by (metis has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct(1) list.set-cases not-self-fresh set-ConsD)

qed

fix $a::bv$ and $tm::\tau$ and $x::b$

```

show  $atom\ a \# tm \implies subst\text{-}b\ tm\ a\ x = tm$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $tm$  avoiding:  $a\ x$  rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $xx\ bb\ cc$ )
  moreover hence  $atom\ a \# bb \wedge atom\ a \# cc$  using  $\tau$ .fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    unfolding subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-tb.simps
    using forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def forget-subst  $\tau$ .fresh by metis
qed

fix  $a::bv$  and  $tm::\tau$ 
show  $subst\text{-}b\ tm\ a\ (B\text{-}var\ a) = tm$ 
proof (nominal-induct  $tm$  rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $xx\ bb\ cc$ )
  thus ?case
    unfolding subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-tb.simps
    using subst-id subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
qed

fix  $p::perm$  and  $x1::bv$  and  $v::b$  and  $t1::\tau$ 
show  $p \cdot subst\text{-}b\ t1\ x1\ v = subst\text{-}b\ (p \cdot t1)\ (p \cdot x1)\ (p \cdot v)$ 
by (induct  $tm$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-tb.simps subst-b- $\tau$ -def subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def)

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\tau$  and  $z::bv$ 
show  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b$ 
apply (induct  $c$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def permute-pure pure-supp) +)
using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-b-def
by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh subst-b- $\tau$ -def)

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\tau$  and  $z::bv$  and  $v::b$ 
show  $atom\ bv \# c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
proof (induct  $c$  rule:  $\tau$ .induct)
  case (T-refined-type  $x1a\ x2a\ x3a$ )
  hence  $atom\ bv \# x2a \wedge atom\ bv \# x3a \wedge atom\ bv \# x1a$  using fresh-at-base  $\tau$ .fresh by simp
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-tb.simps subst-b- $\tau$ -def  $\tau$ .perm-simps
    using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of  $bv\ x1a\ z$ ] flip-subst-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def T-refined-type

proof –
  have  $atom\ z \# x1a$ 
  by (metis  $b$ .fresh(7) fresh-at-base(2) x-fresh-b)
  then show  $\{ (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1a : ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2a)[bv::=v]_{bb} \mid ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x3a)[bv::=v]_{cb} \} = \{ x1a : x2a[z::=v]_{bb} \mid x3a[z::=v]_{cb} \}$ 
  by (metis  $\ll atom\ bv \# x1a; atom\ z \# x1a \rrangle \implies (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1a = x1a \rangle atom\ bv \# x2a \wedge atom\ bv \# x3a \wedge atom\ bv \# x1a$  flip-subst-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def)
  qed
qed

qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-bb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-bb (subst-bb A i t) j u) = subst-bb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: b.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-vb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-vb (subst-vb A i t) j u) = subst-vb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: v.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-ceb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-ceb (subst-ceb A i t) j u) = subst-ceb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-cb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-cb (subst-cb A i t) j u) = subst-cb A i (subst-bb t j u)
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)

lemma subst-tb-commute [simp]:
  atom j # A  $\implies$  (subst-tb (subst-tb A i t) j u) = subst-tb A i (subst-bb t j u)
proof (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type z b c)
  then show ?case using subst-tb.simps subst-bb-commute subst-cb-commute by simp
qed

```

5.7 Expressions

```

nominal-function subst-eb :: e  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  e where
  subst-eb ( (AE-val v') ) bv b = ( AE-val (subst-vb v' bv b) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-app f v') ) bv b = ( (AE-app f (subst-vb v' bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-appP f b' v') ) bv b = ( (AE-appP f (b'[bv::=b]_bb) (subst-vb v' bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-op opp v1 v2) ) bv b = ( (AE-op opp (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)) )
| subst-eb ( (AE-fst v') ) bv b = AE-fst (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( (AE-snd v') ) bv b = AE-snd (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( (AE-mvar u) ) bv b = AE-mvar u
| subst-eb ( (AE-len v') ) bv b = AE-len (subst-vb v' bv b)
| subst-eb ( AE-concat v1 v2 ) bv b = AE-concat (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)
| subst-eb ( AE-split v1 v2 ) bv b = AE-split (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-eb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
by (meson e.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation
  subst-eb-abbrev :: e  $\Rightarrow$  bv  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\Rightarrow$  e (-[::=]_eb [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[bv::=b]_eb  $\equiv$  subst-eb e bv b

instantiation e :: has-subst-b
begin

```


definition $\text{subst-b} = \text{subst-eb}$

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::e
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
proof (induct t rule: e.induct)
  case (AE-val v)
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
    e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def
  by metis
next
case (AE-app f v)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def
  e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) e.fresh(2))
next
case (AE-appP f b' v)
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
  fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
next
case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
  fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp fresh-e-opp-all
  e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
next
case (AE-concat x1a x2)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
  by (metis subst-vb.simps(5))
next
case (AE-split x1a x2)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
  by (metis subst-vb.simps(5))
next
case (AE-fst x)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AE-snd x)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AE-mvar x)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp by auto
next
case (AE-len x)
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
qed

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::e and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix a::bv and tm::e
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  apply (induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def)
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
done

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::e
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-b-def e.fresh
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
  by (simp add: subst-b-e-def)+

fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b
  apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
)
  using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-b-def
  flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-τ-def apply metis
  apply (metis (full-types) opp.perm-simps(1) opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)
done

fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]b = c[z::=v]b
  apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
)
  using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-b-def
  flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-τ-def apply simp

  apply (metis opp.perm-simps(1) opp.perm-simps(2) opp.strong-exhaust)
done
qed
end

```

5.8 Statements

nominal-function (default case-sum ($\lambda x. \text{Inl undefined}$) (case-sum ($\lambda x. \text{Inl undefined}$) ($\lambda x. \text{Inr undefined}$)))

subst-sb :: *s* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *s*

and *subst-branchb* :: *branch-s* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *branch-s*

and *subst-branchlb* :: *branch-list* ⇒ *bv* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *branch-list*

where

subst-sb (*AS-val* *v'*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-val* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-let* *y* *e* *s*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-let* *y* (*e*[*bv*::=*b*]_{*eb*}) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-let2* *y* *t* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-let2* *y* (*subst-tb* *t* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-match* *v'* *cs*) *bv* *b* = *AS-match* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-branchlb* *cs* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-assign* *y* *v'*) *bv* *b* = *AS-assign* *y* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-if* *v'* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = (*AS-if* (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*))
| *subst-sb* (*AS-var* *u* *τ* *v'* *s*) *bv* *b* = *AS-var* *u* (*subst-tb* *τ* *bv* *b*) (*subst-vb* *v'* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-while* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = *AS-while* (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-seq* *s1* *s2*) *bv* *b* = *AS-seq* (*subst-sb* *s1* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s2* *bv* *b*)
| *subst-sb* (*AS-assert* *c* *s*) *bv* *b* = *AS-assert* (*subst-cb* *c* *bv* *b*) (*subst-sb* *s* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchb* (*AS-branch* *dc* *x1* *s'*) *bv* *b* = *AS-branch* *dc* *x1* (*subst-sb* *s'* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchlb* (*AS-final* *sb*) *bv* *b* = *AS-final* (*subst-branchb* *sb* *bv* *b*)

| *subst-branchlb* (*AS-cons* *sb* *ssb*) *bv* *b* = *AS-cons* (*subst-branchb* *sb* *bv* *b*) (*subst-branchlb* *ssb* *bv* *b*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *eqvt-def* *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph-aux-def*)

apply (*auto,metis* *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust* *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust*(2)
old.sum.exhaust *surj-pair*)

proof(*goal-cases*)

have *eqvt-at-proj*: $\bigwedge s \ x \ va . \ eqvt-at \ subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC \ (Inl \ (s, \ x a, \ va)) \implies$
 $eqvt-at \ (\lambda a. \ projl \ (subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC \ (Inl \ a))) \ (s, \ x a, \ va)$

apply(*simp* *only*: *eqvt-at-def*)

apply(*rule*)

apply(*subst* *Projl-permute*)

apply(*thin-tac* *-*)*+*

apply(*simp* *add*: *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC-def*)

apply(*simp* *add*: *THE-default-def*)

apply(*case-tac* *Ex1* (*subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph* (*Inl* (*s,xa,va*))))

apply *simp*

apply(*auto*)[1]

apply(*erule-tac* *x=x* **in** *allE*)

apply *simp*

apply(*cases* *rule*: *subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph.cases*)

apply(*assumption*)

apply(*rule-tac* *x=Sum-Type.proj1* *x* **in** *exI,clarify,rule the1-equality,blast,simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *sum.sel*)*+*

apply *blast* *+*

apply(*simp*)*+*

done

{

case (*1* *y* *s* *ya* *sa* *bva* *ba* *c*)

moreover **have** *atom* *y* $\#$ (*bva*, *ba*) \wedge *atom* *ya* $\#$ (*bva*, *ba*) **using** *x-fresh-b* *x-fresh-bv* *fresh-Pair* **by**

simp

ultimately **show** *?case*

```

    using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (2 y s2 ya s1a s2a bva ba c)
moreover have atom y # (bva, ba) ∧ atom ya # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
ultimately show ?case
    using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (3 u s ua sa bva ba c)
moreover have atom u # (bva, ba) ∧ atom ua # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
case (4 x1 s' x1a s'a bva ba c)
moreover have atom x1 # (bva, ba) ∧ atom x1a # (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair
by simp
ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
}
qed

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

abbreviation

$\text{subst-sb-abbrev} :: s \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow s \text{ } (-[::=]_{sb} [1000, 50, 50] 1000)$

where

$b[bv::=b]_{sb} \equiv \text{subst-sb } b \text{ } bv \text{ } b'$

lemma fresh-subst-sb-if [simp]:

$(j \# (\text{subst-sb } A \text{ } i \text{ } x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# A \wedge j \# A) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# A \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$ and
 $(j \# (\text{subst-branchb } B \text{ } i \text{ } x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# B \wedge j \# B) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# B \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$ and
 $(j \# (\text{subst-branchlb } C \text{ } i \text{ } x)) = ((\text{atom } i \# C \wedge j \# C) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# C \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

proof (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)

have $(j \# \text{subst-branchb } (\text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3) \text{ } i \text{ } x) = (j \# (\text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } (\text{subst-sb } x3 \text{ } i \text{ } x)))$ by

auto

also have $\dots = ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1)$ using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by

auto

also have $\dots = ((\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3) \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

using subst-branchb.simps(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b- τ -def

v.fresh AS-branch

proof –

have $f1: \forall cs \text{ } b. \text{atom } (b::bv) \# (cs::\text{char list})$ using pure-fresh by auto

then have $j \# x \wedge \text{atom } i = j \longrightarrow ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1) = (\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

by (metis (full-types) AS-branch.hyps(3))

then have $j \# x \longrightarrow ((j \# x3[i::=x]_{sb} \vee j \in \text{set } [\text{atom } x2]) \wedge j \# x1) = (\text{atom } i \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \wedge j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \text{AS-branch } x1 \text{ } x2 \text{ } x3 \vee j = \text{atom } i))$

using AS-branch.hyps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by metis

moreover

```

    { assume  $\neg j \# x$ 
      have ?thesis
        using f1 AS-branch.hyps(2) AS-branch.hyps(3) by force }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by satx
  qed
finally show ?case by auto

next
case (AS-cons cs css i x)
show ?case
  unfolding subst-branchlb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
  using AS-cons by auto
next
case (AS-val xx)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b-e-def
  by fastforce
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b- $\tau$ -def
  by fastforce
next
case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh using
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AS-var u t v s)

  have (((atom i # s  $\wedge$  j # s  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # s  $\vee$  j = atom i))  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t[i::=x] $_{\tau b}$   $\wedge$  j
  # v[i::=x] $_{vb}$ ) =
    (((atom i # s  $\wedge$  j # s  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # s  $\vee$  j = atom i))  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$ 
      ((atom i # t  $\wedge$  j # t  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # t  $\vee$  j = atom i)))  $\wedge$ 
      ((atom i # v  $\wedge$  j # v  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  (j # v  $\vee$  j = atom i))))
    using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def by metis
  also have ... = (((atom i # s  $\vee$  atom i  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  atom i # t  $\wedge$  atom i # v)  $\wedge$ 
    (j # s  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t  $\wedge$  j # v  $\vee$  j # x  $\wedge$  ((j # s  $\vee$  j  $\in$  set [atom u])  $\wedge$  j # t  $\wedge$  j
  # v  $\vee$  j = atom i))
    using u-fresh-b by auto
  finally show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh AS-var
    by simp

next
case (AS-assign u v)
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh using
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by force
next

```

```

  case (AS-match v cs)
  have j # (AS-match v cs)[i::=x]sb = j # (AS-match (subst-vb v i x) (subst-branchlb cs i x)) using
subst-sb.simps by auto
  also have ... = (j # (subst-vb v i x) ∧ j # (subst-branchlb cs i x)) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
by simp
  also have ... = (j # (subst-vb v i x) ∧ ((atom i # cs ∧ j # cs) ∨ j # x ∧ (j # cs ∨ j = atom i))) using
AS-match[of i x] by auto
  also have ... = (atom i # AS-match v cs ∧ j # AS-match v cs ∨ j # x ∧ (j # AS-match v cs ∨ j =
atom i))
  by (metis (no-types) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def)
  finally show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case by auto

```

next

```

  case (AS-assert x1 x2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
  using fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b-e-def
  by (metis subst-b-c-def)

```

qed(auto+)

lemma

```

forget-subst-sb[simp]: atom a # A ⟹ subst-sb A a x = A and
forget-subst-branchb [simp]: atom a # B ⟹ subst-branchb B a x = B and
forget-subst-branchlb[simp]: atom a # C ⟹ subst-branchlb C a x = C
proof (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
  next
  case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-τ-def by force
  next
  case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def using subst-b-τ-def
  proof -
    have f1: (atom a # x4 ∨ atom a ∈ set [atom x1]) ∧ atom a # x2 ∧ atom a # x3
    using AS-var.premis s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
    then have atom a # x4
    by (metis (no-types) Nominal-Utills.fresh-star-singleton AS-var.hyps(1) empty-set fresh-star-def
list.simps(15) not-self-fresh)
    then show ?thesis
    using f1 by (metis AS-var.hyps(3) has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-τ-def subst-b-v-def subst-sb.simps(7))
  qed

```

```

qed

next
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-cons x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-val x)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-match x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
    subst-b-v-def by force
next
  case (AS-assert c s)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using
    s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-cb.simps by force
qed(auto+)

```

```

lemma subst-sb-id: subst-sb A a (B-var a) = A and
  subst-branchb-id [simp]: subst-branchb B a (B-var a) = B and
  subst-branchlb-id: subst-branchlb C a (B-var a) = C
proof(nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
    subst-b-v-def
    by simp
next

```

```

  case (AS-cons x1 x2 )
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
  case (AS-val x)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-match x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-while x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-seq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
next
  case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
next
  case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-τ-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
  case (AS-assert c s )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-c-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
qed (auto)

```

lemma *flip-subst-s*:

```

  fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
  shows  atom bv  $\nVdash$  s  $\implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot s) = s[z::=B-var\ bv]_{sb}$  and
        atom bv  $\nVdash$  cs  $\implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot cs) = subst-branchb\ cs\ z\ (B-var\ bv)$  and
        atom bv  $\nVdash$  css  $\implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot css) = subst-branchlb\ css\ z\ (B-var\ bv)$ 

```

proof(*nominal-induct s and cs and css rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct*)


```

case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x1) = x1 using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
moreover have ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x2) = x2 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x2 z] AS-branch by
auto
ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
case (AS-cons x1 x2 )
hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x1) = subst-branchb x1 z (B-var bv) using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(13) by metis
moreover have ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x2) = subst-branchb x2 z (B-var bv) using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x2 z] AS-cons s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by metis
ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto
next
case (AS-val x)
then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
moreover hence ((bv  $\leftrightarrow$  z) · x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next
case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-assign x1 x2)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-match x1 x2)
thus ?case
unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto

```

```

next
case (AS-while x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-seq x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-assert x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
qed(auto)

lemma flip-subst-subst-s:
  fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
  shows atom bv  $\#$  s  $\implies$   $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot s)[bv::=v]_{sb} = s[z::=v]_{sb}$  and
    atom bv  $\#$  cs  $\implies$  subst-branchb  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot cs)$  bv v = subst-branchb cs z v and
    atom bv  $\#$  css  $\implies$  subst-branchlb  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot css)$  bv v = subst-branchlb css z v
proof(nominal-induct s and cs and css rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 x2 x3)
  hence  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1$  using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
  moreover have  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2) = x2$  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x2 z] AS-branch by
  auto
  ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
  s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
case (AS-cons x1 x2 )
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchlb.simps
  using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto

next
case (AS-val x)
then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
case (AS-let x1 x2 x3)
moreover hence  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1$  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by force
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
moreover hence  $((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1$  using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next

```

```

case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-τ-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-assign x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x1 z] by auto
next
case (AS-match x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-while x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-seq x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-assert x1 x2)
thus ?case
  unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
  using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-c-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
qed(auto)

```

instantiation $s :: \text{has-subst-b}$

begin

definition $\text{subst-b} = (\lambda s \text{ bv } b. \text{subst-sb } s \text{ bv } b)$

instance proof

fix $j::\text{atom}$ **and** $i::\text{bv}$ **and** $x::b$ **and** $t::s$

show $j \# \text{subst-b } t \ i \ x = ((\text{atom } i \# t \wedge j \# t) \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = \text{atom } i)))$

using fresh-subst-sb-if subst-b-s-def **by** metis

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::s$ **and** $x::b$

show $\text{atom } a \# tm \implies \text{subst-b } tm \ a \ x = tm$ **using** subst-b-s-def forget-subst-sb **by** metis

fix $a::\text{bv}$ **and** $tm::s$

show $\text{subst-b } tm \ a \ (B\text{-var } a) = tm$ **using** subst-b-s-def subst-sb-id **by** metis

```

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::s
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v) using subst-b-s-def subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb.eqv
by metis

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
using subst-b-s-def flip-subst-s by metis

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
using flip-subst-subst-s subst-b-s-def by metis

```

```

qed
end

```

5.9 Function Type

```

nominal-function subst-ft-b :: fun-typ => bv => b => fun-typ where
subst-ft-b ( AF-fun-typ z b c t (s::s)) x v = AF-fun-typ z (subst-bb b x v) (subst-cb c x v) t[x::=v]_τb
s[x::=v]_sb
apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-b-graph-aux-def )
apply(simp add: fun-typ.strong-exhaust, auto )
apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
by blast

```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

nominal-function subst-ftq-b :: fun-typ-q => bv => b => fun-typ-q where
atom bv # (x,v) ==> subst-ftq-b (AF-fun-typ-some bv ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-some bv (subst-ft-b ft x v))
| subst-ftq-b (AF-fun-typ-none ft) x v = (AF-fun-typ-none (subst-ft-b ft x v))
apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-b-graph-aux-def )
apply(simp add: fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust, auto )
apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)
by (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ft-b

```

instance proof

```

fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def )
by(metis fresh-subst-if subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def)+

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ and x::b
show atom a # tm ==> subst-b tm a x = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff')
  using subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
    subst-ft-b.simps by metis

```

```

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff',auto)
  using subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
    subst-ft-b.simps
  by (metis has-subst-b-class.subst-id)+

```

```

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::fun-typ
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply (nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps)

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-b-τ-def subst-b-s-def)

```

```

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ==> ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: bv v z rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def
    subst-b-τ-def subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst)
  using subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-τ-def
    subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst
  using flip-subst-s(1) flip-subst-subst-s(1) by auto

```

qed
end

instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ftq-b

instance proof
fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ-q
show j # subst-b t i x = (atom i # t ∧ j # t ∨ j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i))
 apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: i x j rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def)

```

subst-ftp-b.simps)
  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
apply metis+
done

fix a::bv and t::fun-typ-q and x::b
show atom a # t ⇒ subst-b t a x = t
  apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
eqvt by metis+

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t::fun-typ-q
show p · subst-b t x1 v = subst-b (p · t) (p · x1) (p · v)
  apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')

fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ-q
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using subst-id subst-b-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
subst-ftp-b.simps by metis+

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def
eqvt by metis+

fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b
  apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z v bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
  apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftp-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
using flip-subst flip-subst-subst forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-b-def
subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def eqvt by metis+

qed
end

```

5.10 Contexts

5.10.1 Immutable Variables

nominal-function *subst-gb* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**

```

  subst-gb GNil - - = GNil
| subst-gb ((y,b',c)#ΓΓ) bv b = ((y,b'[bv::=b]bb,c[bv::=b]cb)#Γ (subst-gb Γ bv b))
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-gb-graph-aux-def) +
  apply auto

```

proof(*goal-cases*)
case (1 *P a1 a2 b*)
then show ?*case* **using** $\Gamma.exhaust\ neq\ GNil\text{-}conv$ **by** *force*
qed
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

abbreviation

subst-gb-abbrev :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma \ (-[::=]\Gamma_b \ [1000,50,50] \ 1000)$

where

$g[bv::=b]\Gamma_b \equiv subst\text{-}gb\ g\ bv\ b'$

instantiation $\Gamma :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b$

begin

definition *subst-b* = *subst-gb*

instance **proof**

fix *j::atom* **and** *i::bv* **and** *x::b* **and** *t::Γ*

show $j \# subst\text{-}b\ t\ i\ x = (atom\ i \# t \wedge j \# t \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# t \vee j = atom\ i))$

proof(*induct t rule: Γ-induct*)

case *GNil*

then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-GNil subst-gb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-Γ-def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if fresh-GNil fresh-GCons* **by** *metis*

next

case (*GCons x' b' c' Γ'*)

have *: $atom\ i \# x'$ **using** *fresh-at-base* **by** *simp*

have $j \# subst\text{-}b\ ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')\ i\ x = j \# ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} (subst\text{-}b\ \Gamma'\ i\ x))$ **using** *subst-gb.simps subst-b-Γ-def* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $(j \# ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \wedge (j \# (subst\text{-}b\ \Gamma'\ i\ x)))$ **using** *fresh-GCons* **by** *auto*

also have ... = $((j \# x') \wedge (j \# b'[i::=x]_{bb}) \wedge (j \# c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \wedge (j \# (subst\text{-}b\ \Gamma'\ i\ x))$ **by** *auto*

also have ... = $((j \# x') \wedge ((atom\ i \# b' \wedge j \# b' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# b' \vee j = atom\ i))) \wedge ((atom\ i \# c' \wedge j \# c' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# c' \vee j = atom\ i))) \wedge ((atom\ i \# \Gamma' \wedge j \# \Gamma' \vee j \# x \wedge (j \# \Gamma' \vee j = atom\ i))))$

using *fresh-subst-if[of j b' i x] fresh-subst-if[of j c' i x] GCons subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def* **by** *simp*

also have ... = $((atom\ i \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \wedge j \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \vee (j \# x \wedge (j \# (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vee j = atom\ i)))$ **using** * *fresh-GCons fresh-prod3* **by** *metis*

finally show ?*case* **by** *auto*

qed

fix *a::bv* **and** *tm::Γ* **and** *x::b*

show $atom\ a \# tm \implies subst\text{-}b\ tm\ a\ x = tm$

proof (*induct tm rule: Γ-induct*)

case *GNil*

then show ?*case* **using** *subst-gb.simps subst-b-Γ-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*GCons x' b' c' Γ'*)

have *: $b'[a::=x]_{bb} = b' \wedge c'[a::=x]_{cb} = c'$ **using** *GCons fresh-GCons[of atom a] fresh-prod3[of atom*

```

a] has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
  have subst-b  $((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$   $a \ x = ((x', b'[a::=x]_{bb}, c'[a::=x]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} (subst-b \ \Gamma' \ a \ x))$  using
subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps by auto
  also have  $\dots = ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using  $* \ GCons \ fresh-GCons[of \ atom \ a]$  by auto
  finally show  $?case$  using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 GCons subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def
has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a b' x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
qed

fix  $a::bv$  and  $tm::\Gamma$ 
show subst-b  $tm \ a \ (B-var \ a) = tm$ 
proof (induct tm rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show  $?case$  using subst-gb.simps subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def by auto
next
  case  $(GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')$ 
  then show  $?case$  using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-gb.simps
by metis
qed

fix  $p::perm$  and  $x1::bv$  and  $v::b$  and  $t1::\Gamma$ 
show  $p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)$ 
proof (induct tm rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show  $?case$  using subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps by simp
next
  case  $(GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')$ 
  then show  $?case$  using subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps has-subst-b-class.eqvt by argo
qed

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\Gamma$  and  $z::bv$ 
show  $atom \ bv \ \# \ c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B-var \ bv]_b$ 
proof (induct c rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show  $?case$  using subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps by auto
next
  case  $(GCons \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')$ 
  have  $*(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot (x, b, c) = (x, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot b, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)$  using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
  then show  $?case$ 
    unfolding subst-gb.simps subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def permute- $\Gamma$ .simps  $*$ 
    using GCons subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps flip-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons by auto
qed

fix  $bv::bv$  and  $c::\Gamma$  and  $z::bv$  and  $v::b$ 
show  $atom \ bv \ \# \ c \implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
proof (induct c rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show  $?case$  using subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps by auto
next
  case  $(GCons \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')$ 
  have  $*(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot (x, b, c) = (x, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot b, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)$  using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
  then show  $?case$ 
    unfolding subst-gb.simps subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def permute- $\Gamma$ .simps  $*$ 

```



```

    using GCons subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def subst-gb.simps flip-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons by auto
qed
qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-b-base-for-lit:
  (base-for-lit l)[bv::=b]bb = base-for-lit l
using base-for-lit.simps l.strong-exhaust
by (metis subst-bb.simps(2) subst-bb.simps(3) subst-bb.simps(6) subst-bb.simps(7))

```

```

lemma subst-b-lookup:
  assumes Some (b, c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  x
  shows Some (b[bv::=b]bb, c[bv::=b]cb) = lookup  $\Gamma$ [bv::=b] $\Gamma$ b x
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct, auto)

```

```

lemma subst-g-b-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and b::b and  $\Gamma$ :: $\Gamma$  and bv::bv
  assumes atom x  $\#$   $\Gamma$ 
  shows atom x  $\#$   $\Gamma$ [bv::=b] $\Gamma$ b
  using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def assms by metis

```

5.10.2 Mutable Variables

```

nominal-function subst-db ::  $\Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta$  where
  subst-db [] $\Delta$  - - = [] $\Delta$ 
| subst-db ((u,t)  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta$ ) bv b = ((u,t[bv::=b] $\tau$ b)  $\#_{\Delta}$  (subst-db  $\Delta$  bv b))
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-db-graph-aux-def, auto)
using list.exhaust delete-aux.elims
  using neq-DNil-conv by fastforce
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```

```

abbreviation
  subst-db-abbrev ::  $\Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta$  (-[::=] $\Delta$ b [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
   $\Delta$ [bv::=b] $\Delta$ b  $\equiv$  subst-db  $\Delta$  bv b

```

```

instantiation  $\Delta$  :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-db

```

```

instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t:: $\Delta$ 
  show j  $\#$  subst-b t i x = (atom i  $\#$  t  $\wedge$  j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j  $\#$  x  $\wedge$  (j  $\#$  t  $\vee$  j = atom i))
  proof(induct t rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
    case DNil
    then show ?case using fresh-DNil subst-db.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b- $\Delta$ -def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
    fresh-DNil fresh-DCons by metis
  next
    case (DCons u t  $\Gamma$ )
    have j  $\#$  subst-b ((u, t)  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Gamma$ ) i x = j  $\#$  ((u, t[i::=x] $\tau$ b)  $\#_{\Delta}$  (subst-b  $\Gamma$ ' i x)) using subst-db.simps
    subst-b- $\Delta$ -def by auto

```

```

also have ... = (j # ((u, t[i::=x]τb)) ∧ (j # (subst-b Γ' i x))) using fresh-DCons by auto
also have ... = (((j # u) ∧ (j # t[i::=x]τb)) ∧ (j # (subst-b Γ' i x))) by auto
also have ... = ((j # u) ∧ ((atom i # t ∧ j # t) ∨ (j # x ∧ (j # t ∨ j = atom i)))) ∧ (atom i # Γ'
  ∧ j # Γ' ∨ j # x ∧ (j # Γ' ∨ j = atom i)))
  using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if[of j t i x] subst-b-τ-def DCons subst-b-Δ-def by auto
also have ... = (atom i # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∧ j # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∨ j # x ∧ (j # (u, t) #Δ Γ' ∨ j = atom
  i))
  using DCons subst-db.simps(2) has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if fresh-DCons subst-b-Δ-def pure-fresh
  fresh-at-base by auto
finally show ?case by auto
qed

fix a::bv and tm::Δ and x::b
show atom a # tm ⇒ subst-b tm a x = tm
proof (induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-Δ-def by auto
next
  case (DCons u t Γ')
  have *:t[a::=x]τb = t using DCons fresh-DCons[of atom a] fresh-prod2[of atom a] has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
  subst-b-τ-def by metis
  have subst-b ((u,t) #Δ Γ') a x = ((u,t[a::=x]τb) #Δ (subst-b Γ' a x)) using subst-b-Δ-def
  subst-db.simps by auto
  also have ... = ((u, t) #Δ Γ') using * DCons fresh-DCons[of atom a] by auto
  finally show ?case using
    has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-DCons fresh-prod3
    DCons subst-b-Δ-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a t x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
qed

fix a::bv and tm::Δ
show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
proof(induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-Δ-def by auto
next
  case (DCons u t Γ')
  then show ?case using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-Δ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-db.simps by
  metis
qed

fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::Δ
show p · subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p · t1) (p · x1) (p · v)
proof (induct tm rule: Δ-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b-Δ-def subst-db.simps by simp
next
  case (DCons x' b' Γ')
  then show ?case by argo
qed

fix bv::bv and c::Δ and z::bv
show atom bv # c ⇒ ((bv ↔ z) · c) = c[z::=B-var bv]b

```

```

proof (induct c rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps by auto
next
  case (DCons u t')
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b- $\Delta$ -def permute- $\Delta$ .simps
    using DCons subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps flip-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base
    fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
  qed

```

```

fix bv::bv and c:: $\Delta$  and z::bv and v::b
show atom bv  $\nmid$  c  $\implies ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv::=v]_b = c[z::=v]_b$ 
proof (induct c rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps by auto
next
  case (DCons u t')
  then show ?case
    unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b- $\Delta$ -def permute- $\Delta$ .simps
    using DCons subst-b- $\Delta$ -def subst-db.simps flip-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base
    fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
  qed
qed
end

```

```

lemma subst-d-b-member:
  assumes (u,  $\tau$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta$ 
  shows (u,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
  using assms by (induct  $\Delta$ , auto)

```

```

lemmas ms-fresh-all = e.fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh  $\tau$ .fresh c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh l.fresh fresh-at-base
opp.fresh pure-fresh ms-fresh

```

```

lemmas fresh-intros[intro] = fresh-GNil x-not-in-b-set x-not-in-u-atoms x-fresh-b u-not-in-x-atoms bv-not-in-x-atoms
u-not-in-b-atoms

```

```

lemmas subst-b-simps = subst-tb.simps subst-cb.simps subst-ceb.simps subst-vb.simps subst-bb.simps
subst-eb.simps subst-branchb.simps subst-sb.simps

```

```

ML  $\langle \text{Ctr-Sugar.ctr-sugar-of } @\{\text{context}\} @\{\text{type-name } b\} |> \text{Option.map } \# \text{ctr}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma subst-d-b-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and b::b and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and bv::bv
  assumes atom x  $\nmid$   $\Delta$ 
  shows atom x  $\nmid$   $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
  using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Delta$ -def assms by metis

```

```

lemma subst-b-fresh-x:
  fixes x::x

```

shows $atom\ x \# v \implies atom\ x \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# ce \implies atom\ x \# ce[bv::=b]_{ceb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# e \implies atom\ x \# e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# c \implies atom\ x \# c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# t \implies atom\ x \# t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# d \implies atom\ x \# d[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# g \implies atom\ x \# g[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# s \implies atom\ x \# s[bv::=b]_{sb}$
using *fresh-subst-if* *x-fresh-b* *subst-b-v-def* *subst-b-ce-def* *subst-b-e-def* *subst-b-c-def* *subst-b- τ -def* *subst-b-s-def*
subst-g-b-x-fresh *subst-d-b-x-fresh*
by *metis*+

lemma *subst-b-fresh-u-cls*:
fixes $tm::'a::has-subst-b$ **and** $x::u$
shows $atom\ x \# tm = atom\ x \# tm[bv::=b]_b$
using *fresh-subst-if* [*of* $atom\ x\ tm\ bv\ b$] **using** *u-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

lemma *subst-g-b-u-fresh*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $bv::bv$
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$
using *subst-b-fresh-u-cls* *subst-b- Γ -def* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *subst-d-b-u-fresh*:
fixes $x::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Delta$ **and** $bv::bv$
assumes $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *subst-b-fresh-u-cls* *subst-b- Δ -def* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *subst-b-fresh-u*:
fixes $x::u$
shows $atom\ x \# v \implies atom\ x \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# ce \implies atom\ x \# ce[bv::=b]_{ceb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# e \implies atom\ x \# e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# c \implies atom\ x \# c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# t \implies atom\ x \# t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# d \implies atom\ x \# d[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# g \implies atom\ x \# g[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **and**
 $atom\ x \# s \implies atom\ x \# s[bv::=b]_{sb}$
using *fresh-subst-if* *u-fresh-b* *subst-b-v-def* *subst-b-ce-def* *subst-b-e-def* *subst-b-c-def* *subst-b- τ -def* *subst-b-s-def*
subst-g-b-u-fresh *subst-d-b-u-fresh*
by *metis*+

lemma *subst-db-u-fresh*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $D::\Delta$
assumes $atom\ u \# D$
shows $atom\ u \# D[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* D *rule*: Δ -*induct*)
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons* $u'\ t'\ D'$)

then show *?case* **using** *subst-db.simps fresh-def fresh-DCons fresh-subst-if subst-b- τ -def*
by (*metis fresh-Pair u-not-in-b-atoms*)
qed

lemma *flip-bt-subst4*:
fixes *t:: τ* **and** *bv::bv*
assumes *atom bv $\#$ t*
shows $t[bv'::=b]_{\tau b} = ((bv' \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t)[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *flip-subst-subst[OF assms, of bv' b]*
by (*simp add: flip-commute subst-b- τ -def*)

lemma *subst-bt-flip-sym*:
fixes *t1:: τ* **and** *t2:: τ*
assumes *atom bv $\#$ b* **and** *atom bv $\#$ (bv1, bv2, t1, t2)* **and** $(bv1 \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow bv) \cdot t2$
shows $t1[bv1::=b]_{\tau b} = t2[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *assms flip-bt-subst4[of bv t1 bv1 b] flip-bt-subst4 fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

end

Chapter 6

Wellformed Terms

We require that expressions and values are well-sorted. We identify sort with base. Define a large cluster of mutually recursive inductive predicates. Some of the proofs are across all of the predicates and although they seemed at first to be daunting they have all worked out well with only the cases where you think something special needs to be done having some non-uniform part of the proof.

named-theorems *ms-wb Facts for helping with well-sortedness*

6.1 Definitions

inductive $wfV :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfC :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfG :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTs :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTh :: \Theta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (\vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfB :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfCE :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50)$ **and**
 $wfTD :: \Theta \Rightarrow \text{type-def} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50)$
where

$wfB\text{-}intI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}int$
 $wfB\text{-}boolI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}bool$
 $wfB\text{-}unitI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}unit$
 $wfB\text{-}bitvecI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}bitvec$
 $wfB\text{-}pairI: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $wfB\text{-}consI: \llbracket$
 $\quad \vdash_{wf} \Theta;$
 $\quad (AF\text{-}typedef \ s \ dclist) \in \text{set } \Theta$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}id \ s$
 $wfB\text{-}appI: \llbracket$
 $\quad \vdash_{wf} \Theta;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b;$

$$\begin{array}{l}
(AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist) \in \text{set } \Theta \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-app } s \text{ } b \\
\\
| \text{ } wfV\text{-varI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ; \text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \text{ } x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b \\
| \text{ } wfV\text{-litI}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-lit } l : \text{base-for-lit } l \\
\\
| \text{ } wfV\text{-pairI}: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2) : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b2 \\
\\
| \text{ } wfV\text{-consI}: \llbracket \\
\quad AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta ; \\
\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-cons } s \text{ } dc \text{ } v : B\text{-id } s \\
\\
| \text{ } wfV\text{-conspI}: \llbracket \\
\quad AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta ; \\
\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b ; \\
\quad \text{atom } bv \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-consp } s \text{ } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v : B\text{-app } s \text{ } b \\
\\
| \text{ } wfCE\text{-valI}: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v : b \\
\\
| \text{ } wfCE\text{-plusI}: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-int} ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op Plus } v1 \text{ } v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\\
| \text{ } wfCE\text{-leqI}: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-int} ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int} \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op LEq } v1 \text{ } v2 : B\text{-bool} \\
\\
| \text{ } wfCE\text{-fstI}: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b2 \\
\] \Longrightarrow \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } v1 : b1
\end{array}$$

$| \text{wfCE-sndI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1 : b2$

$| \text{wfCE-concatI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 : B\text{-bitvec}$

$| \text{wfCE-lenI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } v1 : B\text{-int}$

$| \text{wfTI} : \llbracket$
 $\quad atom \ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$

$| \text{wfC-eqI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-eq } e1 \ e2$

$| \text{wfC-trueI}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-true}$

$| \text{wfC-falseI}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-false}$

$| \text{wfC-conjI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-conj } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfC-disjI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ; \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-disj } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfC-notI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-not } c1$

$| \text{wfC-impI}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \ c2$

$| \text{wfG-nilI}: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil$

$| \text{wfG-cons1I}: \llbracket c \notin \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\quad atom \ x \ \# \ \Gamma ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c ; \text{wfB } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$
 $\rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

$| \text{wfG-cons2I}: \llbracket c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;$
 $\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\quad atom \ x \ \# \ \Gamma ;$
 $\quad \text{wfB } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$
 $\rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

$| \text{wfTh-emptyI}: \vdash_{wf} []$

$| \text{wfTh-consI}: \llbracket$
 $(\text{name-of-type } tdef) \notin \text{name-of-type 'set } \Theta ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta ;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} tdef \rrbracket \implies \vdash_{wf} tdef \# \Theta$

$| \text{wfTD-simpleI}: \llbracket$
 $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ } lst)$

$| \text{wfTD-poly}: \llbracket$
 $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ } bv \text{ } lst)$

$| \text{wfTs-nil}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket :: (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ } list$

$| \text{wfTs-cons}: \llbracket \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;$
 $dc \notin \text{fst 'set } ts;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts :: (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ } list \rrbracket \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((dc, \tau) \# ts)$

inductive-cases *wfC-elim*:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-true}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-false}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-eq } e1 \text{ } e2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-conj } c1 \text{ } c2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-disj } c1 \text{ } c2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-not } c1$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \text{ } c2$

inductive-cases *wfV-elim*:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-lit } l : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-cons } tyid \text{ } dc \text{ } v : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-consp } tyid \text{ } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v : b'$

inductive-cases *wfCE-elim*:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op Plus } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op LEq } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } v1 : b$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op opp } v1 \text{ } v2 : b$

inductive-cases *wfT-elim*:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau :: \tau$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$

inductive-cases *wfG-elim*:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, FALSE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

inductive-cases *wfTh-elim*s:

$\vdash_{wf} []$
 $\vdash_{wf} td \# \Pi$

inductive-cases *wfTD-elim*s:

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ } lst)$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ } bv \text{ } lst)$

inductive-cases *wfTs-elim*s:

$P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ([::((string*\tau) \text{ } list))$
 $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ((t\#ts)::((string*\tau) \text{ } list))$

inductive-cases *wfB-elim*s:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-pair } b1 \text{ } b2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-id } s$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-app } s \text{ } b$

equivariance *wfV*

nominal-inductive *wfV*

avoids *wfV-conspI*: *bv* | *wfTI*: *z*

proof(*goal-cases*)

case (*1 s bv dclist* Θ *dc x b' c* \mathcal{B} *b* Γ *v*)

moreover hence *atom bv* $\#$ *V-consp s dc b v* **using** *v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh* **by** *metis*

moreover have *atom bv* $\#$ *B-app s b* **using** *b.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh 1* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *b.fresh v.fresh pure-fresh fresh-star-def fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*2 s bv dclist* Θ *dc x b' c* \mathcal{B} *b* Γ *v*)

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*3 z* Γ Θ \mathcal{B} *b c*)

then show *?case* **using** *τ .fresh fresh-star-def fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*4 z* Γ Θ \mathcal{B} *b c*)

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

inductive

wfE :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool } (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - [50, 50, 50] 50)$ **and**

$wfS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfCS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{branch-}s \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfCSS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - : - \ [50,50,50,50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfPhi :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfD :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50,50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfFTQ :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{fun-typ-q} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50) \ \text{and}$
 $wfFT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \text{fun-typ} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash_{wf} - \ [50] \ 50) \ \text{where}$

$wfE\text{-}valI : \llbracket ($
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}val \ v : b$

$| \text{wfE-plusI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2 : B\text{-}int$

$| \text{wfE-leqI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 : B\text{-}bool$

$| \text{wfE-fstI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}fst \ v1 : b1$

$| \text{wfE-sndI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}snd \ v1 : b2$

$| \text{wfE-concatI} : \llbracket$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}bitvec$

$\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 : B\text{-bitvec}$

$| \text{ } wfE\text{-splitI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec};$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int}$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec}$

$| \text{ } wfE\text{-lenI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec}$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-len } v1 : B\text{-int}$

$| \text{ } wfE\text{-appI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $Some (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-app } f \ v : b\text{-of } \tau$

$| \text{ } wfE\text{-appPI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' ;$
 $atom \ bv \ \# \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b);$
 $Some (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b)$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v) : ((b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b)$

$| \text{ } wfE\text{-mvarI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $(u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-mvar } u : b\text{-of } \tau$

$| \text{ } wfS\text{-valI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AS\text{-val } v) : b$

$| \text{ } wfS\text{-letI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $wfE \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ b' ;$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b) \\
\parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET\ x = e\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-assertI: } \parallel \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \\
\parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} ASSERT\ c\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-let2I: } \parallel \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b ; \\
atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \\
\parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET\ x : \tau = s1\ IN\ s2 : b \\
| \text{ wfS-ifI: } \parallel \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-bool} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} IF\ v\ THEN\ s1\ ELSE\ s2 : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-varI : } \parallel \text{ wfT } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} VAR\ u : \tau = v\ IN\ s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-assignI: } \parallel (u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} u ::= v : B\text{-unit} \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-whileI: } \parallel \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B\text{-bool} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} WHILE\ s1\ DO\ \{ s2 \} : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-seqI: } \parallel \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B\text{-unit} ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \parallel \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 ;; s2 : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-matchI: } \parallel \text{ wfV } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ (B\text{-id } tid) ; \\
(AF\text{-typedef } tid\ dclist) \in set\ \Theta ; \\
\text{ wfD } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \parallel \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-match } v\ cs : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-branchI: } \parallel \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ;
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{atom } x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau); \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta}} \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc\ x \Rightarrow s : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-finalI: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b}} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b}} \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; [(dc, t)] \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-final } cs : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfS-cons: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b;}} \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b;}} \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; (dc, t) \# dclist \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-cons } cs\ css : b \\
\\
| \text{ wfD-emptyI: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \boxed{}_{\Delta} \\
| \text{ wfD-cons: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta ;}} \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ; \\
u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD \Delta \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta ;}} \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \\
\\
| \text{ wfPhi-emptyI: } \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \boxed{} \\
| \text{ wfPhi-consI: } \boxed{\phantom{f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set \Phi;}} \\
f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set \Phi; \\
\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \\
\boxed{\phantom{f \notin name\text{-of-fun ' } set \Phi;}} \implies \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} ((AF\text{-fundef } f\ ft) \# \Phi) \\
| \text{ wfFTNone: } \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-typ-none } ft \\
| \text{ wfFTSome: } \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\ bv |\} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv\ ft \\
| \text{ wfFTI: } \boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b;}} \\
\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b; \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \boxed{}_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau ; \\
supp\ s \subseteq \{atom\ x\} ; \\
supp\ c \subseteq \{atom\ x\} ; \\
\Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \\
\boxed{\phantom{\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b;}} \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-typ } x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)
\end{array}$$

inductive-cases *wfE-elim*:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-val } v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-fst } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-snd } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-concat } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-len } v1 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-op opp } v1\ v2 : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-app } f\ v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f\ b'\ v : b \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-mvar } u : b
\end{array}$$

inductive-cases *wfCS-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-s) : b$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-list) : b$

inductive-cases *wfPhi-elim*:

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} []$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} ((AF-fundef\ f\ ft)\#\Pi)$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (fd\#\Phi::\Phi)$

declare[[*simproc del: alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *wfFTQ-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none\ ft$
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ ft$
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ (AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$

inductive-cases *wfFT-elim*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$

declare[[*simproc add: alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *wfD-elim*:

$\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma::\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$
 $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma::\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta::\Delta$

equivariance *wfE*

nominal-inductive *wfE*

avoids *wfE-appPI: bv | wfS-varI: u | wfS-letI: x | wfS-let2I: x | wfS-branchI: x | wfS-assertI: x*

proof(*goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ b'\ bv\ v\ \tau\ f\ x\ b\ c\ s$)
moreover hence *atom bv # AE-appP f b' v using pure-fresh fresh-prodN e.fresh by auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-star-def by fastforce*

next

case (2 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ b'\ bv\ v\ \tau\ f\ x\ b\ c\ s$)
then show *?case by auto*

next

case (3 $\Phi\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b$)
moreover hence *atom x # LET x = e IN s using fresh-prodN by auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def by fastforce*

next

case (4 $\Phi\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b$)
then show *?case by auto*

next

case (5 $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ c\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b$)
hence *atom x # ASSERT c IN s using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto*

```

  then show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 5 by fastforce
next
  case (6  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (7  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  hence  $atom\ x \# \tau \wedge atom\ x \# s1$  using fresh-prodN by metis
  moreover hence  $atom\ x \# LET\ x : \tau = s1\ IN\ s2$ 
  using  $s\text{-branch-}s\text{-branch-list.fresh}(\beta)[of\ atom\ x\ x\ \tau\ s1\ s2]$  fresh-prodN by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 7 by fastforce
next
  case (8  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (9  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  moreover hence  $atom\ u \# AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau\ v\ s$  using fresh-prodN  $s\text{-branch-}s\text{-branch-list.fresh}$  by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-star-def fresh-prodN  $s\text{-branch-}s\text{-branch-list.fresh}$  by fastforce
next
  case (10  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (11  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b\ tid\ dc$ )
  moreover have  $atom\ x \# (dc\ x \Rightarrow s)$  using pure-fresh  $s\text{-branch-}s\text{-branch-list.fresh}$  by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def pure-fresh by fastforce
next
  case (12  $\Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b\ tid\ dc$ )
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

inductive $wfVDs :: var\text{-}def\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$wfVDs\text{-}nilI$: $wfVDs\ []$

| $wfVDs\text{-}consI$: \llbracket
 $atom\ u \# ts$;
 $wfV\ (\llbracket :: \Theta \rrbracket \{ \rrbracket \} \ GNil\ v\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau))$;
 $wfT\ (\llbracket :: \Theta \rrbracket \{ \rrbracket \} \ GNil\ \tau$;
 $wfVDs\ ts$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow wfVDs\ ((AV\text{-}def\ u\ \tau\ v) \# ts)$

equivariance $wfVDs$

nominal-inductive $wfVDs$.

end

hide-const $Syntax.dom$

Chapter 7

Refinement Constraint Logic

Semantics for the logic we use in the refinement constraints. It is a multi-sorted, quantifier free logic with polymorphic datatypes and linear arithmetic. We could have modelled by using one of the encodings to FOL however we wanted to explore using a more direct model.

7.1 Evaluation and Satisfiability

7.1.1 Valuation

RCL values. This is our universe. S_{Ut} is a value for uninterpreted sort that corresponds to base type variables. For now we only need one of these universes. We wrap an `smt_val` inside it during a process we call 'boxing' that is introduced in the `RCLModelLemmass` theory

nominal-datatype $rcl\text{-}val = S_{\text{Bitvec}}\ bit\ list \mid S_{\text{Num}}\ int \mid S_{\text{Bool}}\ bool \mid S_{\text{Pair}}\ rcl\text{-}val\ rcl\text{-}val \mid$
 $S_{\text{Cons}}\ tyid\ string\ rcl\text{-}val \mid S_{\text{Consp}}\ tyid\ string\ b\ rcl\text{-}val \mid$
 $S_{\text{Unit}} \mid S_{\text{Ut}}\ rcl\text{-}val$

RCL sorts. Represent our domains. The universe is the union of all of the these. S_{Ut} is the single uninterpreted sort. Map almost directly to base type but should have them to clearly distinguish syntax (base types) and semantics (RCL sorts)

nominal-datatype $rcl\text{-}sort = S\text{-}bool \mid S\text{-}int \mid S\text{-}unit \mid S\text{-}pair\ rcl\text{-}sort\ rcl\text{-}sort \mid S\text{-}id\ tyid \mid S\text{-}app\ tyid$
 $rcl\text{-}sort \mid S\text{-}bitvec \mid S\text{-}ut$

type-synonym $valuation = (x, rcl\text{-}val)\ map$

type-synonym $type\text{-}valuation = (bv, rcl\text{-}sort)\ map$

inductive $wfRCV:: \Theta \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool\ (\ - \vdash - : - [50,50] \ 50)$ **where**
 $wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Bitvec}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Bitvec}}\ bv) : B\text{-}bitvec$
 $| wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Int}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Num}}\ n) : B\text{-}int$
 $| wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Bool}}I: P \vdash (S_{\text{Bool}}\ b) : B\text{-}bool$
 $| wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Pair}}I: \llbracket P \vdash s1 : b1 ; P \vdash s2 : b2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \vdash (S_{\text{Pair}}\ s1\ s2) : (B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2)$
 $| wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{Cons}}I: \llbracket AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$
 $\quad (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist ;$
 $\quad \Theta \vdash s1 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash (S_{\text{Cons}}\ s\ dc\ s1) : (B\text{-}id\ s)$
 $| wfRCV\text{-}B_{\text{ConsPI}}I: \llbracket AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$

```

      (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
      atom bv # (Θ, SConsp s dc b' s1, B-app s b');
      Θ ⊢ s1 : b[bv::=b']bb ] ⇒ Θ ⊢ (SConsp s dc b' s1) : (B-app s b')
| wfRCV-BUnitI: P ⊢ SUnit : B-unit
| wfRCV-BVarI: P ⊢ (SUnit n) : (B-var bv)
equivariance wfRCV
nominal-inductive wfRCV
  avoids wfRCV-BConsPI: bv
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 s bv dclist Θ dc x b c b' s1)
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def by auto
next
  case (2 s bv dclist Θ dc x b c s1 b')
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

inductive-cases wfRCV-elim : *wfRCV-elim* :

```

wfRCV P s B-bitvec
wfRCV P s (B-pair b1 b2)
wfRCV P s (B-int)
wfRCV P s (B-bool)
wfRCV P s (B-id ss)
wfRCV P s (B-var bv)
wfRCV P s (B-unit)
wfRCV P s (B-app tyid b)
wfRCV P (SBitvec bv) b
wfRCV P (SNum n) b
wfRCV P (SBool n) b
wfRCV P (SPair s1 s2) b
wfRCV P (SCons s dc s1) b
wfRCV P (SConsp s dc b' s1) b
wfRCV P SUnit b
wfRCV P (SUnit s1) b

```

thm wfRCV-elim(9)

Sometimes we want to do $P \vdash s \sim b[bv=b']$ and we want to know what b is however substitution is not injective so we can't write this in terms of *wfRCV*. So we define a relation that makes the variable and thing being substituted in explicit.

inductive wfRCV-subst:: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow (bv*b) \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

wfRCV-subst-BBitvecI: wfRCV-subst P (SBitvec bv) B-bitvec sub
| wfRCV-subst-BIntI: wfRCV-subst P (SNum n) B-int sub
| wfRCV-subst-BBoolI: wfRCV-subst P (SBool b) B-bool sub
| wfRCV-subst-BPairI: [ wfRCV-subst P s1 b1 sub ; wfRCV-subst P s2 b2 sub ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst P
  (SPair s1 s2) (B-pair b1 b2) sub
| wfRCV-subst-BConsI: [ AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ;
  (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
  wfRCV-subst Θ s1 b None ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst Θ (SCons s dc s1) (B-id s) sub
| wfRCV-subst-BConspI: [ AF-typedef-poly s bv dclist ∈ set Θ;
  (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist ;
  wfRCV-subst Θ s1 (b[bv::=b']bb) sub ] ⇒ wfRCV-subst Θ (SConsp s dc b' s1) (B-app s b') sub
| wfRCV-subst-BUnitI: wfRCV-subst P SUnit B-unit sub

```

$| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar1I}: \text{bvar} \neq \text{bv} \implies \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ (SUt } n) \text{ (B-var bv) (Some (bvar, bin))}$
 $| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar2I}: \llbracket \text{bvar} = \text{bv}; \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ s bin None} \rrbracket \implies \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ s (B-var bv)}$
 $(\text{Some (bvar, bin)})$
 $| \text{wfRCV-subst-BVar3I}: \text{wfRCV-subst } P \text{ (SUt } n) \text{ (B-var bv) None}$
equivariance wfRCV-subst
nominal-inductive wfRCV-subst .

7.1.2 Evaluation base-types

inductive $\text{eval-b} :: \text{type-valuation} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{rcl-sort} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ (- } \llbracket - \rrbracket \sim - \text{)}$ **where**
 $v \llbracket B\text{-bool} \rrbracket \sim S\text{-bool}$
 $| v \llbracket B\text{-int} \rrbracket \sim S\text{-int}$
 $| \text{Some } s = v \text{ bv} \implies v \llbracket B\text{-var bv} \rrbracket \sim s$
equivariance eval-b
nominal-inductive eval-b .

7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation

definition $\text{wfI} :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{valuation} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ (- ; - } \vdash - \text{)}$ **where**
 $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i = (\forall (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma. \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \text{ x} \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b)$

7.1.4 Evaluating Terms

nominal-function $\text{eval-l} :: l \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \text{ (} \llbracket - \rrbracket \text{)}$ **where**
 $\llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket = S\text{Bool True}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-false} \rrbracket = S\text{Bool False}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket = S\text{Num } n$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-unit} \rrbracket = S\text{Unit}$
 $| \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } n \rrbracket = S\text{Bitvec } n$
apply($\text{auto simp: eqvt-def eval-l-graph-aux-def}$)
by (metis l.exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) **by** $\text{lexicographic-order}$

inductive $\text{eval-v} :: \text{valuation} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ (- } \llbracket - \rrbracket \sim - \text{)}$ **where**
 $\text{eval-v-litI}: i \llbracket V\text{-lit } l \rrbracket \sim \llbracket l \rrbracket$
 $| \text{eval-v-varI}: \text{Some } sv = i \text{ x} \implies i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim sv$
 $| \text{eval-v-pairI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s1 ; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim s2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2 \rrbracket \sim S\text{Pair } s1 \text{ } s2$
 $| \text{eval-v-consI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \implies i \llbracket V\text{-cons } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } v \rrbracket \sim S\text{Cons } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } s$
 $| \text{eval-v-conspI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \implies i \llbracket V\text{-consp } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v \rrbracket \sim S\text{Consp } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } b \text{ } s$
equivariance eval-v
nominal-inductive eval-v .

inductive-cases eval-v-elim :

$i \llbracket V\text{-lit } l \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-pair } v1 \text{ } v2 \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-cons } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } v \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket V\text{-consp } \text{tyid } dc \text{ } b \text{ } v \rrbracket \sim s$

inductive $\text{eval-e} :: \text{valuation} \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ (- } \llbracket - \rrbracket \sim - \text{)}$ **where**
 $\text{eval-e-valI}: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim sv \implies i \llbracket CE\text{-val } v \rrbracket \sim sv$

$| \text{eval-e-plusI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim \text{SNum } n1; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim \text{SNum } n2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-op Plus } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } (n1+n2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-leqI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } n1); i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } n2) \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-op LEq } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBool } (n1 \leq n2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-fstI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim \text{SPair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-fst } v) \rrbracket \sim v1$
 $| \text{eval-e-sndI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim \text{SPair } v1 \ v2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-snd } v) \rrbracket \sim v2$
 $| \text{eval-e-concatI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv1); i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv2) \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-concat } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } (bv1 @ bv2))$
 $| \text{eval-e-lenI}: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim (\text{SBitvec } bv) \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{CE-len } v) \rrbracket \sim (\text{SNum } (\text{int } (\text{List.length } bv)))$

equivariance *eval-e*

nominal-inductive *eval-e* .

thm *eval-e.induct*

inductive-cases *eval-e-elim*:

$i \llbracket (\text{CE-val } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-op Plus } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-op LEq } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-fst } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-snd } v) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-concat } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{CE-len } v) \rrbracket \sim s$

inductive *eval-c* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *c* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \llbracket *-* $\rrbracket \sim$ *-*) **where**

$\text{eval-c-trueI}: i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 $\text{eval-c-falseI}: i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim \text{False}$
 $\text{eval-c-conjI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{C-conj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \wedge b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-disjI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{C-disj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \vee b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-impI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{C-imp } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \longrightarrow b2)$
 $\text{eval-c-notI}: \llbracket i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim b \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{C-not } c) \rrbracket \sim (\neg b)$
 $\text{eval-c-eqI}: \llbracket i \llbracket e1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 ; i \llbracket e2 \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (\text{C-eq } e1 \ e2) \rrbracket \sim (sv1 = sv2)$

equivariance *eval-c*

nominal-inductive *eval-c* .

inductive-cases *eval-c-elim*:

$i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim \text{False}$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-conj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-disj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-imp } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-not } c) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket (\text{C-eq } e1 \ e2) \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-true} \rrbracket \sim s$
 $i \llbracket \text{C-false} \rrbracket \sim s$

7.1.5 Satisfiability

inductive *is-satis* :: *valuation* \Rightarrow *c* \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \models *-*) **where**

$i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim \text{True} \implies i \models c$

equivariance *is-satis*

nominal-inductive *is-satis* .

nominal-function *is-satis-g* :: *valuation* $\Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*-* \models *-*) **where**
i \models *GNil* = *True*
| *i* \models ((*x*,*b*,*c*) $\#_{\Gamma}$ *G*) = (*i* \models *c* \wedge *i* \models *G*)
apply(*auto simp: eqvt-def is-satis-g-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis* Γ .*exhaust old.prod.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

7.2 Validity

nominal-function *valid* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*-* ; *-* ; *-* \models *-* [*50*, *50*] *50*) **where**
P ; *B* ; *G* $\models c$ = ((*P* ; *B* ; *G* \vdash_{wf} *c*) \wedge ($\forall i. (P ; G \vdash i) \wedge i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c$))
by (*auto simp: eqvt-def wfI-def valid-graph-aux-def*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

7.3 Lemmas

Lemmas needed for Examples

lemma *valid-trueI* [*intro*]:
fixes *G::* Γ
assumes *P* ; *B* \vdash_{wf} *G*
shows *P* ; *B* ; *G* \models *C-true*
proof –
have $\forall i. i \models C\text{-true}$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-trueI* **by** *simp*
moreover have *P* ; *B* ; *G* \vdash_{wf} *C-true* **using** *wfC-trueI assms* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*
qed

inductive *split* :: *int* \Rightarrow *bit list* \Rightarrow *bit list* * *bit list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
split 0 *xs* ([], *xs*)
| *split* *m* *xs* (*ys*,*zs*) \Longrightarrow *split* (*m*+1) (*x* $\#$ *xs*) ((*x* $\#$ *ys*), *zs*)
equivariance *split*
nominal-inductive *split* .

lemma *split-concat*:
assumes *split* *n* *v* (*v1*,*v2*)
shows *v* = *append* *v1* *v2*
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* (*v1*,*v2*) *arbitrary: v1 v2* *rule: split.inducts*)
case 1
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (2 *m* *xs* *ys* *zs* *x*)
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *split-n*:
assumes *split* *n* *v* (*v1*,*v2*)
shows 0 \leq *n* \wedge *n* \leq *int* (*length* *v*)
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* *rule: split.inducts*)
case (1 *xs*)

```

    then show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 m xs ys zs x)
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma split-length:
  assumes split n v (v1,v2)
  shows n = int (length v1)
using assms proof(induct (v1,v2) arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: split.inducts)
  case (1 xs)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 m xs ys zs x)
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma obtain-split:
  assumes 0 ≤ n and n ≤ int (length bv)
  shows ∃ bv1 bv2. split n bv (bv1 , bv2)
using assms proof(induct bv arbitrary: n)
  case Nil
  then show ?case using split.intros by auto
next
  case (Cons b bv)
  show ?case proof(cases n = 0)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using split.intros by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain m where m:n=m+1 using Cons
    by (metis add.commute add-minus-cancel)
    moreover have 0 ≤ m using False m Cons by linarith
    then obtain bv1 and bv2 where split m bv (bv1 , bv2) using Cons m by force
    hence split n (b # bv) ((b#bv1), bv2) using m split.intros by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

end

7.4 Syntax Lemmas

```

lemma supp-v-tau [simp]:
  assumes atom z # v
  shows supp (⌈ z : b | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val v ⌋) = supp v ∪ supp b
  using assms τ.supp c.supp ce.supp
  by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)

```

```

lemma supp-v-var-tau [simp]:

```

```

assumes  $z \neq x$ 
shows  $\text{supp } (\llbracket z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) \rrbracket) = \{ \text{atom } x \} \cup \text{supp } b$ 
using supp-v-tau assms
using supp-at-base by fastforce

```

Sometimes we need to work with a version of a binder where the variable is fresh in something else, such as a bigger context. I think these could be generated automatically

```

lemma obtain-fresh-fun-def:
  fixes  $t::'b::fs$ 
  shows  $\exists y::x. \text{atom } y \# (s, c, \tau, t) \wedge (\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) = \text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s))))$ 
proof -
  obtain  $y::x$  where  $y: \text{atom } y \# (s, c, \tau, t)$  using obtain-fresh by blast
  moreover have  $\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s))) = (\text{AF-fundef } f (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)))$ 
  proof(cases x=y)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using fun-def.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3) flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD by auto
  next
    case False
    thm fun-typ.eq-iff
    have  $(\text{AF-fun-typ } y \ b \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s)) = (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$  proof(subst fun-typ.eq-iff, subst Abs1-eq-iff(3))
      show  $((y = x \wedge (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = ((c, \tau), s) \vee y \neq x \wedge (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((c, \tau), s) \wedge \text{atom } y \# ((c, \tau), s))) \wedge b = b)$ 
    using False flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD y by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis by metis
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using y fresh-Pair by metis
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-fun-member:
  assumes  $\text{Some } (\text{AF-fundef } f \ ft) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ 
  shows  $\text{AF-fundef } f \ ft \in \text{set } \Phi$ 
using assms proof (induct  $\Phi$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a  $\Phi$ )
  then show ?case using lookup-fun.simps
    by (metis fun-def.exhaust insert-iff list.simps(15) option.inject)
qed

```

```

lemma rig-dom-eq:
   $\text{dom } (G[x \mapsto c]) = \text{dom } G$ 
proof(induct G rule:  $\Gamma$ .induct)
  case GNil

```

```

    then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by presburger
next
case (GCons xbc  $\Gamma'$ )
obtain  $x'$  and  $b'$  and  $c'$  where  $xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')$  using prod-cases3 by blast
then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps GCons by simp
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig-eq:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  x
  shows Some (b,c') = lookup ( $\Gamma[x \mapsto c']$ ) x
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (GCons x b c  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig-neq:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  y and  $x \neq y$ 
  shows Some (b,c) = lookup ( $\Gamma[x \mapsto c']$ ) y
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-in-rig:
  assumes Some (b,c) = lookup  $\Gamma$  y
  shows  $\exists c''. \text{Some } (b,c'') = \text{lookup } (\Gamma[x \mapsto c']) y$ 
proof(cases  $x=y$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-eq using assms by blast
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-neq using assms by blast
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-inside[simp]:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{fst } \text{setG } \Gamma'$ 
  shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma' @ (x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ ) x
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma'$ ,auto)

```

```

lemma lookup-inside2:
  assumes Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma' @ ((x,b0,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ ) y and  $x \neq y$ 
  shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup ( $\Gamma' @ ((x,b0,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ ) y
  using assms by(induct  $\Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ .induct,auto+)

```

```

fun tail:: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  tail [] = []

```


| $\text{tail } (x \# xs) = xs$

lemma *lookup-options*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } (xt \#_{\Gamma} G) x$

shows $((x,b,c) = xt) \vee (\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G x)$

by (*metis* *assms* *lookup.simps(2)* *option.inject surj-pair*)

lemma *lookup-x*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G x$

shows $x \in \text{fst } \text{'setG } G$

using *assms*

by(*induct* *G* *rule*: $\Gamma.\text{induct}$,*auto*+))

lemma *GCons-eq-appendI*:

fixes $xs1::\Gamma$

shows $[[x \#_{\Gamma} xs1 = ys; xs = xs1 @ zs]] ==> x \#_{\Gamma} xs = ys @ zs$

by (*drule sym*) *simp*

lemma *split-G*: $x : \text{setG } xs \implies \exists ys zs. xs = ys @ x \#_{\Gamma} zs$

proof (*induct xs*)

case *GNil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case *GCons* **thus** ?*case* **using** *GCons-eq-appendI*

by (*metis Un-iff append-g.simps(1) singletonD setG.simps(2)*)

qed

lemma *lookup-not-empty*:

assumes $\text{Some } \tau = \text{lookup } G x$

shows $G \neq \text{GNil}$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *lookup-in-g*:

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma x$

shows $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$

using *assms* **apply**(*induct* Γ , *simp*)

using *lookup-options* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *lookup-split*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma x$

shows $\exists G G' . \Gamma = G' @ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G$

by (*meson* *assms(1)* *lookup-in-g split-G*)

lemma *setG-splitU*[*simp*]:

$(x',b',c') \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longleftrightarrow (x',b',c') \in (\text{setG } \Gamma' \cup \{(x, b, c)\} \cup \text{setG } \Gamma)$

using *append-g-setGU setG.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *setG-splitP*[*simp*]:

$(\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). P x' b' c') \longleftrightarrow (\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma'. P x' b' c') \wedge P x b c \wedge (\forall (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma. P x' b' c') \text{ (is } ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)$

using *setG-splitU* **by** *force*

```

lemma lookup-restrict:
  assumes Some (b',c') = lookup (Γ'@ (x,b,c) #Γ Γ) y and x ≠ y
  shows Some (b',c') = lookup (Γ'@Γ) y
using assms proof(induct Γ' rule:Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x1 b1 c1 Γ')
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma supp-list-member:
  fixes x::'a::fs and l::'a list
  assumes x ∈ set l
  shows supp x ⊆ supp l
  using assms apply(induct l, auto)
  using supp-Cons by auto

```

```

lemma GNil-append:
  assumes GNil = G1@G2
  shows G1 = GNil ∧ G2 = GNil
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (G1 = GNil ∧ G2 = GNil)
  hence G1@G2 ≠ GNil using append-g.simps by (metis Γ.distinct(1) Γ.exhaust)
  thus False using assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma GCons-eq-append-conv:
  fixes xs::Γ
  shows x#Γxs = ys@zs = (ys = GNil ∧ x#Γxs = zs ∨ (∃ ys'. x#Γys' = ys ∧ xs = ys'@zs))
by(cases ys) auto

```

7.5 Type Definitions

```

lemma exist-fresh-bv:
  fixes tm::'a::fs
  shows ∃ bva2 dclist2. AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∧
    atom bva2 # tm
proof -
  obtain bva2::bv where *:atom bva2 # (bva, dclist,tyid,tm) using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover hence bva2 ≠ bva using fresh-at-base by auto
  moreover have dclist = (bva ↔ bva2) • (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist by simp
  moreover have atom bva # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist proof -
    have atom bva2 # dclist using * fresh-prodN by auto
    hence atom ((bva2 ↔ bva) • bva2) # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist using fresh-eqvt True-eqvt
  proof -
    have (bva2 ↔ bva) • atom bva2 # (bva2 ↔ bva) • dclist
      by (metis True-eqvt ⟨atom bva2 # dclist⟩ fresh-eqvt)
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately have $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva2 \ ((bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist)$

 unfolding $type\text{-def.eq-iff}$ $Abs1\text{-eq-iff}$ by metis
 thus ?thesis using * fresh-prodN by metis
 qed

 lemma obtain-fresh-bv:
 fixes $tm::'a::fs$
 obtains $bva2::bv$ and $dclist2$ where $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva2 \ dclist2 \wedge$
 $atom \ bva2 \not\# tm$
 using exist-fresh-bv by metis

7.6 Function Definitions

lemma fun-typ-flip:
 fixes $bv1::bv$ and $c::bv$
 shows $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s1 = AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau1) \ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1)$
 using fun-typ.perm-simps flip-fresh-fresh supp-at-base fresh-def
 flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def supp-at-base
 by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)

 lemma fun-def-eq:
 assumes $AF\text{-fundef } fa \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } xa \ ba \ ca \ \tau a \ sa)) = AF\text{-fundef } (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))$
 shows $f = fa$ and $b = ba$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ \tau a = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ \tau$ and
 $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ca = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ c$
 using fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd lst-fst using assms apply metis
 using fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd lst-fst using assms apply metis
 proof –
 have $([[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ((ca, \tau a), sa) = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ ((c, \tau), s))$ using assms fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff fun-typ.eq-iff by auto
 thus $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s$ and $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ \tau a = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ \tau$ and
 $[[atom \ xa]]lst. \ ca = [[atom \ x]]lst. \ c$ using lst-snd lst-fst by metis+
 qed

lemma fun-arg-unique-aux:
 assumes $AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1' \ s1' = AF\text{-fun-typ } x2 \ b2 \ c2 \ \tau2' \ s2'$
 shows $\{x1 : b1 \mid c1\} = \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}$
 proof –
 have $([[atom \ x1]]lst. \ c1 = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ c2)$ using fun-def-eq assms by metis
 moreover have $b1 = b2$ using fun-typ.eq-iff assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using $\tau.eq-iff$ by fast
 qed

lemma fresh-x-neq:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $y::x$
shows $\text{atom } x \# y = (x \neq y)$
using *fresh-at-base* *fresh-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *obtain-fresh-z3*:
fixes $tm::'b::fs$
obtains $z::x$ **where** $\{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ z : b \mid c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# tm \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, c)$
proof –
obtain $z::x$ **and** $c'::c$ **where** $z::\{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ z : b \mid c' \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (tm, x, c)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z2*
b-of.simps **by** *metis*
hence $c' = c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}$ **proof** –
have $([\text{atom } z]]\text{lst. } c' = [[\text{atom } x]]\text{lst. } c)$ **using** $z \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *metis*
hence $c' = (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c$ **using** *Abs1-eq-iff*[*of* $z \ c' \ x \ c$] *fresh-x-neq* *fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = c[x::=V\text{-var } z]_{cv}$
using *subst-v-c-def* *flip-subst-v*[*of* $z \ c \ x$] z *fresh-prod3* **by** *metis*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** z *fresh-prodN* **that** **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *u-fresh-v*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $t::v$
shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
by(*nominal-induct* t *rule:v.strong-induct*,*auto*)

lemma *u-fresh-ce*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $t::ce$
shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
apply(*nominal-induct* t *rule:ce.strong-induct*)
using *u-fresh-v* *pure-fresh*
apply (*auto simp add: opp.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh opp.exhaust*)
unfolding *ce.fresh opp.fresh opp.exhaust* **by** (*simp add: fresh-opp-all*)

lemma *u-fresh-c*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $t::c$
shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
by(*nominal-induct* t *rule:c.strong-induct*,*auto simp add: c.fresh u-fresh-ce*)

lemma *u-fresh-g*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $t::\Gamma$
shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
by(*induct* t *rule:\Gamma-induct*, *auto simp add: u-fresh-b u-fresh-c fresh-GCons fresh-GNil*)

lemma *u-fresh-t*:
fixes $u::u$ **and** $t::\tau$
shows $\text{atom } u \# t$
by(*nominal-induct* t *rule:\tau.strong-induct*,*auto simp add: \tau.fresh u-fresh-c u-fresh-b*)

lemma *b-of-c-of-eq*:

```

assumes atom  $z \# \tau$ 
shows  $\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau \ z \rrbracket = \tau$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $\tau$  avoiding: z rule:  $\tau$ .strong-induct)
case (T-refined-type  $x1a \ x2a \ x3a$ )
hence  $\llbracket z : b\text{-of } \llbracket x1a : x2a \mid x3a \rrbracket \mid c\text{-of } \llbracket x1a : x2a \mid x3a \rrbracket \ z \rrbracket = \llbracket z : x2a \mid x3a[x1a::=V\text{-var}$ 
 $z]_{cv} \rrbracket$ 
using b-of.simps c-of.simps c-of-eq by auto
thus ?case using T-refined-type by auto
qed

```

```

lemma fresh-d-not-in:
assumes atom  $u2 \# \Delta'$ 
shows  $u2 \notin \text{fst } \text{'setD } \Delta'$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Delta'$  rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
case DNil
then show ?case by simp
next
case (DCons  $u \ t \ \Delta'$ )
hence  $*$ : atom  $u2 \# \Delta' \wedge \text{atom } u2 \# (u, t)$ 
by (simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons)
hence  $u2 \notin \text{fst } \text{'setD } \Delta'$  using DCons by auto
moreover have  $u2 \neq u$  using  $*$  fresh-Pair
by (metis eq-fst-iff not-self-fresh)
ultimately show ?case by simp
qed

end

```

Chapter 8

Wellformedness Lemmas

8.1 Prelude

lemma *b-of-subst-bb-commute*:

$(b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_{bb}$

proof –

obtain z' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $\tau = \{ \{ z' : b' \mid c' \} \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

moreover **hence** $(b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = b\text{-of } \{ \{ z' : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c' \} \}$ **using** *subst-tb.simps* **by** *simp*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* *subst-tb.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

lemmas *wf-intros* = *wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.intros wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfF*

lemmas *freshers* = *fresh-prodN b.fresh c.fresh v.fresh ce.fresh fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-at-base*

8.2 Strong Elimination

lemma *wf-strong-elim*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list*

and $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty}p\text{-}q$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty}p$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $s::s$

and $tm::'a::fs$

and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-consp } tyid \ dc \ b \ v) : b'' \implies (\exists \ bv \ dclist \ x \ b' \ c. b'' = B\text{-app } tyid \ b \wedge$

$AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge$

$(dc, \{ \{ x : b' \mid c \} \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge \text{atom } bv \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \wedge \text{atom } bv \ \#$

$tm)$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies$

$\exists \ z \ b \ c. \tau = \{ \{ z : b \mid c \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# tm \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct*

V-consp tyid dc b v b'' and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b and b' and td
avoiding: tm

rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-conspl bv dclist Θ x b' c \mathcal{B} Γ*)
then show *?case by force*
next
case (*wfTI z Θ \mathcal{B} Γ b c*)
then show *?case by force*
qed(*auto+*)

8.3 Context Extension

definition *wfExt* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*- ; - \vdash_{wf} - < - [50,50,50] 50*) **where**
wfExt T B G1 G2 = (*wfG T B G2 \wedge wfG T B G1 \wedge setG G1 \subseteq setG G2*)

8.4 Context

lemma *wfG-cons[ms-wb]*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$*
shows *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma \wedge \text{wfB } P \mathcal{B} b$*
using *wfG-elim(2)[OF assms]* **by** *metis*

lemma *wfG-cons2[ms-wb]*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} zbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$*
shows *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$*

proof –
obtain *z and b and c where zbc: zbc=(z,b,c) using prod-cases3 by blast*
hence *P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using zbc wfG-cons assms by simp*
qed

lemma *wf-g-unique*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **and** $(x,b',c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$
shows $b=b' \wedge c=c'$
using *assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)*
case *GNil*
then show *?case by simp*
next
case (*GCons a Γ*)
consider $(x,b,c)=a \wedge (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b,c)=a \wedge (x,b',c') \neq a \mid (x,b,c) \neq a \wedge (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b,c) \neq a \wedge (x,b',c') \neq a$ **by** *blast*
then show *?case proof(cases)*
case *1*
then show *?thesis by auto*
next
case *2*
hence *atom x $\# \Gamma$ using wfG-elim(2) GCons by blast*

moreover have $(x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** $G\text{Cons } 2$ **by force**
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem } \Gamma.\text{distinct}$
 $\text{subst-gv.simps } 2 \text{ } G\text{Cons}$ **by metis**
 next
 case 3
 hence $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **using** $\text{wfG-elim}(2) \text{ } G\text{Cons}$ **by blast**
 moreover have $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** $G\text{Cons } 3$ **by force**
 ultimately show $?thesis$
using $\text{forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem } \Gamma.\text{distinct subst-gv.simps } 3$
 $G\text{Cons}$ **by metis**
 next
 case 4
 then obtain x'' and b'' and $c''::c$ where $xbc: a=(x'', b'', c'')$
using prod-cases3 **by blast**
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x'', b'', c'') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $G\text{Cons wfG-elim}$ **by blast**
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** $G\text{Cons wfG-elim } 4 \text{ } xbc$
 $\text{prod-cases3 set-GConsD}$ **using** $\text{forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem}$
 $\Gamma.\text{distinct subst-gv.simps } 4 \text{ } G\text{Cons}$ **by meson**
 thus $?thesis$ **using** $G\text{Cons}$ **by auto**
 qed
 qed

lemma *lookup-if1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \text{ } x$
 shows $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$
using $\text{assms proof(induct } \Gamma \text{ rule: } \Gamma.\text{induct})$
 case $G\text{Nil}$
 then show $?case$ **by auto**
 next
 case $(G\text{Cons } xbc \text{ } \Gamma)$
 then obtain x' and b' and $c'::c$ where $xbc: xbc=(x', b', c')$
using prod-cases3 **by blast**
 then show $?case$ **using** $\text{wf-g-unique } G\text{Cons lookup-in-g } xbc$
 $\text{lookup.simps set-GConsD wfG.cases}$
 $\text{insertE insert-is-Un setG.simps wfG-elim}$ **by metis**
 qed

lemma *lookup-if2*:

assumes $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **and** $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$
 shows $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \text{ } x$
using $\text{assms proof(induct } \Gamma \text{ rule: } \Gamma.\text{induct})$
 case $G\text{Nil}$
 then show $?case$ **by auto**
 next
 case $(G\text{Cons } xbc \text{ } \Gamma)$
 then obtain x' and b' and $c'::c$ where $xbc: xbc=(x', b', c')$
using prod-cases3 **by blast**
 then show $?case$ **proof(cases $x=x'$)**
 case True
 then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{lookup.simps } G\text{Cons } xbc$ **by simp**
 next


```

    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps GCons xbc setG.simps Un-iff set-GConsD wfG-cons2
    by (metis (full-types) Un-iff set-GConsD setG.simps(2) wfG-cons2)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-iff:
  fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  shows  $Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x \longleftrightarrow (x,b,c) \in setG \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x,b',c') \in setG \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \wedge c'=c)$ 
  using assms lookup-if1 lookup-if2 by meson

```

```

lemma wfG-lookup-wf:
  fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $b::b$  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons  $x' b' c' \Gamma'$ )
  then show ?case proof(cases  $x=x'$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elim(2) GCons by fastforce
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elim(2) GCons by fastforce
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma wfG-unique:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $wfG B \Theta ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $(x1,b1,c1) \in setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $x1=x$ 
  shows  $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$ 
proof -
  have  $(x, b, c) \in setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed

```

```

lemma wfG-unique-full:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $(x1,b1,c1) \in setG (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $x1=x$ 
  shows  $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$ 
proof -
  have  $(x, b, c) \in setG (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed

```

8.5 Converting between wb forms

We cannot prove wfB properties here for expressions and statements as need some more facts about Φ context which we can prove without this lemma. Trying to cram everything into a single large mutually recursive lemma is not a good idea

lemma *wfX-wfY1:*

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $wfV\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfC\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfG\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfT\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of } \tau$ **and**
 $wfTs\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfB\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfCE\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfTD\text{-}wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$

proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)

case (*wfV-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x$)
hence $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *lookup-iff lookup-in-g by presburger*
hence $b \in \text{fst'snd'setG } \Gamma$ **by force**
hence $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *wfV-varI using wfG-lookup-wf by auto*
then show *?case using wfV-varI wfV-elim wf-intros by metis*

next

case (*wfV-litI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$)
moreover have $wfTh \Theta$ **using** *wfV-litI by metis*
ultimately show *?case using wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust by metis*

next

case (*wfV-pairI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$)
then show *?case using wfB-pairI by simp*

next

case (*wfV-consI* $s \text{ dclist } \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$)
then show *?case using wf-intros by metis*

next

case (*wfTI* $z \Gamma \Theta \mathcal{B} b c$)
then show *?case using wf-intros b-of.simps wfG-cons2 by metis*

qed(*auto*)

lemma *wfX-wfY2:*

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows

$wfE\text{-}wf: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $wfS\text{-}wf: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta$

$\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and**
 $wfPhi\text{-}wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfD\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfFTQ\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and**
 $wfFT\text{-}wf: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta$
proof(*induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts*)
case (*wfS-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfD-elim* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfS-assignI* $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** *metis*
next
case (*wfD-emptyI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfX-wfY1* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfS-assertI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$)
then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *wfX-wfY1* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **using** *wfS-assertI* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *wfS-assertI* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed(*auto*)

lemmas *wfX-wfY=wfX-wfY1 wfX-wfY2*

lemma *setD-ConsD*:
 $ut \in setD (ut' \#_{\Delta} D) = (ut = ut' \vee ut \in setD D)$
proof(*induct D rule: Δ -induct*)
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons* $u' t' x2$)
then show *?case* **using** *setD.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfD-wfT*:
fixes $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
shows $\forall (u, \tau) \in setD \Delta. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct Δ rule: Δ -induct*)
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons* $u' t' x2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfD-elim DCons setD-ConsD*
by (*metis case-prodI2 set-ConsD*)
qed

lemma *subst-b-lookup-d*:
assumes $u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD \Delta$
shows $u \notin fst \text{ ' } setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct Δ rule: Δ -induct*)
case *DNil*

then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (DCons u' t' x2)
 hence $u \neq u'$ using DCons by simp
 show ?case using DCons subst-db.simps by simp
 qed

lemma wfG-cons-splitI:
 fixes $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ and $wfB\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ and
 $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} \longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and
 $c \notin \{ TRUE, FALSE \} \longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I assms by metis

lemma wfG-consI:
 fixes $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $c::c$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ and $wfB\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I wfG-cons-splitI wfC-trueI assms by metis

lemma wfG-elim2:
 fixes $c::c$
 assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$
proof(cases $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
 case True
 have $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms] by auto
 hence $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ using wfG-cons2I by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfC-trueI wfC-falseI True by auto
 next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms] by auto
 qed

lemma wfG-cons-wfC:
 fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $c::c$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c$
 using assms wfG-elim2 by auto

lemma wfG-wfB:
 assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ and $b \in fst'snd'setG\ \Gamma$
 shows $wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$
 using assms **proof**(induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (GCons x' b' c' Γ')

```

show ?case proof(cases b=b')
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-elim2 GCons by auto
next
  case False
  hence  $b \in \text{fst}'\text{snd}'\text{set}G \Gamma'$  using GCons by auto
  moreover have  $\text{wf}G P \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons GCons by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using GCons by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma wfG-cons-TRUE:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $b::b$ 
  assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$  and  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ 
  shows  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  using wfG-cons2I wfG-wfB assms by simp

```

```

lemma wfG-cons-TRUE2:
  assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  using wfG-cons wfG-cons2I assms by simp

```

```

lemma wfG-suffix:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\text{wf}G P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $\text{wf}G P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case ( $GCons x b c \Gamma'$ )
  hence  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ \Gamma$  using wfG-elim by auto
  then show ?case using GCons wfG-elim by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfV-wfCE:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \text{CE-val } v : b$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} ([::\Phi])$  using wfPhi-emptyI wfV-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$  using wfD-emptyI wfV-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfCE-valI assms by auto
qed

```

8.6 Support

```

lemma wf-supp1:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and
   $s::s$  and  $b::b$  and  $ftq::\text{fun-type-q}$  and  $ft::\text{fun-type}$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::\text{type-def}$  and  $cs::\text{branch-s}$  and  $css$ 
   $::\text{branch-list}$ 

```

shows $\text{wfV-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} v : b \implies \text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfC-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} c \implies \text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfG-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{\text{wf}} \Gamma \implies \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \subseteq \text{supp } \Gamma$ **and**
 $\text{wfT-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} \tau \implies \text{supp } \tau \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfTs-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} ts \implies \text{supp } ts \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfTh-suppl: } \vdash_{\text{wf}} \Theta \implies \text{supp } \Theta = \{\}$ **and**
 $\text{wfB-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{\text{wf}} b \implies \text{supp } b \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfCE-suppl: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} ce : b \implies \text{supp } ce \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**
 $\text{wfTD-suppl: } \Theta \vdash_{\text{wf}} td \implies \text{supp } td \subseteq \{\}$
proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)
 case ($\text{wfB-consI } \Theta \ s \ \text{dclist } \mathcal{B}$)
 then show $?case \text{ by } (\text{auto simp add: } b.\text{supp pure-suppl})$
next
 case ($\text{wfB-appI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ s \ \text{bv dclist}$)
 then show $?case \text{ by } (\text{auto simp add: } b.\text{supp pure-suppl})$
next
 case ($\text{wfV-varI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c \ x$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } v.\text{supp wfV-elim}$
 empty-subsetI insert-subset suppl-at-base
 fresh-dom-free2 lookup-if1
 by (*metis sup.coboundedI1*)
next
 case ($\text{wfV-litI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ l$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } \text{suppl-l-empty } v.\text{supp by simp}$
next
 case ($\text{wfV-pairI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ b1 \ v2 \ b2$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } v.\text{supp wfV-elim by } (\text{metis Un-subset-iff})$
next
 case ($\text{wfV-consI } s \ \text{dclist } \Theta \ dc \ x \ b \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } v.\text{supp wfV-elim}$
 Un-commute b.suppl sup-bot.right-neutral suppl-b-empty pure-suppl by metis
next
 case ($\text{wfV-conspl typid bv dclist } \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v \ b$)
 then show $?case \text{ unfolding } v.\text{suppl}$
 using wfV-elim
 Un-commute b.suppl sup-bot.right-neutral suppl-b-empty pure-suppl
 by (*simp add: Un-commute pure-suppl sup.coboundedI1*)
next
 case ($\text{wfC-eqI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ e1 \ b \ e2$)
 hence $\text{suppl } e1 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $c.\text{suppl wfC-elim}$
 image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral by (metis IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI)
 moreover have $\text{suppl } e2 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $c.\text{suppl wfC-elim}$
 image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI
 by (*metis wfC-eqI.hyps(4)*)
 ultimately show $?case \text{ using } c.\text{suppl by auto}$
next
 case ($\text{wfG-cons1I } c \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ x \ b$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } \text{atom-dom.simpls dom-suppl-g suppl-GCons by metis}$
next
 case ($\text{wfG-cons2I } c \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ x \ b$)
 then show $?case \text{ using } \text{atom-dom.simpls dom-suppl-g suppl-GCons by metis}$

```

next
  case wfTh-emptyI
  then show ?case by (simp add: supp-Nil)
next
  case (wfTh-consI  $\Theta$  lst)
  then show ?case using supp-Cons by fast
next
  case (wfTD-simpleI  $\Theta$  lst s)
  then have supp (AF-typedef s lst) = supp lst  $\cup$  supp s using type-def.supp by auto
  then show ?case using wfTD-simpleI pure-supp
    by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTD-poly  $\Theta$  bv lst s)
  then have supp (AF-typedef-poly s bv lst) = supp lst - { atom bv }  $\cup$  supp s using type-def.supp
by auto
  then show ?case using wfTD-poly pure-supp
    by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTs-nil  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
next
  case (wfTs-cons  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\tau$  dc ts)
  then show ?case using supp-Cons supp-Pair pure-supp[of dc] by blast
next
  case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v b)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  hence supp (CE-op Plus v1 v2)  $\subseteq$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using ce.supp pure-supp
    by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  hence supp (CE-op LEq v1 v2)  $\subseteq$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using ce.supp pure-supp
    by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 b1 b2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 b1 b2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1 v2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  v1)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfTI z  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  b c)
  hence supp c  $\subseteq$  supp z  $\cup$  atom-dom  $\Gamma \cup$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using supp-at-base dom-cons by metis
  moreover have supp b  $\subseteq$  supp  $\mathcal{B}$  using wfTI by auto

```

ultimately have $\text{supp } \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp supp-at-base}$ **by force**
 thus $?case$ **by auto**
qed(auto)

lemma *wf-sup2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and**
 $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and**
 $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows

$\text{wfE-sup} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies (\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B} \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta)$ **and**

$\text{wfS-sup} : \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \text{supp } cs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \text{supp } css \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\text{wfPhi-sup} : \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{supp } \Phi = \{\}$ **and**

$\text{wfD-sup} : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{supp } \Delta \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'(\text{setD } \Delta) \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{supp } ftq = \{\}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{supp } ft \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$

proof(*induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts*)

case (*wfE-valI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-val } v) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp wf-sup1}$ **by simp**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by metis**

next

case (*wfE-plusI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-op Plus } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$

using $\text{wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-sup1 } e.\text{supp pure-supp Un-least}$

by ($\text{metis sup-bot.left-neutral}$)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-leqI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-op LEq } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp pure-supp Un-least sup-bot.left-neutral}$ **using** opp.supp wf-sup1 **by auto**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-fstI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-fst } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp pure-supp sup-bot.left-neutral}$ **using** opp.supp wf-sup1 **by auto**

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-sndI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-snd } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp pure-supp wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-sup1}$ **by** (metis Un-least)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**

next

case (*wfE-concatI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)

hence $\text{supp } (AE\text{-concat } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $e.\text{supp pure-supp wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-sup1}$ **by** (metis Un-least)

then show $?case$ **using** $e.\text{supp wfE-elim} \text{ UnCI subsetCE subsetI } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by auto**


```

next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-split } v1 v2) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$ 
     $\text{wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-supp1}$  by (metis Un-least)
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp wfE-elim UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-len } v1) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$ 
    using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp sup-bot.left-neutral}$  using  $\text{opp.supp wf-supp1}$  by auto
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp wfE-elim UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then obtain  $b$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wfE-appI wf-supp1}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-app } f v) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $e.\text{supp pure-supp}$  by fast
  then show ?case using  $e.\text{supp}(2)$   $\text{UnCI subsetCE subsetI wfE-appI}$  using  $b.\text{supp}(3)$   $\text{pure-supp}$ 
 $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$  by auto
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f xa ba ca s$ )
  then obtain  $b$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b)$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}$  by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wfE-appPI wf-supp1}$  by auto
  moreover have  $\text{supp } b' \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using  $\text{wf-supp1}(7)$   $\text{wfE-appPI}$  by simp
  ultimately show ?case unfolding  $e.\text{supp}$  using  $\text{wfE-appPI pure-supp}$  by fast
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then obtain  $\tau$  where  $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$  using  $\text{wfE-elim}(10)$  by metis
  hence  $\text{atom } u \in \text{atom}'\text{fst}'\text{setD } \Delta$  by force
  hence  $\text{supp } (AE\text{-mvar } u) \subseteq \text{atom}'\text{fst}'\text{setD } \Delta$  using  $e.\text{supp}$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{supp-at-base}$ )
  thus ?case using  $\text{UnCI subsetCE subsetI } e.\text{supp wfE-mvarI supp-at-base subsetCE supp-at-base } u\text{-not-in-b-set}$ 
    by (simp add:  $\text{supp-at-base}$ )
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}$ 
    by (metis  $s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.supp}(1)$   $\text{sup.coboundedI2 sup-assoc sup-commute}$ )
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case unfolding  $s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.supp } (3)$  using  $\text{wf-supp1}(4)[OF \text{wfS-let2I}(3)]$  by
    auto
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}(1)[OF \text{wfS-ifI}(1)]$  by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$ )
  then show ?case using  $\text{wf-supp1}(1)[OF \text{wfS-varI}(2)]$   $\text{wf-supp1}(4)[OF \text{wfS-varI}(1)]$  by auto
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )

```

```

hence  $\text{supp } u \subseteq \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta$  proof(induct  $\Delta$  rule: $\Delta$ -induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (DCons  $u' t' \Delta'$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $u=u'$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using setG.simps DCons supp-at-base by fastforce
next
case False
  then show ?thesis using setG.simps DCons supp-at-base wfS-assignI
    by (metis empty-subsetI fstI image-eqI insert-subset)
qed
qed
then show ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(8) wfS-assignI wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-assignI(6)]
by auto
next
case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
then show ?case using wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-matchI(1)] by auto
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
moreover have  $\text{supp } s \subseteq \text{supp } x \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  using dom-cons supp-at-base wfS-branchI by auto
moreover hence  $\text{supp } s - \text{set } [atom\ x] \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using
supp-at-base by force
ultimately have
   $(\text{supp } s - \text{set } [atom\ x]) \cup (\text{supp } dc) \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  by (simp add: pure-supp)
thus ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(2) by auto
next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using supp-DNil by auto
next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
have  $\text{supp } ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = \text{supp } u \cup \text{supp } \tau \cup \text{supp } \Delta$  using supp-DCons supp-Pair by metis
also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{supp } u \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD \Delta \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ 
  using wfD-cons wf-supp1(4)[OF wfD-cons(3)] by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \cup \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using supp-at-base by auto
finally show ?case by auto
next
case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
then show ?case using fun-def.supp
  by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons)
next
case (wfFTI  $\Theta B' b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
have  $\text{supp } (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s) = \text{supp } c \cup (\text{supp } \tau \cup \text{supp } s) - \text{set } [atom\ x] \cup \text{supp } b$  using
fun-typ.supp by auto
thus ?case using wfFTI wf-supp1
proof –

```

```

  have f1: supp  $\tau \subseteq \{atom\ x\} \cup atom\text{-}dom\ GNil \cup supp\ B'$ 
    using dom-cons wfFTI.hyps(6) wf-suppl(4) by blast
  have supp b  $\subseteq supp\ B'$ 
    using wfFTI.hyps(1) wf-suppl(7) by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 (supp (AF-fun-typ x b c  $\tau$  s) = supp c  $\cup$  (supp  $\tau \cup supp\ s$ ) - set [atom x]  $\cup supp\ b$ )
wfFTI.hyps(4) wfFTI.hyps(5) by auto
qed
next
case (wfFTNone  $\Theta\ \Phi\ ft$ )
then show ?case by (simp add: fun-typ-q.supp(2))
next
case (wfFTSome  $\Theta\ \Phi\ bv\ ft$ )
then show ?case using fun-typ-q.supp
  by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ x\ c\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s\ b$ )
then have supp c  $\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using wf-suppl
  by (metis Un-assoc Un-commute le-supI2)
moreover have supp s  $\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  proof
  fix z
  assume *:z  $\in supp\ s$ 
  have *:atom x  $\notin supp\ s$  using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by metis
  have z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using wfS-assertI * by
blast
  have z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies z \in atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$  using * ** by auto
  thus z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$  using * **
    using (z  $\in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ ) by blast
qed
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-suppl = wf-suppl1 wf-suppl2

lemma wfV-suppl-nil:
  fixes v::v
  assumes P ; {||} ; GNil  $\vdash_{wf}\ v : b$ 
  shows suppl v = {}
  using wfV-suppl[of P {||} GNil v b] dom.simps setG.simps
  using assms by auto

lemma wfT-TRUE-aux:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  and atom z  $\nmid (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$  and wfB P  $\mathcal{B}\ b$ 
  shows wfT P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  ( $\mathbb{I}\ z : b \mid TRUE\ \mathbb{I}$ )
proof (rule)
  show (atom z  $\nmid (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ ) using assms by auto
  show (P ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}\ b$ ) using assms by auto
  show (P ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ; (z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf}\ TRUE$ ) using wfG-cons2I wfC-trueI assms by auto
qed

lemma wfT-TRUE:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$  and wfB P  $\mathcal{B}\ b$ 

```

```

  shows wfT P B Γ (⌊ z : b | TRUE ⌋)
proof -
  obtain z'::x where *:atom z' # (P, B, Γ) using obtain-fresh by metis
  hence ⌊ z : b | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z' : b | TRUE ⌋ by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfT-TRUE-aux assms * by metis
qed

lemma phi-flip-eq:
  assumes wfPhi T P
  shows (x ↔ xa) • P = P
  using wfPhi-supply[OF assms] flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by blast

lemma wfC-supply-cons:
  fixes c'::c and G::Γ
  assumes P ; B ; (x', b', TRUE) #Γ G ⊢wf c'
  shows supp c' ⊆ atom-dom G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B and supp c' ⊆ supp G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B
proof -
  show supp c' ⊆ atom-dom G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B
    using wfC-supply[OF assms] dom-cons supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have atom-dom G ⊆ supp G
    by (meson assms wfC-wf wfG-cons wfG-supply)
  ultimately show supp c' ⊆ supp G ∪ supp x' ∪ supp B using wfG-supply assms wfG-cons wfC-wf by
fast
qed

lemma wfG-dom-supply:
  fixes x::x
  assumes wfG P B G
  shows atom x ∈ atom-dom G ⟷ atom x ∈ supp G
using assms proof(induct G rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using dom.simps supp-of-atom-list
    using supp-GNil by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' G)
  thm wfG-cons

  show ?case proof(cases x' = x)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using dom.simps supp-of-atom-list supp-at-base
      using supp-GCons by auto
  next
    case False
    have (atom x ∈ atom-dom ((x', b', c') #Γ G)) = (atom x ∈ atom-dom G) using atom-dom.simps
False by simp
    also have ... = (atom x ∈ supp G) using GCons wfG-elim by metis
    also have ... = (atom x ∈ (supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G)) proof
      show atom x ∈ supp G ⟹ atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G by auto
      assume atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') ∪ supp G
      then consider atom x ∈ supp (x', b', c') | atom x ∈ supp G by auto
      then show atom x ∈ supp G proof(cases)
        case 1

```

assume $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } (x', b', c')$
hence $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c'$ **using** *supp-triple False supp-b-empty supp-at-base* **by** *force*

moreover **have** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b', \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c'$ **using** *wfG-elim2 GCons* **by** *simp*
moreover **hence** $\text{supp } c' \subseteq \text{supp } G \cup \text{supp } x' \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wfC-supp-cons* **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } G \cup \text{supp } x'$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *False supp-at-base* **by** (*simp add: supp-at-base*)

next
case 2
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

also **have** $\dots = (\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} G))$ **using** *supp-at-base False supp-GCons* **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *wfG-atoms-supp-eq* :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$
shows $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } G \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \in \text{supp } G$
using *wfG-dom-supp assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *beta-flip-eq*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $xa::x$ **and** $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$
proof –
thm *x-not-in-b-set*
have $\text{atom } x \not\# \mathcal{B} \wedge \text{atom } xa \not\# \mathcal{B}$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set fresh-def supp-set* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*)
qed

lemma *theta-flip-eq2*:
assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
shows $(z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$
proof –
have $\text{supp } \Theta = \{\}$ **using** *wfTh-supp assms* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*)
qed

lemma *theta-flip-eq*:
assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$
shows $(x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$
using *wfTh-supp flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def*
by (*simp add: assms theta-flip-eq2*)

lemma *wfT-wfC*:
fixes $c::c$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \not\# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$
proof –

obtain $za\ ba\ ca$ **where** $\ast : \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket \wedge atom\ za \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (za, ba, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ca$
using $wfT\text{-}elims[OF\ assms(1)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $c1 : \llbracket atom\ z \rrbracket lst. c = \llbracket atom\ za \rrbracket lst. ca$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ **by** *meson*
show $?thesis$ **proof**(*cases* $z=za$)
 case *True*
 hence $ca = c$ **using** $c1$ **by** (*simp add: Abs1-eq-iff(3)*)
 then show $?thesis$ **using** $\ast\ True$ **by** *simp*
next
 case *False*
 have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** $wfT\text{-}wf\ wfG\text{-}wf\ assms$ **by** *metis*
 moreover have $atom\ za \# \Gamma$ **using** $\ast\ fresh\text{-}prodN$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot (za, ba, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca$
 using $wfC.eqvt\ theta\text{-}flip\text{-}eq2\ beta\text{-}flip\text{-}eq\ \ast\ GCons\text{-}eqvt\ assms\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh$ **by** *metis*
 moreover have $atom\ z \# ca$
 proof –
 have $supp\ ca \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup \{ atom\ za \} \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\ast\ wfC\text{-}supp\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ setG.\text{simps}$
 by *fastforce*
 moreover have $atom\ z \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma$ **using** $assms\ fresh\text{-}def\ wfT\text{-}wf\ wfG\text{-}dom\text{-}supp\ wfC\text{-}supp$
 by *metis*
 moreover hence $atom\ z \notin atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup \{ atom\ za \}$ **using** *False* **by** *simp*
 moreover have $atom\ z \notin supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** $x\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}b\text{-}set$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $fresh\text{-}def\ False$ **by** *fast*
 qed
 moreover hence $(z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca = c$ **using** $type\text{-}eq\text{-}subst\text{-}eq1(3)$ \ast **by** *metis*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $assms\ G\text{-}cons\text{-}flip\text{-}fresh\ \ast$ **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g$:
 fixes $u::u$
 shows $atom\ u \notin atom\text{-}dom\ G$
 using $setG.\text{simps}\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms$ **by** *auto*

lemma $bv\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}dom\text{-}g$:
 fixes $bv::bv$
 shows $atom\ bv \notin atom\text{-}dom\ G$
 using $setG.\text{simps}\ atom\text{-}dom.\text{simps}\ u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}x\text{-}atoms$ **by** *auto*

An important lemma that confirms that Γ does not rely on mutable variables

lemma $u\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}g$:
 fixes $u::u$
 assumes $wfG\ \Theta\ B\ G$
 shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ G$
 using $assms$ **proof**(*induct* G *rule: Γ -induct*)
 case $GNil$
 then show $?case$ **using** $supp\text{-}GNil\ fresh\text{-}def$
 using $fresh\text{-}set\text{-}empty$ **by** *fastforce*
next

case ($GCons\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma'$)
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ b$ **using**
 $wfB-supp\ wfC-supp\ u-not-in-x-atoms\ wfG-elim\ wfX-wfY$ **by** $auto$
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ x$ **using** $u-not-in-x-atoms\ supp-at-base$ **by** $blast$
moreover **hence** $atom\ u \notin supp\ c$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $wfG-cons-wfC\ GCons$ **by** $simp$
hence $supp\ c \subseteq atom-dom\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfC-supp$ **by** $blast$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $u-not-in-dom-g\ u-not-in-b-atoms$
using $u-not-in-b-set$ **by** $auto$
qed
ultimately **have** $atom\ u \notin supp\ (x,b,c)$ **using** $supp-Pair$ **by** $simp$
thus $?case$ **using** $supp-GCons\ GCons\ wfG-elim$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $u-not-in-t$:
fixes $u::u$
assumes $wfT\ \Theta\ B\ G\ \tau$
shows $atom\ u \notin supp\ \tau$
proof –
have $supp\ \tau \subseteq atom-dom\ G \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfT-supp\ assms$ **by** $auto$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $u-not-in-dom-g\ u-not-in-b-set$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $bv-not-in-bset-supp$:
fixes $bv::bv$
assumes $bv \notin B$
shows $atom\ bv \notin supp\ B$
proof –
have $*:supp\ B = fset\ (fimage\ atom\ B)$
by $(metis\ fimage.rep-eq\ finite-fset\ supp-finite-set-at-base\ supp-fset)$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $assms$
using $notin-fset$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $wfT-supp-c$:
fixes $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $z::x$
assumes $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\lambda z : b \mid c\ \mathbb{I})$
shows $supp\ c - \{atom\ z\} \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$
using $wf-supp\ \tau.supp\ assms$
by $(metis\ Un-subset-iff\ empty-set\ list.simps(15))$

lemma $wfG-wfC[ms-wb]$:
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$
using $assms$ **proof** $(cases\ c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})$
case $True$
have $atom\ x \nmid \Gamma \wedge wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \wedge wfB\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b$ **using** $wfG-cons\ assms$ **by** $auto$
hence $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $wfG-cons2I$ **by** $auto$
then **show** $?thesis$ **using** $wfC-trueI\ wfC-falseI\ True$ **by** $auto$
next

case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfG-elim* *assms* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *wfT-wf-cons*:
 assumes *wfT P B* $\Gamma \Vdash z : b \mid c$ and *atom z #* Γ
 shows *wfG P B* $((z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 using *assms* **proof**(*cases* *c* $\in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfT-wfC* *wfC-wf* *wfG-wfB* *wfG-cons2I* *assms* *wfT-wf* by *fastforce*
 next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *wfT-wfC* *wfC-wf* *wfG-wfB* *wfG-cons1I* *wfT-wf* *wfT-wfC* *assms* by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *wfV-b-fresh*:
 fixes *b::b* and *v::v* and *bv::bv*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ and *bv* $\notin \mathcal{B}$
 shows *atom bv #* *v*
 using *wfV-supp* *bv-not-in-dom-g* *fresh-def* *assms* *bv-not-in-bset-supp* by *blast*

lemma *wfCE-b-fresh*:
 fixes *b::b* and *ce::ce* and *bv::bv*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ and *bv* $\notin \mathcal{B}$
 shows *atom bv #* *ce*
 using *bv-not-in-dom-g* *fresh-def* *assms* *bv-not-in-bset-supp* *wf-supp1* (8) by *fast*

8.7 Freshness

lemma *wfG-fresh-x*:
 fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and *z::x*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and *atom z #* Γ
 shows *atom z #* $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$
 unfolding *fresh-prodN* **apply**(*intro conjI*)
 using *wf-supp1* *wfX-wfY* *assms* *fresh-def* *x-not-in-b-set* by(*metis empty-iff*)+

lemma *wfG-wfT*:
 assumes *wfG P B* $((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G)$ and *atom x #* *c*
 shows *P ; B ; G* $\vdash_{wf} \Vdash z : b \mid c$
proof –
 have *P ; B ; (x, b, TRUE)* $\#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} \wedge wfB\ P\ B\ b$ using *assms*
 using *wfG-elim2* by *auto*
 moreover have *atom x #* (P, \mathcal{B}, G) using *wfG-elim* *assms* *wfG-fresh-x* by *metis*
 ultimately have *wfT P B G* $\Vdash x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}$ using *wfTI* *assms* by *metis*
 moreover have $\Vdash x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} = \Vdash z : b \mid c$ using *type-eq-subst* $\langle atom\ x\ \# \ c \rangle$ by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *wfT-wfT-if*:
 assumes *wfT* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ $(\Vdash z2 : b \mid CE-val\ v == CE-val\ (V-lit\ L-false)\ IMP\ c[z::=V-var\ z2]_{cv} \Vdash)$

and $\text{atom } z2 \# (c, \Gamma)$
shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}$
proof –
have $*$: $\text{atom } z2 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** $\text{wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** metis
have $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} \ b$ **using** assms wfT-elim **by** metis
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (G\text{Cons } (z2, b, \text{TRUE}) \Gamma) \vdash_{\text{wf}} (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv})$ **using** $\text{wfT-wfC assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** auto
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z2, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{\text{wf}} c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv}$ **using** wfC-elim **by** metis
hence $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ (\{ z2 : b \mid c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv} \})$ **using** $\text{assms fresh-Pair wfTI } \langle \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} \ b \rangle *$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ z2 : b \mid c[z::=V\text{-var } z2]_{cv} \}$ **using** $\text{type-eq-subst assms fresh-Pair}$ **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** wfTI assms **by** argo
qed

lemma wfT-fresh-c :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{wfT } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $x \neq z$
shows $\text{atom } x \# c$
proof(rule ccontr)
assume $\neg \text{atom } x \# c$
hence $*$: $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c$ **using** fresh-def **by** auto
moreover **have** $\text{supp } c - \text{set } [\text{atom } z] \cup \text{supp } b \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$
using $\text{assms wfT-supp } \tau.\text{supp}$ **by** blast
moreover **hence** $\text{atom } x \in \text{supp } c - \text{set } [\text{atom } z]$ **using** $\text{assms } *$ **by** auto
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by** auto
thus False **using** $\text{assms wfG-atoms-suppl-eq wfT-wf fresh-def}$ **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{wfG-x-fresh [simp]}$:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} G$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } G \longleftrightarrow \text{atom } x \# G$
using $\text{wfG-atoms-suppl-eq assms fresh-def}$ **by** metis

lemma wfD-x-fresh :
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfD } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$
shows $\text{atom } x \# \Delta$
using assms **proof**($\text{induct } \Delta \text{ rule: } \Delta\text{-induct}$)
case $DNil$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{suppl-DNil fresh-def}$ **by** auto
next
case $(DCons \ u' \ t' \ \Delta')$
have $\text{wfg}: \text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** wfD-wf DCons **by** blast
hence $\text{wfd}: \text{wfD } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta'$ **using** wfD-elim DCons **by** blast
have $\text{suppl } t' \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{suppl } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\text{wfT-suppl DCons wfD-elim}$ **by** metis
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $DCons(2) \text{ fresh-def wfG-suppl wfg}$ **by** blast
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \# t'$ **using** $\text{fresh-def DCons wfG-suppl wfg } x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ **by** blast
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \# u'$ **using** $\text{suppl-at-base fresh-def}$ **by** fastforce
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } x \# (u', t')$ **using** suppl-Pair **by** fastforce

thus *?case* **using** *DCons fresh-DCons wfd* **by** *fast*
qed

thm *wf-supp2*

lemma *wfG-fresh-x2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $z::x$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $atom\ z \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta)$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **apply**(*intro conjI*)
using *wfG-fresh-x* **assms** *fresh-prod3 wfX-wfY* **apply** *metis*
using *wf-supp2(5)* **assms** *fresh-def* **apply** *blast*
using *assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3* **apply** *metis*
using *assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3* **apply** *metis*
using *wf-supp2(6)* **assms** *fresh-def wfD-x-fresh* **by** *metis*

lemma *wfV-x-fresh*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# v$

proof –

have $supp\ v \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *assms wfV-supp* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ wfG-elim\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *wfE-x-fresh*:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# e$

proof –

have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfE-wf* **by** *auto*
hence $supp\ e \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} \cup atom'fst'setD\ \Delta$ **using** *wfE-supp dom.simps assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom'fst'setD\ \Delta$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def x-not-in-b-set* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *wfT-x-fresh*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \# \tau$

proof –

have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*
hence $supp\ \tau \subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wfT-supp dom.simps assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-def assms*
 $dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ wfG-supp$ **by** (*metis dom-supp-g*)

moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using $x\text{-not-in-b-set}$ by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* using *fresh-def* by *fast*
qed

lemma *wfS-x-fresh*:

fixes $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$ and $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$
shows $\text{atom } x \# s$

proof –

have $\text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD' \Delta \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using *wf-supp assms* by *metis*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom } 'fst' 'setD' \Delta$ by *auto*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ using *assms fresh-def wfG-dom-supp wfX-wfY* by *metis*
moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ using *supp-b-empty supp-fset*
by (*simp add: x-not-in-b-set*)
ultimately show *?thesis* using *fresh-def* by *fast*
qed

lemma *wfTh-fresh*:

fixes x
assumes *wfTh* T
shows $\text{atom } x \# T$
using *wf-supp1 assms fresh-def* by *fastforce*

lemmas *wfTh-x-fresh* = *wfTh-fresh*

lemma *wfPhi-fresh*:

fixes x
assumes *wfPhi* $T P$
shows $\text{atom } x \# P$
using *wf-supp assms fresh-def* by *fastforce*

lemmas *wfPhi-x-fresh* = *wfPhi-fresh*

lemmas *wb-x-fresh* = *wfTh-x-fresh wfPhi-x-fresh wfD-x-fresh wfT-x-fresh wfV-x-fresh*

lemma *wfG-inside-fresh[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $x::x$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma'$

using *assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)*

case *GNil*

then show *?case* by *auto*

next

case (*GCons* $x1 b1 c1 \Gamma1$)

moreover hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom } 'fst' '(\{(x1, b1, c1)\})$ proof –

have $*: P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *wfG-elim append-g.simps GCons* by *metis*

have $\text{atom } x1 \# (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *GCons wfG-elim append-g.simps* by *metis*

hence $\text{atom } x1 \notin \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *wfG-dom-supp fresh-def ** by *metis*

thus *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?case* using *append-g.simps atom-dom.simps setG.simps wfG-elim*

by (*metis image-insert insert-iff insert-is-Un*)

qed

lemma *wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

shows $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

by(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*, (*simp add*: *setG.simps atom-dom.simps*)+)

lemma *wfG-inside-x-neq*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$

assumes $G=(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $xa \neq x$

proof –

have $\text{atom } xa \notin \text{atom-dom } G$ **using** *fresh-def wfG-atoms-sup-eq assms* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } G$ **using** *wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom assms* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *wfG-inside-x-fresh*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$

assumes $G=(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $\text{atom } xa \# x$

using *fresh-def supp-at-base wfG-inside-x-neq assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *wfT-nil-sup*:

fixes $t::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$

shows $\text{supp } t = \{\}$

using *wfT-sup atom-dom.simps assms setG.simps* **by** *force*

8.8 Misc

lemma *wfG-cons-append*:

fixes $b'::b$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \wedge x' \neq x$

proof –

have $((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $*\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$

using *assms wfG-cons* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x' \# x$ **proof**(*rule wfG-inside-x-fresh[of* $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$)

show $\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[x::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **by** *simp*

show $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *flip-u-eq*:

fixes $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

```

assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
shows  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau$  and  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$  and  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta$  and  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$ 
proof –
  show  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau$  using wfT-supp flip-fresh-fresh
    by (metis assms(1) fresh-def u-not-in-t)
  show  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$  using u-not-in-g wfX-wfY assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by metis
  show  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta$  using theta-flip-eq assms wfX-wfY by metis
  show  $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$  using u-not-in-b-set flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by metis
qed

```

```

lemma wfT-wf-cons-flip:
  fixes  $c::c$  and  $x::x$ 
  assumes  $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \{z : b \mid c\}$  and  $atom\ x\ \# (c, \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ 
proof –
  have  $\{x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}\} = \{z : b \mid c\}$  using assms freshers type-eq-subst by metis
  hence  $*, wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \{x : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}\}$  using assms by metis
  show ?thesis proof(rule wfG-consI)
    show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \rangle$  using assms wfT-wf by auto
    show  $\langle atom\ x\ \# \Gamma \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using assms wfX-wfY b-of.simps by metis
    show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv} \rangle$  using wfT-wfC * assms fresh-Pair
  by metis
qed
qed

```

8.9 Context Strengthening

Can remove an entry for a variable from the context if the variable doesn't appear in the term and the variable is not used later in the context or any other context

```

lemma fresh-restrict:
  fixes  $y::'a::at-base$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $atom\ y\ \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $atom\ y\ \# (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using fresh-GCons fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (GCons  $x'\ b'\ c'\ \Gamma''$ )
  then show ?case using fresh-GCons fresh-GNil by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wf-restrict1:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)\ list$  and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
and  $b::b$  and  $ftq::fun-typ-q$  and  $ft::fun-typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type-def$ 
  and  $cs::branch-s$  and  $css::branch-list$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma_2) \implies atom\ x\ \# v \implies atom\ x\ \# \Gamma_1 \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and

```

```

 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma_2) \implies atom\ x\ \# c \implies atom\ x\ \# \Gamma_1 \implies \Theta ;$ 
 $\mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c$  and

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \quad \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \\
\text{and} \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom\ x \# \tau \Longrightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta \\
; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and} \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True \text{ and} \\
\vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and} \\
\\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True \text{ and} \\
\\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \quad \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom\ x \# ce \Longrightarrow atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \\
\mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} ce : b \text{ and} \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True \\
\text{proof}(\text{induct } arbitrary : \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \\
\Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \text{ and } \Gamma_1 \\
rule : wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts) \\
\text{case } (wfV-varI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c \ y) \\
\text{hence } y \neq x \text{ using } v.fresh \text{ by } auto \\
\text{hence } Some \ (b, c) = lookup \ (\Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2) \ y \text{ using } lookup-restrict \ wfV-varI \text{ by } metis \\
\text{then show } ?case \text{ using } wfV-varI \ wf-intros \text{ by } metis \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfV-litI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ l) \\
\text{then show } ?case \text{ using } e.fresh \ wf-intros \text{ by } metis \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfV-pairI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ b1 \ v2 \ b2) \\
\text{show } ?case \text{ proof} \\
\text{show } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 \text{ using } wfV-pairI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 \text{ using } wfV-pairI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfV-consI \ s \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v) \\
\text{show } ?case \text{ proof} \\
\text{show } AF-typedef \ s \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \text{ using } wfV-consI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } (dc, \{x : b \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \text{ using } wfV-consI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b \text{ using } wfV-consI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfV-conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v) \\
\text{show } ?case \text{ proof} \\
\text{show } AF-typedef-poly \ s \ bv \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \text{ using } wfV-conspI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } (dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \text{ using } wfV-conspI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \text{ using } wfV-conspI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv ::= b]_{bb} \text{ using } wfV-conspI \text{ by } auto \\
\text{show } atom \ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2, b, v) \text{ unfolding } fresh-prodN \ fresh-append-g \text{ using } wfV-conspI \\
fresh-prodN \ fresh-GCons \ fresh-append-g \text{ by } metis \\
\text{qed} \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfCE-valI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v \ b) \\
\text{then show } ?case \text{ using } ce.fresh \ wf-intros \text{ by } metis \\
\text{next} \\
\text{case } (wfCE-plusI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ v2) \\
\text{then show } ?case \text{ using } ce.fresh \ wf-intros \text{ by } metis \\
\text{next}
\end{array}$$

```

    case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c$ )
    hence  $x \neq z$  using wfTI
    fresh-GCons fresh-prodN fresh-PairD(1) fresh-gamma-append not-self-fresh by metis
    show ?case proof
      show  $\langle atom\ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2) \rangle$  using wfTI fresh-restrict[of  $z$ ] using wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY wfTI
    fresh-prodN by metis
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
      have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c$  proof(rule wfTI(5)[of  $(z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$ 
    ])
        show  $\langle (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
        show  $\langle atom\ x \ \# \ c \rangle$  using wfTI  $\tau.fresh\ \langle x \neq z \rangle$  by auto
        show  $\langle atom\ x \ \# \ (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using wfTI  $\langle x \neq z \rangle$  fresh-GCons by simp
      qed
      thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  by auto
    qed
next
    case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$ )
    show ?case proof
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e1 : b$  using wfC-eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  using wfC-eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
    qed
next
    case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \Gamma c1$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

    case (wfC-impI  $\Theta$   $\Gamma$   $c1$   $c2$ )
    then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using wfV-varI wf-intros
  by (meson GNil-append  $\Gamma$ .simps(3))
next
case (wfG-cons1I  $c1$   $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma_1 = GNil$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
next
case False
then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I
by auto
hence  $**:G=G' @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons1I by auto

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2)$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show  $\langle c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x1 \# G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(4) ** fresh-restrict by metis
  have  $atom\ x \# G'$  using wfG-cons1I * using fresh-GCons by blast
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(3)[of  $G'$ ] ** by auto
  have  $atom\ x \# c1 \wedge atom\ x \# (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G'$  using fresh-GCons  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma_1 \rangle$  * by auto
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I(6)[of  $(x1, b1, TRUE)$ 
 $\#_{\Gamma} G'$ ] ** * wfG-cons1I by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I  $c1$   $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma_1 = GNil$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
next
case False
then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I
by auto
hence  $**:G=G' @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons2I by auto

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2)$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show  $\langle c1 \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x1 \# G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I ** fresh-restrict by metis
  have  $atom\ x \# G'$  using wfG-cons2I * using fresh-GCons by blast
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I ** by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
qed(auto)+

```

lemma wf-restrict2:


```

thus ⟨atom bv # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ1 @ Γ2, Δ, b', v, (b-of τ)[bv::=b]b)⟩
  using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto

  show ⟨Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f⟩ using
wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf v : b[bv::=b]b⟩ using wfE-appPI wf-restrict1 by auto
qed

next
  case (wfE-mvarI Θ Φ Γ Δ u τ)
  then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros by metis
next

  case (wfD-emptyI Θ Γ)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons Θ B Γ Δ τ u)
  show ?case proof
    show Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf Δ using wfD-cons fresh-DCons by metis
    show Θ ; B ; Γ1 @ Γ2 ⊢wf τ using wfD-cons fresh-DCons fresh-Pair wf-restrict1 by metis
    show u ∉ fst 'setD Δ using wfD-cons by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfFTNone Θ ft)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTSome Θ bv ft)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTI Θ B b Φ x c s τ)
  then show ?case by auto
qed(auto)+

lemmas wf-restrict=wf-restrict1 wf-restrict2

lemma wfG-intros2:
  assumes wfC P B ((x,b,TRUE) #ΓΓ) c
  shows wfG P B ((x,b,c) #ΓΓ)
proof –
  have wfG P B ((x,b,TRUE) #ΓΓ) using wfC-wf assms by auto
  hence *:wfG P B Γ ∧ atom x # Γ ∧ wfB P B b using wfG-elim by metis
  show ?thesis using assms proof(cases c ∈ {TRUE,FALSE})
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I * by auto
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I * assms by auto
  qed
qed

```

8.10 Type Definitions

lemma *wf-theta-weakening1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma':\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $s::s$
 and $b::b$ and $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ and $ftq::\text{fun-typ-}q$ and $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$
 and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ and $css::\text{branch-list}$ and $t::\tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts$ and
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' \vdash_{wf} td$
proof(*nominal-induct b and c and Γ and τ and ts and P and b and b and td*
avoiding: Θ'
rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-consI s dclist Θ dc x b c \mathcal{B} Γ v*)
show ?*case proof*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI by auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI by auto*
show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle$ **using** *wfV-consI by auto*
qed
next
case (*wfV-conspI s bv dclist Θ dc x b' c \mathcal{B} b Γ v*)
show ?*case proof*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI by auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI by auto*
show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI by auto*
show $\Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfV-conspI by auto*
show *atom bv $\#$ ($\Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v$)* **using** *wfV-conspI fresh-prodN by auto*
qed
next
case (*wfTI z Θ \mathcal{B} Γ b c*)
thus ?*case using Wellformed.wfTI by auto*
next
case (*wfB-consI Θ s dclist*)
show ?*case proof*
show $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-consI by auto*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-consI by auto*
qed
next
case (*wfB-appI Θ \mathcal{B} b s bv dclist*)
show ?*case proof*
show $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-appI by auto*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ **using** *wfB-appI by auto*
show $\Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfB-appI by simp*
qed
qed(*metis wf-intros*)+

lemma *wf-theta-weakening2*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $s::s$
and $b::b$ and $B::\mathcal{B}$ and $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$
and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ and $css::\text{branch-list}$ and $t::\tau$

shows

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$
and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi)$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \implies \text{set } \Theta \subseteq \text{set } \Theta' \implies \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft$

proof(nominal-induct b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft

avoiding: Θ'

rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-appPI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$ using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$ using wfE-appPI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-matchI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-id } tid \rangle$ using wfS-matchI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef } tid dclist \in \text{set } \Theta' \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \rangle$ using wfS-matchI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } u \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) \rangle$ using wfS-varI by auto

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$ using wfS-varI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-letI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$ using wfS-letI by auto

```

  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, b', TRUE)$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  ⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b)$  ⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$  ⟩ using wfS-let2I wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE)$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b$  ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, s1, b, \tau)$  ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE)$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  ⟩ using wfS-branchI by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau)$  ⟩ using wfS-branchI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Phi \Theta ft$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $f \notin \text{name-of-fun 'set } \Phi$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
  show  $\Theta' ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
  show  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfPhi-consI by auto
qed
next
case (wfFTNone  $\Theta ft$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfFTSome  $\Theta bv ft$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfFTI  $\Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
thus ?case using Wellformed.wfFTI wf-theta-weakening1 by simp
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c)$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta'$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  have atom  $x \# \Theta'$  using wf-supp(6)[OF (⊢wf Θ') fresh-def] by auto
  thus ⟨ atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s)$  ⟩ using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by simp
qed
qed(metis wf-intros wf-theta-weakening1 )+

lemmas wf-theta-weakening = wf-theta-weakening1 wf-theta-weakening2

lemma lookup-wfTD:
  fixes td::type-def
  assumes td ∈ set  $\Theta$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 

```

```

shows  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Theta$  )
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons  $td' \ \Theta'$ )
then consider  $td = td' \mid td \in \text{set } \Theta'$  by auto
then have  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} td$  proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
next
case 2
then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
qed
then show ?case using wf-theta-weakening Cons by (meson set-subset-Cons)
qed

```

8.10.1 Simple

```

lemma wfTh-dclist-unique:
  assumes wfTh  $\Theta$  and AF-typedef  $tid$  dclist1  $\in \text{set } \Theta$  and AF-typedef  $tid$  dclist2  $\in \text{set } \Theta$ 
  shows dclist1 = dclist2
using assms proof(induct  $\Theta$  rule:  $\Theta$ -induct)
  case TNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (AF-typedef  $tid' \ dclist' \ \Theta'$ )
  then show ?case using wfTh-elims
    by (metis image-eqI name-of-type.simps(1) set-ConsD type-def.eq-iff(1))
next
  case (AF-typedef-poly  $tid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta'$ )
  then show ?case using wfTh-elims by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfTs-ctor-unique:
  fixes dclist::(string* $\tau$ ) list
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{\mid\}$  ; GNil  $\vdash_{wf} \ dclist$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set } dclist$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set } dclist$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
using assms proof(induct dclist rule: list.inducts)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons  $x1 \ x2$ )
  consider  $x1 = (c, t1) \mid x1 = (c, t2) \mid x1 \neq (c, t1) \wedge x1 \neq (c, t2)$  by auto
  thus ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD
      by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD
      by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
  next

```

```

    case 3
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elim by (metis set-ConsD)
  qed
qed

lemma wfTD-ctor-unique:
  assumes  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist})$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set dclist}$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
  using wfTD-elim wfTs-elim assms wfTs-ctor-unique by metis

lemma wfTh-ctor-unique:
  assumes wfTh  $\Theta$  and  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(c, t1) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $(c, t2) \in \text{set dclist}$ 
  shows  $t1 = t2$ 
  using lookup-wfTD wfTD-ctor-unique assms by metis

lemma wfTs-supp-t:
  fixes  $dclist::(\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list}$ 
  assumes  $(c, t) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } t \subseteq \text{supp } B$ 
  using assms proof (induct dclist arbitrary: c t rule: list.induct)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons ct dclist')
    then consider  $ct = (c, t) \mid (c, t) \in \text{set dclist'}$  by auto
    then show ?case proof (cases)
      case 1
      then have  $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$  using Cons wfTs-elim by blast
      thus ?thesis using wfT-supp atom-dom.simps by force
    next
      case 2
      then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elim by metis
    qed
  qed

lemma wfTh-lookup-supp-empty:
  fixes  $t::\tau$ 
  assumes  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(c, t) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } t = \{\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$  using assms lookup-wfTD wfTD-elim by metis
    thus ?thesis using wfTs-supp-t assms by force
  qed

lemma wfTh-supp-b:
  assumes  $AF\text{-typedef } tid \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$  and  $(dc, \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set dclist}$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\text{supp } b = \{\}$ 
  using assms wfTh-lookup-supp-empty  $\tau.\text{supp}$  by blast

lemma wfTh-b-eq-iff:

```

```

fixes  $bva1::bv$  and  $bva2::bv$  and  $dc::string$ 
assumes  $(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist1$  and  $(dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2$  and
 $wfTs\ P\ \{\{bva1\}\}\ GNil\ dclist1$  and  $wfTs\ P\ \{\{bva2\}\}\ GNil\ dclist2$ 
 $[[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2$ 
shows  $[[atom\ bva1]]lst.(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.(dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\})$ 
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons dct1' dclist1')
  show ?case proof(cases dclist2 = [])
    case True
    then show ?thesis using Cons by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain  $dct2'$  and  $dclist2'$  where  $cons:dct2' \# dclist2' = dclist2$  using list.exhaust by metis
  hence  $*:[[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst.dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dct2'$ 
  using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
  hence  $*:fst\ dct1' = fst\ dct2'$  using lst-fst[THEN lst-pure]
  by (metis (no-types)  $\langle [[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst.dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dct2' \rangle$ )
   $\langle \bigwedge x2\ x1\ t2'\ t2a\ t2\ t1. [[atom\ x1]]lst.(t1, t2a) = [[atom\ x2]]lst.(t2, t2') \implies t1 = t2 \rangle\ fst\text{-conv}\ surj\text{-pair}$ 
  show ?thesis proof(cases fst dct1' = dc)
    case True
    have  $dc \notin fst\ 'set\ dclist1'$  using wfTs-elim Cons by (metis True fstI)
    hence  $1:(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) = dct1'$  using Cons by (metis fstI image-iff set-ConsD)
    have  $dc \notin fst\ 'set\ dclist2'$  using wfTs-elim Cons cons
    by (metis ** True fstI)
    hence  $2:(dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) = dct2'$  using Cons cons by (metis fst-conv image-eqI set-ConsD)
    then show ?thesis using Cons * 1 2 by blast
  next
  case False
  hence  $fst\ dct2' \neq dc$  using ** by auto
  hence  $(dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist1' \wedge (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2'$  using Cons cons False
  by (metis fstI set-ConsD)
  moreover have  $[[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2'$  using * False by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using Cons ** *
  using cons wfTs-elim(2) by blast
  qed
qed
qed

```

8.10.2 Polymorphic

lemma *wfTh-wfTs-poly*:
fixes $dclist::(string * \tau)\ list$
assumes *AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist* $\in set\ P$ **and** $\vdash_{wf}\ P$
shows $P ; \{\{bva\}\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf}\ dclist$
proof –

have $*:P \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist$ **using** *lookup-wfTD assms* **by** *simp*

obtain $bv \ lst$ **where** $*:P ; \{|bv|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst \wedge$
 $(\forall c. atom \ c \ \# (dclist, lst) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \# (bva, bv, dclist, lst) \longrightarrow (bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist = (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot$
 $lst)$

using *wfTD-elim(2)[OF *]* **by** *metis*

obtain $c::bv$ **where** $** : atom \ c \ \# ((dclist, lst), (bva, bv, dclist, lst))$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $P ; \{|bv|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst$ **using** $*$ **by** *metis*

hence $wfTs \ ((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P) \ ((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bv|\}) \ ((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil) \ ((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot lst)$ **using** $** \ wfTs.eqvt$
by *metis*

hence $wfTs \ P \ \{|c|\} \ GNil \ ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist)$ **using** $*$ *theta-flip-eq fresh-GNil assms*

proof $-$

have $\forall b \ ba. (ba::bv \leftrightarrow b) \cdot P = P$ **by** $(metis \ \langle \vdash_{wf} \ P \rangle \ theta\text{-flip-eq})$

then show *?thesis*

using $*$ $** \ \langle (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P ; (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bv|\} ; (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil \vdash_{wf} (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot lst \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*

qed

hence $wfTs \ ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P) \ ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bva|\}) \ ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil) \ ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist)$
using *wfTs.eqvt fresh-GNil*
by $(simp \ add: \ assms(2) \ theta\text{-flip-eq2})$

thus *?thesis* **using** *wfTs.eqvt permute-flip-cancel* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *wfTh-dclist-poly-unique*:

assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$ **and** $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tid \ bva \ dclist1 \in set \ \Theta$ **and** $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tid \ bva2 \ dclist2$
 $\in set \ \Theta$

shows $[[atom \ bva]]lst. \ dclist1 = [[atom \ bva2]]lst.dclist2$

using *assms* **proof** $(induct \ \Theta \ rule: \ \Theta\text{-induct})$

case *TNil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(AF\text{-typedef } tid' \ dclist' \ \Theta')$

then show *?case* **using** *wfTh-elim* **by** *auto*

next

case $(AF\text{-typedef-poly } tid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta')$

then show *?case* **using** *wfTh-elim image-eqI name-of-type.simps set-ConsD type-def.eq-iff*
by $(metis \ Abs1\text{-eq}(3))$

qed

lemma *wfTh-poly-lookup-supp*:

fixes $t::\tau$

assumes $AF\text{-typedef-poly } tid \ bv \ dclist \in set \ \Theta$ **and** $(c,t) \in set \ dclist$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \ \Theta$

shows $supp \ t \subseteq \{atom \ bv\}$

proof $-$

have $supp \ dclist \subseteq \{atom \ bv\}$ **using** *assms lookup-wfTD wf-supp1 type-def.supp*
by $(metis \ Diff\text{-single-insert} \ Un\text{-subset-iff} \ list.simps(15) \ supp\text{-Nil} \ supp\text{-of-atom-list})$

then show *?thesis* **using** *assms(2)* **proof** $(induct \ dclist)$

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(Cons \ a \ dclist)$

then show *?case* **using** *supp-Pair supp-Cons*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *Un-empty-left Un-empty-right pure-supp subset-Un-eq subset-singletonD*
supp-list-member)
qed
qed

lemma *wfTh-poly-supp-b*:

assumes *AF-typedef-poly tid bv dclist* \in *set* Θ **and** $(dc, \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in$ *set dclist* **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
shows $\text{supp } b \subseteq \{\text{atom } bv\}$
using *assms wfTh-poly-lookup-supp τ .supp* **by** *force*

lemma *subst-g-inside*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$
shows $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$
using *assms proof(induct Γ' rule: Γ -induct)*
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-gb.simps* **by** *simp*
next
case $(GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ G)$

hence $wfG:wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (G @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } x' \nmid (G @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-elim(2)*
using *GCons.premis append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
hence $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } ((x', b', c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G)$ **using** *GCons wfG-inside-fresh* **by** *fast*
hence $x \neq x'$
using *GCons append-Cons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps setG.simps* **by** *simp*
hence $((GCons \ (x', b', c') \ G) @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} =$
 $(GCons \ (x', b', c') \ (G @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma)))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = GCons \ (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \ ((G @ (GCons \ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \ \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})$
using *subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ (G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *GCons wfG* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = ((x', b', c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfTh-td-eq*:

assumes $td1 \in$ *set* $(td2 \ \# \ P)$ **and** $wfTh \ (td2 \ \# \ P)$ **and** $\text{name-of-type } td1 = \text{name-of-type } td2$
shows $td1 = td2$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $as: td1 \neq td2$
have $\text{name-of-type } td2 \notin \text{name-of-type 'set } P$ **using** *wfTh-elim(2)[OF assms(2)]* **by** *metis*
moreover have $td1 \in$ *set* P **using** *assms as* **by** *simp*
ultimately have $\text{name-of-type } td1 \neq \text{name-of-type } td2$
by (*metis rev-image-eqI*)
thus *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfTh-td-unique*:

assumes $td1 \in$ *set* P **and** $td2 \in$ *set* P **and** $wfTh \ P$ **and** $\text{name-of-type } td1 = \text{name-of-type } td2$
shows $td1 = td2$

```

using assms proof(induct P rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons td Θ')
  consider td = td1 | td = td2 | td ≠ td1 ∧ td ≠ td2 by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim wfTh-td-eq by metis
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim wfTh-td-eq by metis
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elim by auto
  qed
qed

lemma wfTs-distinct:
  fixes dclist::(string * τ) list
  assumes  $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ 
  shows distinct (map fst dclist)
using assms proof(induct dclist rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons x1 x2)
  then show ?case
    by (metis Cons.hyps Cons.prem distinct.simps(2) fst-conv list.set-map list.simps(9) wfTs-elim(2))
qed

lemma wfTh-dclist-distinct:
  assumes AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set P and wfTh P
  shows distinct (map fst dclist)
proof –
  have wfTD P (AF-typedef s dclist) using assms lookup-wfTD by auto
  hence wfTs P {||} GNil dclist using wfTD-elim by metis
  thus ?thesis using wfTs-distinct by metis
qed

lemma wfTh-dc-t-unique:
  assumes AF-typedef s dclist' ∈ set P and (dc, ⌊ x' : b' | c' ⌋) ∈ set dclist' and AF-typedef s dclist
  ∈ set P and wfTh P and
    (dc, ⌊ x : b | c ⌋) ∈ set dclist
  shows  $\llbracket x' : b' \mid c' \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$ 
proof –
  have dclist = dclist' using assms wfTh-td-unique name-of-type.simps by force
  moreover have distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms

```

by (meson eq-key-imp-eq-value)
qed

lemma wfTs-wfT:
fixes dclist::(string * τ) list and t:: τ
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ and $(dc, t) \in set\ dclist$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$
using assms proof(induct dclist rule:list.induct)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x1 x2)
thus ?case using wfTs-elim(2)[OF Cons(2)] by auto
qed

lemma wfTh-wfT:
fixes t:: τ
assumes wfTh P and AF-typedef tid dclist $\in set\ P$ and $(dc, t) \in set\ dclist$
shows $P ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t$
proof -
have $P \vdash_{wf} AF-typedef\ tid\ dclist$ using lookup-wfTD assms by auto
hence $P ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist$ using wfTD-elim by auto
thus ?thesis using wfTs-wfT assms by auto
qed

lemma td-lookup-eq-iff:
fixes dc :: string and bva1::bv and bva2::bv
assumes $[[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dclist2$ and $(dc, \{\|\ x : b \mid c \|\}) \in set\ dclist1$
shows $\exists x2\ b2\ c2. (dc, \{\|\ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \|\}) \in set\ dclist2$
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons dct1' dclist1')
then obtain dct2' and dclist2' where $cons:dct2' \# dclist2' = dclist2$ using lst-head-cons-neq-nil[OF Cons(2)] list.exhaust by metis
hence $*:[[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dclist2' \wedge [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dct1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dct2'$
using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
show ?case proof(cases dc=fst dct1')
case True
hence $dc = fst\ dct2'$ using * lst-fst[THEN lst-pure]
proof -
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) local.* True $\langle \wedge x2\ x1\ t2'\ t2a\ t2\ t1. [[atom\ x1]]lst.\ (t1, t2a) = [[atom\ x2]]lst.\ (t2, t2') \implies t1 = t2 \rangle prod.exhaust-sel$)
qed
obtain x2 b2 and c2 where $snd\ dct2' = \{\|\ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \|\}$ using obtain-fresh-z by metis
hence $(dc, \{\|\ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \|\}) = dct2'$ using $\langle dc = fst\ dct2' \rangle$
by (metis prod.exhaust-sel)

```

    then show ?thesis using cons by force
next
  case False
  hence (dc, { x : b | c }) ∈ set dclist1' using Cons by auto
  then show ?thesis using Cons
    by (metis local.* cons list.set-intros(2))
qed

qed

```

lemma *lst-t-b-eq-iff*:

```

  fixes bva1::bv and bva2::bv
  assumes [[atom bva1]]lst. { x1 : b1 | c1 } = [[atom bva2]]lst. { x2 : b2 | c2 }
  shows [[atom bva1]]lst. b1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.b2
proof(subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of bva1 b1 bva2 b2],rule,rule,rule)
  fix c::bv
  assume atom c # ( { x1 : b1 | c1 } , { x2 : b2 | c2 } ) and atom c # (bva1, bva2, b1, b2)

  show (bva1 ↔ c) · b1 = (bva2 ↔ c) · b2 using assms Abs1-eq-iff(3) assms
  by (metis Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) (atom c # (bva1, bva2, b1, b2)) τ.fresh τ.perm-simps type-eq-subst-eq2(2))
qed

```

lemma *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff*:

```

  assumes AF-typedef-poly tyid bva1 dclist1 ∈ set P and (dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) ∈ set dclist1
  and AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P and (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist2 and ⊢wf P
  shows b1[bva1::=b]bb = b2[bva2::=b]bb
proof -
  have [[atom bva1]]lst. dclist1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.dclist2 using assms wfTh-dclist-poly-unique by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. (dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) = [[atom bva2]]lst. (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) using
wfTh-b-eq-iff assms wfTh-wfTs-poly by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. { x1 : b1 | c1 } = [[atom bva2]]lst. { x2 : b2 | c2 } using lst-snd by metis
  hence [[atom bva1]]lst. b1 = [[atom bva2]]lst.b2 using lst-t-b-eq-iff by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-b-def by metis
qed

```

8.11 Equivariance Lemmas

lemma *x-not-in-u-set[simp]*:

```

  fixes x::x and us::u fset
  shows atom x ∉ supp us
  by(induct us,auto, simp add: supp-finset supp-at-base)

```

lemma *wfS-flip-eq*:

```

  fixes s1::s and x1::x and s2::s and x2::x and Δ::Δ
  assumes [[atom x1]]lst. s1 = [[atom x2]]lst. s2 and [[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2 and [[atom
x1]]lst. c1 = [[atom x2]]lst. c2 and atom x2 # Γ and
    Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf Δ and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x1, b, c1) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s1 : b-of t1
  shows Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x2, b, c2) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s2 : b-of t2
proof(cases x1=x2)

```

case *True*
 hence $s1 = s2 \wedge t1 = t2 \wedge c1 = c2$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff* **by** *metis*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *assms True* **by** *simp*
 next
 case *False*
 thm *wfD-x-fresh*
 have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **using** *wfX-wfY assms* **by** *metis*
 moreover have $atom\ x1 \# \Gamma$ **using** *wfX-wfY wfG-elim assms* **by** *metis*
 moreover hence $atom\ x1 \# \Delta \wedge atom\ x2 \# \Delta$ **using** *wfD-x-fresh assms* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot ((x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 : (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of}\ t1$
 using *wfS.eqvt theta-flip-eq phi-flip-eq assms flip-base-eq beta-flip-eq flip-fresh-fresh supp-b-empty*
 by *metis*
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x2, b, (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot c1) \#_{\Gamma} ((x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot \Gamma)) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 :$
 $b\text{-of}\ ((x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot t1)$ **by** *fastforce*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff*
 proof –
 have $f1: x2 = x1 \wedge t2 = t1 \vee x2 \neq x1 \wedge t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot t1 \wedge atom\ x2 \# t1$
 by (*metis (full-types) Abs1-eq-iff(3) <[[atom x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom x2]]lst. t2>*)
 then have $x2 \neq x1 \wedge s2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 \wedge atom\ x2 \# s1 \longrightarrow b\text{-of}\ t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of}\ t1$
 by (*metis b-of.eqvt*)
 then show *?thesis*
 using $f1$ **by** (*metis (no-types) Abs1-eq-iff(3) G-cons-flip-fresh3 <[[atom x1]]lst. c1 = [[atom x2]]lst. c2> <[[atom x1]]lst. s1 = [[atom x2]]lst. s2> <\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of}\ t1> <\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot ((x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 : (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-of}\ t1> <atom\ x1 \# \Gamma> <atom\ x2 \# \Gamma>*)
 qed
 qed

8.12 Lookup

lemma *wf-not-in-prefix*:
 assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 shows $x \notin fst\ 'setG\ \Gamma'$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule: \Gamma.induct*)
 case *GNil*
 then show *?case* **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*GCons xbc \Gamma'*)
 then obtain x' and b' and $c'::c$ **where** $xbc: xbc=(x',b',c')$
 using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
 hence $*(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((x',b',c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))$ **by** *simp*
 hence $atom\ x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-elim(2) GCons* **by** *metis*

 moreover have $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *GCons wfG-elim ** **by** *metis*
 ultimately have $atom\ x' \notin atom\text{-dom}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-dom-suppl GCons append-g.simps*
xbc fresh-def **by** *fast*
 hence $x' \neq x$ **using** *GCons fresh-GCons xbc* **by** *fastforce*
 then show *?case* **using** *GCons xbc setG.simps*
 using *Un-commute <\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma> atom-dom.simps* **by** *auto*
 qed

lemma *lookup-inside-wf[simp]*:

assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $Some (b1, c1) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$
using *wf-not-in-prefix lookup-inside assms by fast*

lemma *lookup-weakening*:

fixes $\Theta :: \Theta$ **and** $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' :: \Gamma$
assumes $Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma x$ **and** $setG \Gamma \subseteq setG \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
shows $Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma' x$

proof –

have $(x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma \wedge (\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in setG \Gamma \longrightarrow b' = b \wedge c' = c)$ **using** *assms lookup-iff setG.simps by force*

hence $(x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma'$ **using** *assms by auto*

moreover have $(\forall b' c'. (x, b', c') \in setG \Gamma' \longrightarrow b' = b \wedge c' = c)$ **using** *assms wf-g-unique*

using *calculation by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-iff*

using *assms(3) by blast*

qed

lemma *wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique*:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$
assumes $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $AF-fundef f fd \in set \Phi$

shows $Some (AF-fundef f fd) = lookup-fun \Phi f$

using *assms proof(induct Φ rule: list.induct)*

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *lookup-fun.simps by simp*

next

case $(Cons a \Phi')$

then obtain f' **and** fd' **where** $a : a = AF-fundef f' fd'$ **using** *fun-def.exhaust by auto*

have $wf : \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \wedge f' \notin name-of-fun 'set \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim Cons a by metis*

then show *?case* **using** *Cons lookup-fun.simps using Cons lookup-fun.simps wf a*

by *(metis image-eqI name-of-fun.simps set-ConsD)*

qed

lemma *lookup-fun-weakening*:

fixes $\Phi' :: \Phi$

assumes $Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi f$ **and** $set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi'$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$

shows $Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi' f$

using *assms proof(induct Φ)*

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *lookup-fun.simps by simp*

next

case $(Cons a \Phi'')$

then obtain f' **and** fd' **where** $a : a = AF-fundef f' fd'$ **using** *fun-def.exhaust by auto*

then show *?case* **proof**(*cases f=f'*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-fun.simps Cons wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique a*

by *(metis lookup-fun-member subset-iff)*

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis* **using** *lookup-fun.simps Cons*

using $\langle a = AF-fundef f' fd' \rangle$ **by** *auto*

qed
qed

lemma *fundef-poly-fresh-bv*:

assumes *atom bv2* $\#$ (*bv1*, *b1*, *c1*, $\tau 1$, *s1*)
shows $*$: (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv2* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *b1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *c1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot $\tau 1$) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *s1*))) = (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv1* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau 1$ *s1*)))
(is (*AF-fun-typ-some* ?*bv* ?*fun-typ* = *AF-fun-typ-some* ?*bva* ?*fun-typa*))

proof –

have *1*: *atom bv2* \notin *set* [*atom x1*] **using** *bv-not-in-x-atoms* **by** *simp*
have *2*: *bv1* \neq *bv2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have *3*: (*bv2* \leftrightarrow *bv1*) \cdot *x1* = *x1* **using** *pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh*
by (*simp add: flip-fresh-fresh*)
have *AF-fun-typ* *x1* ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *b1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *c1*) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot $\tau 1$) ((*bv1* \leftrightarrow *bv2*) \cdot *s1*)
= (*bv2* \leftrightarrow *bv1*) \cdot *AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau 1$ *s1*
using *1 2 3 assms* **by** (*simp add: flip-commute*)
moreover **have** (*atom bv2* $\#$ *c1* \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ $\tau 1$ \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ *s1* \vee *atom bv2* \in *set* [*atom x1*]) \wedge *atom bv2* $\#$ *b1*
using *1 2 3 assms fresh-prod5* **by** *metis*
ultimately show ?*thesis* **unfolding** *fun-typ-q.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff*(*3*) *fun-typ.fresh* *1 2* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *wb-b-weakening1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma':\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $s::s$
and $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ and *ftq::fun-typ-q* and *ft::fun-typ* and *ce::ce* and *td::type-def*
and *cs::branch-s* and *css::branch-list*

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts$ and
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies \text{True}$ and
 $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies wfB \Theta \mathcal{B}' b$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct* *b* and *c* and Γ and τ and *ts* and *P* and *b* and *b* and *td*
avoiding: \mathcal{B}')

rule::wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)

case (*wfV-conspI* *s* *bv* *dclist* Θ *dc* *x* *b'* *c* \mathcal{B} *b* Γ *v*)

show ?*case* **proof**

show (*AF-typedef-poly* *s* *bv* *dclist* \in *set* Θ) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *metis*

show ($\langle dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \} \rangle \in$ *set* *dclist*) **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

show (*atom bv* $\#$ (Θ , \mathcal{B}' , Γ , *b*, *v*)) **using** *fresh-prodN wfV-conspI* **by** *auto*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI* **by** *simp*

qed
next


```

case (wfTI z  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  b c)
show ?case proof
  show atom z  $\#$  ( $\Theta$ ,  $\mathcal{B}'$ ,  $\Gamma$ ) using wfTI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfTI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ; (z, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfTI by auto
qed
qed( (auto simp add: wf-intros | metis wf-intros)+ )

lemma wb-b-weakening2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 

  shows
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dc ; t  $\vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dc ; t
 $\vdash_{wf} cs : b$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dclist  $\vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ; tid ; dclist
 $\vdash_{wf} css : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies True$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}'$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
     $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(nominal-induct b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
  avoiding:  $\mathcal{B}'$ 

  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-valI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v b)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 b1 b2)
then show ?case using Wellformed.wfE-fstI wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 b1 b2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  v1)
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis

```

```

next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f ft v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wb-b-weakening1 by meson
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}1 \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1$ )

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f1 \ b' \ v1 : (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1 ::= b]_b$ 
  proof
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b'$  using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
    thus atom bv1  $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, b', v1, (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1 ::= b]_b)$ 
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto

    show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau1 s1$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f1$ 
  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1[bv1 ::= b]_b$  using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
  qed
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle atom \ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, e, b) \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-let2I by simp
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-ifI by simp
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-varI by simp
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-assignI by simp
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-whileI by simp
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-seqI by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-matchI by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta bv ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfFTI by auto
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    have  $atom\ x \# \mathcal{B}'$  using x-not-in-b-set fresh-def by metis
    thus  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN by simp
  qed
qed(auto)

lemmas wb-b-weakening = wb-b-weakening1 wb-b-weakening2

lemma wfG-b-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ 
  using wb-b-weakening assms by auto

lemma wfT-b-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$  and  $\Theta :: \Theta$  and  $\tau :: \tau$ 

```

```

assumes  $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
using wb-b-weakening assms by auto

lemma wfB-subst-wfB:
  fixes  $\tau :: \tau$  and  $b' :: b$  and  $b :: b$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv ::= b']_{bb}$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct b rule:b.strong-induct)
  case B-int
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-int}$  using wfB-intI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case B-bool
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool}$  using wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case (B-id x)
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (B\text{-id } x)$  using wfB-consI wfB-elimI wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps(4) by auto
next
  case (B-pair x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps
    by (metis wfB-elimI(1) wfB-pairI)
next
  case B-unit
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-unit}$  using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case B-bitvec
  hence  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} B\text{-bitvec}$  using wfB-bitvecI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  case (B-var x)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have False
    using B-var.premI(1) wfB.cases by fastforce
    then show ?thesis by metis
  qed
next
  case (B-app s b)
  then obtain  $bv' dclist$  where  $*:AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv' \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \wedge \Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b$  using
wfB-elimI by metis
  thm wfB-appI
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
    show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using B-app wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv ::= b']_{bb}$  using * B-app forget-subst wfB-supp fresh-def
      by (metis ex-in-conv subset-empty subst-b-b-def supp-empty-fset)
    show  $AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv' \ dclist \in set \ \Theta$  using * by auto
  qed
qed

```

lemma *wfT-subst-wfB*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $b'::b$
assumes $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b']_{bb}$
proof –
obtain b **where** $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge b\text{-of } \tau = b$ **using** *wfT-elim b-of.simps* **assms** **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfB-subst-wfB* **assms** **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-cons-unique*:
assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $x = x1$
shows $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$
proof –
have $x1 \notin \text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma$
proof –
have $\text{atom } x1 \nmid \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfG-cons* **by** *metis*
then show *?thesis*
using *fresh-gamma-elem*
by (*metis assms(2) atom-dom.simps rev-image-eqI wfG-cons2 wfG-x-fresh*)
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *wfG-member-unique*:
assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x = x1$
shows $b1 = b \wedge c1 = c$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *wfG-suffix wfG-cons-unique append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma'$)
moreover **hence** $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wf-not-in-prefix* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *wfG-cons* **by** *fastforce*
qed

8.13 Function Definitions

lemma *wb-phi-weakening*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$
and $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $\text{ftq}::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and** $\text{ft}::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $\text{ce}::\text{ce}$ **and** $\text{td}::\text{type-def}$
and $\text{cs}::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $\text{css}::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
shows
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e$
 $: b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s :$
 b **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dc} ; t \vdash_{wf} \text{cs} : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ;$
 $\Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dc} ; t \vdash_{wf} \text{cs} : b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} \vdash_{wf} \text{css} : b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B}$
 $; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} \vdash_{wf} \text{css} : b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$ **and**

```

     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' \vdash_{wf} ftq$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies \text{set } \Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \implies \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
  avoiding:  $\Phi'$ 
  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-legI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros lookup-fun-weakening by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi' f \rangle$ 
      using wfE-appPI lookup-fun-weakening by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed

next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-letI by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 b' x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-let2I by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle atom\ u \ \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b) \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-varI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof

    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto

  have  $atom\ x \ \# \Phi'$  using wfS-assertI wfPhi-supply fresh-def by blast
  thus  $\langle atom\ x \ \# (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using fresh-prodN wfS-assertI wfPhi-supply fresh-def by auto
qed
qed(auto|metis wf-intros)+

```

```

lemma wfT-fun-return-t:
  fixes  $\tau a' :: \tau$  and  $\tau' :: \tau$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau a'$  and  $(AF\text{-fun-ty}p\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s') = (AF\text{-fun-ty}p\ xa\ b\ ca\ \tau a'\ sa')$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'$ 

```

proof –

obtain $cb::x$ **where** $xf: atom\ cb \ \# \ (c, \tau', s', sa', \tau a', ca, x, xa)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
hence $atom\ cb \ \# \ (c, \tau', s', sa', \tau a', ca) \wedge atom\ cb \ \# \ (x, xa, ((c, \tau'), s'), (ca, \tau a'), sa')$ **using**
fresh-prod6 fresh-prod4 fresh-prod8 **by** *auto*
hence $*:c[x::=V-var\ cb]_{cv} = ca[xa::=V-var\ cb]_{cv} \wedge \tau'[x::=V-var\ cb]_{\tau v} = \tau a'[xa::=V-var\ cb]_{\tau v}$ **using**
assms $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\text{-}all$ **by** *auto*

have $**:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau a'$ **using** *assms True-eqv*
beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfG-wf
by (*metis GCons-eqv GNil-eqv wfT.eqv wfT-wf*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau'$ **proof** –
have $(x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot xa = (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot x$ **using** *xf* **by** *auto*
hence $(x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) = (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)$ **using** $**\ * \ xf$
G-cons-flip fresh-GNil **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** $**\ * \ xf$ **by** *simp*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfT-wf wfG-wf* $**\ * \ True\text{-}eqv\ wfT\text{-}eqv\ permute\text{-}flip\text{-}cancel$
by metis
qed

lemma *wfFT-wf-aux*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$
shows $\Theta ; B ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of\ \tau$
proof –

obtain xa **and** ca **and** sa **and** τ' **where** $*:\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \wedge (\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} sa : b\text{-}of\ \tau')$ \wedge
 $supp\ sa \subseteq \{atom\ xa\} \wedge (\Theta ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau') \wedge$
 $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ xa\ b\ ca\ \tau'\ sa$
using *wfFT.simps[of $\Theta\ \Phi\ B\ AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$]* *assms* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $(AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s) = (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ xa\ b\ ca\ \tau'\ sa)$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; B ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-fun-return-t* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $(\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of\ \tau)$ **proof** –
have $**:\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} sa : b\text{-}of\ \tau'$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $[[atom\ xa]]lst. sa = [[atom\ x]]lst. s \wedge [[atom\ xa]]lst. \tau' = [[atom\ x]]lst. \tau \wedge [[atom\ xa]]lst. ca = [[atom\ x]]lst. c$
using $*$ *fun-typ.eq-iff lst-fst lst-snd* **by** *metis*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \ \# \ GNil$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms wfS-flip-eq wfD-emptyI wfG-nilI wfX-wfY* $*$ **by** *metis*
qed
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfFT-simple-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))$
shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of\ \tau$
proof –
have $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$ **using** *wfFTQ-elim* *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfFT-wf-aux* by *auto*
qed

lemma *wfFT-poly-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ftq :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))$

shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of}\ \tau$

proof –

obtain $bv1\ ft1$ where $*\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} ft1 \wedge [[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ ft1 = [[atom\ bv]]lst.\ AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$

using *wfFTQ-elim*(\mathcal{I})[*OF assms*] by *metis*

show *?thesis* proof(*cases* $bv1 = bv$)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* using $*\ fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q.\text{eq-iff}\ Abs1\text{-eq-iff}$ by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *wfFT-wf-aux*)

next

case *False*

obtain $x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1$ where $*\mathbf{:}\ ft1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1$ using *fun-typ.eq-iff*

by (*meson fun-typ.exhaust*)

hence *eqv*: $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ t1\ s1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s \wedge atom\ bv1 \nmid AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ using

Abs1-eq-iff(\mathcal{I}) * *False* by *metis*

have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta ; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi ; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1$ using *wfFT.eqvt*
* by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi = \Phi$ using *phi-flip-eq* *wfX-wfY* * by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta = \Theta$ using *wfX-wfY* * *theta-flip-eq2* by *metis*

moreover have $(bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1 = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ using *eqv* ** by *metis*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s$ by *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfFT-wf-aux* by *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *wfFT-poly-wfT*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))$

shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wfFT-poly-wf* *assms* by *simp*

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-wf*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $s::s$ and $\Phi'::\Phi$

assumes $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)) \in set\ \Phi$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ and $set\ \Phi \subseteq set\ \Phi'$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$

shows $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi' ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of}\ \tau$

using *assms* proof(*induct* Φ rule: $\Phi\text{-induct}$)

case *PNil*

then show *?case* by *auto*

next

case (*PConsSome* $f1\ bv\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s'\ \Phi'$)

hence $AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) \text{)} \in \text{set } \Phi''$ by *auto*
 moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(3)$ $PConsSome$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?case$ using $PConsSome \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-simple-wf}$ by *auto*
 next
 case $(PConsNone \ f' \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \tau' \ s' \ \Phi')$
 show $?case$ **proof**(cases $f=f'$)
 case *True*
 have $AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b' \ c' \ \tau' \ s') = AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$
 by (metis $PConsNone.prem(1)$ $PConsNone.prem(2)$ *True fun-def.eq-iff image-eqI name-of-fun.simps*
set-ConsD wfPhi-elims(2))
 hence $*\Theta ; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(2)[OF \ PConsNone(3)]$ by *metis*
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi'' ; \{|\}\} \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ using $wfFTQ\text{-elims}(1)$ by *metis*
 thus $?thesis$ using $wfFT\text{-simple-wf}[OF \ *]$ *wb-phi-weakening* $PConsNone$ by *force*
 next
 case *False*
 hence $AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) \text{)} \in \text{set } \Phi''$ using $PConsNone$ by *simp*
 moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ using $wfPhi\text{-elims}(3)$ $PConsNone$ by *auto*
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using $PConsNone \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-simple-wf}$ by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wfT}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-typ-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) \text{)}) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$
 using $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wf} \text{ assms}$ using *lookup-fun-member* by *blast*

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-supp-t}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-typ-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-none (} AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) \text{)}) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
 using $wfPhi\text{-f-simple-wfT} \ wfT\text{-supp} \text{ assms}$ by *fastforce*

lemma $wfPhi\text{-f-poly-wfT}$:

fixes $\tau::\tau$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $ft :: fun\text{-typ-}q$
 assumes $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) \text{)}) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f$ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
 shows $\Theta ; \{ | \ bv \ | \} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Φ *rule*: $\Phi\text{-induct}$)
 case *PNil*
 then show $?case$ by *auto*
 next
 case $(PConsSome \ f1 \ bv1 \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s' \ \Phi')$
 then show $?case$ **proof**(cases $f1=f$)
 case *True*
 hence $\text{lookup-fun } (AF\text{-fundef } f1 \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s') \text{)}) \# \Phi' \ f =$
 $Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f1 \text{ (} AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s') \text{)})$ using
lookup-fun.simps using $PConsSome.prem$ by *simp*
 then show $?thesis$ using $PConsSome.prem \ wfPhi\text{-elims} \ wfFT\text{-poly-wfT}$

```

    by (metis option.inject)
next
case False
then show ?thesis using PConsSome using lookup-fun.simps
    using wfPhi-elim3 by auto
qed
next
case (PConsNone f' x' b' c'  $\tau'$  s'  $\Phi'$ )
then show ?case proof (cases f'=f)
case True
    then have *:  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi' \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-fun-tyt-none } (AF\text{-fun-tyt } x' b' c' \tau' s')$  using lookup-fun.simps
PConsNone wfPhi-elim by metis
    thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfFT-poly-wfT wfPhi-elim lookup-fun.simps
        by (metis fun-def.eq-iff fun-tyt-q.distinct1) option.inject
next
case False
thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfPhi-elim
    by (metis False lookup-fun.simps2)
qed
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp b  $\subseteq$  supp bv
proof -
    have  $\Theta$  ;  $\{|bv|\}$  ; (x,b,c)  $\#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT assms by auto
    thus ?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp  $\tau \subseteq \{atom\ x, atom\ bv\}$ 
    using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT[OF assms, THEN wfT-supp] atom-dom.simps supp-at-base by auto

lemma b-of-supp:
    supp (b-of t)  $\subseteq$  supp t
proof (nominal-induct t rule: $\tau$ .strong-induct)
case (T-refined-type x b c)
then show ?case by auto
qed

lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b-of-t:
    fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ft::fun\text{-tyt-q}$ 
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c  $\tau$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi$  f and  $\Theta$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
    shows supp (b-of  $\tau$ )  $\subseteq \{atom\ bv\}$ 
proof -
    have atom x  $\notin$  supp (b-of  $\tau$ ) using x-fresh-b by auto

```

moreover have $\text{supp } (b\text{-of } \tau) \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv \}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t*
using *supp-at-base b-of.simps wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t $\tau.\text{supp } b\text{-of-supp assms}$ by fast*
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-supp-c*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||bv||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf by fastforce*
using *supp-at-base by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } b = \{ \}$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$
assumes *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$*
shows $\text{supp } s \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$
proof –
have *AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-none (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s)) \in set Φ using lookup-fun-member assms by auto*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-simple-wf assms by auto*
thus *?thesis using wf-supp(3) atom-dom.simps setG.simps x-not-in-u-set x-not-in-b-set setD.simps using wf-supp2(2) by fastforce*
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-wf*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-tyt-q}$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $\Phi'::\Phi$
assumes *AF-fundef f (AF-fun-tyt-some bv (AF-fun-tyt x b c τ s)) \in set Φ and $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ and set*

$\Phi \subseteq \text{set } \Phi' \text{ and } \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$
shows $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta ; \Phi' ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Φ *rule*: Φ -*induct*)
case *PNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*PConsNone* *f* *x* *b* *c* τ *s'* Φ'')
moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim*(3) *PConsNone* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *PConsNone* *wfPhi-elim* *wfFT-poly-wf* **by** *auto*
next
case (*PConsSome* *f1* *bv1* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau1$ *s1* Φ'')
show *?case* **proof**(*cases* *f*=*f1*)
case *True*
have *AF-fun-typ-some* *bv1* (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau1$ *s1*) = *AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x* *b* *c* τ *s*)
by (*metis* *PConsSome.prem*(1) *PConsSome.prem*(2) *True* *fun-def.eq-iff* *list.set-intros*(1) *op-tion.inject* *wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique*)
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} \text{AF-fun-typ-some } bv (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ **using** *wfPhi-elim* *PConsSome*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfFT-poly-wf* **wb-phi-weakening* *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *set-subset-Cons*)
next
case *False*
hence *AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x* *b* *c* τ *s*)) $\in \text{set } \Phi''$ **using** *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *fun-def.eq-iff* *set-ConsD*)
moreover have $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \wedge \text{set } \Phi'' \subseteq \text{set } \Phi'$ **using** *wfPhi-elim*(3) *PConsSome*
by (*meson* *dual-order.trans* *set-subset-Cons*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *PConsSome* *wfPhi-elim* *wfFT-poly-wf*
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s*:
fixes $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** *ft* $:: \text{fun-typ-q}$
assumes *Some* (*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x* *b* *c* τ *s*))) = *lookup-fun* Φ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$
shows *supp* *s* $\subseteq \{\text{atom } x, \text{atom } bv\}$
proof –
have *AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x* *b* *c* τ *s*)) $\in \text{set } \Phi$ **using** *lookup-fun-member* *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \llbracket \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-supp2*(2) *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* *setD.simps*
using *Un-insert-right* *supp-at-base* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemmas *wfPhi-f-supp* = *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b* *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b* *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c*
wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t* *wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t* *wfPhi-f-poly-wfT* *wfPhi-f-simple-wfT*
wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s

lemma *fun-typ-eq-ret-unique*:
assumes (*AF-fun-typ* *x1* *b1* *c1* $\tau1'$ *s1'*) = (*AF-fun-typ* *x2* *b2* *c2* $\tau2'$ *s2'*)
shows $\tau1' [x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau2' [x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $[[atom\ x1]]lst.\ \tau1' = [[atom\ x2]]lst.\ \tau2'$ **using** *assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two*[of $x1\ \tau1'\ x2\ \tau2'\ v$] *subst-v- τ -def* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-typ-eq-body-unique*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $x1::x$ **and** $x2::x$ **and** $s1::s$ **and** $s2::s$
assumes $(AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1') = (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')$
shows $s1'[x1::=v]_{sv} = s2'[x2::=v]_{sv}$

proof –

have $[[atom\ x1]]lst.\ s1' = [[atom\ x2]]lst.\ s2'$ **using** *assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two*[of $x1\ s1'\ x2\ s2'\ v$] *subst-v-s-def* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-ret-unique*:

assumes *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
and *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
shows $\tau1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $*$: $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2'))$ **using** *option.inject assms* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** *fun-typ-eq-ret-unique fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-poly-arg-unique*:

fixes $bv1::bv$ **and** $bv2::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\tau1::\tau$ **and** $\tau2::\tau$

assumes $[[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1) = [[atom\ bv2]]lst.\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2)$
(is $[[atom\ ?x]]lst.\ ?a = [[atom\ ?y]]lst.\ ?b$ **)**

shows $\{ x1 : b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} \mid c1[bv1::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x2 : b2[bv2::=b]_{bb} \mid c2[bv2::=b]_{cb} \}$

proof –

obtain $c::bv$ **where** $*:atom\ c \# (b, b1, b2, c1, c2) \wedge atom\ c \# (bv1, bv2, AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1, AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

hence $(bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2$ **using** *Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)*[of $?x\ ?a\ ?y\ ?b$] *assms* **by** *metis*

hence $AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1)\ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1)\ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau1)\ ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1) = AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2)\ ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2)\ ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau2)\ ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2)$

using *fun-typ-flip* **by** *metis*

hence $*$: $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) \}$ **(is** $\{ x1 : ?b1 \mid ?c1 \} = \{ x2 : ?b2 \mid ?c2 \}$ **)** **using** *fun-arg-unique-aux* **by** *metis*

hence $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) \} [c::=b]_{\tau b} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) \} [c::=b]_{\tau b}$ **by** *metis*

hence $\{ x1 : ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1)[c::=b]_{bb} \mid ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1)[c::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x2 : ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2)[c::=b]_{bb} \mid ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2)[c::=b]_{cb} \}$ **using** *subst-tb.simps* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ *flip-subst-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-b-def fresh-prodN flip-commute* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fun-poly-ret-unique*:

assumes *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv1\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$
and *Some* $(AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv2\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f$

shows $\tau1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}[x2::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have *: (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) **using** option.inject assms **by** metis

hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2')

(**is** AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) **using** fun-def.eq-iff **by** metis

hence **:[[atom bv1]]lst. ?ft1 = [[atom bv2]]lst. ?ft2 **using** fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) **by** metis

hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b **using** subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def **by** metis

have [[atom x1]]lst. $\tau 1'$ [bv1::=b] _{τb} = [[atom x2]]lst. $\tau 2'$ [bv2::=b] _{τb}

apply(rule lst-snd[of - c1[bv1::=b]_{cb} - - c2[bv2::=b]_{cb}])

apply(rule lst-fst[of - s1'[bv1::=b]_{sb} - - s2'[bv2::=b]_{sb}])

using * subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff **by** metis

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v- τ -def **by** metis

qed

lemma fun-poly-body-unique:

assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = lookup-fun Φ f **and** Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) = lookup-fun Φ f

shows s1'[bv1::=b]_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv} = s2'[bv2::=b]_{sb}[x2::=v]_{sv}

proof –

have *: (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1'))) = (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2'))) **using** option.inject assms **by** metis

hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 $\tau 2'$ s2')

(**is** AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) **using** fun-def.eq-iff **by** metis

hence **:[[atom bv1]]lst. ?ft1 = [[atom bv2]]lst. ?ft2 **using** fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) **by** metis

hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b **using** subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def **by** metis

have [[atom x1]]lst. s1'[bv1::=b]_{sb} = [[atom x2]]lst. s2'[bv2::=b]_{sb}

using lst-snd lst-fst subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff

by (metis local.*)

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def **by** metis

qed

lemma funtyp-eq-iff-equalities:

fixes s'::s **and** s::s

assumes [[atom x']]lst. ((c', τ'), s') = [[atom x]]lst. ((c, τ), s)

shows $\{x' : b \mid c'\} = \{x : b \mid c\} \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} $\wedge \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$$

proof –

have [[atom x']]lst. s' = [[atom x]]lst. s **and** [[atom x']]lst. τ' = [[atom x]]lst. τ **and**

[[atom x']]lst. c' = [[atom x]]lst. c **using** lst-snd lst-fst assms **by** metis+

thus ?thesis **using** subst-v-flip-eq-two τ .eq-iff

by (metis assms fun-typ.eq-iff fun-typ-eq-body-unique fun-typ-eq-ret-unique)

qed

8.14 Weakening

lemma *wfX-wfB1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $ftq::\text{fun-typ-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-typ}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $wfV\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfT\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of } \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True}$ **and**
 $wfCE\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*induct rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts*)

case (*wfV-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x$)

hence $(x, b, c) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *lookup-iff wfV-wf* **using** *lookup-in-g by presburger*

hence $b \in \text{fst'snd'setG } \Gamma$ **by force**

hence $wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *wfG-wfB wfV-varI by metis*

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-elim wfG-wf wf-intros by metis*

next

case (*wfV-litI* $\Theta \Gamma l$)

moreover have $wfTh \Theta$ **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wfV-litI by metis*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust by metis*

next

case (*wfV-pairI* $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfG-wf wf-intros by metis*

next

case (*wfV-consI* $s \text{ dclist } \Theta dc x b c B \Gamma v$)

then show *?case*

using *wfV-wf wfG-wf wfB-consI by metis*

next

case (*wfV-conspI* $s bv \text{ dclist } \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B} b \Gamma v$)

then show *?case*

using *wfV-wf wfG-wf wfB-appI by metis*

next

case (*wfCE-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim by auto*

next

case (*wfCE-plusI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim by auto*

next

case (*wfCE-leqI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis*

next

case (*wfCE-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim by metis*

next

case (*wfCE-sndI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfB-elim by metis*

next

case (*wfCE-concatI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$)


```

    then show ?case using wfB-elim by auto
next
  case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
    then show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
qed(auto | metis wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros )+

lemma wfX-wfB2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $b::b$  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 
  shows
     $wfE\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfCS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $wfCSS\text{-}wfB: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} ft$ 
proof(induct rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-pairI by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
    then show ?case using wfB-elim wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-intI wfX-wfY1(1) by auto
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfT-b-weakening by fast
    then show ?case using b-of.simps using wfT-b-weakening
    by (metis b-of.cases bot.extremum wfT-elim(2))
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
    hence  $\Theta ; \{ | bv | \} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT wfX-wfY by blast
    then show ?case using wfE-appPI b-of.simps using wfT-b-weakening wfT-elim wfT-subst-wfB
    subst-b-b-def by metis

```

```

next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfD-wfT by fast
  then show ?case using wfT-elim b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (wfFTNone  $\Theta ft$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta bv ft$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY2(5) by auto
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )

```

```

    then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \ \Theta \ \Phi \ ft$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta \ B \ b \ \Phi \ x \ c \ s \ \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
    by (meson Wellformed.wfFTI wb-b-weakening2(8))
qed(metis wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfB1)

lemmas wfX-wfB = wfX-wfB1 wfX-wfB2

lemma wf-weakening1:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and  $ftq::fun-typ-q$  and  $ft::fun-typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type-def$ 
  and  $cs::branch-s$  and  $css::branch-list$ 

  shows wfV-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} v : b$  and
    wfC-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $c$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies True$  and
    wfT-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $\tau$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$  and
     $\vdash_{wf} P \implies True$  and
    wfB-weakening:  $wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b \implies \mathcal{B} \mid\subseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b$  and
    wfCE-weakening:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \implies setG \ \Gamma \subseteq setG \ \Gamma' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'$ 
 $\vdash_{wf} ce : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b and c and  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  and  $ts$  and  $P$  and  $b$  and  $b$  and  $td$ 
  avoiding:  $\Gamma'$ 
  rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c \ x$ )
  hence Some  $(b, c) = lookup \ \Gamma' \ x$  using lookup-weakening by metis
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-varI wfV-varI by metis
next
  case (wfTI  $z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle atom \ z \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using wfTI by auto
    have *:setG  $((z, b, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \subseteq setG \ ((z, b, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma')$  using setG.simps wfTI by auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfTI(8)[OF - *] wfTI wfX-wfY
    by (simp add: wfG-cons-TRUE)
  qed
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v$ )

```

```

show ?case proof
  show ⟨AF-typedef-poly s bv dclist ∈ set Θ⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨(dc, { x : b' | c }) ∈ set dclist⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ⊢wf b⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
  show ⟨atom bv # (Θ, B, Γ', b, v)⟩ using wfV-conspI by simp
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf v : b'[bv::=b]bb⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
qed

qed(metis wf-intros)+

lemma wf-weakening2:
  fixes Γ::Γ and Γ'::Γ and v::v and e::e and c::c and τ::τ and ts::(string*τ) list and Δ::Δ and s::s
  and B::B and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
  and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
    wfE-weakening: Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ⊢wf e : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ;
    Φ ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf e : b and
    wfS-weakening: Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ⊢wf s : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ
    ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf s : b and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ; tid ; dc ; t ⊢wf cs : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ ;
    B ; Γ' ; Δ ; tid ; dc ; t ⊢wf cs : b and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ⊢wf css : b ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; Φ
    ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ⊢wf css : b and
    Θ ⊢wf (Φ::Φ) ⇒ True and
    wfD-weakening: Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf Δ ⇒ Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' ⇒ setG Γ ⊆ setG Γ' ⇒ Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf
    Δ and
    Θ ; Φ ⊢wf ftq ⇒ True and
    Θ ; Φ ; B ⊢wf ft ⇒ True
proof(nominal-induct
  b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft
  avoiding: Γ'
  rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

case (wfE-appPI Θ Φ B Γ Δ b' bv v τ f x b c s)
show ?case proof
  show ⟨Θ ⊢wf Φ⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ⊢wf b'⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨atom bv # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ', Δ, b', v, (b-of τ)[bv::=b]b)⟩ using wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c τ s))) = lookup-fun Φ f⟩ using
  wfE-appPI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf v : b[bv::=b]b⟩ using wfE-appPI wf-weakening1 by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-letI Θ Φ B Γ Δ e b' x s b)
show ?case proof(rule)
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf e : b'⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  have setG ((x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ) ⊆ setG ((x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ') using wfS-letI by auto
  thus ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x, b', TRUE) #Γ Γ' ; Δ ⊢wf s : b⟩ using wfS-letI by (meson wfG-cons
  wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using wfS-letI by auto
  show ⟨atom x # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ', Δ, e, b)⟩ using wfS-letI by auto

```

```

qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfS-let2I wf-weakening1 by auto
    have  $setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using wfS-let2I by
    auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by (meson
    wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
    show  $atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, \tau, v, b)$  using wfS-varI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b$  using wfS-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
  show ?case proof
    have  $setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG((x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using wfS-branchI by
    auto
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by (meson
    wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, \Gamma', \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof(rule)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-weakening1 by auto
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof(rule wfG-consI)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
  show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# \Gamma' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
  qed
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ 
  using wf-weakening1(2)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \rangle$ ] by

```

```

force
qed

thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by fastforce

show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
qed

qed(metis wf-intros wf-weakening1)+

lemmas wf-weakening = wf-weakening1 wf-weakening2

lemma wfV-weakening-cons:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and  $atom\ y \# \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ 
proof -
  have  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using wfG-intros2 assms by auto
  moreover have  $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ ((y, b', c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using setG.simps by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-weakening using assms(1) by blast
qed

lemma wfG-cons-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma':\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  and  $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$  and  $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$ 
proof(cases  $c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by auto
next
  case False
  hence  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  using wfG-elim(2)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  have  $a1:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by simp
  moreover have  $a2:setG\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using setG.simps
  assms by blast
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
    show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfG-elim by metis
  qed
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$  using wf-weakening a1 a2 * by auto
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I[of c  $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma'\ x\ b$ , OF False] wfG-wfB assms by simp
qed

lemma wfT-weakening-aux:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma':\Gamma$  and  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b \mid c\}$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  and  $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$  and  $atom\ z \# \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{z : b \mid c\}$ 
proof

```

```

show  $\langle atom\ z\ \#(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle$ 
  using wf-supp wfX-wfY assms fresh-prodN fresh-def x-not-in-b-set wfG-fresh-x by metis
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  using assms wfT-elim by metis
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$  proof –
  have  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfT-wfC fresh-weakening assms by auto
  moreover have  $a1:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons2I assms  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  by
simp
  moreover have  $a2:setG((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \subseteq setG((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using setG.simps
assms by blast
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  proof
    show  $(TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $atom\ z\ \# \Gamma'$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfT-elim by metis
  qed
  thus ?thesis using wf-weakening a1 a2 * by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *wfT-weakening-all*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$ **and** $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ **and** $\mathcal{B} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{B}'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wb-b-weakening assms wfT-weakening* **by** *metis*

lemma *wfT-weakening-nil*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau$

using *wfT-weakening-all*

using *assms(1) assms(2) setG.simps(1)* **by** *blast*

lemma *dc-t-closed*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $G::\Gamma$

assumes *wfTh* Θ **and** *AF-typedef* $s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta$ **and**

$(dc, \tau) \in set\ dclist$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $supp\ \tau = \{\}$ **and** $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **and** *wfT* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ \tau$

proof –

show $supp\ \tau = \{\}$ **proof**(*rule ccontr*)

assume $a1: supp\ \tau \neq \{\}$

have $supp\ \Theta \neq \{\}$ **proof** –

obtain $dclist$ **where** $dc: AF-typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \wedge (dc, \tau) \in set\ dclist$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $supp\ (dc, \tau) \neq \{\}$

using $a1$ **by** (*simp add: supp-Pair*)

hence $supp\ dclist \neq \{\}$ **using** *dc supp-list-member* **by** *auto*

hence $supp\ (AF-typedef\ s\ dclist) \neq \{\}$ **using** *type-def.supp* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *supp-list-member dc* **by** *auto*

qed

```

    thus False using assms wfTh-supp by simp
  qed
  thus  $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$  by (simp add: fresh-def)
  have wfT  $\Theta \{||\}$  GNil  $\tau$  using assms wfTh-wfT by auto
  thus wfT  $\Theta B G \tau$  using assms wfT-weakening-nil by simp

qed

lemma u-fresh-d:
  assumes atom u  $\nmid D$ 
  shows  $u \notin \text{fst } \text{setD } D$ 
  using assms proof(induct D rule:  $\Delta$ -induct)
case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons u' t'  $\Delta'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding setD.simps
    using fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by (simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base(2))
qed

lemma wf-d-weakening:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and ts::(string* $\tau$ ) list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}$  and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
  and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ;$ 
 $\Delta' \vdash_{wf} e : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ;$ 
 $\Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \implies \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b$  and
     $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$ 
  proof(nominal-induct
    b and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
    avoiding:  $\Delta'$ 
    rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )

```



```

    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s$ )
  show ?case proof(rule, (rule wfE-appPI)+)
    show  $\langle atom\ bv \ \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle Some\ (AF\text{-fundef } f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv\ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi\ f \rangle$  using
wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show  $\langle (u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta' \rangle$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b$ )
  show ?case proof(rule)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-letI by metis
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-letI by force
    thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-letI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
    show  $\langle atom\ x \ \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, b) \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
  show ?case proof
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  proof(rule wf-weakening2(6))
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-assertI by auto
  next
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
  next

```

```

  show ⟨setG  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
qed
  thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b$ ⟩ using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
next
  show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
next
  show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
next
  show ⟨atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', c, b, s)$ ⟩ using wfS-assertI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau$ ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-let2I by metis
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-let2I by force
    thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s2 : b$ ⟩ using wfS-let2I by metis
    show ⟨atom  $x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', s1, b, \tau)$ ⟩ using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-ifI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ ⟩ using wfS-varI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$ ⟩ using wfS-varI by auto
    show ⟨atom  $u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \tau, v, b)$ ⟩ using wfS-varI setD.simps by auto
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta'$  using wfS-varI wfD-cons setD.simps u-fresh-d by metis
    thus ⟨ $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b$ ⟩ using wfS-varI setD.simps by blast
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨ $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta'$ ⟩ using wfS-assignI setD.simps by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ ⟩ using wfS-assignI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ ⟩ using wfS-assignI by auto
    show ⟨ $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$ ⟩ using wfS-assignI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \text{tid dclist } \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b \text{tid dc}$ )
  show ?case proof

```

```

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-branchI by metis
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-branchI by force
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by simp
  show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \Gamma, \tau) \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta\ tid\ dclist' cs\ b\ dclist$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta\ tid\ dclist' cs\ b\ css\ dclist$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed(auto+)

```

8.15 Forms

Well-formedness for particular constructs that we will need later

lemma *wfC-e-eq*:

```

  fixes ce::ce and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$  and  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == ce)$ 
proof –
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  hence wbg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfX-wfY assms by auto
  show ?thesis proof
    show  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b$ 
    proof(rule)
      show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-var } x : b$  proof
        show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY assms  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$  by
auto
        show Some  $(b, TRUE) = lookup\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ x$  using lookup.simps by auto
      qed
    qed
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ 
    using assms wf-weakening1(8)[OF assms(1), of (x, b, TRUE) #Γ Γ] * setG.simps wfX-wfY
    by (metis Un-subset-iff equalityE)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *wfC-e-eq2*:

```

  fixes e1::ce and e2::ce
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  and  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e2$ 
proof(rule wfC-eqI)
  have  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  proof(rule wfG-cons1I)
    show  $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) == e1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $*:atom\ x \# \Gamma$  using assms by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1$  using wfC-e-eq assms * by
auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  qed

```

```

qed
show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) : b$  using assms *
wfCE-valI wfV-varI by auto
show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  proof(rule wf-weakening1(8))
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$  using assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using * by auto
  show  $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ ((x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  by auto
qed
qed

lemma wfT-wfT-if-rev:
  assumes wfV P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v$  (base-for-lit l) and wfT P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ t$  and  $\langle atom\ z1 \# \Gamma \rangle$ 
  shows wfT P  $\mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z1 : b\text{-of}\ t \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit\ l) \text{IMP} (c\text{-of}\ t\ z1) \rrbracket)$ 
proof
  show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of}\ t \rangle$  using wfX-wfY assms by meson
  have wfg:  $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using assms wfV-wf wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY
    by (meson wfG-cons-TRUE)
  show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \text{IMP} c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rangle$  proof
    show *:  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \rangle$ 
      proof(rule wfC-eqI[where  $b = \text{base-for-lit}\ l$ ])
        show  $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} : \text{base-for-lit}\ l$ 
          using assms wf-intros wf-weakening wfg by (meson wfV-weakening-cons)
        show  $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[l]^v]^{ce} : \text{base-for-lit}\ l$  using wfg assms wf-intros
          wf-weakening wfV-weakening-cons by meson
      qed
    have  $t = \llbracket z1 : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rrbracket$  using c-of-eq
      using assms(2) assms(3) b-of-c-of-eq wfT-x-fresh by auto
    thus  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-of}\ t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\text{-of}\ t\ z1 \rangle$  using wfT-wfC assms wfG-elim * by
      simp
    qed
    show  $\langle atom\ z1 \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \rangle$  using assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY by metis
  qed

lemma wfT-eq-imp:
  fixes  $zz::x$  and  $ll::l$  and  $\tau'::\tau$ 
  assumes base-for-lit  $ll = B\text{-}bool$  and  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'$  and
     $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$  and
     $atom\ zz \# x$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ 
     $\vdash_{wf} \llbracket zz : b\text{-of}\ \tau' \mid [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[ll]^v]^{ce} \text{IMP} c\text{-of}\ \tau'\ zz \rrbracket$ 
proof(rule wfT-wfT-if-rev)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} [x]^v : \text{base-for-lit}\ ll \rangle$ 
    using wfV-varI lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps assms by simp
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, b\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$ 
    using wf-weakening assms setG.simps by auto
  show  $\langle atom\ zz \# (x, b\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$ 
    unfolding fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 b-of.simps c-of-true
    using x-fresh-b fresh-GNil c-of-true c.fresh assms by metis
  qed

```

lemma *wfC-v-eq*:
fixes *ce::ce* **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** *v::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **and** *atom* $x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE-val (V-var x)) == CE-val v$
using *wfC-e-eq* *wfCE-valI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

lemma *wfT-e-eq*:
fixes *ce::ce*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid CE-val (V-var z) == ce \}$

proof
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *wfX-wfB* *assms* **by** *auto*
show *atom* $z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *assms* *wfG-fresh-x* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-var z) == ce$
using *wfTI* *wfC-e-eq* *assms* *wfTI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfT-v-eq*:
assumes *wfB* $\Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **and** *wfV* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$
shows *wfT* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid C-eq (CE-val (V-var z)) (CE-val v) \}$
using *wfT-e-eq* *wfE-valI* *assms* *wfX-wfY*
by (*simp add: wfCE-valI*)

lemma *wfC-wfG*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** *c::c* **and** *b::b*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **and** *atom* $x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-cons2I* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *fast*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** *wfC-weakening* *assms* **by** *force*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-consI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
qed

8.16 Replacing

lemma *wfG-cons-fresh2*:
fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $x' \neq x$
proof –
have *atom* $x' \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
using *assms* *wfG-elim2* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *fresh-gamma-append* [*of atom* $x' \Gamma' (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$] *fresh-GCons* *fresh-prod3* [*of atom* $x' x b c$] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-inside*:
fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes *wfG* $P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows *replace-in-g* $(\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) x c0 = (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ' *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *replace-in-g.simps* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $x' b' c' \Gamma''$)
hence $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by** *simp*
hence $x \neq x'$ **using** *wfG-cons-fresh2* **by** *metis*
then show *?case* **using** *replace-in-g.simps* *GCons* **by** (*simp add*: *wfG-cons*)
qed

lemma *wfG-supp-rig-eq*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $supp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ'')
case *GNil*
have $supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using**
supp-Cons supp-GNil **by** *auto*
also have $... = supp x \cup supp b0 \cup supp c0' \cup supp \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
also have $... = supp x \cup supp b0 \cup supp c0 \cup supp \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *GNil wfG-wfC[THEN*
wfC-supp-cons(2)] **by** *fastforce*
also have $... = (supp ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \cup supp \mathcal{B}$ **using** *supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
finally have $supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp \mathcal{B} = supp (GNil @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp$
 \mathcal{B} **using** *supp-Cons supp-GNil* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *supp-GCons wfG-cons2* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons* $xbc \Gamma1$)
moreover have $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1) @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by**
simp
moreover have $(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1) @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **by**
simp
ultimately have $(P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma1 @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma1 @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 $\Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-cons2* **by** *metis*
thus *?case* **using** *GCons supp-GCons* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *fresh-replace-inside[ms-fresh]*:

fixes $y::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $atom y \nmid (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = atom y \nmid (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
unfolding *fresh-def* **using** *wfG-supp-rig-eq* *assms* *x-not-in-b-set* **by** *fast*

lemma *wf-replace-inside1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
and $ts::(string*\tau)$ *list* **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b'::b$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $s::s$
and $ftq::fun-ty-p-q$ **and** $ft::fun-ty-p$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::type-def$ **and** $cs::branch-s$ **and**
 $css::branch-list$

shows *wfV-replace-inside*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ;$
 $((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} v : b'$ **and**
wfC-replace-inside: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE)$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c''$ **and**

$wfG\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \text{ and}$
 $wfT\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $\vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow \text{True and}$
 $wfCE\text{-replace-inside}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \text{ and}$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
proof(*nominal-induct*
 $b' \text{ and } c'' \text{ and } G \text{ and } \tau \text{ and } ts \text{ and } P \text{ and } b \text{ and } b' \text{ and } td$
avoiding: $\Gamma' c'$
rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-varI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma 2 b2 c2 x2$)
then show ?*case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** (*metis lookup-in-rig-eq lookup-in-rig-neq replace-in-g-inside*)
next
case (*wfV-conspl* $s bv dclist \Theta dc x1 b' c1 \mathcal{B} b1 \Gamma 1 v$)
show ?*case* **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspl* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{ x1 : b' \mid c1 \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspl* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspl* **by** *auto*
show *: $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b1]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspl* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfV-wf wfV-conspl* **by** *simp*
ultimately have *atom* $bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **unfolding** *fresh-def* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-supp-rig-eq wfV-conspl*
by (*metis Un-iff fresh-def*)
thus $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, b1, v) \rangle$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **using** *fresh-prodN wfV-conspl* **by** *metis*
qed
next
case (*wfTI* $z \Theta \mathcal{B} G b1 c1$)
show ?*case* **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$ **using** *wfTI* **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-consI wfTI wfG-cons wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
moreover hence *: $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfX-wfY*
by (*metis append-g.simps(2) wfG-cons2 wfTI.hyps wfTI.prem(1) wfTI.prem(2)*)
hence $\langle \text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$
using *fresh-replace-inside[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b c \Gamma c' z, OF *$]* *wfTI wfX-wfY wfG-elim* **by** *metis*
thus $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** *wfG-fresh-x[OF *]* **by** *auto*

have $(z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G = ((z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using *wfTI append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$
using *wfTI(9)[OF - wfTI(11)]* **by** *fastforce*
qed
next
case (*wfG-nilI* Θ)
hence $GNil = (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *append-g.simps $\Gamma.distinct$ GNil-append* **by** *auto*
hence *False* **using** $\Gamma.distinct$ **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **by** *auto*

```

next
  case (wfG-cons1I c1  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
  show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma' = GNil$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons1I(7) GCons-eq-append-conv
by auto
  hence **:  $G = G' @ (x, b, c')$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
    show  $c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I(3)[of  $G', OF **$ ] wfG-cons1I by auto
    show  $atom\ x1 \# G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I * ** fresh-replace-inside by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1$  using wfG-cons1I(6)[of  $(x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G'$ ] wfG-cons1I * ** by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
next
  case (wfG-cons2I c1  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $G$   $x1$   $b1$ )
  show ?case proof(cases  $\Gamma' = GNil$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain  $G'::\Gamma$  where  $*(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons2I GCons-eq-append-conv
by auto
  hence **:  $G = G' @ (x, b, c')$   $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I * ** by auto
  moreover hence  $atom\ x1 \# G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I * ** fresh-replace-inside by
  metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfG-cons2I[OF wfG-cons2I(1), of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ x1\ b1$ ] wfG-cons2I * ** by auto
  qed
qed(metis wf-intros)+

```

lemma wf-replace-inside2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $c''::c$ and $c'::c$ and $\tau::\tau$
 and $ts::(string*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b'::b$ and $b::b$ and $s::s$
 and $ftq::fun\-typ\-q$ and $ft::fun\-typ$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::type\-def$ and $cs::branch\-s$ and
 $css::branch\-list$

shows

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \implies$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies True$
proof(*nominal-induct*
 b' and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft
avoiding: $\Gamma' c'$
rule:*wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct*)
case (*wfE-valI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-valI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfE-plusI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-plusI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfE-legI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-legI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfE-fstI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI* **by** *metis*
next
case (*wfE-sndI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-sndI* **by** *metis*
next
case (*wfE-concatI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-concatI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfE-splitI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-splitI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*wfE-lenI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-lenI* **by** *metis*
next
case (*wfE-appI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$)
then show *?case* **using** *wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-appI* **by** *metis*
next
case (*wfE-appPI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s$)
show *?case* **proof**
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wfE-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wfE-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$ **using** *wfE-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ **using** *wfE-appPI wf-replace-inside1* **by** *auto*
auto

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfV-wf wfE-appPI* **by** *metis*
ultimately have *atom* $bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
unfolding *fresh-def* **using** *wfV-wf wfG-supp-rig-eq wfE-appPI Un-iff fresh-def* **by** *metis*

thus $\langle atom \ bv \ \# \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b-of \ \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$
using *wfE-appPI fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
show $\langle Some \ (AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-some \ bv \ (AF-fun-typ \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau \ s))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle$
using *wfE-appPI* **by** *auto*
qed
next

```

    case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
    then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-mvarI by metis
next
    case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
    then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
next
    case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
    then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI
      by (simp add: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfD-cons)
next
    case (wfFTNone  $\Theta \Phi ft$ )
    then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
next
    case (wfFTSome  $\Theta \Phi bv ft$ )
    then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-replace-inside = wf-replace-inside1 wf-replace-inside2

lemma wfC-replace-cons:
  assumes wfG P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and wfC P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c2
  shows wfC P  $\mathcal{B} ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c2
proof -
  have wfC P  $\mathcal{B} (GNil @ ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$  c2 proof(rule wf-replace-inside1(2))
    show P ;  $\mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2$  using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
    show  $\langle (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using append-g.simps by auto
    show  $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle$  using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using append-g.simps by auto
qed

lemma wfC-refl:
  assumes wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  shows wfC  $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  c'
  using wfG-wfC assms wfC-replace-cons by auto

lemma wfG-wfC-inside:
  assumes  $(x, b, c) \in setG G$  and wfG  $\Theta B G$ 
  shows wfC  $\Theta B G c$ 
  using assms proof(induct G rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then consider (hd)  $(x, b, c) = (x', b', c') \mid (tail) (x, b, c) \in setG \Gamma'$  using setG.simps by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case hd
    then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening
      by (metis wfC-replace-cons wfG-cons-wfC)
  next
    case tail
    then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening

```

by (metis insert-iff insert-is-Un subsetI setG.simps(2) wfG-cons2)
qed
qed

lemma wfT-wf-cons3:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ and $atom\ y \# (c, \Gamma)$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

proof –

have $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ y : b \mid (y \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c \}$ using type-eq-flip assms by auto
moreover hence $(y \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c = c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv}$ using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
ultimately have $\{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ y : b \mid c[z ::= V-var\ y]_{cv} \}$ by metis
thus ?thesis using assms wfT-wf-cons[of $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ y\ b$] fresh-Pair by metis

qed

lemma wfT-wfC-cons:

assumes $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ and $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \}$ and $atom\ x \# (c1, c2, \Gamma)$
shows $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$ (is $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$)

proof –

have eq: $\{ z2 : b \mid c2 \} = \{ x : b \mid c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by simp

have eq2: $\{ z1 : b \mid c1 \} = \{ x : b \mid c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by simp

moreover have $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ x : b \mid c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using assms eq2 by auto

moreover hence $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c1[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using wfT-wf-cons fresh-prod3 assms by auto

moreover have $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \{ x : b \mid c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv} \}$ using assms eq by auto

moreover hence $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c2[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_{cv})$ using wfT-wfC assms fresh-prod3 by simp

ultimately show ?thesis using wfC-replace-cons subst-v-c-def by simp

qed

lemma wfT-wfC2:

fixes $c :: c$ and $x :: x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ and $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$

proof(cases $x = z$)

case True

then show ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto

next

case False

hence $atom\ x \# c$ using wfT-fresh-c assms by metis

hence $\{ x : b \mid c[z ::= [x]^v]_v \} = \{ z : b \mid c \}$

using $\tau.eq-iff\ Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of\ x\ c[z ::= [x]^v]_v\ z\ c]$

by (metis flip-subst-v type-eq-flip)

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c[z ::= [x]^v]_v \}$ using assms by metis

thus ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto

qed

lemma wfT-wfG:

fixes $x :: x$ and $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ and $z :: x$ and $c :: c$ and $b :: b$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z ::= [x]^v]_v$ **using** *wfT-wfC2 assms* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-consI assms wfT-wfB b-of.simps wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfG-replace-inside2*:
fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
proof –
have $wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **using** *wfG-wfC assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-replace-inside1(3)[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-replace-inside-full*:
fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
proof –
have $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-replace-inside assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfT-replace-inside2*:
assumes $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ t$ **and** $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
shows $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ t$
proof –
have $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix assms* **by** *auto*
hence $wfC\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **using** *wfG-wfC* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-replace-inside assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfD-unique*:
assumes $wfD\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta$ **and** $(u, \tau') \in setD\ \Delta$ **and** $(u, \tau) \in setD\ \Delta$
shows $\tau' = \tau$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Δ *rule*: Δ -*induct*)
case *DNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*DCons* $u'\ t'\ D$)
hence $*$: $wfD\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ ((u', t') \#_{\Delta} D)$ **using** *Cons* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **proof**(*cases* $u = u'$)
case *True*
then have $u \notin fst\ 'setD\ D$ **using** *wfD-elim* $*$ **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis* **using** *DCons* **by** *force*
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis* **using** *DCons* *wfD-elim* $*$ **by** (*metis* *fst-conv* *setD-ConsD*)

qed
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-forget*:

fixes $x::x$
assumes $wfG\ P\ B\ G$
shows $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$ and
 $atom\ x \# G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$

proof –

show $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G \implies G[x \mapsto c] = G$ **by** (*induct* G *rule*: Γ -*induct*, *auto*)
thus $atom\ x \# G \implies (G[x \mapsto c]) = G$ **using** wfG - x -*fresh* *assms* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh-single*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$ and $x::x$
assumes $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c'] \rangle$ and $atom\ x \# G$ and $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \rangle$
shows $atom\ x \# G[x' \mapsto c']$
using *rig-dom-eq* wfG -*dom-supp* *assms* *fresh-def* *atom-dom.simps* *dom.simps* **by** *metis*

8.17 Substitution

lemma *wfC-cons-switch*:

fixes $c::c$ and $c'::c$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

proof –

have $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** wfC -*wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** wfG -*cons* **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} TRUE$ **using** wfC -*trueI* wfG -*cons2I* **by** *simp*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'$
using wf -*replace-inside1*(2)[*of* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ c'\ GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma\ TRUE$] *assms* **by** *auto*
hence $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** wf -*replace-inside1*(3)[*OF* $*$, *of* $GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma\ c'$] **by** *auto*
moreover have $wfC\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ c$ **proof**(*cases* $c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \}$)
case *True*
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom\ x \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** wfG -*elims*(2)[*OF* $*$] **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** wfG -*cons-TRUE* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** wfC -*trueI* wfC -*falseI* *True* **by** *auto*

next

case *False*

then show *?thesis* **using** wfG -*elims*(2)[*OF* $*$] **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** wfC -*replace-cons* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subst-g-inside-simple*:

fixes $\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$
assumes $wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma_1 @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2))$
shows $(\Gamma_1 @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2))[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_1[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_2$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* Γ_1 *rule*: Γ -*induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **using** *subst-gv.simps* **by** *simp*

next

case (GCons x' b' c' G)
 hence *:P ; $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (G @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2)$ **by** auto
 hence $x \neq x'$
 using GCons append-Cons wfG-cons-fresh2[OF *] **by** auto
 hence ((GCons (x', b', c') G) @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ =
 (GCons (x', b', c') (G @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2)))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ **by** auto
 also have ... = GCons (x', b', c'[x::=v] $_{cv}$) ((G @ (GCons (x, b, c) Γ_2))[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$)
 using subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** simp
 also have ... = (x', b', c'[x::=v] $_{cv}$) $\#_{\Gamma} (G[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_2)$ **using** GCons * wfG-elim **by** metis
 also have ... = ((x', b', c') $\#_{\Gamma} G$)[x::=v] $_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_2$ **using** subst-gv.simps $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** simp
 finally show ?case **by** blast
 qed

lemma subst-c-TRUE-FALSE:

fixes c::c
 assumes $c \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$
 shows $c[x::=v]_{cv} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$
using assms **by** (nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cv.simps)

lemma lookup-subst:

assumes Some (b, c) = lookup Γ x **and** $x \neq x'$
 shows $\exists c'. \text{Some } (b, c') = \text{lookup } \Gamma[x'::=v]_{\Gamma_v} x$
using assms **proof** (induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case **by** auto
 next
 case (GCons x1 b1 c1 Γ_1)
 then show ?case **proof** (cases $x1=x'$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis **using** subst-gv.simps GCons **by** auto
 next
 case False
 thm subst-gv.simps
 hence *:((x1, b1, c1) $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$)[x'::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ = ((x1, b1, c1[x'::=v] $_{cv}$) $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$)[x'::=v] $_{\Gamma_v}$ **using**
 subst-gv.simps **by** auto
 then show ?thesis **proof** (cases $x1=x$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis **using** lookup.simps *
 using GCons.prem(1) **by** auto
 next
 case False
 then show ?thesis **using** lookup.simps *
 using GCons.prem(1) **by** (simp add: GCons.hyps assms(2))
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma wf-subst1:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ **and** $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::\text{type-def}$
 shows $\text{wfV-subst}: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b'$
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : b$ **and**

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{wfC-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \\ & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{c_v} \text{ and } \\ & \text{wfG-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \text{ and } \\ & \text{wfT-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \text{ and } \\ & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \text{True} \text{ and } \\ & \text{wfCE-subst: } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \\ \implies & \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} ce[x::=v]_{ce_v} : b \text{ and } \\ & \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

proof(*nominal-induct*
b and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b and b and td
avoiding: $x \ v'$
arbitrary: Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1
and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1
rule:wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (*wfV-varI $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b1 \ c1 \ x1$*)

show *?case proof(cases $x1=x$)*
case *True*
hence (*V-var $x1$*)[$x::=v$] _{v_v} = v' **using** *subst-vv.simps by auto*
moreover have $b' = b1$ **using** *wfV-varI True lookup-inside-wf*
by (*metis option.inject prod.inject*)
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v' : b'$ **using** *wfV-varI subst-g-inside-simple wf-weakening*

append-g-setGU sup-ge2 wfV-wf by metis
ultimately show *?thesis by auto*

next
case *False*
hence (*V-var $x1$*)[$x::=v$] _{v_v} = (*V-var $x1$*) **using** *subst-vv.simps by auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$ **using** *wfV-varI by simp*
moreover obtain $c1'$ **where** *Some ($b1, c1'$) = lookup $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \ x1$* **using** *wfV-varI False*
lookup-subst by metis
ultimately show *?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \ b1 \ c1' \ x1$] by metis*
qed

next
case (*wfV-litI $\Theta \ \Gamma \ l$*)

then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-pairI $\Theta \ \Gamma \ v1 \ b1 \ v2 \ b2$*)
then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-consI $s \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma \ v$*)
then show *?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto*

next
case (*wfV-conspI $s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x' \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ va$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-vv.simps proof*
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **and** $\langle (dc, \llbracket x' : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *wfV-conspI*
by auto

```

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  ⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
have atom  $bv \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfV-conspI by metis
moreover have atom  $bv \# va[x::=v]_{vv}$  using wfV-conspI fresh-subst-if by simp
ultimately show ⟨ atom  $bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, b, va[x::=v]_{vv})$  ⟩ unfolding fresh-prodN using
wfV-conspI by auto
show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} va[x::=v]_{vv} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  ⟩ using wfV-conspI by auto
qed

next
case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c$ )
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c[x::=v]_{cv} \}$  proof
  have ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$  ⟩
  proof(rule wfTI(9))
    show ⟨  $(z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  ⟩ using wfTI
append-g.simps by simp
    show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b'$  ⟩ using wfTI by auto
  qed
  thus *:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$ 
  using subst-gv.simps subst-cv.simps wfTI fresh-x-neq by auto

  have atom  $z \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfTI by metis
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using wfTI wfX-wfY wfG-elim subst-gv.simps * by metis
  ultimately show ⟨ atom  $z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v})$  ⟩ using wfG-fresh-x by metis
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  ⟩ using wfTI by auto

qed
thus ?case using subst-tv.simps wfTI by auto
next
case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-cv.simps, rule)
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} e1[x::=v]_{cev} : b$  using wfC-eqI subst-dv.simps by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} e2[x::=v]_{cev} : b$  using wfC-eqI by auto
qed
next
case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \Gamma c1$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfC-impI  $\Theta \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next

```



```

case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfG-cons1I c  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  y b)

show ?case proof(cases x=y)
  case True
  hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  =  $\Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
have  $\Gamma_1 \neq GNil$  using wfG-cons1I False by auto
then obtain G where  $\Gamma_1 = (y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I by auto
hence * $\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show <c[x::=v] $_{cv}$   $\notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ > using wfG-cons1I subst-c-TRUE-FALSE by auto
  show < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using wfG-cons1I * by auto
  have  $\Gamma = (G @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ \Gamma_2$  using * append-g-assoc by auto
  hence atom y #  $\Gamma_2$  using fresh-suffix <atom y #  $\Gamma$ > by auto
  hence atom y # v' using wfG-cons1I wfV-x-fresh by metis
  thus <atom y #  $\Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons1I by auto
  have ((y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps
subst-cv.simps False by auto
  thus < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ; (y, b, TRUE) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ > using wfG-cons1I(6)[of (y,b,TRUE)
# $\Gamma$  G] * subst-gv.simps
wfG-cons1I by fastforce
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I c  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$  y b)

show ?case proof(cases x=y)
  case True
  hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  =  $\Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto
  moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
have  $\Gamma_1 \neq GNil$  using wfG-cons2I False by auto
then obtain G where  $\Gamma_1 = (y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I by auto
hence * $\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
hence ((y, b, c) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  = (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ [x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
moreover have  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[x::=v] $_{cv}$ ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$  proof(rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show <c[x::=v] $_{cv}$   $\in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ > using subst-cv.simps wfG-cons2I by auto
  show < $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v] $_{\Gamma v}$ > using wfG-cons2I * by auto
  have  $\Gamma = (G @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ \Gamma_2$  using * append-g-assoc by auto$$$$$$ 
```

```

    hence atom y #  $\Gamma_2$  using fresh-suffix wfG-cons2I by metis
    hence atom y #  $v'$  using wfG-cons2I wfV-x-fresh by metis
    thus (atom y #  $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$ ) using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons2I by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfCE-fstI subst-cev.simps by metis
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-cev.simps wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
qed(metis subst-sv.simps wf-intros)+

```

lemma wf-subst2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma':\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-ty-p-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-ty-p}$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash_{wf} e[x::=v]_{ev} : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ;$
 $\mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' :$
 $b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} \text{subst-branchv } cs \ x \ v' : b$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' :$
 $b' \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} \text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v' : b$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ;$
 $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$

proof(nominal-induct

b and b and b and b and Φ and Δ and ftq and ft

avoiding: $x \ v'$

arbitrary: Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1

and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1 and Γ_1

rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

```

case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1
  by (metis subst-ev.simps(1))
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-leqI
  by auto
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI
proof -
  show ?thesis
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps(5) wfE-fstI.hyps(1) wfE-fstI.hyps(4) wfE-fstI.hyps(5) wfE-fstI.prem(1)
    wfE-fstI.prem(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-fstI wf-subst1(1))
qed
next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-sndI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-concatI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-splitI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-lenI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s' v$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-appI wf-subst1(1))
next
case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-ev.simps, rule)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appPI wfX-wfY by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau1 s1$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f1$ 
using wfE-appPI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} v1[x::=v]_{v_v} : b1[bv1::=b]_b$  using wfE-appPI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$  using wfE-appPI by auto
  have atom bv1  $\# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$  using fresh-subst-gv-if wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# v1[x::=v]_{v_v}$  using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-if by simp
  moreover have atom bv1  $\# \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$  using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-dv-if by simp
  ultimately show atom bv1  $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, b', v1[x::=v]_{v_v}, (b\text{-of } \tau1)[bv1::=b]_b)$ 

```

```

    using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
qed
next
case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} (AE-mvar u) : b-of \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$  proof
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfE-mvarI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfE-mvarI subst-dv-member by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-ev.simps b-of-subst by auto
next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-dv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
moreover hence  $u \notin fst \text{ ` } setD \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using subst-dv.simps subst-dv-iff using subst-dv-fst-eq
by presburger
ultimately show ?case using subst-dv.simps Wellformed.wfD-cons wf-subst1 by auto
next
case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
then show ?case by auto
next
case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
then show ?case by auto
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x2 c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2, B\text{-}bool, c[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using wfS-assertI(4)[of  $(x2, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 x$ ] wfS-assertI by auto

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle atom x2 \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, c[x::=v]_{cv}, b, s[x::=v]_{sv}) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    apply(simp add: wfS-assertI)+
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-assertI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- $\tau$ -def wfS-assertI)
    by(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-s-def wfS-assertI)
qed
next
case (wfS-letI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b1 y s b2$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} LET y = (e[x::=v]_{ev}) IN (s[x::=v]_{sv}) : b2$ 
proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} e[x::=v]_{ev} : b1 \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}) \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b2 \rangle$ 
    using wfS-letI(6) wfS-letI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b2 \rangle$ 
    using wfS-letI subst-gv.simps by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-letI by auto

```

```

show ⟨atom y # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, e[x::=v]ev, b2)⟩
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
  apply(simp add: wfS-letI)+
  apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-letI)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-τ-def wfS-letI)
done
qed
thus ?case using subst-sv.simps wfS-letI by auto
next
case (wfS-let2I Θ Φ B Γ Δ s1 τ y s2 b)
have Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf LET y : τ[x::=v]τv = (s1[x::=v]sv) IN (s2[x::=v]sv)
: b
proof
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s1[x::=v]sv : b-of (τ[x::=v]τv)⟩ using wfS-let2I
b-of-subst by simp
  have ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; ((y, b-of τ, TRUE) #Γ Γ)[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s2[x::=v]sv : b⟩
  using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by metis
  thus ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (y, b-of τ[x::=v]τv, TRUE) #Γ Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s2[x::=v]sv : b
  ⟩
  using wfS-let2I subst-gv.simps append-g.simps using b-of-subst by simp
show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-let2I wf-subst1 by metis
show ⟨atom y # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, s1[x::=v]sv, b, τ[x::=v]τv)⟩
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
  apply(simp add: wfS-let2I)+
  apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-let2I)
  apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-τ-def wfS-let2I)+
done
qed
thus ?case using subst-sv.simps(3) subst-tv.simps wfS-let2I by auto
next
case (wfS-varI Θ B Γ τ v u Φ Δ b s)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, auto simp add: u-fresh-xv, rule)
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
  have b-of (τ[x::=v]τv) = b-of τ using b-of-subst by auto
  thus ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf v[x::=v]vv : b-of τ[x::=v]τv⟩ using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
  have *:atom u # v' using wfV-supp wfS-varI fresh-def by metis
  show ⟨atom u # (Φ, Θ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, τ[x::=v]τv, v[x::=v]vv, b)⟩
  unfolding fresh-prodN apply(auto simp add: wfS-varI)
  using wfS-varI fresh-subst-gv * fresh-subst-dv by metis+
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; (u, τ[x::=v]τv) #Δ Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢wf s[x::=v]sv : b⟩ using
wfS-varI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-assignI u τ Δ Θ B Γ Φ v)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
  show ⟨(u, τ[x::=v]τv) ∈ setD Δ[x::=v]Δv⟩ using subst-dv-iff wfS-assignI using subst-dv-fst-eq
  using subst-dv-member by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢wf Δ[x::=v]Δv⟩ using wfS-assignI by auto

```

```

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : b\text{-of } \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$  using wfS-assignI b-of-subst wf-subst1
by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfS-assignI by auto
qed
next

case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v]_{vv} : B\text{-id } tid \rangle$  using wfS-matchI wf-subst1 by auto
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef } tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} subst\text{-branchlv } cs x v' : b \rangle$  using
wfS-matchI by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfS-matchI by auto
qed
next
case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} y \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dc ; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc y \Rightarrow (s[x::=v]_{sv}) : b$ 
proof
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v]_{sv} : b \rangle$ 
    using subst-gv.simps b-of-subst wfS-branchI by simp
  show  $\langle atom y \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \tau) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    apply(simp add: wfS-branchI) +
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-branchI)
    apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-branchI)
    apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-branchI) +
    done
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-branchv.simps wfS-branchI by auto

next
case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist$ )
then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist$ )
then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis

qed(metis subst-sv.simps wf-subst1 wf-intros) +

lemmas wf-subst = wf-subst1 wf-subst2

lemma wfG-subst-wfV:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0[z0::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  and  $wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ 
  using assms wf-subst subst-g-inside-simple by auto

lemma wfG-member-subst:

```

assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x \neq x1$
shows $\exists c1'. (x1, b1, c1') \in \text{setG } ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$
proof –
consider $(lhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *append-g-setGU* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case *lhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v})$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh*[*THEN subst-gv-member-iff*][*OF lhs*] *assms* **by** *metis*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *rhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *wfG-member-subst2*:

assumes $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **and** $x \neq x1$
shows $\exists c1'. (x1, b1, c1') \in \text{setG } ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$
proof –
consider $(lhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } \Gamma$ **using** *append-g-setGU* *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case *lhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v})$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh*[*THEN subst-gv-member-iff*][*OF lhs*] *assms* **by** *metis*
hence $(x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *rhs*
hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG } (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g-setGU* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *wbc-subst*:

fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' :: \Gamma$ **and** $v :: v$
assumes $\text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ c **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c[x ::= v]_{cv}$
proof –
have $(\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} = ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ **using** *assms* *subst-g-inside-simple* *wfC-wf* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wf-subst1*(2)[*OF assms*(1) - *assms*(2)] **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *wfG-inside-fresh-suffix*:

assumes $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
shows *atom* $x \not\# \Gamma$
proof –
have $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix* *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* using *wfG-elim* by *metis*
qed

lemmas *wf-b-subst-lemmas* = *subst-eb.simps wf-intros*
forget-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-ce-def fresh-e-opp-all subst-bb.simps wfV-b-fresh ms-fresh-all(6)

lemma *wf-b-subst1*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $ts::(\text{string}*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b::b$
and $ftq::\text{fun-tyt-q}$ and $ft::\text{fun-tyt}$ and $s::s$ and $b'::b$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::\text{type-def}$

and $cs::\text{branch-s}$ and $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$
 $v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and

$\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$
 $c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ and

$\Theta ; B' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ and
 $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$
 $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \text{True}$ and
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \text{True}$ and

$\Theta ; B' \vdash_{wf} b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and
 $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$

$ce[bv::=b]_{ceb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies \text{True}$

proof(*nominal-induct*

b' and c and Γ and τ and ts and Θ and b' and b' and td

avoiding: bv b B

rule:*wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct*)

case (*wfB-intI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-boolI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-unitI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-bitvecI* Θ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-pairI* Θ \mathcal{B} $b1$ $b2$)

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY* by *metis*

next

case (*wfB-consI* Θ s $dclist$ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-consI* by *simp*

next

case (*wfB-appI* Θ ba s bva $dclist$ \mathcal{B})

then show *?case* using *subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-appI forget-subst wfB-supp*

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def subst-b-b-def supp-empty-fset*)


```

next
  case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} 1 \Gamma b1 c x$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof
    show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfV-varI by auto
    show Some ( $b1[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ ) = lookup  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} x$  using subst-b-lookup wfV-varI by
simp
  qed
next
  case (wfV-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$ )
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-litI subst-b-base-for-lit by simp
next
  case (wfV-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} 1 \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps,rule)
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-pairI by simp
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v2[bv::=b]_{vb} : b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-pairI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfV-consI  $s dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B}' \Gamma v$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfV-consI)
    show  $1: AF\text{-typedef } s dclist \in set \Theta$  using wfV-consI by auto
    show  $2:(dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in set dclist$  using wfV-consI by auto
    have  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfV-consI by auto
    moreover hence  $supp b' = \{\}$  using 1 2 wfTh-lookup-supp-empty  $\tau.supp wfX-wfY$  by blast
    moreover hence  $b'[bv::=b]_{bb} = b'$  using forget-subst subst-bb-def fresh-def by (metis empty-iff
subst-b-b-def)
    ultimately show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'$  using wfV-consI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s bva dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B}' ba \Gamma v$ )
  have  $*: atom bv \# b'$  using wfTh-poly-supp-b[of  $s bva dclist \Theta dc x b' c$ ] fresh-def wfX-wfY (atom bva
 $\# bv$ )
  by (metis insert-iff not-self-fresh singleton-insert-inj-eq' subsetI subset-antisym wfV-conspI wfV-conspI.hyps(4)
wfV-conspI.prem(2))
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-bb.simps proof
    show (AF-typedef-poly  $s bva dclist \in set \Theta$ ) using wfV-conspI by auto
    show  $(dc, \{x : b' \mid c\}) \in set dclist$  using wfV-conspI by auto

    thus  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
    have  $atom bva \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have  $atom bva \# ba[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have  $atom bva \# v[bv::=b]_{vb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfV-conspI by metis
    ultimately show  $\langle atom bva \# (\Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, ba[bv::=b]_{bb}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}) \rangle$ 
      unfolding fresh-prodN using wfV-conspI fresh-def supp-fset by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bva::=ba[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb} \rangle$ 
      using wfV-conspI subst-bb-commute[of  $bv b' bva ba b$ ] * wfV-conspI by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfTI  $z \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' b' c$ )
  show ?case proof(subst subst-tb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfTI)
    show  $atom z \# (\Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b})$  using wfTI subst-g-b-x-fresh by simp

```

```

    show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfTI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; B ; (z, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using wfTI by simp
qed
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma e1 b' e2$ )
thus ?case using Wellformed.wfC-eqI subst-db.simps subst-cb.simps wfC-eqI by metis
next
case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}'$ )
then show ?case using Wellformed.wfG-nilI subst-gb.simps by simp
next
case (wfG-cons1I  $c' \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' x b'$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
  show  $c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons1I(1)
  by(nominal-induct c' rule: c.strong-induct,auto+)
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
  show atom  $x \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons1I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; (x, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c'[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using wfG-cons1I by
auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfG-cons1I by auto
qed
next
case (wfG-cons2I  $c' \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' x b'$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
  show  $c'[bv::=b]_{cb} \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
  show atom  $x \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using wfG-cons2I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using wfG-cons2I by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh)
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by metis
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
  by metis
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis (no-types) subst-bb.simps(5) subst-ceb.simps(3) wfCE-fstI.hyps(2)
wfCE-fstI.prem(1) wfCE-fstI.prem(2) Wellformed.wfCE-fstI)
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case

```

```

    by (metis (no-types) subst-bb.simps(5) subst-ceb.simps wfCE-sndI.hyps(2)
        wfCE-sndI wfCE-sndI.prem(2) Wellformed.wfCE-sndI)
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh

proof -
  show ?thesis
  using wfCE-concatI.hyps(2) wfCE-concatI.hyps(4) wfCE-concatI.prem(1) wfCE-concatI.prem(2)

      Wellformed.wfCE-concatI by auto
qed
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh
by metis
qed(auto simp add: wf-intros)

lemma wf-b-subst2:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $b::b$ 
  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $s::s$  and  $b'::b$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$ 
  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; B ;$ 
 $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} e[bv::=b]_{eb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; B' ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \implies \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}$ 
 $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies True$ 
proof(nominal-induct
  b' and b and b and b and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
  avoiding: bv b B
rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta' \Phi' \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta' v' b'$ )
  then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-valI
  by auto
next
case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
  using wf-b-subst-lemmas wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-plusI
proof -
  have  $\forall b \ ba \ v \ g \ f \ ts. ((ts ; f ; g[bv::=ba]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=ba]_{vb} : b[bv::=ba]_{bb}) \vee \neg ts ; \mathcal{B} ; g \vdash_{wf} v :$ 
 $b) \vee \neg ts ; f \vdash_{wf} ba$ 
  using wfE-plusI.prem(1) wf-b-subst1(1) by force
  then show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} [plus \ v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \ v2[bv::=b]_{vb}]^e :$ 
 $B\text{-int}[bv::=b]_{bb}$ 
  by (metis (full-types) wfE-plusI.hyps(1) wfE-plusI.hyps(4) wfE-plusI.hyps(5) wfE-plusI.hyps(6)
      wfE-plusI.prem(1) wfE-plusI.prem(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-plusI wf-b-subst-lemmas(84)

```

```

    qed
next
case (wfE-leqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
    using wf-b-subst-lemmas(81) wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-leqI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(85))
next
case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-fstI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(87))

next
case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-sndI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(87))
next
case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-concatI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-splitI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(87) wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-lenI
    by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))

next
case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta f x b' c \tau s v$ )
hence bf: atom bv  $\sharp b'$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfT-suppl bv-not-in-dom-g wfPhi-f-simple-suppl-b
fresh-def by fast
hence bseq:  $b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b'$  using subst-bb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas by metis
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-app } f (v[bv::=b]_{vb})) : (b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))$ 
proof
    show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-appI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfE-appI by simp
    have atom bv  $\sharp \tau$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT[OF wfE-appI(5) wfE-appI(1), THEN wfT-suppl] bv-not-in-dom-g
    fresh-def by force
    hence  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \tau$  using forget-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def by metis
    thus Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  s))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f$ 
using wfE-appI by simp
    show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'$  using wfE-appI bseq wf-b-subst1 by metis

```

```

qed
then show ?case using subst-eb.simps b-of-subst-bb-commute by simp
next

case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau 1 f x1 b1 c1 s1$ )
then have *: atom bv  $\#$  b1 using wfPhi-f-suppl(1) wfE-appPI(7,11)
  by (metis fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def suppl-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps(1))
thm Wellformed.wfE-appPI
  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f \ b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) : (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b$ 
  proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-b-subst1 by auto
    have atom bv1  $\#$   $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1  $\#$   $b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1  $\#$   $v1[bv::=b]_{vb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1  $\#$   $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b- $\Delta$ -def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1  $\#$   $(b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def wfE-appPI
  by metis
    ultimately show atom bv1  $\#$   $(\Phi, \Theta, B, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, v1[bv::=b]_{vb}, (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b)$ 
    using wfE-appPI using fresh-def fresh-prodN subst-b-b-def by metis
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv1 \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau 1 \ s1))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ 
  using wfE-appPI by auto

  have  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv1::=b']_b[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ 
    using wfE-appPI subst-b-b-def * wf-b-subst1 by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv::=b]_{vb} : b1[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \rangle$ 
    using subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def * by auto
qed
moreover have atom bv  $\#$  b-of  $\tau 1$  proof -
  have suppl (b-of  $\tau 1$ )  $\subseteq$  { atom bv1 } using wfPhi-f-poly-suppl-b-of-t
    using b-of.simps wfE-appPI wfPhi-f-suppl(5) by simp
  thus ?thesis using wfE-appPI
    fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def suppl-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps by metis
qed
ultimately show ?case using subst-eb.simps(3) subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def * by simp
next

case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \text{subst-gb } \Gamma \ bv \ b ; \text{subst-db } \Delta \ bv \ b \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-mvar } u)[bv::=b]_{eb} : (b\text{-of } (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))$ 

proof(subst subst-eb.simps,rule Wellformed.wfE-mvarI)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using wfE-mvarI by simp
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfE-mvarI by metis
  show  $(u, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \in \text{setD } \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ 
    using wfE-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert subst-d-b-member by simp
qed
thus ?case using b-of-subst-bb-commute by auto
next

```

```

case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis

next
case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using subst-db.simps Wellformed.wfD-emptyI wf-b-subst1 by simp

next
case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta \tau u$ )
show ?case proof (subst subst-db.simps, rule Wellformed.wfD-cons )
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfD-cons by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using wfD-cons wf-b-subst1 by auto
  show  $u \notin fst \text{ ` ` } setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wfD-cons subst-b-lookup-d by metis
qed
next
case (wfS-assertI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b$ )
show ?case by auto
qed(auto)

lemmas wf-b-subst = wf-b-subst1 wf-b-subst2

lemma wfT-subst-wfT:
  fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $b'::b$  and  $bv::bv$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$  and  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; B ; (x,b[bv::=b]_{bb},c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta ; B ; ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
  using wf-b-subst assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-gb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh by simp
qed

lemma wf-trans:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $v::v$  and  $e::e$  and  $c::c$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $b::b$ 
  and  $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$  and  $ft::fun\text{-}typ$  and  $ce::ce$  and  $td::type\text{-}def$  and  $s::s$ 
  and  $css::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2$ 
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b'$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2$ 
 $\implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$  and
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies True$  and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2 \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} ce : b'$  and
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$ 
proof (nominal-induct
  b' and c and  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  and  $ts$  and  $\Theta$  and  $b$  and  $b'$  and  $td$ 
  avoiding: c1
  arbitrary:  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$ 
  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$ 
  rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)

```

```

case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b' c' x'$ )
have wbg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using wfC-wf wfV-varI by simp
show ?case proof(cases x=x')
  case True
    have Some (b', c1) = lookup ((x, b, c1)  $\#_{\Gamma} G$ ) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI using True by
auto
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
  next
    case False
    then have Some (b', c') = lookup ((x, b, c1)  $\#_{\Gamma} G$ ) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI
      by simp
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
qed
next
case (wfV-conspI s bv dclist  $\Theta dc x1 b' c \mathcal{B} b1 \Gamma v$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle (dc, \{ x1 : b' \mid c \}) \in \text{set dclist} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{atom bv} \ \# \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G, b1, v) \rangle$  unfolding fresh-prodN fresh-GCons using
wfV-conspI fresh-prodN fresh-GCons by simp
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv::=b1]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by auto
qed
qed( (auto | metis wfC-wf wf-intros) +)

end

```

Chapter 9

Type System

9.1 Subtyping

Subtyping is defined on top of SMT logic. A subtyping check is converted into an SMT validity check.

inductive *subtype* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - ; - \vdash - \lesssim - [50, 50, 50] 50) **where**

subtype-baseI: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z', c') ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \} ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= [x]^v]_v$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

equivariance *subtype*

nominal-inductive *subtype*

avoids *subtype-baseI*: x

proof(*goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x$)

then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 1* **by force**

next

case (2 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x$)

then show ?*case* **by auto**

qed

inductive-cases *subtype-elim*:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2$

9.2 Literals

The type synthesised has the constraint that z equates to the literal

inductive *infer-l* :: $l \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (\vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50] 50) **where**

infer-trueI: $\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-falseI*: $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-natI*: $\vdash L\text{-num } n \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \}$

| *infer-unitI*: $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-unit}]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
| *infer-bitvecI*: $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } bv \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-bitvec } bv]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

nominal-inductive *infer-l* .

equivariance *infer-l*

inductive-cases *infer-l-elim*[*elim!*]:

$\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-num } n \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } x \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

lemma *infer-l-form2*[*simp*]:

shows $\exists z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$

proof (*nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct*)

case (*L-num x*)

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

next

case *L-true*

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

next

case *L-false*

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

next

case *L-unit*

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

next

case (*L-bitvec x*)

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

qed

9.3 Values

inductive *infer-v* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - ; - \vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50) **where**

infer-v-varI: \llbracket

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x ;$
 $\text{atom } z \ \sharp \ x ; \text{atom } z \ \sharp \ \Gamma$

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash [x]^v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[x]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

| *infer-v-litI*: \llbracket

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ;$
 $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash [l]^v \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-v-pairI*: \llbracket

$atom\ z \# (v1, v2); atom\ z \# \Gamma;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (v1::v) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (v2::v) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b2 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$

$| infer\text{-}v\text{-}consI:$ \llbracket
 $AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket) ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket ;$
 $atom\ z \# v ; atom\ z \# \Gamma$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$

$| infer\text{-}v\text{-}conspI:$ \llbracket
 $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta;$
 $(dc, tc) \in set\ dclist ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} ;$
 $atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);$
 $atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-app}\ s\ b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v)) \rrbracket)$

equivariance *infer-v*

nominal-inductive *infer-v*

avoids *infer-v-conspI*: *bv* **and** *z*

proof(*goal-cases*)

case $(1\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ tv\ b\ z)$
hence $atom\ bv \# V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v$ **using** *v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh by metis*
moreover then have $atom\ bv \# \llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using $\tau.fresh\ ce.fresh\ v.fresh$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $atom\ z \# V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v$ **using** *v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh 1 by metis*
moreover then have $atom\ z \# \llbracket z : B\text{-id}\ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using $\tau.fresh\ ce.fresh\ v.fresh$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case using fresh-star-def 1 by force*

next

case $(2\ s\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ tv\ b\ z)$
then show *?case by auto*

qed

inductive-cases *infer-v-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-var}\ x \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit}\ l \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons}\ s\ dc\ v \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair}\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : [b1, b2]^b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp}\ s\ dc\ b\ v \Rightarrow \tau$

9.4 Introductions

inductive *check-v* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(-; -; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50)$ **where**
check-v-subtypeI: $\llbracket \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2; \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau 2$
equivariance *check-v*
nominal-inductive *check-v* .

inductive-cases *check-v-elim*[*elim!*]:
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$

9.5 Expressions

Type synthesis for expressions

inductive *infer-e* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(-; -; -; -; - \vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50] 50)$ **where**

infer-e-valI: \llbracket
 $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta);$
 $(\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi));$
 $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}val\ v) \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-e-plusI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \rrbracket;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \rrbracket;$
 $atom\ z3 \# (AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2); atom\ z3 \# \Gamma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B\text{-}int \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

| *infer-e-leqI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \rrbracket;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \rrbracket;$
 $atom\ z3 \# (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2); atom\ z3 \# \Gamma$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B\text{-}bool \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

| *infer-e-appI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$
 $Some\ (AF\text{-}fun\ def\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\ typ\ none\ (AF\text{-}fun\ typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s')) = lookup\ fun\ \Phi\ f;$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket; atom\ x \# \Gamma;$
 $\tau'[x::v]_v = \tau$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}app\ f\ v \Rightarrow \tau$

| *infer-e-appPI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' ; \\
Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \rrbracket ; atom x \# \Gamma ; \\
(\tau'[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v) = \tau ; \\
atom bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, \tau) \\
\rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-appP f b' v \Rightarrow \tau \\
\\
| infer-e-fstI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-fst v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-fst v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b1 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-fst [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-sndI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-snd v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-snd v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b2 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-snd [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-lenI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B-bitvec \mid c \rrbracket ; \\
atom z \# AE-len v ; atom z \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-len v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-len [v]^{ce})) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-mvarI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
(u, \tau) \in setD \Delta \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-mvar u \Rightarrow \tau \\
\\
| infer-e-concatI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \rrbracket ; \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B-bitvec \mid c2 \rrbracket ; \\
atom z3 \# (AE-concat v1 v2); atom z3 \# \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \\
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-concat v1 v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : B-bitvec \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}) \rrbracket \\
\\
| infer-e-splitI: \llbracket \\
\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi); \\
infer-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 \llbracket z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \rrbracket ; \\
check-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v2 \llbracket z2 : B-int \mid (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-lit (L-num 0))) (CE-val (V-var z2))) == \\
(CE-val (V-lit L-true)) AND \\
(CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-var z2)) (CE-len (CE-val (v1)))) == (CE-val
\end{array}$$

$(V\text{-lit } L\text{-true})) \};$
 $\text{atom } z1 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z1 \# \Gamma;$
 $\text{atom } z2 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma;$
 $\text{atom } z3 \# (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); \text{atom } z3 \# \Gamma$
 $\} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{infer-e } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2) \ \{ z3 : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec} \mid$
 $((CE\text{-val } v1) == (CE\text{-concat } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3)))) (CE\text{-snd } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var}$
 $z3))))))$
 $AND (((CE\text{-len } (CE\text{-fst } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3)))))) == (CE\text{-val } (v2))) \}$

equivariance *infer-e*

nominal-inductive *infer-e*

avoids *infer-e-appPI*: $bv \mid \text{infer-e-splitI}$: $z3$ **and** $z1$ **and** $z2$

proof(*goal-cases*)

case $(1 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

moreover hence $\text{atom } bv \# AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v$ **using** *fresh-prodN pure-fresh e.fresh* **by force**

ultimately show *?case unfolding fresh-star-def using fresh-prodN e.fresh pure-fresh fresh-Pair* **by**

auto

next

case $(2 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(3 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3)$

have $\text{atom } z3 \# \{ z3 : [B\text{-bitvec } , B\text{-bitvec}]^b \mid [v1]^{ce} == [\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \#2 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} \}$ **AND** $\{ [\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [v2]^{ce} \}$

using $\tau.\text{fresh}$ **by** *simp*

then show *?case unfolding fresh-star-def fresh-prod7 using wfG-fresh-x2 3* **by** *auto*

next

case $(4 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3)$

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

inductive-cases *infer-e-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-int} \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-op } Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-int} \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-val } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-snd } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } Plus \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid c \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-op } opp \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce})) \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid c \})$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v1]^{ce}) \rrbracket)$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v) \Rightarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

9.6 Statements

inductive *check-s* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **and**

check-branch-s :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **and**

check-branch-list :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{tyid} \Rightarrow (\text{string} * \tau) \text{ list} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **where**

check-valI: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-val } v) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-letI*: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau) ;$
 $\text{atom } z \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau, s) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-assertI*: \llbracket
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, \tau, s) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-assert } c \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-branch-s-branchI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \text{const} ;$
 $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \text{tid}, \text{cons}, \text{const}, v, \tau) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b\text{-of const}, ([v]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce}) \text{ AND } (c\text{-of const } x)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash$
 $s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v \vdash (AS\text{-branch cons } x \ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

| *check-branch-list-consI*: \llbracket
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v \vdash \text{cs} \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v \vdash \text{css} \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{array}{l}
\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; (cons, const) \# dclist ; v \vdash AS-cons \ cs \ css \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-branch-list-final}I: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; [(cons, const)] ; v \vdash AS-final \ cs \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-if}I: \llbracket \\
\quad atom \ z \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) ; \\
\quad (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B-bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket)) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : b-of \ \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \ IMP \ (c-of \ \tau \ z) \rrbracket) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : b-of \ \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L-false]^v]^{ce}) \ IMP \ (c-of \ \tau \ z) \rrbracket) \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash IF \ v \ THEN \ s1 \ ELSE \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-let2}I: \llbracket \\
\quad atom \ x \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, \tau) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b-of \ t, c-of \ t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G) ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash (LET \ x : t = s1 \ IN \ s2) \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-var}I: \llbracket \\
\quad atom \ u \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, \tau) ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s) \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-assign}I: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ; \\
\quad (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau' \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (u ::= v) \Leftarrow \tau' \\
\\
| \text{check-while}I: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau' \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{ \ s2 \} \Leftarrow \tau' \\
\\
| \text{check-seq}I: \llbracket \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket ; \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\rrbracket \Rightarrow \\
\quad \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 ;; s2 \Leftarrow \tau \\
\\
| \text{check-case}I: \llbracket
\end{array}$$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $(AF\text{-typedef } tid \ dclist) \in set \ \Theta ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-id } tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket ;$
 $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-match } v \ cs \Leftarrow \tau$

equivariance *check-s*

We only need avoidance for cases where a variable is added to a context

nominal-inductive *check-s*

avoids *check-letI: x and z | check-branch-s-branchI: x | check-let2I: x | check-varI: u | check-ifI: z*
| check-assertI: x
proof(*goal-cases*)
case (1 *x* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c$)
hence *atom x* $\nmid AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2)* **by** *auto*
moreover have *atom z* $\nmid AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2)* 1 *fresh-prod8* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 1* **by** *force*
next
case (3 *x* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)
hence *atom x* $\nmid AS\text{-assert } c \ s$ **using** *fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh pure-fresh* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 3* **by** *force*
next
case (5 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const } x \Phi tid \text{cons } v \ s$)
hence *atom x* $\nmid AS\text{-branch cons } x \ s$ **using** *fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh pure-fresh* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 5* **by** *force*
next
case (7 *z* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$)
hence *atom z* $\nmid AS\text{-if } v \ s1 \ s2$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **using** 7 *fresh-prodN fresh-star-def* **by** *fastforce*
next
case (9 *x* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2$)
hence *atom x* $\nmid AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ s1 \ s2$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
thus ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 9* **by** *force*
next
case (11 *u* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' \ v \ \tau \ s$)
hence *atom u* $\nmid AS\text{-var } u \ \tau' \ v \ s$ **using** *s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **using** *fresh-star-def 11* **by** *force*
qed(*auto+*)

inductive-cases *check-s-elim[elim!]*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-val } v \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-if } v \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-var } u \ t \ v \ s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-seq } s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assign } u \ v \Leftarrow \tau$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}match\ v\ cs \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}assert\ c\ s \Leftarrow \tau$

inductive-cases *check-branch-s-elim*[*elim!*]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}final\ cs) \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}cons\ cs\ css) \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x\ s) \Leftarrow \tau$

9.7 Programs

inductive *check-funtyp* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

check-funtypI: \llbracket
 $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, b) ;$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) ; \llbracket_{\Delta} \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$

equivariance *check-funtyp*

nominal-inductive *check-funtyp*

avoids *check-funtypI*: x

proof(*goal-cases*)

case ($1\ x\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ b\ c\ s\ \tau$)

hence $atom\ x \# (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)$ **using** *fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh fun-typ.fresh* **by** *simp*

then show $?case$ **using** *fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($2\ \Theta\ \Phi\ x\ b\ c\ s\ \tau\ f$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

inductive *check-funtypq* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

check-fundefq-simpleI: \llbracket
 $check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{\llbracket\}$ ($AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s$)
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $check\text{-}funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ ((AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s)))$

check-funtypq-polyI: \llbracket

$atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s));$

$check\text{-}funtyp\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{|bv|\}$ ($AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s$)

$\rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$check\text{-}funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s))$

equivariance *check-funtypq*

nominal-inductive *check-funtypq*

avoids *check-funtypq-polyI*: bv

proof(*goal-cases*)

case ($1\ bv\ \Theta\ \Phi\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s$)

hence $atom\ bv \# (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s))$ **using** *fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh fun-typ.fresh* **by** *simp*

thus $?case$ **using** *fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN* **by** *fastforce*

next

case ($2\ bv\ \Theta\ \Phi\ ft$)

then show $?case$ **by** *auto*

qed

inductive *check-fundef* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \text{fun-def} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

check-fundefI: \llbracket
 check-funtypq $\Theta \ \Phi \ ft$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 check-fundef $\Theta \ \Phi \ ((AF-fundef \ f \ ft))$

equivariance *check-fundef*

nominal-inductive *check-fundef* .

Temporarily remove this simproc as it produces untidy eliminations

declare[[*simproc del*: *alpha-lst*]]

inductive-cases *check-funtyp-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-funtyp $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ ft$

inductive-cases *check-funtypq-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-funtypq $\Theta \ \Phi \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))$

check-funtypq $\Theta \ \Phi \ (AF-fun-typ-some \ bv \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))$

inductive-cases *check-fundef-elim*[*elim!*]:

check-fundef $\Theta \ \Phi \ (AF-fundef \ f \ ftq)$

declare[[*simproc add*: *alpha-lst*]]

end

Chapter 10

Operational Semantics

Here we define the operational semantics in terms of a small-step reduction relation.

10.1 Reduction Rules

The store for mutable variables

type-synonym $\delta = (u*v) \text{ list}$

nominal-function $\text{update-d} :: \delta \Rightarrow u \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \delta$ **where**

$\text{update-d } [] \text{ } - = []$
| $\text{update-d } ((u',v')\#\delta) \text{ } u \text{ } v = (\text{if } u = u' \text{ then } ((u,v)\#\delta) \text{ else } ((u',v')\# (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ } u \text{ } v)))$
by(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def update-d-graph-aux-def ,metis neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

Relates constructor to the branch in the case and binding variable and statement

inductive $\text{find-branch} :: dc \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{find-branch-finalI: } dc' = dc \implies \text{find-branch } dc' (\text{AS-final } (\text{AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)) (\text{AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)$
| $\text{find-branch-branch-eqI: } dc' = dc \implies \text{find-branch } dc' (\text{AS-cons } (\text{AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css) (\text{AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s)$
| $\text{find-branch-branch-neqI: } [dc \neq dc'; \text{find-branch } dc' \text{ } css \text{ } cs] \implies \text{find-branch } dc' (\text{AS-cons } (\text{AS-branch } dc \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css) \text{ } cs$

equivariance find-branch

nominal-inductive find-branch .

inductive-cases $\text{find-branch-elim}[elim!]$:

$\text{find-branch } dc (\text{AS-final } cs') \text{ } cs$
 $\text{find-branch } dc (\text{AS-cons } cs' \text{ } css) \text{ } cs$

nominal-function $\text{lookup-branch} :: dc \Rightarrow \text{branch-list} \Rightarrow \text{branch-s} \Rightarrow \text{option}$ **where**

$\text{lookup-branch } dc (\text{AS-final } (\text{AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s)) = (\text{if } dc = dc' \text{ then } (\text{Some } (\text{AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s)) \text{ else } \text{None})$
| $\text{lookup-branch } dc (\text{AS-cons } (\text{AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s) \text{ } css) = (\text{if } dc = dc' \text{ then } (\text{Some } (\text{AS-branch } dc' \text{ } x \text{ } s)) \text{ else } \text{lookup-branch } dc \text{ } css)$
apply(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def lookup-branch-graph-aux-def*)

by(metis neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) **by** lexicographic-order

value take 1 [1::nat,2]

Reduction rules

inductive reduce-stmt :: $\Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- \vdash < - , - > \longrightarrow < - , - > [50, 50, 50] 50)
where

- reduce-if-trueI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } [L\text{-true}]^v s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle$
- | reduce-if-falseI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } [L\text{-false}]^v s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-let-valI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-let-plusI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-op Plus } ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1)))\ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } ((n1)+(n2)))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-leqI: $b = (\text{if } (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true} \text{ else } L\text{-false}) \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-op LEq } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } b))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-appI: $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } z\ b\ c\ \tau\ s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi\ f \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-app } f\ v))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ \tau[z::=v]_{\tau v}\ s'[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-appPI: $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv\ (AF\text{-fun-typ } z\ b\ c\ \tau\ s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi\ f \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ ((AE\text{-appP } f\ b'\ v))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v}\ s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-fstI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-fst } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v1)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-sndI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v2)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-concatI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-concat } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1@v2))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-splitI: $\text{split } n\ v\ (v1, v2) \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-split } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))\ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-lenI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-len } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } (\text{int } (List.length\ v)))))\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-let-mvar: $(u,v) \in \text{set } \delta \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-mvar } u)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle$
- | reduce-assert1I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c\ (AS\text{-val } v) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-val } v \rangle$
- | reduce-assert2I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-assert } c\ s' \rangle$
- | reduce-varI: $\text{atom } u \nVdash \delta \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-var } u\ \tau\ v\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle ((u,v)\#\delta), s \rangle$
- | reduce-assignI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assign } u\ v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \text{update-d } \delta\ u\ v, AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \rangle$
- | reduce-seq1I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } (AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}))\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s \rangle$
- | reduce-seq2I: $\llbracket s1 \neq AS\text{-val } v \rrbracket; \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-seq } s1'\ s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-let2-valI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ (AS\text{-val } v)\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-let2I: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-let2 } x\ t\ s1'\ s2 \rangle$
- | reduce-caseI: $\llbracket \text{Some } (AS\text{-branch } dc\ x'\ s') = \text{lookup-branch } dc\ cs \rrbracket \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-match } (V\text{-cons tyid } dc\ v)\ cs \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s'[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle$
- | reduce-whileI: $\llbracket \text{atom } x \nVdash (s1, s2); \text{atom } z \nVdash x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-while } s1\ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow$

$\langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ (\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \ s1 \ (AS\text{-if } (V\text{-var } x) \ (AS\text{-seq } s2 \ (AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2)) \ (AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}))) \rangle$

equivariance *reduce-stmt*

nominal-inductive *reduce-stmt* .

inductive-cases *reduce-stmt-elim*[*elim!*]:

$\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s2 \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1))) \ ((V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } ((n1)+(n2))))) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-op } LEq \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x$
 $(AE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } b)) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-app } f \ v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau \ (subst\text{-sv } s' \ x \ v) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-len } v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq } s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ \tau \ (subst\text{-sv } s' \ z \ v) \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle$
 $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle$

inductive *reduce-stmt-many* :: $\Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool$ $(- \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle -, - \rangle [50, 50, 50])$ **where**

reduce-stmt-many-oneI: $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
reduce-stmt-many-manyI: $\llbracket \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle ; \Phi \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle \rrbracket \implies \Phi$
 $\vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle$

nominal-function *convert-fds* :: *fun-def list* \Rightarrow (*f***fun-def*) *list* **where**

convert-fds [] = []
| *convert-fds* ((*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c* τ *s*)))#*fs*) = ((*f*,*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c* τ *s*)))#*convert-fds fs*)
| *convert-fds* ((*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c* τ *s*)))#*fs*) = ((*f*,*AF-fundef* *f* (*AF-fun-typ-some* *bv* (*AF-fun-typ* *x b c* τ *s*)))#*convert-fds fs*)
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def convert-fds-graph-aux-def*)
using *fun-def.exhaust fun-typ.exhaust fun-typ-q.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*
by *metis*

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

nominal-function *convert-tds* :: *type-def list* \Rightarrow (*f***type-def*) *list* **where**

convert-tds [] = []
| *convert-tds* ((*AF-typedef* *s dclist*)#*fs*) = ((*s*,*AF-typedef* *s dclist*)#*convert-tds fs*)
| *convert-tds* ((*AF-typedef-poly* *s bv dclist*)#*fs*) = ((*s*,*AF-typedef-poly* *s bv dclist*)#*convert-tds fs*)
apply(*auto*)
apply (*simp add: eqvt-def convert-tds-graph-aux-def*)
by (*metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv*)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

inductive *reduce-prog* :: *p* \Rightarrow *v* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$\llbracket \text{reduce-stmt-many } \Phi \rrbracket s \delta (AS\text{-val } v) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{reduce-prog } (AP\text{-prog } \Theta \Phi \rrbracket s) v$

10.2 Reduction Typing

Checks that the store is consistent with Δ

inductive *delta-sim* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash - \sim - \ [50,50] \ 50)$ **where**
 delta-sim-nilI: $\Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta}$
 | *delta-sim-consI*: $\llbracket \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta ; \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau ; u \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } \delta \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau)\#\Delta)$

equivariance *delta-sim*
nominal-inductive *delta-sim* .

inductive-cases *delta-sim-elim*s[elim!]:

$\Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta}$
 $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#ds) \sim (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} D$
 $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#ds) \sim D$

A typing judgement that combines typing of the statement, the store and the condition that functions are well-formed

inductive *config-type* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- ; - ; - \vdash \langle - , - \rangle \Leftarrow - \ [50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **where**
config-typeI: $\llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau ;$
 $(\forall \text{fd} \in \text{set } \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \Phi \text{fd}) ;$
 $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

equivariance *config-type*
nominal-inductive *config-type* .

inductive-cases *config-type-elim*s [elim!]:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

end

hide-const *Syntax.dom*

Chapter 11

Refinement Constraint Logic Lemmas

11.1 Lemmas

lemma *wfI-domi*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$

shows $\text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{dom } i$

using *wfI-def setG.simps assms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *wfI-lookup*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$ **and** $b::b$

assumes $\text{Some } (b,c) = \text{lookup } G \ x$ **and** $P ; G \vdash i$ **and** $\text{Some } s = i \ x$ **and** $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$

shows $P \vdash s : b$

proof –

have $(x,b,c) \in \text{setG } G$ **using** *lookup.simps assms*

using *lookup-in-g* **by** *blast*

then obtain s' **where** $*:\text{Some } s' = i \ x \wedge \text{wfRCV } P \ s' \ b$ **using** *wfI-def assms* **by** *auto*

hence $s' = s$ **using** *assms* **by** (*metis option.inject*)

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *wfI-restrict-weakening*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma' \ i'$ **and** $i = \text{restrict-map } i' \ (\text{fst } \text{'setG } \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$

proof –

{ **fix** x

assume $x \in \text{setG } \Gamma$

have *case* x *of* $(x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$ **using** *assms wfI-def*

proof –

have *case* x *of* $(x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. \text{Some } s = i' \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$

using $\langle x \in \text{setG } \Gamma \rangle$ *assms wfI-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ (\text{fst } x) \wedge \text{wfRCV } \Theta \ s \ (\text{fst } (\text{snd } x))$

by (*simp add: $\langle x \in \text{setG } \Gamma \rangle$ assms(2) case-prod-unfold*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: case-prod-unfold*)

qed

}

thus ?thesis using wfI-def assms by auto
qed

lemma *wfI-suffix*:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $wfI\ P\ (G'@G)\ i$ and $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$
shows $P ; G \vdash i$
using *wfI-def append-g.simps assms setG.simps* by *simp*

lemma *wfI-replace-inside*:
assumes $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ i$
shows $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ i$
using *wfI-def setG-splitP assms* by *simp*

11.2 Existence of evaluation

lemma *eval-l-base*:
 $\Theta \vdash \llbracket l \rrbracket : (base\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ l)$
apply(*nominal-induct l rule:l.strong-induct*)
using *wfRCV.intros eval-l.simps base-for-lit.simps* by *auto+*

lemma *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist*:
fixes $tm::'a::fs$
assumes $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$
obtains $bv1::bv$ and $dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ where $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
proof –
obtain $bv1\ dclist1$ where $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
using *obtain-fresh-bv* by *metis*
moreover hence $\llbracket atom\ bv \rrbracket lst.\ dclist = \llbracket atom\ bv1 \rrbracket lst.\ dclist1$ using *type-def.eq-iff* by *metis*
moreover then obtain $x1\ b1\ c1$ where $(dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1$ using *td-lookup-eq-iff assms* by *metis*
ultimately show ?thesis using *that* by *blast*
qed

lemma *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff*:
fixes $tm::'a::fs$
assumes $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$ and $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv\ dclist \in set\ P$ and $\vdash_{wf} P$
obtains $bv1::bv$ and $dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ where $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm \wedge b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$
proof –
obtain $bv1\ dclist1\ x1\ b1\ c1$ where $*:AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1$
 $\wedge atom\ bv1 \# tm$
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1$ using *obtain-fresh-bv-dclist assms* by *metis*

hence $AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ tyid\ bv1\ dclist1 \in set\ P$ using *assms* by *metis*

hence $b[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$
using *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff[OF assms(2) assms(1) - - assms(3),of bv1 dclist1 x1 b1 c1 b]* *

by *metis*

from *this that* show *?thesis* using * by *metis*
qed

lemma *eval-v-exist*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $b::b$
 assumes $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$ and $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
 shows $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$
 using *assms* proof(*nominal-induct v arbitrary: b rule:v.strong-induct*)
 case (*V-lit x*)
 then show *?case* using *eval-l-base eval-v.intros eval-l.simps wfV-elim rcl-val.supp pure-supp* by *metis*
 next
 case (*V-var x*)
 then obtain *c* where $*:Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x$ using *wfV-elim* by *metis*
 hence $x \in fst \text{ ' } setG \Gamma$ using *lookup-x* by *blast*
 hence $x \in dom i$ using *wfI-domi* using *assms* by *blast*
 then obtain *s* where $i x = Some s$ by *auto*
 moreover hence $P \vdash s : b$ using *wfRCV.intros wfI-lookup * V-var*
 by (*metis wfV-wf*)

 ultimately show *?case* using *eval-v.intros rcl-val.supp b.supp* by *metis*
 next
 case (*V-pair v1 v2*)
 then obtain *b1* and *b2* where $*:P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 \wedge b = B\text{-pair}$
b1 b2 using *wfV-elim* by *metis*
 then obtain *s1* and *s2* where $eval\text{-}v\ i\ v1\ s1 \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s1\ b1 \wedge eval\text{-}v\ i\ v2\ s2 \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s2\ b2$
 using *V-pair* by *metis*
 thus *?case* using *eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros ** by *metis*
 next
 case (*V-cons tyid dc v*)
 then obtain *s* and $b'::b$ and *dclist* and $x::x$ and $c::c$ where $(wfV\ P\ B\ \Gamma\ v\ b') \wedge i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge$
 $P \vdash s : b' \wedge b = B\text{-id}\ tyid \wedge$
 $AF\text{-typedef}\ tyid\ dclist \in set\ P \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b' \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$ using *wfV-elim(4)* by *metis*
 then show *?case* using *eval-v.intros(4) wfRCV.intros(5) V-cons* by *metis*
 next
 case (*V-consp tyid dc b' v*)

 obtain $b'a::b$ and *bv* and *dclist* and $x::x$ and $c::c$ where $*(wfV\ P\ B\ \Gamma\ v\ b'a[bv::=b]_{bb}) \wedge b =$
 $B\text{-app}\ tyid\ b' \wedge$
 $AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist \in set\ P \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b'a \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge$
 $atom\ bv \nmid (P, B\text{-app}\ tyid\ b', B)$ using *wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp(3)]* by *metis*

 then obtain *s* where $*:i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b'a[bv::=b]_{bb}$ using *V-consp* by *auto*

 have $\vdash_{wf} P$ using *wfX-wfY V-consp* by *metis*
 then obtain $bv1::bv$ and *dclist1* *x1* *b1* *c1* where $\exists:AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bv\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}$
tyid bv1 dclist1
 $\wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist1 \wedge atom\ bv1 \nmid (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b'\ s, B\text{-app}\ tyid\ b')$

```

     $\wedge b'a[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b]_{bb}$ 
    using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff by blast

have i [ V-consp tyid dc b' v ] ~ SConsp tyid dc b' s using eval-v.intros by (simp add: **)

moreover have P ⊢ SConsp tyid dc b' s : B-app tyid b' proof
  show ⟨AF-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1 ∈ set P⟩ using 3 * by metis
  show ⟨(dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) ∈ set dclist1⟩ using 3 by auto
  thus ⟨atom bv1 # (P, SConsp tyid dc b' s, B-app tyid b')⟩ using * 3 fresh-prodN by metis
  show ⟨ P ⊢ s : b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} ⟩ using 3 ** by auto
qed

ultimately show ?case using eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros V-consp * by auto
qed

lemma eval-v-uniqueness:
  fixes v::v
  assumes i [ v ] ~ s and i [ v ] ~ s'
  shows s=s'
using assms proof (nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims eval-l.simps by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims by (metis option.inject)
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where s:i [ v1 ] ~ s1 ∧ i [ v2 ] ~ s2 ∧ s = SPair s1 s2 using eval-v.elims
  V-pair by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where s':i [ v1 ] ~ s1' ∧ i [ v2 ] ~ s2' ∧ s' = SPair s1' s2' using eval-v.elims
  V-pair by metis
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims using V-pair s s' by auto
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
  obtain sv1 where 1:i [ v1 ] ~ sv1 ∧ s = SCons tyid dc sv1 using eval-v.elims V-cons by metis
  moreover obtain sv2 where 2:i [ v1 ] ~ sv2 ∧ s' = SCons tyid dc sv2 using eval-v.elims V-cons
  by metis
  ultimately have sv1 = sv2 using V-cons by auto
  then show ?case using 1 2 by auto
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b v1)
  then show ?case using eval-v.elims by metis
qed

lemma eval-v-base:
  fixes Γ::Γ and v::v and b::b
  assumes P ; Γ ⊢ i and P ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b and i [ v ] ~ s
  shows P ⊢ s : b
  using eval-v-exist eval-v-uniqueness assms by metis

```

```

lemma eval-e-uniqueness:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and i  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$ 
  shows s=s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elim by metis
next
  case (CE-op opp x1 x2)
  consider opp = Plus | opp = LEq using opp.exhaust by metis
  thus ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s' using CE-op by
    auto
    then show ?thesis using eval-e-elim(2)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(2)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
    by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  next
    case 2
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s' using CE-op by auto
    thm eval-e-elim(2)
    then show ?thesis using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elim(3)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(3)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
    by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat x1 x2)
  hence a1:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s' using CE-concat by
  auto
  show ?case using eval-e-elim(6)[OF a1] eval-e-elim(6)[OF a2] CE-concat eval-e-concatI rcl-val.eq-iff

proof -
  assume  $\bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s' = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2$ 
 $\implies P) \implies P$ 
  obtain bbs :: bit list and bbsa :: bit list where
    i  $\llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bbs \wedge i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bbsa \wedge SBitvec\ (bbsa\ @\ bbs) = s'$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s' = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2 \rrbracket \implies P) \implies P \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle$  rcl-val.eq-iff(1))
  then have s' = s
  by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2) \rrbracket ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv1 ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ bv2 \rrbracket \implies P) \implies P \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle \langle \bigwedge s'\ s. \llbracket i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s ; i\ \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \implies s = s' \rangle$  rcl-val.eq-iff(1))
  then show ?thesis
  by metis
qed

next
  case (CE-fst x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness by (meson eval-e-elim rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
  case (CE-snd x)

```

then show $?case$ **using** $eval-v-uniqueness$ **by** $(meson\ eval-e-elim\ rcl-val.eq-iff)$
next
case $(CE-len\ x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $eval-e-elim\ rcl-val.eq-iff$
proof –
obtain $bbs :: rcl-val \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow rcl-val\ option) \Rightarrow bit\ list$ **where**
 $\forall x0\ x1\ x2. (\exists v3. x0 = SNum\ (int\ (length\ v3)) \wedge x2 \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ v3) = (x0 = SNum\ (int\ (length\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2)))) \wedge x2 \llbracket x1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2)$
by $moura$
then have $\forall f\ c\ r. \neg f\ \llbracket \llbracket c \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim r \vee r = SNum\ (int\ (length\ (bbs\ r\ c\ f))) \wedge f\ \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ (bbs\ r\ c\ f)$
by $(meson\ eval-e-elim(\gamma))$
then show $?thesis$
by $(metis\ (no-types)\ CE-len.hyps\ CE-len.prem\ s(1)\ CE-len.prem\ s(2)\ rcl-val.eq-iff(1))$
qed

qed

lemma $wfV-eval-bitvec$:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-bitvec$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists bv. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SBitvec\ bv)$

proof –

obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ B-bitvec$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain bv **where** $s = SBitvec\ bv$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(1)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$

qed

lemma $wfV-eval-pair$:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-pair\ b1\ b2$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists s1\ s2. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SPair\ s1\ s2)$

proof –

obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ (B-pair\ b1\ b2)$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $s = SPair\ s1\ s2$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(2)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$

qed

lemma $wfV-eval-int$:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-int$ **and** $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$
shows $\exists n. eval-v\ i\ v\ (SNum\ n)$

proof –

obtain s **where** $i\ \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ (B-int)$ **using** $eval-v-exist\ assms$ **by** $metis$
moreover then obtain n **where** $s = SNum\ n$ **using** $wfRCV-elim\ s(3)[of\ P\ s]$ **by** $metis$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $metis$

qed

Well sorted value with a well sorted valuation evaluates

lemma $wfI-wfV-eval-v$:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $b::b$

```

assumes  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  and  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ 
shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$ 
using eval-v-exist assms by auto

lemma wfI-wfCE-eval-e:
  fixes  $e::ce$  and  $b::b$ 
  assumes  $wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ e \ b$  and  $P ; G \vdash i$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: b rule: ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val v)
    obtain  $s$  where  $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge P \vdash s : b$  using wfI-wfV-eval-v[of P B G v b i] assms wfCE-elim(1)
  [of P B G v b] CE-val by auto
  then show ?case using CE-val eval-e.intros(1)[of i v s] by auto
next
  case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence  $wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v1 \ B\text{-int} \wedge wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v2 \ B\text{-int}$  using wfCE-elim
    by (metis (full-types) opp.strong-exhaust)
  then obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $*$ :  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s1 \ B\text{-int} \wedge eval\text{-}e \ i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s2 \ B\text{-int}$ 
    using wfI-wfV-eval-v CE-op by metis
  then obtain  $n1$  and  $n2$  where  $**$ :  $s2 = SNum \ n2 \wedge s1 = SNum \ n1$  using wfRCV-elim by meson
  consider opp = Plus | opp = LEq using opp.exhaust by auto

  thus ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-op} \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$  using eval-e-plusI * ** by simp
    moreover have  $wfRCV \ P \ (SNum \ (n1+n2)) \ B\text{-int}$  using wfRCV.intros by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using 1
    using CE-op.prem(1) wfCE-elim(2) by blast
  next
    case 2
    hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-op} \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \ (SBool \ (n1 \leq n2))$  using eval-e-leqI * ** by simp
    moreover have  $wfRCV \ P \ (SBool \ (n1 \leq n2)) \ B\text{-bool}$  using wfRCV.intros by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using 2
    using CE-op.prem(1) wfCE-elim by metis
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $*$ :  $b = B\text{-bitvec} \wedge eval\text{-}e \ i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e \ i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s1 \ B\text{-bitvec} \wedge wfRCV \ P \ s2 \ B\text{-bitvec}$  using
    CE-concat
  by (meson wfCE-elim(6))
  thus ?case using eval-e-concatI wfRCV.intros(1) wfRCV-elim
proof –
  obtain  $bbs :: type\text{-}def \ list \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \Rightarrow bit \ list$  where
     $\forall ts \ s. \neg ts \vdash s : B\text{-bitvec} \vee s = SBitvec \ (bbs \ ts \ s)$ 
  using wfRCV-elim(1) by moura
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) local.* wfRCV-BBitvecI eval-e-concatI)
  qed
next
  case (CE-fst v1)

```

```

thus ?case using eval-e-fstI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elim s wfI-wfV-eval-v
  by (metis wfRCV-elim s(2) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next
case (CE-snd v1)
thus ?case using eval-e-sndI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elim s wfI-wfV-eval-v
  by (metis wfRCV-elim s(2) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next
case (CE-len x)
thus ?case using eval-e-lenI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elim s wfI-wfV-eval-v wfV-eval-bitvec
  by (metis wfRCV-elim s(1))
qed

lemma eval-e-exist:
  fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$  and  $e :: ce$ 
  assumes  $P ; \Gamma \vdash i$  and  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e : b$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using asms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: b rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE-val v)
  then show ?case using eval-v-exist wfCE-elim s eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE-op op v1 v2)

  show ?case proof(rule opp.exhaust)
    assume  $\langle op = Plus \rangle$ 
    hence  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-int \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B-int \wedge b = B-int$  using wfCE-elim s CE-op
  by metis
    then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
    eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis wfI-wfCE-eval-e wfRCV-elim s(3))
    then show  $\langle \exists a. eval-e i (CE-op op v1 v2) a \rangle$  using eval-e-plusI[of i v1 - v2]  $\langle op=Plus \rangle$  by auto
  next
    assume  $\langle op = LEq \rangle$ 
    hence  $P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B-int \wedge P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B-int \wedge b = B-bool$  using wfCE-elim s
    CE-op by metis
    then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
    eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis wfI-wfCE-eval-e wfRCV-elim s(3))
    then show  $\langle \exists a. eval-e i (CE-op op v1 v2) a \rangle$  using eval-e-leqI[of i v1 - v2] eval-v-exist  $\langle op=LEq \rangle$ 
    CE-op by auto
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then obtain bv1 and bv2 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1)  $\wedge$  eval-e i v2 (SBitvec bv2)
  using wfV-eval-bitvec wfCE-elim s(6)
  by (meson eval-e-elim s(6) wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
  then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE-fst ce)
  then obtain b2 where  $b:P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B-pair b b2$  using wfCE-elim s by metis
  then obtain s where  $s:i \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim s$  using CE-fst by auto
  then obtain s1 and s2 where  $s = (SPair s1 s2)$  using eval-e-elim s(4) CE-fst wfI-wfCE-eval-e[of
  P B  $\Gamma$  ce B-pair b b2 i,OF b] wfRCV-elim s(2)[of P s b b2]

```

```

    by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
  then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
case (CE-snd ce)
then obtain b1 where b:P ; B ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B\text{-pair } b1\ b$  using wfCE-elim by metis
then obtain s where s:i [ ce ]  $\sim$  s using CE-snd by auto
then obtain s1 and s2 where s = (SPair s1 s2)
  using eval-e-elim(5) CE-snd wfI-wfCE-eval-e[of P B  $\Gamma$  ce B-pair b1 b i, OF b] wfRCV-elim(2)[of
P s b b1]
  eval-e-uniqueness
  by (metis wfRCV-elim(2))
then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
case (CE-len v1)
then obtain bv1 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1)
  using wfV-eval-bitvec CE-len wfCE-elim eval-e-uniqueness
  by (metis eval-e-elim(6) wfCE-concatI wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-c-exist:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and c::c
  assumes P ;  $\Gamma \vdash i$  and P ; B ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  shows  $\exists s. i [ c ] \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
case C-true
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case C-false
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-conj c1 c2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-disj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-not x)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-imp x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elim by metis
next
case (C-eq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elim by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-c-uniqueness:
  fixes c::c
  assumes i [ c ]  $\sim$  s and i [ c ]  $\sim$  s'
  shows s=s'

```

```

using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct)
  case C-true
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim by metis
next
  case C-false
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim by metis
next
  case (C-conj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(3) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-disj x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(4) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-not x)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(6) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-imp x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-c-elim(5) by (metis (full-types))
next
  case (C-eq x1 x2)
  then show ?case using eval-e-uniqueness eval-c-elim(7) by metis
qed

```

```

lemma wfI-wfC-eval-c:
  fixes c::c
  assumes wfC P B G c and P ; G ⊢ i
  shows  $\exists s. i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
qed(metis wfC-elim wfI-wfCE-eval-e eval-c.intros)+

```

11.3 Satisfiability

```

lemma satis-reflI:
  fixes c::c
  assumes  $i \models ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G)$ 
  shows  $i \models c$ 
using assms by auto

lemma is-satis-mp:
  fixes c1::c and c2::c
  assumes  $i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2)$  and  $i \models c1$ 
  shows  $i \models c2$ 
using assms proof –
  have eval-c i (c1 IMP c2) True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
  then obtain b1 and b2 where  $\text{True} = (b1 \longrightarrow b2) \wedge \text{eval-c } i \text{ } c1 \text{ } b1 \wedge \text{eval-c } i \text{ } c2 \text{ } b2$ 
  using eval-c-elim(5) by metis
  moreover have eval-c i c1 True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
  moreover have b1 = True using calculation eval-c-uniqueness by blast
  ultimately have eval-c i c2 True by auto
  thus ?thesis using is-satis.intros by auto
qed

```



```

lemma is-satis-imp:
  fixes  $c1::c$  and  $c2::c$ 
  assumes  $i \models c1 \longrightarrow i \models c2$  and  $i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1$  and  $i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2$ 
  shows  $i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2)$ 
proof(cases b1)
  case True
  hence  $i \models c2$  using assms is-satis.simps by simp
  hence  $b2 = \text{True}$  using is-satis.simps assms
    using eval-c-uniqueness by blast
  then show ?thesis using eval-c-impI is-satis.simps assms by force
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using assms eval-c-impI is-satis.simps by metis
qed

```

```

lemma is-satis-iff:
   $i \models G = (\forall x \ b \ c. (x, b, c) \in \text{set } G \longrightarrow i \models c)$ 
  by(induct G, auto)

```

```

lemma is-satis-g-append:
   $i \models (G1 @ G2) = (i \models G1 \wedge i \models G2)$ 
  using is-satis-g.simps is-satis-iff by auto

```

11.4 Substitution for Evaluation

```

lemma eval-v-i-upd:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\text{atom } x \nVdash v$  and  $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s'$ 
  shows eval-v  $((i (x \mapsto s))) \ v \ s'$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s' rule:v.strong-induct)
case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case by (metis eval-v-elim1 eval-v-litI)
next
  case (V-var y)
  then obtain  $s$  where  $*$ :  $\text{Some } s = i \ y \wedge s = s'$  using eval-v-elim1 by metis
  moreover have  $x \neq y$  using  $\langle \text{atom } x \nVdash V\text{-var } y \rangle \ v.\text{supp}$  by simp
  ultimately have  $(i (x \mapsto s)) \ y = \text{Some } s$ 
    by (simp add: Some s = i y  $\wedge$  s = s')
  then show ?case using eval-v-varI *  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: eval-v.eval-v-varI)
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  hence  $\text{atom } x \nVdash v1 \wedge \text{atom } x \nVdash v2$  using v.supp by simp
  moreover obtain  $s1$  and  $s2$  where  $*$ :  $\text{eval-v } i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge \text{eval-v } i \ v2 \ s2 \wedge s' = \text{SPair } s1 \ s2$  using
eval-v-elim1 V-pair by metis
  ultimately have eval-v  $((i (x \mapsto s))) \ v1 \ s1 \wedge \text{eval-v } ((i (x \mapsto s))) \ v2 \ s2$  using V-pair by blast
  thus ?case using eval-v-pairI * by meson
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
  hence  $\text{atom } x \nVdash v1$  using v.supp by simp
  moreover obtain  $s1$  where  $*$ :  $\text{eval-v } i \ v1 \ s1 \wedge s' = \text{SCons } tyid \ dc \ s1$  using eval-v-elim1 V-cons by

```

metis

ultimately have $\text{eval-}v \ ((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \ v1 \ s1$ **using** $V\text{-cons}$ **by** *blast*
thus $?case$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-cons}I$ *** by** *meson*

next

case $(V\text{-consp} \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ v1)$

hence $atom \ x \ \# \ v1$ **using** $v.\text{supp}$ **by** *simp*

moreover obtain $s1$ **where** $*: \text{eval-}v \ i \ v1 \ s1 \ \wedge \ s' = S\text{Consp} \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s1$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-elims} \ V\text{-consp}$

by *metis*

ultimately have $\text{eval-}v \ ((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \ v1 \ s1$ **using** $V\text{-consp}$ **by** *blast*

thus $?case$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-consp}I$ *** by** *meson*

qed

lemma $\text{eval-}e\text{-i-}upd$:

fixes $e::ce$

assumes $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $atom \ x \ \# \ e$

shows $(i \ (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **apply**(*induct rule: eval-e.induct*) **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-i-}upd \ \text{eval-}e\text{-elims}$

by $(meson \ ce.\text{fresh} \ \text{eval-}e.\text{intros})+$

lemma $\text{eval-}c\text{-i-}upd$:

fixes $c::c$

assumes $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $atom \ x \ \# \ c$

shows $((i \ (x \mapsto s))) \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule: eval-c.induct*)

case $(\text{eval-}c\text{-eq}I \ i \ e1 \ sv1 \ e2 \ sv2)$

then show $?case$ **using** $RCLogic.\text{eval-}c\text{-eq}I \ \text{eval-}e\text{-i-}upd \ c.\text{fresh}$ **by** *metis*

qed(*simp add: eval-c.intros*)**+**

lemma $\text{subst-}v\text{-eval-}v[simp]$:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $v'::v$

assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $i \llbracket (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \rrbracket \sim s'$

shows $(i \ (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket v' \rrbracket \sim s'$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v' arbitrary: s' rule: v.strong-induct*)

case $(V\text{-lit} \ x)$

then show $?case$ **using** $\text{subst-}vv.\text{simps}$

by $(metis \ \text{eval-}v\text{-elims}(1) \ \text{eval-}v\text{-lit}I)$

next

case $(V\text{-var} \ x')$

then show $?case$ **proof**(*cases x=x'*)

case *True*

hence $(V\text{-var} \ x')[x::=v]_{vv} = v$ **using** $\text{subst-}vv.\text{simps}$ **by** *auto*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $V\text{-var} \ \text{eval-}v\text{-elims} \ \text{eval-}v\text{-var}I \ \text{eval-}v\text{-uniqueness} \ \text{True}$

by $(simp \ add: \ \text{eval-}v.\text{eval-}v\text{-var}I)$

next

case *False*

hence $atom \ x \ \# \ (V\text{-var} \ x')$ **by** *simp*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{eval-}v\text{-i-}upd \ \text{False} \ V\text{-var}$ **by** *fastforce*

qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair} \ v1 \ v2)$

then obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $*: \text{eval-}v \ i \ (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) \ s1 \ \wedge \ \text{eval-}v \ i \ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) \ s2 \ \wedge \ s' = SPair$

```

s1 s2 using V-pair eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps by metis
hence (i (x ↦ s)) [v1] ~ s1 ∧ (i (x ↦ s)) [v2] ~ s2 using V-pair by metis
thus ?case using eval-v-pairI subst-vv.simps * V-pair by metis
next
case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
then obtain s1 where eval-v i (v1[x::=v]vv) s1 using eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps by metis
thus ?case using eval-v-consI V-cons
by (metis eval-v-elim subst-vv.simps)
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

then obtain s1 where *:eval-v i (v1[x::=v]vv) s1 ∧ s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s1 using eval-v-elim
subst-vv.simps by metis
hence i (x ↦ s) [v1] ~ s1 using V-consp by metis
thus ?case using * eval-v-conspI by metis
qed

lemma subst-e-eval-v[simp]:
fixes y::x and e::ce and v::v and e'::ce
assumes i [e'] ~ s' and e'=(e[y::=v]cev) and i [v] ~ s
shows (i (y ↦ s)) [e] ~ s'
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: e rule: eval-e.induct)
case (eval-e-valI i v1 sv)
then obtain v1' where *:e = CE-val v1' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]vv
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-v i (v1'[y::=v]vv) sv using eval-e-valI by simp
hence eval-v (i (y ↦ s)) v1' sv using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-valI by simp
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-valI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op Plus v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev ∧ v2 = v2'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n2) using eval-e-plusI
by simp
hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v2' (SNum n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-plusI
using local.* by blast
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-plusI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op LEq v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev ∧ v2 = v2'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]cev) (SNum n2) using eval-e-leqI by
simp
hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SNum n1) ∧ eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v2' (SNum n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-leqI
using * by blast
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-leqI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-fstI i v1 s1 s2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-fst v1' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)

```

```

  hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SPair s1 s2) using eval-e-fstI by simp
  hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SPair s1 s2) using eval-e-fstI * by metis
  then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-fstI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-sndI i v1 s1 s2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-snd v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev
  using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
  hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SPair s1 s2) using eval-e-sndI by simp
  hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SPair s1 s2) using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-sndI * by blast
  then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-sndI * by meson
next
case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv1 v2 bv2)
then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-concat v1' v2' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev ∧ v2 = v2'[y::=v]cev
  using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
  hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SBitvec bv1) ∧ eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]cev) (SBitvec bv2) using eval-e-concatI
  by simp
  moreover hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SBitvec bv1) ∧ eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v2' (SBitvec bv2)
    using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-concatI * by blast
  ultimately show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-concatI * eval-v-uniqueness by (metis eval-e-concatI.hyps(1))
next
case (eval-e-lenI i v1 bv)
then obtain v1' where *:e = CE-len v1' ∧ v1 = v1'[y::=v]cev
  using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
  hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]cev) (SBitvec bv) using eval-e-lenI by simp
  hence eval-e (i (y ↦ s)) v1' (SBitvec bv) using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-lenI * by blast
  then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-lenI * by meson
qed

lemma subst-c-eval-v[simp]:
  fixes v::v and c::c
  assumes i [v] ~ s and i [c[x::=v]cv] ~ s1 and
    (i (x ↦ s)) [c] ~ s2
  shows s1 = s2
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s1 s2 rule: c.strong-induct)
  case C-true
  hence s1 = True ∧ s2 = True using eval-c-elim subst-cv.simps by auto
  then show ?case by auto
next
case C-false
  hence s1 = False ∧ s2 = False using eval-c-elim subst-cv.simps by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
case (C-conj c1 c2)
  hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]cv AND c2[x::=v]cv) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 ∧ s12)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 ∧ eval-c i c2[x::=v]cv
  s12 using
    eval-c-elim(3) by metis
  moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i (x ↦ s)) c1 s21 ∧ eval-c (i (x ↦ s)) c2 s22 ∧
  (s2 = (s21 ∧ s22)) using
    eval-c-elim(3) C-conj by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-conj by (meson eval-c-elim)
next

```

```

case (C-disj c1 c2)
hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]cv OR c2[x::=v]cv) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 ∨ s12)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 ∧ eval-c i c2[x::=v]cv
s12 using
  eval-c-elim(s1) by metis
moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 ∨ s22)) using
  eval-c-elim(s2) C-disj by metis
ultimately show ?case using C-disj by (meson eval-c-elim)
next
case (C-not c1)
then obtain s11 where (s1 = (¬ s11)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 using
  eval-c-elim(s1) by (metis subst-cv.simps(s1))
moreover obtain s21 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ (s2 = (¬s21)) using
  eval-c-elim(s2) C-not by metis
ultimately show ?case using C-not by (meson eval-c-elim)
next
case (C-imp c1 c2)
hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]cv IMP c2[x::=v]cv) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 → s12)) ∧ eval-c i c1[x::=v]cv s11 ∧ eval-c i
c2[x::=v]cv s12 using
  eval-c-elim(s1) by metis
moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c1 s21 ∧ eval-c (i ( x ↦ s )) c2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 → s22)) using
  eval-c-elim(s2) C-imp by metis
ultimately show ?case using C-imp by (meson eval-c-elim)
next
case (C-eq e1 e2)
hence *:eval-c i (e1[x::=v]cev == e2[x::=v]cev) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 = s12)) ∧ eval-e i (e1[x::=v]cev) s11 ∧ eval-e i
(e2[x::=v]cev) s12 using
  eval-c-elim(s1) by metis
moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-e (i ( x ↦ s )) e1 s21 ∧ eval-e (i ( x ↦ s )) e2 s22 ∧
(s2 = (s21 = s22)) using
  eval-c-elim(s2) C-eq by metis
ultimately show ?case using C-eq subst-e-eval-v by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
qed

```

lemma *wfI-upd*:

```

assumes wfI Θ Γ i and wfRCV Θ s b and wfG Θ B ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ)
shows wfI Θ ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ) (i(x ↦ s))
proof(subst wfI-def,rule)
  fix xa
  assume as:xa ∈ setG ((x, b, c) #Γ Γ)

  then obtain x1::x and b1::b and c1::c where xa: xa = (x1,b1,c1) using setG.simps
    using prod-cases3 by blast

  have ∃ sa. Some sa = (i(x ↦ s)) x1 ∧ wfRCV Θ sa b1 proof(cases x=x1)
    case True
    hence b=b1 using as xa wfG-unique assms by metis

```

hence *Some* $s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ s \ b1$ **using** *assms True* **by** *simp*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
 case *False*
 hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG} \ \Gamma$ **using** *xa as* **by** *auto*
 then **obtain** *sa* **where** *Some* $sa = i \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *assms wfI-def as xa* **by** *auto*
 hence *Some* $sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *False* **by** *auto*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

thus *case xa of (xa, ba, ca) $\Rightarrow \exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ xa \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ ba$* **using** *xa* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wfI-upd-full*:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $\text{wfI} \ \Theta \ G \ i$ and $G = ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)$ and $\text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ s \ b$ and $\text{wfG} \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
 and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} v : b$
 shows $\text{wfI} \ \Theta \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \ (i(x \mapsto s))$
proof (*subst wfI-def, rule*)
 fix xa
 assume $as: xa \in \text{setG} \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

then **obtain** $x1::x$ and $b1::b$ and $c1::c$ **where** $xa: xa = (x1, b1, c1)$ **using** *setG.simps*
using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*

have $\exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$
proof (*cases x=x1*)
 case *True*
 hence $b=b1$ **using** *as xa wfG-unique-full assms* **by** *metis*
 hence *Some* $s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ s \ b1$ **using** *assms True* **by** *simp*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
 case *False*
 hence $(x1, b1, c1) \in \text{setG} \ (\Gamma' @ \Gamma)$ **using** *as xa* **by** *auto*
 then **obtain** $c1'$ **where** $(x1, b1, c1') \in \text{setG} \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)$ **using** *xa as wfG-member-subst assms*
False **by** *metis*
 then **obtain** *sa* **where** *Some* $sa = i \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *assms wfI-def as xa* **by** *blast*
 hence *Some* $sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ b1$ **using** *False* **by** *auto*
 then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

thus *case xa of (xa, ba, ca) $\Rightarrow \exists sa. \text{Some } sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ xa \wedge \text{wfRCV} \ \Theta \ sa \ ba$* **using** *xa* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subst-c-satis[simp]*:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ and $\text{wfC} \ \Theta \ B \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ c$ and $\text{wfI} \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} v : b$
 shows $i \models (c[x::=v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i \ (x \mapsto s)) \models c$
proof –
 have $\text{wfI} \ \Theta \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ (i(x \mapsto s))$ **using** *wfI-upd assms wfC-wf eval-v-base* **by** *blast*
 then **obtain** $s1$ **where** $s1: \text{eval-c} \ (i(x \mapsto s)) \ c \ s1$ **using** *eval-c-exist[of $\Theta \ ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ (i \ (x \mapsto s)) \ B \ c$] assms* **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** $wf\text{-}subst1(2)[OF\ assms(2) - assms(4) ,\ of\ GNil\ x \]$
 $subst\text{-}gv.simps$ **by** $simp$
then obtain $s2$ **where** $s2:eval\text{-}c\ i\ c[x::=v]_{cv}\ s2$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ i\ B\ c[x::=v]_{cv} \]\ assms$
by $auto$

show $?thesis$ **using** $s1\ s2\ subst\text{-}c\ eval\text{-}v[OF\ assms(1)\ s2\ s1]\ is\ satis.cases$
using $eval\text{-}c\ uniqueness\ is\ satis.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed

Key theorem telling us we can replace a substitution with an update to the valuation

lemma $subst\text{-}c\ satis\text{-}full$:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ (\Gamma'@((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma})\ i$ **and** Θ
 $; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$
shows $i \models (c[x::=v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i (x \mapsto s)) \models c$
proof –
have $wfI\ \Theta\ (\Gamma'@((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ (i(x \mapsto s))$ **using** $wfI\text{-}upd\text{-}full\ assms\ wfC\text{-}wf\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}base\ wfI\text{-}suffix$
 $wfI\text{-}def\ wfV\text{-}wf$ **by** $fast$
then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1:eval\text{-}c\ (i(x \mapsto s))\ c\ s1$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ (\Gamma'@((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))\ (i\ (x \mapsto s))\ B\ c \]\ assms$ **by** $auto$

have $\Theta ; B ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma}) \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** $wbc\text{-}subst\ assms$ **by** $auto$

then obtain $s2$ **where** $s2:eval\text{-}c\ i\ c[x::=v]_{cv}\ s2$ **using** $eval\text{-}c\ exist[of\ \Theta\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@_{\Gamma})\ i\ B\ c[x::=v]_{cv} \]\ assms$ **by** $auto$

show $?thesis$ **using** $s1\ s2\ subst\text{-}c\ eval\text{-}v[OF\ assms(1)\ s2\ s1]\ is\ satis.cases$
using $eval\text{-}c\ uniqueness\ is\ satis.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed

11.5 Validity

lemma $validI[intro]$:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $wfC\ P\ B\ G\ c$ **and** $\forall i. P ; G \vdash i \wedge i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c$
shows $P ; B ; G \models c$
using $assms\ valid.simps$ **by** $presburger$

lemma $valid\text{-}g\text{-}wf$:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $G::\Gamma$
assumes $P ; B ; G \models c$
shows $P ; B \vdash_{wf} G$
using $assms\ wfC\text{-}wf\ valid.simps$ **by** $blast$

lemma $valid\text{-}reflI\ [intro]$:

fixes $b::b$
assumes $P ; B ; ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \vdash_{wf} c1$ **and** $c1 = c2$
shows $P ; B ; ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \models c2$
using $satis\text{-}reflI\ assms$ **by** $simp$

11.5.1 Weakening and Strengthening

Adding to the domain of a valuation doesn't change the result

```

lemma eval-v-weakening:
  fixes  $c::v$  and  $B::bv$  fset
  assumes  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$  and  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary:s rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim eval-v-litI by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  have  $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom } d$  using x-not-in-b-set[of x B] assms v.sup(2) supp-at-base
  proof –
    show ?thesis
    by (metis UnE V-var.prem(2) ( $\text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } B$ ) singletonI subset-iff supp-at-base v.sup(2))
  qed
  moreover have  $\text{Some } s = i \ x$  using assms eval-v-elim(2)
  using V-var.prem(3) by blast
  hence  $\text{Some } s = i' \ x$  using assms insert-subset restrict-in
  proof –
    show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) ( $i = i' \mid d$ ) ( $\text{Some } s = i \ x$ ) atom-eq-iff calculation imageE restrict-in)
  qed
  thus ?case using eval-v.eval-v-varI by simp

next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim(3) eval-v-pairI v.sup
  by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
  case (V-cons dc v1)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim(4) eval-v-consI v.sup
  by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

  then obtain sv1 where  $*:i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge s = S\text{Consp } tyid \ dc \ b1 \ sv1$  using eval-v-elim by metis
  hence  $i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1$  using V-consp by auto
  then show ?case using  $*$  eval-v-conspI v.sup eval-v.simp assms le-sup-iff by metis
qed

```

```

lemma eval-v-restrict:
  fixes  $c::v$  and  $B::bv$  fset
  assumes  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$  and  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  shows  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary:s rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elim eval-v-litI by metis
next
  case (V-var x)

```



```

have atom  $x \in \text{atom } 'd$  using  $x\text{-not-in-b-set}[of\ x\ B]$  assms  $v.\text{supp}(2)$   $\text{supp-at-base}$ 
proof -
  show ?thesis
    by (metis  $UnE$   $V\text{-var.prem}(2)$   $\langle \text{atom } x \notin \text{supp } B \rangle$   $\text{singletonI}$   $\text{subset-iff}$   $\text{supp-at-base}$   $v.\text{supp}(2)$ )
qed
moreover have  $\text{Some } s = i' x$  using assms  $\text{eval-v-elim}(2)$ 
  using  $V\text{-var.prem}(3)$  by blast
hence  $\text{Some } s = i x$  using assms  $\text{insert-subset}$   $\text{restrict-in}$ 
proof -
  show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types)  $\langle i = i' \mid 'd \rangle$   $\langle \text{Some } s = i' x \rangle$   $\text{atom-eq-iff}$   $\text{calculation}$   $\text{imageE}$   $\text{restrict-in}$ )
qed
thus ?case using  $\text{eval-v.eval-v-varI}$  by simp
next
case ( $V\text{-pair } v1\ v2$ )
then show ?case using  $\text{eval-v-elim}(3)$   $\text{eval-v-pairI}$   $v.\text{supp}$ 
  by (metis assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$ )
next
case ( $V\text{-cons } dc\ v1$ )
then show ?case using  $\text{eval-v-elim}(4)$   $\text{eval-v-consI}$   $v.\text{supp}$ 
  by (metis assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$ )
next
case ( $V\text{-consp } \text{tyid } dc\ b1\ v1$ )

then obtain  $sv1$  where  $*:i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge s = S\text{Consp } \text{tyid } dc\ b1\ sv1$  using  $\text{eval-v-elim}$  by metis
hence  $i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1$  using  $V\text{-consp}$  by auto
then show ?case using  $*$   $\text{eval-v-conspI}$   $v.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-v.simps}$  assms  $\text{le-sup-iff}$  by metis
qed

lemma eval-e-weakening:
  fixes  $e::ce$  and  $B::bv\ fset$ 
  assumes  $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid 'd$  and  $\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom } 'd \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule:  $\text{eval-e.induct}$ )
  case ( $\text{eval-e-valI } i\ v\ sv$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-plusI } i\ v1\ n1\ v2\ n2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-leqI } i\ v1\ n1\ v2\ n2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by auto
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-fstI } i\ v\ v1\ v2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 
    using  $\text{eval-v-weakening}$  by metis
next
  case ( $\text{eval-e-sndI } i\ v\ v1\ v2$ )
  then show ?case using  $ce.\text{supp}$   $\text{eval-e.intros}$ 

```

```

    using eval-v-weakening by metis
next
case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-weakening by auto
next
case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-weakening by auto
qed

lemma eval-e-restrict :
  fixes e::ce and B::bv fset
  assumes i'  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } e \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
  case (eval-e-valI i v sv)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-fstI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by metis
next
  case (eval-e-sndI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by metis
next
  case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
  case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
  then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by auto
qed

lemma eval-c-i-weakening:
  fixes c::c and B::bv fset
  assumes i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i = i' \mid d$  and  $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } d \cup \text{supp } B$ 
  shows  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-c.induct)
  case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-weakening by auto

```

qed(auto simp add: eval-c.intros)+

lemma eval-c-i-restrict:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ and $i = i' \mid ' d$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } ' d \cup \text{supp } B$
 shows $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$
 using assms proof(induct rule:eval-c.induct)
 case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-restrict by auto
 qed(auto simp add: eval-c.intros)+

lemma is-satis-i-weakening:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i = i' \mid ' d$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } ' d \cup \text{supp } B$ and $i \models c$
 shows $i' \models c$
 using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-restrict[OF - assms(1) assms(2)]
 using assms(3) by auto

lemma is-satis-i-restrict:
 fixes $c::c$ and $B::bv$ fset
 assumes $i = i' \mid ' d$ and $\text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } ' d \cup \text{supp } B$ and $i' \models c$
 shows $i \models c$
 using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-restrict[OF - assms(1) assms(2)]
 using assms(3) by auto

lemma is-satis-g-restrict1:
 fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$ and $i \models \Gamma'$
 shows $i \models \Gamma$
 using assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ .induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (GCons xbc G)
 obtain x and b and $c::c$ where $xbc: xbc=(x,b,c)$
 using prod-cases3 by blast
 hence $i \models G$ using GCons by auto
 moreover have $i \models c$ using GCons
 is-satis-iff setG.simps subset-iff
 using xbc by blast
 ultimately show ?case using is-satis-g.simps GCons
 by (simp add: xbc)
 qed

lemma is-satis-g-restrict2:
 fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $i \models \Gamma$ and $i' = i \mid ' d$ and $\text{atom-dom } \Gamma \subseteq \text{atom } ' d$ and $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
 shows $i' \models \Gamma$
 using assms proof(induct Γ rule: Γ -induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
 next

case ($GCons\ x\ b\ c\ G$)

hence $i' \models G$ **proof** –

have $i \models G$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $atom\text{-}dom\ G \subseteq atom\ 'd$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $GCons\ wfG\text{-}cons2$ **by** *blast*

qed

moreover have $i' \models c$ **proof** –

have $i \models c$ **using** $GCons$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $wfG\text{-}wfC\ GCons$ **by** *simp*

moreover hence $supp\ c \subseteq atom\ 'd \cup supp\ B$ **using** $wfC\text{-}supp\ GCons\ atom\text{-}dom\text{-}eq$ **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $is\text{-}satis\text{-}i\text{-}restrict[of\ i'\ i\ d\ c]\ GCons$ **by** *simp*

qed

ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *is-satis-g-restrict*:

fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ and $i' \models \Gamma'$ and $i = i' \mid ' (fst\ 'setG\ \Gamma)$ and $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $i \models \Gamma$

using *assms is-satis-g-restrict1* [*OF assms*(1) *assms*(2)] *is-satis-g-restrict2* [*OF - assms*(3)] **by** *simp*

11.5.2 Updating valuation

lemma *is-satis-c-i-upd*:

fixes $c::c$

assumes $atom\ x \# c$ and $i \models c$

shows $((i\ (x \mapsto s))) \models c$

using *assms eval-c-i-upd is-satis.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *is-satis-g-i-upd*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$

assumes $atom\ x \# G$ and $i \models G$

shows $((i\ (x \mapsto s))) \models G$

using *assms proof*(*induct* G *rule*: $\Gamma\text{-induct}$)

case *GNil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case ($GCons\ x'\ b'\ c'\ G'$)

hence $*:atom\ x \# G' \wedge atom\ x \# c'$

using *fresh-def fresh-GCons GCons* **by** *force*

moreover hence $is\text{-}satis\ ((i\ (x \mapsto s)))\ c'$

using *is-satis-c-i-upd GCons is-satis-g.simps* **by** *auto*

moreover have $is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ (i(x \mapsto s))\ G'$ **using** $GCons\ *$ **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show *?case*

using $GCons\ is\text{-}satis\text{-}g.simps(2)$ **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *valid-weakening*:

assumes $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \models c$ and $setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ \Gamma'$ and $wfG\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma'$

shows $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma' \models c$
proof –
have $wfC \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ c$ **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
hence $sp: \text{supp } c \subseteq \text{atom } (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma) \cup \text{supp } B$ **using** *wfX-wfY wfG-elim*
using *atom-dom.simps wf-supp(2)* **by** *metis*
have $wfg: wfG \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma$ **using** *assms valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*

moreover have $a1: (\forall i. wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \wedge i \models \Gamma' \longrightarrow i \models c)$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)
fix i
assume $as: wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \wedge i \models \Gamma'$
hence $as1: fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma \subseteq \text{dom } i$ **using** *assms wfI-domi* **by** *blast*
obtain i' **where** $idash: i' = \text{restrict-map } i \ (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma)$ **by** *blast*
hence $as2: \text{dom } i' = (fst \ 'setG \ \Gamma)$ **using** *dom-restrict as1* **by** *auto*

have $id2: \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' \wedge i' \models \Gamma$ **proof** –
have $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$ **using** $as2$ *wfI-restrict-weakening[of $\Theta \ \Gamma' \ i \ i' \ \Gamma$] as assms*
using $idash$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $i' \models \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g-restrict[OF assms(2)] wfg as idash* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $idash$ **by** *auto*
qed
hence $i' \models c$ **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
thus $i \models c$ **using** *assms valid.simps is-satis-i-weakening idash sp* **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $wfC \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma' \ c$ **using** *wf-weakening assms valid.simps*
by (*meson wfg*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms valid.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *is-satis-g-suffix*:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $i \models (G'@G)$
shows $i \models G$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct G' rule:Γ.induct*)
case *GNil*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*GCons xbc x2*)
obtain x **and** b **and** $c::c$ **where** $xbc: xbc=(x,b,c)$
using *prod-cases3* **by** *blast*
hence $i \models (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (x2 \ @ \ G))$ **using** *append-g.simps GCons* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?case* **using** *is-satis-g.simps GCons xbc* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *wfG-inside-valid2*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $c0::c$ **and** $c0'::c$
assumes $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$ **and**
 $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \models c0'$
shows $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$
proof –
have $wfG \ \Theta \ B \ (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-replace-inside-full assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *valid-trans*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c0[z::=v]_v$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c1$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B}$
 $\Gamma \vdash b$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1[z::=v]_v$

proof –

have $\ast : \text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ c1$ **using** *valid.simps* **assms** **by** *auto*

hence $\text{wfC } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (c1[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *wf-subst1(2)[OF *, of GNil]* **assms** *subst-gv.simps* *subst-v-c-def*
by *force*

moreover **have** $\forall i. \text{wfI } \Theta \Gamma \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i (c1[z::=v]_v)$

proof(*rule, rule*)

fix i

assume $\text{as: } \text{wfI } \Theta \Gamma \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i \Gamma$

then obtain sv **where** $sv: \text{eval-v } i \ v \ sv \wedge \text{wfRCV } \Theta \ sv \ b$ **using** *eval-v-exist* **assms** **by** *metis*

hence $\text{is-satis } i (c0[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **as** **by** *metis*

hence $\text{is-satis } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \ c0$ **using** *subst-c-satis* sv **as** *assms* *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *wfG-elim2*
subst-v-c-def **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\text{is-satis-g } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \Gamma$

using *is-satis-g-i-upd* **assms** **by** (*simp* *add: as*)

ultimately **have** $\text{is-satis-g } (i(z \mapsto sv)) ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

using *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\text{wfI } \Theta ((z, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(z \mapsto sv))$ **using** *as* *wfI-upd* sv **assms** *valid.simps* *wfC-wf*
by *metis*

ultimately **have** $\text{is-satis } (i(z \mapsto sv)) \ c1$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

thus $\text{is-satis } i (c1[z::=v]_v)$ **using** *subst-c-satis* sv **as** *assms* *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *wfG-elim2* *subst-v-c-def*
by *metis*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *valid-trans-2*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$

unfolding *valid.simps* **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{\text{wf}} c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *wf-trans* *valid.simps* **assms**
by *metis*

show $\forall i. (\text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ i \wedge (\text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \longrightarrow (\text{is-satis } i (c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v)))$

proof(*rule, rule*)

fix i

assume $\text{as: } \Theta ; (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c1[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

have $i \models c2[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps* **as** *assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $i \models \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps* **as** **by** *simp*

ultimately **show** $i \models c3[y::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *assms* *is-satis-g.simps* *valid.simps*

by (*metis* *append-g.simps(1)* *as* *wfI-replace-inside*)

qed

qed

```

lemma eval-v-weakening-x:
  fixes c::v
  assumes i'  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and atom x  $\#$  c and i = i' (x  $\mapsto$  s')
  shows i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: eval-v.induct)
case (eval-v-litI i l)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-varI sv i1 x1)
  hence x  $\neq$  x1 using v.fresh fresh-at-base by auto
  hence i x1 = Some sv using eval-v-varI by simp
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-pairI i v1 s1 v2 s2)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-consI i v s tyid dc)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval-v-conspI i v s tyid dc b)
  then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
qed

lemma eval-e-weakening-x:
  fixes c::ce
  assumes i'  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and atom x  $\#$  c and i = i' (x  $\mapsto$  s')
  shows i  $\llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
case (eval-e-valI i v sv)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-fstI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-sndI i v v1 v2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv1 v2 bv2)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval-e-lenI i v bv)
  then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
qed

lemma eval-c-weakening-x:

```

```

fixes  $c::c$ 
assumes  $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $\text{atom } x \# c$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s')$ 
shows  $i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-c.induct)
case (eval-c-trueI i)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-falseI i)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
case (eval-c-conjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-disjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-impI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-notI i c b)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
  case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
  then show ?case using eval-e-weakening-x c.fresh eval-c.intros by metis
qed

```

```

lemma is-satis-weakening-x:
  fixes  $c::c$ 
  assumes  $i' \models c$  and  $\text{atom } x \# c$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$ 
  shows  $i \models c$ 
  using eval-c-weakening-x assms is-satis.simps by simp

```

```

lemma is-satis-g-weakening-x:
  fixes  $G::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $i' \models G$  and  $\text{atom } x \# G$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$ 
  shows  $i \models G$ 
  using assms proof(induct G rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  hence  $\text{atom } x \# c'$  using fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by simp
  moreover hence  $i \models c'$  using is-satis-weakening-x is-satis-g.simps(2) GCons by metis
  then show ?case using is-satis-g.simps(2)[of i x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ ] GCons fresh-GCons by simp
qed

```

11.6 Base Type Substitution

The idea of boxing is to take an smt val and its base type and at nodes in the smt val that correspond to type variables we wrap them in an SUT smt val node. Another way of looking at

it is that s' where the node for the base type variable is an 'any node'. It is needed to prove `subst_b_valid` - the base-type variable substitution lemma for validity.

The first *rcl-val* is the expanded form (has type with base-variables replaced with base-type terms) ; the second is its corresponding form

We only have one variable so we need to ensure that in all of the *bs-boxed-BVarI* cases, the s has the same base type.

For example is an SMT value is $(\text{SPair } (\text{SInt } 1) (\text{SBool true}))$ and it has sort $(\text{BPair } (\text{BVar } x) \text{BBool})[\text{x}::=\text{BInt}]$ then the boxed version is $\text{SPair } (\text{Sut } (\text{SInt } 1)) (\text{SBool true})$ and is has sort $(\text{BPair } (\text{BVar } x) \text{BBool})$. We need to do this so that we can obtain from a valuation i , that gives values like the first smt value, to a valuation i' that gives values like the second.

inductive *boxed-b* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \text{rcl-val} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ($- \vdash - \sim - [- ::= -] \setminus - [50,50]$) **where**

boxed-b-BVar1I: $\llbracket bv = bv' ; \text{wfRCV } P \ s \ b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \ bv \ b \ (S\text{Ut } s)$
boxed-b-BVar2I: $\llbracket bv \neq bv' ; \text{wfRCV } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv') \ bv \ b \ s$
boxed-b-BIntI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-int} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-int} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BBoolI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BUnitI: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \ - \ - \ s$
boxed-b-BPairI: $\llbracket \text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ b1 \ bv \ b \ s1' ; \text{boxed-b } P \ s2 \ b2 \ bv \ b \ s2' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Pair } s1 \ s2) \ (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2) \ bv \ b \ (S\text{Pair } s1' \ s2')$

| *boxed-b-BConsI*:
 $AF\text{-typedef } tyid \ dclist \in \text{set } P ;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ;$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ b \ bv \ b' \ s1'$
 $\llbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Cons } tyid \ dc \ s1) \ (B\text{-id } tyid) \ bv \ b' \ (S\text{Cons } tyid \ dc \ s1')$

| *boxed-b-BConspI*: $\llbracket AF\text{-typedef-poly } tyid \ bva \ dclist \in \text{set } P ;$
 $\text{atom } bva \ \# \ (b1, bv, b', s1, s1') ;$
 $(dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist ;$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ s1 \ (b[bva::=b1]_{bb}) \ bv \ b' \ s1'$
 $\llbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{boxed-b } P \ (S\text{Consp } tyid \ dc \ b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \ s1) \ (B\text{-app } tyid \ b1) \ bv \ b' \ (S\text{Consp } tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s1')$

| *boxed-b-Bbitvec*: $\text{wfRCV } P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \Longrightarrow \text{boxed-b } P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \ bv \ b \ s$

equivariance *boxed-b*

nominal-inductive *boxed-b* .

inductive-cases *boxed-b-elim*:

boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-var } bv) \ bv' \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-int} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-bool} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-unit} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2) \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-id } dc) \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ B\text{-bitvec} \ bv \ b \ s'$
boxed-b $P \ s \ (B\text{-app } dc \ b') \ bv \ b \ s'$

lemma *boxed-b-wfRCV*:
assumes *boxed-b* P s b bv b' s' **and** $\vdash_{wf} P$
shows $wfRCV\ P\ s\ b[bv ::= b]_{bb} \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s'\ b$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule: boxed-b.inducts*)
case (*boxed-b-BVar1I* bv bv' P s b)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* **by** *auto*
next
case (*boxed-b-BVar2I* bv bv' P s)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* **by** *auto*
next
case (*boxed-b-BPairI* P $s1$ $b1$ bv b $s1'$ $s2$ $b2$ $s2'$)
then show *?case* **using** *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* **by** *simp*
next
case (*boxed-b-BConsI* *tyid* *dclist* P dc x b c $s1$ bv b' $s1'$)
hence *supp* $b = \{\}$ **using** *wfTh-supb-b* **by** *metis*
hence $b[bv ::= b']_{bb} = b$ **using** *fresh-def* *subst-b-b-def* *forget-subst[of bv b b']* **by** *auto*
hence $P \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 : (B-id\ tyid)$ **using** *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* *subst-bb.simps* *boxed-b-BConsI*
by *metis*
moreover **have** $P \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1' : B-id\ tyid$ **using** *boxed-b-BConsI*
using *wfRCV.intros* *rcl-val.supp* *subst-bb.simps* *boxed-b-BConsI* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-bb.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*boxed-b-BConspI* *tyid* *bva* *dclist* P $b1$ bv b' $s1$ $s1'$ dc x b c)

obtain *bva2* **and** *dclist2* **where** $\ast: AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva\ dclist = AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva2\ dclist2$
 \wedge
 $atom\ bva2 \nmid (bv, (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb}\ s1, B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb}))$
using *obtain-fresh-bv* **by** *metis*

then obtain $x2$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c2$ **where** $\ast: (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2$
using *boxed-b-BConspI* *td-lookup-eq-iff* *type-def.eq-iff* **by** *metis*

have $P \vdash SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb}\ s1 : (B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb})$ **proof**
show $1: \langle AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva2\ dclist2 \in set\ P \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
show $2: \langle (dc, \{x2 : b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2 \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **using** \ast **by** *simp*

hence $atom\ bv \nmid b2$ **proof** –
have *supp* $b2 \subseteq \{atom\ bva2\}$ **using** *wfTh-poly-supb-b* $1\ 2$ *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $bv \neq bva2$ **using** \ast *fresh-Pair* *fresh-at-base* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def* **by** *force*
qed
moreover **have** $b[bva ::= b1]_{bb} = b2[bva2 ::= b1]_{bb}$ **using** *wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff* $\ast\ 2$ *boxed-b-BConspI*
by *metis*
ultimately show $\langle P \vdash s1 : b2[bva2 ::= b1[bv ::= b]_{bb}]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* *subst-b-b-def* *subst-bb-commute* **by** *auto*
show $atom\ bva2 \nmid (P, SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb}\ s1, B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv ::= b]_{bb})$ **using** \ast *fresh-Pair*
by *metis*
qed

moreover **have** $P \vdash SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1\ s1' : B-app\ tyid\ b1$ **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly}\ tyid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ P \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, \{x : b \mid c\}) \in set\ dclist \rangle$ **using** *boxed-b-BConspI* **by** *auto*

```

  show ⟨ P ⊢ s1' : b[bva::=b1]bb ⟩ using boxed-b-BConspI by auto
  have atom bva # P using boxed-b-BConspI wfTh-fresh by metis
  thus atom bva # (P, SConsp tyid dc b1 s1', B-app tyid b1) using boxed-b-BConspI rcl-val.fresh
  b.fresh pure-fresh fresh-prodN by metis
qed

```

```

ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
qed(auto)+

```

```

lemma subst-b-var:
  assumes B-var bv2 = b[bv::=b]bb
  shows (b = B-var bv ∧ b' = B-var bv2) ∨ (b = B-var bv2 ∧ bv ≠ bv2)
using assms by(nominal-induct b rule: b.strong-induct,auto+)

```

Here the valuation i' is the conv wrap version of i . For every x in G , $i' x$ is the conv wrap version of $i x$

```

inductive boxed-i :: Θ ⇒ Γ ⇒ b ⇒ bv ⇒ valuation ⇒ valuation ⇒ bool ( - ; - ; - , - ⊢ - ≈ - [50,50]
50) where
  boxed-i-GNil: Θ ; GNil ; b , bv ⊢ i ≈ i
  | boxed-i-GConsI: [ Some s = i x ; boxed-b Θ s b bv b' s' ; Θ ; Γ ; b' , bv ⊢ i ≈ i' ] ⇒ Θ ;
  ((x,b,c)#ΓΓ) ; b' , bv ⊢ i ≈ (i'(x ↦ s'))
equivariance boxed-i
nominal-inductive boxed-i .

```

```

inductive-cases boxed-i-elim:
  Θ ; GNil ; b , bv ⊢ i ≈ i'
  Θ ; ((x,b,c)#ΓΓ) ; b' , bv ⊢ i ≈ i'

```

```

lemma wfRCV-poly-elim:
  fixes tm::'a::fs and b::b
  assumes T ⊢ SConsp typid dc bdc s : b
  obtains bva dclist x1 b1 c1 where b = B-app typid bdc ∧
  AF-typedef-poly typid bva dclist ∈ set T ∧ (dc, { x1 : b1 | c1 }) ∈ set dclist ∧ T ⊢ s : b1[bva::=bdc]bb
  ∧ atom bva # tm
using assms proof(nominal-induct SConsp typid dc bdc s b avoiding: tm rule:wfRCV.strong-induct)
  case (wfRCV-BConsPI bv dclist Θ x b c)
  then show ?case by simp
qed

```

```

lemma boxed-b-ex:
  assumes wfRCV T s b[bv::=b]bb and wfTh T
  shows ∃ s'. boxed-b T s b bv b' s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct s arbitrary: b rule: rcl-val.strong-induct)
  case (SBitvec x)
  have *:b[bv::=b]bb = B-bitvec using wfRCV-elim(9)[OF SBitvec(1)] by metis
  show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
  case True

```

```

    moreover have  $T \vdash SBitvec\ x : B\text{-}bitvec$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}bitvec$  using  $True\ SBitvec\ subst\text{-}bb.simps *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed\text{-}b.intros\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
next
  case  $False$ 
  hence  $b = B\text{-}bitvec$  using  $subst\text{-}bb\text{-}inject *$  by  $metis$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SBitvec\ boxed\text{-}b.intros$  by  $metis$ 
qed
next
  case  $(SNum\ x)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}int$  using  $wfRCV.elims(10)[OF\ SNum(1)]$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SNum\ x : B\text{-}int$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}int$  using  $True\ SNum\ subst\text{-}bb.simps(1) *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed\text{-}b\text{-}BVar1I\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $b = B\text{-}int$  using  $subst\text{-}bb\text{-}inject(1) *$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SNum\ boxed\text{-}b\text{-}BIntI$  by  $metis$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SBool\ x)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}bool$  using  $wfRCV.elims(11)[OF\ SBool(1)]$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SBool\ x : B\text{-}bool$  using  $wfRCV.intros$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}bool$  using  $True\ SBool\ subst\text{-}bb.simps *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed\text{-}b.intros\ wfRCV.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bool$  using  $subst\text{-}bb\text{-}inject *$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SBool\ boxed\text{-}b.intros$  by  $metis$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SPair\ s1\ s2)$ 
  then obtain  $b1$  and  $b2$  where  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ s1\ b1 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ s2\ b2$ 
using  $wfRCV.elims(12)$  by  $metis$ 
  show  $?case$  proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
    case  $True$ 
    moreover have  $T \vdash SPair\ s1\ s2 : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2$  using  $wfRCV.intros *$  by  $simp$ 
    moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2$  using  $True\ SPair\ subst\text{-}bb.simps(1) *$  by  $simp$ 
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $boxed\text{-}b\text{-}BVar1I$  by  $metis$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    then obtain  $b1'$  and  $b2'$  where  $b = B\text{-}pair\ b1'\ b2' \wedge b1 = b1'[bv::=b]_{bb} \wedge b2 = b2'[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using
 $subst\text{-}bb\text{-}inject(5)[OF\ \text{False}] *$  by  $metis$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $*\ SPair\ boxed\text{-}b\text{-}BPairI$  by  $blast$ 
  qed
next
  case  $(SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1)$ 
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}id\ tyid$  using  $wfRCV.elims(13)[OF\ SCons(2)]$  by  $metis$ 

```

```

show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
  case True
  moreover have  $T \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 : B-id\ tyid$  using wfRCV.intros
  using local.* SCons.premis by auto
  moreover hence  $b' = B-id\ tyid$  using True SCons.subst-bb.simps(1) * by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I wfRCV.intros by metis
next
  case False
  then obtain  $b1'$  where beq:  $b = B-id\ tyid$  using subst-bb-inject * by metis
  then obtain  $b2\ dclist\ x\ c$  where **:  $AF-typedef\ tyid\ dclist \in set\ T \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$ 
 $\wedge wfRCV\ T\ s1\ b2$  using wfRCV.elims(13) * SCons by metis
  then have  $atom\ bv \# b2$  using  $\langle wfTh\ T \rangle wfTh-lookup-supply-empty[of\ tyid\ dclist\ T\ dc\ \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket]$ 
 $\tau.fresh\ fresh-def$  by auto
  then have  $b2 = b2[ bv ::= b ]_{bb}$  using forget-subst subst-b-b-def by metis
  then obtain  $s1'$  where  $s1:T \vdash s1 \sim b2[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus s1'$  using SCons ** by metis

  have  $T \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 \sim (B-id\ tyid)[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1'$  proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI)
    show  $AF-typedef\ tyid\ dclist \in set\ T$  using ** by auto
    show  $(dc, \llbracket x : b2 \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist$  using ** by auto
    show  $T \vdash s1 \sim b2[ bv ::= b' ] \setminus s1'$  using s1 ** by auto

  qed
  thus ?thesis using beq by metis
qed
next
  case (SConsp typid dc bdc s)

  obtain  $bva\ dclist\ x1\ b1\ c1$  where **:  $b[bv ::= b]_{bb} = B-app\ typid\ bdc \wedge$ 
 $AF-typedef-poly\ typid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ T \wedge (dc, \llbracket x1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge T \vdash s : b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$ 
 $\wedge atom\ bva \# bv$ 
  using wfRCV-poly-elimis[OF SConsp(2)] by metis

  then have *:  $B-app\ typid\ bdc = b[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using wfRCV.elims(14)[OF SConsp(2)] by metis
  show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
    case True
    moreover have  $T \vdash SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc\ s : B-app\ typid\ bdc$  using wfRCV.intros
    using local.* SConsp.premis(1) by auto
    moreover hence  $b' = B-app\ typid\ bdc$  using True SConsp.subst-bb.simps * by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
  next
    case False
    then obtain  $bdc'$  where  $bdc: b = B-app\ typid\ bdc' \wedge bdc = bdc'[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using * subst-bb-inject(8)[OF
  *] by metis

  have  $atom\ bv \# b1$  proof -
    have  $supp\ b1 \subseteq \{ atom\ bva \}$  using wfTh-poly-supply-b ** SConsp by metis
    moreover have  $bv \neq bva$  using ** by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by force
  qed
  have  $T \vdash s : b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$  using ** by auto
  moreover have  $b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}[bv ::= b]_{bb} = b1[bva ::= bdc]_{bb}$  using bdc subst-bb-commute  $\langle atom\ bv \# b1 \rangle$  by auto

```

```

ultimately obtain  $s'$  where  $s':T \vdash s \sim b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s'$ 
  using  $SConsp(1)[of\ b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}]\ bdc\ SConsp$  by metis
have  $T \vdash SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc'[bv::=b]_{bb}\ s \sim (B\text{-}app\ typid\ bdc') [bv ::= b'] \setminus SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc'\ s'$ 
proof –

  obtain  $bva3$  and  $dclist3$  where  $3:AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 = AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva\ dclist \wedge$ 
    atom  $bva3 \# (bdc', bv, b', s, s')$  using obtain-fresh-bv by metis
  then obtain  $x3\ b3\ c3$  where  $4:(dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3$ 
    using boxed-b-BConspI td-lookup-eq-iff type-def.eq-iff
    by (metis **)

  show ?thesis proof
    show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 \in set\ T \rangle$  using 3 ** by metis
    show  $\langle atom\ bva3 \# (bdc', bv, b', s, s') \rangle$  using 3 by metis
    show  $4:\langle (dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3 \rangle$  using 4 by auto
    have  $b3[bva3::=bdc]_{bb} = b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}$  proof(rule wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff)
      show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva3\ dclist3 \in set\ T \rangle$  using 3 ** by metis
      show  $\langle (dc, \{x3 : b3 \mid c3\}) \in set\ dclist3 \rangle$  using 4 by auto
      show  $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ typid\ bva\ dclist \in set\ T \rangle$  using ** by auto
      show  $\langle (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist \rangle$  using ** by auto
    qed(simp add: ** SConsp)
    thus  $\langle T \vdash s \sim b3[bva3::=bdc]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s' \rangle$  using  $s'$  by auto
  qed
qed
then show ?thesis using bdc by auto

qed
next
case SUnit
  have  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}unit$  using wfRCV-elimS SUnit by metis
  show ?case proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
    case True
      moreover have  $T \vdash SUnit : B\text{-}unit$  using wfRCV.intros by simp
      moreover hence  $b' = B\text{-}unit$  using True SUnit subst-bb.simps * by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
    next
      case False
        hence  $b = B\text{-}unit$  using subst-bb-inject * by metis
        then show ?thesis using * SUnit boxed-b.intros by metis
    qed
  next
    case (SUT x)
    then obtain  $bv'$  where  $*:b[bv::=b]_{bb} = B\text{-}var\ bv'$  using wfRCV-elimS by metis
    show ?case proof (cases  $b = B\text{-}var\ bv$ )
      case True
        then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I
          using local.* wfRCV-BVarI by fastforce
      next
        case False
        then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I boxed-b-BVar2I

```

```

    using local.* wfRCV-BVarI    by (metis subst-b-var)
qed
qed

lemma boxed-i-ex:
  assumes wfI T  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  i and wfTh T
  shows  $\exists i'. T ; \Gamma ; b , bv \vdash i \approx i'$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\Gamma$  arbitrary: i rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using boxed-i-GNilI by metis
next
  case (GCons x' b' c'  $\Gamma'$ )
  then obtain s where 1: Some s = i x'  $\wedge$  wfRCV T s b'[bv::=b]bb using wfI-def subst-gb.simps by
  auto
  then obtain s' where 2: boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using boxed-b-ex GCons by metis
  then obtain i' where 3: boxed-i T  $\Gamma'$  b bv i i' using GCons wfI-def subst-gb.simps by force
  have boxed-i T ((x', b', c') # $\Gamma$   $\Gamma'$ ) b bv i (i'(x'  $\mapsto$  s')) proof
    show Some s = i x' using 1 by auto
    show boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using 2 by auto
    show T ;  $\Gamma'$  ; b , bv  $\vdash$  i  $\approx$  i' using 3 by auto
  qed
  thus ?case by auto
qed

lemma boxed-b-eq:
  assumes boxed-b  $\Theta$  s1 b bv b' s1' and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows wfTh  $\Theta \implies$  boxed-b  $\Theta$  s2 b bv b' s2'  $\implies$  ( s1 = s2 ) = ( s1' = s2' )
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: s2 s2' rule: boxed-b.inducts )
  case (boxed-b-BVar1I bv bv' P s b )
  then show ?case
    using boxed-b-elim1(1) rcl-val.eq-iff by metis
next
  case (boxed-b-BVar2I bv bv' P s b)
  then show ?case using boxed-b-elim1(1) by metis
next
  case (boxed-b-BIntI P s uv uv)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BBoolI P s uw ux)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BUnitI P s uy uz)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim1 by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BPairI P s1 b1 bv b s1' s2a b2 s2a')
  then show ?case
    by (metis boxed-b-elim1(5) rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next

```

```

  case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid dclist P dc x b c s1 bv b' s1')
  obtain s22 and s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:s2 = SCons tyid dc2 s22 ∧ s2' = SCons tyid dc2
s22' ∧ boxed-b P s22 b2 bv b' s22'
  ∧ AF-typedef tyid dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc2, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using boxed-b-elim(6)[OF
boxed-b-BConsI(6)] by metis
  show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
  case True
  hence b = b2 using wfTh-ctor-unique τ.eq-iff wfTh-dclist-unique wf boxed-b-BConsI * by metis
  then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConsI True * by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConsI by simp
qed
next
  case (boxed-b-Bbitvec P s bv b)
  hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elim by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid bva dclist P b1 bv b' s1 s1' dc x b c)
  thm boxed-b-elim(8)[OF boxed-b-BConsI(7)]
  obtain bva2 s22 s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:
  s2 = SConsp tyid dc2 b1[bv::=b]bb s22 ∧
  s2' = SConsp tyid dc2 b1 s22' ∧
  boxed-b P s22 b2[bva2::=b1]bb bv b' s22' ∧
  AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc2, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using boxed-b-elim(8)[OF
boxed-b-BConsI(7)] by metis
  show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
  case True
  hence AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 ∈ set P ∧ (dc, ⌈ x2 : b2 | c2 ⌋) ∈ set dclist2 using * by
auto
  hence b[bva::=b1]bb = b2[bva2::=b1]bb using wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff[OF boxed-b-BConspI(1)
boxed-b-BConspI(3)] * boxed-b-BConspI by metis
  then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConspI True * by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConspI by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma bs-boxed-var:

```

  assumes boxed-i Θ Γ b' bv i i'
  shows Some (b,c) = lookup Γ x ⇒ Some s = i x ⇒ Some s' = i' x ⇒ boxed-b Θ s b bv b' s'
  using asms proof(induct rule: boxed-i.inducts)
  case (boxed-i-GNil T i)
  then show ?case using lookup.simps by auto
next
  case (boxed-i-GConsI s i x1 Θ b1 bv b' s' Γ i' c)
  show ?case proof (cases x=x1)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
  fun-upd-same lookup.simps(2) option.inject prod.inject by metis

```



```

next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
    fun-upd-same lookup.simps option.inject prod.inject by auto
qed
qed

lemma eval-l-boxed-b:
  assumes  $\llbracket l \rrbracket = s$ 
  shows  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta \ s \ (\text{base-for-lit } l) \ bv \ b' \ s$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct l arbitrary: s rule:l.strong-induct)
qed(auto simp add: boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros)+

lemma boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b:
  fixes v::v
  assumes  $\text{boxed-i } \Theta \ \Gamma \ b' \ bv \ i \ i'$  and  $i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{vb} \rrbracket \sim s$  and  $i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s'$  and  $\text{wfV } \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ v \ b$ 
  and  $\text{wfI } \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$ 
  shows  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta \ s \ b \ bv \ b' \ s'$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' b rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  hence  $\llbracket l \rrbracket = s \wedge \llbracket l \rrbracket = s'$  using eval-v-elims by auto
  moreover have  $b = \text{base-for-lit } l$  using wfV-elims(2) V-lit by metis
  ultimately show ?case using V-lit using eval-l-boxed-b subst-b-base-for-lit by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  hence Some s = i x  $\wedge$  Some s' = i' x using eval-v-elims subst-vb.simps by metis
  moreover obtain c1 where bc:Some (b,c1) = lookup  $\Gamma \ x$  using wfV-elims V-var by metis
  ultimately show ?case using bs-boxed-var V-var by metis

next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  then obtain b1 and b2 where  $b:b=B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$  using wfV-elims subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain s1 and s2 where  $s: \text{eval-v } i \ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) \ s1 \wedge \text{eval-v } i \ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) \ s2 \wedge s = \text{SPair } s1 \ s2$ 
  using eval-v-elims V-pair subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where  $s': \text{eval-v } i' \ v1 \ s1' \wedge \text{eval-v } i' \ v2 \ s2' \wedge s' = \text{SPair } s1' \ s2'$  using eval-v-elims
  V-pair by metis
  thm boxed-b-BPairI
  have  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta \ (\text{SPair } s1 \ s2) \ (B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2) \ bv \ b' \ (\text{SPair } s1' \ s2')$  proof(rule boxed-b-BPairI)
    show  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta \ s1 \ b1 \ bv \ b' \ s1'$  using V-pair eval-v-elims wfV-elims  $b \ s \ s' \ b.\text{eq-iff}$  by metis
    show  $\text{boxed-b } \Theta \ s2 \ b2 \ bv \ b' \ s2'$  using V-pair eval-v-elims wfV-elims  $b \ s \ s' \ b.\text{eq-iff}$  by metis
  qed
  then show ?case using  $s \ s' \ b$  by auto
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)

  obtain dclist x b1 c where  $*$ :  $b = B\text{-id } tyid \wedge AF\text{-typedef } tyid \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b1 \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1$ 
  using wfV-elims(4)[OF V-cons(5)] V-cons by metis
  obtain s2 where  $s2: s = \text{SCons } tyid \ dc \ s2 \wedge i \llbracket (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) \rrbracket \sim s2$  using eval-v-elims V-cons
  subst-vb.simps by metis
  obtain s2' where  $s2': s' = \text{SCons } tyid \ dc \ s2' \wedge i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s2'$  using eval-v-elims V-cons by metis

```

```

have sp: supp { x : b1 | c } = {} using wfTh-lookup-supp-empty * wfX-wfY by metis

have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SCons tyid dc s2) (B-id tyid) bv b' (SCons tyid dc s2')
proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI)
  show 1:AF-typedef tyid dclist  $\in$  set  $\Theta$  using * by auto
  show 2:(dc, { x : b1 | c })  $\in$  set dclist using * by auto
  have bv:atom bv  $\#$  b1 using sp  $\tau$ .fresh fresh-def by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash s2 \sim b1 [bv ::= b'] \setminus s2'$  using V-cons s2 s2' * by metis
qed
then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp
next
case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)

obtain bv2 dclist x2 b2 c2 where *: b = B-app tyid b1  $\wedge$  AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist  $\in$  set  $\Theta \wedge$ 
  (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 })  $\in$  set dclist  $\wedge$   $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb}$ 
  using wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp (5)] by metis

obtain s2 where s2: s = SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b]_{bb} s2  $\wedge$  i [ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) ]  $\sim$  s2
  using eval-v-elim V-consp subst-vb.simps by metis

obtain s2' where s2': s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s2'  $\wedge$  i' [ v1 ]  $\sim$  s2'
  using eval-v-elim V-consp by metis

thm obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff

have  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using V-consp wfX-wfY by metis
then obtain bv3::bv and dclist3 x3 b3 c3 where **: AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist = AF-typedef-poly
  tyid bv3 dclist3  $\wedge$ 
  (dc, { x3 : b3 | c3 })  $\in$  set dclist3  $\wedge$  atom bv3  $\#$  (b1, bv, b', s2, s2')  $\wedge$  b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb} =
  b3[bv3::=b1]_{bb}
  using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff[where tm=(b1, bv, b', s2, s2')] by metis

have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b]_{bb} s2) (B-app tyid b1) bv b' (SConsp tyid dc b1 s2')
proof(rule boxed-b-BConsI[of tyid bv3 dclist3  $\Theta$ , where x=x3 and b=b3 and c=c3])
  show 1:AF-typedef-poly tyid bv3 dclist3  $\in$  set  $\Theta$  using ** by auto
  show 2:(dc, { x3 : b3 | c3 })  $\in$  set dclist3 using ** by auto
  show atom bv3  $\#$  (b1, bv, b', s2, s2') using ** by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash s2 \sim b3[bv3::=b1]_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s2'$  using V-consp s2 s2' * ** by metis
qed
then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp

qed

lemma boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i' [ e ]  $\sim$  s' and i [ e[bv::=b]_{ceb} ]  $\sim$  s and wfCE  $\Theta B \Gamma e b$  and boxed-i  $\Theta \Gamma b' bv i i'$ 
  and wfI  $\Theta \Gamma i'$ 
  shows boxed-b  $\Theta s b bv b' s'$ 
  using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' b b' rule: ce.strong-induct)

```

```

case (CE-val x)
then show ?case using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b eval-e-elim wfCE-elim subst-ceb.simps by metis
next
case (CE-op opp v1 v2)

have 1:wfCE  $\Theta$  B  $\Gamma$  v1 (B-int) using wfCE-elim CE-op by metis
have 2:wfCE  $\Theta$  B  $\Gamma$  v2 (B-int) using wfCE-elim CE-op by metis

consider (Plus) opp = Plus | (LEq) opp = LEq using opp.exhaust by auto
then show ?case proof(cases)
  case Plus
  have *:b = B-int using CE-op wfCE-elim Plus by metis

  obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SNum (n1 + n2)  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n1  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$ 
  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n2 using eval-e-elim CE-op subst-ceb.simps Plus by metis
  obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SNum (n1' + n2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum n1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum
  n2' using eval-e-elim Plus CE-op by metis

  have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op by
  metis
  moreover have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n2) B-int bv b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
  n' CE-op by metis
  ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elim(2)
  by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BIntI CE-op wfRCV.intros Plus by simp
next
  case LEq
  hence *:b = B-bool using CE-op wfCE-elim by metis
  obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SBool (n1  $\leq$  n2)  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n1  $\wedge$  i  $\llbracket$ 
  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SNum n2 using eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps CE-op LEq by metis
  obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SBool (n1'  $\leq$  n2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum n1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket \sim$  SNum
  n2' using eval-e-elim CE-op LEq by metis

  have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op by
  metis
  moreover have boxed-b  $\Theta$  (SNum n2) B-int bv b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
  n' CE-op by metis
  ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elim(2)
  by (metis rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BBoolI CE-op wfRCV.intros LEq by simp
qed

next
case (CE-concat v1 v2)

  obtain bv1 and bv2 where s : s = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2)  $\wedge$  (i  $\llbracket$  v1[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SBitvec bv1)  $\wedge$  i
   $\llbracket$  v2[bv::=b] $\rrbracket_{ceb} \sim$  SBitvec bv2
  using eval-e-elim(6) subst-ceb.simps CE-concat.prem(2) eval-e-elim(6) subst-ceb.simps(6) by
  metis
  obtain bv1' and bv2' where s' : s' = SBitvec (bv1' @ bv2')  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v1  $\rrbracket \sim$  SBitvec bv1'  $\wedge$  i'  $\llbracket$  v2  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\sim$  SBitvec bv2'
  using eval-e-elim(6) CE-concat by metis

```

then show $?case$ **using** *boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b wfCE-elim s s' CE-concat*
by (*metis CE-concat.prem (3) assms assms (5) wfRCV-BBitvec boxed-b-Bbitvec boxed-b-elim (7) eval-e-concatI eval-e-uniqueness*)
next
case (*CE-fst ce*)
obtain *s2* **where** $1:i \llbracket ce[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s\ s2$ **using** *CE-fst eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps* **by** *metis*
obtain *s2'* **where** $2:i' \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s'\ s2'$ **using** *CE-fst eval-e-elim* **by** *metis*
obtain *b2* **where** $3:wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ ce\ (B\text{-pair}\ b\ b2)$ **using** *wfCE-elim (4) CE-fst* **by** *metis*

have *boxed-b* $\Theta\ (SPair\ s\ s2)\ (B\text{-pair}\ b\ b2)\ bv\ b'\ (SPair\ s'\ s2')$
using *1 2 3 CE-fst boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b boxed-b-BPairI* **by** *auto*
thus $?case$ **using** *boxed-b-elim (5)* **by** *force*
next
case (*CE-snd v*)
obtain *s1* **where** $1:i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s1\ s$ **using** *CE-snd eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps* **by** *metis*
obtain *s1'* **where** $2:i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SPair\ s1'\ s'$ **using** *CE-snd eval-e-elim* **by** *metis*
obtain *b1* **where** $3:wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ v\ (B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b)$ **using** *wfCE-elim (5) CE-snd* **by** *metis*

have *boxed-b* $\Theta\ (SPair\ s1\ s)\ (B\text{-pair}\ b1\ b)\ bv\ b'\ (SPair\ s1'\ s')$ **using** *1 2 3 CE-snd boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b*
by *simp*
thus $?case$ **using** *boxed-b-elim (5)* **by** *force*
next
case (*CE-len v*)
obtain *s1* **where** $s: i \llbracket v[bv::=b]_{ceb} \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ s1$ **using** *CE-len eval-e-elim subst-ceb.simps* **by** *metis*
obtain *s1'* **where** $s': i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ s1'$ **using** *CE-len eval-e-elim* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-bitvec} \wedge b = B\text{-int}$ **using** *wfCE-elim CE-len* **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** *boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b s s' CE-len*
by (*metis boxed-b-BIntI boxed-b-elim (7) eval-e-lenI eval-e-uniqueness subst-ceb.simps (5) wfi-wfCE-eval-e*)
qed

lemma *eval-c-eq-bs-boxed:*

fixes $c::c$
assumes $i \llbracket c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \sim s$ **and** $i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ i'$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i$
and *boxed-i* $\Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$
shows $s = s'$
using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct*)
case *C-true*
then show $?case$ **using** *eval-c-elim subst-cb.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case *C-false*
then show $?case$ **using** *eval-c-elim subst-cb.simps* **by** *metis*
next
case (*C-conj c1 c2*)
obtain *s1* **and** *s2* **where** $1: eval\text{-}c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \wedge s2)$
using *C-conj eval-c-elim (3) subst-cb.simps (3)* **by** *metis*

obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \wedge s2')$ **using** $C-conj$
 $eval-c-elim3(3)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-conj$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-disj\ c1\ c2$)

obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval-c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \vee s2)$
using $C-disj\ eval-c-elim3(4)\ subst-cb.simps(4)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \vee s2')$ **using** $C-disj$
 $eval-c-elim3(4)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-disj$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-not\ c$)
obtain $s1::bool$ **where** $1: (i\ \llbracket\ c[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (s = (\neg s1))$ **using** $C-not\ eval-c-elim3(6)$
 $subst-cb.simps(7)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1':bool$ **where** $2: (i'\ \llbracket\ c\ \rrbracket \sim s1') \wedge (s' = (\neg s1'))$ **using** $C-not\ eval-c-elim3(6)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-not$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-imp\ c1\ c2$)
obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-c\ i\ (c1[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s1 \wedge eval-c\ i\ (c2[bv::=b]_{cb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 \longrightarrow s2)$ **using** $C-imp\ eval-c-elim3(5)\ subst-cb.simps(5)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \wedge eval-c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \longrightarrow s2')$ **using** $C-imp$
 $eval-c-elim3(5)$ **by** *metis*
then show $?case$ **using** $1\ 2\ wfC-elim3\ C-imp$ **by** *metis*
next
case ($C-eq\ e1\ e2$)
obtain be **where** $be: wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ e1\ be \wedge wfCE\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ e2\ be$ **using** $C-eq\ wfC-elim3$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1$ **and** $s2$ **where** $1: eval-e\ i\ (e1[bv::=b]_{ceb})\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ (e2[bv::=b]_{ceb})\ s2 \wedge s = (s1 = s2)$ **using** $C-eq\ eval-c-elim3(7)\ subst-cb.simps(6)$ **by** *metis*
obtain $s1'$ **and** $s2'$ **where** $2:eval-e\ i'\ e1\ s1' \wedge eval-e\ i'\ e2\ s2' \wedge s' = (s1' = s2')$ **using** $C-eq$
 $eval-c-elim3(7)$ **by** *metis*
have $\vdash_{wf}\ \Theta$ **using** $C-eq\ wfX-wfY$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $\Theta ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash i$ **using** $C-eq$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $?case$ **using** $boxed-b-eq[of\ \Theta\ s1\ be\ bv\ b\ s1'\ s2'\ s2']\ 1\ 2\ boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b\ C-eq$
 $wfC-elim3\ subst-cb.simps\ 1\ 2\ be$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *is-satis-bs-boxed*:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $boxed-i\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b}\ i$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$
and $(i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb})$
shows $(i' \models c)$
proof –

have $eval-c\ i\ (c[bv::=b]_{cb})\ True$ **using** $is-satis.simps\ assms$ **by** *auto*
moreover obtain s **where** $i'\ \llbracket\ c\ \rrbracket \sim s$ **using** $eval-c-exist\ assms$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $eval-c-eq-bs-boxed\ assms\ is-satis.simps$ **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *is-satis-bs-boxed-rev*:

fixes $c::c$
assumes $boxed-i\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ b\ bv\ i\ i'$ **and** $wfC\ \Theta\ B\ \Gamma\ c$ **and** $wfI\ \Theta\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma_b}\ i$ **and** $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$ **and** wfC

$\Theta \{||\} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} (c[bv::=b]_{cb})$

and $(i' \models c)$

shows $(i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb})$

proof –

have *eval-c* $i' c$ *True* using *is-satis.simps* *assms* by *auto*

moreover obtain s where $i \llbracket c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \sim s$ using *eval-c-exist* *assms* by *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *eval-c-eq-bs-boxed* *assms* *is-satis.simps* by *metis*

qed

lemma *bs-boxed-wfi-aux*:

fixes $b::b$ and $bv::bv$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $B::\mathcal{B}$

assumes *boxed-i* $\Theta \Gamma b bv i i'$ and *wfI* $\Theta \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} i$ and $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ and *wfG* $\Theta B \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$

using *assms* proof(*induct* rule: *boxed-i.inducts*)

case (*boxed-i-GNilI* $T i$)

then show *?case* using *wfI-def* by *auto*

next

case (*boxed-i-GConsI* $s i x1 T b1 bv b s' G i' c1$)

{

fix $x2 b2 c2$

assume $as : (x2, b2, c2) \in setG ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)$

then consider (*hd*) $(x2, b2, c2) = (x1, b1, c1) \mid (tail) (x2, b2, c2) \in setG G$ using *setG.simps* by

auto

hence $\exists s. Some\ s = (i'(x1 \mapsto s'))\ x2 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ s\ b2$ proof(*cases*)

case *hd*

hence $b1=b2$ by *auto*

moreover have $(x2, b2[bv::=b]_{bb}, c2[bv::=b]_{cb}) \in setG ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ using *hd*

subst-gb.simps by *simp*

moreover hence *wfRCV* $T\ s\ b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$ using *wfI-def* *boxed-i-GConsI* *hd*

proof –

obtain $ss :: b \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow rcl-val\ option) \Rightarrow type-def\ list \Rightarrow rcl-val$ where

$\forall x1a\ x2a\ x3\ x4. (\exists v5. Some\ v5 = x3\ x2a \wedge wfRCV\ x4\ v5\ x1a) = (Some\ (ss\ x1a\ x2a\ x3\ x4) = x3\ x2a \wedge wfRCV\ x4\ (ss\ x1a\ x2a\ x3\ x4)\ x1a)$

by *moura*

then have $f1: Some\ (ss\ b2[bv::=b]_{bb}\ x1\ i\ T) = i\ x1 \wedge wfRCV\ T\ (ss\ b2[bv::=b]_{bb}\ x1\ i\ T)$

$b2[bv::=b]_{bb}$

using *boxed-i-GConsI.prem*s(1) *hd* *wfI-def* by *auto*

then have $ss\ b2[bv::=b]_{bb}\ x1\ i\ T = s$

by (*metis* (*no-types*) *boxed-i-GConsI.hyps*(1) *option.inject*)

then show *?thesis*

using *f1* by *blast*

qed

ultimately have *wfRCV* $T\ s'\ b2$ using *boxed-i-GConsI* *boxed-b-wfRCV* by *metis*

then show *?thesis* using *hd* by *simp*

next

case *tail*

hence *wfI* $T\ G\ i'$ using *boxed-i-GConsI* *wfI-suffix* *wfG-suffix* *subst-gb.simps*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Un-iff* *setG.simps*(2) *wfG-cons2* *wfI-def*)

then show *?thesis* using *wfI-def*[*of* $T\ G\ i'$] *tail*

```

      using boxed-i-GConsI.premis(3) split-G wfG-cons-fresh2 by fastforce
    qed
  }
  thus ?case using wfI-def by fast

qed

lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux:
  fixes G::Γ
  assumes boxed-i Θ G1 b bv i i' and wfI Θ G1[bv::=b]Γb i and wfI Θ G1 i' and G1 = (G2@G)
and wfG Θ B G1
  and (i ⊨ G[bv::=b]Γb)
  shows (i' ⊨ G)
using assms proof(induct G arbitrary: G2 rule: Γ-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x' b' c' Γ' G2)
  show ?case proof(subst is-satis-g.simps,rule)
    have *:wfC Θ B G1 c' using GCons wfG-wfC-inside by force
    show i' ⊨ c' using is-satis-bs-boxed[OF assms(1) *] GCons by auto
    obtain G3 where G1 = G3 @ Γ' using GCons append-g.simps
      by (metis append-g-assoc)
    then show i' ⊨ Γ' using GCons append-g.simps by simp
  qed
qed

lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed:
  fixes G::Γ
  assumes boxed-i Θ G b bv i i' and wfI Θ G[bv::=b]Γb i and wfI Θ G i' and wfG Θ B G
  and (i ⊨ G[bv::=b]Γb)
  shows (i' ⊨ G)
  using is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux assms
  by (metis (full-types) append-g.simps(1))

lemma subst-b-valid:
  fixes s::s and b::b
  assumes Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = {|bv|} and Θ ; {|bv|} ; Γ ⊢ c
  shows Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ c[bv::=b]cb
proof(rule validI)
  show *:Θ ; {||} ; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢wf c[bv::=b]cb using assms valid.simps wf-b-subst subst-gb.simps
  by metis
  show ∀ i. (wfI Θ Γ[bv::=b]Γb i ∧ i ⊨ Γ[bv::=b]Γb) ⟶ i ⊨ c[bv::=b]cb
  proof(rule,rule)
    fix i
    assume *:wfI Θ Γ[bv::=b]Γb i ∧ i ⊨ Γ[bv::=b]Γb

    obtain i' where idash: boxed-i Θ Γ b bv i i' using boxed-i-ex wfX-wfY assms * by fastforce

```

```

have wfc:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using valid.simps assms by simp
have wfg:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using valid.simps wfX-wfY assms by metis
hence wfi:  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$  using idash * bs-boxed-wfi-aux subst-gb.simps wfX-wfY by metis
moreover have  $i' \models \Gamma$  proof (rule is-satis-g-bs-boxed [OF idash] wfX-wfY (2) [OF wfc])
  show  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ i$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
  show  $wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i'$  using wfi by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  using wfg assms by auto
  show  $i \models \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
qed
ultimately have  $ic:i' \models c$  using assms valid-def using valid.simps by blast

```

```

show  $i \models c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  proof (rule is-satis-bs-boxed-rev)
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma ; b, bv \vdash i \approx i'$  using idash by auto
  show  $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfc assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i$  using subst-gb.simps * by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$  using wfi by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma [bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using ** by auto
  show  $i' \models c$  using ic by auto
qed

```

qed
qed

11.7 Expression Operator Lemmas

lemma *is-satis-len-imp*:

```

assumes  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (int \ (length \ v))))$  (is is-satis i ?c1)
shows  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}len \ [V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v)]^{ce})$ 
proof –
  have  $*:eval\text{-}c \ i \ ?c1 \ True$  using assms is-satis.simps by blast
  then have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (int \ (length \ v)))) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
  hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x)) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$  using eval-c-elim(7) [OF *]
    by (metis eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim(1))
  moreover have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}len \ [V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v)]^{ce}) \ (SNum \ (int \ (length \ v)))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *is-satis-plus-imp*:

```

assumes  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (n1+n2))))$  (is is-satis i ?c1)
shows  $i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)]^{ce}))$ 
proof –
  have  $*:eval\text{-}c \ i \ ?c1 \ True$  using assms is-satis.simps by blast
  then have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (n1+n2)))) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
  hence  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x)) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$  using eval-c-elim(7) [OF *]
    by (metis eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-elim(1))
  moreover have  $eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)]^{ce})) \ (SNum \ (n1+n2))$ 
    using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce

```


qed

lemma *is-satis-leq-imp*:

assumes $i \models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit\ (if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-}true\ else\ L\text{-}false)))$ (**is** *is-satis* $i\ ?c1$)

shows $i \models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2))]^{ce})$

proof –

have $\ast: eval\text{-}c\ i\ ?c1\ True$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps* **by** *blast*

then have $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-}true\ else\ L\text{-}false))))$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$)

using *eval-e-elim1 eval-v-elim eval-l.simps*

by (*metis* *(full-types) eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI*)

hence $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x))$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$) **using** *eval-c-elim(7)[OF *]*

by (*metis eval-e-elim1 eval-v-elim1*)

moreover have $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2))]^{ce})$ (*SBool* $(n1 \leq n2)$)

using *eval-e-elim(3) eval-v-elim eval-l.simps* **by** (*metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *eval-c.intros is-satis.simps* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *valid-eq-e*:

assumes $\forall i\ s1\ s2. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil \wedge wfI\ P\ GNil\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ e1\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ e2\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$

and $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ e1\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ e2\ b$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e2$

unfolding *valid.simps*

proof(*intro conjI*)

show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rangle$

using *assms wf-intros wfX-wfY b.eq-iff fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq2 wfV-elim* **by** *meson*

show $\langle \forall i. ((P ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \models (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) \longrightarrow$

$(i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2)) \rangle$ **proof**(*rule+*)

fix i

assume $as: P ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

have $\ast: P ; GNil \vdash i$ **using** *wfI-def* **by** *auto*

then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1: eval\text{-}e\ i\ e1\ s1$ **using** *assms eval-e-exist* **by** *metis*

obtain $s2$ **where** $s2: eval\text{-}e\ i\ e2\ s2$ **using** *assms eval-e-exist ** **by** *metis*

moreover have $i\ x = Some\ s1$ **proof** –

have $i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1$ **using** *as is-satis-g.simps* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** $s1$

by (*metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-elim1 eval-e-uniqueness eval-v-elim(2) is-satis.cases*)

qed

moreover have $s1 = s2$ **using** $s1\ s2\ \ast$ *assms wfG-nilI wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

ultimately show $i \llbracket [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rrbracket \sim True$

using *eval-c.intros eval-e.intros eval-v.intros*

proof –

have $i \llbracket e2 \rrbracket \sim s1$

by (*metis* $\langle s1 = s2 \rangle\ s2$)

then show *?thesis*

by (*metis* *(full-types)* $\langle i\ x = Some\ s1 \rangle\ eval\text{-}c\text{-}eqI\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}valI\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}varI$)

qed
qed
qed

lemma valid-len:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-int}, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num} (int (length v))]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == CE\text{-len} [[L\text{-bitvec } v]^v]^{ce} \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c \text{)}$

proof –

have $*:\Theta \vdash_{wf} ([::\Phi) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$ **using** *assms wfG-nilI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num} (int (length v)))) : B\text{-int}$
using *wfCE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps*
by (*metis wfE-valI wfX-wfY*)

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec } v))]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$
using *wfE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps wfE-valI wfX-wfY wfCE-valI*
by (*metis wfCE-lenI*)

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# GNil$ **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c$ **using** *wfC-e-eq2 assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $(\forall i. wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-satis}\ i\ ?c)$ **using** *is-satis-len-imp* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma valid-bop:

assumes $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **and** $opp = Plus \wedge ll = (L\text{-num} (n1+n2)) \vee (opp = LEq \wedge ll = (if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))$

and $(opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B\text{-int}) \wedge (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B\text{-bool})$ **and**

$atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (ll))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\models (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce}))$
 $\text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c \text{)}$

proof –

have $wfC\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule wfC-e-eq2*)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } ll) : b$ **using** *wfCE-valI wfV-litI assms base-for-lit.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce}) : b$

using *wfCE-plusI wfCE-leqI wfV-litI wfCE-valI base-for-lit.simps assms* **by** *metis*

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-satis}\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI , rule impI*)

fix *i*

assume $wfI\ \Theta\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-satis-}g\ i\ ?G$

hence $is\text{-satis}\ i\ ((CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (ll)))$ **by** *auto*

thus $is\text{-satis}\ i\ ((CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-op } opp\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n2)]^{ce})))$

using *is-satis-plus-imp* *assms* *opp.exhaust* *is-satis-leq-imp* by *auto*
 qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *valid.simps* by *metis*
 qed

lemma *valid-fst*:

fixes $x::x$ and $v_1::v$ and $v_2::v$
 assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$ and $wfV \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \ (B\text{-pair } b_1 \ b_2)$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_1, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_1]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}$
 proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i \ s1 \ s2. \ (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Theta ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2) \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \rangle$
 proof(*rule+*)
 fix $i \ s1 \ s2$
 assume $as:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil \wedge \Theta ; GNil \vdash i \wedge (i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2)$
 then obtain $s2'$ where $*:i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v \rrbracket \sim SPair \ s2 \ s2'$
 using *eval-e-elim*(4)[*of* $i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} \ s2$] *eval-e-elim*
 by *meson*
 then have $i \llbracket [v_1]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2$ using *eval-v-elim*(3)[*OF* $*$] by *auto*
 then show $s1 = s2$ using *eval-v-uniqueness* as
 using *eval-e-uniqueness* *eval-e-valI* by *blast*
 qed
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [v_1]^{ce} : b_1 \rangle$ using *assms*
 by (*metis* *b.eq-iff*(4) *wfV-elim*(3) *wfV-wfCE*)
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_1 \rangle$ using *assms* using *wfCE-fstI*
 using *wfCE-valI* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *valid-snd*:

fixes $x::x$ and $v_1::v$ and $v_2::v$
 assumes $wfTh \ \Theta$ and $wfV \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \ (B\text{-pair } b_1 \ b_2)$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_2, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_2]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}$
 proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i \ s1 \ s2. \ (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Theta ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2) \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \rangle$
 proof(*rule+*)
 fix $i \ s1 \ s2$
 assume $as:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil \wedge \Theta ; GNil \vdash i \wedge (i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2)$
 then obtain $s2'$ where $*:i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v \rrbracket \sim SPair \ s2' \ s2$
 using *eval-e-elim*(4)[*of* $i \llbracket [v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} \ s2$] *eval-e-elim*
 by *meson*
 then have $i \llbracket [v_2]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2$ using *eval-v-elim*(3)[*OF* $*$] by *auto*
 then show $s1 = s2$ using *eval-v-uniqueness* as
 using *eval-e-uniqueness* *eval-e-valI* by *blast*
 qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [v_2]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle$ using *assms*

by (metis b.eq-iff wfV-elim wfV-wfCE)
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle$ using *assms* using *wfCE-sndI* *wfCE-valI* by
blast
 qed

lemma valid-concat:

fixes *v1::bit list* and *v2::bit list*
 assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Pi$
 shows $\Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bitvec}, (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)))) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models$
 $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == CE\text{-concat } ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)]^{ce}) ([V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)]^{ce}))$
proof(*rule valid-eq-e*)
 show $\langle \forall i s1 s2. ((\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge$
 $(i \llbracket [[L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge (i \llbracket [[[L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v]^{ce} @ [[L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2) \longrightarrow$
 $s1 = s2) \rangle$
proof(*rule+*)
 fix *i s1 s2*
 assume *as*: $(\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \wedge (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \llbracket [[L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \wedge$
 $(i \llbracket [[[L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v]^{ce} @ [[L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2)$

 hence *: $i \llbracket [[[L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v]^{ce} @ [[L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s2$ by *auto*
 obtain *bv1 bv2* where $s2:s2 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2) \wedge i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv1 \wedge (i \llbracket [L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv2)$
 using *eval-e-elim*(6)[*OF* *] *eval-e-elim*(1) by *metis*
 hence $v1 = bv1 \wedge v2 = bv2$ using *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps*(5) by *force*
 moreover then have $s1 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2)$ using *s2* using *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps*(5)
 by (metis *as eval-e-elim*(1))

then show $s1 = s2$ using *s2* by *auto*
 qed

show $\langle \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [[L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)]^v]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec} \rangle$
 by (metis *assms base-for-lit.simps*(5) *wfG-nilI* *wfV-litI* *wfV-wfCE*)
 show $\langle \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [[[L\text{-bitvec } v1]^v]^{ce} @ [[L\text{-bitvec } v2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec} \rangle$
 by (metis *assms base-for-lit.simps*(5) *wfCE-concatI* *wfG-nilI* *wfV-litI* *wfCE-valI*)
 qed

lemma valid-ce-eq:

fixes *ce::ce*
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b$
 shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models ce == ce \rangle$
unfolding valid.simps proof
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce == ce \rangle$ using *assms wfC-eqI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \forall i. \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow i \models ce == ce \rangle$ **proof**(*rule+*)
 fix *i*
 assume $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma$
 then obtain *s* where $i \llbracket ce \rrbracket \sim s$ using *assms eval-e-exist* by *metis*
 then show $i \llbracket ce == ce \rrbracket \sim True$ using *eval-c-eqI* by *metis*
 qed
 qed

```

lemma valid-eq-imp:
  fixes  $c1::c$  and  $c2::c$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ IMP } c2$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c1 \text{ IMP } c2$ 
proof –
  have  $\forall i. (\Theta ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2)$ 
  proof(rule,rule)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $as:\Theta ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 

    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1$  using wfC-elim assms by metis

    then obtain  $sc$  where  $i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim sc$  using eval-c-exist assms as by metis
    moreover have  $i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using as is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by auto

    ultimately have  $i \llbracket c1 \text{ IMP } c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using eval-c-impI by metis

    thus  $i \models c1 \text{ IMP } c2$  using is-satis.simps by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

lemma valid-range:
  assumes  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq m$  and  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; (x, B\text{-int}, (C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n)))) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models$ 
 $(C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } m)))) \llbracket L\text{-true}$ 
 $\rrbracket^v ]^{ce}) \text{ AND}$ 
 $(C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v$ 
 $]^{ce})$ 
  (is  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ )
proof(rule validI)
  have  $wfg: \Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-int}, \llbracket x \rrbracket^v ]^{ce} == \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v ]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ 
  using assms base-for-lit.simps wfG-nilI wfV-litI fresh-GNil wfB-intI wfC-v-eq wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I
by metis

  show  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ 
  using wfC-conjI wfC-eqI wfCE-leqI wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfV-litI
wfB-intI wfB-boolI
  by metis

  show  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  proof(rule,rule)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $a:\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$ 
    hence  $*:i \llbracket V\text{-var } x \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n$ 
    proof –
      obtain  $sv$  where  $sv: i\ x = \text{Some } sv \wedge \Theta \vdash sv : B\text{-int}$  using a wfI-def by force
      have  $i \llbracket (C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n)))) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
      using a is-satis-g.simps
      using is-satis.cases by blast
      hence  $i\ x = \text{Some}(SNum\ n)$  using  $sv$ 
      by (metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-elim(1) eval-l.simps(3) eval-v-elim(1) eval-v-elim(2))
    
```

```

    thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
qed

show i  $\models$  ?c1 AND ?c2
proof -
  have i  $\llbracket$  ?c1  $\rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
  proof -
    have i  $\llbracket$  [ leq [ [ x ]v ]ce [ [ L-num m ]v ]ce ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim \text{SBool True}$ 
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i  $\llbracket$  [ [ L-true ]v ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim \text{SBool True}$ 
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  moreover have i  $\llbracket$  ?c2  $\rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
  proof -
    have i  $\llbracket$  [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]v ]ce [ [ x ]v ]ce ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim \text{SBool True}$ 
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i  $\llbracket$  [ [ L-true ]v ]ce  $\rrbracket \sim \text{SBool True}$ 
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma valid-range-length:
  fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket\rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } x \nmid \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket\rrbracket\} ; (x, B\text{-int } , (C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models$ 
     $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket [ L\text{-true } ]^v ]^{ce} )$ 
  AND
     $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (\llbracket [ [ L\text{-bitvec } v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} )) \llbracket [ L\text{-true } ]^v ]^{ce} )$ 
    (is  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket\rrbracket\} ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ )
proof(rule validI)
  have wfg:  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket\rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-int}, [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-num } n ]^v ]^{ce} ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  apply(rule wfg-cons1I)
    apply simp
    using assms apply simp+
    using assms base-for-lit.simps wfg-nilI wfgV-litI wfgB-intI wfgC-v-eq wfgB-intI wfgX-wfY assms by
  metis+

  show  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket\rrbracket\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$ 
    using wfgC-conjI wfgC-eqI wfgCE-leqI wfgCE-valI wfgV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfgV-litI
    wfgB-intI wfgB-boolI
    by (metis (full-types) wfgCE-lenI)

  show  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  proof(rule,rule)

```

```

fix i
assume a:Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G
hence *:i [ V-var x ] ~ SNum n
proof -
  obtain sv where sv: i x = Some sv ∧ Θ ⊢ sv : B-int using a wfi-def by force
  have i [ (C-eq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n)))) ] ~ True
    using a is-satis-g.simps
    using is-satis.cases by blast
  hence i x = Some(SNum n) using sv
    by (metis eval-c-elim(7) eval-e-elim(1) eval-l.simps(3) eval-v-elim(1) eval-v-elim(2))
  thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
qed

show i ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2
proof -
  have i [ ?c2 ] ~ True
  proof -
    have i [ [ leq [ [ x ]v ]ce [ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-lenI eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i [ [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  moreover have i [ ?c1 ] ~ True
  proof -
    have i [ [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]v ]ce [ [ x ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-e-leqI assms eval-v-litI eval-l.simps *
      by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
    moreover have i [ [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ] ~ SBool True
      using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed

  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
qed
qed
qed

thm valid-weakening

lemma valid-range-length-inv-gnil:
  fixes Γ::Γ
  assumes ⊢wf Θ
  and Θ ; {||} ; (x, B-int , (C-eq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n))))) #Γ GNil ⊨
    (C-eq (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-lit (L-num 0))) (CE-val (V-var x))) [ [ L-true ]v ]ce)
AND
    (C-eq (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-var x)) ([ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ]ce )) [ [ L-true ]v ]ce)

  (is Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2)
  shows 0 ≤ n ∧ n ≤ int (length v)
proof -

```

```

have *:  $\forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  using assms valid.simps by simp

obtain i where i:  $i x = \text{Some } (SNum\ n)$  by auto
have  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$  proof
  show  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i$  unfolding wfI-def using wfRCV-BIntI i * by auto
  have  $i \llbracket ([ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L-num\ n ]^v ]^{ce} ) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
    using * eval-c.intros(7) eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
    by (metis (full-types) i)
  thus  $i \models ?G$  unfolding is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by auto
qed
hence  $** : i \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$  using * by auto

hence  $1 : i \llbracket ?c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using eval-c-elim(3) is-satis.simps
  by fastforce
then obtain sv1 and sv2 where  $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
 $\sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$ 
  using eval-c-elim(7) by metis
hence  $sv1 = SBool\ \text{True}$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim eval-l.simps i by metis
obtain n1 and n2 where  $SBool\ \text{True} = SBool\ (n1 \leq n2) \wedge (i \llbracket [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n1)$ 
 $\wedge (i \llbracket [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n2)$ 
  using eval-e-elim(3)[of i [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} SBool\ \text{True}]
  using  $\langle (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ L-num\ 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rangle$ 
 $\langle sv1 = SBool\ \text{True} \rangle$  by fastforce
moreover hence  $n1 = 0$  and  $n2 = n$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim i
  apply (metis eval-l.simps(3) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim i
  by (metis calculation option.inject rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
ultimately have  $le1 : 0 \leq n$  by simp

hence  $2 : i \llbracket ?c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using ** eval-c-elim(3) is-satis.simps
  by fastforce
then obtain sv1 and sv2 where  $sv : (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket [ leq [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ [ L-bitvec\ v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
 $\sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$ 
  using eval-c-elim(7) by metis
hence  $sv1 = SBool\ \text{True}$  using eval-e-elim eval-v-elim eval-l.simps i by metis
obtain n1 and n2 where  $*** : SBool\ \text{True} = SBool\ (n1 \leq n2) \wedge (i \llbracket [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n1) \wedge (i$ 
 $\llbracket [ [ [ L-bitvec\ v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim SNum\ n2)$ 
  using eval-e-elim(3)
  using  $sv \langle sv1 = SBool\ \text{True} \rangle$  by metis
moreover hence  $n1 = n$  using eval-e-elim(1)[of i] eval-v-elim(2)[of i x SNum\ n1] i by auto
moreover have  $n2 = \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  using eval-e-elim(7) eval-v-elim(1) eval-l.simps i
  by (metis *** eval-e-elim(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
ultimately have  $le2 : n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$  by simp

show ?thesis using le1 le2 by auto
qed

thm wfI-def

lemma wfI-cons:
  fixes i::valuation and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $i' \models \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$  and  $i = i' (x \mapsto s)$  and  $\Theta \vdash s : b$  and  $\text{atom } x \nmid \Gamma$ 

```



```

shows  $\Theta ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i$ 
unfolding wfI-def proof -
{
  fix  $x' b' c'$ 
  assume  $(x', b', c') \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  then consider  $(x', b', c') = (x, b, c) \mid (x', b', c') \in \text{setG } \Gamma$  using setG.simps by auto
  then have  $\exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x' \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b'$  proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using assms by auto
  next
  case 2
  then obtain  $s$  where  $s : \text{Some } s = i' \ x' \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b'$  using assms wfI-def by auto
  moreover have  $x' \neq x$  using assms 2 fresh-dom-free by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{Some } s = i \ x'$  using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using  $s$  wfI-def by auto
qed
}
thus  $\forall (x, b, c) \in \text{setG } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). \exists s. \text{Some } s = i \ x \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *valid-range-length-inv*:

```

fixes  $\Gamma :: \Gamma$ 
assumes  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and atom  $x \# \Gamma$  and  $\exists i. i \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i$ 
and  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; (x, B\text{-int } , (C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models$ 
   $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } 0))) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))) \llbracket L\text{-true } \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce})$ 
AND
   $(C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-op } LEq (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) (\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce})) \llbracket L\text{-true } \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce})$ 

  (is  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; ?G \models ?c1$  AND  $?c2$ )
shows  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } v)$ 
proof -
  have  $*: \forall i. \Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1$  AND  $?c2$  using assms valid.simps by simp

  obtain  $i'$  where idash:  $is\text{-satis-g } i' \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$  using assms by auto
  obtain  $i$  where  $i : i = i' (x \mapsto SNum\ n)$  by auto
  hence  $ix : i \ x = \text{Some } (SNum\ n)$  by auto
  have  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i \wedge i \models ?G$  proof
    show  $\Theta ; ?G \vdash i$  using wfI-cons i idash ix wfRCV-BIntI assms by simp

    have  $**: i \llbracket (\llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ 
      using  $*$  eval-c.intros(7) eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps i
      by (metis (full-types) ix)

    show  $i \models ?G$  unfolding is-satis-g.simps proof
      show  $\langle i \models \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rangle$  using  $**$  is-satis.simps by auto
      show  $\langle i \models \Gamma \rangle$  using idash i assms is-satis-g-i-upd by metis
  qed
qed
hence  $**: i \models ?c1$  AND  $?c2$  using  $*$  by auto

hence  $1 : i \llbracket ?c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$  using eval-c.elims(3) is-satis.simps

```

by *fastforce*
 then obtain *sv1* and *sv2* where $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$
 using *eval-c-elim*(7) by *metis*
 hence *sv1* = *SBool True* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *eval-l.simps* *i* by *metis*
 obtain *n1* and *n2* where *SBool True* = *SBool* (*n1* ≤ *n2*) ∧ (*i* $\llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim S\text{Num } n1$)
 ∧ (*i* $\llbracket \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim S\text{Num } n2$)
 using *eval-e-elim*(3)[*of i* $\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \text{ SBool True}$]
 using $\langle (sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } 0 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rangle \langle sv1 = \text{SBool True} \rangle$ by *fastforce*
 moreover hence *n1* = 0 and *n2* = *n* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *i*
 apply (*metis eval-l.simps*(3) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(2))
 using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *i*
 calculation *option.inject* *rcl-val.eq-iff*(2)
 by (*metis ix*)
 ultimately have *le1*: 0 ≤ *n* by *simp*

 hence 2: *i* $\llbracket ?c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ using ** *eval-c-elim*(3) *is-satis.simps*
 by *fastforce*
 then obtain *sv1* and *sv2* where *sv*: $(sv1 = sv2) = \text{True} \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \text{leq} \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-true} \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2$
 using *eval-c-elim*(7) by *metis*
 hence *sv1* = *SBool True* using *eval-e-elim* *eval-v-elim* *eval-l.simps* *i* by *metis*
 obtain *n1* and *n2* where ***:*SBool True* = *SBool* (*n1* ≤ *n2*) ∧ (*i* $\llbracket \llbracket \llbracket x \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim S\text{Num } n1$) ∧ (*i* $\llbracket \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket \sim S\text{Num } n2$)
 using *eval-e-elim*(3)
 using *sv* $\langle sv1 = \text{SBool True} \rangle$ by *metis*
 moreover hence *n1* = *n* using *eval-e-elim*(1)[*of i*] *eval-v-elim*(2)[*of i x SNum n1*] *i* by *auto*
 moreover have *n2* = *int* (*length v*) using *eval-e-elim*(7) *eval-v-elim*(1) *eval-l.simps* *i*
 by (*metis* *** *eval-e-elim*(1) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(1) *rcl-val.eq-iff*(2))
 ultimately have *le2*: *n* ≤ *int* (*length v*) by *simp*

 show *?thesis* using *le1 le2* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *eval-c-conj2I*[*intro*]:

assumes *i* $\llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ and *i* $\llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 shows *i* $\llbracket (C\text{-conj } c1 \ c2) \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$
 using *assms eval-c-conjI* by *metis*

lemma *valid-split*:

assumes *split* *n v* (*v1,v2*) and $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
 shows $\Theta ; \{||\} ; (z, [B\text{-bitvec} , B\text{-bitvec}]^b , \llbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v1 \rrbracket^v , \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v2 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$
 $\models ([\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket \#1[\llbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \llbracket \#2[\llbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}) \text{ AND } ([\llbracket \#1[\llbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-num } n \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce}])$
 (is $\Theta ; \{||\} ; ?G \models ?c1 \text{ AND } ?c2$)
 unfolding *valid.simps* proof

have *wfg*: $\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (z, [B\text{-bitvec} , B\text{-bitvec}]^b , \llbracket \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == [\llbracket \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v1 \rrbracket^v , \llbracket L\text{-bitvec } v2 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^v]^{ce})$

```

v2 ]v ]v ]ce) #Γ GNil
  using wf-intros assms base-for-lit.simps fresh-GNil wfC-v-eq wfG-intros2 by metis

show Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf ?c1 AND ?c2

  apply(rule wfC-conjI)
  apply(rule wfC-eqI)
  apply(rule wfCE-valI)
  apply(rule wfV-litI)
  using wf-intros wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfC-v-eq
  apply (metis )+
  done

have len:int (length v1) = n using assms split-length by auto

show ∀ i. Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G ⟶ i ⊨ (?c1 AND ?c2)
proof(rule,rule)
  fix i
  assume a:Θ ; ?G ⊢ i ∧ i ⊨ ?G
  hence i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]v ]ce ] ~ True
    using is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by simp
  then obtain sv where i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce ] ~ sv ∧ i [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]v ]ce ] ~ sv
    using eval-c-elim by metis
  hence i [ [ [ z ]v ]ce ] ~ (SPair (SBitvec v1) (SBitvec v2)) using eval-c-eqI eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
    by (metis eval-e-elim1 eval-v-uniqueness)
  hence b:i z = Some (SPair (SBitvec v1) (SBitvec v2)) using a eval-e-elim eval-v-elim by metis

  have v1: i [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v1
    using eval-e-fstI eval-e-valI eval-v-varI b by metis
  have v2: i [ [#2 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v2
    using eval-e-sndI eval-e-valI eval-v-varI b by metis

  have i [ [ [ L-bitvec v ]v ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v using eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps by metis
  moreover have i [ [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce @@ [#2 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SBitvec v
    using assms split-concat v1 v2 eval-e-concatI by metis
  moreover have i [ [ [#1 [ [ z ]v ]ce ]ce ] ~ SNum (int (length v1))
    using v1 eval-e-lenI by auto
  moreover have i [ [ [ L-num n ]v ]ce ] ~ SNum n using eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
by metis
  ultimately show i ⊨ ?c1 AND ?c2 using is-satis.intros eval-c-conj2I eval-c-eqI len by metis
qed
qed

end

lemma wfT-restrict2:
  fixes τ::τ

```

assumes $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \# \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$
using $wf-restrict1(4)[of \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ \tau \ GNil\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma]$ *assms fresh-GNil append-g.simps* **by**
auto

Chapter 12

Typing Lemmas

12.1 Subtyping

lemma *subtype-reflI2*:

fixes $\tau::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \tau$

proof –

obtain $z\ b\ c$ **where** $*:\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

using *wfT-elim1* [*OF assms*] **by** *metis*

obtain $x::x$ **where** $**:\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, c, z, c, z, c)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z, c)$ **using** *fresh-prod6* *fresh-prod5* $**$ **by** *metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *wfT-wfC-cons* *assms* $*$ $**$

subst-v-c-def **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-reflI*:

assumes $\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z2 : b \mid c2 \rrbracket$ **and** $wf1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z1 : b \mid c1 \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z2 : b \mid c2 \rrbracket)$

using *assms* *subtype-reflI2* **by** *metis*

nominal-function *base-eq* $:: \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

base-eq - $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket = (b1 = b2)$

apply(*auto*, *simp* *add: eqvt-def base-eq-graph-aux-def*)

by (*meson* $\tau.\text{exhaust}$)

nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *subtype-wfT*:

fixes $t1::\tau$ **and** $t2::\tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t2$

using *assms subtype-elim* **by** *metis*

lemma *subtype-eq-base*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
shows $b1 = b2$
using *subtype.simps assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *subtype-eq-base2*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
shows $b\text{-of } t1 = b\text{-of } t2$

using *assms* **proof**(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ t1 \ t2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **using** *subtype-eq-base* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-wf*:

fixes $\tau1 :: \tau$ **and** $\tau2 :: \tau$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau2$

using *assms*

proof(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \tau1 \ \tau2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *subtype-g-wf*:

fixes $\tau1 :: \tau$ **and** $\tau2 :: \tau$ **and** $\Gamma :: \Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

using *assms*

proof(*rule subtype.induct[of $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \tau1 \ \tau2$],goal-cases*)

case (1 $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ z1 \ b \ c1 \ z2 \ c2 \ x$)

then show *?case* **using** *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

qed

For when we have a particular y that satisfies the freshness conditions that we want the validity check to use

lemma *valid-flip-simple*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# (z, c, z, c', \Gamma)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'$

proof –

have $(z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'$

using *True-eqv valid.eqv assms beta-flip-eq wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf assms* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *theta-flip-eq G-cons-flip-fresh3[of $x \ \Gamma \ z \ b \ c$] assms fresh-Pair flip-commute* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *valid-wf-all*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c$
shows $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G$ **and** $wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G) c$ **and** $atom\ z0 \# G$
using *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-cons assms by metis+*

lemma *valid-wfT*:

fixes $z::x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$ **and** $atom\ z0 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, c, c0)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c0 \}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$

proof –

have $atom\ z0 \# c0$ **using** *assms fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover **have** $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G$ **using** *valid-wf-all wfX-wfY assms subst-v-c-def by metis*

ultimately **show** $wfT: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c0 \}$ **using** $wfG-wfT[OF\ *]$ **by** *auto*

have $atom\ z0 \# c$ **using** *assms fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover **have** $wfc: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0[z::=V-var\ z0]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$ **using** *valid-wf-all assms by metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z0 : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v \}$ **proof**

show $\langle atom\ z0 \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G) \rangle$ **using** *assms fresh-prodN by simp*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *wfT wfT-wfB by force*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[z0]^v]_v \rangle$ **using** *wfc wfC-replace-inside[OF wfc, of GNil z0 b c0[z::=[z0]^v]_v G C-true] wfC-trueI*

append-g.simps

by *(metis local.* wfG-elim2 wf-trans(2))*

qed

moreover **have** $\{ z0 : b \mid c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v \} = \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** $\langle atom\ z0 \# c0 \rangle \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3)$

using *calculation(1) subst-v-c-def by auto*

ultimately **show** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *valid-flip*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $z::x$ **and** $z0::x$ **and** $xx2::x$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx2, b, c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v$ **and**

$atom\ xx2 \# (c0, \Gamma, c, z)$ **and** $atom\ z0 \# (\Gamma, c, z)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V-var\ z0]_v$

proof –

have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *assms valid-wf-all wfX-wfY by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot ((xx2, b, c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v)$

using *valid.eqvt True-eqvt assms beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2, b, (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot \Gamma) \models ((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot (c[z::=V-var\ xx2]_v))$

using *G-cons-flip[of xx2 z0 xx2 b c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v \Gamma] by auto*

moreover **have** $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2 = z0$ **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c0[z0::=V-var\ xx2]_v = c0$

using *assms subst-cv-v-flip[of xx2 c0 z0 V-var z0] assms fresh-prod4 by auto*

moreover **have** $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma$ **proof** –

have $atom\ xx2 \# \Gamma$ **using** *assms by auto*

moreover **have** $atom\ z0 \# \Gamma$ **using** *assms by auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *flip-fresh-fresh by auto*

qed
 moreover have $(xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot (c[z ::= V\text{-var } xx2]_v) = c[z ::= V\text{-var } z0]_v$ **using** *subst-cv-v-flip2* [of *xx2 z c z0*] *assms* **by force**
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by auto**
 qed

lemma *subtype-valid*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** *atom* $y \# \Gamma$ **and** $t1 = \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $t2 = \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-var } y]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[z2 ::= V\text{-var } y]_v$
using *assms* **proof** (*nominal-induct t2 avoiding: y rule: subtype.strong-induct*)
case (*subtype-baseI* $x \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z c z' c' ba$)

hence $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \Theta ; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \mathcal{B} ; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot ((x, ba, c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v$ **using** *valid.eqvt*

using *permute-boolI* **by blast**

moreover have $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf subtype-baseI* **by metis**

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, ba, (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z ::= [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v$

using *subtype-baseI theta-flip-eq beta-flip-eq τ .eq-iff G-cons-flip-fresh3* [of $y \Gamma x ba$] **by** (*metis flip-commute*)

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z ::= [x]^v]_v = c1[z1 ::= [y]^v]_v$

by (*metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-subst-eq wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def*)

moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' ::= [x]^v]_v = c2[z2 ::= [y]^v]_v$

by (*metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-subst-eq wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def*)

ultimately show *?case* **using** *subtype-baseI τ .eq-iff* **by metis**

qed

lemma *subtype-valid-simple*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** *atom* $z \# \Gamma$ **and** $t1 = \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $t2 = \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((z, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2$

using *subst-v-c-def subst-v-id assms subtype-valid* [OF *assms*] **by simp**

lemma *obtain-for-t-with-fresh*:

assumes *atom* $x \# t$

shows $\exists b c. t = \{ x : b \mid c \}$

proof –

obtain $z1 b1 c1$ **where** $*$: $t = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# t$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by metis**

then have $t = (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot t$ **using** *flip-fresh-fresh assms* **by metis**

also have $\dots = \{ (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot z1 : (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \}$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by simp**

also have $\dots = \{ x : b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \}$ **using** $*$ *assms* **by auto**

finally show *?thesis* **by auto**

qed

lemma *subtype-trans*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau2 \lesssim \tau3$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau3$

proof –

obtain $y::x$ **where** $yf:atom\ y \# (\Gamma, \tau 1, \tau 2, \tau 3)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $c1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $t1:\tau 1 = \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $c2$ **and** $b2$ **where** $t2:\tau 2 = \{ y : b2 \mid c2 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $c3$ **and** $b3$ **where** $t3:\tau 3 = \{ y : b3 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *obtain-for-t-with-fresh* yf **by** *force*

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf:atom\ x \# (\Gamma, y, c1, c2, c3, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, y, c1, c3)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $beq: b1 = b2 \wedge b2 = b3$ **using** *assms subtype-eq-base t1 t2 t3* **by** *simp*

have $vld1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c1[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *subtype-valid fresh-prod5*
assms t1 t2 xf beq **by** *simp*

have $vld2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c2[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *subtype-valid fresh-prod5*
assms t3 t2 xf beq **by** *simp*

thm *valid-trans[where $z=x$ and $v=V-var\ x$]*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b1, c1[y::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[y::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *valid-trans-2 vld1 vld2* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *t1 subtype-wfT assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ y : b1 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *t3 beq subtype-wfT assms* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, y, c1, y, c3)$ **using** *xf fresh-prod5 fresh-prod10* **by** *simp*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ y : b1 \mid c1 \} \lesssim \{ y : b1 \mid c3 \}$ **using** *beq subtype-baseI fresh-prod5*
by metis

thus *?thesis* **using** *t1 t3 beq* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *subtype-eq-e*:

assumes $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **and**
atom z1 # e1 and atom z2 # e2 and atom z1 # Γ and atom z2 # Γ

and $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e1\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e2\ b$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1 \} \lesssim (\{ z2 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2 \})$

proof –

have $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e1\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ e2\ b$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $wst1: wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\{ z1 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1 \})$

using *wfC-e-eq wfTI assms wfX-wfB wfG-fresh-x*

by *(simp add: wfT-e-eq)*

moreover **have** $wst2: wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\{ z2 : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2 \})$

using *wfC-e-eq wfX-wfB wfTI assms wfG-fresh-x*

by *(simp add: wfT-e-eq)*

moreover **obtain** $x::x$ **where** $xf: atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z1, CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1, z2, CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*

moreover **have** $vld: P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)[z2::=V-var\ x]_v$ **(is** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)**

proof –

have $wbg: P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge setG\ \Gamma \subseteq setG\ ?G$ **proof** –

have $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G$ **proof**(*rule wfG-consI*)

show $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *assms wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$ **using** *assms(6) wfX-wfB* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v$
using *wfC-e-eq[OF assms(6)] wf-subst(2)*
by (*simp add: (atom x # Γ) assms(2) subst-v-c-def*)
qed
moreover **hence** $P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-elim* **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
qed

have *wsc: wfC P B ?G ?c* **proof** –
have *wfCE P B ?G (CE-val (V-var x)) b* **proof**
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-var\ x : b \rangle$
using *wfV-varI lookup.simps wbg* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover **have** *wfCE P B ?G e2 b* **using** *wf-weakening assms wbg* **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** *wfC P B ?G (CE-val (V-var x) == e2)* **using** *wfC-eqI* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-cv.simps(6) (atom z2 # e2) subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
qed

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is-satis-g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is-satis\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI , rule impI*)
fix *i*
assume *as: wfI P ?G i ∧ is-satis-g i ?G*
hence $is-satis\ i\ ((CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1)[z1 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$
by (*simp add: is-satis-g.simps(2)*)
hence $is-satis\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e1)$ **using** *subst-cv.simps assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
then **obtain** *s1* **and** *s2* **where** $*:eval-e\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s2 \wedge s1=s2$ **using**
is-satis.simps eval-c-elim **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** $eval-e\ i\ e2\ s1$ **proof** –
have $*:wfI\ P\ ?G\ i$ **using** *as* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *wfCE P B ?G e1 b ∧ wfCE P B ?G e2 b* **using** *assms xf wf-weakening wbg*
by *metis*
moreover **then** **obtain** *s2'* **where** $eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2'$ **using** *assms wfI-wfCE-eval-e ** **by** *metis*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** $*\ assms(1)\ wfX-wfY$ **by** *metis*
qed
ultimately **have** $is-satis\ i\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e2)$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI* **by** *force*
thus $is-satis\ i\ ((CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)[z2 ::= V-var\ x]_v)$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI*
assms subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*
qed
moreover **have** $atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z1, CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == e1, z2, CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == e2)$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **using** *xf fresh-prod7 τ.fresh* **by** *fast*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI[OF - wst1 wst2 vld]* *xf* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *subtype-eq-e-nil*:
assumes $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval-e\ i\ e1\ s1 \wedge eval-e\ i\ e2\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **and**
supp e1 = {} **and** *supp e2 = {}* **and** *wfTh P*
and *wfCE P B GNil e1 b* **and** *wfCE P B GNil e2 b* **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == e1 \!\} \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == e2 \!\})$
apply(*rule subtype-eq-e, auto simp add: assms e.fresh*)
using *assms fresh-def e.fresh supp-GNil* **apply** *metis+*
done

lemma *subtype-gnil-fst-aux:*

assumes $supp\ v_1 = \{\}$ **and** $supp\ (V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2) = \{\}$ **and** $wfTh\ P$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash (\{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_1 \!\}) \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce} \!\})$
proof –
have $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **proof**(*rule+*)
fix $i\ s1\ s2\ G$
assume $as: wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s2$
hence $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b2$ **using** *assms wf-weakening*
by (*metis empty-subsetI setG.simps(1)*)
then obtain $s3$ **where** $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s3$ **using** *wfI-wfCE-eval-e as* **by** *metis*
hence $eval\text{-}v\ i\ ((V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2))\ (SPair\ s1\ s3)$
by (*meson as eval-e-elim(1) eval-v-pairI*)
hence $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s1$ **using** *eval-e-fstI eval-e-valI* **by** *metis*
show $s1 = s2$ **using** *as eval-e-uniqueness*
using $\langle eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2]^{ce})\ s1 \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *subtype-eq-e-nil ce.supp assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subtype-gnil-snd-aux:*

assumes $supp\ v_2 = \{\}$ **and** $supp\ (V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2) = \{\}$ **and** $wfTh\ P$ **and** $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ b$ **and**
 $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ GNil\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# GNil$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# GNil$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash (\{\!| z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_2 \!\}) \lesssim (\{\!| z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce} \!\})$
proof –
have $\forall i\ s1\ s2\ G. wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2$ **proof**(*rule+*)
fix $i\ s1\ s2\ G$
assume $as: wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G \wedge wfI\ P\ G\ i \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_2)\ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s2$
hence $wfCE\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ b2$ **using** *assms wf-weakening*
by (*metis empty-subsetI setG.simps(1)*)
then obtain $s3$ **where** $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-val } v_1)\ s3$ **using** *wfI-wfCE-eval-e as* **by** *metis*
hence $eval\text{-}v\ i\ ((V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2))\ (SPair\ s3\ s1)$
by (*meson as eval-e-elim eval-v-pairI*)
hence $eval\text{-}e\ i\ (CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v_1\ v_2)]^{ce})\ s1$ **using** *eval-e-sndI eval-e-valI* **by** *metis*

```

show  $s1 = s2$  using as eval-e-uniqueness
  using  $\langle \text{eval-e } i \text{ (CE-snd [V-pair } v_1 \ v_2]^{ce}) \ s1 \rangle$  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using assms subtype-eq-e-nil by (simp add: ce.suppl ce.suppl)
qed

lemma subtype-gnil-fst:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash (\{|| \ z_1 : b \mid [[z_1]^v]^{ce} == [v_1]^{ce} \ \}) \lesssim$ 
     $(\{|| \ z_2 : b \mid [[z_2]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \ \})$ 
proof -
  obtain  $b2$  where  $** : \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 : B\text{-pair } b \ b2$  using wfCE-elims(4)[OF assms]
  ] wfCE-elims by metis
  obtain  $b1' \ b2'$  where  $* : B\text{-pair } b \ b2 = B\text{-pair } b1' \ b2' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\}$ 
  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2'$ 
  using wfV-elims(3)[OF **] by metis

  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-gnil-fst-aux)
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } v_1 = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $*$  wfV-suppl-nil by auto
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $**$  wfV-suppl-nil e.suppl by metis
    show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta \rangle$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_1 : b \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2]^{ce} : b \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_2 : b2 \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by auto
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z_1 \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z_2 \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed

lemma subtype-gnil-snd:
  assumes wfCE  $P \ \{||\} \ GNil \ (CE\text{-snd } ([V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2]^{ce})) \ b$ 
  shows  $P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash (\{|| \ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v_2 \ \}) \lesssim (\{|| \ z2 : b \mid CE\text{-val}$ 
     $(V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-snd } ([V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2]^{ce}) \ \})$ 
proof -
  obtain  $b1$  where  $** : P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b$  using wfCE-elims assms by
  metis
  obtain  $b1' \ b2'$  where  $* : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b = B\text{-pair } b1' \ b2' \wedge P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \wedge P ; \{||\}$ 
  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2'$  using wfV-elims(3)[OF **] by metis

  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-gnil-snd-aux)
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } v_2 = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $*$  wfV-suppl-nil by auto
    show  $\langle \text{suppl } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) = \{ \} \rangle$  using  $**$  wfV-suppl-nil e.suppl by metis
    show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} P \rangle$  using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_1 : b1 \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by simp
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } ([V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2]^{ce}) : b \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show  $\langle P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } v_2 : b \rangle$  using wfCE-valI  $*$  by simp
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z1 \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
    show  $\langle \text{atom } z2 \ \# \ GNil \rangle$  using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed

```

lemma *subtype-fresh-tau*:

fixes $x::x$

assumes $\text{atom } x \# t1$ **and** $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$

shows $\text{atom } x \# t2$

proof –

have $\text{wfg} : P ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *subtype-wf wfX-wfY assms* **by** *metis*

have $\text{wft} : \text{wfT } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma t2$ **using** *subtype-wf wfX-wfY assms* **by** *blast*

hence $\text{supp } t2 \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wf-supp*

using *atom-dom.simps* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \notin \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $\langle \text{atom } x \# \Gamma \rangle$ *wfG-atoms-supp-eq wfg fresh-def* **by** *blast*

ultimately show $\text{atom } x \# t2$ **using** *fresh-def*

by (*metis Un-iff contra-subsetD x-not-in-b-set*)

qed

lemma *subtype-if-simp*:

assumes $\text{wfT } P \mathcal{B} \text{GNil } (\{ z1 : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v \})$ **and**

$\text{wfT } P \mathcal{B} \text{GNil } (\{ z : b \mid c \})$ **and** $\text{atom } z1 \# c$

shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash (\{ z1 : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v \})$
 $\lesssim (\{ z : b \mid c \})$

proof –

obtain $xx::x$ **where** $xx : \text{atom } x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z1, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z, c, \text{GNil})$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *blast*

hence $xx2 : \text{atom } x \# (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, c, \text{GNil})$
using *fresh-prod7 fresh-prod3* **by** *fast*

have $* : P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **(is** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)** **proof** –

have $\text{wfC } P \mathcal{B} ?G ?c$ **using** *wfT-wfC-cons[OF assms(1) assms(2),of x]* *xx fresh-prod5 fresh-prod3 subst-v-c-def* **by** *metis*

moreover have $(\forall i. \text{wfI } P ?G i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ?G \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i ?c)$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)

fix i

assume $as1 : \text{wfI } P ?G i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ?G$

have $((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$

using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{is-satis } i ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps as1* **by** *presburger*

moreover have $\text{is-satis } i ((\text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l)))$ **using** *is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI[of i (CE-val (V-lit l)) eval-l l] eval-e-uniqueness*

eval-e-valI eval-v-litI **by** *metis*

ultimately show $\text{is-satis } i ?c$ **using** *is-satis-mp[of i]* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

moreover have $\text{atom } x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, z1, \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z, c)$

unfolding *fresh-prod5* $\tau.\text{fresh}$ **using** *xx fresh-prodN x-fresh-b* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms xx xx2* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-if*:

assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **and**

$wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v \rrbracket)$ **and**
 $wfT\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v \rrbracket)$ **and**
 $atom\ z1 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# c$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# c'$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# v$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v \rrbracket$
proof –
obtain $xx::x$ **where** $xx: atom\ x \# (P, \mathcal{B}, z, c, z', c', z1, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v, \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *blast*
hence $xf: atom\ x \# (z, c, z', c', \Gamma)$ **by** *simp*
have $xf2: atom\ x \# (z1, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v, \Gamma)$
using xx *fresh-prod4* *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \models (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v$
(is $P ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c$ **)**
proof –
have $wbc: wfC\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ ?G\ ?c$ **using** *assms* xx *fresh-prod4* *fresh-prod2* *wfT-wfC-cons* *assms* *subst-v-c-def* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\forall i. wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis\ i\ ?c$ **proof**(*rule allI, rule impI*)
fix i
assume $a1: wfI\ P\ ?G\ i \wedge is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ?G$
thm *is-satis.simps*
have $*$: $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l))) \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$
proof
assume $a2: is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)))$

have $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v))[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$
using $a1$ *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *simp*
moreover **have** $((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v))[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$
using *assms* *subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **by** *argo*

hence $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$ **using** $a2$ *is-satis-mp* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** $a2$ *is-satis.simps* **by** *auto*

hence $is\text{-}satis\text{-}g\ i\ ((x, b, (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ **using** $a1$ *is-satis-g.simps* **by** *meson*
moreover **have** $wfI\ P\ ((x, b, (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)$ **proof** –
obtain s **where** $Some\ s = i\ x \wedge wfRCV\ P\ s\ b \wedge wfI\ P\ \Gamma\ i$ **using** *wfI-def* $a1$ **by** *auto*
thus $?thesis$ **using** *wfI-def* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately **have** $is\text{-}satis\ i\ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))$ **using** *subtype-valid* *assms*(1) *xf* *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $(c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)$ **using** *assms* **by**

auto

ultimately show *is-satis* $i \ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v)$ **by** *auto*
qed

moreover have $?c = ((CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \text{ IMP } ((c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v))$

using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*

thm *wfC-elim*

moreover have $\exists b1 \ b2. \text{eval-c } i \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \ b1 \wedge$
 $\text{eval-c } i \ c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v \ b2$ **proof** $-$

thm *assms(2)*

have $wfC \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ?G \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l))$ **using** *wbc wfC-elim(7) assms subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $wfC \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ?G \ (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v[z2::=V\text{-var } x]_v)$ **proof** *(rule wfT-wfC-cons)*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } (c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v) \} \rangle$
using *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
have $\{ z2 : b \mid c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v \} = \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **using** *assms subst-v-c-def* **by** *auto*
thus $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b \mid c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v \} \rangle$ **using** *assms subtype-elim* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v, \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** *xx fresh-Pair c.fresh* **by** *metis*
qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *wfI-wfC-eval-c a1 subst-v-c-def* **by** *simp*
qed

ultimately show *is-satis* $i \ ?c$ **using** *is-satis-imp[OF *]* **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *valid.simps* **by** *simp*

qed

moreover have $atom \ x \ \sharp \ (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z1, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c[z::=V\text{-var } z1]_v, z2, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \text{ IMP } c'[z'::=V\text{-var } z2]_v)$

unfolding *fresh-prod5* $\tau.fresh$ **using** *xx xf2 fresh-prodN x-fresh-b* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms xf2* **by** *metis*
qed

fun *single-g* $:: x*b*c \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**

single-g $xbc = GCons \ xbc \ GNil$

lemma *eval-e-concat-eq*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma \ i$

shows $\exists s. \text{eval-e } i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2)))) \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ (CE\text{-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{ce}) \ s$

using *eval-e-valI eval-e-concatI eval-v-litI eval-l.simps* **by** *metis*

lemma *is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp*:

assumes *wfI* $\Theta \ \Gamma \ i$ **and** *eval-e* $i \ e1 \ s$ **and** *eval-e* $i \ e2 \ s$

and *is-satis* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1)$ **(is** *is-satis* $i \ ?c1)$

shows *is-satis* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$

proof $-$

have $*:\text{eval-c } i \ ?c1 \ \text{True}$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps* **by** *blast*

hence *eval-e* $i \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \ s$ **using** *assms is-satis.simps eval-c-elim*

by (metis (full-types) eval-e-uniqueness)
 thus ?thesis using is-satis.simps eval-c.intros assms by fastforce
 qed

lemma valid-eval-e-eq:

fixes $e1::ce$ and $e2::ce$
 assumes $\forall \Gamma \ i. \text{wfI } \Theta \ \Gamma \ i \longrightarrow (\exists s. \text{eval-e } i \ e1 \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ e2 \ s)$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} e1 : b$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1)) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$
proof(rule validI)
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2$
proof
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1$ using assms wfC-eqI wfE-valI wfV-varI wfX-wfY
 by (simp add: fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq)
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ using wfG-consI fresh-GNil wfX-wfY
 assms wfX-wfB by metis
 thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b$ using wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfX-wfY
 lookup.simps assms wfX-wfY by simp
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} e2 : b$ using assms wf-weakening wfX-wfY
 by (metis (full-types) $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) : b \rangle$ empty-iff subsetI setG.simps(1))
 qed
 show $\forall i. \text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \longrightarrow \text{is-satis } i (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$
proof(rule,rule)
 fix i
 assume $\text{wfI } \Theta ((x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \ i \wedge \text{is-satis-g } i ((x, b, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)$
 moreover then obtain s where $\text{eval-e } i \ e1 \ s \wedge \text{eval-e } i \ e2 \ s$ using assms by auto
 ultimately show $\text{is-satis } i (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x) == e2)$ using assms is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp is-satis-g.simps by meson
 qed
 qed

lemma subtype-concat:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2))) \}$
 \lesssim
 $\{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{ce} \}$ (is $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2$)
proof –
 obtain $x::x$ where $x: \text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } (v1 @ v2))))$,
 $z, CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-concat } [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))]^{ce}$
 (is ?xfree)
 using obtain-fresh by auto

have $wb1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec (v1 @ v2))) : B\text{-}bitvec$ **using** $wfX\text{-}wfY$ $wfCE\text{-}valI$ $wfV\text{-}litI$ $assms$ $base\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps$ $wfG\text{-}nilI$ **by** $metis$

hence $wb2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}concat [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v2))]^{ce} : B\text{-}bitvec$
using $wfCE\text{-}concatI$ $wfX\text{-}wfY$ $wfV\text{-}litI$ $base\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps$ $wfCE\text{-}valI$ **by** $metis$

show $?thesis$ **proof**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1$ **using** $wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ $wb1$ $wb2$ **by** $metis$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2$ **using** $wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ $wb1$ $wb2$ **by** $metis$

show $?xfree$ **using** x **by** $auto$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-}bitvec, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec (v1 @ v2)))) [z::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}$

$GNil \models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}concat [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v2))]^{ce}) [z::=V\text{-}var x]_v$

using $valid\text{-}eval\text{-}e\text{-}eq$ $eval\text{-}e\text{-}concat\text{-}eq$ $wb1$ $wb2$ $subst\text{-}v\text{-}c\text{-}def$ **by** $fastforce$

qed

qed

lemma $subtype\text{-}len$:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z') == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v)))) \} \lesssim$

$\{ z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}len [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v))]^{ce} \} \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2)$

proof –

have $*$: $\Theta \vdash_{wf} [] \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}$ **using** $assms$ $wfG\text{-}nilI$ $wfD\text{-}emptyI$ $wfPhi\text{-}emptyI$ **by** $auto$

obtain $x::x$ **where** x : $atom\ x \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z', CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z') ==$

$CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v)))) , z, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}len [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v))]^{ce})$

$(is\ atom\ x \nmid ?F)$

using $obtain\text{-}fresh$ **by** $metis$

then show $?thesis$ **proof**

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v)))) : B\text{-}int$

using $wfCE\text{-}valI$ $*$ $wfV\text{-}litI$ $base\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps$

by $(metis\ wfE\text{-}valI\ wfX\text{-}wfY)$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1$ **using** $wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ **by** $auto$

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}len [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v))]^{ce} : B\text{-}int$

using $wfE\text{-}valI$ $*$ $wfV\text{-}litI$ $base\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps$ $wfE\text{-}valI$ $wfX\text{-}wfY$

by $(metis\ wfCE\text{-}lenI\ wfCE\text{-}valI)$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2$ **using** $wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq$ $fresh\text{-}GNil$ **by** $auto$

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-}int, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z') == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v)))) [z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}len [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v))]^{ce}) [z::=V\text{-}var x]_v$

$(is\ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models ?c)$ **using** $valid\text{-}len$ $assms$ $subst\text{-}v\text{-}c\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

qed

qed

lemma *subtype-base-fresh*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c' \}$ **and**
 $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c' \}$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*:atom\ x \# ((\Theta, \mathcal{B}, z, c, z, c', \Gamma), (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \{ z : b \mid c \}, \{ z : b \mid c' \}))$ **using**
obtain-fresh by metis

moreover **hence** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-Pair by auto*

moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z::=V-var\ x]_v$ **using** *assms valid-flip-simple*
 $*\ subst-v-c-def$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-baseI assms $\tau.fresh$ fresh-Pair by metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-bop*:

assumes $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma$ **and** $opp = Plus \wedge ll = (L-num\ (n1+n2)) \vee (opp = LEq \wedge ll = (if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))$

and $(opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B-int) \wedge (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B-bool)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z))\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll))) \}) \lesssim$

$\{ z : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z))\ (CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}) \}$ **(is** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash ?T1 \lesssim ?T2)$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf: atom\ x \# (z, CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll)), z, CE-val\ (V-var\ z) == CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}, \Gamma)$

using *obtain-fresh by blast*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ x : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (ll))) \}) \lesssim$

$\{ x : b \mid C-eq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ (CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}) \}$ **(is** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash ?S1 \lesssim ?S2)$

proof(*rule subtype-base-fresh*)

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

show $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ x : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) \})$ **(is** $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?A\ ?B)$

proof(*rule wfT-e-eq*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V-lit\ ll) : b$ **using** *wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) : b$ **using** *wfCE-valI by auto*

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

qed

show $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma (\{ x : b \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce} \})$ **(is** $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?A\ ?C)$

proof(*rule wfT-e-eq, rule opp.exhaust[of opp]*)

{ assume $opp = Plus$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce} : b$ **using**
wfCE-valI wfCE-plusI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis

}

next

{ assume $opp = LEq$

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op\ opp\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce} : b$ **using**
wfCE-valI wfCE-leqI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis

}

show $atom\ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *xf fresh-Pair by auto*

qed

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (ll)))) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 $\models (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}op\ opp [V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n1)]^{ce} [V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n2)]^{ce})$
(is $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)$
using *valid-bop assms xf by simp*

qed

moreover have $?S1 = ?T1$ **using** *type-l-eq by auto*
moreover have $?S2 = ?T2$ **using** *type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def empty-iff fresh-e-opp*
by (*metis ms-fresh-all(4)*)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *subtype-top:*

assumes $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$
shows $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) \lesssim (\llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, z, c, z, TRUE)$ **using** *obtain-fresh by blast*

then show $?thesis$ **proof**(*rule subtype-baseI*)

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *wfT-TRUE assms wfX-wfY b-of.simps wfT-wf*

by (*metis wfX-wfB(8)*)

hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons3 assms fresh-Pair * subst-v-c-def*
by *auto*

thus $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \models (TRUE)[z::=V\text{-}var x]_v \rangle$ **using** *valid-trueI subst-cv.simps*
subst-v-c-def by metis

qed

qed

thm *valid-split*

thm *valid-wf-all*

lemma *if-simp:*

(if $x = x$ *then* $e1$ *else* $e2$) $= e1$

by *auto*

lemma *subtype-split:*

assumes *split* $n\ v\ (v1, v2)$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta; \{\llbracket \rrbracket\}; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[[L\text{-}bitvec$
 $v1]^v, [L\text{-}bitvec$

$v2]^v]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid [[[L\text{-}bitvec$

$v]^v]^{ce} == [[\#1[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ \#2[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \text{ AND } [[\#1[[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [$

$[L\text{-}num$

$n]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

(is $\Theta; ?B; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid ?c1 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b \mid ?c2 \rrbracket$)

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf:atom\ x \# (\Theta, ?B, GNil, z, ?c1, z, ?c2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*
then show $?thesis$ **proof**(*rule subtype-baseI*)
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_\Gamma$
 $GNil \models (?c2)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$
unfolding *subst-v-c-def subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps if-simp*
using *valid-split[OF assms, of x]* **by** *simp*
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid ?c1 \} \rangle$ **using** *valid-wfT[OF *]* xf *fresh-prodN*
by *metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid ?c2 \} \rangle$ **using** *valid-wfT[OF *]* xf *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *subtype-range*:

fixes $n::int$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int\ (length\ v)$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num\ n]^v]^{ce} \} \lesssim$
 $\{ z : B-int \mid ([leq\ [[L-num\ 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \ AND\ ($
 $[leq\ [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[L-bitvec\ v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \}$
(is $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B-int \mid ?c1 \} \lesssim \{ z : B-int \mid ?c2 \ AND\ ?c3 \})$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ **where** $*(atom\ x \# (\Theta, ?B, \Gamma, z, ?c1, z, ?c2 \ AND\ ?c3))$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

moreover have $*(\langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, B-int, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_\Gamma \Gamma \models (?c2 \ AND\ ?c3)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$

unfolding *subst-v-c-def subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps if-simp* **using** *valid-range-length[OF assms(1)] assms fresh-prodN ** **by** *simp*

moreover hence $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num\ n]^v]^{ce} \} \rangle$ **using**
*valid-wfT * fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\langle \Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B-int \mid ?c2 \ AND\ ?c3 \} \rangle$

using *valid-wfT[OF **]* ** fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** *subtype-baseI* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *check-num-range*:

assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int\ (length\ v)$ **and** $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash [L-num\ n]^v \Leftarrow \{ z : B-int \mid [leq\ [[L-num\ 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \ AND$
 $[leq\ [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[L-bitvec\ v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \}$

using *assms subtype-range check-v.intros infer-v-litI wfG-nilI*

by (*meson infer-natI*)

12.2 Literals

nominal-function *type-for-lit* $:: l \Rightarrow \tau$ **where**

type-for-lit ($L-true$) = $(\{ z : B-bool \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ L-true]^{ce} \})$

\mid *type-for-lit* ($L-false$) = $(\{ z : B-bool \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ L-false]^{ce} \})$

\mid *type-for-lit* ($L-num\ n$) = $(\{ z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-num\ n)]^{ce} \})$

\mid *type-for-lit* ($L-unit$) = $(\{ z : B-unit \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-unit)]^{ce} \})$

\mid *type-for-lit* ($L-bitvec\ v$) = $(\{ z : B-bitvec \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ (L-bitvec\ v)]^{ce} \})$

by (auto simp: eqvt-def type-for-lit-graph-aux-def, metis l.strong-exhaust, (simp add: permute-int-def flip-bitvec0)+)

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

nominal-function type-for-var :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \tau$ where

type-for-var G τ x = (case lookup G x of

None $\Rightarrow \tau$

| Some (b,c) $\Rightarrow (\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket)$)

apply auto **unfolding** eqvt-def **apply**(rule allI) **unfolding** type-for-var-graph-aux-def eqvt-def by simp

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma infer-l-form:

fixes l::l **and** tm::'a::fs

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z b. \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \text{tm}$

proof –

obtain z' **and** b **where** t: $\tau = (\llbracket z' : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** infer-l-elimss **assms** **using** infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps

type-for-lit.cases **by** blast

obtain z::x **where** zf: $\text{atom } z \# \text{tm}$ **using** obtain-fresh **by** metis

have $\tau = \llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket$ **using** type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh l.fresh

by (metis t type-l-eq)

thus ?thesis **using** zf **by** auto

qed

lemma infer-l-form3:

fixes l::l

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z. \tau = (\llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$

using infer-l-elimss **using** assms **using** infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps base-for-lit.simps **by** auto

lemma infer-l-form4[simp]:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\exists z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$

using assms infer-l-form2 infer-l-form3 **by** metis

lemma infer-v-unit-form:

fixes v::v

assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket)$ **and** $\text{supp } v = \{\}$

shows $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}$

using assms **proof**(nominal-induct $\Gamma v \llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ rule: infer-v.strong-induct)

case (infer-v-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} c x z$)

then show ?case **using** supp-at-base **by** auto

next

case (infer-v-litI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$)

from $\vdash l \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ **show** ?case **by**(nominal-induct $\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket$ rule:

infer-l.strong-induct,auto)
qed

lemma *base-for-lit-wf*:

assumes $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \text{base-for-lit } l$

using *base-for-lit.simps* **using** *wfV-elim* *wf-intros* *assms l.exhaust* **by** *metis*

lemma *infer-l-t-wf*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \text{atom } z \nmid \Gamma$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : \text{base-for-lit } l \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\text{atom } z \nmid (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-fresh-x* *assms* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \text{base-for-lit } l$ **using** *base-for-lit-wf* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, \text{base-for-lit } l, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)$ **using**

wfC-v-eq *wfV-litI* *assms* *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *infer-l-wf*:

fixes $l::l$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$

shows $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$

proof –

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **using** *assms infer-l-elim* **by** *auto*

thus $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** *wfX-wfY* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *infer-l-t-wf* *assms* *infer-l-form3* *

by (*metis* $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$) *fresh-GNil* *wfG-nilI* *wfT-weakening-nil*)

qed

lemma *infer-l-uniqueness*:

fixes $l::l$

assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau'$

shows $\tau = \tau'$

using *assms*

proof –

obtain z **and** b **where** $z \vdash \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** *infer-l-form* *assms* **by** *blast*

obtain z' **and** b **where** $z' \vdash \tau' = (\llbracket z' : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l)) \rrbracket)$ **using** *infer-l-form* *assms* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *type-l-eq* $z \vdash z'$ *assms* *infer-l.simps* *infer-l-elim* $l.\text{distinct}$

by (*metis* *infer-l-form3*)

qed

12.3 Values

lemma *type-v-eq*:

assumes $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \nmid x$

shows $b = b1$ **and** $c1 = C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x))$

using *assms* **by** (*auto,metis* *Abs1-eq-iff* $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *assms* *c.fresh* *ce.fresh* *type-e-eq* *v.fresh*)

lemma *infer-var2* [*elim*]:

```

assumes  $P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash V\text{-var } x \Rightarrow \tau$ 
shows  $\exists b \ c. \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } G \ x$ 
using assms infer-v-elim lookup-iff by (metis (no-types, lifting))

lemma infer-var3 [elim]:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-var } x \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists z \ b \ c. \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \not\# x \wedge \text{atom } z \not\# \Gamma$ 
  using infer-v-elim(1)[OF assms(1)] by metis

lemma infer-bool-options2:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  and  $\text{supp } v = \{\} \wedge b = B\text{-bool}$ 
  shows  $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} \vee (v = (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}))$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: b rule: v.strong-induct)
  case ( $V\text{-lit } l$ )
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct)
    case ( $L\text{-num } nat$ )
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-num } nat \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-int}$  using infer-l-elim(3) type-for-lit.simps(3) by (metis  $\tau$ .eq-iff)
    then show ?case using  $L\text{-num}$  by fastforce
  next
  case  $L\text{-true}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-true} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bool}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau$ .eq-iff)
  then show ?case by blast
  next
  case  $L\text{-false}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-false} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bool}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau$ .eq-iff)
  then show ?case by blast
  next
  case  $L\text{-unit}$ 
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-unit}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau$ .eq-iff)
  then show ?case using  $L\text{-unit}$  by fastforce
  next
  case ( $L\text{-bitvec } x$ )
  hence  $\vdash L\text{-bitvec } x \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-elim by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  hence  $b = B\text{-bitvec}$  using infer-l-elim type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau$ .eq-iff)
  then show ?case using  $L\text{-bitvec}$  by fastforce
  qed
next
  case ( $V\text{-var } x$ )
  then show ?case using  $v.\text{supp } V\text{-var supp-at-base[of } x]$  by auto
next
  case ( $V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$ )
  then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.\text{eq-iff infer-v-elim}$  by (metis b.distinct)
next
  case ( $V\text{-cons } dc \ v$ )

```

```

    then show ?case using infer-v.simps
       $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b' v)
  then show ?case using infer-v.simps
     $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
qed

lemma infer-bool-options:
  fixes v::v
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}bool \mid c \rrbracket$  and  $supp\ v = \{\}$ 
  shows  $v = V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}true \vee (v = (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}false))$ 
using infer-bool-options2 assms by blast

lemma infer-int2:
  fixes v::v
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ 
  shows  $supp\ v = \{\} \wedge b = B\text{-}int \longrightarrow (\exists n. v = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n))$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct)
    case (L-num nat)
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}num\ nat \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}int$  using infer-l.elims(3) type-for-lit.simps(3) by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by fastforce
  next
    case L-true
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}true \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bool$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case L-false
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}false \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims(2) by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bool$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case L-unit
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}unit \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}unit$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (L-bitvec x)
    hence  $\vdash L\text{-}bitvec\ x \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v.elims by (metis (no-types, lifting))
    hence  $b = B\text{-}bitvec$  using infer-l.elims type-for-lit.simps by (metis  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ )
    then show ?case by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
next
  case (V-pair v1 v2)

```



```

then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
case (V-cons s dc v)
then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
next
case (V-consp s dc b v)
then show ?case using infer-v.simps
   $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  infer-v.elims by (metis b.distinct)
qed

lemma infer-bitvec:
  fixes  $\Theta::\Theta$  and  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ \mid z' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c' \}$  and  $supp\ v = \{ \}$ 
  shows  $\exists bv. v = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ bv)$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  then show ?case by(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct,force+)
next
case (V-consp s dc b v)
  then show ?case using infer-v.elims( $\gamma$ )[OF V-consp(2)]  $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$  by auto
next
case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
qed(force+)

```

```

lemma infer-int:
  assumes infer-v  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v\ (\{ \mid z : B\text{-}int \mid c \})$  and  $supp\ v = \{ \}$ 
  shows  $\exists n. V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n) = v$ 
  using assms infer-int2 by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

```

lemma infer-v-form[simp]:
  fixes  $v::v$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists z\ b. \tau = (\{ \mid z : b \mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}val\ v) \}) \wedge atom\ z \# v \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$ 
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary:  $\tau$  rule: v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit l)
  hence  $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$  using infer-v.elims by metis
  then obtain z and b where  $\tau = \{ \mid z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \} \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$ 
    using infer-l-form by metis
  moreover hence  $atom\ z \# (V\text{-}lit\ l)$  using supp-l-empty v.fresh(1) fresh-prod2 fresh-def by blast
  ultimately show ?case by metis
next
case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using infer-v.elims V-var
    by (metis finite.emptyI fresh-atom-at-base fresh-finite-insert v.fresh(2))
next
case (V-pair v1 v2)

```

obtain z and z1 and b1 and c1 and z2 and b2 and c2 where

$zbc: \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \# (v1, v2) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \wedge$
 $atom \ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim*(3)[*OF V-pair*(3)] **by** *metis*
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)$ **by** *simp*
moreover obtain $b = B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$ **using** *zbc* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *fast*
next
case (*V-cons* $s \ dc \ v$)
thm *infer-v-elim*
obtain x **and** b **and** c **and** z **and** c' **and** $dclist$ **and** z' **where**
 $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \ dclist \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge atom \ z \# v \wedge atom \ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim*(4)[*OF V-cons*(2)] **by** *metis*
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v)$ **using**
 $Un\text{-commute } b.\text{supp}(3) \ fresh\text{-def } sup\text{-bot}.\text{right-neutral } supp\text{-b-empty } v.\text{supp}(4) \ pure\text{-supp}$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *metis*
next
case (*V-consp* $s \ dc \ bc \ v$)
from *V-consp*(2) **show** *?case* **proof**(*nominal-induct V-consp s dc bc v* *rule:infer-v.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-v-conspI* $bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ tc \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ tv \ z$)
moreover hence $atom \ z \# (V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ bc \ v)$ **unfolding** $v.\text{fresh}$ **using** *pure-fresh fresh-prodN* *
by *metis*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *infer-v-form2*:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$ **and** $atom \ z \# v$
shows $c = C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v)$
using *assms*
proof –
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $(\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z' : b' \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v \rrbracket) \wedge atom$
 $z' \# v$
using *infer-v-form assms* **by** *meson*
thus *?thesis* **using** *Abs1-eq-iff*(3) $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *type-e-eq*
by (*metis assms*(2) *ce.fresh*(1))
qed

lemma *infer-v-form3*:
fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $atom \ z \# (v, \Gamma)$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket$
proof –
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $\tau = \llbracket z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket \wedge atom \ z' \# v \wedge atom$
 $z' \# \Gamma$ **using** *infer-v-form assms* **by** *metis*
moreover hence $\llbracket z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } v) \rrbracket$
using *assms type-e-eq fresh-Pair ce.fresh* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *b-of.simps assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *infer-v-form4*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# (v, \Gamma)$ **and** $b = \text{b-of } \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid \text{C-eq } (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (\text{CE-val } v) \}$

using *assms infer-v-form3* **by** *simp*

lemma *infer-v-v-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : (\text{b-of } \tau)$

proof(*induct rule: infer-v.induct*)

case (*infer-v-litI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l \tau$)

hence $\text{b-of } \tau = \text{base-for-lit } l$ **using** *infer-l-form3* *b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

then show *?case* **using** *wfV-litI infer-l-wf infer-v-litI wfG-b-weakening*

by (*metis fempty-fsubsetI*)

next

case (*infer-v-conspI* $s \text{ bv } dclist \Theta dc \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \text{ tv } b \text{ z}$)

obtain $z1 \text{ b1 } c1$ **where** $t:tc = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **unfolding** *b-of.simps* **proof**(*rule wfV-conspI*)

show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \text{ bv } dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle (dc, \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI t* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

have $b1[bv::=b]_{bb} = \text{b-of } tv$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2[OF infer-v-conspI(5)]* *b-of.simps t subst-tb.simps*

by *auto*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

qed

qed(*auto simp add: wfC-elim wf-intros*)+

lemma *infer-v-t-form-wf*:

assumes $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ **and** $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma$

shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid \text{C-eq } (\text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (\text{CE-val } v) \}$

using *wfT-v-eq assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *infer-v-t-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{b-of } \tau)$

proof –

obtain z **and** b **where** $\tau = \{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } v \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# v \wedge \text{atom } z \#$

G **using** *infer-v-form assms* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} b$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps wfX-wfB(1) assms*

using *calculation* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately show $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge \text{wfB } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{b-of } \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf infer-v-t-form-wf assms*

by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *infer-v-wf*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : (\text{b-of } \tau)$ **and** $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau$ **and** $\text{wfTh } \Theta$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} G$

```

proof -
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using infer-v-v-wf assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau$  using infer-v-t-wf assms by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$  using wfX-wfY by auto
  thus  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using wfX-wfY by auto
qed

lemma check-bool-options:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}$  and supp v = {}
  shows  $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} \vee v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}$ 
proof -
  obtain t1 where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$  using
check-v-elim
  using assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using infer-bool-options assms
  by (metis  $\tau.\text{exhaust } b\text{-of.simps subtype-eq-base2}$ )
qed

lemma check-v-wf:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\tau::\tau$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\tau'$  where  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau'$  using check-v-elim assms by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ 
  using infer-v-wf infer-v-v-wf subtype-eq-base2  $\ast$  subtype-wf by metis+
qed

lemma infer-v-form-fresh:
  fixes  $v::v$  and  $t::'a::fs$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists z b. \tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } v) \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$ 
proof -
  obtain  $z'$  and  $b'$  where  $\tau = \{ z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } v) \}$  using infer-v-form
assms by blast
  moreover then obtain  $z$  and  $b$  and  $c$  where  $\tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$  using obtain-fresh-z
by metis
  ultimately have  $\tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } v) \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (t, v)$ 
  using assms infer-v-form2 by auto
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

More generally, if support of a term is empty then any  $G$  will do

lemma infer-v-form-consp:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ } v \Rightarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-app } s \text{ } b$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ } v \text{ } \tau$  rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-conspI  $bv \text{ dclist } \Theta \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma \text{ tv } z$ )
  then show ?case using b-of.simps by metis
qed

```

lemma *infer-v-uniqueness-rig*:

fixes $x::x$ **and** $c::c$

assumes *infer-v* $P B G v \tau$ **and** *infer-v* $P B (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') v \tau'$

shows $\tau = \tau'$

using *assms*

proof(*nominal-induct* v *arbitrary*: $\tau' \tau$ *rule*: $v.\text{strong-induct}$)

case (*V-lit* l)

hence *infer-l* $l \tau$ **and** *infer-l* $l \tau'$ **using** *assms*(1) *infer-v-elim*(2) **by** *auto*

then show ?*case* **using** *infer-l-uniqueness* **by** *presburger*

next

case (*V-var* y)

obtain b **and** c **where** $bc: \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } G y$

using *assms*(1) *infer-v-elim*(2) **using** *V-var.prem*(1) *lookup-iff* **by** *force*

then obtain c'' **where** $bc': \text{Some } (b, c'') = \text{lookup } (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') y$

using *lookup-in-rig* **by** *blast*

obtain z **where** $\tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \})$ **using** *infer-v-elim*(1)[*of* $P B G y \tau$] *V-var*

bc option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-g **by** *metis*

moreover obtain z' **where** $\tau' = (\{ z' : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z')) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \})$ **using** *infer-v-elim*(1)[*of* $P B - y \tau'$] *V-var*

option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-rig **by** (*metis* bc')

ultimately show ?*case* **using** *type-e-eq*

by (*metis* *V-var.prem*(1) *V-var.prem*(2) $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ *ce.fresh*(1) *finite.emptyI* *fresh-atom-at-base* *fresh-finite-insert* *infer-v-elim*(1) $v.\text{fresh}$ (2))

next

case (*V-pair* $v1 v2$)

obtain z **and** $z1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c1$ **and** $c2$ **where**

$t1: \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-pair } b1 b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 v2) \}) \wedge \text{atom } z \# (v1, v2) \wedge P ; B ; G \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge P ; B ; G \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \}$

using *infer-v-elim*(3)[*OF* *V-pair*(3)] **by** *metis*

moreover obtain z' **and** $z1'$ **and** $z2'$ **and** $b1'$ **and** $b2'$ **and** $c1'$ **and** $c2'$ **where**

$t2: \tau' = (\{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1' b2' \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 v2) \}) \wedge \text{atom } z' \# (v1, v2) \wedge P ; B ; (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1' : b1' \mid c1' \} \wedge P ; B ; (\text{replace-in-g } G x c') \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2' : b2' \mid c2' \}$

using *infer-v-elim*(3)[*OF* *V-pair*(4)] **by** *metis*

ultimately have $b1 = b1' \wedge b2 = b2'$ **using** *V-pair.hyps*(1) *V-pair.hyps*(2) $\tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *blast*

then show ?*case* **using** $t1 t2$ **by** *simp*

next

case (*V-cons* $s dc v$)

obtain x **and** z **and** b **and** c **and** $dclist$ **where** $t1: \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s dc v) \}) \wedge \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } P \wedge$

$(dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge \text{atom } z \# v$

using *infer-v-elim*(4)[*OF* *V-cons*(2)] **by** *metis*

moreover obtain x' **and** z' **and** b' **and** c' **and** $dclist'$ **where** $t2: \tau' = (\{ z' : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s dc v) \}) \wedge$

$\text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist}' \in \text{set } P \wedge (dc, \{ x' : b' \mid c' \}) \in \text{set } dclist' \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v$

using *infer-v-elim*(4)[*OF* *V-cons*(3)] **by** *metis*

moreover have $a: \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist}' \in \text{set } P$ **and** $b: (dc, \{ x' : b' \mid c' \}) \in \text{set } dclist'$ **and** $c: \text{AF-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in \text{set } P$ **and**

$d: (dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist$ **using** $t1 t2$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x' : b' \mid c' \rrbracket$ **using** *wfTh-dc-t-unique infer-v-wf V-cons* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } z \# \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v)$
using *e.fresh(1) v.fresh(4) t1 t2 pure-fresh* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $(\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \rrbracket) = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-id } s \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v) \rrbracket)$
using *type-e-eq* **by** *metis*

thus *?case* **using** *t1 t2* **by** *simp*

next

case $(V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v})$
from $V\text{-consp}(2)$ $V\text{-consp}$ **show** *?case* **proof**(*nominal-induct V-consp s dc b v τ arbitrary: v rule:infer-v.strong-induct*)

case $(\text{infer-v-conspI } bv \text{ dclist } \Theta \text{ tc } \mathcal{B} \Gamma \text{ v tv } z)$

obtain $z\mathcal{B}$ and $b\mathcal{B}$ where $*\tau' = \{ z\mathcal{B} : b\mathcal{B} \mid \llbracket z\mathcal{B} \rrbracket^v \}^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z\mathcal{B} \# V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v}$
using *infer-v-form[OF $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x \mapsto c'] \vdash V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle$]* **by** *metis*

moreover then have $b\mathcal{B} = B\text{-app } s \text{ b}$ **using** *infer-v-form-consp b-of.simps * infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\{ z\mathcal{B} : B\text{-app } s \text{ b} \mid \llbracket z\mathcal{B} \rrbracket^v \}^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \} = \{ z : B\text{-app } s \text{ b} \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \}^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce} \}$
proof –
 have $\text{atom } z\mathcal{B} \# \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce}$ **using** ** ce.fresh* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{atom } z \# \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \text{ dc } b \text{ v} \rrbracket^{ce}$ **using** ** infer-v-conspI ce.fresh v.fresh pure-fresh*
by *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *type-e-eq infer-v-conspI v.fresh ce.fresh* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately show *?case* **using** *** **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *infer-v-uniqueness*:
 assumes *infer-v P B G v τ and infer-v P B G v τ'*
 shows $\tau = \tau'$

proof –
 obtain $x::x$ where $\text{atom } x \# G$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
 hence $G[x \mapsto C\text{-true}] = G$ **using** *replace-in-g-forget assms infer-v-wf* **by** *fast*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *infer-v-uniqueness-rig assms* **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *infer-v-tid-form*:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-id } tid \mid c \}$ **and** *AF-typedef tid dclist \in set Θ and supp $v = \{\}$*
 shows $\exists dc \text{ v}' t. v = V\text{-cons } tid \text{ dc } v' \wedge (dc, t) \in \text{set } dclist$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v $\{ z : B\text{-id } tid \mid c \}$ rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
 case $(\text{infer-v-varI } \Theta \mathcal{B} c \text{ x } z)$
 then show *?case* **using** *v.supp supp-at-base* **by** *auto*

next

case $(\text{infer-v-litI } \Theta \mathcal{B} l)$

then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (infer-v-consI dclist1 Θ dc x b c \mathcal{B} Γ v z' c' z)
 hence supp v = {} using v.supp by simp
 then obtain dca and v' where *: $V\text{-cons tid dc v} = V\text{-cons tid dca v'}$ using infer-v-consI by auto
 hence dca = dc using v.eq-iff(4) by auto
 hence $V\text{-cons tid dc v} = V\text{-cons tid dca v'} \wedge (dca, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in \text{set dclist1}$ using infer-v-consI
 * by auto
 moreover have dclist = dclist1 using wfTh-dclist-unique infer-v-consI wfX-wfY $\langle dca=dc \rangle$
 proof –
 show ?thesis
 by (meson $\langle AF\text{-typedef tid dclist1} \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \rangle$ infer-v-consI.prem
 infer-v-wf(4) wfTh-dclist-unique wfX-wfY)
 qed
 ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed

lemma check-v-tid-form:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ and $AF\text{-typedef tid dclist} \in \text{set } \Theta$ and supp v = {}
 shows $\exists dc v' t. v = V\text{-cons tid dc v'} \wedge (dc, t) \in \text{set dclist}$
 using assms proof (nominal-induct v $\llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ rule: check-v.strong-induct)
 case (check-v-subtypeI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau 1 v$)
 then obtain z and c where $\tau 1 = \llbracket z : B\text{-id tid} \mid c \rrbracket$ using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps
 by (metis obtain-fresh-z2)
 then show ?case using infer-v-tid-form check-v-subtypeI by simp
 qed

lemma check-v-num-leq:

fixes $n::int$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int (length v)$ and $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ and $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$
 shows $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket\} ; \Gamma \vdash [L\text{-num } n]^v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid ([leq [[L\text{-num } 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce}]$
 AND $([leq [[z]^v]^{ce} [[[[L\text{-bitvec } v]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce})$
 proof –
 have $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \rrbracket\} ; \Gamma \vdash [L\text{-num } n]^v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num } n]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 using infer-v-litI infer-natI wfG-nilI assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using subtype-range[OF assms(1)] assms check-v-subtypeI by metis
 qed

lemma check-int:

assumes check-v $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ ($\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid c \rrbracket$) and supp v = {}
 shows $\exists n. V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n) = v$
 using assms infer-int check-v-elim by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-form subtype-eq-base2)

definition sble :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool$ where

sble $\Theta \Gamma = (\exists i. i \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i)$

lemma check-v-range:

assumes $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid [\text{leq} [[L\text{-}num\ 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}true]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \}$
AND
 $[\text{leq} [[z]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}true]^v]^{ce} \}$
(is $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid ?c1 \}$ **)**
and $v1 = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ bv) \wedge v2 = V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n)$ **and** $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **and** $sble\ \Theta\ \Gamma$
shows $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq int\ (length\ bv)$
proof –
have $\Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}num\ n]^v]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid ?c1 \}$
using *check-v-elim* *assms*
by (*metis infer-l-uniqueness infer-natI infer-v-elim*(2))
moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-GNil* *assms* **by** *simp*
ultimately have $\Theta ; ?B ; ((z, B\text{-}int, [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}num\ n]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ?c1$
using *subtype-valid-simple* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms valid-range-length-inv check-v-wf wfX-wfY sble-def* **by** *metis*
qed

12.4 Expressions

lemma *infer-e-plus*[*elim*]:

fixes $v1::v$ **and** $v2::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z . (\{ z : B\text{-}int \mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \} = \tau)$

using *infer-e-elim* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemma *infer-e-leq*[*elim*]:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\exists z . (\{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}) \} = \tau)$

using *infer-e-elim* *assms* **by** *metis*

lemmas *subst-defs* = *subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def subst-b- τ -def subst-v-v-def subst-v-c-def subst-v- τ -def*

lemma *infer-e-e-wf*:

fixes $e::e$

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b\text{-}of\ \tau$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* τ *avoiding*: τ *rule*: *infer-e.strong-induct*)

case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta'\ \Phi\ v\ \tau$)

then show *?case* **using** *infer-v-v-wf wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta'\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3$)

then show *?case* **using** *b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta'\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3$)

then show *?case* **using** *b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros* **by** *metis*

next

case (*infer-e-appI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ \Phi\ f\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'\ v\ \tau''$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}app\ f\ v : b\text{-}of\ \tau'$ **proof**

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

show $\langle Some\ (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s')) \rangle = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f \rangle$ **using**

infer-e-appI **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$ **using** *infer-e-appI check-v-wf b-of.simps* **by** *metis*


```

qed
moreover have b-of  $\tau' = b\text{-of } (\tau'[x::=v])_v$  using subst-tbase-eq subst-v- $\tau$ -def by auto
ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI subst-v-c-def subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau'' s' v \tau'$ )

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP } f b' v : (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b$  proof
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x b c \tau'' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
* infer-e-appPI by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv::=b]_b)$  using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf b-of.simps subst-b-b-def by
metis
  have atom  $bv \# (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_{bb}$  using fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def infer-e-appPI by metis
  thus atom  $bv \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b)$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN
subst-b-b-def by metis
qed
moreover have b-of  $\tau' = (b\text{-of } \tau')[bv::=b]_b$ 
  using  $\langle \tau''[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v = \tau' \rangle$  b-of-subst-bb-commute subst-tbase-eq subst-b-b-def subst-v- $\tau$ -def
subst-b- $\tau$ -def by auto
ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI by auto
next
case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' c z$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$ )
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-split } v1 v2 : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec}$ 
proof
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-bitvec}$  using infer-e-splitI b-of.simps infer-v-wf by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int}$  using infer-e-splitI b-of.simps check-v-wf by metis
qed
then show ?case using b-of.simps by auto
qed

lemma infer-e-t-wf:
  fixes  $e::e$  and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ 

```

```

shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: infer-e.induct)
case (infer-e-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v \tau$ )
then show ?case using infer-v-t-wf by auto
next
case (infer-e-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}op\ Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-}int$  using wfCE-plusI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-plusI by auto
next
case (infer-e-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}op\ LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-}bool$  using wfCE-leqI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-leqI by auto
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau s' v \tau'$ )
show ?case proof
show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-appI by auto
show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$  proof -
have  $\ast : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(2) b-of.simps by metis
moreover have  $\ast : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$  proof(rule wf-weakening1(4))
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$  using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfD-wf infer-e-appI
wb-b-weakening by fastforce
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c \}$  using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(3) by auto
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-appI wfT-wfC[THEN wfG-consI[rotated 3]]
 $\ast\ wfX\text{-}wfY\ wfT\text{-}wf\text{-}cons$  by metis
show  $\langle setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \subseteq setG ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using setG.simps by auto
qed
moreover have  $((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma$  using subst-gv.simps by auto

ultimately show ?thesis using infer-e-appI wf-subst1(4)[OF *, of GNil x b c \Gamma v] subst-v-\tau-def
by auto
qed
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} (\tau'[bv::=b]_b)[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule wf-subst(4))
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ 
proof(rule wf-weakening1(4))
have  $\langle \Theta ; \{bv\} ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$  using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT infer-e-appI infer-e-appPI
by simp
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv::=b]_b \rangle$ 
using wfT-subst-wfT infer-e-appPI wb-b-weakening subst-b-\tau-def subst-v-\tau-def by presburger
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf(3) subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ 
using infer-e-appPI wfT-wfC[THEN wfG-consI[rotated 3]] * wfX\text{-}wfY wfT\text{-}wf\text{-}cons wb-b-weakening
by metis

```

show $\langle \text{setG } ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$
using *setG.simps* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\langle (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using**
append-g.simps **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* *check-v-wf*(2) *b-of.simps* *subst-b-b-def*
by *metis*
qed
moreover **have** $((x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma$ **using** *subst-gv.simps* **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *infer-e-appPI* *subst-v- τ -def* **by** *simp*
next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} : b1$ **using** *wfCE-fstI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
b-of.simps **using** *wfCE-valI* **by** *fastforce*
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-sndI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} : b2$ **using** *wfCE-sndI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
wfCE-valI
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-sndI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-lenI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' c z$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$ **using** *wfCE-lenI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI* *infer-v-v-wf*
wfCE-valI
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-lenI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-mvarI* $\Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfD-wfT* **by** *blast*
next
case (*infer-e-concatI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec}$ **using** *wfCE-concatI* *wfD-emptyI* *wfPhi-emptyI*
infer-v-v-wf *wfCE-valI*
by (*metis* *b-of.simps* *infer-v-wf*)
then **show** *?case* **using** *wfT-e-eq* *infer-e-concatI* **by** *auto*
next
case (*infer-e-splitI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$)

hence *wfg*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using *infer-v-wf* *wfG-cons2I* *wfB-pairI* *wfB-bitvecI* **by** *simp*
have *wfz*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[z3]^v]^{ce} : [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b$
apply(*rule* *wfCE-valI*, *rule* *wfV-varI*)
using *wfg* **apply** *simp*
using *lookup.simps*(2)[*of* *z3* [*B-bitvec*, *B-bitvec*]^{*b*} *TRUE* Γ *z3*] **by** *simp*
have 1: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v2]^{ce} : B\text{-int}$
using *check-v-wf*[*OF* *infer-e-splitI*(4)] *wf-weakening*(1)[*OF* - *wfg*] *b-of.simps* *setG.simps* *wfCE-valI*
by *fastforce*
have 2: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} : B\text{-bitvec}$
using *infer-v-wf*[*OF* *infer-e-splitI*(3)] *wf-weakening*(1)[*OF* - *wfg*] *b-of.simps* *setG.simps* *wfCE-valI*
by *fastforce*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z3 : [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b \mid [v1]^{ce} \rrbracket == [[\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} \text{ AND } \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$
proof
show $atom\ z3 \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)$ **using** *infer-e-splitI wfTh-x-fresh wfX-wfY fresh-prod3 wfG-fresh-x* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b$ **using** *wfB-pairI wfB-bitvecI infer-e-splitI wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z3, [B\text{-bitvec}, B\text{-bitvec}]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}$
 $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} == [[\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} \text{ AND } \llbracket [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \rrbracket^{ce} == [v2]^{ce}$
using *wfg wfz 1 2 wf-intros* **by** *meson*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *infer-e-wf*:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **and** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : (b\text{-of } \tau)$
using *infer-e-t-wf infer-e-e-wf wfE-wf* **assms** **by** *metis+*

lemma *infer-e-fresh*:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $atom\ x \ \# \Gamma$
shows $atom\ x \ \# (e, \tau)$

proof –
have $atom\ x \ \# e$ **using** *infer-e-e-wf[THEN wfE-x-fresh, OF assms(1)] assms(2)* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $atom\ x \ \# \tau$ **using** *assms infer-e-wf wfT-x-fresh* **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-Pair* **by** *auto*
qed

inductive *check-e* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool$ (- ; - ; - ; - ; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50]
50) **where**
check-e-subtypeI: $\llbracket infer-e\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ e\ \tau' ; subtype\ T\ B\ G\ \tau'\ \tau \rrbracket \Longrightarrow check-e\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ e\ \tau$
equivariance *check-e*
nominal-inductive *check-e* .

inductive-cases *check-e-elim*[*elim!*]:
check-e $F\ D\ B\ G\ \Theta\ (AE\text{-val } v)\ \tau$
check-e $F\ D\ B\ G\ \Theta\ e\ \tau$

lemma *infer-e-fst-pair*:
fixes $v1::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [\#1[v1, v2]^v]^e \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [v1]^e \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$
proof –
obtain z' **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** c **and** z **where** $** : \tau = (\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-fst}$

$$[(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}) \wedge wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi \wedge$$

$$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B} \wedge atom \ z \ \sharp \ V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$$
using *infer-e-elimss* **by** *metis*
hence $\ast : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B}$ **by** *auto*

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1a$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2a$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $\ast : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1a \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2a \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge$
 $B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 = B\text{-pair } b1a \ b2a$
using *infer-v-elimss(5)[OF \ast]* **by** *metis*

hence *suppv*: $supp \ v1 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ v2 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) = \{\}$ **using** \ast *infer-v-v-wf*
wfV-supp *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* *supp-GNil*
by (*meson* *wfV-supp-nil*)

hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v1 \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *infer-v-form2*
 \ast
using *fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2]^{ce} : b1$ **using** *wfCE-fstI* *infer-v-wf(1)* \ast
b-of.simps *wfCE-valI* **by** *metis*

moreover **hence** *st*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == CE\text{-val } v1 \ \mathbb{B} \lesssim (\mathbb{B} \ z : b1$
 $\mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-fst } [V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B})$
using *subtype-gnil-fst* *infer-v-v-wf* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$ **using** \ast **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *wfX-wfY* \ast *infer-e-valI* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *infer-e-snd-pair*:
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } v2 \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$
proof –
obtain z' **and** $b1$ **and** $b2$ **and** c **and** z **where** $\ast : \tau = (\mathbb{B} \ z : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd}$
 $[(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}) \wedge wfD \ \Theta \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B} \wedge atom \ z \ \sharp \ V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$
using *infer-e-elimss(9)[OF *assms(1)*]* **by** *metis*
hence $\ast : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \ \mathbb{B}$ **by** *auto*

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1a$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2a$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $\ast : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : b1a \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2a \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \wedge$
 $B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 = B\text{-pair } b1a \ b2a$
using *infer-v-elimss(5)[OF \ast]* **by** *metis*

hence *suppv*: $supp \ v1 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ v2 = \{\}$ \wedge $supp \ (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) = \{\}$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf* *wfV.simps*
v.supp **by** (*meson* \ast *wfV-supp-nil*)

hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-val } v2 \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *infer-v-form2*
 \ast
by (*metis* *b.eq-iff(4)* *empty-iff* *fresh-def*)
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} : b2$ **using** *wfCE-sndI* *infer-v-wf(1)*
 \ast *b-of.simps* *wfCE-valI* **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** *st*: $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \mathbb{B} \ z2 : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z2) == CE\text{-val } v2 \ \mathbb{B} \lesssim (\mathbb{B} \ z : b2$

| $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd } [(V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)]^{ce} \ \mathbb{I}$)
using *subtype-gnil-snd infer-v-v-wf* **by** *auto*
moreover have $wfD \ \Theta \ \{\mathbb{I}\} \ GNil \ \Delta \wedge \ wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$ **using** *assms infer-e-wf* **by** *meson*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *** infer-e-valI* **by** *metis*
qed

12.5 Statements

lemma *check-s-v-unit*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\mathbb{I} \ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \ \mathbb{I}) \lesssim \tau$ **and** $wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta$ **and** $wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma$ **using** *assms subtype-g-wf* **by** *meson*
moreover hence $wfTh \ \Theta$ **using** *wfG-wf* **by** *simp*
moreover obtain $z'::x$ **where** $atom \ z' \ \sharp \ \Gamma$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*
ultimately have $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit} \Rightarrow \mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I}$
using *infer-v-litI infer-unitI* **by** *simp*
moreover have $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I})$ **using** *infer-v-t-wf*
by (*meson calculation*)
moreover then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\mathbb{I} \ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}) \ \mathbb{I})$
 $\lesssim \tau$ **using** *subtype-trans subtype-top assms*
type-for-lit.simps(4) $wfX\text{-}wfY$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *check-valI assms ** **by** *auto*
qed

12.6 Replacing Variables

Needed as the typing elimination rules give us facts for an alpha-equivalent version of a term and so need to be able to 'jump back' to a typing judgement for the original term

lemma $\tau\text{-fresh-c}[simp]$:

assumes $atom \ x \ \sharp \ \mathbb{I} \ z : b \mid c \ \mathbb{I}$ **and** $atom \ z \ \sharp \ x$
shows $atom \ x \ \sharp \ c$
using $\tau\text{-fresh}$ *assms fresh-at-base*
by (*simp add: fresh-at-base(2)*)

lemma $wfT\text{-}wfT\text{-}if1$:

assumes $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\mathbb{I} \ z : b\text{-of } t \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of } t \ z \ \mathbb{I})$ **and** $atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Gamma, t)$
shows $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ t$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct t avoiding: $\Gamma \ z$ rule: $\tau\text{-strong-induct}$)*
case (*T-refined-type* $z' \ b' \ c'$)
show *?case proof(rule wfT-wfT-if)*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \mathbb{I} \ z : b' \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \ IMP \ c'[z'::=[z]^v]_{cv} \ \mathbb{I} \ \rangle$
using *T-refined-type b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (c', \Gamma) \rangle$ **using** *T-refined-type fresh-prodN $\tau\text{-fresh-c}$* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

thm *check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*

lemma *check-s-check-branch-s-wf*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::branch-s$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $css::branch-list$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma$
 $\tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$ **and**

$check-branch-s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta$
 $\wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$

$check-branch-list \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau \implies \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta$
 $\wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi$

proof(*induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*)

case (*check-valI* $\Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-wf wfX-wfY wfS-valI*

by (*metis subtype-eq-base2*)

next

case (*check-letI* $x \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c$)

then have $*, wfT \Theta B ((x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **by force**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-letI fresh-prodN* **by force**

ultimately have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by force**

then show ?case **using** *check-letI infer-e-wf wfS-letI wfX-wfY wfG-elim* **by metis**

next

case (*check-assertI* $x \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)

then have $*, wfT \Theta B ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau$ **by force**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-assertI fresh-prodN* **by force**

ultimately have $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by force**

then show ?case **using** *check-assertI wfS-assertI wfX-wfY wfG-elim* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta B \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ cons const } x v \Phi s \text{ tid}$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist}' v \text{ cs } \tau \text{ css}$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist}' v \text{ cs } \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *wfX-wfY* **by metis**

next

case (*check-ifI* $z \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$)

hence $*, wfT \Theta B \Gamma (\llbracket z : b-of \tau \mid CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit L-false) IMP c-of \tau z \rrbracket) \text{ (is } wfT \Theta B \Gamma ?tau)$ **by auto**

hence $wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau$ **using** *wfT-wfT-if1 check-ifI fresh-prodN* **by metis**

hence $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** *check-ifI b-of-c-of-eq fresh-prodN* **by auto**

thus ?case **using** *check-ifI* **by metis**

next

case (*check-let2I* $x \Theta \Phi B G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$)

then have $wfT \Theta B ((x, b-of t, (c-of t x)) \#_{\Gamma} G) \tau$ **by fastforce**

moreover have $atom x \nmid \tau$ **using** *check-let2I* **by force**

ultimately have $wfT \Theta B G \tau$ **using** *wfT-restrict2* **by metis**

then show ?case **using** *check-let2I* **by argo**

next

case (*check-varI* $u \Delta P G v \tau' \Phi s \tau$)

then show ?case **using** *wfG-elim wfD-elim*

list.distinct list.inject **by metis**

next
 case (*check-assignI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$)
 obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*:atom\ z' \# \Gamma$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
 moreover **have** $\llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **by** *auto*
 moreover **hence** $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \llbracket z' : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** *wfT-TRUE check-assignI check-v-wf * wfB-unitI wfG-wf* **by** *metis*
 ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *check-v.cases infer-v-wf subtype-wf check-assignI wfT-wf check-v-wf wfG-wf*
by (*meson subtype-wf*)
next
 case (*check-whileI* $\Phi \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau'$)
 then **show** *?case* **using** *subtype-wf subtype-wf* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*check-seqI* $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau$)
 then **show** *?case* **by** *fast*
next
 case (*check-caseI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta dclist\ cs\ \tau\ tid\ v\ z$)
 then **show** *?case* **by** *fast*
qed

lemma *fresh-u-replace-true*:
 fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $atom\ bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 shows $atom\ bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 using *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons assms fresh-Pair c.fresh(1)* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-replace-true1*:
 fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Phi::\Phi$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma':\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $c'::c$ **and** $\tau::\tau$
and $ts::(string*\tau)$ **list** **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $b'::b$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $s::s$
and $ftq::fun\ typ\ q$ **and** $ft::fun\ typ$ **and** $ce::ce$ **and** $td::type\ def$ **and** $cs::branch\ s$ **and**
 $css::branch\ list$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} v : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c''$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True$ **and**
 $\vdash_{wf} P \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} ce : b'$ **and**
 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \implies True$

proof(*nominal-induct*
 b' **and** c'' **and** G **and** τ **and** ts **and** P **and** b **and** b' **and** td
arbitrary: $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$ **and** $\Gamma \Gamma'$
rule:*wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct*)
case (*wfB-intI* $\Theta \mathcal{B}$)
 then **show** *?case* **using** *wf-intros* **by** *metis*


```

next
  case (wfB-boolI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-unitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-bitvecI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-consI  $\Theta s dclist \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfB-appI  $\Theta b s bv dclist \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' b' c x'$ )
  hence wfg:  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  by auto
  show ?case proof(cases  $x=x'$ )
    case True
    hence Some  $(b, TRUE) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x'$  using lookup.simps lookup-inside-wf
  wfg by simp
  thus ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
  by (metis True lookup-inside-wf old.prod.inject option.inject wfV-varI.hyps(1) wfV-varI.hyps(3)
wfV-varI.prem)
next
  case False
  hence Some  $(b', c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x'$  using lookup-inside2 wfV-varI by
metis
  then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
  by (metis wfG-elim2 wfG-suffix wfV-varI.hyps(1) wfV-varI.hyps(2) wfV-varI.hyps(3)
wfV-varI.prem Wellformed.wfV-varI wf-replace-inside(1))
qed
next
  case (wfV-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-pairI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 v2 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-consI  $s dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-conspI  $s bv dclist \Theta dc xc bc cc \mathcal{B} b' \Gamma'' v$ )
  show ?case proof
  show  $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s bv dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle (dc, \{ xc : bc \mid cc \}) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : bc[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  using wfV-conspI by metis

```

```

    have atom bv  $\# \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using fresh-u-replace-true wfV-conspI by metis
    thus  $\langle atom bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, b', v) \rangle$  using wfV-conspI fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
next
case (wfCE-valI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-plusI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfCE-lenI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfTI z  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' b' c'$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle atom z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using wfTI fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfTI by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c' \rangle$  using wfTI append-g.simps
by metis
qed
next
case (wfC-eqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-trueI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-falseI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-conjI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-disjI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-notI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1$ )
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfC-impI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfG-nilI  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ )
  then show ?case using GNil-append by blast
next
  case (wfG-cons1I  $c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' x b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wfG-cons-TRUE2 wfG-elim(2) wfG-replace-inside wfG-suffix
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
  case (wfG-cons2I  $c \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' x' b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros
    by (metis wfG-cons-TRUE2 wfG-elim(2) wfG-replace-inside wfG-suffix)
next
  case wfTh-emptyI
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTh-consI tdef  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTD-simpleI  $\Theta lst s$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTD-poly  $\Theta bv lst s$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTs-nil  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTs-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau dc ts$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed

```

lemma wf-replace-true2:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ and $v::v$ and $e::e$ and $c::c$ and $c'::c$ and $c'::c$ and $\tau::\tau$
 and $ts::(string*\tau)$ list and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $b'::b$ and $b::b$ and $s::s$
 and $ftq::fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q$ and $ft::fun\text{-}typ$ and $ce::ce$ and $td::type\text{-}def$ and $cs::branch\text{-}s$ and
 $css::branch\text{-}list$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b'$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b' \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b'$ **and**

$\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \implies True$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and**

```

     $\Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \implies \text{True}$  and
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \implies \text{True}$ 
proof(nominal-induct
      b' and b' and b' and b' and  $\Phi$  and  $\Delta$  and ftq and ft
      arbitrary:  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$ 
      and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma \Gamma'$ 
      rule:wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)

  case (wfE-valI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-legI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1 by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using wfE-appPI by metis
    have atom bv  $\# \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using fresh-u-replace-true wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by
metis
    thus  $\langle \text{atom bv} \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-of } \tau)[bv::=b]_b) \rangle$ 
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto
    show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv (AF\text{-fun-typ } x1 b1 c1 \tau s))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$ 
using wfE-appPI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv::=b]_b \rangle$  using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1
by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

```

next

case (wfS-valI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta$)
 then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

next

case (wfS-letI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta e b' x1 s b1$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle$ using wfS-letI wf-replace-true1 by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b1 \rangle$ apply(rule wfS-letI(4))

using wfS-letI append-g.simps by simp

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b1 \rangle$ using append-g.simps by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-letI by metis

show atom x1 $\# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, e, b1)$ using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN wfS-letI by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-assertI $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' c \Gamma'' \Delta s b'$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$

using wfS-assertI (2)[of $(x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \Gamma$] wfS-assertI by simp

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ using wfS-assertI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using wfS-assertI by metis

show $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, c, b', s) \rangle$ using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN by simp

qed

next

case (wfS-let2I $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta s1 \tau x' s2 ba'$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1 by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba' \rangle$

apply(rule wfS-let2I(5))
 using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba' \rangle$ using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto

show $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, s1, ba', \tau) \rangle$ using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN wfS-let2I by auto

qed

next

case (wfS-ifI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2$)

then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis

next

case (wfS-varI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \tau v u \Phi \Delta b' s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis

show $\langle \text{atom } u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b') \rangle$ using wfS-varI u-fresh-g fresh-prodN by auto

```

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using wfS-varI by metis
qed

next
  case (wfS-assignI  $u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b'$ )
  show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-id \ tid \rangle$  using wfS-matchI wf-replace-true1 by
auto
  show  $\langle AF-typedef \ tid \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b' \rangle$  using wfS-matchI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' \tau \Gamma'' \Delta s b' tid dc$ )
  show ?case proof
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b-of \ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using
wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b-of \ \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle$  using wfS-branchI
append-g.simps append-g.simps by metis
  show  $\langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \tau) \rangle$  using
wfS-branchI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wfS-branchI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD-emptyI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-emptyI  $\Theta$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-consI  $f \Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next

```

```

  case (wfFTNone  $\Theta \Phi ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome  $\Theta \Phi bv ft$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTI  $\Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed

```

lemmas wf-replace-true = wf-replace-true1 wf-replace-true2

lemma check-s-check-branch-s-wfS:

fixes $s::s$ and $cs::branch-s$ and $\Theta::\Theta$ and $\Phi::\Phi$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $v::v$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $css::branch-list$
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$ and

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v cs \tau \implies wfCS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } cs (b\text{-of } \tau)$

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v css \tau \implies wfCSS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } css (b\text{-of } \tau)$

proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)

case (check-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

then show ?case using infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-wf wfX-wfY wfS-valI
 by (metis subtype-eq-base2)

next

case (check-letI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \rangle$ using infer-e-wf check-letI b-of.simps by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$

using check-letI b-of.simps wf-replace-true2(2)[OF check-letI(5)] append-g.simps by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using infer-e-wf check-letI b-of.simps by metis

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b\text{-of } \tau) \rangle$

apply(simp add: fresh-prodN, intro conjI)

using check-letI(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+

qed

next

case (check-assertI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

next

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b\text{-of } \tau, s) \rangle$ using check-assertI by auto

qed

next

case (check-branch-s-branchI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ const } x \Phi \text{ tid cons } v s$)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau \rangle$

using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis

show $\langle atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \text{const}) \rangle$

using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN by metis

```

    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau dclist css$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (check-iffI  $z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$ )
  show ?case using wfS-iffI check-v-wf check-iffI b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-let2I  $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $G$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-of } t$  ⟩ using check-let2I b-of.simps by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $G \vdash_{wf} t$  ⟩ using check-let2I check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $(x, b\text{-of } t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G$  ;  $\Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩
    using check-let2I(5) wf-replace-true2(2) append-g.simps check-let2I by metis
    show ⟨  $atom\ x \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, s1, b\text{-of } \tau, t)$  ⟩
    apply (simp add: fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    using check-let2I(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+
  qed
next
  case (check-varI  $u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$  ⟩ using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau'$  ⟩ using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show ⟨  $atom\ u \# (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, b\text{-of } \tau)$  ⟩ using check-varI fresh-prodN u-fresh-b by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $(u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩ using check-varI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using wf-intros check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau$ )
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-caseI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau z$ )
  show ?case proof
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-id } tid$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
    show ⟨  $AF\text{-typedef } tid\ dclist \in set\ \Theta$  ⟩ using check-caseI by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show ⟨  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ;  $\Delta$  ;  $tid$  ;  $dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b\text{-of } \tau$  ⟩ using check-caseI by metis
  qed
qed

```

lemma check-s-wf:

fixes $s::s$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge wfT \Theta B \Gamma \tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi \wedge wfTh \Theta \wedge wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \wedge wfS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s$
(b-of τ)
using *check-s-check-branch-s-wf check-s-check-branch-s-wfS assms* **by** *meson*

lemma *check-s-flip-u1*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $(u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Phi ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s$
 $\Leftarrow (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau$
using *check-s.eqvt assms* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *check-s-wf[OF assms] flip-u-eq phi-flip-eq* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *check-s-flip-u2*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
using *check-s-flip-u1 assms* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *permute-flip-cancel* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *check-s-flip-u*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau = (\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau)$
using *check-s-flip-u1 check-s-flip-u2* **by** *metis*

lemma *check-s-abs-u*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $s'::s$ **and** $u::u$ **and** $u'::u$ **and** $\tau'::\tau$
assumes $[[atom\ u]]lst. s = [[atom\ u']]lst. s'$ **and** $atom\ u \not\# \Delta$ **and** $atom\ u' \not\# \Delta$
and $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'$
and $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau$
using *assms check-s-flip-u* **by** *metis*
moreover have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **proof** –
have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = ((u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot u, (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \Delta$
using *DCons-eqvt Pair-eqvt* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (u', (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$
using *assms flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **using**
 $u\text{-not-in-}t\text{-fresh-def flip-fresh-fresh assms}$ **by** *metis*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $(u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s = s'$ **using** *assms Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of u' s' u s]* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

12.7 Additional Elimination and Intros

12.7.1 Values

nominal-function *b-for* :: *opp* \Rightarrow *b* **where**
b-for Plus = *B-int*
| *b-for LEq* = *B-bool*
apply(*auto*, *simp* *add*: *eqvt-def b-for-graph-aux-def*)
by (*meson opp.exhaust*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *infer-v-pair2I*:

fixes *v1::v* **and** *v2::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$
shows $\exists \tau. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2)$
proof –
obtain *z1* **and** *b1* **and** *c1* **and** *z2* **and** *b2* **and** *c2* **where** *zbc*: $\tau_1 = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \wedge \tau_2 = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
using *τ.exhaust* **by** *meson*
obtain *z::x* **where** *atom z* $\# (v_1, v_2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh*
by *blast*
hence *atom z* $\# (v_1, v_2) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket$
using *assms infer-v-pairI zbc* **by** *auto*
moreover obtain τ **where** $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$ **by** *blast*
moreover hence $b\text{-of } \tau = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2)$ **using** *b-of.simps zbc* **by** *presburger*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *meson*
qed

lemma *infer-v-pair2I-zbc*:

fixes *v1::v* **and** *v2::v*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$
shows $\exists z \tau. \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)) \rrbracket) \wedge \text{atom } z \# (v_1, v_2) \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma$
proof –
obtain *z1* **and** *b1* **and** *c1* **and** *z2* **and** *b2* **and** *c2* **where** *zbc*: $\tau_1 = (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket) \wedge \tau_2 = (\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket)$
using *τ.exhaust* **by** *meson*
obtain *z::x* **where** $\text{atom } z \# (v_1, v_2, \Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh*
by *blast*
hence *vinf*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket$
using *assms infer-v-pairI[of z v1 v2 Γ Θ B z1 b1 c1 z2 b2 c2]* *zbc* **by** *simp*
moreover obtain τ **where** $\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau_1 = b1 \wedge b\text{-of } \tau_2 = b2$ **using** *zbc b-of.simps* **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \rrbracket)$

($V\text{-var } z$) == $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)$ \mathbb{I}) **by auto**
thus ?thesis using * fresh-prod2 fresh-prod3 by metis
qed

lemma infer-v-pair2E:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \ z . \ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \wedge$
 $\tau = (\mathbb{I} \ z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) \ (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) \ (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)) \mathbb{I}) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \ \sharp \ (v_1, v_2)$
proof –
obtain z **and** $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c2$ **where**
 $\tau = (\mathbb{I} \ z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \mathbb{I}) \wedge$
 $atom \ z \ \sharp \ (v_1, v_2) \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{I} \ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \mathbb{I} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{I} \ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \mathbb{I}$
using *infer-v-elim*s *assms*
by *blast*
moreover then obtain τ_1 **and** τ_2 **where** $\tau_1 = (\mathbb{I} \ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \mathbb{I}) \wedge \tau_2 = (\mathbb{I} \ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \mathbb{I})$
by *blast*
moreover hence $b1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1 \wedge b2 = b\text{-of } \tau_2$ **using** *b-of.simps* **by auto**
ultimately show ?thesis using b-of.simps by metis
qed

12.7.2 Expressions

lemma infer-e-app2E:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$ **and** $\Theta :: \Theta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-app } f \ v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists x \ b \ c \ s' \ \tau' . \ wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{I} \ x : b \mid c \mathbb{I} \wedge \tau = \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
using *infer-e-elim*s(6)[*OF assms*] *b-of.simps* *subst-defs* **by metis**

lemma infer-e-appP2E:

fixes $\Phi :: \Phi$ **and** $\Theta :: \Theta$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-appP } f \ b \ v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\exists bv \ x \ ba \ c \ s' \ \tau' . \ wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ ba \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge$
 $(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{I} \ x : ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \mathbb{I}) \wedge (\tau = \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
 $\wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp \ v$
proof –
obtain $bv \ x \ ba \ c \ s' \ \tau'$ **where** $*:wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \wedge Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ ba \ c \ \tau' \ s')))$
 $= lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \wedge$
 $(\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{I} \ x : ba[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \mathbb{I}) \wedge (\tau = \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma$
 $\wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b, v, \tau)$
using *infer-e-elim*s(21)[*OF assms*] *subst-defs* **by metis**
moreover then have $atom \ bv \ \sharp \ v$ **using** *fresh-prodN* **by metis**
ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed

12.8 Weakening

Lemmas showing that typing judgements hold when a context is extended

lemma *subtype-weakening*:

fixes $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$ **and** $\text{set}G \ \Gamma \subseteq \text{set}G \ \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct $\tau 2$ avoiding: Γ' rule: subtype.strong-induct)*

case (*subtype-baseI* $x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ z \ c \ z' \ c' \ b$)
show *?case proof*
show $\ast:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **using** *wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
show $\text{atom } x \ \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', z, c, z', c')$ **using** *subtype-baseI fresh-Pair by metis*
have $\text{set}G ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{set}G ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$ **using** *subtype-baseI setG.simps by blast*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons3[OF *, of x] subtype-baseI fresh-Pair subst-defs by metis*
ultimately **show** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \models c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** *valid-weakening subtype-baseI by metis*
qed
qed

method *many-rules* **uses** $\text{add} = ((\text{rule}+), ((\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{add})+)?)$

lemma *infer-v-g-weakening*:

fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{set}G \ \Gamma \subseteq \text{set}G \ \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: τ rule: v .strong-induct)*

case (*V-lit* l)
obtain z' **and** b' **where** $\text{zbc1}: \tau = (\{ z' : b' \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z') == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-v-form V-lit by meson*
obtain z **and** b **where** $\vdash l \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-l-form2 assms infer-v-wf by metis*
hence $xx: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash V\text{-lit } l \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$
using *infer-v-litI assms(1) infer-v-wf*
proof –
show *?thesis*
by (*metis* $\langle \vdash l \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid [\ [z]^v]^{ce} == [\ [l]^v]^{ce} \rangle$ *assms(3) infer-v-litI*)
qed
have $b' = b$
using *V-lit.premis(1) $\langle \vdash l \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \} \rangle \tau.\text{eq-iff infer-l-uniqueness zbc1}$*
by (*meson infer-v-elimis(2)*)
hence $\tau = (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \})$ **using** *zbc1*
using *type-l-eq by blast*
then **show** *?case* **using** xx **by** *auto*

next
case (*V-var* x)
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\ast:\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma \ x \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# x \wedge \text{atom } z \ \# \Gamma \wedge \tau = (\{ z : b \mid \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } z) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-var } x) \})$
using *infer-v-elimis(1) V-var fresh-atom-at-base fresh-finite-insert lookup-iff*
by (*metis finite.emptyI*)

moreover obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z':atom\ z' \# (x, \Gamma')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
moreover hence $t:\tau = (\llbracket z' : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) \rrbracket)$ **using** $*$ **by** *force*
moreover hence $**Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma'\ x$ **using** *lookup-weakening assms*
using *infer-v-wf* $*$ **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash V\text{-}var\ x \Rightarrow (\llbracket z' : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) \rrbracket)$
using *infer-v-varI* $V\text{-}var\ **\ z'$ **by** *simp*
thus $?case$ **using** t **by** *auto*

next
case $(V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)$
obtain $z\ z1\ b1\ c1\ z2\ b2\ c2$ **where** $*\tau = \llbracket z : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2) \rrbracket \wedge$
 $atom\ z \# (v1, v2) \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 :$
 $b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket$
using *infer-v-elim* $s(3)[OF\ V\text{-}pair(3)]$ **by** *metis*
moreover obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z':atom\ z' \# (v1, v2) \wedge atom\ z' \# \Gamma'$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-prod2*
by *metis*
moreover hence $\tau = \llbracket z' : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2) \rrbracket$ **using**
 $*$ **by** *force*
ultimately show $?case$ **using** *infer-v-pairI* $V\text{-}pair$ **by** *metis*

next
case $(V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v)$
from $V\text{-}consp(2)\ V\text{-}consp(1)\ V\text{-}consp(3)\ V\text{-}consp(4)$ **show** $?case$
proof(*nominal-induct* $V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v\ \tau$ *avoiding: Γ' rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
case $(infer\text{-}v\text{-}conspI\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ tv\ z)$
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, tc) \in set\ dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI subtype-weakening* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', v, b) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', v, b) \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*

qed
qed

next
case $(V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v)$

obtain $dclist\ x\ b\ c\ z'\ c'\ z$ **where**
 $*\tau = (\llbracket z : B\text{-}id\ s \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v) \rrbracket) \wedge$
 $AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set\ dclist \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge$
 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z \# v \wedge atom\ z \# \Gamma$
using *infer-v-elim* $s(4)[OF\ V\text{-}cons(2)]$ **by** *metis*
moreover obtain $z''::x$ **where** $zdash:atom\ z'' \# v \wedge atom\ z'' \# \Gamma'$ **using** *obtain-fresh fresh-prod2* **by**
metis
moreover hence $t:\tau = (\llbracket z'' : B\text{-}id\ s \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z'') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v) \rrbracket)$ **proof**

have $atom\ z'' \# AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v)$ **using** $zdash\ e.fresh\ v.fresh\ Un\text{-}commute\ b.suppl(3)\ fresh\text{-}def$
 $sup\text{-}bot.\text{right}\text{-}neutral\ suppl\text{-}b\text{-}empty\ v.suppl(4)$ **by** *metis*
moreover have $atom\ z \# AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v)$ **using** $*\ e.fresh\ v.fresh\ Un\text{-}commute\ b.suppl(3)$
fresh-def

$\text{sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty } v.\text{supp}(4) \text{ by } \text{metis}$
ultimately show $?thesis \text{ using type-e-eq[of } z'' \text{ CE-val (V-cons s dc v) z B-id s]} * \text{ by simp}$
qed
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \text{ using } * \text{ V-cons by meson}$
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket \text{ using } * \text{ subtype-weakening V-cons by meson}$

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash V\text{-cons s dc v} \Rightarrow (\llbracket z'' : B\text{-id s} \mid \text{CE-val (V-var z'')} \rrbracket == \text{CE-val (V-cons s dc v)} \rrbracket)$
using infer-v-consI by metis
thus ?case using t by auto
qed

lemma check-v-g-weakening:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$
using subtype-weakening infer-v-g-weakening check-v-elim check-v-subtypeI assms by metis

lemma infer-e-g-weakening:
fixes $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$
using assms proof(nominal-induct τ avoiding: Γ' rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
case (infer-e-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v \tau$)
then show ?case using infer-v-g-weakening wf-weakening infer-e.intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-plusI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)

obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z': \text{atom } z' \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v2 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma'$ **using obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by metis**
moreover hence $*:\llbracket z3 : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val (V-var z3)} \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val (V-var z')} \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket)$
using infer-e-plusI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp by auto

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash \text{AE-op Plus } v1 v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid \text{CE-val (V-var z')} \rrbracket == \text{CE-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle \text{ using wf-weakening infer-e-plusI by auto}$
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle \text{ using infer-e-plusI by auto}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-plusI by auto}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-plusI by auto}$
show $\langle \text{atom } z' \# \text{AE-op Plus } v1 v2 \rangle \text{ using } z' \text{ by auto}$
show $\langle \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma' \rangle \text{ using } z' \text{ by auto}$
qed
thus ?case using * by metis

next
case (infer-e-leqI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
obtain $z'::x$ **where** $z': \text{atom } z' \# v1 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v2 \wedge \text{atom } z' \# \Gamma'$ **using obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by metis**

moreover hence $*:\llbracket z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{CE-val (V-var z3)} \rrbracket == \text{CE-op LEq } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket = (\llbracket z' :$

$B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B})$
using *infer-e-leqI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp* **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-op } LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \mathbb{B}$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \ \mathbb{B} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{B} \ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \ \mathbb{B} \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leqI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom \ z' \# AE\text{-op } LEq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** z' **by** *auto*
show $\langle atom \ z' \# \Gamma' \rangle$ **using** z' **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** $*$ **by** *metis*

next
case $(infer\text{-e-appI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ f \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-app } f \ v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *Typing.infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*

obtain $x'::x$ **where** $x':atom \ x' \# (s', c, \tau', \Gamma') \wedge (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))) = (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))))$
using *obtain-fresh-fun-def[of s' c \tau' \Gamma' f x b]* **by** *metis*

hence $**:\ \mathbb{B} \ x : b \mid c \ \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B} \ x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) type-eq-flip* **by** *blast*
have $atom \ x' \# \Gamma$ **using** x' *infer-e-appI fresh-weakening fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
have $\langle Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appI* **by** *auto*
thus $\langle Some \ (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')))) = lookup\text{-fun } \Phi \ f \rangle$ **using** x' **by** *metis*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathbb{B} \ x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \ \mathbb{B}$ **using** *check-v-g-weakening ** infer-e-appI* **by** *metis*
show $atom \ x' \# \Gamma'$ **using** x' *fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
have $atom \ x \# (v, \tau) \wedge atom \ x' \# (v, \tau)$ **using** x' *infer-e-fresh[OF *] e.fresh(2) fresh-Pair infer-e-appI* $\langle atom \ x' \# \Gamma \rangle$ **by** *metis*
thus $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[x'::=v]_v = \tau$ **using** *infer-e-appI(7) infer-e-appI subst-tv-flip subst-defs* **by** *auto*
qed

next
case $(infer\text{-e-appPI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *Typing.infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*

obtain $x'::x$ **where** $x':atom \ x' \# (s', c, \tau', (\Gamma', v, \tau)) \wedge (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))) = (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))))$
using *obtain-fresh-fun-def[of s' c \tau' (\Gamma', v, \tau) f x b]*
by $(metis \ fun\text{-def.eq-iff } fun\text{-typ-q.eq-iff}(2))$

hence **: $\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \rrbracket$
using *fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) type-eq-flip* **by** *blast*
have *atom* $x' \# \Gamma$ **using** x' *infer-e-appPI fresh-weakening fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show *?case proof*(*rule Typing.infer-e-appPI*[**where** $x=x'$ **and** $bv=bv$ **and** $b=b$ **and** $c=(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c$
and $\tau'=(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau'$ **and** $s'=((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')$])
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
show *Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x' b ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')))) = lookup-fun \Phi f* **using** x' *infer-e-appPI* **by** *argo*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x' : b[bv::=b]_b \mid ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c)[bv::=b]_b \rrbracket$ **using** **
 $\tau.eq\text{-}iff\ check\text{-}v\text{-}g\text{-}weakening\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.hyps\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.premis(1)\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}appPI.premis\ subst\text{-}defs$
 $subst\text{-}tb.simps$ **by** *metis*
show *atom* $x' \# \Gamma'$ **using** x' *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

have *atom* $x \# (v, \tau) \wedge atom\ x' \# (v, \tau)$ **using** x' *infer-e-fresh[OF *]* *e.fresh(2) fresh-Pair*
infer-e-appPI (*atom* $x' \# \Gamma$) *e.fresh* **by** *metis*
moreover then have $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv::=b]_{\tau b} = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ **using** *subst-b-x-flip*
by (*metis subst-b-\tau-def*)
ultimately show $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv::=b]_b[x'::=v]_v = \tau$
using *infer-e-appPI subst-tv-flip subst-defs* **by** *metis*

show *atom* $bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, b', v, \tau)$ **using** *infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed

next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z$)

obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge atom\ z' \# v \wedge atom\ z' \# c$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
hence **: $\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-fstI v.fresh e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}fst\ v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$ **proof**
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *wf-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-fstI* **by** *metis*
show *atom* $z' \# AE\text{-}fst\ v$ **using** * *e.supp* **by** *auto*
show *atom* $z' \# \Gamma'$ **using** * **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** * **by** *metis*

next
case (*infer-e-sndI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z$)

obtain $z'::x$ **where** $*$: *atom* $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge atom\ z' \# v \wedge atom\ z' \# c$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*
hence **: $\llbracket z : b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}snd\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}snd\ [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-sndI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*


```

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-snd } v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-sndI by
metis
  show atom  $z' \# AE\text{-snd } v$  using * e.supp by auto
  show atom  $z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
qed
thus ?case using ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z'' \ c \ z$ )

  obtain  $z'::x$  where  $*$ : atom  $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge$  atom  $z' \# v \wedge$  atom  $z' \# c$  using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by
metis
  hence  $**:\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
using type-e-eq infer-e-lenI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-len } v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z'' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-lenI by metis
    show atom  $z' \# AE\text{-len } v$  using * e.supp by auto
    show atom  $z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \ \Gamma \ \Phi \ \Delta \ u \ \tau$ )
  then show ?case using wf-weakening infer-e.intros by metis
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$ )

  obtain  $z'::x$  where  $*$ : atom  $z' \# \Gamma' \wedge$  atom  $z' \# v1 \wedge$  atom  $z' \# v2$  using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair
by metis
  hence  $**:\llbracket z3 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3) == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
using type-e-eq infer-e-concatI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$  proof
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI by
metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2 \rrbracket$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI by
metis
    show atom  $z' \# AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2$  using * e.supp by auto
    show atom  $z' \# \Gamma'$  using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3$ )

```

```

show ?case proof
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using infer-e-splitI wf-weakening by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-splitI wf-weakening by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \}$  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-splitI by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid [ \text{leq} [ [ L\text{-num } 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \}$ 
    using check-v-g-weakening infer-e-splitI by metis
  show atom z1  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z1  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z2  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z2  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma'$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
qed
qed

```

Special cases proved explicitly, other cases at the end with method +

lemma infer-e-d-weakening:

```

fixes e::e
assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$  and setD  $\Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta'$  and wfD  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta'$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ 
using assms by(nominal-induct  $\tau$  avoiding:  $\Delta'$  rule: infer-e.strong-induct,auto simp add:infer-e.intros)

```

lemma wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple:

```

fixes x::x and v :: v
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and atom x  $\# \Gamma$ 
shows atom x  $\# v$ 
using wfV-x-fresh infer-v-wf assms by metis

```

lemma check-s-g-weakening:

```

fixes v::v and s::s and cs::branch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and  $\Theta::\Theta$  and css::branch-list
shows check-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ s \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \text{check-s } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ s \ t$  and

```

```

  check-branch-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid cons const } v \ cs \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow$ 
  check-branch-s  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ \text{tid cons const } v \ cs \ t$  and

```

```

  check-branch-list  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid dclist } v \ css \ t \Longrightarrow \text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow$ 
  check-branch-list  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ \Delta \ \text{tid dclist } v \ css \ t$ 

```

proof(nominal-induct t and t and t avoiding: Γ' rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)

```

  case (check-valI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$ )

```

```

  then show ?case using Typing.check-valI infer-v-g-weakening wf-weakening subtype-weakening by metis

```

next

```

  case (check-letI x  $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$ )

```

```

  hence xf:atom x  $\# \Gamma'$  by metis

```

```

  show ?case proof

```

```

    show atom x  $\# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau)$  using check-letI using fresh-prod4 xf by metis

```

```

    show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}$  using infer-e-g-weakening check-letI by metis

```

```

    show atom z  $\# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau, s)$ 

```

```

      by(unfold fresh-prodN,auto simp add: check-letI fresh-prodN)

```

```

    have setG  $((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using check-letI setG.simps

```

```

      by (metis Un-commute Un-empty-right Un-insert-right insert-mono)

```

moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } \textit{check-letI wfG-cons-weakening}$
check-s-wf by metis
 ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using } \textit{check-letI by metis}$
 qed
 next
 case (*check-let2I* $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$)
 show ?case **proof**
 show *atom* $x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, t, s1, \tau) \text{ using } \textit{check-let2I using fresh-prod4 by auto}$
 show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \text{ using } \textit{check-let2I by metis}$
 have $\textit{setG} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G) \subseteq \textit{setG} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } \textit{check-let2I by auto}$
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') \text{ using } \textit{check-let2I wfG-cons-weakening}$
check-s-wf by metis
 ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using } \textit{check-let2I by metis}$
 qed
 next
 case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' v cs \tau css dclist$)
 thus ?case **using** *Typing.check-branch-list-consI by metis*
 next
 case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' v cs \tau dclist$)
 thus ?case **using** *Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by metis*
 next
 case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const } x \Phi tid \text{cons } v s$)
 show ?case **proof**
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \text{ using } \textit{wf-weakening2(6) check-branch-s-branchI by metis}$
 show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ using } \textit{check-branch-s-branchI by auto}$
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ using } \textit{check-branch-s-branchI wfT-weakening (wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma') \text{ by presburger}$

 show $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \text{const} \text{ using } \textit{check-branch-s-branchI by auto}$
 show *atom* $x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, tid, \text{cons}, \text{const}, v, \tau) \text{ using } \textit{check-branch-s-branchI by auto}$
 have $\textit{setG} ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons } tid \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \textit{setG} ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons } tid \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$
 using *check-branch-s-branchI by auto*
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons } tid \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$
 using *check-branch-s-branchI wfG-cons-weakening check-s-wf by metis*
 ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons } tid \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
 using *check-branch-s-branchI using fresh-dom-free by auto*
 qed
 next
 case (*check-ifI* $z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$)
 show ?case **proof**
 show $\langle \textit{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle \text{ using } \textit{fresh-prodN check-ifI by auto}$
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } \textit{check-v-g-weakening check-ifI by auto}$
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau \text{ z } \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } \textit{check-ifI by auto}$
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau \text{ z } \rrbracket \rangle \text{ using } \textit{check-ifI by auto}$
 qed
 next

```

  case (check-whileI  $\Delta$  G P s1 z s2  $\tau'$ )
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
wf-weakening
    by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
next
  case (check-seqI  $\Delta$  G P s1 z s2  $\tau$ )
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
wf-weakening
    by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
next
  case (check-varI u  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau' v \tau s$ )
  thus ?case using check-v-g-weakening check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by auto
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  u  $\tau v z \tau'$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-assignI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assignI wf-weakening by auto
  show  $\langle (u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta \rangle$  using check-assignI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using check-assignI check-v-g-weakening by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid \text{TRUE} \} \lesssim \tau' \rangle$  using subtype-weakening check-assignI by auto
qed
next
  case (check-caseI  $\Delta$   $\Gamma$   $\Theta$  dclist cs  $\tau$  tid v z)

  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
wf-weakening
    by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
next
  case (check-assertI x  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  c  $\tau s$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, \tau, s) \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
  hence *:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'$  using wfG-cons-weakening check-assertI by metis
  moreover have  $\text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')$  using check-assertI by
auto
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using check-assertI(11) [OF - *] by auto

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \models c \rangle$  using check-assertI valid-weakening by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assertI wf-weakening by metis
qed
qed

```

lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-v:

```

  fixes c::c and  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $G::\Gamma$  and v::v and xa::x
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and  $G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  and  $\text{atom } xa \# G$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$ 
  shows  $\text{atom } xa \# v$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau$  using infer-v-wf assms by metis
  hence  $\text{supp } v \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$  using wfV-supp by simp

```

moreover have $\text{atom } xa \notin \text{atom-dom } G$
using $\text{assms wfG-atoms-suppl-eq}[OF \text{ assms}(4)]$ **fresh-def by metis**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using fresh-def**
using $\text{assms infer-v-wf wfG-atoms-suppl-eq}$
 $\text{fresh-GCons fresh-append-g subsetCE}$
by $(\text{metis wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple})$
qed

lemma fresh-z-subst-g:
fixes $G::\Gamma$
assumes $\text{atom } z' \# (x, v)$ **and** $(\text{atom } z' \# G)$
shows $\text{atom } z' \# G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$
proof –
have $\text{atom } z' \# v$ **using** assms fresh-prod2 **by auto**
thus $?thesis$ **using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis**
qed

lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-subst-v:
fixes $c::c$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $G::\Gamma$ **and** $xa::x$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\text{atom } xa \# G$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# (\text{subst-gv } G \ x \ v)$
proof –
have $\text{atom } xa \# v$ **using** $\text{wfG-xa-fresh-in-v assms}$ **by metis**
thus $?thesis$ **using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis**
qed

12.8.1 Weakening Immutable Variable Context

declare $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros}[simp]$
declare $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros}[intro]$

lemma check-s-d-weakening:
fixes $s::s$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \text{ tid cons const } v \text{ cs } \tau$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau \Longrightarrow \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Longrightarrow \text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau$
proof $(\text{nominal-induct } \tau \text{ and } \tau \text{ and } \tau \text{ avoiding: } \Delta' \text{ arbitrary: } v \text{ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct})$
case $(\text{check-valI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros}$ **by blast**
next
case $(\text{check-letI } x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c)$
show $?case$ **proof**
show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau)$ **using** check-letI **by auto**
show $\text{atom } z \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau, s)$ **using** check-letI **by auto**
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** $\text{check-letI infer-e-d-weakening}$ **by auto**
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** $\text{check-letI check-s-wf}$ **by metis**
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** $\text{check-letI check-s-wf}$ **by metis**
ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** $\text{wf-weakening2}(6)$ setG.simps
by fast

```

    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-letI by simp
qed
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const } x \Phi \text{tid cons } v \ s$ )
moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND }$ 
c-of const x  $) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
using check-s-wf[OF check-branch-s-branchI(16)] by metis
moreover hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND }$ 
c-of const x  $) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ 
using wf-weakening2(6) check-branch-s-branchI by fastforce
ultimately show ?case
using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid dclist } v \ cs \ \tau \ css$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid dclist } v \ cs \ \tau$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-ifI  $z \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$ )
show ?case proof
show  $\langle \text{atom } z \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle$  using fresh-prodN check-ifI by auto
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c\text{-of}$ 
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \rrbracket \text{ IMP } c\text{-of}$ 
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-ifI by auto
qed
next
case (check-assertI  $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$ )
show ?case proof
show  $\text{atom } x \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', c, \tau, s)$  using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto
show  $\ast : \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using check-assertI by auto
hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using wf-weakening2(6)[OF *, of  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma}$ 
 $\Gamma$ ] check-assertI check-s-wf setG.simps by auto
thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ 
using check-assertI(11)[OF  $\langle \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta' \rangle$ ] by simp

show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c$  using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto

qed
next
case (check-let2I  $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2$ )
show ?case proof
show  $\text{atom } x \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta', t, s1, \tau)$  using check-let2I by auto

show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta' \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$  using check-let2I infer-e-d-weakening by auto
have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$  using check-let2I wf-weakening2(6) check-s-wf by
fastforce
thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-let2I by simp
qed
next

```

```

case (check-varI u  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau'$  v  $\tau$  s)
show ?case proof
  show atom u  $\#$  ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta'$ ,  $\tau'$ , v,  $\tau$ ) using check-varI by auto
  show  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau'$  using check-varI by auto
  have setD ((u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta$ )  $\subseteq$  setD ((u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$ ) using setD.simps check-varI by auto
  moreover have u  $\notin$  fst 'setD  $\Delta'$  using check-varI(1) setD.simps fresh-DCons by (simp add:
fresh-d-not-in)
  moreover hence  $\Theta$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf}$  (u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$  using wfD-cons fresh-DCons setD.simps check-varI
check-v-wf by metis
  ultimately show  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\mathcal{B}$  ;  $\Gamma$  ; (u,  $\tau'$ )  $\#_{\Delta}$   $\Delta'$   $\vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-varI by auto
qed
next
case (check-assignI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  u  $\tau$  v z  $\tau'$ )
moreover hence (u,  $\tau$ )  $\in$  setD  $\Delta'$  by auto
ultimately show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by simp
next
case (check-whileI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau'$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-seqI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau$ )
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
case (check-caseI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$  z)
then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson

qed

thm valid-ce-eq

lemma valid-ce-eq:
  fixes v::v and ce2::ce
  assumes ce1 = ce2[x::=v]cev and wfV  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  GNil v b and wfCE  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  ((x, b, TRUE)  $\#_{\Gamma}$  GNil)
  ce2 b' and wfCE  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$  GNil ce1 b'
  shows  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models ce1 == ce2 \rangle$ 
  unfolding valid.simps proof
  have wfg:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}$  (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce})  $\#_{\Gamma}$  GNil
    using wfG-cons1I wfG-nilI wfX-wfY assms wf-intros
    by (meson fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq wfG-intros2)

  show wf:  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf}$  ce1 == ce2  $\rangle$ 
    apply (rule wfC-eqI[where b=b'])
    using wfg setG.simps assms wfCE-weakening apply simp

    using wfg assms wf-replace-inside1(8) assms
    using wfC-trueI wf-trans(8) by auto

  show  $\forall i. ((\Theta ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \models (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) \longrightarrow$ 
    (i  $\models$  (ce1 == ce2))) proof (rule+, goal-cases)
    fix i
    assume as: $\Theta ; (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i$  i  $\models (x, b, ([x]^v)^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$ 

```

have $1:wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) v b$
using *wf-weakening assms append-g.simps setG.simps wf wfX-wfY*
by (*metis empty-subsetI*)
 hence $\exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s$ **using** *eval-v-exist[OF - 1]* **as** **by** *auto*
 then obtain s **where** $iv:i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s ..$

hence $ix:i x = \text{Some } s$ **proof** –
 have $i \models [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]$ **using** *is-satis-g.simps as* **by** *auto*
 hence $i \llbracket [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}] \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *is-satis.simps* **by** *auto*
 hence $i \llbracket [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s$ **using**
 iv eval-e-elim
 by (*metis eval-c-elim*(7) *eval-e-uniqueness eval-e-valI*)
 thus *?thesis* **using** *eval-v-elim*(2) *eval-e-elim*(1) **by** *metis*
 qed

have $1:wfCE \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, [\![x]^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}]) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) ce1 b'$
using *wfCE-weakening assms append-g.simps setG.simps wf wfX-wfY*
by (*metis empty-subsetI*)
 hence $\exists s1. i \llbracket ce1 \rrbracket \sim s1$ **using** *eval-e-exist assms as* **by** *auto*
 then obtain $s1$ **where** $s1: i \llbracket ce1 \rrbracket \sim s1 ..$

moreover have $i \llbracket ce2 \rrbracket \sim s1$ **proof** –
 have $i \llbracket ce2[x::=v]_{cev} \rrbracket \sim s1$ **using** *assms s1* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $ce1 = ce2[x::=v]_{cev}$ **using** *subst-v-ce-def assms subst-v-simple-commute* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $i(x \mapsto s) \llbracket ce2 \rrbracket \sim s1$
 using *ix subst-e-eval-v[of i ce1 s1 ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v x v s]* *iv s1* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $i(x \mapsto s) = i$ **using** *ix* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
 ultimately show $i \llbracket ce1 == ce2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *eval-c-eqI* **by** *metis*
 qed
 qed

lemma *check-v-top*:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $ce1 = ce2[z::=v]_{cev}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid$
 $ce1 == ce2 \}$
 and $\text{supp } ce1 \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ce1 == ce2 \}$
proof –
 obtain t **where** $t: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \tau$
 using *assms check-v-elim* **by** *metis*

then obtain z' **and** b' **where** $*:t = \{ z' : b' \mid [\![z']^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}] \} \wedge \text{atom } z' \# v \wedge \text{atom } z' \#$
 $GNil$
 using *assms infer-v-form* **by** *metis*
 have $beq: b\text{-of } t = b\text{-of } \tau$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps t* **by** *auto*
 obtain $x::x$ **where** $xf: (\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z', [\![z']^v\!]^{ce} == [\![v]^{ce}], z, ce1 == ce2))$
 using *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v)$


```

using wfT-wfC2[OF assms(3), of x] subst-cv.simps(6) subst-v-c-def subst-v-ce-def fresh-GNil by
simp

then obtain b2 where b2:  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \wedge$ 
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2$  using wfC-elim(3)
beq by metis

from xf have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid ce1 == ce2 \}$ 
proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b\text{-of } t \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce} \} \rangle$  using b-of.simps assms
infer-v-wf t by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid ce1 == ce2 \} \rangle$  using beq assms by auto
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, ([x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v) \rangle$ 
proof(rule valid-ce-eq)
  show  $\langle ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev} \rangle$  proof -
    have atom z  $\#$  ce1 using assms fresh-def x-not-in-b-set by fast
    hence  $ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce1$ 
    using forget-subst-v by auto
    also have  $\dots = ce2[z::=v]_{cev}$  using assms by auto
    also have  $\dots = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev}$  proof -
      have atom x  $\#$  ce2 using xf fresh-prodN c.fresh by metis
      thus ?thesis using subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp
    qed
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } t \rangle$  using infer-v-wf t by simp
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \rangle$  using b2 by auto

have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2$  using b2 by auto
moreover have atom x  $\#$  ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v
  using fresh-subst-v-if assms fresh-def
  using  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } t \rangle \langle ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev} \rangle$ 
fresh-GNil subst-v-ce-def wfV-x-fresh by auto
ultimately show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \rangle$  using
wf-restrict(8) by force
qed
moreover have  $v[z'::=[x]^v]_{vv} = v$ 
  using forget-subst assms infer-v-wf wfV-supp x-not-in-b-set
  by (simp add: local.*)
ultimately show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, ([z']^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce}))[z'::=[x]^v]_v \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (ce1 ==$ 
 $ce2)[z::=[x]^v]_v$ 
  unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps
  using subst-v-ce-def by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using b-of.simps assms * check-v-subtypeI t b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 by metis
qed

```

This lemma confirms that if we assume the existence of a boolean like datatype then if and match are the same where the latter is a match for this datatype

end

declare *freshers*[*simp del*]

Chapter 13

Context Subtyping Lemmas

Lemmas allowing us to replace the type of a variable in the context with a subtype and have the judgement remain valid. Otherwise known as narrowing.

13.1 Replace Type of Variable in Context

Because the G-context is extended by the statements like let, we will need a generalised substitution lemma for statements. For this we setup a function that replaces in G for a particular x the constraint for it

nominal-function *replace-in-g-many* :: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \Gamma$ **where**
replace-in-g-many G xcs = *List.foldr* ($\lambda(x,c) \ G. \ G[x \mapsto c]$) xcs G
by(*auto,simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-many-graph-aux-def*)
nominal-termination (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

inductive *replace-in-g-subtyped* :: $\Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (- ; - \vdash - \langle - $\rangle \rightsquigarrow$ - [100,50,50] 50) **where**

replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \rightsquigarrow G$
| *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*: \llbracket
Some (b,c') = *lookup* G x ;
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} c ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c] \models c' ;$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G[x \mapsto c] \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G' ; x \notin \text{fst} \text{ ' set } xcs \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle (x,c)\#xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$

equivariance *replace-in-g-subtyped*

nominal-inductive *replace-in-g-subtyped* .

inductive-cases *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim*[*elim*!]:

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G) \langle acs \rangle \rightsquigarrow ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma} G')$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G' \langle (x,c)\# acs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G$

thm *replace-in-g-def*

lemma *rigs-atom-dom-eq*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$
shows *atom-dom* G = *atom-dom* G'

```

using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $G$ )
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )
  then show ?case using rig-dom-eq atom-dom.simps dom.simps by simp
qed

```

```

lemma replace-in-g-wfG:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$ 
  shows  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G'$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $\Theta\ G$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )
  then show ?case using valid-g-wf by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wfD-rig-single:
  fixes  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $x::x$  and  $c::c$  and  $G::\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (G[x \mapsto c])$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c] \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ 
proof(cases atom x \in atom-dom G)
  case False
  hence  $(G[x \mapsto c]) = G$  using assms replace-in-g-forget wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?thesis using assms by auto
next
  case True
  then obtain  $G1\ G2\ b\ c'$  where  $*$ :  $G = G1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G2$  using split-G by fastforce
  hence  $**$ :  $(G[x \mapsto c]) = G1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G2$  using replace-in-g-inside wfD-wf assms wfD-wf by metis

  hence  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G2)$  using wfG-suffix assms by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G2 \vdash_{wf} c$  using wfG-elim2 by auto

  thus ?thesis using wf-replace-inside1 assms  $*$   $**$ 
  by (simp add: wf-replace-inside2(6))
qed

```

```

lemma wfD-rig:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$  and  $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ \Delta$ 
  shows  $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G'\ \Delta$ 
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI  $\Theta\ G$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI  $b\ c'\ G\ x\ \Theta\ c\ xcs\ G'$ )

```

then show *?case* **using** *wfD-rig-single valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh*:

fixes *x::x*

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$

using *wfG-dom-suppl assms fresh-def rigs-atom-dom-eq* **by** *metis*

lemma *replace-in-g-fresh1*:

fixes *x::x*

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow \Gamma'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ x \# \Gamma$

shows $atom\ x \# \Gamma'$

proof *—*

have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **using** *replace-in-g-wfG assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms replace-in-g-fresh* **by** *metis*

qed

Wellscoping for an eXchange list

inductive *wsX*:: $\Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c)\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

wsX-NilI: $wsX\ G\ []$

| *wsX-ConsI*: $\llbracket wsX\ G\ xcs ; atom\ x \in atom-dom\ G ; x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow wsX\ G\ ((x,c)\#xcs)$

equivariance *wsX*

nominal-inductive *wsX* .

lemma *wsX-if1*:

assumes $wsX\ G\ xcs$

shows $((atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs) \subseteq atom-dom\ G) \wedge List.distinct\ (List.map\ fst\ xcs)$

using *assms* **by**(*induct rule: wsX.induct,force+*)

lemma *wsX-if2*:

assumes $((atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs) \subseteq atom-dom\ G) \wedge List.distinct\ (List.map\ fst\ xcs)$

shows $wsX\ G\ xcs$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct xcs*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **using** *wsX-NilI* **by** *fast*

next

case (*Cons a xcs*)

then obtain *x* **and** *c* **where** $xc: a=(x,c)$ **by** *force*

have $wsX\ G\ xcs$ **proof** *—*

have $distinct\ (map\ fst\ xcs)$ **using** *Cons* **by** *force*

moreover have $atom\ 'fst\ 'set\ xcs \subseteq atom-dom\ G$ **using** *Cons* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by** *fast*

qed

moreover have $atom\ x \in atom-dom\ G$ **using** *Cons xc*

by *simp*

moreover have $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs$ **using** *Cons xc*

by *simp*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *wsX-ConsI xc* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *wsX-iff*:

```

wsX G xcs = ((( atom 'fst 'set xcs) ⊆ atom-dom G) ∧ List.distinct (List.map fst xcs))
using wsX-if1 wsX-if2 by meson

inductive-cases wsX-elim[elim!]:
  wsX G []
  wsX G ((x,c)#xcs)

lemma wsX-cons:
  assumes wsX Γ xcs and x ∉ fst 'set xcs
  shows wsX ((x, b, c1) #Γ Γ) ((x, c2) # xcs)
using assms proof(induct Γ)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
  case (GCons xbc Γ)
  obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
  then have atom 'fst 'set xcs ⊆ atom-dom (xbc #Γ Γ) ∧ distinct (map fst xcs)
    using GCons.prem1 wsX-iff by blast
  then have wsX ((x, b, c1) #Γ xbc #Γ Γ) xcs
    by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq wsX-if2)
  then show ?case by (simp add: GCons.prem2 wsX-ConsI)
qed

lemma wsX-cons2:
  assumes wsX Γ xcs and x ∉ fst 'set xcs
  shows wsX ((x, b, c1) #Γ Γ) xcs
using assms proof(induct Γ)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
  case (GCons xbc Γ)
  obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
  then have atom 'fst 'set xcs ⊆ atom-dom (xbc #Γ Γ) ∧ distinct (map fst xcs)
    using GCons.prem1 wsX-iff by blast then show ?case by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq
wsX-if2)
qed

lemma wsX-cons3:
  assumes wsX Γ xcs
  shows wsX ((x, b, c1) #Γ Γ) xcs
using assms proof(induct Γ)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
  case (GCons xbc Γ)
  obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
  then have atom 'fst 'set xcs ⊆ atom-dom (xbc #Γ Γ) ∧ distinct (map fst xcs)
    using GCons.prem1 wsX-iff by blast then show ?case by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq
wsX-if2)
qed

lemma wsX-fresh:

```

```

    assumes  $wsX\ G\ xcs$  and  $atom\ x\ \#_G$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$ 
    shows  $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs$ 
proof -
  have  $atom\ x \notin atom-dom\ G$  using assms
    using fresh-def wfG-dom-supp by auto
  thus ?thesis using wsX-iff assms by blast
qed

lemma replace-in-g-dist:
  assumes  $x' \neq x$ 
  shows  $replace-in-g\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G)\ x'\ c'' = ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma (replace-in-g\ G\ x'\ c''))$  using replace-in-g.simps
  assms by presburger

lemma wfG-replace-inside-rig:
  fixes  $c''::c$ 
  assumes  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c''] \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G \rangle$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G[x' \mapsto c'']$ 
proof(rule wfG-consI)

  have  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G$  using wfG-cons assms by auto

  show  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c'']$  using assms by auto
  show  $atom\ x \#_G[x' \mapsto c'']$  using replace-in-g-fresh-single[OF *] assms wfG-elim assms by metis
  show  $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b$  using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma G[x' \mapsto c''] \vdash_{wf} c$ 
  proof(cases  $atom\ x' \notin atom-dom\ G$ )
    case True
    hence  $G = G[x' \mapsto c'']$  using replace-in-g-forget  $\langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G \rangle$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using assms wfG-wfC by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain  $G1\ G2\ b'\ c'$  where  $*:G = G1 @ (x', b', c') \#_\Gamma G2$ 
      using split-G by fastforce
    hence  $***: (G[x' \mapsto c'']) = G1 @ (x', b', c'') \#_\Gamma G2$ 
      using replace-in-g-inside  $\langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G \rangle$  by metis
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma G1 @ (x', b', c') \#_\Gamma G2 \vdash_{wf} c$  using  $*\ **\ assms\ wfG-wfC$  by auto
    hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_\Gamma G1 @ (x', b', c'') \#_\Gamma G2 \vdash_{wf} c$  using  $*\ ***\ wf-replace-inside\ assms$ 
      by (metis ** append-g.simps(2) wfG-elim2 wfG-suffix)
    thus ?thesis using  $*\ **\ ***$  by auto
  qed
qed

lemma replace-in-g-valid-weakening:
  assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x' \mapsto c''] \models c'$  and  $x' \neq x$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_\Gamma \Gamma[x' \mapsto c'']$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma \Gamma)[x' \mapsto c''] \models c'$ 
  apply(subst replace-in-g-dist, simp add: assms, rule valid-weakening)
  using assms by auto+

lemma replace-in-g-subtyped-cons:
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped  $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ xcs\ G'$  and  $wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G)$ 
  shows  $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xcs \implies replace-in-g-subtyped\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G)\ xcs\ ((x, b, c) \#_\Gamma G')$ 
  using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)

```

```

case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI G)
then show ?case
  by (simp add: replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI)
next
case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b' c' G x'  $\Theta$  B c'' xcs' G')
hence  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c']$  using valid.simps wfC-wf by auto

show ?case proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-consI)
  show Some (b', c') = lookup ((x, b, c) # $\Gamma$  G) x' using lookup.simps
  fst-conv image-iff  $\Gamma$ -set-intros surj-pair replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by force
  show wbc:  $\Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c''$  using wf-weakening  $\langle \Theta ; B ; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \rangle \langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle$  by fastforce
  have  $x' \neq x$  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
  have wbc1:  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \mapsto c']$  proof -
    have  $(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \mapsto c'] = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G)[x' \mapsto c']$  using  $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  using replace-in-g.simps
  by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside-rig  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} G[x' \mapsto c'] \rangle \langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle$ 
  by fastforce
  qed
  show *:  $\Theta ; B ; \text{replace-in-g } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'' \models c'$ 
  proof -
    have  $\Theta ; B ; G[x' \mapsto c'] \models c'$  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
    thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-valid-weakening wbc1  $\langle x' \neq x \rangle$  by auto
  qed

show replace-in-g-subtyped  $\Theta B (\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'') xcs' ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G')$ 
  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI wbc1 by auto
show  $x' \notin \text{fst 'set xcs'}$ 
  using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by linarith
qed
qed

lemma replace-in-g-split:
  fixes G:: $\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $\Gamma = \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c$  and  $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  and  $wfG \Theta B \Gamma'$ 
  shows  $\Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$ 
using assms proof(induct G' arbitrary: G  $\Gamma \Gamma'$  rule:  $\Gamma$ -induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by simp
next
case (GCons x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma 1$ )
  hence  $x1 \neq x$ 
  using wfG-cons-fresh2[of  $\Theta B x1 b1 c1 \Gamma 1 x b$ ]
  using GCons.prem1(2) GCons.prem1(3) append-g.simps(2) by auto
  moreover hence *:  $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G)$  using GCons.append-g.simps wfG-elim by
  metis
  moreover hence replace-in-g  $(\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G) x c = \Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G$  using GCons
  replace-in-g-inside[OF *, of c] by auto

ultimately show ?case using replace-in-g.simps(2)[of x1 b1 c1  $\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G x c$ ] GCons
  by (simp add: GCons.prem1(1) GCons.prem1(2))

```


qed

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-split0*:

fixes $G::\Gamma$

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma[(x,c)] \Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma' = G'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} G$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$

shows $\Gamma = G'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G$

proof –

have $\Gamma = \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c$ **using** *assms replace-in-g-subtyped.simps*

by (*metis Pair-inject list.distinct(1) list.inject*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms replace-in-g-split* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-split*:

assumes *Some* $(b, c') = \text{lookup } G x$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } G x c \models c'$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G$

shows $\exists \Gamma \Gamma'. G = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'$

proof –

obtain Γ **and** Γ' **where** $G = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *assms lookup-split* **by** *blast*

moreover **hence** *replace-in-g* $G x c = \Gamma'@ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-split* *assms* **by** *blast*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** (*metis assms(2)*)

qed

13.2 Validity and Subtyping

lemma *wfC-replace-in-g*:

fixes $c::c$ **and** $c0::c$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$

using *wf-replace-inside1(2)* *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *ctx-subtype-valid*:

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c$

proof(*rule validI*)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x,b,c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** *valid.simps* *assms* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$ **proof** –

have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@ (x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *assms valid.simps wfC-wf* **by** *auto*

hence $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfG-suffix* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *wfG-wfC* **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms wfC-replace-in-g* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\forall i. wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow is-satis i c$

proof(*rule,rule*)

fix i

assume $*$: $wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

hence *is-satis-g* $i (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i$ **using** *is-satis-g-append* *wfI-suffix* **by** *metis*

moreover hence *is-satis* i $c0'$ **using** *valid.simps* *assms* **by** *presburger*
 moreover have *is-satis-g* i Γ' **using** *is-satis-g-append* $*$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately have *is-satis-g* i $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *is-satis-g-append* **by** *simp*
 moreover have *wfI* Θ $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ i **using** *wfI-def* *wfI-suffix* $*$ *wfI-def* *wfI-replace-inside*
by *metis*
 ultimately show *is-satis* i c **using** *assms* *valid.simps* **by** *metis*
 qed
 qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype*:
 fixes $\Gamma :: \Gamma$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \implies G = \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0' \implies \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
proof(*nominal-induct* *avoiding*: $c0$ *rule*: *subtype.strong-induct*)

case (*subtype-baseI* $x' \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' z c z' c' b$)
 let $? \Gamma c0 = \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 have *wb1*: *wfG* $\Theta \mathcal{B} ? \Gamma c0$ **using** *valid.simps* *wfC-wf* *subtype-baseI* **by** *metis*
 show *?case* **proof**
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle$ **using** *wfT-replace-inside2* [*OF* - *wb1*]
subtype-baseI **by** *metis*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \rangle$ **using** *wfT-replace-inside2* [*OF* - *wb1*]
subtype-baseI **by** *metis*
 have *atom* $x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-prodN* *subtype-baseI* *fresh-replace-inside* *wb1*
subtype-wf *wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*
 thus $\langle \text{atom } x' \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, z, c, z', c') \rangle$ **using** *subtype-baseI* *fresh-prodN*
by *metis*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v$ **proof**(*rule* *ctx-subtype-valid*)
 show $1: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v \rangle$
using *subtype-baseI* *append-g.simps* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*
 have $*: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **proof**(*rule* *wfG-replace-inside2*)
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
using $*$ *valid-wf-all* *wfC-wf* 1 *append-g.simps* **by** *metis*
 show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-suffix* *wb1* **by** *auto*
 qed
 moreover have *setG* $(\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq \text{setG } (((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *setG.simps* *append-g.simps* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0' \rangle$ **using** *valid-weakening* *subtype-baseI* $*$ **by** *blast*
 qed
 thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_v \rangle$ **using** *append-g.simps* *subst-defs* **by** *simp*
 qed
 qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype-rig*:
 assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
proof –

have $wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$ **using** *subtype-g-wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
obtain b and $c0'$ **where** $Some (b, c0') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; replace-in-g \Gamma' x c0 \models c0')$ **using**
 $replace-in-g-subtyped.simps[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma] assms(1)$
by (*metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15) not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject set-ConsD surj-pair*)
moreover then obtain G and G' **where** $*: \Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$
using $replace-in-g-subtyped-split[of b \ c0' \Gamma' x \Theta \mathcal{B} c0]$ wf **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *ctx-subtype-subtype*
 $assms(1) assms(2) replace-in-g-subtyped-split0 subtype-g-wf$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) local.wf replace-in-g-split*)
qed

We now prove versions of the *ctx-subtype* lemmas above using *replace-in-g*. First we do case where the replace is just for a single variable (indicated by suffix *rig*) and then the general case for multiple replacements (indicated by suffix *rigs*)

lemma *ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs*:

assumes $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
using *assms* **proof** (*induct xcs arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma'*)
case *Nil*
moreover have $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*
using *calculation(1)* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons a xcs*)
then obtain x and c **where** $a=(x, c)$ **by** *fastforce*
then obtain b and c' **where** $bc: Some (b, c') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge$
 $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$
 $x \notin fst \text{ `set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \models c'$ **using** $replace-in-g-subtyped-elim(3)[of$
 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma]$ *Cons*
by (*metis valid.simps*)

hence $*: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson image-iff list.distinct(1) list.set-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$
using *ctx-subtype-subtype-rig * assms Cons.prem(2)* **by** *auto*

moreover have $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma$ **using** *Cons*
using bc **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *Cons* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *replace-in-g-inside-valid*:

assumes $replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'$
shows $\exists b \ c0' \ G \ G'. \Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$

proof –
obtain b **and** $c0'$ **where** bc : *Some* $(b, c0') = \text{lookup } \Gamma' x \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c0 \models c0'$
using $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped.simps}[of \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \ \Gamma] \ \text{assms}(1)$
by $(\text{metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros}(1) \ \text{list.simps}(15) \ \text{not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject set-ConsD surj-pair})$
then obtain G **and** G' **where** $*$: $\Gamma' = G'@ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'@ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$ **using** $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-split}[of \ b \ c0' \ \Gamma' x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c0] \ \text{assms}$
by *metis*
thus *?thesis* **using** $\text{replace-in-g-inside bc}$
using $\text{assms}(1) \ \text{assms}(2)$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *replace-in-g-valid*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G'$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \models c$
shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \models c \rangle$
using assms **proof** $(\text{induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.inducts})$
case $(\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G)$
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI } b \ c1 \ G \ x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c2 \ xcs \ G')$
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x \mapsto c2] \models c$
by $(\text{metis ctx-subtype-valid replace-in-g-split replace-in-g-subtyped-split valid-g-wf})$
then show *?case* **using** $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI}$ **by** *auto*
qed

13.3 Literals

13.4 Values

lemma *lookup-inside-unique-b[simp]*:
assumes $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **and** $\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
and *Some* $(b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) y$ **and** *Some* $(b0, c0) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 x **and** $x=y$
shows $b = b0$
by $(\text{metis assms}(2) \ \text{assms}(3) \ \text{assms}(5) \ \text{lookup-inside-wf old.prod.exhaust option.inject prod.inject})$

I think using rule induction for values and expressions is only going to save us from doing the elimination step

lemma *ctx-subtype-v*:
fixes $v::v$
assumes
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\exists t2. \ \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$
using assms **proof** $(\text{nominal-induct } v \ \text{arbitrary: } t1 \ \text{rule: } v.\text{strong-induct})$
case $(V\text{-lit } l)$
have $\vdash l \Rightarrow t1$ **using** $V\text{-lit infer-v-elim}$ **by** *force*
hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-lit } l \Rightarrow t1$
using $\text{infer-v-litI } V\text{-lit valid.simps wfC-wf}$ **by** *metis*
moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ **using** infer-v-wf
by $(\text{meson subtype-refl2})$

ultimately show $?case$ using $*$ by *metis*

next

case $(V\text{-var } y)$

have $wfg0: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *infer-v-wf* $V\text{-var}$ by *fast*

hence $wfg1: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using $V\text{-var}$ *wfg-inside-valid2* by *metis*

obtain z and b and c where $zb: t1 = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \}) \wedge$
 $atom\ z \# y \wedge atom\ z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0,$
 $c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$

using *infer-v-elim1* $[OF\ V\text{-var}(1)]$ by *metis*

hence $lu1: Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$ by *auto*

show $?case$ proof(*cases* $x = y$)

case *True*

have $lu: Some\ (b0, c0) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ x$ using *lookup-inside-wf* $wfg1$ by *metis*

moreover hence $b0 = b$ using $lu1$ *True* *lookup-inside-unique-b*

using $\langle wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle wfg1$ by *metis*

moreover have $atom\ z \# x$ using *True* zb by *simp*

moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using zb *fresh-replace-inside* $wfg0\ wfg1$ by *metis*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } x) \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z))$
 $(CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } x)) \})$

using *infer-v-varI* $wfg1$ by *metis*

thus *?thesis*

using *True* *infer-v-t-wf* *subtype-refl2* zb by *metis*

next

case *False*

then obtain $b1$ and $c1$ where $bc: Some\ (b1, c1) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ y$

using *infer-v-elim1* $V\text{-var}$ by *meson*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } y) \Rightarrow (\{ z : b1 \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y)) \})$ proof

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using $wfg1$ by *auto*

show $Some\ (b1, c1) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ y$ using *lookup-inside2* *False* bc by *blast*

show $atom\ z \# y$ using zb by *auto*

show $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using *fresh-replace-inside* $wfg0\ wfg1\ zb$ by *metis*

qed

thus *?thesis*

using *subtype-refl2* *infer-v-t-wf*

by (*metis* *Pair-inject* $V\text{-var.prem1}$) bc *infer-v-elim1* $option.inject\ type\ eq\ subst\ eq2(2)\ zb$

qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair } v1\ v2)$

then obtain $tv1$ and $tv2$ and z where $tt1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow tv1 \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow tv2 \wedge t1 = (\{ z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1)\ (b\text{-of } tv2) \mid$
 $CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1\ v2) \}) \wedge atom\ z \# (v1, v2)$

using *infer-v-pair2E* by *presburger*

obtain $tv1'$ where $t1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow tv1' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma}$
 $\Gamma \vdash tv1' \lesssim tv1$ using $tt1$ *V-pair* by *fast*

moreover obtain $tv2'$ where $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow tv2' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x,$
 $b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv2' \lesssim tv2$ using $tt1$ *V-pair* by *fast*

ultimately obtain t' and z' where $tt2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow t' \wedge$
 $t' = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1') (b\text{-of } tv2') \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$
 $\wedge \text{atom } z' \# (v1, v2)$
using *infer-v-pair2I-zbc* $t1 \ t2$ **by** *metis*

hence $t1 = t'$ **proof** –
have $t' = (\llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1') (b\text{-of } tv2') \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z') == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$
using $tt2$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $t1 = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } tv1) (b\text{-of } tv2) \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \rrbracket)$ **using** $tt1$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $b\text{-of } tv1 = b\text{-of } tv1' \wedge b\text{-of } tv2 = b\text{-of } tv2'$
using $t1 \ t2$ **by** (*metis subtype-eq-base2*)
moreover **have** $\text{atom } z \# CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) \wedge \text{atom } z' \# CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)$ **using** $tt1 \ tt2$
ce.fresh v.fresh **by** *force*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *type-e-eq* **by** *presburger*
qed

moreover **have** $wfT \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ t'$ **using** $t1$ *infer-v-t-wf* $tt2$ **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t' \lesssim t1$ **using** *subtype-refl*
using *subtype-refl2* **by** *blast*

then **show** *?case* **using** $tt2$ **by** *meson*

next
case $(V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v')$

obtain $z::x$ **where** $zf: \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b', V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v')$ **using**
obtain-fresh **by** *metis*

from $V\text{-consp}(2) \ V\text{-consp}(1) \ V\text{-consp}(3) \ zf$ **have** $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid \llbracket z \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == \llbracket V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \rrbracket^{ce} \rrbracket$
proof(*nominal-induct* $\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \ V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v' \ t1$ *avoiding: c0 arbitrary: t1* *rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-v-conspI* $bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ tc \ \mathcal{B} \ tv \ zz$)
obtain $tv2$ **where** $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow tv2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash$
 $tv2 \lesssim tv$
using *infer-v-conspI(17)* *infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*
thm *ctx-subtype-subtype infer-v-conspI(18)*
show *?case* **proof**
show $\langle AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle (dc, tc) \in \text{set } dclist \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI* **by** *auto*
show $iv: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow tv2 \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b}$
using *infer-v-conspI infer-v-conspI(18) ctx-subtype-subtype* **by** *metis*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv2 \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b} \rangle$ **using** $*$ *subtype-trans* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b') \rangle$ **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-conspI* **by** *metis*

have $\text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **unfolding** *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3*

fresh-append-g

using *fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 fresh-append-g* $\langle \text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$
infer-v-conspI **by** *metis*

thus $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, v', b') \rangle$ **using** *infer-v-conspI fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

qed
qed

let $?t2 = \{ z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $t1:t1 = \{ z1 : b1 \mid [[z1]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v'$

using *V-consp(2) infer-v-form* **by** *metis*

moreover then have $b1:b1 = B\text{-app } s \ b'$ **using** *infer-v-form-consp V-consp b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

let $?t1 = \{ z1 : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z1]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

have $?t1 = ?t2$ **using** *type-e-eq zf t1 ce.fresh fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B\text{-app } s \ b' \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b' \ v']^{ce} \}$

using *t2 using infer-v-wf* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1$ **using** *subtype-refl* **by** *metis*

moreover have $?t1 = t1$ **using** *t1 b1* **by** *auto*

ultimately show $?case$ **using** *t2* **by** *metis*

next

case $(V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v')$

obtain xa **and** b **and** c **and** z' **and** c' **and** z **and** $dclist$ **where** tt :

$t1 = (\{ z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ v') \}) \wedge$

$AF\text{-typedef } s \ dclist \in \text{set } \Theta \wedge$

$(dc, \{ xa : b \mid c \}) \in \text{set } dclist \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \wedge$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# v'$

using *infer-v-elim(4)[OF V-cons(2)]* **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$ **by** *linarith*

then obtain $t2$ **where** $*$: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}$

using *V-cons* **by** *presburger*

obtain $z3$ **and** $b3$ **and** $c3$ **where** $t2: t2 = (\{ z3 : b3 \mid c3 \})$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *meson*

hence $beq: b = b3$ **using** *subtype-eq-base ** **by** *blast*

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \}$ **using** *tt ctx-subtype-subtype V-cons* **by** *metis*

hence $tsub: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ xa : b \mid c \}$

using *subtype-trans ** **by** *blast*

have $wfTh \Theta$ using tt infer-v-wf by auto
 moreover have $AF\text{-typedef } s \text{ dclist} \in set \Theta \wedge (dc, \llbracket xa : b \mid c \rrbracket) \in set \text{ dclist}$ using tt by auto
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3 : b \mid c3 \rrbracket$ using $* t2$ beq by blast
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z3 : b \mid c3 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket xa : b \mid c \rrbracket$ using $t2$ tsub
 beq by blast
 moreover have $atom\ z \# v'$ using tt by auto
 moreover have $atom\ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ using $fresh\text{-replace-inside } tt$ infer-v-wf $*$ by metis
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v' \Rightarrow$
 $\llbracket z : B\text{-id } s \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v') \rrbracket$
 using $infer\text{-v-consI}$ by metis

 hence **: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash V\text{-cons } s \text{ dc } v' \Rightarrow t1$
 using tt by argo

 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ proof –
 have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) t1$ using ** infer-v-wf by metis
 thus ?thesis using $subtype\text{-reflI2}$ by presburger
 qed
 ultimately show ?case by metis
 qed

 lemma $ctx\text{-subtype-v-eq}$:
 fixes $v::v$
 assumes
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$
 proof –
 obtain $t1'$ where $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1'$ using $ctx\text{-subtype-v assms}$ by metis
 moreover have $replace\text{-in-g } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ x\ c0 = \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using $replace\text{-in-g-inside}$
 infer-v-wf assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using $infer\text{-v-uniqueness-rig assms}$ by metis
 qed

 lemma $ctx\text{-subtype-check-v-eq}$:
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
 shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$
 proof –
 obtain $t2$ where $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim$
 $t1$
 using $check\text{-v-elim assms}$ by blast
 hence $t3: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2$
 using $assms\ ctx\text{-subtype-v-eq}$ by blast

 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2$ using $t3$ by auto
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ proof –

 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ using $t2$ by auto
 thus ?thesis using $subtype\text{-trans}$
 using $assms(2)\ ctx\text{-subtype-subtype}$ by blast
 qed

ultimately show *?thesis* using *check-v.intros* by *presburger*
qed

Basically the same as *ctx-subtype-v-eq* but in a different form

lemma *ctx-subtype-v-rig-eq*:

fixes *v::v*

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

proof –

obtain *b* **and** *c0'* **and** *G* **and** *G'* **where** $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$

using *assms* *replace-in-g-inside-valid* *infer-v-wf* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** *ctx-subtype-v-eq*[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} G' x b c0' G v t1 c0$] *assms* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq*:

fixes *v::v*

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* *xcs* *arbitrary*: $\Gamma \Gamma' t1$)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons* *a* *xcs*)

then obtain *x* **and** *c* **where** *a*=(*x*,*c*) **by** *fastforce*

then obtain *b* **and** *c'* **where** *bc*: *Some* (*b*, *c'*) = *lookup* $\Gamma' x \wedge$

replace-in-g-subtyped $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$

$x \notin \text{fst ' set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \models c'$

using *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim*(*3*)[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma$] *Cons* **by** (*metis* *valid.simps*)

hence *: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson* *image-iff* *list.distinct*(1) *list.set-cases* *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)

hence *t2*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-v-rig-eq*[*OF* * *Cons*(*3*)] **by** *blast*

moreover have **: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma$ **using** *bc* **by** *auto*

ultimately have *t2'*: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *Cons* **by** *blast*

thus *?case* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq*:

assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow t1$

proof –

obtain *t2* **where** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ **using** *check-v-elim* *assms* **by** *fast*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t2 \lesssim t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq* *ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs*

using *assms*(1) **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *check-v-subtypeI* by *blast*
qed

13.5 Expressions

lemma *valid-wfC*:

fixes *c0*::*c*
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b0, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c0$
using *wfG-elim2* *valid.simps* *wfG-suffix*
using *assms valid-g-wf* by *metis*

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-eq*:

fixes *G*:: Γ
assumes
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ and $G = \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c0'$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
using *assms proof* (*nominal-induct t1* avoiding: *c0* rule: *infer-e.strong-induct*)
case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v \tau$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-valI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-valI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle$ using *infer-e-valI ctx-subtype-v-eq* by *auto*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-plusI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI* by *auto*
 show $\ast: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI ctx-subtype-v-eq* by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE\text{-op}\ Plus\ v1\ v2 \rangle$ using *infer-e-plusI* by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ using \ast *infer-e-plusI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf* by *metis*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$)
show ?case *proof*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using *wf-replace-inside2*(6) *valid-wfC infer-e-leqI*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI* by *auto*
 show $\ast: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI ctx-subtype-v-eq*
by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE\text{-op}\ LEq\ v1\ v2 \rangle$ using *infer-e-leqI* by *auto*
 show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ using \ast *infer-e-leqI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf* by *metis*

```

metis
qed
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi f x' b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-appI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x' b c \tau' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
infer-e-appI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \{ x' : b \mid c \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-appI ctx-subtype-check-v-eq
by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-appI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 x'$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show  $\langle \tau[x'::=v]_v = \tau \rangle$  using infer-e-appI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma1 \Delta \Phi b' f bv x1 b c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-appPI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \text{Some } (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x1 b c \tau' s'))) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \rangle$  using
infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \{ x1 : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI
ctx-subtype-check-v-eq subst-defs by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 x1$ ]
infer-v-wf infer-e-appPI by auto
  show  $\langle \tau[bv::=b]_b[x1::=v]_v = \tau \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  have  $\text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using infer-e-appPI by metis
  hence  $\text{atom } bv \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
  unfolding fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 using  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# c0 \rangle$  fresh-append-g by metis
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, \tau) \rangle$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-fstI
by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 b2 \mid c \} \} \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI
ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z \# AE\text{-fst } v \rangle$  using infer-e-fstI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-sndI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-sndI
by auto

```

```

    show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI by auto
    show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI
    ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
    thus ⟨  $atom \ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
    show ⟨  $atom \ z \# AE\text{-snd } v$  ⟩ using infer-e-sndI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ z$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-lenI
  by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI ctx-subtype-v-eq
  by auto
  thus ⟨  $atom \ z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z$ ] infer-v-wf by auto
  show ⟨  $atom \ z \# AE\text{-len } v$  ⟩ using infer-e-lenI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-mvarI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Phi \ \Delta \ u \ \tau$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-mvarI
  by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using infer-e-mvarI fresh-replace-inside wfD-wf by blast
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$ )
show ?case proof
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  ⟩ using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-concatI
  by auto
  thus ⟨  $atom \ z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI fresh-replace-inside[of  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ z3$ ] infer-v-wf wfX-wfY by metis
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show ⟨  $atom \ z3 \# AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2$  ⟩ using infer-e-concatI by auto
  qed
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3$ )
show ?case proof
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-splitI
  by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  ⟩ using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \llbracket z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \rrbracket$  ⟩ using infer-e-splitI
  ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @$ 
     $(x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma}$ 

```

$\Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid [\text{leq} [[L\text{-num } 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND} [\text{leq} [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *infer-e-splitI ctx-subtype-check-v-eq* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 z1$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z3 \# \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-replace-inside*[*of* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0$]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * **by** *metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z1 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } z3 \# AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-rig-eq*:
assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c0)] \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
proof –
obtain b **and** $c0'$ **and** G **and** G' **where** $\Gamma' = G' @ (x, b, c0') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c0'$
using *assms replace-in-g-inside-valid infer-e-wf* **by** *meson*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms ctx-subtype-e-eq* **by** *presburger*
qed

lemma *ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq*:
assumes *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$
using *assms proof*(*induct xcs arbitrary: $\Gamma \Gamma' t1$*)
case *Nil*
moreover **have** $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*
using *calculation*(1) **by** *blast*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t1$ **using** *subtype-reflI2 Nil infer-e-t-wf* **by** *blast*
ultimately **show** *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*Cons a xcs*)
then **obtain** x **and** c **where** $a = (x, c)$ **by** *fastforce*
then **obtain** b **and** c' **where** $bc: \text{Some } (b, c') = \text{lookup } \Gamma' x \wedge$
 $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge$
 $x \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } xcs \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) \models c'$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-elim3*[*of*
 $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c xcs \Gamma$] *Cons*
by (*metis valid.simps*)
hence *: *replace-in-g-subtyped* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c)] (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c)$ **using** *replace-in-g-subtyped-consI*
by (*meson image-iff list.distinct*(1) *list.set-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI*)
hence $t2: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\text{replace-in-g } \Gamma' x c) ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ **using** *ctx-subtype-e-rig-eq*[*OF* * *Cons*(3)]

by blast

moreover have **: replace-in-g-subtyped $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ (replace-in-g $\Gamma' x c$) $xcs \Gamma$ using bc by auto

ultimately have $t2': \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1$ using Cons by blast

thus ?case by blast

qed

13.6 Statements

lemma ctx-subtype-s-rigs:

fixes $c0::c$ and $s::s$ and $G':\Gamma$ and $xcs :: (x*c)$ list and $css::branch\text{-}list$

shows

$check\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta s t1$ and

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid cons const v cs t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta tid cons const v cs t1$

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid dclist v css t1 \Rightarrow wsX G xcs \Rightarrow replace\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Rightarrow check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G' \Delta tid dclist v css t1$

proof(induction arbitrary: $xcs G'$ and $xcs G'$ and $xcs G'$ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)

case (check-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau$)

hence $*:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau$ using ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs

by (meson check-v.simps)

show ?case proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ using check-valI wfD-rig by auto

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ using check-valI by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle$ using * by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \rangle$ using * by auto

qed

next

case (check-letI $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z' s b' c'$)

thm replace-in-g-wfG

show ?case proof

have wfG: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G'$ using infer-e-wf check-letI replace-in-g-wfG using infer-e-wf(2) by (auto simp add: freshers)

hence atom $x \# G'$ using check-letI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto

thus atom $x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau)$ using check-letI by auto

have atom $z' \# G'$ apply (rule replace-in-g-fresh[OF check-letI(7)])

using replace-in-g-wfG check-letI fresh-prodN infer-e-wf by metis+

thus atom $z' \# (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau, s)$ using check-letI fresh-prodN by metis

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{z' : b' \mid c'\}$

using check-letI ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq by blast

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$

proof(rule check-letI(5))

have vld: $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_{cv}$ proof –

have wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using check-letI check-s-wf by metis

hence wfC $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_{cv})$ using wfC-refl subst-defs

by auto

thus ?thesis using valid-refl[of $\Theta \mathcal{B} x b' c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v \Gamma c'[z'::=V\text{-}var x]_v$] subst-defs by

auto

qed

have xf: $x \notin fst \text{ ` set } xcs$ proof –

have $\text{atom} \text{ 'fst' 'set' } xcs \subseteq \text{atom-dom } \Gamma$ **using** $\text{check-letI wsX-iff}$ **by** meson
moreover have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** $\text{infer-e-wf check-letI}$ **by** metis
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{fresh-def check-letI wfG-dom-supp}$
using wsX-fresh **by** auto
qed
show $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \# xcs)$
 $((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G')$ **proof** –
have $\text{Some } (b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = \text{lookup } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$ **by** auto
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \models$
 $c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **proof** –
have $\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$
using $\text{replace-in-g.simps}$ **by** presburger
thus $?thesis$ **using** vld subst-defs **by** auto
qed
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x$
 $(c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v)) xcs (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G'))$ **proof** –
have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** $\text{check-letI check-s-wf}$ **by** metis
hence $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) xcs (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G'))$
using $\text{check-letI replace-in-g-subtyped-consI}$ **by** meson
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) = (((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
using $\text{replace-in-g.simps}$ **by** presburger
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** argo
qed
moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v$ **using** vld
 subst-defs **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI}$ xf $\text{replace-in-g.simps(2)}$ **by** metis
qed
show $\text{wsX } ((x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \# xcs)$
using check-letI xf subst-defs **by** $(\text{simp add: wsX-cons})$
qed
qed
next
case $(\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ cs } \tau \text{ css})$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{Typing.check-branch-list-consI}$ **by** auto
next
case $(\text{check-branch-list-finalI } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ cs } \tau)$
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{Typing.check-branch-list-finalI}$ **by** auto
next
case $(\text{check-branch-s-branchI } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{ const } x \Phi \text{ tid cons } v \text{ s})$
have $\text{wfcons: wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of const, CE-val } v == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of}$
 $\text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf check-branch-s-branchI}$
by meson
hence $\text{wf: wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** wfG-cons **by** metis

moreover have $\text{atom } x \# (const, G', v)$ **proof** –
have $\text{atom } x \# G'$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wf replace-in-g-fresh}$
 $\text{wfG-dom-supp replace-in-g-wfG}$ **by** simp
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN}$ **by** simp
qed

moreover have $st: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x))$
 $AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –
have $wsX ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x)$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wsX-cons2 wsX-fresh wf}$ **by** force
moreover have $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}$
 $(V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs ((x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}$
 $(V\text{-var } x)) \ AND \ c\text{-of } const \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G')$
using $\text{replace-in-g-subtyped-cons wsX-fresh wf check-branch-s-branchI wfcons}$ **by** auto
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI calculation}$ **by** meson
qed
moreover have $\text{wft: wfT } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \tau$ **using**
 $\text{check-branch-s-branchI ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs subtype-refl2 subtype-wf}$ **by** metis
moreover have $\text{wfD } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \Delta$ **using** $\text{check-branch-s-branchI wfD-rig}$ **by** presburger
ultimately show $?case$ **using**
 $\text{Typing.check-branch-s-branchI}$
using $\text{check-branch-s-branchI.hyps}$ **by** simp

next

case $(\text{check-iffI } z \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ v \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau)$
hence $\text{wf:wfG } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma$ **using** check-s-wf **by** presburger
show $?case$ **proof** $(\text{rule check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-iffI})$
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau) \rangle$ **using** $\text{fresh-prodN replace-in-g-fresh1 wf check-iffI}$
by auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** $\text{ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-iffI}$ **by**
 presburger
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of}$
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** check-iffI **by** auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of}$
 $\tau \ z \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** check-iffI **by** auto
qed
next

case $(\text{check-let2I } x \ P \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ \Delta \ t \ s1 \ \tau \ s2)$

show $?case$ **proof**
have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$ **using** $\text{check-let2I check-s-wf}$ **by** metis
show $*: P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$ **using** check-let2I **by** blast
show $\text{atom } x \# (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, t, s1, \tau)$ **proof** –
have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G'$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf } *$ **by** blast
hence $\text{atom-dom } G = \text{atom-dom } G'$ **using** $\text{check-let2I rigs-atom-dom-eq}$ **by** presburger
moreover have $\text{atom } x \# G$ **using** check-let2I **by** auto
moreover have $\text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G$ **using** $\text{check-s-wf } * \ \text{replace-in-g-wfG check-let2I}$ **by** simp
ultimately have $\text{atom } x \# G'$ **using** $\text{wfG-dom-supp fresh-def } \langle \text{wfG } P \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \rangle$ **by** metis
thus $?thesis$ **using** check-let2I **by** auto
qed
show $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –


```

    have wsX ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) xcs using check-let2I wsX-cons2 wsX-fresh ⟨wfG P B G⟩
  by simp
    moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped P B ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) xcs ((x, b-of t, c-of t x)
#Γ G') proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons )
      show replace-in-g-subtyped P B G xcs G' using check-let2I by auto
      have atom x # G using check-let2I by auto
      moreover have wfT P B G t using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis

      moreover have atom x # t using check-let2I check-s-wf wfT-sup by auto
      ultimately show wfG P B ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) #Γ G) using wfT-wf-cons b-of-c-of-eq[of x t]
  by auto
    show x ∉ fst ' set xcs using check-let2I wsX-fresh ⟨wfG P B G⟩ by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using check-let2I by presburger
  qed
  qed
next
case (check-varI u Θ Φ B Γ Δ τ' v τ s)
show ?case proof
  have atom u # G' unfolding fresh-def
    apply(rule u-not-in-g , rule replace-in-g-wfG)
    using check-v-wf check-varI by simp+
  thus ⟨atom u # (Θ, Φ, B, G', Δ, τ', v, τ)⟩ unfolding fresh-prodN using check-varI by simp
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ τ'⟩ using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-varI by auto
  show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; G' ; (u, τ') #Δ Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ⟩ using check-varI by auto
  qed
next
case (check-assignI P Φ B G Δ u τ v z τ')
show ?case proof
  show ⟨P ⊢wf Φ⟩ using check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢wf Δ⟩ using check-assignI wfD-rig by auto
  show ⟨(u, τ) ∈ setD Δ⟩ using check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ τ⟩ using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-assignI by auto
  show ⟨P ; B ; G' ⊢ ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ ≲ τ'⟩ using ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs check-assignI by
auto
  qed
next
case (check-whileI Δ G P s1 z s2 τ')
then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI
  by (meson ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs)
next
case (check-seqI Δ G P s1 z s2 τ)
then show ?case
  using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-seqI by blast
next
case (check-caseI Θ Φ B Γ Δ tid dclist v cs τ z)
show ?case proof
  show Θ ; Φ ; B ; G' ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ; v ⊢ cs ⇐ τ using check-caseI ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq
  by auto
  show AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ using check-caseI by auto
  show Θ ; B ; G' ⊢ v ⇐ ⌊ z : B-id tid | TRUE ⌋ using check-caseI ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq by
auto

```

```

  show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using check-caseI by auto
qed
next
case (check-assertI  $x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$ )
show ?case proof
  have  $wfG: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G'$  using check-s-wf check-assertI replace-in-g-wfG wfX-wfY
by metis
  hence atom  $x \# G'$  using check-assertI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto
  thus  $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, c, \tau, s) \rangle$  using check-assertI fresh-prodN by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  proof(rule check-assertI(5))
    show  $wsX ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ xcs$  using check-assertI wsX-cons3 by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} G'$  proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G' \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
    thus  $\langle x \notin fst \text{ ' set } xcs \rangle$  using check-assertI wsX-fresh wfG-elim wsX-wfY by metis
  qed
qed
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \models c \rangle$  using check-assertI replace-in-g-valid by auto
show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-assertI wfD-rig by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *replace-in-g-subtyped-empty*:

```

  assumes  $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  shows replace-in-g-subtyped  $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\text{replace-in-g } (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv})) \sqcap (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
proof -
  have replace-in-g  $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by auto
next
case (GCons  $x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1$ )
  have  $x \notin fst \text{ ' set } G \ ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)$  using GCons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps setG.simps append-g.simps by fast
  hence  $x1 \neq x$  using assms wfG-inside-fresh GCons by force
  hence  $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [x \mapsto c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}] = (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c'[z' ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ 
  using replace-in-g.simps GCons wfG-elim append-g.simps by metis
  thus ?case using append-g.simps by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI by presburger
qed

```

lemma *ctx-subtype-s*:

```

  fixes  $s :: s$ 
  assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z ::= V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
     $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$  and
    atom  $x \# (z, z', c, c')$ 

```

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof –

have $wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$ **using** *check-s-wf assms* **by** *meson*
hence $*:x \notin fst \text{ 'setG } \Gamma'$ **using** *wfG-inside-fresh* **by** *force*
have $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wf wfG-suffix* **by** *metis*
hence $xfG: atom \ x \# \Gamma$ **using** *wfG-elim* **by** *metis*
have $x \neq z'$ **using** *assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
hence $a2: atom \ x \# c'$ **using** *assms fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*

have $atom \ x \# (z', c', z, c, \Gamma)$ **proof** –

have $x \neq z$ **using** *assms* **using** *assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4* **by** *metis*
hence $a1 : atom \ x \# c$ **using** *assms subtype-wf subtype-wf assms wfT-fresh-c xfg* **by** *meson*
thus $?thesis$ **using** $a1 \ a2 \ \langle atom \ x \# (z, z', c, c') \rangle$ *fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair xfg* **by** *simp*

qed

hence $wc1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v$
using *subtype-valid assms fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

have $vld: \Theta; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **proof** –

have $setG ((x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof** –
have $*:wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z' : b \mid c' \})$ **using** *subtype-wf assms* **by** *meson*
moreover **have** $atom \ x \# (c', \Gamma)$ **using** $xfG \ a2$ **by** *simp*
ultimately **have** $wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *wfT-wf-cons-flip freshers* **by**

blast

thus $?thesis$ **using** *wfG-replace-inside2 check-s-wf assms* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $wc1$ *valid-weakening subst-defs* **by** *metis*

qed

hence $wbc: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **using** *valid.simps*
by *auto*

have $wbc1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ **using** $wc1$ *valid.simps*
subst-defs **by** *auto*

have $wsX (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [(x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})]$ **proof**
show $wsX (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) []$ **using** *wsX-NilI* **by** *auto*
show $atom \ x \in atom\text{-dom} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *simp*
show $x \notin fst \text{ 'set } []$ **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $replace\text{-in-g-subtyped} \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) [(x, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})]$
 $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof**

show $Some (b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x$ **using** *lookup-inside**
by *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; replace\text{-in-g} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \models c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$
using *vld replace-in-g-split wf* **by** *metis*

show $replace\text{-in-g-subtyped} \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace\text{-in-g} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ x \ (c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv})) []$
 $(\Gamma' @ (x, b, c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$

using *replace-in-g-subtyped-empty wf* **by** *presburger*

show $x \notin fst \text{ 'set } []$ **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$

proof(*rule wf-weakening*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rangle$ **using** *wfC-cons-switch[OF*

```

wbc1] wf-weakening(6) check-s-wf assms setG.simps by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using wfC-cons-switch[OF wbc1]
wf-weakening(6) check-s-wf assms setG.simps by metis
  show  $\langle setG ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq setG (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$  using
append-g.simps setG.simps by auto
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using ctx-subtype-s-rigs(1)[OF assms(1)] by presburger
qed
end

```

Chapter 14

Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas

Lemmas that show that types are preserved, in some way, under immutable variable substitution

14.1 Misc

lemma *subst-top-eq*:

$\llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

obtain $z'::x$ **and** c' **where** $zeq: \llbracket z : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z' : b \mid c' \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z' \# (x,v)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z2 b-of.simps by metis*

hence $\llbracket z' : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \llbracket z' : b \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps by metis*

moreover have $c' = C\text{-true}$ **using** $\tau.eq\text{-iff}\ Abs1\text{-eq}\text{-iff}(3)\ c.fresh\ flip\text{-fresh}\text{-fresh}$ **by** $(metis\ zeq)$

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** zeq **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *wfD-subst*:

fixes $\tau_1::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\Theta::\Theta$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma))\ \Delta$ **and** *b-of* $\tau_1=b_1$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$

proof –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b_1$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf assms by auto*

moreover have $(\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *subst-g-inside wfD-wf assms by metis*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *wf-subst assms by metis*

qed

lemma *subst-v-c-of*:

assumes $atom\ xa \# (v,x)$

shows $c\text{-of}\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa = (c\text{-of}\ t\ xa)[x::=v]_{cv}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct t avoiding: x v xa rule:\tau.strong-induct)*

case $(T\text{-refined-type}\ z'\ b'\ c')$

then have $c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : b' \mid c' \rrbracket[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa = c\text{-of}\ \llbracket z' : b' \mid c'[x::=v]_{cv} \rrbracket xa$

using *subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair by metis*

also have ... = $c'[x::=v]_{cv} [z'::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv}$ **using** $c\text{-of.simps } T\text{-refined-type}$ **by** metis
 also have ... = $c'[z'::=V\text{-var } xa]_{cv} [x::=v]_{cv}$
using $\text{subst-cv-commute-subst}[of\ z'\ v\ x\ V\text{-var } xa\ c']\ \text{subst-v-c-def } T\text{-refined-type fresh-Pair fresh-at-base}$
 $v.\text{fresh fresh-x-neq}$ **by** metis
 finally show $?case$ **using** $c\text{-of.simps } T\text{-refined-type}$ **by** metis
qed

14.2 Context

lemma subst-lookup :
 assumes $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ y$ **and** $x \neq y$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
 shows $\exists d. \text{Some } (b, d) = \text{lookup } ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)\ y$
using assms **proof** ($\text{induct } \Gamma'$ $\text{rule: } \Gamma\text{-induct}$)
 case $GNil$
 hence $\text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } \Gamma\ y$ **by** ($\text{simp add: assms}(1)$)
 then show $?case$ **using** subst-gv.simps **by** auto
next
 case $(GCons\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \Gamma1)$
 show $?case$ **proof** ($\text{cases } x1 = x$)
 case $True$
 hence $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Gamma1 @ (x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** $GCons\ \text{wfG-elim}(2)$
 append-g.simps **by** metis
 moreover have $\text{atom } x \in \text{atom-dom } (\Gamma1 @ (x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** simp
 ultimately show $?thesis$
using $\text{forget-subst-gv not-GCons-self2 subst-gv.simps append-g.simps}$
by ($\text{metis } GCons.prem(3)\ True\ \text{wfG-cons-fresh2}$)
next
 case $False$
 hence $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ **using** subst-gv.simps **by**
 auto
 then show $?thesis$ **proof** ($\text{cases } x1 = y$)
 case $True$
 then show $?thesis$ **using** $GCons$ **using** lookup.simps
by ($\text{metis } ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$) append-g.simps
 $\text{fst-conv option.inject}$
next
 case $False$
 then show $?thesis$ **using** $GCons$ **using** lookup.simps
using $((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma1[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$) append-g.simps
 $\Gamma.\text{distinct } \Gamma.\text{inject wfG.simps wfG-elim}$ **by** metis

qed
qed
qed

14.3 Satisfiability

lemma is-satis-g-i-upd2 :
 assumes $\text{eval-v } i\ v\ s$ **and** $\text{is-satis } ((i\ (x \mapsto s)))\ c0$ **and** $\text{atom } x \nmid G$ **and** $\text{wfG } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (G3 @ ((x, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G))$
and $\text{wfV } \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ v\ b$ **and** $\text{wfI } \Theta\ (G3[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G)\ i$
and $\text{is-satis-g } i\ (G3[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G)$

```

shows is-satis-g (i (x ↦ s)) (G3@((x,b,c0)#Γ G))
using assms proof(induct G3 rule: Γ-induct)
case GNil
hence is-satis-g (i(x ↦ s)) G using is-satis-g-i-upd by auto
then show ?case using GNil using is-satis-g.simps append-g.simps by metis
next
case (GCons x' b' c' Γ')
hence x≠x' using wfG-cons-append by metis
hence is-satis-g i (((x', b', c'[x::=v]cv) #Γ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv) @ G)) using subst-gv.simps GCons by auto
hence *:is-satis i c'[x::=v]cv ∧ is-satis-g i ((Γ'[x::=v]Γv) @ G) using subst-gv.simps by auto

have is-satis-g (i(x ↦ s)) ((x', b', c') #Γ (Γ' @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G)) proof(subst is-satis-g.simps,rule)
show is-satis (i(x ↦ s)) c' proof(subst subst-c-satis-full[symmetric])
show (eval-v i v s) using GCons by auto
show (Θ ; B ; ((x', b', c') #Γ Γ') @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G ⊢wf c') using GCons wfC-refl by auto
show (wfI Θ (((x', b', c') #Γ Γ')[x::=v]Γv) @ G) i using GCons by auto
show (Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf v : b) using GCons by auto
show (is-satis i c'[x::=v]cv) using * by auto
qed
show is-satis-g (i(x ↦ s)) (Γ' @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G) proof(rule GCons(1))
show (eval-v i v s) using GCons by auto
show (is-satis (i(x ↦ s)) c0) using GCons by metis
show (atom x # G) using GCons by auto
show (Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ' @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G) using GCons wfG-elim append-g.simps by metis
show (is-satis-g i (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ G)) using * by auto
show wfI Θ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ G) i using GCons wfI-def subst-g-assoc-cons (x≠x') by auto
show Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf v : b using GCons by auto
qed
qed
moreover have ((x', b', c') #Γ Γ' @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G) = (((x', b', c') #Γ Γ') @ (x, b, c0) #Γ G)
by auto
ultimately show ?case using GCons by metis
qed

```

lemma is-satis-eq:

```

assumes wfI Θ G i and wfCE Θ B G e b
shows is-satis i (e == e)
proof(rule)
obtain s where eval-e i e s using eval-e-exist assms by metis
thus eval-c i (e == e) True using eval-c-eqI by metis
qed

```

14.4 Validity

lemma subst-self-valid:

```

fixes v::v
assumes Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⇒ ⌊ z : b | c ⌋ and atom z # v
shows Θ ; B ; G ⊢ c[z::=v]cv
proof -
have c = (CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val v) using infer-v-form2 assms by presburger
hence c[z::=v]cv = (CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val v)[z::=v]cv by auto
also have ... = (((CE-val (V-var z))[z::=v]cev) == ((CE-val v)[z::=v]cev)) by fastforce

```

```

    also have ... = ((CE-val v) == ((CE-val v)[z::=v]cev)) using subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps by
presburger
    also have ... = (CE-val v == CE-val v) using infer-v-form subst-cev.simps assms forget-subst-vv
by presburger
    finally have *:c[z::=v]cv = (CE-val v == CE-val v) by auto

have **:Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf CE-val v : b using wfCE-valI assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps by metis

show ?thesis proof(rule validI)
  show Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf c[z::=v]cv proof -
    have Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf v : b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
    moreover have Θ ⊢wf ([]::Φ) ∧ Θ ; B ; G ⊢wf []Δ using wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI infer-v-wf
assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using * wfCE-valI wfC-eqI by metis
  qed
  show ∀ i. wfI Θ G i ∧ is-satis-g i G ⟶ is-satis i c[z::=v]cv proof(rule,rule)
    fix i
    assume ⟨wfI Θ G i ∧ is-satis-g i G⟩
    thus ⟨is-satis i c[z::=v]cv⟩ using * ** is-satis-eq by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma subst-valid-simple:
  fixes v::v
  assumes Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⟹ ⌊ z0 : b | c0 ⌋ and
    atom z0 ⧸ c and atom z0 ⧸ v
    Θ ; B ; (z0, b, c0) #Γ G ⊢ c[z::=V-var z0]cv
  shows Θ ; B ; G ⊢ c[z::=v]cv
proof -
  have Θ ; B ; G ⊢ c0[z0::=v]cv using subst-self-valid assms by metis
  moreover have atom z0 ⧸ G using assms valid-wf-all by meson
  moreover have wfV Θ B G v b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
  moreover have (c[z::=V-var z0]cv)[z0::=v]cv = c[z::=v]cv using subst-v-simple-commute assms
subst-v-c-def by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid-trans assms subst-defs by metis
qed

lemma wfI-subst1:
  assumes wfI Θ (G'[x::=v]Γv @ G) i and wfG Θ B (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G) and eval-v i
v sv and wfRCV Θ sv b
  shows wfI Θ (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G) (i (x ↦ sv))
proof -
  {
    fix xa::x and ba::b and ca::c
    assume as: (xa, ba, ca) ∈ setG ((G' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ G)))
    then have ∃ s. Some s = (i(x ↦ sv)) xa ∧ wfRCV Θ s ba
    proof(cases x=xa)
      case True
      have Some sv = (i(x ↦ sv)) x ∧ wfRCV Θ sv b using as assms wfI-def by auto
      moreover have b=ba using assms as True wfG-member-unique by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using True by auto
    qed
  }

```



```

next
case False

then obtain ca' where (xa, ba, ca') ∈ setG (G'[x::=v]Γv @ G) using wfG-member-subst2 assms
as by metis
then obtain s where Some s = i xa ∧ wfRCV Θ s ba using wfI-def assms False by blast
thus ?thesis using False by auto
qed
}
from this show ?thesis using wfI-def allI by blast
qed

lemma subst-valid:
fixes v::v and c'::c and Γ::Γ
assumes Θ ; B ; Γ ⊨ c[z::=v]cv and Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b and
  Θ ; B ⊢wf Γ and atom x # c and atom x # Γ and
  Θ ; B ⊢wf (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) and
  Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊨ c' (is Θ ; B ; ?G ⊨ c')
shows Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊨ c'[x::=v]cv
proof -
have *: wfC Θ B (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) c' using valid.simps assms by metis
hence wfC Θ B (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) (c'[x::=v]cv) using wf-subst(2)[OF *] b-of.simps assms
subst-g-inside wfC-wf by metis
moreover have ∀ i. wfI Θ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) i ∧ is-satis-g i (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) ⟶ is-satis i
(c'[x::=v]cv)

proof(rule, rule)
fix i
assume as: wfI Θ (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ) i ∧ is-satis-g i (Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ)
thm valid.simps
hence wfi: wfI Θ Γ i using wfI-suffix infer-v-wf assms by metis
then obtain s where s: eval-v i v s and b: wfRCV Θ s b using eval-v-exist infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis
thm is-satis-g-i-upd2
have is1: is-satis-g (i (x ↦ s)) (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) proof(rule is-satis-g-i-upd2)
show is-satis (i (x ↦ s)) (c[z::=[x]v]cv) proof -
have is-satis i (c[z::=[x]v]cv)
using subst-valid-simple assms as valid.simps infer-v-wf assms
is-satis-g-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
hence is-satis i ((c[z::=[x]v]cv) [x::=v]cv) using assms subst-v-simple-commute[of x c z v]
subst-v-c-def by metis
moreover have Θ ; B ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢wf c[z::=[x]v]cv using wfC-refl wfG-suffix
assms by metis
moreover have Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
ultimately show ?thesis using subst-c-satis[OF s, of Θ B x b c[z::=[x]v]cv Γ c[z::=[x]v]cv]
wfi by auto
qed
show atom x # Γ using assms by metis
show wfG Θ B (Γ' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ) using valid-wf-all assms by metis
show Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢wf v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf by force
show i [v] ~ s using s by auto
show Θ ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ i using as by auto

```

```

    show  $i \models \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$  using as by auto
qed
hence is-satis (  $i(x \mapsto s)$  )  $c'$  proof –
    have wfI  $\Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(x \mapsto s))$ 
        using wfI-subst1 [of  $\Theta \Gamma' x v \Gamma i \mathcal{B} b c z s$ ] as  $b s$  assms by metis
    thus ?thesis using is1 valid.simps assms by presburger
qed

    thus is-satis  $i (c'[x::=v]_{cv})$  using subst-c-satis-full[OF s] valid.simps as infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis

qed
ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by auto
qed

lemma subst-valid-infer-v:
    fixes  $v::v$  and  $c'::c$ 
    assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}$  and atom  $x \# c$  and atom  $x \# G$  and wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B}$ 
    ( $G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G$ ) and atom  $z0 \# v$ 
         $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c[z::=V\text{-var } z0]_{cv}$  and atom  $z0 \# c$  and
         $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c' (\text{is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G \models c')$ 
    shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G \models c'[x::=v]_{cv}$ 
proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}$ 
        using infer-v-v-wf subst-valid-simple valid.simps assms using subst-valid-simple assms valid.simps
infer-v-v-wf assms
        is-satis-g-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
    moreover have wfV  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G v b$  and wfG  $\Theta \mathcal{B} G$ 
        using assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps apply metis using assms infer-v-v-wf by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms subst-valid by metis
qed

```

14.5 Subtyping

```

lemma subst-subtype:
    fixes  $v::v$ 
    assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{ z0 : b \mid c0 \})$  and
         $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}) \lesssim (\{ z : b \mid c \})$  and
         $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \lesssim (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \})$  (is  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G1 \vdash$ 
         $?t1 \lesssim ?t2$ ) and
        atom  $z \# (x, v) \wedge \text{atom } z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma) \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# (x, v) \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# (x, v)$  and wsV  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ 
    shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof –
    have  $z2 : \text{atom } z2 \# (x, v)$  using assms by auto
    hence  $x \neq z2$  by auto

    obtain  $xx::x$  where  $xxf : \text{atom } xx \# (x, z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, c1[x::=v]_{cv},$ 
     $c2[x::=v]_{cv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma,$ 
         $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma, z1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}, z2, c2[x::=v]_{cv})$  (is atom  $xx \# ?tup$ )
    using obtain-fresh by blast
    hence  $xxf2 : \text{atom } xx \# (z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$  using fresh-prod9 fresh-prod5
by fast

```

```

have vd1:  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (c2[z2 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv})[x ::= v]_{cv}$ 
proof(rule subst-valid-infer-v[of  $\Theta$  - - -  $z0\ b\ c0 - c$ , where  $z=z$ ])
  show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket z0 : b \mid c0 \rrbracket$  using assms by auto

show xf:  $atom\ x \# \Gamma$  using subtype-g-wf wfG-inside-fresh-suffix assms by metis

show  $atom\ x \# c$  proof -
  have wfT  $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$  using subtype-wf[OF assms(2)] by auto
  moreover have  $x \neq z$  using assms(4)
  using fresh-Pair not-self-fresh by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using xf wfT-fresh-c assms by presburger
qed

show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
proof(rule subst append-g.simps, rule wfG-consI)
  show  $\ast: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using subtype-g-wf assms by metis
  show  $\langle atom\ xx \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using xf fresh-prod9 by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle$  using subtype-elimis[OF assms(3)] wfT-wfC wfC-wf wfG-cons by metis
  show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xx, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ 
proof(rule wfT-wfC)
  have  $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket xx : b1 \mid c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv} \rrbracket$  using xf fresh-prod9 type-eq-subst
xf2 fresh-prodN by metis
  thus  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \llbracket xx : b1 \mid c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv} \rrbracket$  using
subtype-wfT[OF assms(3)] by metis
  show  $atom\ xx \# \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$  using xf fresh-prod9 by metis
  qed
qed

show  $atom\ z0 \# v$  using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
have  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z ::= V\text{-}var\ z0]_v$ 
apply(rule obtain-fresh[of (z0, c0,  $\Gamma$ , c, z)], rule subtype-valid[OF assms(2), THEN valid-flip],
  (fastforce simp add: assms fresh-prodN)+) done
thus  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z0, b, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z ::= V\text{-}var\ z0]_{cv}$  using subst-defs by auto

show  $atom\ z0 \# c$  using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
show  $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((xx, b1, c1[z1 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c2[z2 ::= V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ 
using subtype-valid assms(3) xf xf2 fresh-prodN append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
qed

have xfw1:  $atom\ z1 \# v \wedge atom\ x \# [xx]^v \wedge x \neq z1$ 
apply(intro conjI)
apply(simp add: assms xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers fresh-x-neq)+
using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN xf apply blast
using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN assms by blast

have xfw2:  $atom\ z2 \# v \wedge atom\ x \# [xx]^v \wedge x \neq z2$ 
apply(auto simp add: assms xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers)
by(insert xf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN assms, fast+)

have wf1:  $wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) (\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1[x ::= v]_{cv} \rrbracket)$  proof -

```

have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \})[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$
using $wf\text{-}subst(4)$ $assms$ $b\text{-}of.simps$ $infer\text{-}v\text{-}v\text{-}wf$ $subtype\text{-}wf$ $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $subst\text{-}g\text{-}inside$ $wfT\text{-}wf$
by $metis$
moreover **have** $atom\ z1 \# (x, v)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed
moreover **have** $wf2: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2[x::=v]_{cv} \})$ **proof** –
have $wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \})[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** $wf\text{-}subst(4)$ $assms$ $b\text{-}of.simps$
 $infer\text{-}v\text{-}v\text{-}wf$ $subtype\text{-}wf$ $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $subst\text{-}g\text{-}inside$ $wfT\text{-}wf$ **by** $metis$
moreover **have** $atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $auto$
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ **by** $auto$
qed
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}[z1::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \models (c2[x::=v]_{cv})[z2::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ **proof** –
have $xx \neq x$ **using** $xxf\text{-}fresh\text{-}Pair$ $fresh\text{-}at\text{-}base$ **by** $fast$
hence $((xx, b1, subst\text{-}cv\ c1\ z1\ (V\text{-}var\ xx)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = (xx, b1, (subst\text{-}cv\ c1\ z1\ (V\text{-}var\ xx)) [x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v})$
using $subst\text{-}gv.simps$ **by** $auto$
moreover **have** $(c1[z1::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv})[x::=v]_{cv} = (c1[x::=v]_{cv}) [z1::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ **using** $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}commute\text{-}subst$
 $xfw1$ **by** $metis$
moreover **have** $c2[z2::=[xx]^v]_{cv}[x::=v]_{cv} = (c2[x::=v]_{cv})[z2::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}$ **using** $subst\text{-}cv\text{-}commute\text{-}subst$
 $xfw2$ **by** $metis$
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $vd1$ $append\text{-}g.simps$ **by** $metis$
qed
moreover **have** $atom\ xx \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, z1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}, z2, c2[x::=v]_{cv})$
using $xxf\text{-}fresh\text{-}prodN$ **by** $metis$
ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1[x::=v]_{cv} \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2[x::=v]_{cv} \}$
using $subtype\text{-}baseI$ $subst\text{-}defs$ **by** $metis$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $subst\text{-}tv.simps$ $assms$ **by** $presburger$
qed

lemma $subst\text{-}subtype\text{-}\tau$:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim (\{ z : b \mid c \})$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau1 \lesssim \tau2$ **and**

$atom\ z \# (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \tau1[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim \tau2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

proof –

obtain $z0$ **and** $b0$ **and** $c0$ **where** $zbc0: \tau = (\{ z0 : b0 \mid c0 \}) \wedge atom\ z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma)$

using $obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z$ **by** $metis$

obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **where** $zbc1: \tau1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \wedge atom\ z1 \# (x, v)$

using $obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z$ **by** $metis$

obtain $z2$ **and** $b2$ **and** $c2$ **where** $zbc2: \tau2 = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \wedge atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$

using $obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z$ **by** $metis$

have $b0=b$ **using** $subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base$ $zbc0$ $assms$ **by** $blast$

hence $vinf: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \}$ **using** $assms$ $zbc0$ **by** $blast$

have $vsub: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z0 : b \mid c0 \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** $assms$ $zbc0$ $\langle b0=b \rangle$ **by** $blast$

have $beq: b1=b2$ **using** $subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base$

using $zbc1$ $zbc2$ $assms$ **by** $blast$

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-subtype*[*OF vinf vsub*])
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma) \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \}$
using *beq assms zbc1 zbc2 by auto*
show $atom\ z \# (x, v) \wedge atom\ z0 \# (c, x, v, z, \Gamma) \wedge atom\ z1 \# (x, v) \wedge atom\ z2 \# (x, v)$
using *zbc0 zbc1 zbc2 assms by blast*
show $wfV\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ (b\text{-of}\ \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-wf assms by simp*
qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *zbc1 zbc2 <b1=b2> assms by blast*
qed

lemma *subtype-if1*:

fixes $v::v$
assumes $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2$ **and** $wfV\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ (base\text{-for}\text{-lit}\ l)$ **and**
 $atom\ z1 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# v$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# t1$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# t2$ **and** $atom\ z1 \# \Gamma$ **and** $atom\ z2 \# \Gamma$
shows $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid CE\text{-val}\ v == CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ l) \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1) \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t2 \mid CE\text{-val}\ v == CE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ l) \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2) \}$
proof –
obtain $z1'$ **where** $t1 : t1 = \{ z1' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \} \wedge atom\ z1' \# (z1, \Gamma, t1)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis*
obtain $z2'$ **where** $t2 : t2 = \{ z2' : b\text{-of}\ t2 \mid c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \} \wedge atom\ z2' \# (z2, t2)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis*
have $beq : b\text{-of}\ t1 = b\text{-of}\ t2$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 assms by auto*

have $c1 : (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1$ **using** *c-of-switch t1 assms by simp*
have $c2 : (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2$ **using** *c-of-switch t2 assms by simp*

have $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_v \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_v \}$
proof(*rule subtype-if*)
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \} \lesssim \{ z2' : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \} \rangle$ **using** *t1 t2 assms beq by auto*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z1 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1') [z1'::=[z1]^v]_v \} \rangle$
using *wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c1 subst-defs by metis*
show $\langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b\text{-of}\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2') [z2'::=[z2]^v]_v \} \rangle$
using *wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c2 subst-defs beq by metis*
show $\langle atom\ z1 \# v \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*
show $\langle atom\ z1' \# \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *t1 by auto*
show $\langle atom\ z1 \# c\text{-of}\ t1\ z1' \rangle$ **using** *t1 assms c-of-fresh by force*
show $\langle atom\ z2 \# c\text{-of}\ t2\ z2' \rangle$ **using** *t2 assms c-of-fresh by force*
show $\langle atom\ z2 \# v \rangle$ **using** *assms by auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **using** *t1 t2 assms c1 c2 beq subst-defs by metis*
qed

14.6 Values

lemma *subst-infer-aux*:

fixes $\tau_1::\tau$ **and** $v'::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **and** $b\text{-of}\ \tau_1 = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2$

shows $\tau_1 = (\tau_2[x::=v])_{\tau v}$
proof –
obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **where** $zb1: \tau_1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \})$
 $\wedge \text{atom } z1 \# ((CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}), CE\text{-val } v), v'[x::=v]_{vv})$
using *infer-v-form-fresh* [*OF assms*(1)] **by** *fastforce*
obtain $z2$ **and** $b2$ **where** $zb2: \tau_2 = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val } v') \}) \wedge \text{atom } z2$
 $\# ((CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}), CE\text{-val } v, x, v), v')$
using *infer-v-form-fresh* [*OF assms*(2)] **by** *fastforce*
have $beq: b1 = b2$ **using** *assms* $zb1$ $zb2$ **by** *simp*

hence $(\{ z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val } v') \})[x::=v]_{\tau v} = (\{ z2 : b2 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val}$
 $(V\text{-var } z2)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \})$
using *subst-tv.simps* *subst-cv.simps* *subst-ev.simps* *forget-subst-vv* [*of* x $V\text{-var } z2$] $zb2$ **by** *force*
also have $\dots = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var } z1)) (CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \})$
using *type-e-eq* [*of* $z2$ $CE\text{-val} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) z1$ $b1$] $zb1$ $zb2$ *fresh-PairD*(1) *assms* beq **by** *metis*
finally show *?thesis* **using** $zb1$ $zb2$ **by** *argo*
qed

lemma *subst-t-b-eq*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
shows $b\text{-of } (\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) = b\text{-of } \tau$
proof –
obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x, v)$
using *has-fresh-z* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *fresh-g-fresh-v*:
fixes $x::x$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **and** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b$
shows $\text{atom } x \# v$
using *assms* *wfV-supp* *wfX-wfY* *wfG-atoms-supp-eq* *fresh-def*
by (*metis* *wfV-x-fresh*)

lemma *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v*:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma' @ \Gamma$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\text{atom } x \# v$
proof –
have $\text{atom } x \# \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-suffix* *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ ($b\text{-of } \tau$) **using** *infer-v-wf* *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *fresh-g-fresh-v* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv*:
fixes $xa::x$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$
assumes $\text{atom } xa \# \Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \Gamma)$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$
shows $\text{atom } xa \# (x, v)$
proof –
have $\text{atom } xa \# x$ **using** *assms* *fresh-in-g* *fresh-def* **by** *blast*
moreover have $\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \Gamma) = ((\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma \text{GNil}) @ \Gamma)$ **using** *append-g.simps*
append-g-assoc **by** *simp*

moreover hence $\text{atom } xa \# v$ using *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v* *assms* by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
qed

lemma *wfG-subst-infer-v*:

fixes $v::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ and $b\text{-of } \tau = b$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$

using *wfG-subst-wfV infer-v-v-wf* *assms* by *auto*

lemma *fresh-subst-gv-inside*:

fixes $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ and $\text{atom } z \# v$

shows $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$

unfolding *fresh-append-g* using *fresh-append-g* *assms* *fresh-subst-gv* *fresh-GCons* by *metis*

lemma *subst-infer-v*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v'::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\{ \{ z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \} \})$ and $\text{atom } z0 \# (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v' avoiding: x v arbitrary: τ_2 rule: v.strong-induct*)
case (*V-lit l*)

hence $*$: $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau_2$ using *infer-v-elim*s by *metis*

thm *type-eq-flip obtain-fresh-z type-e-eq*

then obtain $z\ b$ where $t: \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# \Gamma'$

@ $(x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$

using *infer-l-form ** by *metis*

hence $**$: $\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2$ **proof** –

have $\text{atom } z \# (x, v)$ using *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv[of z]* *V-lit infer-v-wf t* by *metis*

moreover have $\text{atom } x \# V\text{-lit } l$ using *v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def* by *fast*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *type-v-subst-fresh t* by *metis*

qed

have $b\text{-of } \tau_1 = b_1$ using *subtype-eq-base2 V-lit b-of.simps* by *auto*

show *?case*

proof(*subst subst-vv.simps , rule infer-v-litI*)

show $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ using $*$ $**$ by *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma$ using *wfG-subst-infer-v V-lit (b-of $\tau_1 = b_1$)* by *blast*

qed

next

case (*V-var y*)

have $b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1$ using *subtype-eq-base2 assms b-of.simps* by *auto*

then obtain z and b and c where $zb: \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \} \} \wedge$

$\text{atom } z \# y \wedge \text{atom } z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge \text{Some } (b, c) = \text{lookup } (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ y$

proof –

assume $\bigwedge z\ b\ c. \tau_2 = \{ \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \} \} \wedge \text{atom } z \# y \wedge$

$atom\ z \# (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge Some\ (b, c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv})$
 $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \ y \implies thesis$
then show *?thesis*
using *infer-var3[OF V-var(2)]* **by** *blast*
qed

If y is x then we are dealing with v otherwise substitution is identity

have *wfg1: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using infer-v-wf using V-var by fast*
moreover have *wfV $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \ v \ b_1$ using infer-v-v-wf V-var $\langle b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1 \rangle$ by auto*
ultimately have *wfg: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ using wf-subst(3)[OF wfg1] subst-g-inside by metis*

have *wsg1: wfG $\Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using wfg1 by auto*
hence *zf: atom z $\# ((\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma)$ using wfG-xa-fresh-in-subst-v V-var zb subst-g-inside wsg1*
subst-defs by metis

show *?case proof(cases x = y)*
case *True*
have *lu: Some (b₁, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) = lookup (Γ' @ ((x, b₁, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv})) #_Γ Γ) x using lookup-inside-wf*
wfg1 by metis

moreover have *(V-var y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = v by (simp add: True)*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v})$ **proof** –
have $\tau_1 = (\tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v})$ **using** *subst-infer-aux [where x=x and v=v and v'=V-var y and*
 $\tau_1 = \tau_1$ **and** $\tau_2 = \tau_2, OF - V-var(2)]$
by *(metis Pair-inject True V-var.prem(1) V-var.prem(2) assms(3) b-of.simps calculation(2)*
infer-v-elim(1) infer-v-form lu option.inject subst-infer-aux subtype-eq-base)
thus *?thesis using subtype-refl1 infer-v-t-wf*
using *assms subtype-refl2 by metis*
qed

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash (V-var\ y)[x ::= v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2 [x ::= v]_{\tau_v}$
using *V-var True by argo*

moreover have *setG $\Gamma \subseteq setG (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ by simp*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using** *infer-v-wf V-var by auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-of } \tau_1$ **using** *infer-v-v-wf V-var by auto*

moreover have $b_1 = b\text{-of } \tau_1$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 assms b-of.simps by auto*

ultimately show *?thesis using wf-subst(3) subst-g-inside by metis*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis using infer-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening wfg*

append-g-assoc in-set-conv-decomp subset-code

by *(metis V-var.prem(2) subst-infer-aux subst-t-b-eq subtype-eq-base2)*

next

case *False*

have *(V-var y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = V-var y by (simp add: False)*

have *eq : (V-var y)[x ::= v]_{vv} = V-var y by (simp add: False)*

then obtain c' **where** $Some\ (b, c') = lookup\ (\Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \ y$ **using** *subst-lookup[of b c Γ' x b₁*
 $c0[z0 ::= [x]^v]_{cv} \ \Gamma \ y] \ zb \ False \ wfg1 \ V-var$ **by** *metis*

hence $a1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-var } y) \Rightarrow (\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \rrbracket)$
 using *infer-v-varI*[of $\Theta - - b \ c' \ y \ z$, *OF wfg*] *wfg zf zb* **by** *metis*
 moreover have $(\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } y) \rrbracket) = \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **proof** –
 have $\text{supp } \tau_2 = \{ \text{atom } y \} \cup \text{supp } b$ **using** $zb \ \text{supp-v-var-tau}$ *False* **by** *force*
 hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau_2$ **using** *False fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*
 thus *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-tv zb* **by** *metis*
qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subtype-reflI infer-v-t-wf eq subtype-reflI2* **by** *metis*
qed

next

case $(V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)$

Unpack into typing for parts

then obtain τ'_1 and τ'_2 and z where $t1t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau'_1 \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau'_2 \wedge$
 $(\tau_2 = (\llbracket z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2) \mid ((CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2))) \rrbracket))$
using *infer-v-pair2E* **by** *meson*

Apply IH and repack to get required typing judgement

have $t1'': \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash v_1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *V-pair t1t2* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $t2'': \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash v_2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *V-pair t1t2* **by** *auto*
 ultimately obtain τ_3 where $t3: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash V\text{-pair } (v_1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v_2[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow$
 $\tau_3 \wedge (b\text{-of } \tau_3 = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2))$
using *infer-v-pair2I subst-tbase-eq* **by** *metis*

Show required subtyping judgement

moreover have $\tau_3 = (\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v})$ **proof** –
 have $\text{veq}: V\text{-pair } (v_1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v_2[x::=v]_{vv}) = (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *subst-vv.simps* **by** *presburger*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_3$ **using** $\text{veq } t3$ **by** *simp*
 moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **using** *V-pair* **by** *simp*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau_3 = b\text{-of } \tau_2$ **proof** –
 have $b\text{-of } \tau_3 = B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau'_1) (b\text{-of } \tau'_2)$ **using** $t3$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_1 = b\text{-of } \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** $t1''$ *subst-tbase-eq*
by (*metis* $\tau.\text{exhaust } b\text{-of.simps}$)
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_2 = b\text{-of } \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** $t2''$ *subst-tbase-eq*
by (*metis* $\tau.\text{exhaust } b\text{-of.simps}$)
 moreover have $b\text{-of } \tau'_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v} = b\text{-of } \tau'_2 \wedge b\text{-of } \tau'_1[x::=v]_{\tau_v} = b\text{-of } \tau'_1$
using *subst-t-b-eq* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $t1t2 \ b\text{-of.simps}$ **by** *metis*
qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subst-infer-aux* **by** *meson*
qed

ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

next

case $(V\text{-cons } s \ dc \ w)$

Proof outline: unpack using elimination, apply IH to type of w and then repack using `infer v consI`

```

have eq1: (V-cons s dc w)[x::=v]vv = V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv) using subst-vv.simps by presburger

obtain dclist x2 b2 c2 z' c' z where *:
  τ2 = { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc w) } ∧
  AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ ∧
  (dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist ∧
  (Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢ w ⇒ { z' : b2 | c' }) ∧
  (Θ ; B ; Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∧
  atom z # w ∧ atom z # Γ' @ (x, b1, c0[z0::=[x]v]cv) #Γ Γ
using infer-v-elim(4)[OF V-cons(3)] by metis

obtain τ3' where yy: Θ ; B ; (Γ'[x::=v]Γv@Γ) ⊢ w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv
using V-cons (1)[of v x { z' : b2 | c' }] using V-cons * by auto
then obtain z3 b3 c3 where yy2: atom z3 # (x,v) ∧ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv = { z3 : b3 | c3 }
using obtain-fresh-z by metis

hence b2=b3 using subtype-eq-base2 yy subst-tbase-eq b-of.simps by metis

have zvf: atom z # (x,v) using infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv * V-cons infer-v-wf by blast
hence zf: atom z # CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv))
unfolding ce.fresh v.fresh by(simp add: pure-fresh *)

obtain z0':x where z0:atom z0' # (x,v,w[x::=v]vv, Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ, CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)))
using obtain-fresh by metis
hence zf2: atom z0' # CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) using e.fresh fresh-Pair v.fresh pure-fresh
fresh-prod5 by metis
hence zeg: { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } =
  { z0' : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z0') == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } using type-e-eq
zf e.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
moreover have teg: { z : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) } =
τ2[x::=v]τv
using * subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-vv.simps zvf by simp

have **:Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ V-cons s dc w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z0' : B-id s | CE-val (V-var z0')
== CE-val (V-cons s dc (w[x::=v]vv)) }
proof
  show ⟨AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ⟩ using * by auto
  show ⟨(dc, { x2 : b2 | c2 }) ∈ set dclist⟩ using * by auto
  show **:⟨ Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ w[x::=v]vv ⇒ { z3 : b2 | c3 } ⟩ using yy yy2 ⟨b2=b3⟩ by
auto
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ'[x::=v]Γv @ Γ ⊢ { z3 : b2 | c3 } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 } ⟩ proof –
    have xx: Θ ; B ; Γ'@((x,b1,c0[z0::=[x]v]cv)#ΓΓ) ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' } ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 } using *
by auto
    hence Θ ; B ; (Γ'[x::=v]Γv@Γ) ⊢ { z' : b2 | c' }[x::=v]τv ≲ { x2 : b2 | c2 }[x::=v]τv using
subst-subtype-tau[OF V-cons(2) assms(3) xx V-cons(5)] by auto
    moreover have ⊢wf Θ using infer-v-wf * by auto
    moreover hence { x2 : b2 | c2 }[x::=v]τv = { x2 : b2 | c2 } using dc-t-closed(1) * forget-subst-tv

```

fresh-def wfG-nilI **by** *fast*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma) \vdash \{ z3 : b2 \mid c3 \} \lesssim \{ z' : b2 \mid c' \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *yy*
yy2 $\langle b2=b3 \rangle$ *subtype-reflI infer-v-t-wf[OF ***]* **by** *metis*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *subtype-trans* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ z0' \# w[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *z0 fresh-Pair* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom\ z0' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *z0* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **hence** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z0' : B-id\ s \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z0') \} == CE-val\ (V-cons\ s\ dc\ (w[x::=v]_{vv})) \} \lesssim \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *subtype-reflI teq zeq infer-v-t-wf* **by** *metis*

ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *zeq teq* **by** *auto*

next

case $(V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w)$

from $V-cons(3)\ V-cons(1,2,4,5)$ **show** *?case*

proof(*nominal-induct* $\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_{cv}]) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma\ V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w\ \tau_2$ *avoiding: x v rule: infer-v.strong-induct*)

case $(infer-v-cons\ I\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ tc\ \mathcal{B}\ tv\ z)$

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash (V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{ z : B-app\ s\ b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} \} == [V-cons\ s\ dc\ b\ w[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \}$

proof(*rule Typing.infer-v-cons\ I[OF infer-v-cons\ I(5,6)]*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash w[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash w[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *infer-v-cons\ I(21) infer-v-cons\ I(24) infer-v-cons\ I(3) infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-tv.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b} \rangle$ **proof** –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *infer-v-cons\ I subst-subtype-tau* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# tc[bv::=b]_{\tau_b}$ **proof** –

have $supp\ tc \subseteq \{ atom\ bv \}$ **using** *wfTh-poly-lookup-supp infer-v-cons\ I wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

hence $atom\ x \# tc$ **using** *x-not-in-b-set*

using *fresh-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover **have** $atom\ x \# b$ **using** *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-subst-if subst-b-τ-def* **by** *metis*

qed

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v-τ-def* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, w[x::=v]_{vv}, b) \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ z \# w[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-cons\ I subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $atom\ z \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle atom\ bv \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, w[x::=v]_{vv}, b) \rangle$ **proof** –

have $atom\ bv \# w[x::=v]_{vv}$ **using** *fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-cons\ I subst-v-v-def* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $atom\ bv \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

ultimately **show** *?thesis* **using** *fresh-prodN infer-v-cons\ I* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ using *infer-v-conspI* by *metis*
 qed
 moreover have $atom\ z \# (x, v)$ using *infer-v-conspI fresh-Pair* by *metis*
 ultimately show $?case$ using *subst-vv.simps subst-tv.simps* by *auto*
 qed
 qed

lemma *subst-infer-check-v*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v':v$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and
 $check\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))\ v'\ \tau_2$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ and $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$
 shows $check\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v})$

proof –

obtain τ_2' where $t2: infer\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)\ v'\ \tau_2' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau_2' \lesssim \tau_2$
 using *check-v-elimss assms* by *blast*
 hence $infer\text{-}v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma)\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
 using *subst-infer-v[OF assms(1) - assms(3) assms(4)]* by *blast*
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ \Gamma) \vdash \tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
 using *subst-subtype assms t2* by (*meson subst-subtype-tau subtype-trans*)
 ultimately show $?thesis$ using *check-v.intros* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *type-veq-subst[simp]*:

assumes $atom\ z \# (x, v)$
 shows $\llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket = \llbracket z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \llbracket \rrbracket$
 using *assms* by *auto*

lemma *subst-infer-v-form*:

fixes $v::v$ and $v':v$ and $\Gamma::\Gamma$
 assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ and
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2$ and $b = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2$
 $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim (\llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket)$ and $atom\ z0 \# (x, v)$ and $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, v', \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$
 shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \llbracket \rrbracket \rangle$

proof –

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \mid C\text{-eq}\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3')) \rrbracket$
 ($CE\text{-}val\ v'$) $\llbracket \rrbracket$

proof(*rule infer-v-form4*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$ using *assms* by *metis*
 show $\langle atom\ z3' \# (v', \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \rangle$ using *assms fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 show $\langle b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 = b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \rangle$ by *auto*

qed

hence $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : b\text{-of}\ \tau_2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-}val\ v' \llbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rrbracket \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v assms* by *metis*

thus $?thesis$ using *type-veq-subst fresh-prodN assms* by *metis*

qed

14.7 Expressions

For operator, fst and snd cases, we use elimination to get one or more values, apply the substitution lemma for values. The types always have the same form and are equal under substitution. For function application, the subst value is a subtype of the value which is a subtype of the argument. The return of the function is the same under substitution.

Observe a similar pattern for each case

lemma *subst-infer-e*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $e::e$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$

assumes

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_2$ **and** $G = (\Gamma' @ ((x, b_1, \text{subst-cv } c0 \ z0 \ (V\text{-var } x)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))$

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z0 \nVdash (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) @ \Gamma) ; (\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}) \vdash (\text{subst-ev } e \ x \ v) \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: x v rule: infer-e.strong-induct)*

case (*infer-e-valI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ \tau$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-val } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

proof

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2*

by (*metis b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf subst-g-inside-simple wfD-wf wf-subst(11)*)

show $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *infer-e-valI* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *subst-infer-v infer-e-valI* **using**

wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2

by *metis*

qed

thus *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* **by** *simp*

next

case (*infer-e-plusI* $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ c2 \ z3$)

hence *z3f*: $\text{atom } z3 \nVdash CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}$ **using** *e.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3':x$ **where** $*: \text{atom } z3' \nVdash (x, v, AE\text{-op Plus } v1 \ v2, \ CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}, AE\text{-op Plus } v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv}, CE\text{-op Plus } [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$

using *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

hence $*(\llbracket z3 : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3) \rrbracket == CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-op Plus } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-plusI fresh-Pair z3f* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1' \ b1' \ c1'$ **where** $z1: \text{atom } z1' \nVdash (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1 \rrbracket = \llbracket z1' : b1' \mid c1' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

obtain $z2' \ b2' \ c2'$ **where** $z2: \text{atom } z2' \nVdash (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2 \rrbracket = \llbracket z2' : b2' \mid c2' \rrbracket$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb: b1' = B\text{-int} \wedge b2' = B\text{-int}$ **using** $z1 \ z2 \ \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-op Plus } (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-op Plus } ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$

using *infer-e-plusI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-plusI* **by** *blast*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B-int \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z1 bb by metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z2' : B-int \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z2 bb by metis
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-op\ Plus\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-prod6* ***** **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** ***** **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $\{ z3' : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ Plus\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$
 $= \{ z3' : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$
by (*subst subst-tv.simps, auto simp add: **)
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* ****** **by** *metis*
next
case (*infer-e-leqI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3$)

hence *z3f*: $atom\ z3 \# CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}$ **using** *e.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, AE-op\ LEq\ v1\ v2,\ CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}, CE-op\ LEq\ [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}\ [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, AE-op\ LEq\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
hence $**(\{ z3 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3) == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-leqI fresh-Pair z3f* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1'\ b1'\ c1'$ **where** $z1:atom\ z1' \# (x, v) \wedge \{ z1 : B-int \mid c1 \} = \{ z1' : b1' \mid c1' \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
obtain $z2'\ b2'\ c2'$ **where** $z2:atom\ z2' \# (x, v) \wedge \{ z2 : B-int \mid c2 \} = \{ z2' : b2' \mid c2' \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb:b1' = B-int \wedge b2' = B-int$ **using** $z1\ z2\ \tau.eq-iff$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE-op\ LEq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$
proof
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-leqI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-leqI(2)* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B-int \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z1 bb by metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z2' : B-int \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z2 bb by metis
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-op\ LEq\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair* ***** **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** ***** **by** *auto*
qed
moreover have $\{ z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$
 $= \{ z3' : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps* ***** **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps* ****** **by** *metis*
next
case (*infer-e-appI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ f\ x'\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'\ v'\ \tau$)

hence $x \neq x'$ **using** $\langle atom\ x' \# \Gamma'' \rangle$ **using** *wfG-inside-x-neq wfX-wfY* **by** *metis*

show ?case **proof**(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\langle \text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-none } (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f) \rangle$ **using**
infer-e-appI **by metis**

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **proof**(rule subst-infer-check-v
)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \}$ **using** infer-e-appI **by**
metis
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \}$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
show $\text{atom } z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** infer-e-appI **by metis**
qed
moreover have $\text{atom } x \# c$ **using** wfPhi-f-supp-c[OF infer-e-appI(3)] fresh-def $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$
by (metis atom-eq-iff empty-iff infer-e-appI.hyps(2) insert-iff subset-singletonD)

moreover hence $\text{atom } x \# \{ x' : b \mid c \}$ **using** $\tau.\text{fresh supp-b-empty fresh-def}$ **by blast**
ultimately show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} \rangle$ **using** forget-subst-tv
by metis

have $\text{atom } x' \# (x, v)$ **using** infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv infer-e-appI check-v-wf **by blast**

thus $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appI fresh-subst-gv wfD-wf subst-g-inside fresh-Pair
by metis
have $\text{supp } \tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x' \} \cup \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** infer-e-appI wfT-supp wfPhi-f-simple-wfT
by (meson infer-e-appI.hyps(2) le-supI1 wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t)
hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau'$ **using** $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ fresh-def supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set **by fastforce**
thus $\langle \tau[x'::=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **using** subst-tv-commute infer-e-appI subst-defs **by metis**
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b' \ f \ bv \ x' \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v' \ \tau$)

hence $x \neq x'$ **using** $\langle \text{atom } x' \# \Gamma'' \rangle$ **using** wfG-inside-x-neq wfX-wfY **by metis**

show ?case **proof**(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appPI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** infer-e-appPI(4) **by auto**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'$ **using** infer-e-appPI(5) **by auto**
show $\text{Some } (AF\text{-fundef } f \ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some } bv \ (AF\text{-fun-typ } x' \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = \text{lookup-fun } \Phi \ f)$ **using**
infer-e-appPI(6) **by auto**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_b \mid c[bv::=b]_b \}$ **proof** –
have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$
proof(rule subst-infer-check-v)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **using** infer-e-appPI **by metis**
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]_v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$
using infer-e-appPI subst-defs **by metis**

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
 show $\text{atom } z0 \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
 qed
 moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \# c$ **proof** –
have $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{\text{atom } x', \text{atom } bv\}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c[OF infer-e-appPI(6)] infer-e-appPI*
by *metis*
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *fresh-def* **using** $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ *atom-eq-iff* **by** *auto*
 qed
 moreover **hence** $\text{atom } x \# \llbracket x' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **using** $\tau.\text{fresh}$ *supp-b-empty* *fresh-def*
subst-b-fresh-x
by (*metis* *subst-b-c-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *forget-subst-tv* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*
 qed
have $\text{supp } \tau' \subseteq \{\text{atom } x', \text{atom } bv\}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau'$ **using** *fresh-def* $\langle x \neq x' \rangle$ **by** *force*
hence $\text{atom } x \# \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau_b}$ **using** *subst-b-fresh-x* *subst-b- τ -def* **by** *metis*
have $\text{atom } x' \# (x, v)$ **using** *infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv infer-e-appPI* *check-v-wf* **by** *blast*
thus $\text{atom } x' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* *fresh-subst-gv* *wfD-wf* *subst-g-inside* *fresh-Pair*
by *metis*
show $\tau'[bv::=b]_b[x'::=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *infer-e-appPI* *subst-tv-commute[OF *]*
subst-defs **by** *metis*
show $\text{atom } bv \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, b', v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau_v})$

apply(*unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*subst subst-g-inside[symmetric]*)
apply((*insert infer-e-appPI wfX-wfY*) [1], *fast*)
apply(*metis fresh-subst-gv-if infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-v-def infer-e-appPI*)
apply(*simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- τ -def infer-e-appPI*)
done

 qed
next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v' z' b1 b2 c z$)

hence $zf: \text{atom } z \# CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $\text{atom } z3' \# (x, v, AE\text{-fst } v', CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce}, AE\text{-fst } v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma)$
using *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*
hence $**:(\llbracket z : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$
using *type-e-eq infer-e-fstI(4) fresh-Pair zf* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1' b1' c1'$ **where** $z1:\text{atom } z1' \# (x, v) \wedge \llbracket z' : B\text{-pair } b1 b2 \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket z1' : b1' \mid c1' \rrbracket$ **using**
obtain-fresh-z **by** *metis*

have $bb:b1' = B\text{-pair } b1 b2$ **using** $z1$ *τ .eq-iff* **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-fstI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-fstI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-fstI z1 bb* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# AE\text{-fst } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair ** **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *** **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \} = \{ z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-fst } [v']^{ce} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps ** **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps ** **by** *metis*

next

case $(infer\text{-e}\text{-sndI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ z' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z)$

hence *zf*: $atom \ z \# CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $*:atom \ z3' \# (x,v,AE\text{-snd } v', CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce}, AE\text{-snd } v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, v', \Gamma'')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $**:(\{ z : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} \}$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-sndI(4) fresh-Pair zf* **by** *metis*

obtain $z1' \ b2' \ c1'$ **where** $z1:atom \ z1' \# (x,v) \wedge \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \} = \{ z1' : b2' \mid c1' \}$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*

have $bb:b2' = B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2$ **using** $z1 \ \tau.\text{eq-iff}$ **by** *metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-snd } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-sndI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-sndI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$ **using** *subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-sndI z1 bb* **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# AE\text{-snd } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair ** **by** *metis*

show $\langle atom \ z3' \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *** **by** *auto*

qed

moreover **have** $\{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} = \{ z3' : b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-snd } [v']^{ce} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \}$

by $(subst \ subst\text{-tv.simps}, auto \ simp \ add: \ fresh\text{-prodN } *)$

ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-ev.simps ** **by** *metis*

next

case $(infer\text{-e}\text{-lenI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ z' \ c \ z)$

hence *zf*: $atom \ z \# CE\text{-len } [v']^{ce}$ **using** *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* **by** *metis*

obtain $z3'::x$ **where** $*:atom \ z3' \# (x,v,AE\text{-len } v', CE\text{-len } [v']^{ce}, AE\text{-len } v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, \Gamma'', v')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *auto*

hence $**(\llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket) = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-lenI fresh-Pair zf by metis*

have $***: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket$

using *infer-e-lenI infer-v-form3[OF infer-e-lenI(3), of z3'] b-of.simps * fresh-Pair by metis*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-len } (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-lenI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{\tau v}} \rangle$

proof(*rule subst-infer-v*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid [[z3']^v]^{ce} \rrbracket == [v']^{ce} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** **** infer-e-lenI by metis*

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

show *atom z0 # (x, v)* **using** *infer-e-lenI by metis*

qed

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-val } v' \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{vv}} \rangle$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps fresh-Pair * fresh-prodN subst-vv.simps by auto*

show $\langle \text{atom } z3' \# AE\text{-len } v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair * by metis*

show $\langle \text{atom } z3' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-Pair * by metis*

qed

moreover have $\llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \rrbracket = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-int} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z3') \rrbracket == CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket_{[x::=v]_{\tau v}}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps * by auto*

ultimately show *?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis*

next

case (*infer-e-mvarI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Phi \Delta u \tau$)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **proof** $-$

have *wfV* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v$ (*b-of* τ_1) **using** *infer-v-wf infer-e-mvarI by auto*

moreover have *b-of* $\tau_1 = b_1$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2 infer-e-mvarI b-of.simps by simp*

ultimately show *?thesis using wf-subst(3)[OF infer-e-mvarI(1), of $\Gamma' x b_1 c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv} \Gamma v$ infer-e-mvarI subst-g-inside by metis*

qed

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-mvarI by auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-mvarI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle (u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in \text{setD } \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-mvarI subst-dv-member by metis*

qed

moreover have $(AE-mvar\ u) = (AE-mvar\ u)[x::=v]_{ev}$ using *subst-ev.simps* by auto

ultimately show ?case by auto

next

case $(infer-e-concatI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ c2\ z3)$

hence $zf: atom\ z3 \# CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}$ using *ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh* by metis

obtain $z3':x$ where $atom\ z3' \# (x, v, v1, v2, AE-concat\ v1\ v2, CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}, AE-concat\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}), \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma, \Gamma'', v1, v2)$ using *obtain-fresh* by auto

hence $*(\{ z3 : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3) == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}$

using *type-e-eq infer-e-concatI fresh-Pair zf* by metis

have $zfx: atom\ x \# z3'$ using *fresh-at-base fresh-prodN ** by auto

have $v1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ v1 \}$

using *infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair* by metis

have $v2: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ v2 \}$

using *infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair* by metis

have $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AE-concat\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$

proof

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ using *wfD-subst infer-e-concatI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ by *(simp add: infer-e-concatI)*

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) \} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN * b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-val\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) \} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN * b-of.simps* by metis

show $\langle atom\ z3' \# AE-concat\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ using *fresh-Pair ** by metis

show $\langle atom\ z3' \# \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ using *fresh-Pair ** by metis

qed

moreover have $\{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} = \{ z3' : B-bitvec \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z3') == CE-concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce} \}[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$

using *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps ** by auto

ultimately show ?case using *subst-ev.simps ** ** by metis

next

thm *subst-tv.simps*

case $(infer-e-splitI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ \Phi\ v1\ z1\ c1\ v2\ z2\ z3)$

hence $atom\ z3 \# (x, v)$ using *fresh-Pair* by auto

have $\langle x \neq z3 \rangle$ using *infer-e-splitI* by force

have $\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3 : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} == [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \}$ AND

$$[[\#1 [[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]$$

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$ **using** *wfD-subst infer-e-splitI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI by auto*

have $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$

using *subst-infer-v infer-e-splitI by metis*

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$

using *infer-e-splitI subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair by metis*

have $\langle x \neq z2 \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI by force*

have $(\{ z2 : B-int \mid ([leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND ([leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \}) =$
 $(\{ z2 : B-int \mid ([leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND ([leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce}) \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v})$

unfolding *subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **using** $\langle x \neq z2 \rangle$ *infer-e-splitI fresh-Pair*

by simp

thus $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B-int \mid [leq [[L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce})$
 $AND [leq [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \} \rangle$

using *infer-e-splitI subst-infer-check-v fresh-Pair by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z1 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z2 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z3 \# AE-split\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle$ **using** *infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto*

show $\langle atom\ z3 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z2 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

show $\langle atom\ z1 \# \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma \rangle$ **using** *fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-splitI by metis*

qed

thus *?case apply (subst subst-tv.simps)*

using *infer-e-splitI fresh-Pair apply metis*

unfolding *subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps **

using $\langle x \neq z3 \rangle$ **by simp**

qed

lemma *infer-e-uniqueness:*

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2$

shows $\tau_1 = \tau_2$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct rule:e.strong-induct)*

case *(AE-val x)*

then show *?case using infer-e-elim(7)[OF AE-val(1)] infer-e-elim(7)[OF AE-val(2)] infer-v-uniqueness by metis*

next

case *(AE-app f v)*

obtain $x1\ b1\ c1\ s1'\ \tau1'$ **where** $t1: Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-none\ (AF-fun-typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau1'[x1::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *infer-e-app2E[OF AE-app(1)] by metis*

moreover obtain $x2\ b2\ c2\ s2'\ \tau2'$ **where** $t2: Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-none\ (AF-fun-typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge \tau_2 = \tau2'[x2::=v]_{\tau_v}$ **using** *infer-e-app2E[OF AE-app(2)] by*

metis

```

have  $\tau_1[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using t1 and t2 fun-ret-unique by metis
thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
  case (AE-appP f b v)
  obtain bv1 x1 b1 c1 s1'  $\tau_1'$  where t1: Some (AF-fundeff (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1  $\tau_1'$  s1')))
   $= \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau_1'[bv1 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using infer-e-appP2E[OF AE-appP(1)]
by metis
  moreover obtain bv2 x2 b2 c2 s2'  $\tau_2'$  where t2: Some (AF-fundeff (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2  $\tau_2'$  s2')))
   $= \text{lookup-fun } \Phi f \wedge \tau_2 = \tau_2'[bv2 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using infer-e-appP2E[OF AE-appP(2)] by metis

  have  $\tau_1'[bv1 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x1 ::= v]_{\tau v} = \tau_2'[bv2 ::= b]_{\tau b}[x2 ::= v]_{\tau v}$  using t1 and t2 fun-poly-ret-unique by
metis
  thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  show ?case proof(cases opp=Plus)
  case True
  hence  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$ 
using AE-op by auto
  thm infer-e-elim3
  thus ?thesis using infer-e-elim3(11)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  ]
infer-e-elim3(11)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op Plus } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$  ]
  by force
next
  case False
  hence opp = LEq using opp.strong-exhaust by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2$ 
using AE-op by auto
  thm infer-e-elim3
  thus ?thesis using infer-e-elim3(12)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  ]
infer-e-elim3(12)[OF  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-op LEq } v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle$  ]
  by force
qed
next
  case (AE-concat v1 v2)

  obtain z3::x where t1: $\tau_1 = \llbracket z3 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid \llbracket z3 \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z3 \# v1 \wedge atom\ z3 \# v2$ 
using infer-e-elim3(18)[OF AE-concat(1)] by metis
  obtain z3'::x where t2: $\tau_2 = \llbracket z3' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid \llbracket z3' \rrbracket^v \rrbracket^{ce} == CE\text{-concat } [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge atom\ z3' \# v1 \wedge atom\ z3' \# v2$ 
using infer-e-elim3(18)[OF AE-concat(2)] by metis

  thus ?case using t1 t2 type-e-eq ce.fresh by metis

next
  case (AE-fst v)

  obtain z1 and b1 where  $\tau_1 = \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z1) == (CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce}) \rrbracket$  using infer-v-form AE-fst by auto

```

obtain $xx :: x$ **and** $bb :: b$ **and** $xxa :: x$ **and** $bba :: b$ **and** $cc :: c$ **where**
 $f1: \tau_2 = \llbracket xx : bb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxa : B\text{-pair } bb \ bba \mid cc \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xx \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(8)[OF \ AE\text{-fst}(2)]$ **by** $metis$
obtain $xxb :: x$ **and** $bbb :: b$ **and** $xxc :: x$ **and** $bbc :: b$ **and** $cca :: c$ **where**
 $f2: \tau_1 = \llbracket xxb : bbb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xxb) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxc : B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc \mid cca \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xxb \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(8)[OF \ AE\text{-fst}(1)]$ **by** $metis$
then have $B\text{-pair } bb \ bba = B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc$
using $f1$ **by** $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ b\text{-of.simps} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-uniqueness})$
then have $\llbracket xx : bbb \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-fst } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \tau_2$
using $f1$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$
using $f2$ **by** $(meson \ ce.\text{fresh} \ fresh\text{-}GNil \ type\text{-e}\text{-eq} \ wfG\text{-}x\text{-fresh-in-v-simple})$
next
case $(AE\text{-snd } v)$
obtain $xx :: x$ **and** $bb :: b$ **and** $xxa :: x$ **and** $bba :: b$ **and** $cc :: c$ **where**
 $f1: \tau_2 = \llbracket xx : bba \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxa : B\text{-pair } bb \ bba \mid cc \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xx \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(9)[OF \ AE\text{-snd}(2)]$ **by** $metis$
obtain $xxb :: x$ **and** $bbb :: b$ **and** $xxc :: x$ **and** $bbc :: b$ **and** $cca :: c$ **where**
 $f2: \tau_1 = \llbracket xxb : bbc \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xxb) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil$
 $\vdash v \Rightarrow \llbracket xxc : B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc \mid cca \rrbracket \wedge atom \ xxb \ \# \ v$
using $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(9)[OF \ AE\text{-snd}(1)]$ **by** $metis$
then have $B\text{-pair } bb \ bba = B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc$
using $f1$ **by** $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ b\text{-of.simps} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-uniqueness})$
then have $\llbracket xx : bbc \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xx) == CE\text{-snd } [v]^{ce} \rrbracket = \tau_2$
using $f1$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$
using $f2$ **by** $(meson \ ce.\text{fresh} \ fresh\text{-}GNil \ type\text{-e}\text{-eq} \ wfG\text{-}x\text{-fresh-in-v-simple})$
next
case $(AE\text{-mvar } x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(10)[OF \ AE\text{-mvar}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(10)[OF \ AE\text{-mvar}(2)] \ wfD\text{-unique}$
by $metis$
next
case $(AE\text{-len } x)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(16)[OF \ AE\text{-len}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(16)[OF \ AE\text{-len}(2)]$ **by** $force$
next
case $(AE\text{-split } x1a \ x2)$
then show $?case$ **using** $infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(22)[OF \ AE\text{-split}(1)] \ infer\text{-e}\text{-elims}(22)[OF \ AE\text{-split}(2)]$ **by** $force$
qed

14.8 Statements

method $subst\text{-}mth = (metis \ subst\text{-}g\text{-inside} \ infer\text{-e}\text{-wf} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-wf} \ infer\text{-v}\text{-wf})$

lemma $subst\text{-}infer\text{-check}\text{-}v1:$

fixes $v::v$ **and** $v'::v$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$

assumes $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b_1, c0[z0::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \# \Gamma_2)$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau_2$ **and**

$\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \llbracket z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \rrbracket$ **and** $atom \ z0 \ \# \ (x, v)$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-g-inside check-v-wf assms subst-infer-check-v by metis*

method *subst-tuple-mth* **uses** $\text{add} = ($
 $(\text{unfold fresh-prodN}), (\text{simp add: add})+,$
 $(\text{rule,metis fresh-z-subst-g add fresh-Pair}),$
 $(\text{metis fresh-subst-dv add fresh-Pair}))$

thm *subst-valid-simple*

lemma *infer-v-c-valid*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$
shows $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle$
proof –
obtain $z1$ **and** $b1$ **and** $c1$ **where** $*:\tau = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \wedge \text{atom } z1 \# (c,v,\Gamma)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z*
by *metis*
then have $b1 = b$ **using** *assms subtype-eq-base by metis*
moreover then have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}$ **using** *assms ** **by** *auto*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv}$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b, c1[z1::=[z1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_v$
using *subtype-valid[OF assms(2), of z1 z1 b c1 z c] * fresh-prodN (b1 = b) by metis*
moreover have $c1[z1::=[z1]^v]_v = c1$ **using** *subst-v-v-def by simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *subst-v-c-def by metis*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** ** fresh-prodN subst-valid-simple by metis*
qed

Substitution Lemma for Statements

lemma *subst-infer-check-s*:
fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and**
 $\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **and**
 $\text{atom } z \# (x, v)$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau' \implies$
 $\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v' \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau' \implies$
 $\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ;$
 $\text{tid} ; \text{cons} ; \text{const} ; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash cs[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
and
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v' \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau' \implies$
 $\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)) \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; \text{tid} ; \text{dclist} ; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash$
 $\text{subst-branchlv } css \ x \ v \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms proof(nominal-induct τ' and τ' and τ' avoiding: $x \ v$ arbitrary: Γ_2 and Γ_2 and Γ_2*
rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
case (*check-valI $\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ \tau' \ \tau'$*)

```

have sg:  $\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1$  using check-valI by subst-mth
thm wf-subst(12)
have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash (AS\text{-}val (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$  proof
  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-v-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps check-valI by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \rangle$  using wf-subst(15) check-valI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-valI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$  proof(subst sg, rule subst-infer-v)
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  using check-valI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau'$  using check-valI by metis
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z: b \mid c \}$  using check-valI by auto
    show  $atom\ z \# (x, v)$  using check-valI by auto
  qed
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \rangle$  using subst-subtype-tau check-valI sg by
metis
  qed

thus ?case using Typing.check-valI subst-sv.simps sg by auto
next
case (check-letI xa  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta ea \tau a za sa ba ca$ )
have  $*(AS\text{-}let\ xa\ ea\ sa[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-}let\ xa\ (ea[x::=v]_{ev})\ sa[x::=v]_{sv})$ 
  using subst-sv.simps  $\langle atom\ xa \# x \rangle \langle atom\ xa \# v \rangle$  by auto
show ?case unfolding  $*$  proof

  show  $atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, ea[x::=v]_{ev}, \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v})$ 
  by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-letI)

  show  $atom\ za \# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}, ea[x::=v]_{ev},$ 
     $\tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}, sa[x::=v]_{sv})$ 
  by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-letI)

  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash$ 
     $ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca[x::=v]_{cv} \}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash$ 
       $ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca \} [x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
    using check-letI subst-infer-e by metis
    thus ?thesis using check-letI subst-tv.simps
    by (metis fresh-prod2I infer-e-wf subst-g-inside-simple)
  qed

  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, ba, ca[x::=v]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ;$ 
     $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ;$ 
       $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau_v}$ 
    apply(rule check-letI(23)[of ( $(xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2$ )])
    by(metis check-letI append-g.simps subst-defs) +

  moreover have  $(xa, ba, ca[x::=v]_{cv}[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} =$ 
     $((xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}$ 
  using subst-cv-commute subst-gv.simps check-letI
  by (metis ms-fresh-all(39) ms-fresh-all(49) subst-cv-commute-subst)

```



```

    ultimately show ?thesis
      using subst-defs by auto
  qed
qed
next
case (check-assertI xa  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  ca  $\tau$  s)
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show  $\langle \text{atom } xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, ca[x::=v]_{cv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}, s[x::=v]_{sv}) \rangle$ 
    by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-assertI)
  have  $xa \neq x$  using check-assertI by fastforce
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, B\text{-bool}, ca[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ 

    using check-assertI(12)[of (xa, Bbool, c)  $\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 x v$ ] check-assertI subst-gv.simps append-g.simps
  by metis
  have  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  proof(rule subst-valid )
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using infer-v-c-valid check-assertI by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle$  using check-assertI infer-v-wf b-of.simps subtype-eq-base
      by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI infer-v-wf by metis
    have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1$  using check-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
    thus  $\langle \text{atom } x \# \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI wfG-suffix wfG-elim by metis

  moreover have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}$  using subtype-wfT check-assertI by metis

  moreover have  $x \neq z$  using fresh-Pair check-assertI fresh-x-neq by metis
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{atom } x \# c \rangle$  using check-assertI wfT-fresh-c by metis

  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$  using check-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \models ca \rangle$  using check-assertI by auto
  qed
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  using check-assertI
  proof –
    show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \Gamma = \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models ca \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle$  subst-g-inside valid-g-wf)
  qed

  have  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b$  using infer-v-wf b-of.simps check-assertI
  by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wf-subst2(6) check-assertI by metis
  qed
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist vv cs  $\tau$  css)
show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-consI by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-finalI by simp
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau$  const xa  $\Phi$  tid cons va sa)
hence  $*(AS\text{-branch cons xa sa})[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-branch cons xa sa}[x::=v]_{sv})$  using subst-branchv.simps
fresh-Pair by metis

```

show ?case unfolding * proof

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}$
using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf **by** metis

show $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI **by** metis

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf **by** metis

show wft: $\Theta ; \{|\}\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} const$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI **by** metis

show atom $xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, tid, cons, const, va[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
apply(unfold fresh-prodN, (simp add: check-branch-s-branchI)+)
apply(rule,metis fresh-z-subst-g check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)
by(metis fresh-subst-dv check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using check-branch-s-branchI **by** (metis append-g.simps(2))

moreover have $(xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va[x::=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} =$
 $((xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$

proof –

have $*:xa \neq x$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base **by** metis

have atom $x \# const$ **using** wfT-nil-suppl[OF wft] fresh-def **by** auto

hence atom $x \# (const, xa)$ **using** fresh-at-base wfT-nil-suppl[OF wft] fresh-Pair fresh-def * **by** auto

moreover hence $(c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa)[x::=v]_{cv} = c\text{-of } (const) \text{ } xa$

using c-of-fresh[of x const xa] forget-subst-cv wfT-nil-suppl wft **by** metis

moreover hence $(V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa))[x::=v]_{vv} = (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa))$ **using** check-branch-s-branchI subst-vv.simps * **by** metis

ultimately show ?thesis **using** subst-gv.simps check-branch-s-branchI subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps * **by** presburger
qed

ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } va[x::=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \text{ cons } (V\text{-var } xa)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } const \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
by metis
qed

next

case (check-let2I $xa \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau a s2$)

hence $*(AS\text{-let2 } xa \ t \ s1 \ s2)[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-let2 } xa \ t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ (s1[x::=v]_{sv} \ s2[x::=v]_{sv}))$ **using** subst-sv.simps fresh-Pair **by** metis

have $xa \neq x$ **using** check-let2I fresh-at-base **by** metis

show ?case unfolding * proof

show atom $xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, s1[x::=v]_{sv}, \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-let2I)

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** check-let2I **by** metis

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule check-let2I(14)*)
show $\langle (xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G = (((xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2)) @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle$
using *check-let2I append-g.simps by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \mathbb{I} z : b \mid c \mathbb{I} \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (x, v) \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I by metis*
qed
moreover **have** $c\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ } xa = (c\text{-of } t \text{ } xa)[x::=v]_{cv}$ **using** *subst-v-c-of fresh-Pair check-let2I*
by *metis*
moreover **have** $b\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} = b\text{-of } t$ **using** *b-of.simps subst-tv.simps b-of-subst by metis*
ultimately **show** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (xa, b\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-of } t[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ } xa) \#_{\Gamma} G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using *check-let2I(14) subst-gv.simps $\langle xa \neq x \rangle$ b-of.simps by metis*
qed
next
case (*check-varI* $u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' va \tau'' s$)
have $** : \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1$ **using** *subst-g-inside check-s-wf check-varI by meson*
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{subst-gv } \Gamma \text{ } x \text{ } v ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS\text{-var } u \text{ } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} (va[x::=v]_{vv}) (\text{subst-sv } s \text{ } x \text{ } v) \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule Typing.check-varI*)
show $\text{atom } u \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}, va[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v})$
by(*subst-tuple-mth add: check-varI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash va[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *check-varI subst-infer-check-v ** by metis*
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{subst-gv } \Gamma \text{ } x \text{ } v ; (u, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof –
have $\text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta)$ **using** *check-varI check-s-wf by meson*
moreover **have** $\text{wfV } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma_1 \text{ } v (b\text{-of } \tau)$ **using** *infer-v-wf check-varI(6) check-varI by metis*
have $\text{wfD } \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) ((u, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v})$ **proof**(*subst subst-dv.simps(2)[symmetric], subst **, rule wfD-subst*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *check-varI by auto*
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ **using** *check-varI check-s-wf by simp*
show $b\text{-of } \tau = b$ **using** *check-varI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *check-varI by auto*
qed
moreover **have** $\text{atom } u \# (x, v)$ **using** *u-fresh-xv by auto*
ultimately **show** *?case* **using** *subst-sv.simps(7) by auto*
next
case (*check-assignI* $P \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau_1 \text{ } v' \text{ } z1 \text{ } \tau'$)
have $\text{wfG } P \mathcal{B} \Gamma$ **using** *check-v-wf check-assignI by simp*
hence $gs : \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 = \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$ **using** *subst-g-inside check-assignI by simp*

have $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AS\text{-assign } u \ (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule Typing.check-assignI*)
show $P \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
show $wfD \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}) \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** $wf\text{-subst}(15)[OF \ check\text{-assignI}(2)] \ gs \ infer\text{-v-v-wf}$
check-assignI b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 **by** *metis*
thus $(u, \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v}$ **using** *check-assignI subst-dv-member* **by** *metis*
thus $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *subst-infer-check-v check-assignI* *gs*
by *metis*

have $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof**(*rule subst-subtype-tau*)
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *meson*
show $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'$ **using** *check-assignI*
by (*metis Abs1-eq-iff*(3) *τ.eq-iff* *c.fresh*(1) *c.perm-simps*(1))
show $atom \ z \ \# \ (x, v)$ **using** *check-assignI* **by** *auto*
qed
moreover **have** $\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} = \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}$ **using** *subst-tv.simps*
subst-cv.simps check-assignI **by** *presburger*
ultimately **show** $P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *gs* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **using** *subst-sv.simps*(5) **by** *auto*

next

case (*check-whileI* $\Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ s1 \ z' \ s2 \ \tau'$)
have $wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1)$ **using** *check-whileI check-s-wf* **by** *meson*
hence $** : \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1$ **using** *subst-g-inside wf check-whileI* **by** *auto*
have $teq : (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}) = (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **by**(*auto simp add: subst-sv.simps check-whileI*)
moreover **have** $(\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}) = (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **using** *type-eq-flip c.fresh flip-fresh-fresh* **by** *metis*
ultimately **have** $teq2 : (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}) = (\{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})$ **by** *metis*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}$ **using** *check-whileI*
subst-sv.simps subst-top-eq **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}$ **using** *check-whileI subst-top-eq* **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ **proof**(*rule subst-subtype-tau*)

show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)
show $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z' : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'$ **using** *check-whileI*
by *metis*

show $atom \ z \ \# \ (x, v)$ **by**(*auto simp add: check-whileI*)

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *teq2 *** **by** *auto*

qed

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash AS\text{-while } s1[x::=v]_{sv} \ s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

```

    using Typing.check-whileI by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
next
case (check-seqI P Φ B Γ Δ s1 z s2 τ)
  hence P ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢ AS-seq (s1[x::=v]sv) (s2[x::=v]sv) ⇐ τ[x::=v]τv
using Typing.check-seqI subst-top-eq check-seqI by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
next
case (check-caseI Θ Φ B Γ Δ tid dclist v' cs τ za)

  have wf: wfG Θ B Γ using check-caseI check-v-wf by simp
  have **: Γ[x::=v]Γv = Γ2[x::=v]Γv@Γ1 using subst-g-inside wf check-caseI by auto

  have Θ ; Φ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ; Δ[x::=v]Δv ⊢ AS-match (v'[x::=v]vv) (subst-branchlv cs x v) ⇐
τ[x::=v]τv proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
    show check-branch-list Θ Φ B (Γ[x::=v]Γv) Δ[x::=v]Δv tid dclist v'[x::=v]vv (subst-branchlv cs x v)
) (τ[x::=v]τv) using check-caseI by auto
    show AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ using check-caseI by auto
    show Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢ v'[x::=v]vv ⇐ { za : B-id tid | TRUE } proof -
      have Θ ; B ; Γ2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]vcv]) #Γ Γ1 ⊢ v' ⇐ { za : B-id tid | TRUE }
      using check-caseI by argo
      hence Θ ; B ; Γ2[x::=v]Γv @ Γ1 ⊢ v'[x::=v]vv ⇐ ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv
      using check-caseI subst-infer-check-v[OF check-caseI(7) - check-caseI(8) check-caseI(9)] by
meson
    moreover have ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv = ({ za : B-id tid | TRUE })[x::=v]τv
      using subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps subst-cv-true by fast
    ultimately show ?thesis using check-caseI ** by argo
  qed
  show wfTh Θ using check-caseI by auto
qed
thus ?case using subst-branchlv.simps subst-sv.simps(4) by metis
next
case (check-ifI z' Θ Φ B Γ Δ va s1 s2 τ')
show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
  show ⟨atom z' # (Θ, Φ, B, Γ[x::=v]Γv, Δ[x::=v]Δv, va[x::=v]vv, s1[x::=v]sv, s2[x::=v]sv, τ'[x::=v]τv)⟩

    by(subst-tuple-mth add: check-ifI)
  have *: { z' : B-bool | TRUE }[x::=v]τv = { z' : B-bool | TRUE } using subst-tv.simps check-ifI
    by (metis freshers(19) subst-cv.simps(1) type-eq-subst)
  have **: Γ[x::=v]Γv = Γ2[x::=v]Γv@Γ1 using subst-g-inside wf check-ifI check-v-wf by metis
  show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ[x::=v]Γv ⊢ va[x::=v]vv ⇐ { z' : B-bool | TRUE }⟩
  proof(subst *[symmetric], rule subst-infer-check-vI[where Γ1=Γ2 and Γ2=Γ1])
    show Γ = Γ2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]vcv]) #Γ Γ1) using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 ⊢ v ⇒ τ⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ ⊢ va ⇐ { z' : B-bool | TRUE }⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨Θ ; B ; Γ1 ⊢ τ ≲ { z : b | c }⟩ using check-ifI by metis
    show ⟨atom z # (x, v)⟩ using check-ifI by metis
  qed

  have { z' : b-of τ'[x::=v]τv | [ va[x::=v]vv ]ce } == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ'[x::=v]τv z' }
= { z' : b-of τ' | [ va ]ce } == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' z' }[x::=v]τv
    by(simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-ifI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of)

```

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 $]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
using *check-letI* **by** *metis*
have $\llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
 $= \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau' \mid [va]^{ce} \rrbracket == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau' z' \rrbracket [x::=v]_{\tau v}$
by(*simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-letI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of*)
thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \rrbracket$
 $]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} z' \rrbracket$
using *check-letI* **by** *metis*
qed
qed

lemma *subst-check-check-s*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma_1::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma_2::\Gamma$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\text{atom } z \nmid (x, v)$

and $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ s \ \tau'$ **and** $\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1))$

shows $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} (\text{subst-gv } \Gamma \ x \ v) \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \ (s[x::=v]_{sv}) \ (\text{subst-tv } \tau' \ x \ v)$

proof –

obtain τ **where** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *check-v-elim* *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *subst-infer-check-s* *assms* **by** *metis*

qed

If a statement checks against a type τ then it checks against a supertype of τ

lemma *check-s-supertype*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $c::c$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $\Gamma::\Gamma$ **and** $\Gamma'::\Gamma$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$

shows $\text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ s \ t1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Rightarrow \text{check-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ s \ t2$ **and**

$\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{cons } v \ cs \ t1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Rightarrow \text{check-branch-s } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{cons } v \ cs \ t2$ **and**

$\text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{dclist } v \ css \ t1 \Rightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Rightarrow \text{check-branch-list } \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta \ \text{tid } \text{dclist } v \ css \ t2$

proof(*induct arbitrary: t2 and t2 rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts*)

case (*check-valI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$)

hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim t2$ **using** *subtype-trans* **by** *meson*

then show *?case* **using** *subtype-trans* *Typing.check-valI* *check-valI* **by** *metis*

next

case (*check-letI* $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$)

show *?case* **proof**(*rule* *Typing.check-letI*)

show $\text{atom } x \nmid (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2)$ **using** *check-letI* *subtype-fresh-tau* *fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

thm *subtype-fresh-tau*

show $\text{atom } z \nmid (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2, s)$ **using** *check-letI(2)* *subtype-fresh-tau[of z \tau \Gamma - - t2]* *fresh-prodN* *check-letI(6)* **by** *auto*

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **using** *check-letI* **by** *meson*

have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **using** *check-letI* *check-s-wf* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*

moreover have $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$ **using** *subtype-weakening[OF check-letI(6)]* **by** *auto*

thus $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$ **using** *check-letI* *subst-defs* **by** *metis*

qed

next

next

case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid} \text{dclist} v \text{cs} \tau \text{css}$)
 then show ?case using *Typing.check-branch-list-consI* by auto

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid} \text{dclist} v \text{cs} \tau$)
 then show ?case using *Typing.check-branch-list-finalI* by auto

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau \text{const} x \Phi \text{tid} \text{cons} v s$)
 show ?case proof
 have $\text{atom } x \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[*of* $x \tau$] *check-branch-s-branchI*(5,8) *fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 thus $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \text{tid}, \text{cons}, \text{const}, v, t2)$ using *check-branch-s-branchI* *fresh-prodN* by *metis*
 show $\text{wfT } \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t2$ using *subtype-wf* *check-branch-s-branchI* by *meson*
 show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$ proof –
 have $\text{wfG } \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ using *check-s-wf* *check-branch-s-branchI* by *metis*
 moreover have $\text{setG } \Gamma \subseteq \text{setG } ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ by auto
 hence $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b\text{-of } \text{const}, \text{CE-val } v == \text{CE-val}(V\text{-cons } \text{tid } \text{cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of } \text{const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$
 using *check-branch-s-branchI* *subtype-weakening*
 using *calculation* by *presburger*
 thus ?thesis using *check-branch-s-branchI* by *presburger*
 qed
 qed(*auto simp add: check-branch-s-branchI*)

next

case (*check-ifI* $z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$)
 show ?case proof(*rule Typing.check-ifI*)
 have $\text{atom } z \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[*of* $z \tau \Gamma$] *check-ifI* *fresh-prodN* by auto
 thus $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, s1, s2, t2) \rangle$ using *check-ifI* *fresh-prodN* by auto
 show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket \rangle$ using *check-ifI* by auto
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t2 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t2 z \rrbracket \rangle$
 using *check-ifI* *subtype-if1* *fresh-prodN* *base-for-lit.simps* *b-of.simps* * *check-v-wf* by *metis*

 show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } t2 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-false}]^v]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t2 z \rrbracket \rangle$
 using *check-ifI* *subtype-if1* *fresh-prodN* *base-for-lit.simps* *b-of.simps* * *check-v-wf* by *metis*
 qed

next

case (*check-assertI* $x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s$)
 thm *subtype-fresh-tau*[*where* ?*t1*.0= τ and ?*x*= x]
 show ?case proof
 have $\text{atom } x \# t2$ using *subtype-fresh-tau*[*OF* - - $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2 \rangle$] *check-assertI* *fresh-prodN*
 by *simp*
 thus $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, t2, s)$ using *subtype-fresh-tau* *check-assertI* *fresh-prodN* by *simp*
 have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$ apply(*rule subtype-weakening*)

```

    using check-assertI apply simp
    using setG.simps apply blast
    using check-assertI check-s-wf by simp
    thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2$  using check-assertI by simp
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c$  using check-assertI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using check-assertI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-let2I  $x P \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$ )
  have wfG  $P \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G)$ 
    using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis
  moreover have  $setG G \subseteq setG ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G)$  by auto
  ultimately have  $*:P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash \tau \lesssim t2$  using check-let2I subtype-weakening
by metis
show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-let2I)
  have atom  $x \# t2$  using subtype-fresh-tau[of  $x \tau$ ] check-let2I fresh-prodN by metis
  thus atom  $x \# (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, t2)$  using check-let2I fresh-prodN by metis
  show  $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t$  using check-let2I by blast
  show  $P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow t2$  using check-let2I * by blast
qed
next
  case (check-varI  $u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-varI)
    have atom  $u \# t2$  using u-fresh-t by auto
    thus  $\langle atom u \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, t2) \rangle$  using check-varI fresh-prodN by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$  using check-varI by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2 \rangle$  using check-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Delta u \tau P G v z \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by (meson subtype-trans)
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI by (meson subtype-trans)
next
  case (check-seqI  $\Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-seqI by blast
next
  case (check-caseI  $\Delta \Gamma \Theta tid cs \tau v z$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.check-caseI subtype-trans by meson
qed

lemma subtype-let:
  fixes  $s'::s$  and  $cs::branch\text{-}s$  and  $css::branch\text{-}list$  and  $v::v$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let x e_1 s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \tau_2 \lesssim \tau_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let$ 
 $x e_2 s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
    check-branch-s  $\Theta \Phi \{||\} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True$  and
    check-branch-list  $\Theta \Phi \{||\} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v css \tau \Longrightarrow True$ 
proof(nominal-induct  $GNil \Delta AS\text{-}let x e_1 s \tau$  and  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  avoiding:  $e_2 \tau_1 \tau_2$ )

```



```

    rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-letI x1  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Delta$   $\tau_1$  z1 s1 b1 c1)
  obtain z2 and b2 and c2 where t2: $\tau_2$  =  $\llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# (x1, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1, s1)$ 
  using obtain-fresh-z by metis

  obtain z1a and b1a and c1a where t1: $\tau_1$  =  $\llbracket z1a : b1a \mid c1a \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z1a \# x1$  using
  infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis
  hence t3:  $\llbracket z1a : b1a \mid c1a \rrbracket = \llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket$  using infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis

  have beq: b1a = b2  $\wedge$  b2 = b1 using check-letI subtype-eq-base t1 t2 t3 by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash \text{AS-let } x1 \ e_2 \ s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1$  proof
  show  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1) \rangle$  using check-letI t2 fresh-prodN by metis
  show  $\langle \text{atom } z2 \# (x1, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \text{GNil}, \Delta, e_2, \tau_1, s1) \rangle$  using check-letI t2 using check-letI t2
  fresh-prodN by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-letI t2 by metis

  have  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} @ (x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$ 
  proof(rule ctx-subtype-s)
  have c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv} = c1[z1::=[x1]^v]_{cv} using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-c-def t3  $\tau$ .eq-iff
  by metis
  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} @ (x1, b2, c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  using check-letI
  beq append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \text{GNil} \vdash \llbracket z2 : b2 \mid c2 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket z1a : b2 \mid c1a \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-letI beq t1 t2 by metis
  have  $\text{atom } x1 \# c2$  using t2 check-letI  $\tau$ -fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
  moreover have  $\text{atom } x1 \# c1a$  using t1 check-letI  $\tau$ -fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{atom } x1 \# (z1a, z2, c1a, c2) \rangle$  using t1 t2 fresh-prodN fresh-x-neq by metis
  qed

  thus  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  using append-g.simps
  subst-defs by metis
  qed

  moreover have  $\text{AS-let } x1 \ e_2 \ s1 = \text{AS-let } x \ e_2 \ s$  using check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by
  metis

  ultimately show ?case by metis

qed(auto+)
end

```

Chapter 15

Base Type Variable Substitution Lemmas

```
lemma subst-vv-subst-bb-commute:
  fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
  assumes atom bv  $\#$  v
  shows  $(v'[x::=v]_{vv})[bv::=b]_{vb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{vb})[x::=v]_{vv}$ 
  using assms proof (nominal-induct v' rule: v.strong-induct)
    case (V-lit x)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-var y)
    hence  $v[bv::=b]_{vb} = v$  using forget-subst subst-b-v-def by metis
    then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps(2) subst-vv.simps(2) using V-var by auto
  next
    case (V-pair x1a x2a)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
  next
    case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
    then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
qed

lemma subst-cev-subst-bb-commute:
  fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
  assumes atom bv  $\#$  v
  shows  $(ce[x::=v]_v)[bv::=b]_{ceb} = (ce[bv::=b]_{ceb})[x::=v]_v$ 
  using assms apply (nominal-induct ce rule: ce.strong-induct, (simp add: subst-vv-subst-bb-commute
    subst-ceb.simps subst-cv.simps))
  using assms subst-vv-subst-bb-commute subst-ceb.simps subst-cv.simps
  apply (simp add: subst-v-ce-def)+
  done

lemma subst-cv-subst-bb-commute:
```

fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $atom\ bv \ \# \ v$
shows $c[x::=v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb} = (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=v]_{cv}$
using $assms$ **apply** ($nominal-induct\ c\ rule: c.strong-induct$)
using $assms\ subst-vv-subst-bb-commute\ subst-ceb.simps\ subst-cv.simps$
 $subst-v-c-def\ subst-b-c-def$ **apply** $auto$
using $subst-cev-subst-bb-commute\ subst-v-ce-def$ **apply** $auto+$
done

thm $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute$

lemma $subst-b-c-of$:
 $(c-of\ \tau\ z)[bv::=b]_{cb} = c-of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})\ z$
proof($nominal-induct\ \tau\ avoiding: z\ rule:\tau.strong-induct$)
case ($T-refined-type\ z'\ b'\ c'$)
moreover **have** $atom\ bv \ \# \ [z]^\nu$ **using** $fresh-at-base\ v.fresh$ **by** $auto$
ultimately show $?case$ **using** $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of\ bv\ V-var\ z\ c'\ z'\ b]$ $c-of.simps\ subst-tb.simps$
by $metis$
qed

lemma $subst-b-b-of$:
 $(b-of\ \tau)[bv::=b]_{bb} = b-of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
by($nominal-induct\ \tau\ rule:\tau.strong-induct, simps\ add: b-of.simps\ subst-tb.simps$)

lemma $subst-b-if$:
 $\{z : b-of\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid CE-val\ (v[bv::=b]_{vb})\} == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll)\ IMP\ c-of\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}\ z \} =$
 $\{z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ (v)\} == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll)\ IMP\ c-of\ \tau\ z \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
unfolding $subst-tb.simps\ subst-cb.simps\ subst-ceb.simps\ subst-vb.simps$ **using** $subst-b-b-of\ subst-b-c-of$
by $auto$

lemma $subst-b-top-eq$:
 $\{z : B-unit \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \{z : B-unit \mid TRUE\}$ **and** $\{z : B-bool \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} =$
 $\{z : B-bool \mid TRUE\}$ **and** $\{z : B-id\ tid \mid TRUE\} = \{z : B-id\ tid \mid TRUE\} [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
unfolding $subst-tb.simps\ subst-bb.simps\ subst-cb.simps$ **by** $auto$

lemmas $subst-b-eq = subst-b-c-of\ subst-b-b-of\ subst-b-if\ subst-b-top-eq$

lemma $subst-cx-subst-bb-commute[simp]$:
fixes $bv::bv$ **and** $b::b$ **and** $x::x$ **and** $v':c$
shows $(v'[x::=V-var\ y]_{cv})[bv::=b]_{cb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=V-var\ y]_{cv}$
using $subst-cv-subst-bb-commute\ fresh-at-base\ v.fresh$ **by** $auto$

lemma $subst-b-infer-b$:
fixes $l::l$ **and** $b::b$
assumes $\vdash l \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$ **and** $B = \{|bv|\}$
shows $\vdash l \Rightarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
using $assms\ infer-l-form3\ infer-l-form4\ wf-b-subst\ infer-l-wf\ subst-tb.simps\ base-for-lit.simps\ subst-tb.simps$
 $subst-b-base-for-lit\ subst-cb.simps(6)\ subst-ceb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(2)\ type-l-eq$
by $metis$

```

lemma subst-b-subtype:
  fixes s::s and b'::b
  assumes  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2$  and  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b'$  and  $B = \{|bv|\}$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim \tau 2[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $\{|bv|\} \Gamma \tau 1 \tau 2$  rule:subtype.strong-induct)
  case (subtype-baseI x  $\Theta \Gamma z c z' c' b$ )

  hence **:  $\Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z':=V-var x]_{cv}$  using validI subst-defs
  by metis

  thm Typing.subtype-baseI
  have  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \{z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb}\} \lesssim \{z' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
  by proof(rule Typing.subtype-baseI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \{z : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
    using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
  show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \{z' : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv::=b]_{cb}\}$ 
    using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps by metis
  show atom x  $\#(\Theta, \{|\}\vdash bv \text{ fset}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, z, c[bv::=b]_{cb}, z', c'[bv::=b]_{cb})$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: * fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset)
    using subst-b-fresh-x * fresh-prodN (atom x  $\# c$ ) (atom x  $\# c'$ ) subst-defs subtype-baseI by metis+
  have  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[z::=V-var x]_v[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c'[z':=V-var$ 
 $x]_v[bv::=b]_{cb}$ 
    using ** subst-b-valid subst-gb.simps assms subtype-baseI by metis
  thus  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash (x, b[bv::=b]_{bb}, (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models (c'[bv::=b]_{cb})[z':=V-var$ 
 $x]_v$ 
    using subst-defs subst-cv-subst-bb-commute by (metis subst-cx-subst-bb-commute)
  qed
  thus ?case using subtype-baseI subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
qed

```

```

lemma subst-b-infer-v:
  fixes v::v and b::b
  assumes  $\Theta ; B ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau$  and  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$  and  $B = \{|bv|\}$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b rule:infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b' c x z$ )
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
    show  $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using infer-v-varI wf-b-subst by metis
    show Some (b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) = lookup  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} x$  using subst-b-lookup infer-v-varI by
    metis
    show atom z  $\# x$  using infer-v-varI by auto
    show atom z  $\# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using infer-v-varI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b- $\Gamma$ -def by metis
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-litI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l \tau$ )
  then show ?case using Typing.infer-v-litI subst-b-infer-b
    using wf-b-subst1(3) by auto
next
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2  $\Gamma \Theta \mathcal{B} z1 b1 c1 z2 b2 c2$ )

```

```

show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps apply(rule Typing.infer-v-pairI)
  apply(simp add: subst-b-fresh-x infer-v-pairI)+
proof(goal-cases)
show ⟨Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v1[bv::=b]vb ⇒ ⟨z1 : b1[bv::=b]bb | c1[bv::=b]cb ⟩ using subst-tb.simps
infer-v-pairI by metis
show ⟨Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v2[bv::=b]vb ⇒ ⟨z2 : b2[bv::=b]bb | c2[bv::=b]cb ⟩ using subst-tb.simps
infer-v-pairI by metis
qed

```

next

```

case (infer-v-consI s dclist Θ dc x b' c B Γ v z' c' z)
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof

```

```

show AF-typedef s dclist ∈ set Θ using infer-v-consI by auto
show (dc, ⟨x : b' | c⟩) ∈ set dclist using infer-v-consI by auto
have ⊢wf Θ using infer-v-consI wfX-wfY infer-v-wf by metis
hence **:supp ⟨x : b' | c⟩ = {} using wfTh-wfT wfT-nil-supp infer-v-consI by metis
hence atom bv ≠ b' using infer-v-consI wfTh-wfT τ.fresh fresh-def wfT-supp τ.supp by fastforce
hence *: b'[bv::=b]bb = b' using forget-subst[of bv b' b] subst-b-b-def by simp

```

```

hence teq2: ⟨x : b' | c⟩[bv::=b]τb = ⟨x : b' | c⟩ using forget-subst subst-b-τ-def fresh-def **
  by (metis empty-iff)
thus Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇒ ⟨z' : b' | c'[bv::=b]cb⟩ using infer-v-consI *
subst-tb.simps by metis
show Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ ⟨z' : b' | c'[bv::=b]cb⟩ ≲ ⟨x : b' | c⟩
  using * teq2 subst-b-subtype subst-tb.simps
  by (metis infer-v-consI.hyps(5) infer-v-consI.prem(1) infer-v-consI.prem(2))

```

```

show atom z ≠ v[bv::=b]vb using infer-v-consI using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def by metis
show atom z ≠ Γ[bv::=b]Γb using infer-v-consI subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto

```

qed

next

```

case (infer-v-conspI s bv2 dclist2 Θ dc tc B Γ v tv ba z)
thm Typing.infer-v-conspI
have Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ V-consp s dc (ba[bv::=b]bb) (v[bv::=b]vb) ⇒ ⟨z : B-app s (ba[bv::=b]bb)
| [ [ z ]v ]ce == [ V-consp s dc (ba[bv::=b]bb) (v[bv::=b]vb) ]ce ⟩
proof(rule Typing.infer-v-conspI)

```

```

show AF-typedef-poly s bv2 dclist2 ∈ set Θ using infer-v-conspI by auto
show (dc, tc) ∈ set dclist2 using infer-v-conspI by auto
show Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇒ tv[bv::=b]τb
  using infer-v-conspI subst-tb.simps by metis
find-theorems fresh
show Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ tv[bv::=b]τb ≲ tc[bv2::=ba[bv::=b]bb]τb proof -
  have supp tc ⊆ { atom bv2 } using infer-v-conspI wfTh-poly-lookup-supp wfX-wfY by metis
  moreover have bv2 ≠ bv using ⟨atom bv2 ≠ B⟩ ⟨B = {bv}⟩ fresh-at-base fresh-def
    using fresh-finsert by fastforce
  ultimately have atom bv ≠ tc unfolding fresh-def by auto
  hence tc[bv2::=ba[bv::=b]bb]τb = tc[bv2::=ba]τb[bv::=b]τb
    using subst-tb-commute by metis
  moreover have Θ; {||}; Γ[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ tv[bv::=b]τb ≲ tc[bv2::=ba]τb[bv::=b]τb

```

```

    using infer-v-conspI(7) subst-b-subtype infer-v-conspI by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

show atom z # (Θ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, v[bv::=b]vb, ba[bv::=b]bb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
  using ⟨atom z # Γ⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-Γ-def x-fresh-b apply metis
  using ⟨atom z # v⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def x-fresh-b by metis
show atom bv2 # (Θ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, v[bv::=b]vb, ba[bv::=b]bb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # Γ⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-Γ-def apply metis
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # v⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def apply metis
  using ⟨atom bv2 # b⟩ ⟨atom bv2 # ba⟩ fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def by metis
show Θ ; {||} ⊢wf ba[bv::=b]bb
  using infer-v-conspI wf-b-subst by metis
qed
thus ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-tb.simps subst-bb.simps by simp

qed

lemma subst-b-check-v:
  fixes v::v and b::b
  assumes Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⇐ τ and Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = {|bv|}
  shows Θ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ⊢ v[bv::=b]vb ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb)
proof -
  obtain τ' where Θ ; B ; G ⊢ v ⇒ τ' ∧ Θ ; B ; G ⊢ τ' ≲ τ using check-v-elim[OF assms(1)] by
metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-b-subtype subst-b-infer-v assms
    by (metis (no-types) check-v-subtypeI subst-b-infer-v subst-b-subtype)
qed

lemma subst-vv-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (v'[bv::=b]vb)[x::=v[bv::=b]vb]vv = v'[x::=v]vv[bv::=b]vb
proof(nominal-induct v' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-pair x1a x2a)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
next
  case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
next
  case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh pure-fresh
    by (metis forget-subst subst-b-b-def)
qed

lemma subst-cev-subst-vb-switch:

```

shows $(ce[bv ::= b]_{ceb})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{cev} = (ce[x ::= v]_{cev})[bv ::= b]_{ceb}$
by(*nominal-induct ce rule:ce.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-vv-subst-vb-switch ce.fresh*)

lemma *subst-cv-subst-vb-switch:*

shows $(c[bv ::= b]_{cb})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x ::= v]_{cv}[bv ::= b]_{cb}$
by(*nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cev-subst-vb-switch c.fresh*)

lemma *subst-tv-subst-vb-switch:*

shows $(\tau[bv ::= b]_{\tau b})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau[x ::= v]_{\tau v}[bv ::= b]_{\tau b}$
proof(*nominal-induct τ avoiding: x v rule: τ .strong-induct*)
case (*T-refined-type z b c*)
hence *ceq*: $(c[bv ::= b]_{cb})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x ::= v]_{cv}[bv ::= b]_{cb}$ **using** *subst-cv-subst-vb-switch by auto*

moreover have *atom z* $\#$ $v[bv ::= b]_{vb}$ **using** *x-fresh-b fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def T-refined-type by metis*

hence $\{ z : b \mid c \} [bv ::= b]_{\tau b} [x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \{ z : b[bv ::= b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv ::= b]_{cb})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{cv} \}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps T-refined-type fresh-Pair by metis*

moreover have $\{ z : b[bv ::= b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv ::= b]_{cb})[x ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{cv} \} = \{ z : b \mid c[x ::= v]_{cv} [bv ::= b]_{\tau b} \}$
using *subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps ceq τ .fresh forget-subst[of bv b b] subst-b-b-def T-refined-type by metis*

ultimately show *?case using subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps ceq T-refined-type by auto*
qed

lemma *subst-tb-triple:*

assumes *atom bv* $\#$ τ'
shows $\tau'[bv' ::= b[bv ::= b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} [x' ::= v'[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv' ::= b]_{\tau b} [x' ::= v]_{\tau v} [bv ::= b]_{\tau b}$
proof –
have $\tau'[bv' ::= b[bv ::= b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} [x' ::= v'[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv' ::= b]_{\tau b} [bv ::= b]_{\tau b} [x' ::= v[bv ::= b]_{vb}]_{\tau v}$
using *subst-tb-commute (atom bv $\#$ τ') by auto*
also have $\dots = \tau'[bv' ::= b]_{\tau b} [x' ::= v]_{\tau v} [bv ::= b]_{\tau b}$
using *subst-tv-subst-vb-switch by auto*
finally show *?thesis using fresh-subst-if forget-subst by auto*
qed

lemma *subst-b-infer-e:*

fixes *s::s and b::b*
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; D \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta ; \{|\}\vdash_{wf} b$ **and** $B = \{|bv|\}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{|\}\vdash G[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma b} ; D[bv ::= b]_{\Delta b} \vdash (e[bv ::= b]_{eb}) \Rightarrow (\tau[bv ::= b]_{\tau b})$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b rule: infer-e.strong-induct)*
case (*infer-e-valI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau$*)
thus *?case using subst-eb.simps infer-e.intros wf-b-subst subst-db.simps wf-b-subst infer-v-wf subst-b-infer-v*
by (*metis forget-subst ms-fresh-all(1) wfV-b-fresh*)
next
case (*infer-e-plusI $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$*)

```

thm wf-b-subst(15)
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps subst-eb.simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-plusI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-plusI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-plusI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-op Plus } (v1[bv ::= b]_{vb}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{vb})$  using subst-b-simps infer-e-plusI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-plusI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-leqI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-leqI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-leqI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-leqI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-int} \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-int} \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{cb} \}$  using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
  show atom z3  $\# AE\text{-op LEq } (v1[bv ::= b]_{vb}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{vb})$  using subst-b-simps infer-e-leqI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-leqI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-appI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b' c \tau' s' v \tau$ )
show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-appI
wfX-wfY by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-appI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c  $\tau'$  s'))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi f$  using
infer-e-appI by auto

  have atom bv  $\# b'$  using  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-supp fresh-def[of atom bv b'] by simp
  hence  $b' = b'[bv ::= b]_{bb}$  using subst-b-simps
    using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-b-def by force
  moreover have  $ceq:c = c[bv ::= b]_{cb}$  using subst-b-simps proof –
    have atom bv  $\# c$  using infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-supp-c[OF infer-e-appI(3)  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ ] fresh-def[of
atom bv c]
    using fresh-def fresh-finsert insert-absorb insert-subset ms-fresh-all supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set
by metis
    thus ?thesis
      using forget-subst subst-b-c-def fresh-def[of atom bv c] by metis
  qed
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v[bv ::= b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ x : b' \mid c \}$  using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps
subst-vb.simps infer-e-appI
  proof –

```



```

have  $\Theta ; \{ |bv| \} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ |x : b' \mid c| \}$ 
  by (metis  $\langle \mathcal{B} = \{ |bv| \} \rangle \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ |x : b' \mid c| \} \rangle$ )
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types)  $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle \langle b' = b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle$  subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps ceq)
qed
show atom  $x \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appI by auto
have supp  $\tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$  using wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t infer-e-appI by auto
hence atom  $bv \# \tau'$  using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
  then show  $\tau'[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using infer-e-appI (6) forget-subst subst-b- $\tau$ -def
subst-tv-subst-vb-switch subst-defs by metis
qed
next
case (infer-e-appPI  $\Theta' \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta \Phi' b' f' bv' x' b1 c \tau' s' v' \tau 1$ )

have beq:  $b1[bv'::=b]_{bb}[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb}$ 
proof –
  have supp  $b1 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } bv' \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b infer-e-appPI
    using supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have  $bv \neq bv'$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
    by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
  ultimately have atom  $bv \# b1$  using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

have ceq:  $(c[bv'::=b]_{cb})[bv::=b]_{cb} = c[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{cb}$  proof –
  have supp  $c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } bv', \text{atom } x' \}$  using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c infer-e-appPI
    using supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have  $bv \neq bv'$  using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
    by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
  moreover have atom  $x' \neq \text{atom } bv$  by auto
  ultimately have atom  $bv \# c$  using fresh-def [of atom  $bv$   $c$ ] fresh-at-base by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appPI)
  show  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst subst-db.simps infer-e-appPI wfX-wfY
by metis
  show  $\Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi'$  using infer-e-appPI by auto
  show Some (AF-fundef  $f'$  (AF-fun-typ-some  $bv'$  (AF-fun-typ  $x' b1 c \tau' s'$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi' f'$ 
using infer-e-appPI by auto
  thus  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ |x' : b1[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \mid c[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b \}$ 
  using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-appPI
proof –
  have  $\Theta' ; \{ | \} ; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ |x' : b1[bv'::=b]_b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv'::=b]_b)[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$ 
  using infer-e-appPI subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps by metis
  thus ?thesis using beq ceq subst-defs by metis
qed
show atom  $x' \# \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appPI by auto
show  $\tau'[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b[x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  proof –

```

have $\text{supp } \tau' \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x', \text{atom } bv' \}$ **using** *wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t infer-e-appPI* **by** *auto*
moreover **hence** $bv \neq bv'$ **using** *infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base*
by (*simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base*)
ultimately **have** $\text{atom } bv \# \tau'$ **using** *fresh-def* **by** *force*
hence $\tau'[bv'::=b][bv::=b]_{bb}[x'::=v][bv::=b]_{vb} = \tau'[bv'::=b]_b[x'::=v]_v[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **using** *subst-tb-triple*
subst-defs **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *infer-e-appPI* **by** *metis*
qed
show $\text{atom } bv' \# (\Theta', \Phi', \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, v'[bv::=b]_{vb}, \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
unfolding *fresh-prodN* **apply**(*auto simp add: infer-e-appPI fresh-empty-fset*)
using *fresh-subst-if subst-b-Γ-def subst-b-Δ-def subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-τ-def infer-e-appPI*
by *metis*+
show $\Theta' ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b'[bv::=b]_{bb}$ **using** *infer-e-appPI wf-b-subst* **by** *simp*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-fstI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
show *?case* **unfolding** *subst-b-simps* **proof**(*rule Typing.infer-e-fstI*)
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **using** *wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-fstI*
wfX-wfY
by (*metis wf-b-subst(15)*)
show $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1[bv::=b]_{bb} b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$
using *subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-fstI* **by** *force*
show $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-fst } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$ **using** *infer-e-fstI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh* **by** *metis*
show $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **using** *subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-fstI* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-sndI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z$)
show *?case* **unfolding** *subst-b-simps* **proof**(*rule Typing.infer-e-sndI*)
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **using** *wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI*
wfX-wfY
by (*metis wf-b-subst(15)*)
show $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *infer-e-sndI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1[bv::=b]_{bb} b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$
using *subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-sndI* **by** *force*
show $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-snd } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$ **using** *infer-e-sndI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh* **by** *metis*
show $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **using** *subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-sndI* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-lenI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' c z$)
show *?case* **unfolding** *subst-b-simps* **proof**(*rule Typing.infer-e-lenI*)
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ **using** *wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-lenI*
wfX-wfY
by (*metis wf-b-subst(15)*)
show $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ **using** *infer-e-lenI* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \}$
using *subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-lenI* **by** *force*
show $\text{atom } z \# AE\text{-len } (v[bv::=b]_{vb})$ **using** *infer-e-lenI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh* **by** *metis*
show $\text{atom } z \# \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ **using** *subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-lenI* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*infer-e-mvarI* $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau$)

```

show ?case proof(subst subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-mvarI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI wf-b-subst by auto
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
    show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI using wf-b-subst(10)
subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $(u, \tau[bv ::= b]_{\tau_b}) \in setD \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using infer-e-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert
    subst-d-b-member by simp
qed
next
case (infer-e-concatI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-concatI)
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b}$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-concatI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using infer-e-concatI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \}$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B-bitvec \mid c2[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \}$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
  show atom z3  $\# AE-concat (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b})$  using infer-e-concatI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
  show atom z3  $\# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b}$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-concatI by auto
qed
next
case (infer-e-splitI  $\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-splitI)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv ::= b]_{\Delta_b} \rangle$  using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-splitI
wfX-wfY
  by (metis wf-b-subst(15))
  show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B-bitvec \mid c1[bv ::= b]_{c_b} \} \rangle$ 
using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-splitI by force
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B-int \mid [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} AND [ leq [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \} \rangle$ 
using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-splitI
  proof –
    have  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \vdash v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B-int \mid [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} AND [ leq [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ v1 ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \}$ 
using infer-e-splitI.hyps(7) infer-e-splitI.prem(1) infer-e-splitI.prem(2) subst-b-check-v by
presburger
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  show  $\langle atom z1 \# AE-split (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis
  show  $\langle atom z1 \# \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma_b} \rangle$  using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto

  show  $\langle atom z2 \# AE-split (v1[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) (v2[bv ::= b]_{v_b}) \rangle$  using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis

```

```

  show ⟨atom z2 # Γ[bv::=b]Γb⟩ using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto
  show ⟨atom z3 # AE-split (v1[bv::=b]vb) (v2[bv::=b]vb)⟩ using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis
  show ⟨atom z3 # Γ[bv::=b]Γb⟩ using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-splitI by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-c-of-forget*:

```

  assumes atom bv # const
  shows (c-of const x)[bv::=b]cb = c-of const x
using assms proof(nominal-induct const avoiding: x rule:τ.strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x' b' c')
  hence c-of { x' : b' | c' } x = c'[x'::=V-var x]cv using c-of.simps by metis
  moreover have atom bv # c'[x'::=V-var x]cv proof -
    have atom bv # c' using T-refined-type τ.fresh by simp
    moreover have atom bv # V-var x using v.fresh by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using T-refined-type τ.fresh subst-b-c-def fresh-subst-if
    τ-fresh-c fresh-subst-cv-if has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x ms-fresh-all(37) ms-fresh-all assms by
metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using forget-subst subst-b-c-def by metis
qed

```

lemma *subst-b-check-s*:

```

  fixes s::s and b::b and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and v::v and τ::τ
  assumes Θ ; {||} ⊢wf b and B = {||bv||}
  shows Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ⊢ s ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ⊢ (s[bv::=b]sb) ⇐
(τ[bv::=b]τb) and
  Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ; tid ; cons ; const ; v ⊢ cs ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ;
tid ; cons ; const ; v[bv::=b]vb ⊢ (subst-branchb cs bv b) ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb) and
  Θ ; Φ ; B ; G ; D ; tid ; dclist ; v ⊢ css ⇐ τ ⇒ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; G[bv::=b]Γb ; D[bv::=b]Δb ; tid ;
dclist ; v[bv::=b]vb ⊢ (subst-branchlb css bv b) ⇐ (τ[bv::=b]τb)
using assms proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  note facts = wfD-emptyI wfX-wfY wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype subst-b-infer-v
  case (check-valI Θ B Γ Δ Φ v τ' τ)
  show ?case
    apply(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-valI)
    using facts check-valI apply metis
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype by metis
next

```

case (check-letI x Θ Φ B Γ Δ e τ z s b' c)

show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-letI)

```

  show atom x # (Θ, Φ, {||}, Γ[bv::=b]Γb, Δ[bv::=b]Δb, e[bv::=b]eb, τ[bv::=b]τb)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
  apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+

```

```

    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prodN)+ done
show atom z # (x,  $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ ,  $e[bv::=b]_{eb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ ,  $s[bv::=b]_{sb}$ )
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
    apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+
    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prodN)+ done
show  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash e[bv::=b]_{eb} \Rightarrow \{\!| z : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \!\}$ 
    using check-letI subst-b-infer-e subst-tb.simps by metis
have  $c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb} = (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V\text{-var } x]_{cv}$ 
    using subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of bv V-var x c z b] fresh-at-base by simp
thus  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $((x, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V\text{-var } x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash$ 
 $s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
    using check-letI subst-gb.simps subst-defs by metis
qed
next
case (check-assertI x  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  c  $\tau$  s)
show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-assertI)
    show atom x # ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ ,  $c[bv::=b]_{cb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ ,  $s[bv::=b]_{sb}$ )
        apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
        apply(simp add: check-assertI fresh-empty-fset)+
        apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-assertI fresh-prodN)+ done

    have  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using
check-assertI
    by metis
    thus  $\Theta$  ;  $\Phi$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $(x, B\text{-bool}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$  ;  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ 
using subst-gb.simps by auto
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c[bv::=b]_{cb}$  using subst-b-valid check-assertI by simp
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst2(6) check-assertI by simp
qed
next
case (check-branch-list-consI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$  css)
then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by simp
next
case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta$   $\Phi$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by simp
next
case (check-branch-s-branchI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\tau$  const x  $\Phi$  tid cons v s)

show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-branch-s-branchI)
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using check-branch-s-branchI wf-b-subst subst-db.simps
by metis
    show  $\vdash_{wf} \Theta$  using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
    show  $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-branch-s-branchI wf-b-subst by metis

show atom x # ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\{\|\}$ ,  $\Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}$ ,  $\Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$ , tid, cons, const,  $v[bv::=b]_{vb}$ ,  $\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ )
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto)
    apply(simp add: check-branch-s-branchI fresh-empty-fset)+
    apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN)+
    done
show wft: $\Theta$  ;  $\{\|\}$  ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} \text{const}$  using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
hence (b-of const) = (b-of const)[bv::=b]_{bb}
    using wfT-nil-supp fresh-def[of atom bv] forget-subst subst-b-b-def  $\tau$ .supp

```

$\text{bot. extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset}$
by (*metis b-of-supp*)
moreover have ($c\text{-of const } x$) $[bv::=b]_{cb} = c\text{-of const } x$
using *wft wftT-nil-supp fresh-def[of atom bv] forget-subst subst-b-c-def τ .supp*
 $\text{bot. extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset subst-b-c-of-forget}$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of const, CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using *check-branch-s-branchI subst-gb.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*check-ifI z $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-ifI)*
show $\langle \text{atom } z \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}, s1[bv::=b]_{sb}, s2[bv::=b]_{sb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \rangle$
by (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-ifI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-x*)
have $\llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket$ **by** *auto*
thus $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bool} \mid \text{TRUE} \rrbracket \rangle$ **using** *check-ifI subst-b-check-v*
by *metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid \text{CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} z \rrbracket \rangle$
using *subst-b-if check-ifI by metis*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid \text{CE-val } (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == \text{CE-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-false}) \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} z \rrbracket \rangle$
using *subst-b-if check-ifI by metis*
qed

next

case (*check-let2I x $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-let2I)*
have $\text{atom } x \# b$ **using** *x-fresh-b* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, s1[bv::=b]_{sb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \rangle$
apply (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-let2I fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset*)
apply (*metis subst-b-fresh-x check-let2I fresh-prodN*)
done

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I subst-tb.simps* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x, b\text{-of } t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, c\text{-of } t[bv::=b]_{\tau b} x) \#_{\Gamma} G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$
using *check-let2I subst-tb.simps subst-gb.simps b-of.simps subst-b-c-of subst-b-b-of* **by** *auto*
qed

next

case (*check-varI u $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$*)
show *?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-varI)*
show $\text{atom } u \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, v[bv::=b]_{vb}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$
by (*unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: check-varI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-u*)
show $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$ **using** *check-varI subst-b-check-v* **by** *auto*
show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (\text{subst-gb } \Gamma bv b) ; (u, (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) \#_{\Delta} (\text{subst-db } \Delta bv b) \vdash (s[bv::=b]_{sb}) \Leftarrow (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})$ **using** *check-varI* **by** *auto*

```

qed
next
case (check-assignI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau'$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof( rule Typing.check-assignI)
  show  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using check-assignI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using wf-b-subst check-assignI by auto
  show  $(u, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}$  using check-assignI subst-d-b-member by simp
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-assignI subst-b-check-v by
auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using check-assignI
subst-b-subtype subst-b-simps subst-tb.simps by fastforce
qed
next
case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau'$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-whileI)
  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$  using
check-whileI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket$  using
check-whileI by auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \llbracket z : B-unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using subst-b-subtype
check-whileI by fastforce
qed
next
case (check-seqI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau$ )
then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using check-seqI Typing.check-seqI subst-b-eq by metis
next
case (check-caseI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau z$ )
show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} ; tid ; dclist ; v[bv::=b]_{vb} \vdash subst-branchlb cs bv b$ 
 $\Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
  show  $\langle AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$  using check-caseI
subst-b-check-v subst-b-simps subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps
proof –
  have  $\llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B-id tid \mid TRUE \rrbracket [bv::=b]_{\tau b}$  using subst-b-eq by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types) check-caseI.hyps(4) check-caseI.prem(1) check-caseI.prem(2) subst-b-check-v)

qed
show  $\langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta \rangle$  using check-caseI by auto
qed
qed

```

end

```

method supp-calc = (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) pure-supp c.supp e.supp v.supp supp-l-empty
opp.supp sup-bot.right-neutral supp-at-base)
declare infer-e.intros[simp]
declare infer-e.intros[intro]

```

Chapter 16

Safety

16.1 Operational Semantics

lemma *dclist-distinct-unique*:

assumes $(dc, const) \in \text{set } dclist2$ **and** $(cons, const1) \in \text{set } dclist2$ **and** $dc=cons$ **and** *distinct*
 $(List.map \text{fst } dclist2)$

shows $(const) = const1$

proof –

have $(cons, const) = (dc, const1)$

using *assms* **by** $(metis \text{ (no-types, lifting) } assms(3) \text{ } assms(4) \text{ } distinct.simps(1) \text{ } distinct.simps(2) \text{ } empty\text{-iff} \text{ } insert\text{-iff} \text{ } list.set(1) \text{ } list.simps(15) \text{ } list.simps(8) \text{ } list.simps(9) \text{ } map\text{-of-eq-Some-iff})$

thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fresh-d-fst-d*:

assumes $atom \ u \ \# \ \delta$

shows $u \notin \text{fst } 'set \ \delta$

using *assms* **proof** $(induct \ \delta)$

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case $(Cons \ ut \ \delta')$

obtain u' **and** t' **where** $*:ut = (u', t')$ **by** *fastforce*

hence $atom \ u \ \# \ ut \wedge atom \ u \ \# \ \delta'$ **using** *fresh-Cons Cons* **by** *auto*

moreover **hence** $atom \ u \ \# \ \text{fst } ut$ **using** $* \text{ fresh-Pair[of } atom \ u \ u' \ t'] \text{ Cons}$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?case* **using** *Cons* **by** *auto*

qed

nominal-function *dc-of* $:: \text{branch-}s \Rightarrow \text{string}$ **where**

dc-of $(AS\text{-branch } dc \ -) = dc$

apply $(auto, simp \text{ add: } eqvt\text{-def } dc\text{-of-graph-aux-def})$

using *s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust* **by** *metis*

nominal-termination $(eqvt)$ **by** *lexicographic-order*

lemma *delta-sim-fresh*:

assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $atom \ u \ \# \ \delta$

shows $\text{atom } u \# \Delta$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct rule* : *delta-sim.inducts*)
 case (*delta-sim-nilI* Θ)
 then show ?case using *fresh-def supp-DNil* by blast
 next
 case (*delta-sim-consI* $\Theta \delta \Delta v \tau u'$)
 hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ using *check-v-wf* by meson
 hence $\text{supp } \tau = \{\}$ using *wfT-supp* by fastforce
 moreover have $\text{atom } u \# u'$ using *delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons fresh-Pair* by blast
 moreover have $\text{atom } u \# \Delta$ using *delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons* by blast
 ultimately show ?case using *fresh-Pair fresh-DCons fresh-def* by blast
 qed

lemma *delta-sim-v*:

fixes $\Delta :: \Delta$
 assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ and $(u, v) \in \text{set } \delta$ and $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$ and $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 shows $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$
 using *assms* **proof**(*induct* δ *arbitrary*: Δ)
 case *Nil*
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (*Cons uv* δ)
 obtain u' and v' where $uv : uv = (u', v')$ by fastforce
 show ?case **proof**(*cases* $u' = u$)
 case *True*
 hence $* : \Theta \vdash ((u, v') \# \delta) \sim \Delta$ using *uv Cons* by blast
 then obtain τ' and Δ' where $tt : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u \notin \text{fst } \delta \wedge \Delta = (u, \tau') \# \Delta'$
 using *delta-sim-elim*(\mathcal{J})[*OF* *] by metis
 moreover hence $v' = v$ using *Cons True*
 by (*metis Pair-inject fst-conv image-eqI set-ConsD uv*)
 moreover have $\tau = \tau'$ using *wfD-unique tt Cons*
setD.simps list.set-intros by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by metis
 next
 case *False*
 hence $* : \Theta \vdash ((u', v') \# \delta) \sim \Delta$ using *uv Cons* by blast
 then obtain τ' and Δ' where $tt : \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u' \notin \text{fst } \delta \wedge \Delta = (u', \tau') \# \Delta'$ using *delta-sim-elim*(\mathcal{J})[*OF* *] by metis
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ using *wfD-elim Cons delta-sim-elim* by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using *Cons*
 using *False* by auto
 qed
 qed

lemma *delta-sim-delta-lookup*:

assumes $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ and $(u, \lfloor z : b \mid c \rfloor) \in \text{setD } \Delta$
 shows $\exists v. (u, v) \in \text{set } \delta$
 using *assms* by(*induct rule*: *delta-sim.inducts, auto*+)

lemma *update-d-stable*:

```

fst ' set  $\delta = \text{fst ' set (update-d } \delta \text{ u v)}$ 
proof(induct  $\delta$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a  $\delta$ )
  then show ?case using update-d.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) eq-fst-iff image-cong image-insert list.simps(15) prod.exhaust-sel)
qed

lemma update-d-sim:
  fixes  $\Delta::\Delta$ 
  assumes  $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$  and  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau$  and  $(u, \tau) \in \text{setD } \Delta$  and  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ 
  shows  $\Theta \vdash (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v}) \sim \Delta$ 
using assms proof(induct  $\delta$  arbitrary:  $\Delta$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case using delta-sim-consI by simp
next
  case (Cons uv  $\delta$ )
  obtain  $u'$  and  $v'$  where  $uv : uv=(u',v')$  by fastforce

  hence  $*:\Theta \vdash ((u',v')\#\delta) \sim \Delta$  using uv Cons by blast
  then obtain  $\tau'$  and  $\Delta'$  where  $tt: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge u' \notin \text{fst ' set } \delta \wedge \Delta =$ 
   $(u',\tau')\#\Delta\Delta'$  using delta-sim-elim * by metis

  show ?case proof(cases  $u=u'$ )
    case True
    then have  $(u,\tau') \in \text{setD } \Delta$  using tt by auto
    then have  $\tau = \tau'$  using Cons wfD-unique by metis
    moreover have  $\text{update-d } ((u',v')\#\delta) \text{ u v} = ((u',v')\#\delta)$  using update-d.simps True by presburger
    ultimately show ?thesis using delta-sim-consI tt Cons True
      by (simp add: tt uv)
  next
    case False
    have  $\Theta \vdash (u',v') \# (\text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v}) \sim (u',\tau')\#\Delta\Delta'$ 
    proof(rule delta-sim-consI)
      show  $\Theta \vdash \text{update-d } \delta \text{ u v} \sim \Delta'$  using Cons using delta-sim-consI
        delta-sim.simps update-d.simps Cons delta-sim-elim uv tt
        False fst-conv set-ConsD wfG-elim wfD-elim by (metis setD-ConsD)
      show  $\Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau'$  using tt by auto
      show  $u' \notin \text{fst ' set (update-d } \delta \text{ u v)}$  using update-d.simps Cons update-d-stable tt by auto
    qed
  thus ?thesis using False update-d.simps uv
    by (simp add: tt)
qed
qed

```

16.2 Preservation

Types are preserved under reduction step

lemma check-if:

fixes $s'::s$ **and** $cs::branch-s$ **and** $css::branch-list$ **and** $v::v$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \implies s' = IF (V-lit\ ll) THEN s1 ELSE s2 \implies$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies G = GNil \implies B = \{\|\} \implies ll = L-true \wedge s = s1 \vee ll = L-false \wedge s = s2 \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $check-branch-s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{\|\}\ GNil\ \Delta\ tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies True$ **and**
 $check-branch-list\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \{\|\}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \implies True$
proof(nominal-induct τ **and** τ **and** τ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
case (check-ifI $z\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v\ s1\ s2\ \tau$)
obtain z' **where** $teq: \tau = \{\|\ z' : b-of\ \tau \mid c-of\ \tau\ z' \}\} \wedge atom\ z' \# (z, \tau)$ **using** obtain-fresh-z-c-of **by** metis
hence $ceq: (c-of\ \tau\ z')[z'::[z]^v]_{cv} = (c-of\ \tau\ z)$ **using** c-of-switch fresh-Pair **by** metis
have $zf: atom\ z \# c-of\ \tau\ z'$ **by**(rule c-of-fresh, auto simp add: freshers check-ifI, insert fresh-Pair
 $teq\ fresh-at-base,$ simp add: freshers)

hence $1:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \{\|\ z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) IMP$
 $c-of\ \tau\ z \}\}$ **using** check-ifI **by** auto
moreover **have** $2:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash (\{\|\ z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) IMP$
 $c-of\ \tau\ z \}\} \lesssim \tau$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\{\|\ z : b-of\ \tau \mid CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll) IMP c-of\ \tau\ z$
 $\}\}$ **using** check-ifI check-s-wf **by** auto
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** check-s-wf check-ifI **by** auto
ultimately **show** ?thesis **using** subtype-if-simp[of $\Theta\ \{\|\}\ z\ b-of\ \tau\ ll\ c-of\ \tau\ z'\ z'$] **using** teq ceq zf
subst-defs **by** metis
qed
ultimately **show** ?case **using** check-s-supertype(1) check-ifI **by** metis

qed(auto+)

lemma preservation-if:
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, IF (V-lit\ ll) THEN s1 ELSE s2 \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $ll = L-true \wedge s = s1 \vee ll = L-false \wedge s = s2$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta$
proof –
have $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-if\ (V-lit\ ll)\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
using assms config-type-elim **by** metis
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** check-s-wf check-if assms **by** metis
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta$ **using** config-typeI *
using assms(1) **by** blast
thus ?thesis **by** blast
qed

lemma check-s-x-fresh:
fixes $x::x$ **and** $s::s$
assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; GNil ; D \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$
shows $atom\ x \# s \wedge atom\ x \# \tau \wedge atom\ x \# D$
proof –
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; GNil ; D \vdash_{wf} s : b-of\ \tau$ **using** check-s-wf[OF assms] **by** auto
moreover **have** $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau$ **using** check-s-wf assms **by** auto
moreover **have** $\Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} D$ **using** check-s-wf assms **by** auto
ultimately **show** ?thesis **using** wf-supp x-fresh-u

by (meson fresh-GNil wfS-x-fresh wfT-x-fresh wfD-x-fresh)
qed

lemma check-funtyp-subst-b:

fixes $b'::b$
assumes check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ |bv| \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) and $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \rangle \vdash_{wf} b'$
shows check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ | \} \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s[bv::=b]_{sb}$)
using assms proof (nominal-induct $\{ |bv| \}$ AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$ rule: check-funtyp.strong-induct)
case (check-funtypI $x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau'$)
have check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi \{ | \} \}$ (AF-fun-typ $x' b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s'[bv::=b]_{sb}$) proof
show $\langle atom x' \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset}, b[bv::=b]_{bb}) \rangle$ using check-funtypI fresh-prodN x-fresh-b fresh-empty-fset
by metis

have $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \rangle ; ((x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \sqcup_{\Delta}[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s'[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
proof(rule subst-b-check-s)
show $\langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \rangle \vdash_{wf} b'$ using check-funtypI by metis
show $\langle \{ |bv| \} = \{ |bv| \} \rangle$ by auto
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ |bv| \} ; (x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \sqcup_{\Delta} \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ using check-funtypI by metis
qed

thus $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \rangle ; (x', b[bv::=b]_{bb}, c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \sqcup_{\Delta} \vdash s'[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}$
using subst-gb.simps subst-db.simps by simp
qed

moreover have (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) = (AF-fun-typ $x' b c' \tau' s'$) using fun-typ.eq-iff check-funtypI
by metis

moreover hence (AF-fun-typ $x b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s[bv::=b]_{sb}$) = (AF-fun-typ
 $x' b[bv::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv::=b]_{cb}) \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s'[bv::=b]_{sb}$)
using subst-ft-b.simps by metis
ultimately show ?case by metis
qed

lemma funtyp-simple-check:

fixes $s::s$ and $\Delta::\Delta$ and $\tau::\tau$ and $v::v$
assumes check-funtyp $\Theta \Phi (\{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset})$ (AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$) and
 $\Theta ; \{ | \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using assms proof (nominal-induct $(\{ | \} :: bv \text{ fset})$ AF-fun-typ $x b c \tau s$ avoiding: $v x$ rule: check-funtyp.strong-induct)
case (check-funtypI $x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau'$)

hence eq1: $\llbracket x' : b \mid c' \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$ using funtyp-eq-iff-equalities by metis

obtain x'' and c'' where $xf:\llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket = \llbracket x'' : b \mid c'' \rrbracket \wedge atom x'' \# (x', v) \wedge atom x'' \# (x, c)$
using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis

moreover have $atom x' \# c''$ proof –

have supp $\llbracket x'' : b \mid c'' \rrbracket = \{ \}$ using eq1 check-funtypI xf check-v-wf wfT-nil-sup by metis

hence supp $c'' \subseteq \{ atom x'' \}$ using $\tau.supp$ eq1 xf by (auto simp add: freshers)

moreover have $atom x' \neq atom x''$ using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq by metis

ultimately show ?thesis using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq fresh-def fresh-at-base by blast

qed

ultimately have eq2: $c''[x'::=x]_{cv} = c'$ using eq1 type-eq-subst-eq3(1)[of $x' b c' x'' b c'$] by
metis

have $\text{atom } x' \# c$ **proof** –
have $\text{supp } \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ \}$ **using** $\text{eq1 check-funtypI xf check-v-wf wfT-nil-supp}$ **by** metis
hence $\text{supp } c \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x \}$ **using** $\tau.\text{supp}$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $\text{atom } x \neq \text{atom } x'$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq}$ **by** metis
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** fresh-def **by** force
qed
hence $\text{eq: } c[x::=[x']^v]_{cv} = c' \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-eq-iff-equalities type-eq-subst-eq3 check-funtypI}$ **by** metis

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; ((x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[x'::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; [\Delta][x'::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof($\text{rule subst-check-check-s}$)
show $\langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x'' : b \mid c'' \} \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI eq1 xf}$ **by** metis
show $\langle \text{atom } x'' \# (x', v) \rangle$ **using** $\text{check-funtypI fresh-x-neq fresh-Pair xf}$ **by** metis
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ **using** check-funtypI eq2
by metis
show $\langle (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil = GNil @ (x', b, c''[x'::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$ **using** append-g.simps **by** auto
qed
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** $\text{subst-gv.simps subst-dv.simps}$
by auto
thus $?case$ **using** eq **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{funtypq-simple-check}$:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$
assumes $\text{check-funtypq } \Theta \Phi$ ($\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s)$) **and**
 $\Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$
using assms **proof**($\text{nominal-induct } (\text{AF-fun-typ-none } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s))$ $\text{avoiding: } v$ $\text{rule: check-funtypq.strong-induct}$)
case ($\text{check-fundefq-simpleI } \Theta \Phi x' c' t' s'$)
hence $\text{eq: } \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ x' : b \mid c' \} \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t[x::=v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-eq-iff-equalities}$ **by** metis
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; [\Delta] \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$
using $\text{funtyp-simple-check[OF check-fundefq-simpleI(1)] check-fundefq-simpleI}$ **by** metis
thus $?case$ **using** eq **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities}$:

assumes $[[\text{atom } bv]]\text{lst. AF-fun-typ } x' \ b'' \ c' \ t' \ s' = [[\text{atom } bv]]\text{lst. AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s$
shows $\{ x' : b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \} = \{ x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \} \wedge$
 $s[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

proof –

have $\text{subst-ft-b } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x' \ b'' \ c' \ t' \ s') \ bv' \ b' = \text{subst-ft-b } (\text{AF-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ t \ s) \ bv \ b'$
using $\text{subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def assms}$ **by** metis
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{fun-typ.eq-iff subst-ft-b.simps funtyp-eq-iff-equalities subst-tb.simps}$
by ($\text{metis (full-types) assms fun-poly-arg-unique}$)

qed

lemma *funtypq-poly-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $b'::b$

assumes *check-funtypq* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$)) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; DNil \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$)) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-funtypq.strong-induct*)

case (*check-funtypq-polyI* $bv' \Theta \Phi x' b'' c' t' s'$)

hence $**:\llbracket x' : b'[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket = \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket \wedge$

$s'[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities* **by** *metis*

have $*:check-funtyp \Theta \Phi \{\|\} (AF-fun-typ x' b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} (c'[bv'::=b]_{cb}) (t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}) s'[bv'::=b]_{sb})$

using *check-funtyp-subst-b*[*OF* *check-funtypq-polyI*(5) *check-funtypq-polyI*(8)] **by** *metis*

moreover **have** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x' : b''[bv'::=b]_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **using** $**$ *check-funtypq-polyI* **by** *metis*

ultimately **have** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Box \vdash s'[bv'::=b]_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[bv'::=b]_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *funtyp-simple-check*[*OF* $*$] *check-funtypq-polyI* **by** *metis*

thus $?case$ **using** $**$ **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *fundef-simple-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$

assumes *check-fundef* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b \mid c \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-none* (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-fundef.strong-induct*)

case (*check-fundefI* $\Theta \Phi$)

then **show** $?case$ **using** *funtypq-simple-check*[*THEN* *check-s-d-weakening*(1)] *setD.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fundef-poly-check*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $\Delta::\Delta$ **and** $\tau::\tau$ **and** $v::v$ **and** $b'::b$

assumes *check-fundef* $\Theta \Phi$ (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) **and**

$\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket x : b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b]_{cb} \rrbracket$ **and** $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$ **and** $\Theta ;$

$\{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$

using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct* (*AF-fundef* f (*AF-fun-typ-some* bv (*AF-fun-typ* $x b c t s$))) *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-fundef.strong-induct*)

case (*check-fundefI* $\Theta \Phi$)

then **show** $?case$ **using** *funtypq-poly-check*[*THEN* *check-s-d-weakening*(1)] *setD.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *preservation-app*:

assumes
Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 $\tau 1'$ s1')) = lookup-fun Φ f and
($\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \text{check-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ fd$)
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \implies B = \{\mid\} \implies G = GNil \implies ss = LET \ x = (AE-app \ f$
v) IN s \implies
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x : (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv}) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
check-branch-s $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ GNil \ \Delta \ tid \ dc \ const \ v \ cs \ \tau \implies True$ and
check-branch-list $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
using *assms proof(nominal-induct τ and τ and τ avoiding: v rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*
case (check-letI x2 $\Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s2 \ b \ c$)

hence *eq: e = (AE-app f v) by simp*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-app \ f \ v) \Rightarrow \{\mid z : b \mid c \}$ **using** *check-letI by auto*

then obtain $x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3$ **where**
 $**:\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \text{Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x3 b3$
 $c3 \ \tau3 \ s3))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} \wedge \text{atom } x3 \ \# \ GNil \wedge \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \{\mid z : b \mid c \}$
using *infer-e-elim(6)[OF *] subst-defs by metis*

obtain $z3$ **where** $z3:\{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} = \{\mid z3 : b3 \mid c3[x3::=V-var \ z3]_{cv} \} \wedge \text{atom } z3 \ \# (x3,$
 $v, c3, x1, c1)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z3 by metis*

have *seq:[[atom x3]]lst. s3 = [[atom x1]]lst. s1' using fun-def-eq check-letI ** option.inject by metis*

let $?ft = AF-fun-typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3$
thm *check-fundef-elim*

have *sup: supp $\tau3 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x3 \} \wedge \text{supp } s3 \subseteq \{ \text{atom } x3 \}$ using wfPhi-f-supp ** by force*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 \ x2 \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**
show $\langle \text{atom } x2 \ \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\mid\}::bv \ fset, GNil, \Delta, \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, s3[x3::=v]_{sv}, \tau) \rangle$
unfolding *fresh-prodN using check-letI fresh-subst-v-if subst-v- τ -def sup*
by *(metis all-not-in-conv fresh-def fresh-empty-fset fresh-subst-sv-if fresh-subst-tv-if singleton-iff*
subset-singleton-iff)

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s3[x3::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle$ **proof**(*rule fundef-simple-check*)
show $\langle \text{check-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ (AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3))) \rangle$ **using**
 $** \text{check-letI lookup-fun-member by metis}$
show $\langle \Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{\mid x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} \rangle$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \{\mid\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
qed
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\mid\} ; (x2, b\text{-of } \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-of } \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \ x2) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$
using *check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis*
qed

moreover have $AS-let2 \ x2 \ \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) \ s2 = AS-let2 \ x \ (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv})$
s proof –
have $*: [[\text{atom } x2]]lst. s2 = [[\text{atom } x]]lst. s$ **using** *check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by auto*
moreover have $\tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$ **using** *fun-ret-unique ** check-letI by metis*
moreover have $s3[x3::=v]_{sv} = (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv})$ **using** *subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def seq by metis*
ultimately show *?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis*

```

qed

ultimately show ?case using check-letI by auto
qed(auto+)

lemma fresh-subst-v-subst-b:
  fixes  $x2::x$  and  $tm::'a::\{has-subst-v, has-subst-b\}$  and  $x::x$ 
  assumes  $supp\ tm \subseteq \{atom\ bv, atom\ x\}$  and  $atom\ x2 \# v$ 
  shows  $atom\ x2 \# tm[bv::=b]_b[x::=v]_v$ 
using assms proof(cases  $x2=x$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by blast
next
  case False
  hence  $atom\ x2 \# tm$  using assms fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
  hence  $atom\ x2 \# tm[bv::=b]_b$  using assms fresh-subst-if x-fresh-b False by force
  then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by auto
qed

lemma preservation-poly-app:
  assumes
    Some (AF-fundef  $f$  (AF-fun-tyt-some  $bv1$  (AF-fun-tyt  $x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1'$ ))) = lookup-fun  $\Phi\ f$ 
  and  $(\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \leftarrow \tau \implies B = \{\|\} \implies G = GNil \implies ss = LET\ x = (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ IN\ s \implies \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b' \implies$ 
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x : (\tau1'\ [bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'\ [bv1::=b]_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv})$ 
 $IN\ s \leftarrow \tau$  and
 $check-branch-s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ GNil\ \Delta\ tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies True$  and
 $check-branch-list\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \implies True$ 
using assms proof(nominal-induct  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  avoiding:  $v\ x1$  rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-i)
  case (check-letI  $x2\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ \tau\ z\ s2\ b\ c$ )

  hence  $eq: e = (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v)$  by simp
  hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-appP\ f\ b'\ v) \Rightarrow \{\|\ z : b \mid c \}$  using check-letI by auto

  then obtain  $x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3\ bv3$  where
     $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge Some\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3))) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f \wedge$ 
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \leftarrow \{\|\ x3 : b3[bv3::=b]_{bb} \mid c3[bv3::=b]_{cb} \} \wedge atom\ x3 \# GNil \wedge$ 
 $\tau3[bv3::=b]_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \{\|\ z : b \mid c \}$ 
 $\wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b'$ 
  using infer-e-elim(21)[OF  $*$ ] subst-defs by metis

  obtain  $z3$  where  $z3:\{\|\ x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} = \{\|\ z3 : b3 \mid c3[x3::=V-var\ z3]_{cv} \} \wedge atom\ z3 \# (x3,$ 
 $v, c3, x1, c1)$  using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis

  let  $?ft = (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ (b3[bv3::=b]_{bb})\ (c3[bv3::=b]_{cb})\ (\tau3[bv3::=b]_{\tau b})\ (s3[bv3::=b]_{sb}))$ 

  have  $*:check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3)))$  using
 $**\ check-letI\ lookup-fun-member$  by metis

  hence  $ftq:check-funtypq\ \Theta\ \Phi\ (AF-fun-tyt-some\ bv3\ (AF-fun-tyt\ x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3))$  using check-fundef-elim

```


by auto

let ?ft = AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 τ3 s3)

have sup: supp τ3 ⊆ { atom x3, atom bv3 } ∧ supp s3 ⊆ { atom x3, atom bv3 } using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t ** by force

have Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-let2 x2 τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv (s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv) s2 ⇐ τ

proof

show ⟨ atom x2 # (Θ, Φ, {||}::bv fset, GNil, Δ, τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv, s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv, τ) ⟩

proof –

thm fresh-subst-v-subst-b

have atom x2 # τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv

using fresh-subst-v-subst-b subst-v-τ-def subst-b-τ-def ⟨ atom x2 # v ⟩ sup by fastforce

moreover have atom x2 # s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv

using fresh-subst-v-subst-b subst-v-s-def subst-b-s-def ⟨ atom x2 # v ⟩ sup

proof –

have ∀ b. atom x2 = atom x3 ∨ atom x2 # s3[bv3::=b]b

by (metis (no-types) check-letI.hyps(1) fresh-subst-sv-if(1) fresh-subst-v-subst-b insert-commute subst-v-s-def sup)

then show ?thesis

by (metis check-letI.hyps(1) fresh-subst-sb-if fresh-subst-sv-if(1) has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x x-fresh-b)

qed

ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prodN check-letI by metis

qed

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv ⇐ τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv ⟩ proof(rule fundef-poly-check)

show ⟨ check-fundef Θ Φ (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 τ3 s3))) ⟩

using ** lookup-fun-member check-letI by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ v ⇐ { x3 : b3[bv3::=b]bb | c3[bv3::=b]cb } ⟩ using ** by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢_{wf} Δ ⟩ using ** by metis

show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ⊢_{wf} b' ⟩ using ** by metis

qed

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; (x2, b-of τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv, c-of τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv x2) #_Γ GNil ; Δ ⊢ s2 ⇐ τ ⟩

using check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis

qed

moreover have AS-let2 x2 τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv (s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv) s2 = AS-let2 x (τ1'[bv1::=b]τb[x1::=v]τv) (s1'[bv1::=b]sb[x1::=v]sv) s proof –

have *: [[atom x2]]lst. s2 = [[atom x]]lst. s using check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by auto

moreover have τ3[bv3::=b]τb[x3::=v]τv = τ1'[bv1::=b]τb[x1::=v]τv using fun-poly-ret-unique ** check-letI by metis

moreover have s3[bv3::=b]sb[x3::=v]sv = (s1'[bv1::=b]sb[x1::=v]sv) using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def fun-poly-body-unique ** check-letI by metis

ultimately show ?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis

qed

ultimately show ?case using check-letI by auto
qed(auto+)

lemma check-s-plus:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-op\ Plus\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))))$ IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof -

obtain $t1$ where $1: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ Plus\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))$
 $\Rightarrow t1$

using assms check-s-elim by metis

then obtain $z1$ where $2: t1 = \{\!\!| z1 : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == CE-op\ Plus\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)]^{ce}) \}\!\!$

using infer-e-plus by metis

obtain $z2$ where $3: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))) \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-int \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ (n1+n2))) \}\!\!$

using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1

by (simp add: fresh-GNil)

thus ?thesis using subtype-let 1 2 subtype-bop infer-e-wf type-for-lit.simps

by (metis assms opp.distinct(1) type-l-eq)

qed

lemma check-s-leq:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-op\ LEq\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET\ x = (AE-val\ (V-lit\ (if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))))$
IN $s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof -

obtain $t1$ where $1: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ LEq\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))$
 $\Rightarrow t1$

using assms check-s-elim by metis

then obtain $z1$ where $2: t1 = \{\!\!| z1 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z1) == CE-op\ LEq\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)]^{ce}) \}\!\!$

using infer-e-leq by auto

obtain $z2$ where $3: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false)))) \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\!$

using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1

fresh-GNil

by simp

thm subtype-let

show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-let)

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let\ x\ (AE-op\ LEq\ [L-num\ n1]^v\ [L-num\ n2]^v)\ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$
using assms by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op\ LEq\ [L-num\ n1]^v\ [L-num\ n2]^v \Rightarrow t1 \rangle$ using 1 by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [[if\ n1 \leq n2\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false]^v]^e \Rightarrow \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\! \rangle$ using 3 by auto

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \{\!\!| z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\ (V-var\ z2) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ ((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L-true\ else\ L-false))) \}\!\! \rangle$

then $L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})) \} \lesssim t1 \rangle$

using *subtype-bop*[**where** $opp = LEq$] *check-s-wf* *assms 2*
by (*metis* $opp.distinct(1)$ *subtype-bop* *type-l-eq*)

qed

qed

lemma *preservation-plus*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-op}\ Plus\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow$

τ

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ Plus\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$
and *dsim*: $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** *fd*: $(\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *assms config-type-elim*s **by** *blast+*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-plus* *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ (n1+n2))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *dsim config-typeI* *fd* **by** *presburger*

then show *?thesis* **using** *dsim config-typeI*

by (*meson order-refl*)

qed

lemma *preservation-leq*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ LEq\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow$

τ

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-op}\ LEq\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n1))\ (V\text{-lit}\ (L\text{-num}\ n2)))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$
and *dsim*: $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** *fd*: $(\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *assms config-type-elim*s **by** *blast+*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-leq* *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-let}\ x\ (AE\text{-val}\ (V\text{-lit}\ (((if\ (n1 \leq n2)\ then\ L\text{-true}\ else\ L\text{-false}))))))\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *dsim config-typeI* *fd* **by** *presburger*

then show *?thesis* **using** *dsim config-typeI*

by (*meson order-refl*)

qed

lemma *subst-s-abs-lst*:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $sa::s$ **and** $v'::v$

assumes $[[atom\ x]]lst. s = [[atom\ xa]]lst. sa$ **and** $atom\ xa \not\# v \wedge atom\ x \not\# v$

shows $s[x::=v]_{sv} = sa[xa::=v]_{sv}$

proof –

obtain $c'::x$ **where** $cdash: atom\ c' \# (v, x, xa, s, sa)$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *blast*
moreover have $(x \leftrightarrow c') \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c') \cdot sa$ **proof** –
have $atom\ c' \# (s, sa) \wedge atom\ c' \# (x, xa, s, sa)$ **using** *cdash* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
using *subst-sv-flip* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *check-let-val*:

fixes $v::v$ **and** $s::s$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \implies B = \{\}\implies G = GNil \implies$
 $ss = AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ v)\ s \vee ss = AS\text{-}let2\ x\ t\ (AS\text{-}val\ v)\ s \implies \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash$
 $(s[x::=v]_{sv}) \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ GNil\ \Delta\ tid\ dc\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies True$ **and**
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}list\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ dclist\ v\ css\ \tau \implies True$
proof(*nominal-induct* τ **and** τ **and** τ *avoiding*: v *rule*: *check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
case (*check-letI* $x1\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ \tau\ z\ s1\ b\ c$)
hence $*:e = AE\text{-}val\ v$ **by** *auto*
let $?G = (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; ?G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-infer-check-s(1)*)
show $*:(\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{z : b \mid c\})$ **using** *infer-e-elim*s *check-letI* $*$ **by** *fast*
thus $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash \{z : b \mid c\} \lesssim \{z : b \mid c\} \rangle$ **using** *subtype-refl* *infer-v-wf* **by** *metis*
show $\langle atom\ z \# (x1, v) \rangle$ **using** *check-letI* *fresh-Pair* **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-letI* *subst-defs* **by**
auto
show $(x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x1, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
qed
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-letI* **by** *auto*
moreover have $s1[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv}$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *check-letI* *fresh-GNil* *infer-e-elim*s(7) *s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct* *s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff*)
subst-s-abs-lst wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)

ultimately show *?case* **using** *check-letI* **by** *simp*

next

case (*check-let2I* $x1\ \Theta\ \Phi\ B\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ t\ s1\ \tau\ s2$)

hence $s1eq:s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ **by** *auto*
let $?G = (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
obtain $z::x$ **where** $*:atom\ z \# (x1, v, t)$ **using** *obtain-fresh-z* **by** *metis*
hence $teq:t = \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\}$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* **by** *auto*
have $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; ?G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}$
proof(*rule subst-check-check-s(1)*)
obtain t' **where** $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \wedge \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash t' \lesssim t$ **using** *check-s-elim*s(1) *check-let2I*(10)
s1eq **by** *auto*
thus $*:(\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{z : b\text{-}of\ t \mid c\text{-}of\ t\ z\})$ **using** *check-v.intros* *teq* **by** *auto*
show $atom\ z \# (x1, v)$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *check-let2I* **by** *auto*

show $(x1, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x1, b\text{-of } t, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=V\text{-var } x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **using**
*append-g.simps c-of-switch * fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*
qed

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-let2I* **by** *auto*

moreover have $s2[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv}$ **using**
check-let2I fresh-GNil check-s-elim s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff
subst-s-abs-lst wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple

proof –

have $AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s = AS\text{-let2 } x1 \ t \ s1 \ s2$

by $(metis \ check\text{-let2I}.\text{prems}(3) \ s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.distinct } s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff}(3))$

then show *?thesis*

by $(metis \ (no\text{-types}) \ check\text{-let2I} \ check\text{-let2I}.\text{prems}(2) \ check\text{-s-elim}(1) \ fresh\text{-GNil } s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff}(3) \ subst\text{-s-abs-lst } wfG\text{-x-fresh-in-v-simple})$

qed

ultimately show *?case* **using** *check-let2I* **by** *simp*

qed(*auto+*)

lemma *preservation-let-val*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \vee \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **(is** *?A* \vee *?B***)**

shows $\exists \Delta'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta, s[x::=v]_{sv} \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta'$

proof –

have *tt*: $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** *fd*: $(\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check\text{-fundef } \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)$

using *assms* **by** *blast+*

have *?A* \vee *?B* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

then have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$

proof

assume $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *check-let-val* **by** *simp*

next

assume $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$

hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let2 } x \ t \ (AS\text{-val } v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *check-let-val* **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** *tt config-typeI fd*

order-refl **by** *metis*

qed

lemma *check-s-fst-snd*:

assumes $fst\text{-snd} = AE\text{-fst} \wedge v=v1 \vee fst\text{-snd} = AE\text{-snd} \wedge v=v2$

and $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (fst\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$

proof –

have $1: \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (fst\text{-snd } (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2)) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

then obtain $t1$ where $2:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)) \Rightarrow t1$ using *check-s-elim* by *auto*

show *?thesis* using *subtype-let 1 2 assms*
by (*meson infer-e-fst-pair infer-e-snd-pair*)
qed

lemma *preservation-fst-snd*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ and

$fst\text{-}snd = AE\text{-}fst \wedge v=v1 \vee fst\text{-}snd = AE\text{-}snd \wedge v=v2$

shows $\exists \Delta' . \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET\ x = (AE\text{-}val\ v)\ IN\ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$

proof –

have $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ using *assms* by *blast*

hence $t2: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2))\ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ by *auto*

moreover have $\forall fd \in set\ \Phi . check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd$ using *assms config-type-elim* by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* using *config-typeI order-refl tt assms check-s-fst-snd* by *metis*

qed

inductive-cases *check-branch-s-elim2[elim!]*:

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau$

lemmas *freshers* = *freshers atom-dom.simps setG.simps fresh-def x-not-in-b-set*

declare *freshers* [*simp*]

lemma *subtype-eq-if*:

fixes $t::\tau$ and $va::v$

assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\text{-of}\ t\ z\}$ and $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{z : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\ IMP\ c\text{-of}\ t\ z\}$

shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{z : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\text{-of}\ t\ z\} \lesssim \{z : b\text{-of}\ t \mid c\ IMP\ c\text{-of}\ t\ z\}$

proof –

obtain $x::x$ where $xf:atom\ x \nmid ((\Theta , \mathcal{B} , \Gamma , z , c\text{-of}\ t\ z , z , c\ IMP\ c\text{-of}\ t\ z), c)$ using *obtain-fresh* by *metis*

moreover have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-of}\ t , (c\text{-of}\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (c\ IMP\ c\text{-of}\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}$

unfolding *subst-cv.simps*

proof(*rule valid-eq-imp*)

have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-of}\ t , (c\text{-of}\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (c\ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t\ z))[z::=[x]^v]_v$

apply(*rule wfT-wfC-cons*)

apply(*simp add: assms, simp add: assms, unfold fresh-prodN*)

using *xf fresh-prodN* by *metis+*

thus $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x , b\text{-of}\ t , (c\text{-of}\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \ IMP\ (c\text{-of}\ t\ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}$

using *subst-cv.simps subst-defs* by *auto*

qed

ultimately show *?thesis* using *subtype-baseI assms fresh-Pair subst-defs* by *metis*

qed

lemma *subtype-eq-if- τ* :
fixes $t::\tau$ **and** $va::v$
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid c \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t \}$ **and** $atom\ z \# t$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid c \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } t \}$
proof –
have $t = \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid c\text{-of } t \}$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* **assms** **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **using** *subtype-eq-if* **assms** *c-of.simps* *b-of.simps* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *valid-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c2$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c1 \text{ AND } c2$
proof
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$ **using** *valid.simps* *wfC-conjI* **assms** **by** *auto*
show $\langle \forall i. \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow i \models c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$
proof(*rule+*)
fix i
assume $*\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \wedge i \models \Gamma$
thus $i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps*
using *is-satis.cases* **by** *blast*
show $i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim \text{True}$ **using** *assms* *valid.simps*
using *is-satis.cases* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *wfT-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$
proof
show $\langle atom\ z \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil) \rangle$
apply(*unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI*)
using *wfTh-fresh* *wfT-wf* **assms** **apply** *metis*
using *fresh-GNil* *x-not-in-b-set* *fresh-def* **by** *metis+*
show $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle$ **using** *wfT-elim* **assms** **by** *metis*
have $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1$ **using** *wfT-wfC* *fresh-GNil* **assms** **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c2$ **using** *wfT-wfC* *fresh-GNil* **assms** **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, \text{TRUE}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1 \text{ AND } c2 \rangle$ **using** *wfC-conjI* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *subtype-conj*:
assumes $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ **and** $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$
shows $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$
proof –
have *beq*: $b\text{-of } t = b$ **using** *subtype-eq-base2* *b-of.simps* **assms** **by** *metis*
obtain $x::x$ **where** $x:\langle atom\ x \# (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z, c\text{-of } t\ z, z, c1 \text{ AND } c2) \rangle$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **proof**
have $atom\ z \# t$ **using** *subtype-wf* *wfT-supp* *fresh-def* *x-not-in-b-set* *atom-dom.simps* *setG.simps* **assms** **by** *blast*
hence $t:t = \{ z : b\text{-of } t \mid c\text{-of } t \}$ **using** *b-of-c-of-eq* **by** *auto*

```

thus ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using subtype-wf beq assms by auto

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (c1 \text{ AND } c2)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
proof –
  have ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c1[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
  proof(rule subtype-valid)
    show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show ⟨ atom  $x \# GNil$  ⟩ using fresh-GNil by auto
    show ⟨  $t = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using t beq by auto
    show ⟨  $\{ z : b \mid c1 \} = \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \rangle$  by auto
  qed
  moreover have ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of } t \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c2[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle$ 
  proof(rule subtype-valid)
    show ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \rangle$  using assms by auto
    show ⟨ atom  $x \# GNil$  ⟩ using fresh-GNil by auto
    show ⟨  $t = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t \ z \} \rangle$  using t beq by auto
    show ⟨  $\{ z : b \mid c2 \} = \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \rangle$  by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def using valid-conj by metis
qed
thus ⟨  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \} \rangle$  using subtype-wf wfT-conj assms by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *infer-v-conj*:

```

assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$  and  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$ 
proof –
  obtain t1 where  $t1: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \}$ 
  using assms check-v-elim by metis
  obtain t2 where  $t2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t2 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \}$ 
  using assms check-v-elim by metis
  have teq:  $t1 = \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t1 \ z \}$  using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps
  by (metis (full-types) b-of-c-of-eq fresh-GNil infer-v-t-wf t1 wfT-x-fresh)
  have  $t1 = t2$  using infer-v-uniqueness t1 t2 by auto
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t1 \ z \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$  using subtype-conj t1 t2 by simp
  hence  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}$  using teq by auto
  thus ?thesis using t1 using check-v.intros by auto
qed

```

lemma *wfG-conj*:

```

fixes c1::c
assumes  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1 \text{ AND } c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
shows  $\Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 
proof(cases c1 ∈ {TRUE, FALSE})
  case True
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons2I wfG-elim wfX-wfY by metis
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons1I wfG-elim wfX-wfY wfC-elim
  by (metis wfG-elim2)

```


qed

lemma *check-match*:

fixes $s'::s$ **and** $s::s$ **and** $css::branch\text{-}list$ **and** $cs::branch\text{-}s$

shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \implies \text{True}$ **and**

$\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; const ; vcons \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \implies$
 $vcons = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ dc\ v \implies B = \{\|\} \implies G = GNil \implies cs = (dc\ x' \Rightarrow s') \implies$
 $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; vcons \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \implies distinct\ (map\ fst\ dclist) \implies$
 $vcons = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ dc\ v \implies B = \{\|\} \implies (dc, const) \in set\ dclist \implies G = GNil \implies$
 $Some\ (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x'\ s') = lookup\text{-}branch\ dc\ css \implies \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \implies$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau$

proof(*nominal-induct* τ **and** τ **and** τ *avoiding: $x' v$ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct*)
case (*check-branch-list-consI* $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ consa\ consta\ va\ cs\ \tau\ dclist\ cssa$)

then obtain xa **and** sa **where** $cseq:cs = AS\text{-}branch\ consa\ xa\ sa$ **using** *check-branch-s-elim2[OF check-branch-list-consI(1)]* **by** *metis*

show *?case* **proof**(*cases* $dc = consa$)

case *True*

hence $cs = AS\text{-}branch\ consa\ x'\ s'$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI cseq*

by (*metis lookup-branch.simps(2) option.inject*)

moreover have $const = consta$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI distinct.simps*

by (*metis True dclist-distinct-unique list.set-intros(1)*)

moreover have $va = V\text{-}cons\ tid\ consa\ v$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI True* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *check-branch-list-consI* **by** *auto*

next

case *False*

hence $Some\ (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x'\ s') = lookup\text{-}branch\ dc\ cssa$ **using** *lookup-branch.simps(2) check-branch-list-consI(10)*
cseq **by** *auto*

moreover have $(dc, const) \in set\ dclist$ **using** *check-branch-list-consI False* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *check-branch-list-consI* **by** *auto*

qed

next

case (*check-branch-list-finalI* $\Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ cons\ const\ va\ cs\ \tau$)

hence $cs = AS\text{-}branch\ cons\ x'\ s'$ **using** *lookup.simps check-branch-list-finalI lookup-branch.simps option.inject*

by (*metis map-of.simps(1) map-of-Cons-code(2) option.distinct(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust(2) weak-map-of-SomeI*)

then show *?case* **using** *check-branch-list-finalI* **by** *auto*

next

case (*check-branch-s-branchI* $\Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ \tau\ const\ x\ \Phi\ tid\ cons\ va\ s$)

Supporting facts here to make the main body of the proof concise

have $xf:atom\ x \not\# \tau$ **proof** —

have $supp\ \tau \subseteq supp\ \mathcal{B}$ **using** *wf-supp(4) check-branch-s-branchI atom-dom.simps setG.simps* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *fresh-def x-not-in-b-set* **by** *blast*

qed

hence $\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau$ **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v- τ -def* **by** *auto*
 have $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta$ **using** *forget-subst-dv wfD-x-fresh fresh-GNil check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *metis*

have $\text{supp } v = \{\}$ **using** *check-branch-s-branchI check-v-wf wfV-supp-nil* **by** *metis*
 hence $\text{supp } va = \{\}$ **using** $\langle va = V\text{-cons tid cons } v \rangle v.\text{supp pure-supp}$ **by** *auto*

let $?G = (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$
 obtain $z::x$ **where** $z: \text{const} = \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket \wedge \text{atom } z \# (x', v, x, \text{const}, va)$
using *obtain-fresh-z-c-of* **by** *metis*

thm *check-branch-s-branchI(23)*

have $vt: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket$

proof(*rule infer-v-conj*)

obtain t' **where** $t: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash t' \lesssim \text{const}$

using *check-v-elim check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *metis*

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

proof(*rule check-v-top*)

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket$

proof(*rule wfG-wfT*)

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce})) [z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \rangle \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

proof –

have $1: va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va$ **using** *forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN* **by** *metis*

moreover have $2: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const } x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

using *check-branch-s-branchI(17)[THEN check-s-wf] $\langle \Gamma = GNil \rangle$* **by** *auto*

moreover hence $\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of const}, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [x]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

using *wfG-conj* **by** *metis*

ultimately show *?thesis*

unfolding *subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

qed

show $\langle \text{atom } x \# ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce}) \rangle$ **unfolding** *c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh*

apply(*intro conjI*)

using *check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers* **apply** *simp*

using *check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers* **apply** *simp*

using *pure-supp* **apply** *force*

using *z fresh-x-neq fresh-prod5* **by** *metis*

qed

show $\langle [va]^{ce} = [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} [z::=v]_{cev} \rangle$

using $\langle va = V\text{-cons tid cons } v \rangle$ *subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps* **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \text{const} \rangle$ **using** *check-branch-s-branchI* **by** *auto*

show $\text{supp } [va]^{ce} \subseteq \text{supp } \mathcal{B}$ **using** $\langle \text{supp } va = \{\} \rangle$ *ce.supp* **by** *simp*

qed

show $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid c\text{-of const } z \rrbracket$

using *check-branch-s-branchI z* **by** *auto*

qed

Main body of proof for this case

```

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (?G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule subst-check-check-s)
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : b\text{-of const} \mid [va]^{ce} \rrbracket == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of}$ 
 $const z \rrbracket \rangle$  using vt by auto
  show  $\langle atom z \# (x, v) \rangle$  using z fresh-prodN by auto
  show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ 
    using check-branch-s-branchI by auto

  show  $\langle ?G = GNil @ (x, b\text{-of const}, ([va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons } [z]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } c\text{-of const}$ 
 $z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \rangle$ 
  proof -
    have  $va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va$  using forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN by metis
    moreover have  $(c\text{-of const } z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of const } x$ 
      using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps append-g.simps
      using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN z fresh-prodN check-branch-s-branchI by argo
    qed
  qed
  moreover have  $s[x::=v]_{sv} = s'[x'::=v]_{sv}$ 
    using check-branch-s-branchI subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis
  ultimately show ?case using check-branch-s-branchI  $\langle \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau \rangle \langle \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta \rangle$  by auto

qed(auto+)

```

Lemmas for while reduction. Making these separate lemmas allows flexibility in wiring them into the main proof and robustness if we change it

lemma check-unit:

```

fixes  $\tau::\tau$  and  $\Phi::\Phi$  and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and  $G::\Gamma$ 
assumes  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'$  and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  and  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 
and  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} \vdash_{wf} G$ 
shows  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; G ; \Delta \vdash \llbracket L\text{-unit} \rrbracket^v \rangle^s \Leftarrow \tau'$ 
proof -
  have  $*:\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash [L\text{-unit}]^v \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid [ [z]^v ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-unit}]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket$ 
    using infer-l.intros(4) infer-v-litI fresh-GNil assms wfX-wfY by (meson subtype-g-wf)
  moreover have  $\Theta ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z : B\text{-unit} \mid [ [z]^v ]^{ce} == [ [L\text{-unit}]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \lesssim \tau'$ 
    using subtype-top subtype-trans * infer-v-wf
    by (meson assms(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using subtype-top subtype-trans fresh-GNil assms check-valI assms check-s-g-weakening assms setG.simps
    by (metis bot.extremum infer-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening wfD-wf)
qed

```

lemma preservation-var:

```

shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash VAR u : \tau' = v IN s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow atom u \# \delta \Longrightarrow atom$ 
 $u \# \Delta \Longrightarrow$ 
   $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} ; GNil ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash (u, v) \# \delta \sim (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta$ 
and
  check-branch-s  $\Theta \Phi \{\llbracket \mid \rrbracket\} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True$  and

```

$check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
proof(nominal-induct $\{\|\}::bv \ fset \ GNil \ \Delta \ VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s \ \tau$ and τ and τ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-s)
 case (check-varI $u' \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \Delta \ \tau \ s'$)
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ using check-s-abs-u check-v-wf by metis

moreover have $\Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta)$ **proof**
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \rangle$ using check-varI by auto
 show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle$ using check-varI by auto
 show $\langle u \notin fst \ ' \ set \ \delta \rangle$ using check-varI fresh-d-fst-d by auto
qed

ultimately show ?case by simp
qed(auto+)

lemma check-while:
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{ \ s2 \} \Leftarrow \tau \implies atom \ x \ \# \ (s1, s2) \implies atom \ z' \ \# \ x$
 \implies
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x : (\llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V\text{-}var \ x) \ THEN \ (s2 ; (WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{s2\})))$
 $ELSE \ (\llbracket V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}unit \rrbracket^s)) \Leftarrow \tau$ and
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}s \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \ tid \ dc \ const \ v \ cs \ \tau \implies True$ and
 $check\text{-}branch\text{-}list \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ \tau \implies True$
proof(nominal-induct $\{\|\}::bv \ fset \ GNil \ \Delta \ AS\text{-}while \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau$ and τ and τ avoiding: s1 s2 x z' rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
 case (check-whileI $\Theta \ \Phi \ \Delta \ s1 \ s2 \ \tau'$)
 have $teq:\llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket = \llbracket z : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ using $\tau.eq\text{-}iff$ by auto

show ?case **proof**
 have $atom \ x \ \# \ \tau'$ using wfT-nil-supp fresh-def subtype-wfT check-whileI(5) by fast
moreover have $atom \ x \ \# \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ using $\tau.fresh \ c.fresh \ b.fresh$ by metis
ultimately show $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}, GNil, \Delta, \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, s1, \tau') \rangle$
 apply(unfold fresh-prodN)
 using check-whileI wb-x-fresh check-s-wf wfD-x-fresh fresh-empty-fset fresh-GNil fresh-Pair $\langle atom \ x \ \# \ \tau' \rangle$ by metis

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \rangle$ using check-whileI teq by metis

let ?G = $(x, b\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket, c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil$

have $cof:(c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x) = C\text{-}true$ using c-of.simps check-whileI subst-cv.simps by metis
have $wfg:\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} ?G$ **proof**
 show $c\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket \ x \in \{TRUE, FALSE\}$ using subst-cv.simps cof by auto
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$ using wfg-nilI check-whileI wfX-wfY check-s-wf by metis
 show $atom \ x \ \# \ GNil$ using fresh-GNil by auto
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-}of \ \llbracket z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \rrbracket$ using wfB-boolI wfX-wfY check-s-wf b-of.simps by (metis $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$)
qed

obtain $zz::x$ **where** $zf:\langle atom \ zz \ \# \ ((\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv \ fset, ?G, \Delta, [x]^v, AS\text{-}seq \ s2 \ (AS\text{-}while \ s1 \ s2), AS\text{-}val \ [L\text{-}unit]^v, \tau'), x, ?G) \rangle$
 using obtain-fresh by blast

```

show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢
  AS-if [ x ]v (AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2)) (AS-val [ L-unit ]v) ⇐ τ'
proof
  show atom zz # (Θ, Φ, {||}::bv fset, ?G, Δ, [ x ]v, AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2), AS-val [ L-unit ]v,
τ') using zf by auto
  show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ [ x ]v ⇐ ⌊ zz : B-bool | TRUE ⌋ ⟩ proof
    have atom zz # x ∧ atom zz # ?G using zf fresh-prodN by metis
    thus ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ [ x ]v ⇒ ⌊ zz : B-bool | [[zz]v]ce == [[ x ]v]ce ⌋ ⟩
      using infer-v-varI lookup.simps wfg b-of.simps by metis
    thus ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ ⌊ zz : B-bool | [[ zz ]v]ce == [[ x ]v]ce ⌋ ⟩
      using subtype-top infer-v-wf by metis
  qed
  show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2) ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [
L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
  proof
    have ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ using τ.eq-iff by auto
    thus ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ s2 ⇐ ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ ⟩ using check-s-g-weakening(1)
[OF check-whileI(3) - wfg] setG.simps
    by (simp add: ⌊ zz : B-unit | TRUE ⌋ = ⌊ z : B-unit | TRUE ⌋)

    show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v
]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
    proof(rule check-s-supertype(1))
      have ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ' ⟩ using check-whileI by auto
      thus *:⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ' ⟩ using check-s-g-weakening(1)[OF - -
wfg] setG.simps by auto

      show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ τ' ≲ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ'
zz ⌋ ⟩
      proof(rule subtype-eq-if-τ)
        show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf τ' ⟩ using * check-s-wf by auto
        thm wfT-wfT-if-rev
        show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢wf ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz
⌋ ⟩
          apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
          using subtype-wf check-whileI wfg zf fresh-prodN by metis+
          show ⟨ atom zz # τ' ⟩ using zf fresh-prodN by metis
        qed
      qed

    qed
  show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-val [ L-unit ]v ⇐ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-false
]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz ⌋ ⟩
  proof(rule check-s-supertype(1))

    show *:⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; ?G ; Δ ⊢ AS-val [ L-unit ]v ⇐ τ' ⟩
      using check-unit[OF check-whileI(5) - - wfg] using check-whileI wfg wfX-wfY check-s-wf by
metis
    show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; ?G ⊢ τ' ≲ ⌊ zz : b-of τ' | [[ x ]v ]ce == [ [ L-false ]v ]ce IMP c-of τ' zz
⌋ ⟩
    proof(rule subtype-eq-if-τ)

```

```

    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle$  using * check-s-wf by metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{||\} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \{ zz : b\text{-of } \tau' \mid [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-false} ]^v ]^{ce} \text{ IMP } c\text{-of } \tau' zz \}$ 
 $\rangle$ 
        apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
    using subtype-wf check-whileI wfg zf fresh-prodN by metis+
    show  $\langle atom\ zz \# \tau' \rangle$  using zf fresh-prodN by metis
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed(auto+)

thm split.intros

lemma check-s-narrow:
  fixes s::s and x::x
  assumes atom x # (Θ, Φ, B, Γ, Δ, c, τ, s) and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  and
     $\Theta ; B ; \Gamma \models c$ 
  shows  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$ 
proof -
  let  $?B = (\{||\}::bv\ fset)$ 
  let  $?v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}$ 

  obtain z::x where z: atom z # (x, [ L-true ]v, c) using obtain-fresh by metis

  have atom z # c using z fresh-prodN by auto
  hence c: c[x::=[ z ]v]v[z::=[ x ]v]cv = c using subst-v-c-def by simp

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=?v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=?v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=?v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=?v]_{\tau v}$ 
proof(rule subst-infer-check-s(1))
  show vt:  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash [ L\text{-true} ]^v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} )) \}$ 
 $\rangle$ 
    using infer-v-litI check-s-wf wfG-elim(2) infer-trueI assms by metis
  show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z)) == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true} )) \} \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v \}$ 
 $\rangle$  proof
    show  $\langle atom\ x \# (\Theta, B, \Gamma, z, [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce}, z, c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v) \rangle$ 
    apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
    prefer 5
    using c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh z fresh-prodN apply auto[1]
    prefer 6
    using fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c x] assms fresh-prodN apply simp
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
    using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
  using z fresh-prodN assms fresh-at-base by metis+
  show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \}$ 
 $\rangle$  using vt infer-v-wf by
simp
  show  $\langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v \}$ 
 $\rangle$  proof(rule wfG-wfT)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c[x::=[ z ]^v]_v[z::=[ x ]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle$  using c check-s-wf assms by
metis

```

```

  have atom x # [ z ]v using v.fresh z fresh-at-base by auto
  thus ⟨atom x # c[x::=[ z ]v]v⟩ using fresh-subst-v-if[of atom x c ] by auto
qed
have wfg: Θ ; B ⊢wf (x, B-bool, ([ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ) [z::=[ x ]v]v) #Γ Γ
  using wfgT-wfg vt infer-v-wf fresh-prodN assms by simp
show ⟨Θ ; B ; (x, B-bool, ([ [ z ]v ]ce == [ [ L-true ]v ]ce ) [z::=[ x ]v]v) #Γ Γ ⊢ c[x::=[ z ]v]v [z::=[ x ]v]v⟩
  using c.valid-weakening[OF assms(3) - wfg ] setG.simps
  using subst-v-c-def by auto
qed
show ⟨atom z # (x, [ L-true ]v)⟩ using z.fresh-prodN by metis
show ⟨Θ ; Φ ; B ; (x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ⟩ using assms by auto

thus ⟨(x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ = GNil @ (x, B-bool, c[x::=[ z ]v]v [z::=[ x ]v]cv) #Γ Γ⟩ using append-g.simps
c by auto
qed

moreover have ((x, B-bool, c) #Γ Γ) [x::=?v]Γv = Γ using subst-gv.simps by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using assms forget-subst-dv forget-subst-sv forget-subst-tv fresh-prodN by
metis
qed

```

lemma check-assert-s:

```

  fixes s::s and x::x
  assumes Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-assert c s ⇐ τ
    shows Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ c
proof -
  let ?B = ({||}::bv fset)
  let ?v = V-lit L-true

  obtain x where x: Θ ; Φ ; ?B ; (x, B-bool, c) #Γ GNil ; Δ ⊢ s ⇐ τ ∧ atom x # (Θ, Φ, ?B, GNil,
Δ, c, τ, s) ∧ Θ ; ?B ; GNil ⊢ c
    using check-s-elim(10)[OF ⟨Θ ; Φ ; ?B ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-assert c s ⇐ τ⟩] valid.simps by metis

  show ?thesis using assms check-s-narrow x by metis
qed

```

lemma preservation:

```

  fixes s::s and s'::s
  assumes Φ ⊢ ⟨ δ , s ⟩ ⟶ ⟨ δ' , s' ⟩ and Θ ; Φ ; Δ ⊢ ⟨ δ , s ⟩ ⇐ τ
  shows ∃ Δ'. Θ ; Φ ; Δ' ⊢ ⟨ δ' , s' ⟩ ⇐ τ ∧ setD Δ ⊆ setD Δ'
  using assms
proof(induct arbitrary: τ rule: reduce-stmt.induct)
  case (reduce-let-plusI δ x n1 n2 s')
  then show ?case using preservation-plus
    by (metis order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-leqI b n1 n2 δ x s)
  then show ?case using preservation-leq by (metis order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-appI f z b c τ' s' Φ δ x v s)

```

hence $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}app\ f\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** $config\text{-}type\text{-}elims[OF\ reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appI(2)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}app\ f\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ (s'[z::=v]_{sv})\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *preservation-app reduce-let-appI tt* **by** *auto*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ s'[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *config-typeI tt* **by** *auto*
then show $?case$ **using** *tt order-refl reduce-let-appI* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appPI\ f\ bv\ z\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'\ \Phi\ \delta\ x\ b'\ v\ s)$

hence $tt: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** $config\text{-}type\text{-}elims[OF\ reduce\text{-}let\text{-}appPI(2)]$ **by** *metis*
hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ (s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv})\ s \Leftarrow \tau$
proof(*rule preservation-poly-app*)

show $\langle Some\ (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ z\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s')) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f \rangle$ **using** *reduce-let-appPI* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd \rangle$ **using** *tt lookup-fun-member* **by** *metis*

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf}\ b' \rangle$ **using** *check-s-elims infer-e-wf wfE-elims* $*$ **by** *metis*

qed(*auto+*)

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let2\ x\ (\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v})\ s'[bv::=b]_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv}\ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *config-typeI tt* **by** *auto*
then show $?case$ **using** *tt order-refl reduce-let-appI* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}if\text{-}trueI\ \delta\ s1\ s2)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-if* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}if\text{-}falseI\ uw\ \delta\ s1\ s2)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-if* **by** *metis*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}valI\ \delta\ x\ v\ s)$

then show $?case$ **using** *preservation-let-val* **by** *presburger*

next

case $(reduce\text{-}let\text{-}mvar\ u\ v\ \delta\ \Phi\ x\ s)$

hence $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ u)\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. \text{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
using *config-type-elims* **by** *blast*

hence $**:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ u)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

obtain $xa::x$ **and** $za::x$ **and** $ca::c$ **and** $ba::b$ **and** $sa::s$ **where**

$sa1: atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-}mvar\ u, \tau) \wedge atom\ za \# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE\text{-}mvar\ u, \tau, sa) \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}mvar\ u \Rightarrow \{ \{ za : ba \mid ca \} \}$

$\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, ba, ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$
 $(\forall c. atom\ c \# (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom\ c \# (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)$


```

using check-s-elim(2)[OF **] subst-defs by metis

have  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$  proof –
  have  $(u, \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket) \in setD \Delta$  using infer-e-elim(11) sa1 by fast
  thus ?thesis using delta-sim-v reduce-let-mvar config-type-elim check-s-wf by metis
qed

then obtain  $\tau'$  where  $vst: \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \wedge$ 
   $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$  using check-v-elim by blast

obtain za2 and ba2 and ca2 where  $zbc: \tau' = (\llbracket za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \rrbracket) \wedge atom\ za2 \# (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi,$ 
 $\{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE-val\ v, \tau, sa))$ 
  using obtain-fresh-z by blast
have beq: ba=ba2 using subtype-eq-base vst zbc by blast

moreover have xaf: atom xa # (za, za2)
  apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
  using sa1 zbc fresh-prodN fresh-x-neq by metis

have sat2:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil @ (xa, ba, ca2[za::=V-var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$  proof(rule
ctx-subtype-s)
  show  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil @ (xa, ba, ca[za::=V-var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$  using sa1 by
auto
  show  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket za2 : ba \mid ca2 \rrbracket \lesssim \llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket$  using beq zbc vst by fast
  show  $atom\ xa \# (za, za2, ca, ca2)$  proof –
    have  $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \rrbracket)$  using zbc vst subtype-wf by auto
    hence  $supp\ ca2 \subseteq \{atom\ za2\}$  using wfT-supp-c[OF *] supp-GNil by simp
    moreover have  $atom\ za2 \# xa$  using zbc fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq by metis
    ultimately have  $atom\ xa \# ca2$  using zbc supp-at-base fresh-def
      by (metis empty-iff singleton-iff subset-singletonD)
    moreover have  $atom\ xa \# ca$  proof –
      have  $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\llbracket za : ba \mid ca \rrbracket)$  using zbc vst subtype-wf by auto
      hence  $supp\ ca \subseteq \{atom\ za\}$  using wfT-supp  $\tau.supp$  by force
      moreover have  $xa \neq za$  using fresh-def fresh-x-neq xaf fresh-Pair by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by auto
    qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using xaf sa1 fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair by metis
  qed
  qed
hence dwf:  $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$  using sa1 infer-e-wf by meson

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let\ xa\ (AE-val\ v)\ sa \Leftarrow \tau$  proof
  have  $atom\ xa \# (AE-val\ v)$  using infer-v-wf(1) wfV-supp fresh-def e.fresh x-not-in-b-set vst by
fastforce
  thus  $atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE-val\ v, \tau)$  using sa1 freshers by simp
  have  $atom\ za2 \# (AE-val\ v)$  using infer-v-wf(1) wfV-supp fresh-def e.fresh x-not-in-b-set vst by
fastforce
  thus  $atom\ za2 \# (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, AE-val\ v, \tau, sa)$  using zbc freshers fresh-prodN
by auto
  have  $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$  using sa1 infer-e-wf by auto
  thus  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ v \Rightarrow \llbracket za2 : ba \mid ca2 \rrbracket$ 
    using zbc vst beq dwf infer-e-valI by blast

```

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, ba, ca2[za2::=V-var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *sat2 append-g.simps*
subst-defs by metis
qed
moreover have $AS-let\ xa\ (AE-val\ v)\ sa = AS-let\ x\ (AE-val\ v)\ s$ **proof** –
have $[[atom\ x]]lst.\ s = [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ sa$
using *sa1 Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[where z = (s, sa)] by metis*
thus *?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(2) by metis*
qed
ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let\ x\ (AE-val\ v)\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

then show *?case using reduce-let-mvar * config-typeI*
by *(meson order-refl)*
next
case *(reduce-let2I $\Phi\ \delta\ s1\ \delta'\ s1'\ x\ t\ s2$)*
hence $** : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi.\ check-fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ **using** *config-type-elim[OF reduce-let2I(3)] by blast*
hence $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **by** *auto*

obtain $xa::x$ **and** $z::x$ **and** c **and** b **and** $s2a::s$ **where** $st: atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta, t, s1, \tau) \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \wedge$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau \wedge ([[atom\ x]]lst.\ s2 = [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ s2a)$
using *check-s-elim(4)[OF *] Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by metis*

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow t$ **using** *config-typeI ** by auto*
then obtain Δ' **where** $s1r: \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow t \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$ **using** *reduce-let2I*
by *presburger*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2\ xa\ t\ s1'\ s2a \Leftarrow \tau$
proof(*rule check-let2I*)
show $* : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow t$ **using** *config-type-elim st s1r by metis*
show $atom\ xa \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}::bv\ fset, GNil, \Delta', t, s1', \tau)$ **proof** –
have $atom\ xa \# s1'$ **using** *check-s-x-fresh * by auto*
moreover have $atom\ xa \# \Delta'$ **using** *check-s-x-fresh * by auto*
ultimately show *?thesis using st fresh-prodN by metis*
qed

show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; (xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof** –
have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** ** check-s-wf by auto*
moreover have $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} ((xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)$ **using** *st check-s-wf by auto*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; ((xa, b-of\ t, c-of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** *wf-weakening by auto*
thus *?thesis using check-s-d-weakening check-s-wf st s1r by metis*
qed
qed
moreover have $AS-let2\ xa\ t\ s1'\ s2a = AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1'\ s2$ **using** *st s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis*
ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2\ x\ t\ s1'\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *st by argo*
moreover have $\Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$ **using** *config-type-elim s1r by fast*
ultimately show *?case using config-typeI ***
by *(meson s1r)*
next

case (reduce-let2-valI vb δ x t v s)
 then show ?case using preservation-let-val by meson
 next
 case (reduce-varI u δ Φ τ' v s)
 thm check-s-flip-u
 hence $** : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau'\ v\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by meson
 have uf: atom u $\#$ Δ using reduce-varI delta-sim-fresh by force
 hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau'\ v\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ and $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ using $**$ by auto

 thus ?case using preservation-var reduce-varI config-typeI $**$ set-subset-Cons
 setD-ConsD subsetI by (metis delta-sim-fresh)

next
 case (reduce-assignI Φ δ u v)
 hence $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}assign\ u\ v \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by meson
 then obtain z and τ' where $zt : \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \lesssim \tau \wedge (u, \tau') \in setD\ \Delta$
 $\wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta$
 using check-s-elim(8) by metis
 hence $\Theta \vdash update\text{-}d\ \delta\ u\ v \sim \Delta$ using update-d-sim $*$ by metis
 moreover have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}unit) \Leftarrow \tau$ using $zt * check\text{-}s\text{-}v\text{-}unit$
 check-s-wf
 by auto
 ultimately show ?case using config-typeI $*$ by (meson order-refl)

next
 case (reduce-seq1I Φ δ s)
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using check-s-elim config-type-elim by force
 then show ?case using config-typeI by blast

next
 case (reduce-seq2I s1 v Φ δ δ' s1' s2)
 hence $tt : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}seq\ s1\ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$
 using config-type-elim by blast
 then obtain z where $zz : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 using check-s-elim by blast
 hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$
 using tt config-typeI tt by simp
 then obtain Δ' where $*$: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$
 using reduce-seq2I by meson
 moreover hence $s't : \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket) \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$
 using config-type-elim by force
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ using check-s-wf by meson
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau$
 using calculation(1) zz check-s-d-weakening $*$ by metis
 moreover hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta' \vdash (AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2) \Leftarrow \tau$
 using check-seqI zz s't by meson
 ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2 \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge setD\ \Delta \subseteq setD\ \Delta'$

```

    using zz config-typeI tt by meson
  then show ?case by meson
next
case (reduce-whileI x s1 s2 z' Φ δ)

  hence *: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ⊢ δ ∼ Δ ∧ (∀ fd ∈ set Φ. check-fundef
  Θ Φ fd)
  using config-type-elim by meson

  hence **: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-while s1 s2 ⇐ τ by auto
  hence Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-let2 x (λ z' : B-bool | TRUE) s1 (AS-if (V-var x) (AS-seq s2
  (AS-while s1 s2)) (AS-val (V-lit L-unit))) ⇐ τ
  using check-while reduce-whileI by auto
  thus ?case using config-typeI * by (meson subset-refl)

next
case (reduce-caseI dc x' s' css Φ δ tyid v)

  hence **: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css ⇐ τ ∧ Θ ⊢ δ ∼ Δ ∧ (∀ fd ∈ set
  Φ. check-fundef Θ Φ fd)
  using config-type-elim[OF reduce-caseI(2)] by metis
  hence ***: Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css ⇐ τ by auto

  let ?vcons = V-cons tyid dc v

  obtain dclist tid and z::x where cv: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ (V-cons tyid dc v) ⇐ (λ z : B-id tid | TRUE
  λ) ∧
  Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ; tid ; dclist ; (V-cons tyid dc v) ⊢ css ⇐ τ ∧ AF-typedef tid dclist ∈ set Θ
  ∧
  Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇐ λ z : B-id tid | TRUE λ
  using check-s-elim(9)[OF ***] by metis

  hence vi: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇐ λ z : B-id tid | TRUE λ by auto
  obtain tcons where vi2: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇒ tcons ∧ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ tcons ≲ λ
  z : B-id tid | TRUE λ
  using check-v-elim(1)[OF vi] by metis
  hence vi1: Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ V-cons tyid dc v ⇒ tcons by auto

  show ?case proof(rule infer-v-elim(4)[OF vi1],goal-cases)
  case (1 dclist2 x2 b2 c2 z2' c2' z2)
  have tyid = tid using τ.eq-iff using subtype-eq-base vi2 1 by fastforce
  hence deq:dclist = dclist2 using check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv 1 wfTh-dclist-unique by metis
  have Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ⊢ s'[x'::=v]sv ⇐ τ proof(rule check-match(3))
  show ⟨ Θ ; Φ ; {||} ; GNil ; Δ ; tyid ; dclist ; ?vcons ⊢ css ⇐ τ ⟩ using ⟨ tyid = tid ⟩ cv by auto
  show distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv by metis
  show ⟨ ?vcons = V-cons tyid dc v ⟩ by auto
  show ⟨ {||} = {||} ⟩ by auto
  show ⟨ (dc, λ x2 : b2 | c2) ∈ set dclist ⟩ using 1 deq by auto
  show ⟨ GNil = GNil ⟩ by auto
  show ⟨ Some (AS-branch dc x' s') = lookup-branch dc css ⟩ using reduce-caseI by auto
  show ⟨ Θ ; {||} ; GNil ⊢ v ⇐ λ x2 : b2 | c2 λ ⟩ using 1 check-v.intros by auto
qed

```

```

    thus ?case using config-typeI ** by blast
qed

next
  case (reduce-let-fstI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
next
  case (reduce-let-sndI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
next
  case (reduce-let-concatI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v1$   $v2$   $s$ )
  hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)))\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
     $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$ 
    using config-type-elim by metis

  obtain  $z :: x$  where  $z$ :  $atom\ z \# (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))), GNil, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2)))$ 
    using obtain-fresh by metis

  have  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  using check-s-wf elim by auto

  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))))\ s \Leftarrow \tau$  proof(rule
  subtype-let)
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)))\ s$ 
 $\Leftarrow \tau \rangle$  using elim by auto
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))) \Rightarrow \{\|\ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == (CE\text{-}concat\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)]^{ce})) \} \rangle$ 
      (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e1 \Rightarrow ?t1$ )
    proof
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$  using check-s-wf elim by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  using check-s-wf elim by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1) \Rightarrow \{\|\ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)) \} \rangle$ 
        using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil by auto
      show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\|\ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)) \} \rangle$ 
        using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil by auto
      show  $\langle atom\ z \# AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)) \rangle$  using  $z$  fresh-Pair by metis
      show  $\langle atom\ z \# GNil \rangle$  using  $z$  fresh-Pair by auto
    qed
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))) \Rightarrow \{\|\ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))) \} \rangle$ 
      (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2$ )
      using infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle$  fresh-GNil check-s-wf elim by
      metis
    show  $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1 \rangle$  using subtype-concat check-s-wf elim by auto
  qed

  thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-lenI  $\Phi$   $\delta$   $x$   $v$   $s$ )

```

hence *elim*: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}len\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v)))\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge$
 $(\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *check-s-elim config-type-elim by metis*

then obtain t **where** $t: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}len\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v)) \Rightarrow t$ **using** *check-s-elim by meson*

moreover then obtain $z::x$ **where** $t = \llbracket z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}len\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v)]^{ce}) \rrbracket$ **using** *infer-e-elim by meson*

moreover obtain $z':x$ **where** $atom\ z' \# v$ **using** *obtain-fresh by metis*

moreover have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (int\ (length\ v)))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (int\ (length\ v)))) \rrbracket$

using *infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros(3) t check-s-wf elim*

by *(metis infer-l-form2 type-for-lit.simps(3))*

moreover have $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash \llbracket z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (int\ (length\ v)))) \rrbracket \lesssim$

$\llbracket z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}len\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))]^{ce} \rrbracket$ **using** *subtype-len check-s-wf elim by auto*

ultimately have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (int\ (length\ v))))\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *subtype-let by (meson elim)*

thus *?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)*

next

case *(reduce-let-splitI n v v1 v2 Φ δ x s)*

hence *elim*: $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}split\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n)))\ s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$

$\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set\ \Phi. check\text{-}fundef\ \Theta\ \Phi\ fd)$

using *config-type-elim by metis*

obtain $z::x$ **where** $z: atom\ z \# (AE\text{-}split\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n))), GNil, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))),$
 $([L\text{-}bitvec\ v1]^v, [L\text{-}bitvec\ v2]^v)$

using *obtain-fresh by metis*

have $*:\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$ **using** *check-s-wf elim by auto*

have $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}pair\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))))\ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**(*rule subtype-let*)

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let\ x\ (AE\text{-}split\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n)))\ s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle$ **using** *elim by auto*

show $\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}split\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-}pair\ B\text{-}bitvec\ B\text{-}bitvec$

$\mid ((CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))) == (CE\text{-}concat\ (CE\text{-}fst\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)))\ (CE\text{-}snd\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)))) \rrbracket$

$AND\ (((CE\text{-}len\ (CE\text{-}fst\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)))) == (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n)))) \rrbracket \rangle$

(is $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e1 \Rightarrow ?t1$)

proof

show $\langle \Theta ; \{\|\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle$ **using** *check-s-wf elim by auto*

show $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$ **using** *check-s-wf elim by auto*

```

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v)) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
  using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  ⟩ fresh-GNil by auto
show  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash [ L\text{-num}$ 
   $n ]^v \Leftarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-int} \mid [ leq [ [ L\text{-num}$ 
   $0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \text{ AND}$ 
 $[ leq [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ [ L\text{-bitvec}$ 
 $v ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L\text{-true} ]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket$  using split-n reduce-let-splitI check-v-num-leq
 $* wfX\text{-}wfY$  by metis
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [ L\text{-bitvec } v ]^v [ L\text{-num } n ]^v$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [ L\text{-bitvec } v ]^v [ L\text{-num } n ]^v$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# AE\text{-split } [ L\text{-bitvec } v ]^v [ L\text{-num } n ]^v$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
  show ⟨ atom  $z \# GNil$  ⟩ using z fresh-Pair by auto
qed

show ⟨  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2))) \Rightarrow \llbracket z : B\text{-pair } B\text{-bitvec } B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } ((V\text{-pair } (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v1)) (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2)))) \rrbracket \rangle$ 
  (is  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2$ )
  apply(rule infer-e-valI)
  using check-s-wf elim apply metis
  using check-s-wf elim apply metis
  apply(rule infer-v-pairI)
  using z fresh-prodN apply metis
  using fresh-GNil apply metis
  using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} GNil$  ⟩ apply blast+
  done
show ⟨  $\Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1$  ⟩ using subtype-split check-s-wf elim reduce-let-splitI by auto
qed

thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)
next
case (reduce-assert1I  $\Phi \delta c v$ )

hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
 $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check\text{-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$ 
  using config-type-elims reduce-assert1I by metis
hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau$  by auto

have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau$  using check-assert-s * by metis
thus ?case using elim config-typeI by blast
next
case (reduce-assert2I  $\Phi \delta s \delta' s' c$ )

hence elim:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge$ 
 $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \wedge (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check\text{-fundef } \Theta \Phi fd)$ 
  using config-type-elims by metis
hence  $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-assert } c s \Leftarrow \tau$  by auto

have cv:  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\}; GNil \models c$  using check-assert-s * by metis

```

hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *elim config-typeI* **by** *simp*
 then obtain Δ' where $D: \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta'$ **using** *reduce-assert2I*
by *metis*
 hence $**:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'$ **using** *config-type-elim* **by** *metis*

 obtain $x::x$ where $x:\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, (\{\|\}::\text{bv fset}), \text{GNil}, \Delta', c, \tau, s')$ **using** *obtain-fresh* **by** *metis*

 have $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash \text{AS-assert } c \ s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **proof**
 show $\text{atom } x \# (\Theta, \Phi, \{\|\}, \text{GNil}, \Delta', c, \tau, s')$ **using** x **by** *auto*
 have $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} c$ **using** $*$ *check-s-wf* **by** *auto*
 hence $wf:\Theta ; \{\|\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil}$ **using** *wfC-wfG wfB-boolI check-s-wf ** *fresh-GNil*
by *auto*
 moreover have $cs: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \text{GNil} ; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *check-s-g-weakening(1)[OF*
cs - wf] *setG.simps* **by** *simp*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \models c$ **using** cv **by** *auto*
 show $\Theta ; \{\|\}; \text{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \Delta'$ **using** *check-s-wf *** **by** *auto*
qed

 thus $?case$ **using** *elim config-typeI D *** **by** *metis*
qed

thm *valid-wfC*

lemma *preservation-many*:

fixes $s::s$ and $s'::s$
 assumes $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle$
 shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \implies \exists \Delta'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \wedge \text{setD } \Delta \subseteq \text{setD } \Delta'$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct arbitrary: Δ rule: reduce-stmt-many.induct*)
 case (*reduce-stmt-many-oneI* $\Phi \delta s \delta' s'$)
 then show $?case$ **using** *preservation* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*reduce-stmt-many-manyI* $\Phi \delta s \delta' s' \delta'' s''$)
 then show $?case$ **using** *preservation subset-trans* **by** *metis*
qed

16.3 Progress

Well typed program is either a value or we can make a step

lemma *check-let-op-infer*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\text{supp } (\text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s) \subseteq \text{atom}'\text{fst}'\text{setD } \Delta$
 shows $\exists z \ b \ c. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{\{z:b|c\}\}$
proof –
 have $xx: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash \text{LET } x = (\text{AE-op } \text{opp } v1 \ v2) \text{ IN } s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
 then show $?thesis$ **using** *check-s-elim(2)[OF xx]* **by** *meson*
qed

lemma *infer-pair*:

assumes $\Theta ; B; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c\}\}$ **and** $\text{supp } v = \{\}$

obtains $v1$ **and** $v2$ **where** $v = V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2$
using *assms* **proof**(*nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct*)
 case ($V\text{-lit } x$)
 then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case ($V\text{-var } x$)
 then show ?*case* **using** $v.\text{supp}$ *supp-at-base* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-pair } x1a \ x2a$)
 then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-cons } x1a \ x2a \ x3$)
 then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
 case ($V\text{-consp } x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4$)
 then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *progress-fst*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $\text{supp } (LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s) \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'setD \ \Delta$
 shows $\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, LET \ x = (AE\text{-fst } v) \ IN \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$

proof –

have $*:\text{supp } v = \{\}$ **using** *assms s-branch-s-branch-list.supp* **by** *auto*
 obtain z **and** b **and** c **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-fst } v) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \} \}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) **using** *assms* **by** *meson*
 moreover obtain z' **and** b' **and** c' **where** $\Theta ; \{||\} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{ z' : B\text{-pair } b \ b' \mid c' \} \}$
 using *infer-e-elim*(8) **using** *calculation* **by** *auto*
 moreover **then obtain** $v1$ **and** $v2$ **where** $V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2 = v$
 using $* \text{infer-pair}$ **by** *metis*
 ultimately **show** ?*thesis* **using** *reduce-let-fstI* *assms* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *progress-let*:

assumes $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = e \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **and** $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ **and** $\text{supp } (LET \ x = e \ IN \ s) \subseteq \text{atom}'fst'setD \ \Delta$ **and** *sble* $\Theta \ \Gamma$
 shows $\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, LET \ x = e \ IN \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle$

using *assms*

proof(*nominal-induct e rule: e.strong-induct*)

case ($AE\text{-val } v$)
then show ?*case* **using** *reduce-stmt-elim* *reduce-let-valI*
proof –
 show ?*thesis*
 by (*metis* (*no-types*) *reduce-let-valI*)
qed

next

case ($AE\text{-app } f \ v$)
 obtain τ'' **where** $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \Rightarrow \tau''$
 using *check-s-elim*(2)[*OF AE-app*(1)] **by** *metis*

hence $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \text{ (AE-op LEq ((V-lit (L-num n1))) ((V-lit (L-num n2)))) s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \text{ (AE-val (V-lit (b))) } s \rangle$
 using *reduce-let-leqI* by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*metis* 2 $\langle \wedge thesis. (\wedge n1\ n2. v1 = V\text{-lit (L-num n1)} \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit (L-num n2)} \implies thesis) \implies thesis \rangle$ *reduce-let-leqI*)
 qed
 next
 case (*AE-fst* *v*)
 thus *?case* using *progress-fst* by *auto*
 next
 case (*AE-snd* *v*)
 have $*:supp\ v = \{\}$ using *AE-snd s-branch-s-branch-list.sup* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-snd } v) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) using *AE-snd.prem* by *meson*
 moreover obtain *z'* and *b'* and *c'* where $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{ z' : B\text{-pair } b' b \mid c' \}\}$
 using *infer-e-elim*(8) using *calculation* by *auto*
 moreover then obtain *v1* and *v2* where $V\text{-pair } v1\ v2 = v$
 using $*$ *infer-pair* by *metis*

 ultimately show *?case* using *reduce-let-sndI AE-snd* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-mvar* *u*)
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-mvar } u) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) by *meson*
 hence $(u, \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}) \in setD\ \Delta$ using *infer-e-elim*(10) by *meson*
 then obtain *v* where $(u, v) \in set\ \delta$ using *assms delta-sim-delta-lookup* by *meson*
 then show *?case* using *reduce-let-mvar* by *blast*
 next
 case (*AE-len* *v*)
 have $*:supp\ v = \{\}$ using *AE-len s-branch-s-branch-list.sup* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-len* by *meson*
 then obtain *z'* and *c'* where $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\{ z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c' \}\}$ using *infer-e-elim* by *auto*
 then obtain *bv* where $v = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec bv)}$ using *infer-bitvec ** by *metis*
 thus *?case* using *reduce-let-lenI AE-len* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-concat* *v1* *v2*)
 have $*:supp\ v1 = \{\} \wedge supp\ v2 = \{\}$ using *AE-concat s-branch-s-branch-list.sup* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-concat } v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-concat* by *meson*
 then obtain *z1* and *c1* and *z2* and *c2* where $\Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{\{ z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \}\} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{\{ z2 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c2 \}\}$ using *infer-e-elim* by *auto*
 then obtain *bv1* and *bv2* where $v1 = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec bv1)} \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit (L-bitvec bv2)}$ using *infer-bitvec ** by *metis*
 thus *?case* using *reduce-let-concatI AE-concat* by *metis*
 next
 case (*AE-split* *v1* *v2*)
 have $vs:supp\ v1 = \{\} \wedge supp\ v2 = \{\}$ using *AE-split s-branch-s-branch-list.sup* by *auto*
 then obtain *z* and *b* and *c* where $*:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-split } v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\{ z : b \mid c \}\}$
 using *check-s-elim*(2) *AE-split* by *meson*

then obtain $z1$ **and** $c1$ **and** $z2$ **and** $z3$ **where** $**:\Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{\| z1 : B\text{-bitvec} \mid c1 \} \wedge \Theta ; \{\|\}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{\| z2 : B\text{-int} \mid \text{leq} [[L\text{-num} \quad 0]^v]^{ce} [[z2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \text{ AND } [\text{leq} [[z2]^v]^{ce} [[v1]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-true}]^v]^{ce} \} \wedge \text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma$
using $\text{infer-e-elim}(22)[OF *]$ **by** metis
then obtain bv **and** n **where** $*: v1 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } bv) \wedge v2 = V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n)$ **using** $\text{infer-bitvec check-int vs}$ **by** metis
moreover have $\text{atom } z2 \# \Gamma$ **using** $**$ **by** auto
ultimately have $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{int } (\text{length } bv)$ **using** $\text{check-v-range}[OF - *]$ $**$ AE-split **by** metis
then obtain $bv1$ **and** $bv2$ **where** $\text{split } n \text{ } bv (bv1, bv2)$ **using** obtain-split **by** metis

thus $?case$ **using** $\text{reduce-let-splitI}[of \ n \ bv \ bv1 \ bv2 \ \Phi \ \delta \ x \ s]$ $\text{AE-split } *$ **by** metis
qed

lemma $\text{check-css-lookup-branch-exist}$:

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$ **and** $v::v$
shows
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{True}$ **and**
 $\text{check-branch-s } \Theta \ \Phi \ \{\|\} \ GNil \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ dc \ \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau \Longrightarrow \text{True}$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dclist ; v \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow (dc, t) \in \text{set } dclist \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists x' s'. \text{Some } (AS\text{-branch } dc \ x' \ s') = \text{lookup-branch } dc \ css$
proof($\text{nominal-induct } \tau$ **and** τ **and** τ **rule:** $\text{check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct}$)
case ($\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons } \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau \ dclist \ css$)
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-finalI}$ **by** force
next
case ($\text{check-branch-list-finalI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons } \text{const } v \ cs \ \tau$)
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-finalI}$ **by** force
qed(auto+)

lemma progress-aux :

fixes $s::s$ **and** $cs::\text{branch-s}$ **and** $css::\text{branch-list}$
shows $\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} = \{\|\} \Longrightarrow \text{sble } \Theta \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom 'fst ' setD } \Delta$
 $\Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow$
 $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta' s'. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle)$ **and**
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dc ; \text{const} ; v2 \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{supp } cs = \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta ; \text{tid} ; dclist ; v2 \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \text{supp } css = \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{True}$
proof($\text{induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts}$)
case ($\text{check-valI } \Delta \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ v \ \tau' \ \tau$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto
next
case ($\text{check-letI } x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c$)
hence $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{\|\}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s \Leftarrow \tau$ **using** Typing.check-letI **by** meson
then show $?case$ **using** $\text{progress-let check-letI}$ **by** metis
next
case ($\text{check-branch-s-branchI } \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ \text{const } x \ \Phi \ \text{tid} \ \text{cons } v \ s$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto
next
case ($\text{check-branch-list-consI } \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \text{tid} \ dclist \ v \ cs \ \tau \ css$)
then show $?case$ **by** auto

```

next
  case (check-branch-list-finalI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  tid dclist v cs  $\tau$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-ifI z  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  v s1 s2  $\tau$ )
  have supp v = {} using check-ifI s-branch-s-branch-list.supp by auto
  hence v = V-lit L-true  $\vee$  v = V-lit L-false using check-bool-options check-ifI by auto
  then show ?case using reduce-if-falseI reduce-if-trueI check-ifI by meson
next
  case (check-let2I x  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta$  t s1  $\tau$  s2 )
  then consider ( $\exists v. s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ ) | ( $\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle$ ) by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using reduce-let2-valI by fast
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using reduce-let2I check-let2I by meson
  qed
next
  case (check-varI u  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s$ )

  obtain uu::u where uf: atom uu  $\sharp$  (u, $\delta$ ,s) using obtain-fresh by blast
  obtain sa where (uu  $\leftrightarrow$  u)  $\cdot$  s = sa by presburger
  moreover have atom uu  $\sharp$  s using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
  ultimately have AS-var uu  $\tau' v$  sa = AS-var u  $\tau' v$  s using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(7) Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of
uu sa u s] by auto

  moreover have atom uu  $\sharp$   $\delta$  using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
  ultimately have  $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}var\ u\ \tau'\ v\ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (uu, v) \# \delta, sa \rangle$ 
    using reduce-varI uf by metis
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-assignI  $\Delta$  u  $\tau$  P G v z  $\tau'$ )
  then show ?case using reduce-assignI by blast
next
  case (check-whileI  $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau'$ )
  obtain x::x where atom x  $\sharp$  (s1,s2) using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover obtain z::x where atom z  $\sharp$  x using obtain-fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?case using reduce-whileI by fast
next
  case (check-seqI P  $\Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta$  s1 z s2  $\tau$ )
  thus ?case proof(cases  $\exists v. s1 = AS\text{-}val\ v$ )
    case True
    then obtain v where v: s1 = AS-val v by blast
    hence supp v = {} using check-seqI by auto
    have  $\exists z1\ c1. P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 \rrbracket)$  proof -
      obtain t where t:P ;  $\mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow t \wedge P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t \lesssim (\llbracket z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \rrbracket)$ 
      using v check-seqI(1) check-s-elim(1) by blast
      obtain z1 and b1 and c1 where teq: t = ( $\llbracket z1 : b1 \mid c1 \rrbracket$ ) using obtain-fresh-z by meson
      hence b1 = B-unit using subtype-eq-base t by meson
      thus ?thesis using t teq by fast
    qed
  qed

```

then obtain $z1$ and $c1$ where $P ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\llbracket z1 : B\text{-unit} \mid c1 \rrbracket)$ by *auto*
 hence $v = V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}$ using *infer-v-unit-form* $\langle \text{supp } v = \{\} \rangle$ by *simp*
 hence $s1 = AS\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit})$ using v by *auto*
 then show *?thesis* using *check-seqI reduce-seq1I* by *meson*
 next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis* using *check-seqI reduce-seq2I*
 by (*metis Un-subset-iff s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(9)*)
 qed
 next
 case (*check-caseI* $\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \text{tid } dclist \ v \ cs \ \tau \ z$)
 hence $\text{supp } v = \{\}$ by *auto*

 then obtain v' and dc and $t::\tau$ where $v: v = V\text{-cons } \text{tid } dc \ v' \wedge (dc, t) \in \text{set } dclist$
 using *check-v-tid-form check-caseI* by *metis*
 obtain z and b and c where $\text{teq}: t = (\llbracket z : b \mid c \rrbracket)$ using *obtain-fresh-z* by *meson*

 moreover then obtain $x' s'$ where $\text{Some } (AS\text{-branch } dc \ x' \ s') = \text{lookup-branch } dc \ cs$ using $v \ \text{teq}$
check-caseI check-css-lookup-branch-exist by *metis*
 ultimately show *?case* using *reduce-caseI v check-caseI dc-of.cases* by *metis*
 next
 case (*check-assertI* $x \ \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \ c \ \tau \ s$)
 hence $\text{sps}: \text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom } 'fst' \ \text{setD } \Delta$ by *auto*
 have $\text{atom } x \ \# \ c$ using *check-assertI* by *auto*
 have $\text{atom } x \ \# \ \Gamma$ using *check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elim* by *metis*
 have $\text{sble } \Theta ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)$ **proof** –
 obtain i' where $i': i' \models \Gamma \wedge \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'$ using *check-assertI sble-def* by *metis*
 obtain $i::\text{valuation}$ where $i:i = i' (x \mapsto SBool \text{True})$ by *auto*

 have $i \models (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ **proof** –
 have $i' \models c$ using *valid.simps i' check-assertI* by *metis*
 hence $i \models c$ using *is-satis-weakening-x i (atom x # c)* by *auto*
 moreover have $i \models \Gamma$ using *is-satis-g-weakening-x i' i check-assertI (atom x # \Gamma)* by *metis*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *is-satis-g.simps i* by *auto*
 qed
 moreover have $\Theta ; ((x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash i$ **proof**(*rule wfI-cons*)
 show $\langle i' \models \Gamma \rangle$ using i' by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' \rangle$ using i' by *auto*
 show $\langle i = i'(x \mapsto SBool \text{True}) \rangle$ using i by *auto*
 show $\langle \Theta \vdash SBool \text{True}: B\text{-bool} \rangle$ using *wfRCV-BBoolI* by *auto*
 show $\langle \text{atom } x \ \# \ \Gamma \rangle$ using *check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elim* by *auto*
 qed
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *sble-def* by *auto*
 qed
 then consider $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \mid (\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle)$ using *check-assertI sps* by *metis*
 hence $(\exists \delta' a. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \text{ASSERT } c \text{ IN } s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle)$ **proof**(*cases*)
 case 1
 then show *?thesis* using *reduce-assert1I* by *metis*
 next
 case 2

```

    then show ?thesis using reduce-assert2I by metis
qed
thus ?case by auto
qed

lemma progress:
  fixes s::s
  assumes  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $(\exists v. s = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta' s'. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle)$ 
proof -
  have  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau$  and  $\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta$ 
  using config-type-elim[OF assms(1)] by auto+
  moreover hence  $\text{supp } s \subseteq \text{atom } 'fst' \text{ setD } \Delta$  using check-s-wf wfS-supp by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{sble } \Theta \text{ } GNil$  using sble-def wfI-def is-satis-g.simps by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using progress-aux by blast
qed

```

16.4 Safety

```

lemma safety:
  assumes  $\Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle$  and  $\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau$ 
  shows  $(\exists v. s' = [v]^s) \vee (\exists \delta'' s''. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle)$ 
  using preservation-many progress assms by meson

```

unused-thms *Eisbach-Tools Nominal2 AList Nominal-Utils RCLogic-*

```

end
theory Utils
  imports Main Native-Word.Uint32
begin

```

16.5 Conversion Utilities

```

fun string-of-digit :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  string
where
  string-of-digit n =
    (if n = 0 then "0"
     else if n = 1 then "1"
     else if n = 2 then "2"
     else if n = 3 then "3"
     else if n = 4 then "4"
     else if n = 5 then "5"
     else if n = 6 then "6"
     else if n = 7 then "7"
     else if n = 8 then "8"
     else "9")

```

```

fun string-of-nat :: nat ⇒ string
where
  string-of-nat n = (if n < 10 then string-of-digit n else
    string-of-nat (n div 10) @ (string-of-digit (n mod 10)))

fun string-of-literal :: String.literal ⇒ string where
  string-of-literal s = String.explode s

fun string-to-literal :: string ⇒ String.literal where
  string-to-literal s = String.implode s

fun string-lit-concat :: String.literal list ⇒ String.literal where
  string-lit-concat s = List.foldr (+) s (STR "")

definition string-of-int :: int ⇒ string
where
  string-of-int i = (if i < 0 then "-" @ string-of-nat (nat (- i)) else
    string-of-nat (nat i))

definition string-lit-of-int :: int ⇒ String.literal
where
  string-lit-of-int = String.implode ∘ string-of-int

definition string-lit-of-uint32 :: uint32 ⇒ String.literal
where
  string-lit-of-uint32 = String.implode ∘ string-of-int ∘ int-of-uint32

definition string-lit-of-integer :: integer ⇒ String.literal
where
  string-lit-of-integer = string-lit-of-int ∘ int-of-integer

definition string-lit-of-nat :: nat ⇒ String.literal where
  string-lit-of-nat = String.implode ∘ string-of-nat

fun string-map :: string ⇒ ('a ⇒ string) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ string where
  string-map delim f [] = ""
| string-map delim f [x] = f x
| string-map delim f (x#xs) = f x @ delim @ (string-map delim f xs)

fun string-lit-map :: String.literal ⇒ ('a ⇒ String.literal) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ String.literal where
  string-lit-map delim f [] = STR ""
| string-lit-map delim f [x] = f x
| string-lit-map delim f (x#xs) = f x + delim + (string-lit-map delim f xs)

fun unzip3 :: ('a * 'b * 'c) list ⇒ ('a list * 'b list * 'c list) where
  unzip3 [] = ([], [], [])
| unzip3 ((x,y,z)#xyz) = (let (xs,ys,zs) = unzip3 xyz in (x#xs, y#ys, z#zs))

fun unzip :: ('a * 'b) list ⇒ ('a list * 'b list) where

```



```

  unzip [] = ([],[])
| unzip ((x,y)#xy) = (let (xs,ys) = unzip xy in (x#xs, y#ys))

```

end

```

theory SailAST
imports Main Native-Word.Uint32
begin

```

16.6 Sail AST

```

type-synonym string = String.literal

```

```

hide-const id

```

```

datatype value = Val

```

```

datatype loop = While | Until

```

```

type-synonym 'a annot = 'a

```

```

type-synonym x = string — identifier
type-synonym ix = string — infix identifier
datatype id = — Identifier
  id x
| operator x — remove infix status

```

```

datatype kid = — kinded IDs: Type, Int, and Order variables
  var x

```

```

datatype base-effect = — effect
  BE-rreg — read register
| BE-wreg — write register
| BE-rmem — read memory
| BE-rmemt — read memory and tag
| BE-wmem — write memory
| BE-eamem — signal effective address for writing memory
| BE-exmem — determine if a store-exclusive (ARM) is going to succeed
| BE-wmv — write memory, sending only value
| BE-wmvt — write memory, sending only value and tag
| BE-barr — memory barrier
| BE-depend — dynamic footprint
| BE-undef — undefined-instruction exception
| BE-unspec — unspecified values
| BE-nondet — nondeterminism, from nondet
| BE-escape — potential exception
| BE-config — configuration option

```

```

datatype kind = — base kind

```

K-type — kind of types
| *K-int* — kind of natural number size expressions
| *K-order* — kind of vector order specifications
| *K-bool* — kind of constraints

datatype *nexp* = — numeric expression, of kind Int
Nexp-id id — abbreviation identifier
| *Nexp-var kid* — variable
| *Nexp-constant integer* — constant
| *Nexp-app id nexp list* — app
| *Nexp-times nexp nexp* — product
| *Nexp-sum nexp nexp* — sum
| *Nexp-minus nexp nexp* — subtraction
| *Nexp-exp nexp* — exponential
| *Nexp-neg nexp* — unary negation

datatype *effect* =
Effect-set base-effect list — effect set

datatype *kinded-id* = — optionally kind-annotated identifier
KOpt-kind kind kid — kind-annotated variable

datatype *order* = — vector order specifications, of kind Order
Ord-var kid — variable
| *Ord-inc* — increasing
| *Ord-dec* — decreasing

datatype *typ* = — type expressions, of kind Type
Typ-internal-unknown
| *Typ-id id* — defined type
| *Typ-var kid* — type variable
| *Typ-fn typ list typ effect* — Function (first-order only)
| *Typ-bidir typ typ effect* — Mapping
| *Typ-tup typ list* — Tuple
| *Typ-app id typ-arg list* — type constructor application
| *Typ-exist kinded-id list n-constraint typ*

and *typ-arg* = — type constructor arguments of all kinds
A-nexp nexp
| *A-typ typ*
| *A-order order*
| *A-bool n-constraint*

and *n-constraint* = — constraint over kind Int
NC-equal nexp nexp
| *NC-bounded-ge nexp nexp*
| *NC-bounded-gt nexp nexp*
| *NC-bounded-le nexp nexp*
| *NC-bounded-lt nexp nexp*
| *NC-not-equal nexp nexp*
| *NC-set kid integer list*
| *NC-or n-constraint n-constraint*
| *NC-and n-constraint n-constraint*
| *NC-app id typ-arg list*

- | *NC-var kid*
- | *NC-true*
- | *NC-false*

datatype *typ-pat* = — type pattern

- TP-wild*
- | *TP-var kid*
- | *TP-app id typ-pat list*

datatype *lit* = — literal constant

- L-unit*
- | *L-zero*
- | *L-one*
- | *L-true*
- | *L-false*
- | *L-num integer* — natural number constant
- | *L-hex string* — bit vector constant, C-style
- | *L-bin string* — bit vector constant, C-style
- | *L-string string* — string constant
- | *L-undef* — undefined-value constant
- | *L-real string*

datatype *quant-item* = — kinded identifier or Int constraint

- QI-id kinded-id* — optionally kinded identifier
- | *QI-constraint n-constraint* — constraint
- | *QI-constant kinded-id list*

datatype *'a pat* = — pattern

- P-lit (annot-pat : 'a annot) lit* — literal constant pattern
- | *P-wild (annot-pat : 'a annot)* — wildcard
- | *P-or (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat 'a pat* — pattern disjunction
- | *P-not (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat* — pattern negation
- | *P-as (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat id* — named pattern
- | *P-typ (annot-pat : 'a annot) typ 'a pat* — typed pattern
- | *P-id (annot-pat : 'a annot) id* — identifier
- | *P-var (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat typ-pat* — bind pattern to type variable
- | *P-app (annot-pat : 'a annot) id 'a pat list* — union constructor pattern
- | *P-vector (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat list* — vector pattern
- | *P-vector-concat (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat list* — concatenated vector pattern
- | *P-tup (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat list* — tuple pattern
- | *P-list (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat list* — list pattern
- | *P-cons (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat 'a pat* — Cons patterns
- | *P-string-append (annot-pat : 'a annot) 'a pat list* — string append pattern, x ‘y

datatype *typquant* = — type quantifiers and constraints

- TypQ-tq quant-item list*
- | *TypQ-no-forall* — empty

datatype *'a mpat* = — Mapping pattern. Mostly the same as normal patterns but only constructible parts

- MP-lit 'a annot lit*
- | *MP-id 'a annot id*

```

| MP-app 'a annot id 'a mpat list
| MP-vector 'a annot 'a mpat list
| MP-vector-concat 'a annot 'a mpat list
| MP-tup 'a annot 'a mpat list
| MP-list 'a annot 'a mpat list
| MP-cons 'a annot 'a mpat 'a mpat
| MP-string-append 'a annot 'a mpat list
| MP-typ 'a annot 'a mpat typ
| MP-as 'a annot 'a mpat id

datatype 'a internal-loop-measure = — internal syntax for an optional termination measure for a loop
  Measure-none
  | Measure-some 'a exp
and 'a exp = — expression
  E-block ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp list — sequential block
  | E-id ( annot-e : 'a annot ) id — identifier
  | E-lit ( annot-e : 'a annot ) lit — literal constant
  | E-cast ( annot-e : 'a annot ) typ 'a exp — cast
  | E-app ( annot-e : 'a annot ) id 'a exp list — function application
  | E-app-infix ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp id 'a exp — infix function application
  | E-tuple ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp list — tuple
  | E-if ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp — conditional
  | E-loop ( annot-e : 'a annot ) loop 'a internal-loop-measure 'a exp 'a exp
  | E-for ( annot-e : 'a annot ) id 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp order 'a exp — for loop
  | E-vector ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp list — vector (indexed from 0)
  | E-vector-access ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp — vector access
  | E-vector-subrange ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp — subvector extraction
  | E-vector-update ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp — vector functional update
  | E-vector-update-subrange ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp 'a exp — vector subrange
update, with vector
  | E-vector-append ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp — vector concatenation
  | E-list ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp list — list
  | E-cons ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp — cons
  | E-record ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a fexp list — struct
  | E-record-update ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a fexp list — functional update of struct
  | E-field ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp id — field projection from struct
  | E-case ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a pexp list — pattern matching
  | E-let ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a letbind 'a exp — let expression
  | E-assign ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a lexp 'a exp — imperative assignment
  | E-sizeof ( annot-e : 'a annot ) nexp — the value of nexp at run time
  | E-return ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp — return 'aexp from current function
  | E-exit ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp — halt all current execution
  | E-ref ( annot-e : 'a annot ) id
  | E-throw ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp
  | E-try ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a pexp list
  | E-assert ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp 'a exp — halt with error message 'aexp when not 'aexp. exp'
is optional.
  | E-var ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a lexp 'a exp 'a exp — This is an internal node for compilation that
demonstrates the scope of a local mutable variable
  | E-internal-plet ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a pat 'a exp 'a exp — This is an internal node, used to
distinguished some introduced lets during processing from original ones
  | E-internal-return ( annot-e : 'a annot ) 'a exp — For internal use to embed into monad definition

```

| *E-internal-value* (*annot-e* : 'a annot) *value* — For internal use in interpreter to wrap pre-evaluated values when returning an action
 | *E-constraint* (*annot-e* : 'a annot) *n-constraint*
and 'a *lexp* = — lvalue expression
 LEXP-id (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) *id* — identifier
 | *LEXP-deref* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *exp*
 | *LEXP-memory* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) *id* 'a *exp list* — memory or register write via function call
 | *LEXP-cast* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) *typ id*
 | *LEXP-tup* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *lexp list* — multiple (non-memory) assignment
 | *LEXP-vector-concat* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *lexp list* — vector concatenation L-exp
 | *LEXP-vector* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *lexp* 'a *exp* — vector element
 | *LEXP-vector-range* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *lexp* 'a *exp* 'a *exp* — subvector
 | *LEXP-field* (*annot-lexp* : 'a annot) 'a *lexp id* — struct field
and 'a *fexp* = — field expression
 FE-Fexp 'a annot *id* 'a *exp*
and 'a *pexp* = — pattern match
 Pat-exp 'a annot 'a *pat* 'a *exp*
 | *Pat-when* 'a annot 'a *pat* 'a *exp* 'a *exp*
and 'a *letbind* = — let binding
 LB-val (*annot-letbind* : 'a annot) 'a *pat* 'a *exp* — let, implicit type ('*apat* must be total)

datatype *index-range* = — index specification, for bitfields in register types
 BF-single *nexp* — single index
 | *BF-range* *nexp nexp* — index range
 | *BF-concat* *index-range index-range* — concatenation of index ranges

datatype *type-union* = — type union constructors
 Tu-ty-id *typ id*

datatype *typschm* = — type scheme
 TypSchm-ts *typquant typ*

datatype 'a *mpexp* =
 MPat-pat 'a annot 'a *mpat*
 | *MPat-when* 'a annot 'a *mpat* 'a *exp*

datatype 'a *pexp-funcl* = *PEXP-funcl* 'a *pexp*
datatype 'a *reg-id* =
 RI-id 'a annot *id*

datatype *type-def-aux* = — type definition body
 TD-abbrev *id typquant typ-arg* — type abbreviation
 | *TD-record* *id typquant (typ*id) list bool* — struct type definition
 | *TD-variant* *id typquant type-union list bool* — tagged union type definition
 | *TD-enum* *id id list bool* — enumeration type definition
 | *TD-bitfield* *id typ (id*index-range) list* — register mutable bitfield type definition

type-synonym *val-spec-aux* = *typschm* * *id* * (*string* => *string option*) * *bool*
datatype 'a *mapcl* = — mapping clause (bidirectional pattern-match)
 MCL-bidir 'a annot 'a *mpexp* 'a *mpexp*
 | *MCL-forwards* 'a annot 'a *mpexp* 'a *exp*
 | *MCL-backwards* 'a annot 'a *mpexp* 'a *exp*

datatype *tannot-opt* = — optional type annotation for functions
Typ-annot-opt-none
| *Typ-annot-opt-some* *typquant typ*

datatype *'a rec-opt* = — optional recursive annotation for functions
Rec-nonrec — non-recursive
| *Rec-rec* — recursive without termination measure
| *Rec-measure* *'a pat 'a exp* — recursive with termination measure

datatype *effect-opt* = — optional effect annotation for functions
Effect-opt-none — no effect annotation
| *Effect-opt-effect* *effect*

datatype *'a funcl* = — function clause
FCL-Funcl 'a annot id 'a pexp-funcl

datatype *'a alias-spec* = — register alias expression forms
AL-subreg 'a annot 'a reg-id id
| *AL-bit 'a annot 'a reg-id 'a exp*
| *AL-slice 'a annot 'a reg-id 'a exp 'a exp*
| *AL-concat 'a annot 'a reg-id 'a reg-id*

datatype *type-def* =
TD-aux type-def-aux

datatype *val-spec* =
VS-aux val-spec-aux

datatype *'a mapdef* = — mapping definition (bidirectional pattern-match function)
MD-mapping 'a annot id tannot-opt 'a mapcl list

datatype *'a fundef* = — function definition
FD-function 'a annot 'a rec-opt tannot-opt effect-opt 'a funcl list

datatype *default-spec* = — default kinding or typing assumption
DT-order order

datatype *'a dec-spec* = — register declarations
DEC-reg 'a annot effect effect typ id
| *DEC-config 'a annot id typ 'a exp*
| *DEC-alias 'a annot id 'a alias-spec*
| *DEC-typ-alias 'a annot typ id 'a alias-spec*

datatype *'a scattered-def* = — scattered function and union type definitions
SD-function 'a annot 'a rec-opt tannot-opt effect-opt id — scattered function definition header
| *SD-funcl 'a annot 'a funcl* — scattered function definition clause
| *SD-variant 'a annot id typquant* — scattered union definition header
| *SD-unioncl 'a annot id type-union* — scattered union definition member
| *SD-mapping 'a annot id tannot-opt*
| *SD-mapcl 'a annot id 'a mapcl*
| *SD-end 'a annot id* — scattered definition end

```

datatype prec =
  Infix
| InfixL
| InfixR

datatype 'a loop-measure =
  Loop loop 'a exp

datatype 'a def = — top-level definition
  DEF-type type-def — type definition
| DEF-fundef 'a fundef — function definition
| DEF-mapdef 'a mapdef — mapping definition
| DEF-val 'a letbind — value definition
| DEF-spec val-spec — top-level type constraint
| DEF-fixity prec integer id — fixity declaration
| DEF-overload id id list — operator overload specification
| DEF-default default-spec — default kind and type assumptions
| DEF-scattered 'a scattered-def — scattered function and type definition
| DEF-measure id 'a pat 'a exp — separate termination measure declaration
| DEF-loop-measures id 'a loop-measure list — separate termination measure declaration
| DEF-reg-dec 'a dec-spec — register declaration
| DEF-internal-mutrec 'a fundef list — internal representation of mutually recursive functions
| DEF-pragma string string — compiler directive

datatype 'a opt-default = — optional default value for indexed vector expressions
  Def-val-empty 'a annot
| Def-val-dec 'a annot 'a exp

datatype 'a defs = — definition sequence
  Defs 'a def list

end
theory SailASTUtils
  imports SailAST
begin

```

16.7 AST Utils

```

definition unit-typ where
  unit-typ  $\equiv$  ( ( Typ-id ( ( id ( STR "unit" ) ) ) ) )

definition num-typ where
  num-typ n  $\equiv$  ( ( Typ-app ( ( id ( STR "atom" ) ) )
    [ ( ( A-nexp ( ( Nexp-constant n ) ) ) ) ] ) ) )

definition int-typ where
  int-typ  $\equiv$  ( ( Typ-id ( ( id ( STR "int" ) ) ) ) )

fun bool-typ where
  bool-typ b = ( ( Typ-app ( ( id ( STR "atom-bool" ) ) ) ) )

```

$[((A\text{-}bool \ (\ (if \ b \ then \ NC\text{-}true \ \ else \ NC\text{-}false \) \)) \) \] \) \)$

definition *bool-all-typ* **where**

bool-all-typ $\equiv ((Typ\text{-}id \ ((id \ (STR \ "bool")) \)))$

abbreviation *nc-eq* $\equiv \lambda n1 \ n2. \ (NC\text{-}equal \ n1 \ n2)$

abbreviation *nint* $\equiv \lambda i. \ (Nexp\text{-}constant \ i)$

abbreviation *nc-pos* $\equiv \lambda ne. \ (NC\text{-}bounded\text{-}ge \ ne \ (nint \ 0))$

abbreviation *nc-true* $\equiv \ NC\text{-}true$

abbreviation *nexp-var* **where**

nexp-var $s \equiv (Nexp\text{-}var \ ((var \ s)))$

abbreviation *atom-typ-var* **where**

atom-typ-var $s \equiv (Typ\text{-}app \ ((id \ (STR \ "atom")) \) \ [\ (A\text{-}nexp \ \ ((Nexp\text{-}var \ ((var \ s)))) \] \)$

abbreviation *nexp-const* **where**

nexp-const $s \equiv (Nexp\text{-}constant \ s)$

abbreviation *num-or* **where**

num-or $n1 \ n2 \equiv (Typ\text{-}exist \ [] \ ((NC\text{-}or \ (nc\text{-}eq \ (nexp\text{-}var \ (STR \ "n")) \ (nexp\text{-}const \ 1)) \ (nc\text{-}eq \ (nexp\text{-}var \ (STR \ "n")) \ (nexp\text{-}const \ 2)))) \ (atom\text{-}typ\text{-}var \ (STR \ "n")) \)$

fun *collect-pat* $:: \ 'a \ pat \Rightarrow \ id \ list$ **where**

collect-pat $(P\text{-}or \ t \ p1 \ p2) = collect\text{-}pat \ p1 \ @ \ collect\text{-}pat \ p2$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}not \ t \ p) = collect\text{-}pat \ p$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}tup \ t \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}as \ t \ pat \ i) = collect\text{-}pat \ pat \ @ \ [i]$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}typ \ t \ typ \ pat) = collect\text{-}pat \ pat$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}app \ t \ ff \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}vector \ t \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}vector\text{-}concat \ t \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}list \ t \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}cons \ t \ p1 \ p2) = collect\text{-}pat \ p1 \ @ \ collect\text{-}pat \ p2$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}string\text{-}append \ t \ pats) = concat \ (map \ (collect\text{-}pat) \ pats)$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ (P\text{-}id \ - \ i) = [i]$
 $| \ collect\text{-}pat \ p = []$

fun *collect-e* $:: \ 'a \ exp \Rightarrow \ id \ list$ **and**

collect-perp $:: \ 'a \ perp \Rightarrow \ id \ list$ **and**

collect-lexp :: 'a *lexp* ⇒ *id list* **and**
collect-letbind :: 'a *letbind* ⇒ *id list*

where

collect-perp (*Pat-exp t p e*) = *collect-pat p* @ *collect-e e*

| *collect-e* (*E-block t es*) = (*concat* (*map* (*collect-e*) *es*))
| *collect-e* (*E-if t e1 e2 e3*) = *collect-e e1* @ *collect-e e2* @ *collect-e e3*
| *collect-e* (*E-case t e1 pexps*) = *concat* (*map* (*collect-perp*) *pexps*)
| *collect-e* (*E-app t f es*) = *concat* (*map* (*collect-e*) *es*)
| *collect-e* (*E-let t lb e*) = *collect-letbind lb* @ *collect-e e*
| *collect-e* (*E-assign t lexp e1*) = *collect-lexp lexp* @ *collect-e e1*
| *collect-e* (*E-id - i*) = [*i*]
| *collect-e* (*E-lit - -*) = []
| *collect-e* (*E-tuple - es*) = *concat* (*map* (*collect-e*) *es*)

| *collect-lexp* (*LEXP-id - i*) = [*i*]
| *collect-lexp* (*LEXP-cast - - i*) = [*i*]

| *collect-letbind* (*LB-val - pat exp*) = *collect-pat pat* @ *collect-e exp*

fun *collect-perp-funcl-ids* :: 'a *perp-funcl* ⇒ *id list* **where**
collect-perp-funcl-ids (*PEXP-funcl perp*) = *collect-perp perp*

fun *collect-ids* :: 'a *funcl* ⇒ *id list* **where**
collect-ids (*FCL-Funcl - - pf*) = *remdups* (*collect-perp-funcl-ids pf*)

end

theory *SailEnv*

imports *SailASTUtils*

begin

16.8 Sail Environment

datatype *mut* = *Mutable* | *Immutable*

record *env* =

top-val-specs :: (*id* * (*typquant* * *typ*)) *list*
typ-vars :: (*kid* * *kind*) *list*
locals :: (*id* * (*mut* * *typ*)) *list*
records :: (*id* * (*typquant* * ((*id* * *typ*) *list*))) *list*
variants :: (*id* * (*typquant* * (*type-union list*))) *list*
constraints :: *n-constraint list*
default-order :: *order option*
ret-typ :: *typ option*
registers :: (*id* * *typ*) *list*
typ-synonyms :: (*id* * (*typquant* * *typ-arg*)) *list*
enums :: (*id* * (*id list*)) *list*
prover :: ((*n-constraint list*) ⇒ *n-constraint* ⇒ *bool*) *option*

definition *emptyEnv* :: *env* **where**

emptyEnv = (| *top-val-specs* = [], *typ-vars* = [], *locals* = [], *records* = [], *variants* = [], *constraints* = [], *default-order* = *None*,

```
ret-typ=None,
  registers=[], typ-synonyms=[], enums=[], prover = None)
```

```
record tannot' =
  tannot-env :: env
  tannot-typ :: typ
  tannot-effect :: effect
  tannot-expected :: typ option
  tannot-instantiations :: ((kid*typ-arg) list) option
```

```
type-synonym tannot = tannot' option
```

```
definition bind' where
  bind' f t = Option.bind t (Some ∘ f)
```

16.9 Unpacking tannot

```
definition get-type :: tannot ⇒ typ option where
  get-type = bind' tannot-typ
```

```
definition get-env :: tannot ⇒ env option where
  get-env = bind' tannot-env
```

```
primrec get :: tannot ⇒ (env*typ) option where
  get None = None
| get (Some tan) = Some (tannot-env tan, tannot-typ tan)
```

```
fun get-e :: tannot exp ⇒ (env*typ) option where
  get-e exp = get (annot-e exp)
```

```
fun get-tan-e :: tannot exp ⇒ tannot where
  get-tan-e exp = annot-e exp
```

```
fun get-tan-pat :: tannot pat ⇒ tannot where
  get-tan-pat exp = annot-pat exp
```

```
fun get-tan-lexp :: tannot lexp ⇒ tannot where
  get-tan-lexp lexp = annot-lexp lexp
```

```
fun get-tan-letbind :: tannot letbind ⇒ tannot where
  get-tan-letbind lexp = annot-letbind lexp
```

```
fun type-of-exp :: tannot exp ⇒ typ option where
  type-of-exp exp = get-type (get-tan-e exp)
```

```
fun type-of-pat :: tannot pat ⇒ typ option where
  type-of-pat pat = get-type (get-tan-pat pat)
```

```
fun type-of-pexp :: tannot pexp ⇒ typ option where
  type-of-pexp (Pat-exp - pat exp) = type-of-pat pat
```

| *type-of-pexp* (*Pat-when* - *pat* - *exp*) = *type-of-pat* *pat*

fun *get-env-exp* :: *tannot exp* \Rightarrow *env option* **where**
get-env-exp exp = *get-env* (*get-tan-e exp*)

fun *get-env-letbind* :: *tannot letbind* \Rightarrow *env option* **where**
get-env-letbind lexp = *get-env* (*get-tan-letbind lexp*)

definition *ret-type* :: *tannot* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**
ret-type t = *Option.bind* (*Option.bind t* (*Some* \circ *tannot-env*)) *ret-tyt*

primrec *set-type* :: *tannot* \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow *tannot* **where**
set-type (*Some t*) *typ* = *Some* (*t* \sqcap *tannot-tyt* := *typ* \sqcap)
| *set-type None typ* = *Some* (\sqcap *tannot-env* = *emptyEnv* , *tannot-tyt* = *typ*, *tannot-effect* = ((*Effect-set* \sqcap)), *tannot-expected* = *None*, *tannot-instantiations* = *None* \sqcap)

fun *type-of-lexp* :: *tannot lexp* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**
type-of-lexp lexp = *get-type* (*get-tan-lexp lexp*)

16.10 Generic Lookup

fun *lookup* :: (*'a*'b*) *list* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'b option* **where**
lookup [] - = *None*
| *lookup* ((*x,t*)#*xs*) *y* = (if *x* = *y* then *Some t* else *lookup xs y*)

16.11 Enumerations

fun *lookup-enum-env* :: *env* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**
lookup-enum-env env x = (case find ($\lambda(-,es).$ *List.member es x*) (*enums env*) of
None \Rightarrow *None* | *Some (tyid,-)* \Rightarrow *Some* ((*Typ-id tyid*)))

primrec *lookup-enum* :: *tannot* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**
lookup-enum (*Some tan*) *x* = *lookup-enum-env* (*tannot-env tan*) *x*
| *lookup-enum None* - = *None*

16.12 Constraints

fun *add-constraint* :: *n-constraint* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *env* **where**
add-constraint nc env = *env* \sqcap *constraints* := *nc* # (*constraints env*) \sqcap

16.13 Records

fun *lookup-record-field-env* :: *env* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**
lookup-record-field-env env recc-id field-id = (case *lookup* (*records env*) *recc-id* of
Some (*-*, *fieldds*) \Rightarrow *lookup fieldds field-id* | *None* \Rightarrow *None*)

fun *lookup-record-field* :: *tannot* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *id* \Rightarrow *typ option* **where**

```
lookup-record-field (Some tan) x y = lookup-record-field-env (tannot-env tan) x y
| lookup-record-field None x y = None
```

```
fun deconstruct-record-type :: typ ⇒ id option where
  deconstruct-record-type ( (Typ-id recid) ) = Some recid
| deconstruct-record-type ( (Typ-app recid - ) ) = Some recid
| deconstruct-record-type - = None
```

16.14 Variants

```
fun lookup-tud :: type-union list ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-tud [] - = None
| lookup-tud (( (Tu-ty-id typ ( (id x) ))) # tus) ( (id y) ) = (if x = y then Some typ else lookup-tud
tus ( (id y) ))
| lookup-tud ( - # tus ) - = None
```

```
fun lookup-variant-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
lookup-variant-env env tid vid = (case lookup (variants env) tid of
  Some ( - , tus ) ⇒ lookup-tud tus vid | None ⇒ None)
```

```
fun lookup-variant :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-variant (Some tan) x y = lookup-variant-env (tannot-env tan) x y
| lookup-variant None - = None
```

16.15 Val Specs

```
fun get-val-spec-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ (typquant * typ) option where
  get-val-spec-env env x = lookup (top-val-specs env) x
```

```
fun get-val-spec :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ (typquant * typ) option where
  get-val-spec (Some tan) x = get-val-spec-env (tannot-env tan) x
| get-val-spec None - = None
```

```
fun lookup-fun :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ (typ list * typ) option where
lookup-fun (Some tan) fid = (case get-val-spec-env (tannot-env tan) fid of
  Some ( - , (Typ-fn in-typs rett-typ - ) ) ⇒ Some (in-typs, rett-typ)
  | - ⇒ None)
| lookup-fun None - = None
```

16.16 Registers

```
fun lookup-register-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
lookup-register-env env x = (case lookup (registers env) x of
  Some t ⇒ Some t | None ⇒ None)
```

```
fun lookup-index :: (id * 'a) list ⇒ id ⇒ nat option where
  lookup-index [] - = None
| lookup-index (x#xs) y = (if fst x = y then Some (List.length xs)
  else lookup-index xs y)
```

```

fun lookup-register-index-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ nat option where
  lookup-register-index-env env x = (case lookup-index (registers env) x of
    Some t ⇒ Some t | None ⇒ None)

```

```

fun lookup-register :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-register (Some tan) x = lookup-register-env (tannot-env tan) x
  | lookup-register None - = None

```

16.17 Local Identifiers

```

fun lookup-local-id-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-local-id-env env x = (case (lookup (locals env) x) of
    Some (-,t) ⇒ Some t | None ⇒ lookup-enum-env env x)

```

```

primrec lookup-local-id :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-local-id (Some tan) x = lookup-local-id-env (tannot-env tan) x
  | lookup-local-id None x = None

```

```

fun lookup-mutable-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-mutable-env env x = (case (lookup (locals env) x) of
    Some (Mutable,t) ⇒ Some t | None ⇒ lookup-register-env env x)

```

```

fun lookup-mutable :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-mutable (Some tan) x = lookup-mutable-env (tannot-env tan) x
  | lookup-mutable None x = None

```

```

fun add-local-env :: env ⇒ id ⇒ typ ⇒ env where
  add-local-env env x typ = env (| locals := (x,(Immutable,typ)) # (locals env) |)

```

```

fun add-local :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ typ ⇒ tannot where
  add-local (Some tan) x typ = Some (tan (| tannot-env := add-local-env (tannot-env tan) x typ |))
  | add-local None - = None

```

```

fun lookup-id :: tannot ⇒ id ⇒ typ option where
  lookup-id t x = (case lookup-local-id t x of
    Some typ ⇒ Some typ
  | None ⇒ lookup-register t x)

```

```

fun deconstruct-register-type :: typ ⇒ typ option where
  deconstruct-register-type t = (case t of
    ( (Typ-app ( (id ( app-id ))) [ ( (A-typ typ) ) ] ) ) ⇒
      (if app-id = STR "register" then Some typ else None) | - ⇒ None)

```

16.18 Vectors

```

fun deconstruct-bitvector-type :: typ ⇒ (nexp * order * typ) option where
  deconstruct-bitvector-type t = (case t of
    ( (Typ-app ( (id ( app-id ))) [ ( (A-nexp len), (A-order ord) ) ] ) ) ⇒

```

(if app-id = STR "bitvector" then Some (len,ord, (Typ-id ((id (STR "bit"))))) else None) | - ⇒ None)

fun is-bitvector-type :: tannot ⇒ (nexp *order*typ) option **where**
 is-bitvector-type None = None
 | is-bitvector-type (Some t) = deconstruct-bitvector-type (tannot-typ t)

fun deconstruct-vector-type :: typ ⇒ (nexp *order*typ) option **where**
 deconstruct-vector-type t = (case t of
 ((Typ-app ((id (app-id))) [((A-nexp len)), (A-order ord), (A-typ typ)
])) ⇒
 (if app-id = STR "vector" then Some (len,ord,typ) else None) | - ⇒
 deconstruct-bitvector-type t)

fun is-vector-type :: tannot ⇒ (nexp *order*typ) option **where**
 is-vector-type None = None
 | is-vector-type (Some t) = deconstruct-vector-type (tannot-typ t)

fun is-list-type :: tannot ⇒ typ option **where**
 is-list-type None = None
 | is-list-type (Some t) = (case tannot-typ t of
 ((Typ-app ((id (app-id))) [((A-typ typ))])) ⇒ (if app-id = STR "list"
 then Some typ else None) | - ⇒ None)

fun deconstruct-bool-type :: typ ⇒ n-constraint option **where**
 deconstruct-bool-type ((Typ-id ((id b)))) = (if b = STR "bool" then Some nc-true else None)
 | deconstruct-bool-type ((Typ-app ((id b)) [(A-bool nc)])) = (if b = STR "atom-bool" then Some nc
 else None)
 | deconstruct-bool-type ((Typ-exist kids nc typ)) = deconstruct-bool-type typ
 | deconstruct-bool-type Typ-internal-unknown = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-id (operator va)) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-var v) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-fn v va vb) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-bidir v va vb) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-tup v) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-app (operator vb) va) = None
 | deconstruct-bool-type (Typ-app (id va) -) = None

fun prove :: env ⇒ n-constraint ⇒ bool **where**
 prove env nc = (case (prover env) of
 Some p ⇒ p (constraints env) nc | None ⇒ True)

16.19 Substitution in Types

fun *subst-nexp* :: (*kid*typ-arg*) \Rightarrow *nexp* \Rightarrow *nexp* **where**

```

  subst-nexp ks (Nexp-id x) = Nexp-id x
| subst-nexp (k1, (A-nexp (ne))) (Nexp-var k2) = (if k1 = k2 then ne else (Nexp-var k2))
| subst-nexp (k1, (A-bool -)) (Nexp-var k2) = ((Nexp-var k2))
| subst-nexp (k1, (A-typ -)) (Nexp-var k2) = ((Nexp-var k2))
| subst-nexp (k1, (A-order -)) (Nexp-var k2) = ((Nexp-var k2))

| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-constant n) = Nexp-constant n — constant
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-app x nes) = Nexp-app x (List.map (subst-nexp ks) nes) — app
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-times n1 n2) = Nexp-times (subst-nexp ks n1) (subst-nexp ks n2)
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-sum n1 n2) = Nexp-sum (subst-nexp ks n1) (subst-nexp ks n2)
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-minus n1 n2) = Nexp-minus (subst-nexp ks n1) (subst-nexp ks n2)
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-exp n1) = Nexp-exp (subst-nexp ks n1)
| subst-nexp ks (Nexp-neg n1) = Nexp-neg (subst-nexp ks n1)

```

fun *subst-order* :: (*kid*typ-arg*) \Rightarrow *order* \Rightarrow *order*
where

```

  subst-order (k1, (A-order (ord))) (Ord-var k2) = (if k1 = k2 then ord else (Ord-var k2))
| subst-order (k1, -) (Ord-var k2) = (Ord-var k2)
| subst-order - Ord-inc = Ord-inc
| subst-order - Ord-dec = Ord-dec

```

fun *subst-typ* :: (*kid*typ-arg*) \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow *typ* **and**

subst-typ-arg :: (*kid*typ-arg*) \Rightarrow *typ-arg* \Rightarrow *typ-arg* **and**

subst-nc :: (*kid*typ-arg*) \Rightarrow *n-constraint* \Rightarrow *n-constraint*

where

```

  subst-nc ks (NC-equal ne1 ne2) = NC-equal (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-bounded-ge ne1 ne2) = NC-bounded-ge (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-bounded-gt ne1 ne2) = NC-bounded-gt (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-bounded-le ne1 ne2) = NC-bounded-le (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-bounded-lt ne1 ne2) = NC-bounded-lt (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-not-equal ne1 ne2) = NC-not-equal (subst-nexp ks ne1) (subst-nexp ks ne2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-set k is) = NC-set k is
| subst-nc ks (NC-or nc1 nc2) = NC-or (subst-nc ks nc1) (subst-nc ks nc2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-and nc1 nc2) = NC-and (subst-nc ks nc1) (subst-nc ks nc2)
| subst-nc ks (NC-app tid args) = NC-app tid (List.map (subst-typ-arg ks) args)
| subst-nc (k1, (A-bool (nc))) (NC-var k2) = (if k1 = k2 then nc else (NC-var k2))
| subst-nc (k1, (A-nexp -)) (NC-var k2) = ((NC-var k2))
| subst-nc (k1, (A-typ -)) (NC-var k2) = ((NC-var k2))
| subst-nc (k1, (A-order -)) (NC-var k2) = ((NC-var k2))

```

```
| subst-nc ks NC-true  = NC-true
| subst-nc ks  NC-false = NC-false
```

```
| subst-typ - Typ-internal-unknown = Typ-internal-unknown
| subst-typ - (Typ-id tyid)        = (Typ-id tyid)
| subst-typ (k1, (A-typ ( t))) (Typ-var k2) = (if k1=k2 then t else (Typ-var k2))
| subst-typ (k1, (A-bool - )) (Typ-var k2) = Typ-var k2
| subst-typ (k1, (A-order - )) (Typ-var k2) = Typ-var k2
| subst-typ (k1, (A-nexp - )) (Typ-var k2) = (Typ-var k2)
| subst-typ ks (Typ-fn ts tr e) = Typ-fn (List.map (subst-typ ks) ts) (subst-typ ks tr) e
| subst-typ ks (Typ-bidir t1 t2 e) = Typ-bidir (subst-typ ks t1) (subst-typ ks t2) e
| subst-typ ks (Typ-tup ts) = Typ-tup (List.map (subst-typ ks) ts)
| subst-typ ks (Typ-app tyid tas) = Typ-app tyid (List.map (subst-typ-arg ks) tas)
| subst-typ ks (Typ-exist kids nc typ) = Typ-exist kids (subst-nc ks nc) (subst-typ ks typ)
```

```
| subst-typ-arg ks (A-nexp ne) = A-nexp (subst-nexp ks ne)
| subst-typ-arg ks (A-typ ne) = A-typ (subst-typ ks ne)
| subst-typ-arg ks (A-order ne) = A-order (subst-order ks ne)
| subst-typ-arg ks (A-bool nc) = A-bool (subst-nc ks nc)
```

```
fun subst-inst :: tannot ⇒ typ ⇒ typ option where
subst-inst None t = Some t
| subst-inst (Some t') t = (case tannot-instantiations t' of
    None ⇒ Some t
  | Some is ⇒ Some (List.fold subst-typ is t ))
```

```
fun subst-inst-list :: tannot ⇒ typ list ⇒ typ list option where
subst-inst-list tan typs = those (List.map (subst-inst tan) typs)
```

16.20 Constructors

```
definition pat-id where
pat-id x = P-id (set-type None unit-typ) (id x)
```

```
definition pat-pair where
pat-pair = P-tup (set-type None (Typ-tup [unit-typ,unit-typ])) [ pat-id (STR "x") , pat-id (STR "y")]
```

```
definition pat-unit where
pat-unit = P-lit (set-type None unit-typ) (SailAST.L-unit)
```

```
definition e-unit where
e-unit = (E-lit (set-type None unit-typ) ( SailAST.L-unit ))
```

```
definition e-let where
e-let e t = (E-let (set-type None unit-typ)
  ( (LB-val None ( (P-id (set-type None t) ( (id (STR "x")) ) ) ) )
```


$e)$ $e\text{-unit}$)

definition $e\text{-pair}$ **where**

$e\text{-pair} = E\text{-tuple } (set\text{-type } None (Typ\text{-tup } [unit\text{-typ}, unit\text{-typ}])) [e\text{-unit}, e\text{-unit}]$

definition $e\text{-true}$ **where**

$e\text{-true} = (E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None (bool\text{-typ } True)) (SailAST.L\text{-true}))$

definition $bv\text{-typ}$ **where**

$bv\text{-typ } n = (Typ\text{-app } (id (STR "vector")) [A\text{-typ } (Typ\text{-id } (id (STR "bit"))), A\text{-order } Ord\text{-dec}, A\text{-nexp } (Nexp\text{-constant } n)])$

definition $bv\text{-typ2}$ **where**

$bv\text{-typ2 } n = (Typ\text{-app } (id (STR "bitvector")) [A\text{-nexp } (Nexp\text{-constant } n), A\text{-order } Ord\text{-dec}])$

definition $bv\text{-lit}$ **where**

$bv\text{-lit } bs = (E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None (bv\text{-typ } (integer\text{-of-nat } (List.length (String.explode bs))))) (SailAST.L\text{-bin } bs))$

definition $int\text{-tannot}$ **where**

$int\text{-tannot} = set\text{-type } None int\text{-typ}$

definition $pat\text{-lit-bv}$ **where**

$pat\text{-lit-bv } bs = P\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None (bv\text{-typ } (integer\text{-of-nat } (List.length (String.explode bs))))) (SailAST.L\text{-bin } bs)$

definition $e\text{-false}$ **where**

$e\text{-false} = (E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None (bool\text{-typ } False)) (SailAST.L\text{-false}))$

abbreviation $unk \equiv (None)$

end

theory $ShowAST$

imports $SailEnv SailASTUtils Show.Show Show.Show\text{-Instances}$

begin

16.21 Show AST Setup

16.21.1 Integer

definition $showsp\text{-integer} :: integer \rightarrow showsp$ **where**

$showsp\text{-integer } p \ n = showsp\text{-int } p \ (int\text{-of-integer } n)$

lemma $show\text{-law-integer}$ $[show\text{-law-intros}]$:

$show\text{-law } showsp\text{-integer } r$

by $(rule show\text{-lawI}) (simp \ add: showsp\text{-integer-def } show\text{-law-simps})$

lemma $showsp\text{-integer-append}$ $[show\text{-law-simps}]$:

$showsp\text{-integer } p \ r \ (x @ y) = showsp\text{-integer } p \ r \ x @ y$

by (*intro show-lawD show-law-intros*)

local-setup {*

Show-Generator.register-foreign-showsp @{*typ integer*} @{*term showsp-integer*} @{*thm show-law-integer*}
*}

16.21.2 Sting Literal

definition *showsp-literal* :: *String.literal* *showsp* **where**

showsp-literal *p n* = *shows-string* (*String.explode* *n*)

lemma *show-law-literal* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law showsp-literal *r*

by (*rule show-lawI*) (*simp add: showsp-literal-def show-law-simps*)

lemma *showsp-literal-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

showsp-literal *p r* (*x @ y*) = *showsp-literal* *p r x @ y*

by (*intro show-lawD show-law-intros*)

local-setup {*

Show-Generator.register-foreign-showsp @{*typ String.literal*} @{*term showsp-literal*} @{*thm show-law-literal*}
*}

derive *show id kid kind kinded-id order loop*

derive *show nexp*

derive *show base-effect*

derive *show effect*

derive *show n-constraint*

derive *show typ typ-arg*

derive *show typ-pat*

derive *show lit*

derive *show pat*

derive *show value*

derive *show exp*

derive *show quant-item typquant*

derive *show type-union index-range*

derive *show mut*

fun *show-env* :: *env* \Rightarrow *char list* **where**

show-env *env* = "Locals: " @ *List.concat* (*List.map* ($\lambda(i,t). \text{show } i @ " :: " @ (\text{show } t) @ " "$) (*locals*
env)) @ "↩" @

"Typvars: " @ *List.concat* (*List.map* ($\lambda(i,t). \text{show } i @ " :: " @ (\text{show } t) @ " "$) (*typ-vars*
env)) @ "↩" @

"Val specs: " @ *List.concat* (*List.map* ($\lambda(i,t). \text{show } i @ " :: " @ (\text{show } t) @ " "$) (*top-val-specs*

```

env)) @ "⌊←" @
      "Variants: " @ List.concat (List.map (λ(i,t). show i @ "::" @ (show t) @ " ") (variants
env)) @ "⌊←" @
      "Records: " @ List.concat (List.map (λ(i,t). show i @ "::" @ (show t) @ " ") (records
env)) @ "⌊←" @
      "Typsyn: " @ List.concat (List.map (λ(i,(tq,ta)). show i @ "::" @ (show tq) @ (show ta)
@ " ") (typ-synonyms env))

```

```

fun show-tannot :: tannot ⇒ char list where
  show-tannot None = "tannot = (None)"
| show-tannot (Some t) = "Inst: " @ (case tannot-instantiations t of
                                   Some ts ⇒ List.concat (List.map (λ(i,t). (show i) @ " = " @ (show
t) @ " ") ts)
                                   | None ⇒ "(none)") @ "⌊←" @
      "Typ: " @ (show (tannot-typ t))

```

```

value show (L-num 10)

```

```

value show unit-typ

```

```

end
theory MiniSailAST
imports Main HOL-Library.FSet
begin

```

16.22 MiniSail AST

```

type-synonym num-nat = nat

```

```

datatype sort = Sort string string list

```

```

datatype x = Atom sort nat
datatype u = Atom sort nat
datatype bv = Atom sort nat

```

```

type-synonym f = string
type-synonym dc = string
type-synonym tyid = string

```

Base types

```

datatype b =
  B-int
| B-bool
| B-id tyid
| B-pair b b ([ - , - ]b)
| B-unit

```

| *B-bitvec*
 | *B-var bv*
 | *B-app tyid b*

datatype *bit* = *BitOne* | *BitZero*

Literals

datatype *l* =
 L-num int
 | *L-true*
 | *L-false*
 | *L-unit*
 | *L-bitvec bit list*

Values

datatype *v* =
 V-lit l ([-]^v)
 | *V-var x* ([-]^v)
 | *V-pair v v* ([- , -]^v)
 | *V-cons tyid dc v*
 | *V-consp tyid dc b v*

Binary Operations

datatype *opp* = *Plus* (*plus*) | *LEq* (*leq*)

Expressions

datatype *e* =
 AE-val v ([-]^e)
 | *AE-app f v* ([- (-)]^e)
 | *AE-appP f b v* ([- [-] (-)]^e)
 | *AE-op opp v v* ([- - -]^e)
 | *AE-concat v v* ([- @@ -]^e)
 | *AE-fst v* ([#1-]^e)
 | *AE-snd v* ([#2-]^e)
 | *AE-mvar u* ([-]^e)
 | *AE-len v* ([| - |]^e)
 | *AE-split v v*

Expressions for Constraints

datatype *ce* =
 CE-val v ([-]^{ce})
 | *CE-op opp ce ce* ([- - -]^{ce})
 | *CE-concat ce ce* ([- @@ -]^{ce})
 | *CE-fst ce* ([#1-]^{ce})
 | *CE-snd ce* ([#2-]^{ce})
 | *CE-len ce* ([| - |]^{ce})

Constraints

datatype *c* =
 C-true (*TRUE* [50])
 | *C-false* (*FALSE* [50])

```

| C-conj c c (- AND - [50, 50] 50)
| C-disj c c (- OR - [50, 50] 50)
| C-not c      (  $\neg$  - [] 50 )
| C-imp c c   (- IMP - [50, 50] 50)
| C-eq ce ce (- == - [50, 50] 50)

```

Refined type

```

datatype  $\tau$  =
  T-refined-type x b c  ( $\mathbb{I}$  - : - | -  $\mathbb{I}$  [50, 50] 1000)

```

Statements

```

datatype
  s =
    AS-val v                                ( [-]s )
  | AS-let x e s    ( (LET - = - IN -))
  | AS-let2 x  $\tau$  s s ( (LET - : - = - IN -))
  | AS-if v s s      ( (IF - THEN - ELSE -) [0, 61, 0] 61)
  | AS-var u  $\tau$  v s  ( (VAR - : - = - IN -))
  | AS-assign u v    ( (- ::= -))
  | AS-match v branch-list      ( (MATCH - WITH { - } ))
  | AS-while s s        ( (WHILE - DO { - } ) [0, 0] 61)
  | AS-seq s s          ( (- ;; - ) [1000, 61] 61)
  | AS-assert c s       ( (ASSERT - IN - ) )
and branch-s =
  AS-branch dc x s ( ( - -  $\Rightarrow$  - ))
and branch-list =
  AS-final branch-s          ( { - } )
  | AS-cons branch-s branch-list ( ( - | - ) )

```

term *LET* *x* = [*plus* [*x*]^{*v*} [*x*]^{*v*}]^{*e*} *IN* [[*x*]^{*v*}]^{*s*}

Function and union type definitions

```

datatype fun-typ =
  AF-fun-typ x b c  $\tau$  s

```

```

datatype fun-typ-q =
  AF-fun-typ-some bv fun-typ
  | AF-fun-typ-none fun-typ

```

```

datatype fun-def =
  AF-fundef f fun-typ-q

```

```

datatype type-def =
  AF-typedef string (string *  $\tau$ ) list
  | AF-typedef-poly string bv (string *  $\tau$ ) list

```

```

datatype var-def =
  AV-def u  $\tau$  v

```

Programs

```

datatype  $p$  =
  AP-prog type-def list fun-def list var-def list s

```

16.23 Contexts

```

type-synonym  $\Phi$  = fun-def list
type-synonym  $\Theta$  = type-def list
type-synonym  $\mathcal{B}$  = bv fset

```

```

datatype  $\Delta$  =
  DNil ( $[]_{\Delta}$ )
  | DCons  $u*\tau$   $\Delta$  (infixr  $\#_{\Delta}$  65)

```

```

datatype  $\Gamma$  =
  GNil
  | GCons  $x*b*c$   $\Gamma$  (infixr  $\#_{\Gamma}$  65)

```

```

fun append-g ::  $\Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma$  (infixr @ 65) where
  append-g GNil  $g$  =  $g$ 
  | append-g ( $xbc \#_{\Gamma} g1$ )  $g2$  = ( $xbc \#_{\Gamma} (g1 @ g2)$ )

```

```

end
theory SailToMs
imports Utils SailEnv SailASTUtils ShowAST MiniSailAST HOL-Library.Debug
begin

```

Chapter 17

Convert from Sail to MiniSail

```
type-synonym xa=x  
type-synonym ea=e  
type-synonym va=v  
type-synonym sa=s  
type-synonym la=MiniSailAST.l  
type-synonym ba=b  
type-synonym ca=MiniSailAST.c
```

```
fun trace :: char list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  
  trace s = (let - = Debug.trace (String.implode s) in True)
```

17.1 Variables

```
fun string-to-int :: string  $\Rightarrow$  int where  
string-to-int s = (foldr ( $\lambda x\ y. y*256 + \text{of-char } x$ ) (String.explode s)) 0
```

```
fun string-to-nat :: string  $\Rightarrow$  nat where  
string-to-nat s = nat (string-to-int s)
```

```
value String.explode (STR "xyz")
```

```
value string-to-int (STR "yxxa")
```

```
fun mk-x :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  x where  
  mk-x n = x.Atom (Sort "x" []) n
```

```
fun mk-u :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  u where  
  mk-u n = u.Atom (Sort "u" []) n
```

```
fun mk-fresh-x :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat * xa where
```

$mk\text{-}fresh\text{-}x\ ii = (ii+1, mk\text{-}x\ ((ii+1)*2))$

fun $index\text{-}for :: ('a*'b)\ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat\ option$ **where**
 $index\text{-}for\ []\ - = None$
 $| index\text{-}for\ ((x,-)\#xs)\ y = (if\ x = y\ then\ Some\ (length\ xs + 1)\ else\ index\text{-}for\ xs\ y)$

fun $mk\text{-}prog\text{-}x :: env \Rightarrow id \Rightarrow x\ option$ **where**
 $mk\text{-}prog\text{-}x\ env\ (\ (id\ x)\) = Option.bind\ (index\text{-}for\ (locals\ env)\ (\ (id\ x)\))\ (Some\ \circ\ (\lambda ii.\ mk\text{-}x\ ((ii+1)*2+1)))$

abbreviation $mk\text{-}z \equiv mk\text{-}x\ 0$

abbreviation $mk\text{-}farg \equiv mk\text{-}x\ 1$

type-synonym $exp = tannot\ exp$

17.2 Literals and Values

inductive $lit\text{-}conv :: lit \Rightarrow la \Rightarrow bool$ ($\vdash - \rightsquigarrow -$) **where**
 $lit\text{-}conv\text{-}unitI: \vdash (SailAST.L\text{-}unit) \rightsquigarrow MiniSailAST.L\text{-}unit$
 $| lit\text{-}conv\text{-}trueI: \vdash (SailAST.L\text{-}true) \rightsquigarrow MiniSailAST.L\text{-}true$
 $| lit\text{-}conv\text{-}falseI: \vdash (SailAST.L\text{-}false) \rightsquigarrow MiniSailAST.L\text{-}false$
 $| lit\text{-}conv\text{-}bvec\text{-}bit: \vdash (SailAST.L\text{-}bin\ bs) \rightsquigarrow (MiniSailAST.L\text{-}bitvec\ (List.map\ (\lambda b.\ if\ b = CHR\ "1"\ then\ BitOne\ else\ BitZero)\ (String.explode\ bs)))$
 $| lit\text{-}conv\text{-}bvec\text{-}hex: \vdash (SailAST.L\text{-}hex\ bs) \rightsquigarrow (MiniSailAST.L\text{-}bitvec\ [])$
 $| lit\text{-}conv\text{-}num: lit\text{-}conv\ (SailAST.L\text{-}num\ ii)\ (MiniSailAST.L\text{-}num\ (int\text{-}of\ integer\ ii))$

code-pred $lit\text{-}conv\ .$

values $\{ x.\ lit\text{-}conv\ (SailAST.L\text{-}bin\ (STR\ "0101"))\ x \}$

inductive $b\text{-}of\text{-}typ :: typ \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ unit\text{-}typ\ B\text{-}unit$
 $| b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ (\ (Typ\text{-}app\ (\ (id\ STR\ "atom\text{-}bool"))\)\ -)\)\ B\text{-}bool$
 $| b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ (\ (Typ\text{-}app\ (\ (id\ STR\ "atom"))\)\ -)\)\ B\text{-}int$
 $| b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ (\ (Typ\text{-}app\ (\ (id\ STR\ "bitvector"))\)\ -)\)\ B\text{-}bitvec$
 $| [$
 $\quad b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ t1\ b1;$
 $\quad b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ t2\ b2$
 $]\implies b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ (\ (Typ\text{-}tup\ [t1,t2])\)\ (B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2)$
 $| b\text{-}of\text{-}typ\ (Typ\text{-}id\ (id\ tyid))\ (B\text{-}id\ (String.explode\ tyid))$

definition $cf :: 'a \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $cf \equiv (\lambda -. \text{ "" })$

type-synonym $xmap = (SailAST.id * xa) \text{ list}$

inductive $v\text{-conv} :: env \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow \Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow va \Rightarrow bool \text{ (- ; - } \vdash \text{ - } \rightsquigarrow \text{ - ; - ; -) where}$

$v\text{-conv-litI}:$ \llbracket
 $\text{trace "v-conv-litI";}$
 $\vdash l \rightsquigarrow la$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow E ; - \vdash ((SailAST.E\text{-lit} - l)) \rightsquigarrow [] ; GNil ; (MiniSailAST.V\text{-lit} la)$

$| v\text{-conv-varI}:$ \llbracket
 $\text{trace "v-conv-varI";}$
 $\text{Some } xa = SailEnv.lookup \text{ xm idd};$
 $\text{Some } t = lookup\text{-local-id-env env idd};$
 $b\text{-of-ty} t \text{ ba};$
 $\text{trace ("v-conv-varI end" @ (cf ba))}$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $env ; xm \vdash ((SailAST.E\text{-id} - idd)) \rightsquigarrow [] ; (xa, ba, C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; (V\text{-var } xa)$

$| v\text{-conv-enumI}:$ \llbracket
 $\text{trace "v-conv-enumI";}$
 $\text{Some } (Typ\text{-id} (id \text{ enum-id})) = lookup\text{-enum-env env } (id \text{ enum})$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $env ; - \vdash ((SailAST.E\text{-id} - (id \text{ enum}))) \rightsquigarrow [] ; GNil ; (V\text{-cons } (String.explode \text{ enum-id}) (String.explode \text{ enum}) (V\text{-lit } L\text{-unit}))$

$| v\text{-conv-pairI}:$ \llbracket
 $\text{trace "v-conv-pairI";}$
 $env ; m \vdash vp1 \rightsquigarrow T1 ; G1 ; v1 ;$
 $env ; m \vdash vp2 \rightsquigarrow T2 ; G2 ; v2$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $env ; m \vdash ((SailAST.E\text{-tuple} - [vp1, vp2])) \rightsquigarrow T1 @ T2 ; G1 @ G2 ; (MiniSailAST.V\text{-pair} v1 v2)$

code-pred $(modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) \text{ [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses]}$
 $v\text{-conv} .$

17.3 Constraints

type-synonym $type\text{-vars} = (kid * kind * ce) \text{ list}$

fun $lookup\text{-kid} :: type\text{-vars} \Rightarrow kid \Rightarrow ce \text{ option where}$

$lookup\text{-kid} [] - = None$
 $| lookup\text{-kid} (((k1) , - , ce) \# tvs) (k2) = (if k1 = k2 then Some ce else lookup\text{-kid} tvs (k2))$

fun $mk\text{-type-vars} :: (kid * kind) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow type\text{-vars where}$

$mk\text{-type-vars} [] - = []$
 $| mk\text{-type-vars} [(kid, kind)] ce = [(kid, kind, ce)]$
 $| mk\text{-type-vars} ((kid, kind) \# (k \# kv)) ce = (kid, kind, CE\text{-fst} ce) \# (mk\text{-type-vars} (k \# kv) (CE\text{-snd} ce))$

value *mk-type-vars* [((var (STR "k")) , K-int) , ((var (STR "n")) , K-int) , ((var (STR "m")) , K-int)]
 (CE-fst (CE-val (V-var (mk-x 1))))

inductive

b-conv :: *typ* ⇒ *b* ⇒ *bool*

where

b-conv ((Typ-id ((id (STR "unit"))))) MiniSailAST.B-unit

fun *fvs-x-ty* :: *typ* ⇒ *id set* **where**

fvs-x-ty - = { }

fun *fvs-x-gs* :: (*id*mut*typ*) *list* ⇒ *id set* **where**

fvs-x-gs [] = { }

| *fvs-x-gs* ((*x*, -, *typ*) # *gs*) = { *x* } ∪ *fvs-x-ty typ* ∪ *fvs-x-gs gs*

inductive

ce-conv :: *type-vars* ⇒ *nexp* ⇒ Θ ⇒ Γ ⇒ *ce* ⇒ *bool* (- ⊢ - ∼ - ; - ; -) **and**

c-conv :: *type-vars* ⇒ *n-constraint* ⇒ Θ ⇒ Γ ⇒ *c* ⇒ *bool* (- ⊢ - ∼ - ; - ; -) **and**

t-conv-raw :: *type-vars* ⇒ *typ* ⇒ *ce* ⇒ Θ ⇒ Γ ⇒ *ba* ⇒ *ca* ⇒ *bool* **and**

g-conv-aux :: *env* ⇒ *type-vars* ⇒ (*id*mut*typ*) *list* ⇒ Θ ⇒ MiniSailAST.Γ ⇒ *bool* **and**

c-bool-conv :: *type-vars* ⇒ *n-constraint* ⇒ *ce* ⇒ Θ ⇒ Γ ⇒ *c* ⇒ *bool*

where

g-conv-nilI: *g-conv-aux* - - [] [] GNil

| *g-conv-cons1I*: [

g-conv-aux env type-vars gs T1 G1 ;

Some xa = *mk-prog-x env x*;

x ∉ *fvs-x-gs gs*;

t-conv-raw type-vars typ (CE-val [xa]^v) T2 G2 ba ca

] ⇒

g-conv-aux env type-vars ((x, Immutable, typ) # gs) (T1@T2) (((xa, ba, ca) #_Γ G2) @ G1)

| *g-conv-cons2I*: [

g-conv-aux env type-vars gs T1 G1

] ⇒

g-conv-aux env type-vars ((x, Mutable, typ) # gs) T1 G1

| *ce-conv-sumI*:

[

ce-conv env ne1 T1 G1 ca1 ; *ce-conv env ne2 T2 G2 ca2*

] ⇒ *ce-conv env* ((*Nexp-sum ne1 ne2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.CE-op Plus ca1 ca2*)

| *ce-conj-constI*: *ce-conv env* ((*Nexp-constant ii*)) [] GNil (*MiniSailAST.CE-val (V-lit (L-num*

(*int-of-integer ii*))))

| *ce-conj-kidI*:

Some ce = lookup-kid env k \implies *ce-conv env* ((*Nexp-var k*)) \sqcap *GNil ce*

| \llbracket *ce-conv G cep1 T1 G1 cea1 ; ce-conv G cep2 T2 G2 cea2*
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-conv G* ((*NC-equal cep1 cep2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.C-eq cea1 cea2*)
| \llbracket *ce-conv G cep1 T1 G1 cea1 ; ce-conv G cep2 T2 G2 cea2*
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-conv G* ((*NC-bounded-ge cep1 cep2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.C-eq (CE-op LEq cea2 cea1) (CE-val (V-lit L-true))*)
| \llbracket *ce-conv G cep1 T1 G1 cea1 ; ce-conv G cep2 T2 G2 cea2*
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-conv G* ((*NC-bounded-le cep1 cep2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.C-eq (CE-op LEq cea1 cea2) (CE-val (V-lit L-true))*)

| *c-conv G* (*NC-true*) \sqcap *GNil MiniSailAST.C-true*
| *c-conv G* (*NC-false*) \sqcap *GNil MiniSailAST.C-false*

| \llbracket *c-conv G cp1 T1 G1 ca1 ; c-conv G cp2 T2 G2 ca2* $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-conv G* ((*NC-and cp1 cp2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.C-conj ca1 ca2*)
| \llbracket *c-conv G cp1 T1 G1 ca1 ; c-conv G cp2 T2 G2 ca2* $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-conv G* ((*NC-or cp1 cp2*)) (*T1@T2*) (*G1@G2*) (*MiniSailAST.C-disj ca1 ca2*)

| *c-bool-conv-trueI*: *c-bool-conv env NC-true ce* \sqcap *GNil (ce == [[MiniSailAST.L-true]^v]^{ce})*
| *c-bool-conv env NC-false ce* \sqcap *GNil (ce == [[MiniSailAST.L-false]^v]^{ce})*
| *Some ce' = lookup-kid env k* \implies *c-bool-conv env (NC-var k) ce* \sqcap *GNil (ce == ce')*

| *c-conv-raw-not*: \llbracket
Some ce' = lookup-kid env k
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-bool-conv env (NC-app (id (STR "not")) [A-bool (NC-var k)]) ce* \sqcap *GNil (C-not (ce == ce'))*

| *c-conv-raw-andI*: \llbracket
Some ce1 = lookup-kid env k1;
Some ce2 = lookup-kid env k2
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-bool-conv env (NC-and (NC-var k1) (NC-var k2)) ce* \sqcap *GNil (C-conj (ce == ce1) (ce == ce2))*

| *c-conv-raw-eqI*: \llbracket
Some ce1 = lookup-kid env k1;
Some ce2 = lookup-kid env k2
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-bool-conv env (NC-equal (Nexp-var k1) (Nexp-var k2)) ce* \sqcap *GNil*
(*C-disj (C-conj (ce == [[MiniSailAST.L-true]^v]^{ce}) (C-eq ce1 ce2))*
(*C-conj (ce == [[MiniSailAST.L-false]^v]^{ce}) (C-not (C-eq ce1 ce2))*))

| *c-conv-raw-gtI*: \llbracket
Some ce1 = lookup-kid env k1;
Some ce2 = lookup-kid env k2
 $\rrbracket \implies$ *c-bool-conv env (NC-bounded-gt (Nexp-var k1) (Nexp-var k2)) ce* \sqcap *GNil (C-not (ce == (CE-op LEq ce1 ce2)))*

| *c-conv-raw-ltI*: \llbracket
 Some ce1 = lookup-kid env k1;
 Some ce2 = lookup-kid env k2
 $\rrbracket \implies c\text{-bool-conv env (NC-bounded-lt (Nexp-var k1) (Nexp-var k2)) ce [] GNil (ce == (CE-op LEq ce2 ce1))$

| *t-conv-raw-unitI*: *t-conv-raw env unit-typ ce [] GNil B-unit (ce == [[MiniSailAST.L-unit]^v]^{ce})*
 | *t-conv-raw-intI*: *t-conv-raw env int-typ ce [] GNil B-int (C-true)*
 | *t-conv-raw-boolI*: *t-conv-raw env bool-all-typ ce [] GNil B-bool (C-true)*

| *t-conv-atom-boolI*: *c-bool-conv env nc ce T G ca \implies*
 t-conv-raw env (Typ-app (id (STR "atom-bool")) [A-bool nc]) ce T G B-bool ca

| *t-conv-raw-atomI*: *ce-conv env nexp T G ce2 \implies t-conv-raw env ((Typ-app (id STR "atom")) [(A-nexp nexp)]) ce T G B-int (ce == ce2)*

| *t-conv-raw-bitvectorI*: *ce-conv env nexp T G ce2 \implies t-conv-raw env (Typ-app (id STR "bitvector")) [A-nexp nexp, -] ce T G B-bitvec (CE-len ce == ce2)*

| *t-conv-raw-rangeI*: \llbracket
 ce-conv env nexp1 T1 G1 ce1;
 ce-conv env nexp2 T2 G2 ce2
 $\rrbracket \implies t\text{-conv-raw env ((Typ-app ((id STR "range")) [(A-nexp nexp1), (A-nexp nexp2)]) ce (T1@T2) (G1@G2) B-bool ((C-eq (CE-op LEq ce1 ce) (CE-val (V-lit L-true))) AND (C-eq (CE-val (V-lit L-true)) (CE-op LEq ce ce2))))$

| *t-conv-raw-tuple-singleI*: \llbracket
 t-conv-raw env t1 ce T G ba1 ca1
 $\rrbracket \implies t\text{-conv-raw env (Typ-tup [t1]) ce T G ba1 ca1$

| *t-conv-raw-tuple-pairI*: \llbracket
 t-conv-raw env t1 (CE-fst ce) T1 G1 ba1 ca1;
 t-conv-raw env (Typ-tup (t2#ts)) (CE-snd ce) T2 G2 ba2 ca2
 $\rrbracket \implies t\text{-conv-raw env (Typ-tup (t1\#t2\#ts)) ce (T1@T2) (G1@G2) (B-pair ba1 ba2) C-true$

| *t-conv-raw env (Typ-id (id tyid)) ce [] GNil (B-id (String.explode tyid)) C-true*

| *t-conv-raw-tuple-implicit-nexpI*: \llbracket
 trace ("t-conv-raw-tuple-implicit-nexpI" @ (show tfn));
 tfn = (SailAST.id STR "implicit");
 t-conv-raw env (Typ-app (SailAST.id STR "atom") [A-nexp ne]) ce T G ba1 ca1
 $\rrbracket \implies t\text{-conv-raw env (Typ-app tfn [A-nexp ne]) ce T G ba1 ca1$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*]
ce-conv .

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*]

c-conv .

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *c-bool-conv* .

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *t-conv-raw* .

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *g-conv-aux* .

17.4 Types

value *mk-x 0*

values { (*ba,ca,T,G*). *t-conv-raw* [] (*Typ-tup* []) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x 0*))) *T G ba ca* }

values { (*ba,ca,T,G*). *t-conv-raw* [] (*Typ-tup* [*unit-typ*]) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x 0*))) *T G ba ca* }

values { (*ba,ca,T,G*). *t-conv-raw* [] (*Typ-tup* [*unit-typ,unit-typ*]) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x 0*))) *T G ba ca* }

values { (*ba,ca,T,G*). *t-conv-raw* [] (*Typ-tup* [*unit-typ,unit-typ,unit-typ*]) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x 0*))) *T G ba ca* }

values { (*ba,ca,T,G*). *t-conv-raw* [] (*Typ-app* (*id STR "implicit"*) [*A-nexp* (*Nexp-constant 1*)]) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x 0*))) *T G ba ca* }

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *t-conv-raw* .

inductive

t-conv :: *type-vars* \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow $\tau \Rightarrow bool$ (*-* \vdash *-* \rightsquigarrow *-*) **where**

t-conv-raw *env t* (*CE-val* (*V-var mk-z*)) *G T ba ca* \Longrightarrow *t-conv* *env t* (*MiniSailAST.T-refined-type* (*mk-z*) *ba ca*)

| [[
 t-conv-raw ((*var k, K-int, (CE-val (V-var mk-z))*)#*env*) *typ* (*CE-val (V-var mk-z)*) *G T ba ca*;
 c-conv ((*var k, K-int, (CE-val (V-var mk-z))*)#*env*) *nc T' G' ca'*
]] \Longrightarrow *t-conv* *env* (*Typ-exist* [*KOpt-kind K-int (var k)*] *nc typ*) (*MiniSailAST.T-refined-type* (*mk-z*) *ba* (*C-conj ca' ca*))

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *t-conv* .

17.5 Environment to Context

inductive *g-conv* :: *env* \Rightarrow *type-vars* \Rightarrow *MiniSailAST.Γ* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

[
 g-conv-aux *env type-vars (locals env) T Γ*
] \Longrightarrow *g-conv* *env type-vars Γ*

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *g-conv* .

inductive *et-conv* :: *type-vars* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow $\tau \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

[*Some t = get-type tan*; *Some env = get-env tan* ;

```

    g-conv env type-vars  $\Gamma$ ;
    t-conv type-vars  $t$   $ta$ ;
    tan = annot-e exp
  ]  $\Rightarrow$ 
  et-conv type-vars exp  $ta$   $\Gamma$ 

```

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*]
 et-conv .

inductive *d-conv* :: *type-vars* \Rightarrow (*id*mut*typ*) *list* $\Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

d-conv-nilI: d-conv - [] DNil

| d-conv-mutI: [
  t-conv type-vars typ  $t$ ;
  u = mk-u 0;
  d-conv type-vars locs  $D$ 
]  $\Rightarrow$  d-conv type-vars (( $x, \text{Mutable}, \text{typ}$ )#locs) (( $u, t$ )# $\Delta D$ )

| d-conv-immutI: [
  d-conv type-vars locs  $D$ 
]  $\Rightarrow$  d-conv type-vars (( $x, \text{Immutable}, \text{typ}$ )#locs)  $D$ 

```

inductive *env-conv* :: *env* $\Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

[
  g-conv env []  $G$ ;
  d-conv [] (locals env)  $D$ 
]  $\Rightarrow$  env-conv env  $G$   $D$ 

```

17.6 Let context

```

datatype lctx =
  L-hole ( $\square$  1000)
| L-continue
| L-val va
| L-let xa ea lctx
| L-if1 va lctx sa
| L-if2 va sa lctx
| L-if3 va lctx lctx
| L-final1 dc xa lctx
| L-final2 dc xa sa
| L-match va dc xa lctx
| L-compose lctx lctx (-  $\circ$  - 1000)

```

fun *lctx-apply* :: *lctx* $\Rightarrow sa \Rightarrow sa$ (- [-]_{*L*}) **where**

```

   $\square$  [  $s$  ]L =  $s$ 
| L-continue [  $s$  ]L =  $s$ 
| (L-val va) [ - ]L = (AS-val va)
| (L-let xa ea L) [  $s$  ]L = (AS-let xa ea ( L [  $s$  ]L ))

```

```

| (L-if1 va L sa) [ s ]_L = (AS-if va ( L [ s ]_L ) sa)
| (L-if2 va sa L) [ s ]_L = (AS-if va sa ( L [ s ]_L ))
| (L-if3 va L1 L2) [ s ]_L = (AS-if va (L1 [ s ]_L) (L2 [ s ]_L))
| (L-match va dc xa L) [ s ]_L = AS-match va (AS-cons (AS-branch dc xa (L [ s ]_L)) (AS-final (AS-branch
dc xa (AS-val (V-lit L-unit))))))
| (L1 ∘ L2) [ s ]_L = L1 [ L2 [ s ]_L ]_L

```

```

fun apply-hole-continue :: lctx ⇒ sa ⇒ sa ⇒ sa ( - [ - , - ] ) where
  □ [ s1 , s2 ] = s1
| L-continue [ s1 , s2 ] = s2
| (L-val va) [ s1 , s2 ] = AS-val va
| (L-let xa ea L) [ s1 , s2 ] = (AS-let xa ea ( L [ s1 , s2 ] ))
| (L-if1 va L sa) [ s1 , s2 ] = (AS-if va ( L [ s1 , s2 ] ) sa)
| (L-if2 va sa L) [ s1 , s2 ] = (AS-if va sa ( L [ s1 , s2 ] ))
| (L-if3 va L1 L2) [ s1 , s2 ] = (AS-if va (L1 [ s1 , s2 ] ) (L2 [ s1 , s2 ] ))
| (L1 ∘ L2) [ s1 , s2 ] = L1 [ L2 [ s1 , s2 ] ]_L

```

17.7 Patterns

type-synonym local-vars = (id * xa) list

type-synonym Σ = type-vars*local-vars

type-synonym pat-list = (tannot pat) list
type-synonym pm-row = pat-list * sa
type-synonym pm = pm-row list

fun mapi :: (nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list **where**
 mapi f xs = List.map (λ(i,x). f i x) (zip [0..<(List.length xs)] xs)

fun zipi :: 'a list ⇒ (nat * 'a) list **where**
 zipi xs = mapi (λi x. (i,x)) xs

17.7.1 Expand Literals

fun fresh-vars-list :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat * (xa list)) **where**
 fresh-vars-list i 0 = (i,[])
 | fresh-vars-list i k = (let (i2,xalist) = fresh-vars-list (i+1) (k-1) in
 (i2, (mk-x i) # xalist))

fun add-to-pmlit-aux :: (pm* (la option)) list ⇒ la option ⇒ pm-row ⇒ (pm* (la option)) list **where**
 add-to-pmlit-aux [] la pm-row = [([pm-row] , la)]
 | add-to-pmlit-aux ((pm, la') # pmlit) la pm-row = (if la' = la then
 (pm-row#pm,la) # pmlit
 else
 (pm, la') # (add-to-pmlit-aux pmlit la pm-row))

fun add-to-pmlit :: (pm* (la option)) list ⇒ la option ⇒ pm-row ⇒ (pm* (la option)) list **where**

add-to-pmlit pmlit la pm-row = (if *List.member* (*List.map snd pmlit*) *la* then *add-to-pmlit-aux pmlit la pm-row* else

(([*pm-row*],*la*) # *pmlit*))

fun *is-literal-base* :: *typ* ⇒ *bool* **where**

is-literal-base ((*Typ-id* ((*id tyid*)))) = (*tyid* ∈ {*STR "bool"*, *STR "int"*, *STR "unit"*})
| *is-literal-base* ((*Typ-app* ((*id tyid*)) -)) = (*tyid* ∈ {*STR "bool"*, *STR "int"*, *STR "atom-bool"*,
STR "atom", *STR "vector"*, *STR "bitvector"* })
| *is-literal-base* - = *False*

fun *split* :: ((*la option*) * *sa*) *list* ⇒ ((*la*sa*) *list*) * (*sa option*) **where**

split [] = ([], *None*)
| *split* (*x* # *xs*) = (let (*litsa*, *sa*) = *split xs* in
case *fst x* of
Some la ⇒ ((*la,snd x*) # *litsa* , *sa*)
| *None* ⇒ (*litsa* , *Some (snd x)*))

inductive *get-len* :: *typ* ⇒ *v* ⇒ *bool* **where**

get-len (*Typ-app* (*id STR "bitvector"*) [*A-nexp* (*Nexp-constant i*), -]) (*V-lit* (*L-num* (*int-of-integer i*)))

code-pred (*modes* : *i* ⇒ *o* ⇒ *bool*) *get-len* .

fun *get-len-lit* :: *lit* ⇒ *v* **where**

get-len-lit (*L-hex bs*) = *V-lit* (*L-num* (*int* (*length* (*String.explode bs*))*4))
| *get-len-lit* (*L-bin bs*) = *V-lit* (*L-num* (*int* (*length* (*String.explode bs*))))

inductive *expand-vector-concat* :: *nat* ⇒ *xa* ⇒ *xa* ⇒ (*tannot pat*) *list* ⇒ *lctx* ⇒ *xa* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *bool*
where

expand-vc-empty:

[[
trace "expand-vc-empty"
]] ⇒ *expand-vector-concat i1* - *xb* [] *L-hole xb i1*

| *expand-vc-litI*: [[

trace "expand-vc-litI";
(*i2,xb1*) = *mk-fresh-x i1*;
(*i3,xa'*) = *mk-fresh-x i2*;
(*i4,xa1*) = *mk-fresh-x i3*;
(*i5,xa2*) = *mk-fresh-x i4*;
lit-conv lit la;
len = *get-len-lit lit*;
L1 = *L-let xa'* (*AE-split* (*V-var xa*) *len*) (
L-let xa1 (*AE-fst* (*V-var xa'*)) (*L-let xa2* (*AE-snd* (*V-var xa'*)) *L-hole*));
L2 = *L-let xb1* (*AE-app "eqand"* (*V-pair* (*V-var xb*) (*V-pair* (*V-var xa1*) (*V-lit la*)))) *L-hole*;
trace ("expand-vc-litI i5=" @ (*show i5*));


```

    expand-vector-concat i5 xa2 xb1 ps L3 xb2 i6;
    trace ("expand-vc-litI i6=" @ (show i6))
  ] ==> expand-vector-concat i1 xa xb ((P-lit - lit) # ps) (L-compose (L-compose L1 L2) L3) xb2 i6

```

```

| expand-vc-varI: [
  trace ("expand-vc-varI typ=" @ (show typ));
  (i2,xb1) = mk-fresh-x i1;
  (i3,xa') = mk-fresh-x i2;
  (i4,xa1) = mk-fresh-x i3;
  (i5,xa2) = mk-fresh-x i4;
  (i6,xa-id) = mk-fresh-x i5;
  get-len typ len;
  L1 = L-let xa' (AE-split (V-var xa) len) (
    L-let xa1 (AE-fst (V-var xa')) (L-let xa2 (AE-snd (V-var xa')) L-hole));
  L2 = L-let xa-id (AE-val (V-var xa1)) L-hole;
  expand-vector-concat i6 xa2 xb ps L3 xb2 i7;
  trace ("expand-vc-varI i7=" @ (show i7))
] ==> expand-vector-concat i1 xa xb ((P-typ - typ (P-id - idd)) # ps) (L-compose (L-compose L1 L2) L3) xb2 i7

```

```

code-pred (modes: i => i => i => i => o => o => o => bool) [show-steps, show-mode-inference,
show-invalid-clauses]
  expand-vector-concat .

```

```

values { (x,y,z) . expand-vector-concat 2 (mk-x 0) (mk-x 1) [ pat-lit-bv (STR "0011") ] x y z }

```

inductive expand-lit :: nat => env => xmap => pm => xa => (pm * (lctx*xa) option) list => (id*xa) list
=> nat => bool **where**

```

expand-lit-litI: [
  trace "expand-lit-litI";
  expand-lit i1 env xmap pm xp pmlit ms i2;
  trace ("expand-lit-litI i2 = " @ (show i2));
  lit-conv lit la;
  (i3,xb) = mk-fresh-x i2;
  L = L-let xb (AE-app ("eq") (V-pair (V-var xp) (V-lit la))) L-hole ;
  trace ("expand-lit-litI i3 = " @ (show i3))
] ==>
  expand-lit i1 env xmap ( ( ( ( P-lit - lit ) ) # pm-pat , sa ) # pm) xp (( [(pm-pat,sa)] , Some
(L,xb)) # pmlit) ms i3

```

```

| expand-lit-wildI: [
  trace "expand-lit-wildI"
] ==> expand-lit i1 env xmap ( ( ( ( P-wild - ) ) # pm-pat , sa ) # pm) xp [ [(pm-pat,sa)], None] []
i1

```

```

| expand-lit-varI: [

```

```

    trace "expand-lit-varI" ;
    Some xa = SailEnv.lookup xmap idd
  ] ==>
    expand-lit i1 env xmap ( ( ( (P-id - idd) ) ) # pm-pat , sa ) # pm) xp [ ( [(pm-pat, AS-let xa
(AE-val (V-var xp)) sa)],None)] [(idd,xa)] i1

| expand-lit-typI: [
  trace "expand-lit-typI";
  expand-lit i1 env xmap ((pat # pm-pat , sa ) # pm) xp pm' ids i2
] ==>
  expand-lit i1 env xmap (((P-typ - - pat) # pm-pat) , sa ) # pm ) xp pm' ids i2

| expand-lit-emptyI: [
  trace "expand-lit-emptyI"
] ==> expand-lit i1 env xmap [] xp [] [] i1

| expand-lit-concatI: [
  trace "expand-lit-concatI";
  (i2,x2) = mk-fresh-x i1;
  trace ("expand-lit-concatI i2=" @ (show i2));
  expand-vector-concat i2 xp x2 pats L x2 i3;
  trace ("expand-lit-concatI i3=" @ (show i3));
  expand-lit i3 env xmap pm xp pmlist ms i4;
  trace ("expand-lit-concatI i3=" @ (show i4))
] ==> expand-lit i1 env xmap ( ( ( (P-vector-concat - pats) )) # pm-pat , sa ) # pm) xp (( [
(pm-pat,sa) ] , Some (L,x2)) # pmlist) ms i4

```

code-pred (modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses] expand-lit .

definition pm1 :: pm **where**

```

pm1 = [ ( [ (pat-lit-bv (STR "0101")) ], AS-val (V-lit L-true)),
        ( [ (pat-lit-bv (STR "0100")) ], AS-val (V-lit L-false)) ]

```

values { (x2,x3,x4). expand-lit 0 emptyEnv [] pm1 (mk-x 0) x2 x3 x4 }

17.7.2 Expand Constructor

fun add-to-pmctor :: (pm* ((dc*xa) option)) list \Rightarrow (dc*xa) option \Rightarrow pm-row \Rightarrow (pm* ((dc*xa) option)) list **where**

```

  add-to-pmctor [] la pm-row = [ ([pm-row] , la) ]
| add-to-pmctor (( pm, la' ) # pmlit) la pm-row = (if la' = la then
  (pm-row#pm,la) # pmlit
else
  (pm, la') # (add-to-pmctor pmlit la pm-row))

```

inductive expand-ctor :: nat \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow pm \Rightarrow id \Rightarrow xa \Rightarrow (pm * ((dc * xa) option)) list \Rightarrow (id*xa) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

expand-ctorI:
[[ trace "expand-ctorI";
   expand-ctor n1 env pm tyid xa pmctor ms n2;
   trace ("expand-ctorI i2=" @ (show n2));
   ( (id dc)) = ctor
]] ==>
expand-ctor n1 env ((( (P-app - ctor pats) )#pm-pat, sa ) # pm) tyid xa
               (add-to-pmctor pmctor (Some (String.explode dc,mk-x 0)) (pats @ pm-pat,sa)) ms n2

| expand-ctor-wildI:
expand-ctor n1 env ((( P-wild -)#pm-pat, sa ) # pm) tyid xa [ [(pm-pat,sa)],None) ] [] n1

| expand-ctor-varI:
[[ trace "expand-ctor-varI";
   None = lookup-enum-env env idd
]] ==> expand-ctor n1 env ((( (P-id - idd))#pm-pat, sa ) # pm) tyid xa [ [(pm-pat,sa)],None) ] [] n1

| expand-ctor-enumI:
[[ Some - = lookup-enum-env env (id dc);
   trace "expand-ctor-enumI";
   expand-ctor n1 env pm tyid xa pmctor ms n2;
   trace ("expand-enumI i2=" @ (show n2))

]] ==> expand-ctor n1 env ((( (P-id - (id dc)))#pm-pat, sa ) # pm) tyid xa (add-to-pmctor pmctor
(Some (String.explode dc,mk-x 0))
      ( (P-lit unk SailAST.L-unit)# pm-pat,sa)) ms n2

| expand-ctor-emptyI:
expand-ctor n1 env [] tyid xa [] [] n1

code-pred (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ) [show-steps, show-mode-inference,
show-invalid-clauses] expand-ctor .

```

17.7.3 Expand Tuple

inductive *expand-tuple* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{pm} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{xa} \Rightarrow \text{pm} \Rightarrow \text{xa list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

```

expand-tuple-emptyI:
trace "expand-tuple-emptyI" ==> expand-tuple i1 ( [] ) num-ele xa ([]) [] i1

| expand-tuple-tupI: [[
   trace ("expand-tuple-tupI pats=");
   (i2,xalist) = fresh-vars-list i1 num-ele ;
   trace ("expand-tuple-tupI i2=" @ (show i2));
   expand-tuple i2 pm num-ele xa pm' xalist' i3;
   trace ("expand-tuple-tupI i3=" @ (show i3))
]] ==>

```

$expand_tuple\ i1\ (Cons\ (\ (Cons\ ((\ (P_tup\ -\ pats)\))\ pm_pat)\ ,\ sa)\ pm)\ num_ele\ xa\ (Cons\ ((pats)@pm_pat,sa)\ pm')\ xalist'\ i3$

| $expand_tuple_wildI$:
 $(i2, xalist) = fresh_vars_list\ i1\ num_ele$
 \Rightarrow
 $expand_tuple\ i1\ (\ (\ (P_wild\ lc)\)\ \# pm_pat\ ,\ sa)\ \# pm)\ num_ele\ xa$
 $((List.map\ (\lambda xa.\ (P_wild\ lc))\ xalist)@pm_pat,sa)\#\[]) \ xalist\ i1$

| $expand_tuple_varI$:
 $trace\ "expand_tuple_varI";$
 $(i1, xalist) = fresh_vars_list\ i1\ num_ele$
 \Rightarrow
 $expand_tuple\ i1\ (\ (\ ((P_id\ lc\ idd)\))\ \# pm_pat\ ,\ sa)\ \# pm)\ num_ele\ xa\ (((List.map\ (\lambda xa.\ (P_wild\ lc))\ xalist)@pm_pat,sa)\#\[]) \ xalist\ i1$

code-pred $(modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)$ $[show_steps,\ show_mode_inference,\ show_invalid_clauses]$ $expand_tuple$.

abbreviation $s_unit \equiv AS_val\ (V_lit\ L_unit)$

inductive $as_unpack :: nat \Rightarrow xa \Rightarrow xa\ list \Rightarrow sa \Rightarrow sa \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$as_unpack\ i\ xa\ []\ sa\ sa\ i$
| $\begin{cases} (i2, xa) = mk_fresh_x\ i1; \\ as_unpack\ i2\ xa\ xas\ sa\ sa'\ i3 \end{cases}$
 $\Rightarrow as_unpack\ i1\ xa1\ (xa2\ \# xas)\ sa$
 $(AS_let\ xa2\ (AE_fst\ (V_var\ xa1))\ (AS_let\ xa\ (AE_snd\ (V_var\ xa1))\ sa'))\ i3$

code-pred $(modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)$ $[show_steps,\ show_mode_inference,\ show_invalid_clauses]$ as_unpack .

values $\{ (sa, i) . as_unpack\ 3\ (mk_x\ 0)\ [mk_x\ 1,\ mk_x\ 2]\ s_unit\ sa\ i \}$

17.7.4 Convert Pattern Matrix

fun $mk_switch2 :: xa \Rightarrow (pm * (la\ option))\ list \Rightarrow sa\ list \Rightarrow sa$ **where**

$mk_switch2\ xa\ [(\ -, \ -)]\ [sa] = sa$
| $mk_switch2\ xa\ ((\ -, \ Some\ la)\ \# ps)\ (sa\ \# sas) = LET\ xa = (AE_app\ ("eq")\ (V_pair\ (V_var\ xa)\ (V_lit\ la)))\ IN\ IF\ (V_var\ xa)\ THEN\ sa\ ELSE\ (mk_switch2\ xa\ ps\ sas)$

fun $mk_switch :: xa \Rightarrow (pm * (ltx * xa)\ option)\ list \Rightarrow sa\ list \Rightarrow sa$ **where**

$mk_switch\ xa\ ((\ -, \ Some\ (L,xb))\ \# [])\ [sa] = L\ [IF\ (V_var\ xb)\ THEN\ sa\ ELSE\ s_unit]_L$
| $mk_switch\ xa\ ((\ -, \ None)\ \# [])\ [sa] = sa$
| $mk_switch\ xa\ ((\ -, \ Some\ (L,xb))\ \# ps)\ (sa\ \# sas) = L\ [IF\ (V_var\ xb)\ THEN\ sa\ ELSE\ (mk_switch\ xa$

$ps\ sas)]_L$

fun *mk-match-aux* :: (pm * (dc * xa) option) list \Rightarrow sa list \Rightarrow branch-list **where**
mk-match-aux [(- , Some (dc,xa))] [sa] = AS-final (AS-branch dc xa sa)
| *mk-match-aux* ((- , Some (dc,xa))#bs) (sa#sas) = AS-cons (AS-branch dc xa sa) (*mk-match-aux* bs sas)

fun *mk-match* :: xa \Rightarrow (pm * (dc * xa) option) list \Rightarrow sa list \Rightarrow sa **where**
mk-match xa [(- , None)] [sa] = sa
| *mk-match* xa bs sa = AS-match (V-var xa) (*mk-match-aux* bs sa)

fun *mk-fresh-many* :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat * (xa list)) **where**
mk-fresh-many i1 0 = (i1,[])
| *mk-fresh-many* i1 n = (let (i2,xa) = *mk-fresh-x* i1 in
let (i3,xas) = *mk-fresh-many* i2 (n-1) in
(i3,xa#xas))

definition *mk-pm-list* :: env \Rightarrow SailAST.id \Rightarrow (pm * ((dc * xa) option)) list \Rightarrow (typ*xa) list \Rightarrow (pm* (typ*xa) list) list **where**
mk-pm-list env tyid pmctor bss = List.map (λ (pm,xx). case xx of
Some (dc,xa) \Rightarrow (case lookup-variant-env env tyid (id (String.implode dc)) of
(Some typ) \Rightarrow (pm, (typ,xa)#bss)
| None \Rightarrow (case lookup-enum-env env (id (String.implode dc)) of
Some - \Rightarrow (pm , (unit-typ, xa) # bss))
| None \Rightarrow (pm,bss)) pmctor

inductive *conv-pattern-matrix* :: nat \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow pm \Rightarrow (typ*xa) list \Rightarrow sa \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool **and**
conv-pattern-matrix-list :: nat \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow (pm* (typ*xa) list) list \Rightarrow sa list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
where

conv-pm-list-nilI: [trace "conv-pm-list-nilI"
] \Rightarrow *conv-pattern-matrix-list* i - - [] i
| *conv-pm-list-consI*: [trace "conv-pm-list-consI";
conv-pattern-matrix i1 env xmap pm bss sa i2;
trace ("conv-pm-consI i2=@string-of-nat i2");
conv-pattern-matrix-list i2 env xmap pmlist salist i3;
trace ("conv-pm-consI i3=@string-of-nat i3")
] \Rightarrow
conv-pattern-matrix-list i1 env xmap ((pm,bss)#pmlist) (sa#salist) i3

```

| conv-tupleI: [
  trace "conv-pm-tupleI";
  (i2,xas) = mk-fresh-many i1 (List.length bs);
  trace ("conv-pm-tupleI i2=" @ (show i2));
  expand-tuple i2 pm (List.length bs) xa pm' xalist i3 ;
  trace ("conv-pm-tupleI i3=" @ (show i3) @ (show bs));
  conv-pattern-matrix i3 env xmap pm' ( (List.zip bs xas) @ bss) sa i4;
  trace ("conv-pm-tupleI i4=" @ (show i4) @ (show (List.length xalist)));
  as-unpack i4 xa xas sa sa' i5
] ==>
  conv-pattern-matrix i1 env xmap pm ( ( ( (Typ-tup bs, xa) ) # bss)) sa' i5

```

```

| conv-litI: [
  trace ("conv-pm-litI b=" @ (show b));
  is-literal-base b;
  trace ("conv-pm-litI i1="@string-of-nat i1);
  expand-lit i1 env xmap pm xa pmlits ms i3 ;
  trace ("conv-pm-litI i3="@string-of-nat i3);
  conv-pattern-matrix-list i3 env xmap (map (λpm. (pm,bss)) (map fst pmlits)) salist i4;
  trace ("conv-pm-litI i4="@string-of-nat i4)
] ==>
  conv-pattern-matrix i1 env xmap pm (Cons (b,xa) bss) (mk-switch xa pmlits salist) i4

```

```

| conv-ctorI: [
  trace "conv-pm-ctorI";
  ¬ (is-literal-base (Typ-id tyid));
  expand-ctor i1 env pm tyid xa pmctor ms i2;
  trace ("conv-pm-ctorI i2" @ (show i2));
  pmlist = mk-pm-list env tyid pmctor bss;
  conv-pattern-matrix-list i2 env xmap pmlist salist i3 ;
  trace ("conv-pm-ctorI i3" @ (show i3))
] ==> conv-pattern-matrix i1 env xmap pm (Cons ( (Typ-id tyid,xa) ) bss) (mk-match xa pmctor salist) i3

```

```

| conv-emptyI: [
  trace "conv-pm-emptyI"
] ==> conv-pattern-matrix i1 env xmap (( ( [], sa ) ) # pm) [] sa i1

```

code-pred (modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses] conv-pattern-matrix .

values { (pm',xalist,i). expand-tuple 0 ([([(P-wild (None))] , s-unit)]) 2 (mk-x 0) pm' xalist i }

values { (sa,i). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [] ([([], s-unit)]) ([]) sa i }

```

values { (sa,i,ms). expand-lit 0 emptyEnv [] ( [ ( [ ( P-wild unk ) ] , s-unit ) ] ) (mk-x 0) sa ms i }

values { (sa,i,ms). expand-lit 0 emptyEnv [] ( [ ( [ ( ( P-lit unk ( SailAST.L-true ) ) ) ] , s-unit ) ] ) (mk-x 0) sa ms i }

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [] [([], s-unit)] [] x y }

value nc-true

value is-literal-base (bool-typ True )

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [] ( [ ( [ ( ( P-lit unk ( SailAST.L-true ) ) ) ] , s-unit ) ] ,
( [ ( ( P-lit unk ( SailAST.L-false ) ) ) ] , s-unit )
] ) [ (bool-typ True,(mk-x 0)) ] x y }

definition ctor-typ :: char list ⇒ typ where
  ctor-typ s = Typ-id (id (String.implode s))

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [] [ ( [ ( ( P-lit unk ( SailAST.L-true ) ) ) ] , s-unit ) ]
[(bool-typ True,(mk-x 0)) ] x y }

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [(id STR "x", mk-x 1)] [ ( [ ( P-id unk (id STR "x'") ) ] , s-unit ) ] [(ctor-typ "foo", (mk-x 0)) ] x y }

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 emptyEnv [(id STR "x", mk-x 1)] [ ( [ ( P-id unk (id STR "x'") ) ] , s-unit ) ] [(ctor-typ "foo", (mk-x 0)) ] x y }

definition env3 :: env where
  env3 = emptyEnv [] variants := [ (id STR "foo", TypQ-no-forall , [
(Tu-ty-id unit-typ (id STR "R") ) ] ) ] []

values { (x,y). conv-pattern-matrix 0 env3 [(id STR "x", mk-x 1)] [ ( [
( P-app unk (id STR "R") [ P-id unk (id STR "x'") ] ) ] , s-unit ) ] [(ctor-typ "foo", (mk-x 0)) ] x y }

value ¬ (is-literal-base (ctor-typ "foo"))

```

17.8 Statements

```

type-synonym pexp = tannot pexp
type-synonym pat = tannot pat

```

```

inductive pat-conv :: env ⇒ pat ⇒ string ⇒ xa ⇒ bool where
  Some xa = mk-xa G x ⇒ pat-conv G ( (P-app - ( (id ctor) ) [ (P-id - x) ] ) ) ctor xa

```

```

fun mk-str' :: 'a ⇒ string where
  mk-str' s = STR ""

```

```

fun mk-str :: 'a ⇒ char list where

```

$mk\text{-}str\ t = String.explode\ (mk\text{-}str'\ t)$

code-printing

constant $mk\text{-}str' \rightarrow (Eval)\ (@\{make'\text{-}string\}\ -)$ — note indirection via antiquotation

value $trace\ (mk\text{-}str\ [True, False])$

inductive

$e\text{-}conv :: nat \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow tannot\ SailAST.exp \Rightarrow xa \Rightarrow \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow lctx \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool\ (- ; - \vdash - ; - \rightsquigarrow - ; - ; - ; - ; - ; -)$ **and**
 $e\text{-}conv\text{-}list :: nat \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow tannot\ SailAST.exp\ list \Rightarrow xa \Rightarrow \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow lctx \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $s\text{-}conv :: nat \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow tannot\ SailAST.exp \Rightarrow \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool\ (- \vdash - \rightsquigarrow -)$ **and**
 $pexp\text{-}list\text{-}conv :: nat \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow xmap \Rightarrow pexp\ list \Rightarrow xa \Rightarrow pm \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$

where

$e\text{-}conv\text{-}valI$: \llbracket
 $Some\ E = get\text{-}env\text{-}exp\ e$;
 $trace\ ("e\text{-}conv\text{-}valI\ n=" @ show\ n)$;
 $E ; xm \vdash e \rightsquigarrow T ; G ; va$;
 $trace\ ("e\text{-}conv\text{-}valI\ len=" @ (show\ (List.length\ T)))$
 $\rrbracket \implies n ; xm \vdash e ; xa \rightsquigarrow T ; \llbracket ; \{\llbracket\} ; G ; DNil ; L\text{-}let\ xa\ (AE\text{-}val\ va)\ L\text{-}hole ; n$

$| e\text{-}conv\text{-}regI$: \llbracket
 $trace\ ("e\text{-}conv\text{-}regI\ n=" @ show\ n)$;
 $Some\ env = get\text{-}env\ tan$;
 $Some\ regi = lookup\text{-}register\text{-}index\text{-}env\ env\ reg$;
 $Some\ typ = lookup\text{-}register\text{-}env\ env\ reg$;
 $t\text{-}conv\ \llbracket\ typ\ ta$;
 $trace\ ("e\text{-}conv\text{-}regI\ n=" @ (show\ regi))$
 $\rrbracket \implies n ; xm \vdash (E\text{-}id\ tan\ reg) ; xa \rightsquigarrow \llbracket ; \llbracket ; \{\llbracket\} ; GNil ; ((mk\text{-}u\ regi,\ ta)\#_{\Delta} DNil) ; L\text{-}let\ xa\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ (mk\text{-}u\ regi))\ L\text{-}hole ; n$

$| e\text{-}conv\text{-}appI$: \llbracket
 $trace\ "e\text{-}conv\text{-}appI"$;
 $(n2, xa') = mk\text{-}fresh\text{-}x\ n1$;
 $e\text{-}conv\text{-}list\ n2\ xm\ es\ xa'\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ L\ n3$
 $\rrbracket \implies n1 ; xm \vdash (E\text{-}app\ tan\ (id\ fid)\ es) ; xa \rightsquigarrow T ; P ; B ; G ; D ; L \circ (L\text{-}let\ xa\ (AE\text{-}app\ (String.explode\ fid)\ (V\text{-}var\ xa'))\ L\text{-}hole) ; n3$

$| e\text{-}conv\text{-}tupleI$: \llbracket
 $trace\ "e\text{-}conv\text{-}tupleI"$;
 $e\text{-}conv\text{-}list\ n1\ xm\ es\ xa\ T\ P\ B\ G\ D\ L\ n2$
 $\rrbracket \implies n1 ; xm \vdash (E\text{-}tuple\ tan\ es) ; xa \rightsquigarrow T ; P ; B ; G ; D ; L ; n2$

$| e\text{-}conv\text{-}list\text{-}singleI$: \llbracket

$\text{trace } ("e\text{-conv-list-singleI } n1=" @ (\text{show } n1));$
 $e\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } e \text{ } xa \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } n2;$
 $\text{trace } ("e\text{-conv-list-singleI } n2=" @ (\text{show } n2))$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies e\text{-conv-list } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } [e] \text{ } xa \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } n2$

$| \text{ } e\text{-conv-list-consI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\text{trace } ("e\text{-conv-list-consI } n1=" @ (\text{show } n1));$
 $(n2, xa') = \text{mk-fresh-x } n1;$
 $e\text{-conv } n2 \text{ } xm \text{ } e \text{ } xa' \text{ } T' \text{ } P' \text{ } B' \text{ } G' \text{ } D' \text{ } L1 \text{ } n3;$
 $\text{trace } ("e\text{-conv-list-consI } n3=" @ (\text{show } n3));$
 $(n4, xa'') = \text{mk-fresh-x } n3;$
 $e\text{-conv-list } n4 \text{ } xm \text{ } (es) \text{ } xa'' \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L2 \text{ } n5;$
 $\text{trace } ("e\text{-conv-list-consI } n5=" @ (\text{show } n5))$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies e\text{-conv-list } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } (e\#es) \text{ } xa \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } (L\text{-compose } L1 \text{ } (L\text{-compose } L2 \text{ } (L\text{-let } xa \text{ } (AE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } (V\text{-var } xa') \text{ } (V\text{-var } xa'')))) \text{ } L\text{-hole}))) \text{ } n5$

$| \text{ } s\text{-conv-expI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\text{trace } ("s\text{-conv-expI } n1=" @ (\text{show } n1));$
 $(n2, xa) = \text{mk-fresh-x } n1;$
 $n2 \text{ } ; xm \vdash e \text{ } ; xa \rightsquigarrow T \text{ } ; P \text{ } ; B \text{ } ; G \text{ } ; D \text{ } ; L \text{ } ; n3;$
 $\text{trace } ("s\text{-conv-expI } n3=" @ (\text{show } n3))$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies s\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } e \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } (L \text{ } [\text{ } AS\text{-val } (V\text{-var } xa) \text{ }]_L) \text{ } n3$

$| \text{ } s\text{-conv-block-single}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\text{trace } ("s\text{-conv-blockI } n1=" @ (\text{show } n1));$
 $s\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } e \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } n2;$
 $\text{trace } ("s\text{-conv-blockI } n2=" @ (\text{show } n2))$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies s\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } (E\text{-block } - \text{ } [e]) \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } n2$

$| \text{ } s\text{-conv-assignI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $(n2, xa) = \text{mk-fresh-x } n1;$
 $e\text{-conv } n2 \text{ } xm \text{ } e \text{ } xa \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } n3;$
 $\text{Some env} = \text{get-env tan};$
 $\text{Some regi} = \text{lookup-register-index-env env regi}$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies s\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } (E\text{-assign tan } (LEXP\text{-id } - \text{ regi}) \text{ } e) \text{ } T \text{ } P \text{ } B \text{ } G \text{ } D \text{ } L \text{ } [\text{ } AS\text{-assign } (\text{mk-u regi}) \text{ } (V\text{-var } xa)]_L \text{ } n3$

$| \text{ } s\text{-conv-ifI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $(n2, xa) = \text{mk-fresh-x } n1;$
 $e\text{-conv } n2 \text{ } xm \text{ } e1 \text{ } xa \text{ } T1 \text{ } P1 \text{ } B1 \text{ } G1 \text{ } D1 \text{ } L \text{ } n3;$
 $s\text{-conv } n3 \text{ } xm \text{ } e2 \text{ } T2 \text{ } P2 \text{ } B2 \text{ } G2 \text{ } D2 \text{ } sa2 \text{ } n4;$
 $s\text{-conv } n4 \text{ } xm \text{ } e3 \text{ } T3 \text{ } P3 \text{ } B3 \text{ } G3 \text{ } D3 \text{ } sa3 \text{ } n5$
 $\mathbb{I} \implies$
 $s\text{-conv } n1 \text{ } xm \text{ } ((E\text{-if } - \text{ } e1 \text{ } e2 \text{ } e3)) \text{ } T3 \text{ } P3 \text{ } B3 \text{ } G3 \text{ } D3 \text{ } (L \text{ } [\text{ } AS\text{-if } [xa]^v \text{ } sa2 \text{ } sa3 \text{ }]_L) \text{ } n4$

$| \text{ } s\text{-conv-letI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\text{trace } "letI";$
 $(i2, xa) = \text{mk-fresh-x } i1;$
 $e\text{-conv } i2 \text{ } xm \text{ } e1 \text{ } xa \text{ } T1 \text{ } P1 \text{ } B1 \text{ } G1 \text{ } D1 \text{ } L \text{ } i3;$

```

Some t = type-of-exp e1;
trace ("t=" @ (show t));
Some env = get-env tan;
perp-list-conv i3 env xm [ (Pat-exp None pat e2) ] xa pm i4;
trace ("done perp list conv" @ (mk-str pm) @ (string-of-nat i4));
conv-pattern-matrix i4 env xm pm [(t, xa)] sa i5;
trace ("elab i5=" @ (string-of-nat i5))
] ==>
s-conv i1 xm ( (E-let tan ( (LB-val lc pat e1 ) ) e2)) T1 P1 B1 G1 D1 (L [ sa ]_L) i5

```

```

| ep-s-elab-matchI: [
trace ("ep-s-elab-matchI i1=" @ (show i1));
Some env = get-env tan;
(i2, xa) = mk-fresh-x i1;
e-conv i2 xm ep xa T P B G D L i3;
trace ("ep-s-elab-matchI i3=" @ (show i3));
perp-list-conv i3 env xm perps xa pm i4;
trace ("ep-s-elab-matchI i4=" @ (show i4));
conv-pattern-matrix i4 env xm pm [(t,xa)] sa i5;
trace ("ep-s-elab-matchI i5=" @ (show i5));
Some t = type-of-exp ep
] ==>
s-conv i1 xm ( (E-case tan ep perps) ) T P B G D (L [ sa ]_L) i5

```

```

| ep-s-elab-perp-singletonI: [
trace ("ep-s-elab-perp-singletonI i1=" @ (show i1));
s-conv i1 xm ep T P B G D sa i2;
trace ("ep-s-elab-perp-singletonI i2=" @ (show i2))
] ==>
perp-list-conv i1 env xm [ ( (Pat-exp - patp ep) ) ] xa [ ([ (patp ) ], sa)] i2

```

```

| ep-s-elab-perp-consI: [
trace "ep-s-elab-perp-consI";
s-conv i1 xm ep T P B G D sa i2;
perp-list-conv i2 env xm (perp#perps) xa pm i3
] ==>
perp-list-conv i1 env xm (( (Pat-exp - patp ep)) # perp # perps) xa ((( (patp ) ], sa)#pm) i3

```

code-pred (modes:

```

e-conv : i => i => i => i => o => o => o => o => o => o => o => bool and
e-conv-list : i => i => i => i => o => o => o => o => o => o => o => bool and
s-conv : i => i => i => o => o => o => o => o => o => o => bool and
perp-list-conv : i => i => i => i => i => o => o => bool ) [show-steps, show-mode-inference,
show-invalid-clauses] s-conv .

```

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-if } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($SailAST.L\text{-true}$)))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$) ($SailAST.L\text{-unit}$)))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$) ($SailAST.L\text{-unit}$)))
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-let } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($LB\text{-val } None$ (($P\text{-id } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($(id (STR "x"))$))))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($SailAST.L\text{-true}$)))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$) ($SailAST.L\text{-unit}$)))
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] ($e\text{-let } e\text{-unit unit-ty}$) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-let } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($LB\text{-val } None$ (($P\text{-id } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($(id (STR "x"))$))))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($SailAST.L\text{-true}$)))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$) ($SailAST.L\text{-unit}$)))
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

value (($LB\text{-val } None$ ($pat\text{-id } (STR "x")$) ($e\text{-unit}$)))

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-let } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($LB\text{-val } None$ ($pat\text{-unit}$) ($e\text{-unit}$))) $e\text{-unit}$
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-let } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($LB\text{-val } None$ ($pat\text{-id } (STR "x")$) ($e\text{-unit}$))) $e\text{-unit}$
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (T, P, B, G, D, sa, n) . $s\text{-conv } 0$ [] (($E\text{-let } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$
 (($LB\text{-val } None$ ($pat\text{-pair}$) ($e\text{-pair}$))) $e\text{-unit}$
)) $T P B G D sa n$ }

values { (x, y) . $pexp\text{-list-conv } 0 \text{ emptyEnv}$ [] [] ($mk\text{-x } 0$) $x y$ }

values { (x, y) . $pexp\text{-list-conv } 0 \text{ emptyEnv}$ [] [
 ($Pat\text{-exp } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$
 (($P\text{-id } (set\text{-type } None \text{ (bool-ty } True))$) ($(id (STR "x"))$)))
 (($E\text{-lit } (set\text{-type } None \text{ unit-ty})$) ($SailAST.L\text{-unit}$)))] ($mk\text{-x } 0$) $x y$ }

value ($bool\text{-ty } True$)

17.9 Definitions

primrec *option-map* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b option) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list **where**
option-map f [] = []
| *option-map* f (x#xs) = (case f x of Some y \Rightarrow y # *option-map* f xs | - \Rightarrow *option-map* f xs)

fun *mk-xxx* :: quant-item list \Rightarrow (kid*kind) list **where**
mk-xxx qi-list = (*option-map* (λ qi. case qi of ((QI-id ((KOpt-kind kind kid)))) \Rightarrow Some (kid,kind)
| - \Rightarrow None) qi-list)

fun *mk-tq-map* :: typquant \Rightarrow type-vars **where**
mk-tq-map (TypQ-no-forall) = []
| *mk-tq-map* ((TypQ-tq qi-list)) = *mk-type-vars* (*mk-xxx* qi-list)
(CE-fst (CE-val (V-var (mk-x 1))))

inductive *mk-tq-c-aux* :: type-vars \Rightarrow quant-item list \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool **where**
mk-tq-c-aux - [] (C-true)
| *mk-tq-c-aux* m qis c \Longrightarrow *mk-tq-c-aux* m ((QI-id -)#qis) c
| [c-conv m nc T G c1; *mk-tq-c-aux* m qis c2] \Longrightarrow *mk-tq-c-aux* m ((QI-constraint nc)#qis) (c1 AND c2)

inductive *mk-tq-c* :: type-vars \Rightarrow typquant \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool **where**
mk-tq-c - (TypQ-no-forall) C-true
| *mk-tq-c-aux* m qi-list c \Longrightarrow *mk-tq-c* m ((TypQ-tq qi-list)) c

code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)
[show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses]
mk-tq-c .

inductive *def-funtyp* :: typquant \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow ba \Rightarrow ca \Rightarrow τ \Rightarrow bool **where**
[*tv-map* = *mk-tq-map* tyq ;
k-typ = List.map (λ (-,k,-). case k of
K-int \Rightarrow int-typ | K-bool \Rightarrow bool-all-typ) *tv-map*;
k-typ' = (if length *k-typ* = 0 then unit-typ else
(if length *k-typ* = 1 then hd *k-typ* else
Typ-tup *k-typ*));
mk-tq-c *tv-map* tyq ca;
t-conv *tv-map* out-typ ta ;
trace ("def-funtyp out-typ=" @ (show out-typ) @ (show in-typs));
t-conv-raw *tv-map* *k-typ'* (CE-fst (CE-val (V-var (mk-x 1)))) T1 G1 ba1 ca1;
t-conv-raw *tv-map* (Typ-tup in-typs) (CE-snd (CE-val (V-var (mk-x 1)))) T2 G2 ba2 ca2
] \Longrightarrow *def-funtyp* tyq ((Typ-fn in-typs out-typ -)) (B-pair ba1 ba2) (C-conj ca (C-conj ca1 ca2)) ta

code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses]
def-funtyp .

values {(ba,ca,ta) . *def-funtyp* (TypQ-no-forall) ((Typ-fn [unit-typ] unit-typ ((Effect-set Nil))))
ba ca ta}

```

fun mk-id-map :: pexp list  $\Rightarrow$  (id * xa) list where
  mk-id-map ps = mapi ( $\lambda n\ i.$  (i,mk-x (2*n+1))) (concat (map collect-pexp ps))

inductive funcl-conv :: env  $\Rightarrow$  type-vars  $\Rightarrow$  (tannot pexp-funcl) list  $\Rightarrow$  s  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  [
    trace ("funcl-conv" @ (show t));
    (i1,xa) = mk-fresh-x 0;
    pexps = List.map ( $\lambda fcls.$  case fcls of (PEXP-funcl pexp)  $\Rightarrow$  pexp) funcls;

    xm = mk-id-map pexps;

    pexp-list-conv i1 env xm pexps xa pm i4;
    trace ("funcl-conv i4=" @string-of-nat i4);
    conv-pattern-matrix i4 env xm pm [(t,xa)] sa i5;
    trace ("funcl-conv i5=" @string-of-nat i5);
    Some t = type-of-pexp (hd pexps )
  ]  $\Rightarrow$  funcl-conv env tvars funcls (AS-let xa (AE-snd (V-var (mk-farg))) sa)

code-pred (modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses]
  funcl-conv .

inductive variant-conv :: env  $\Rightarrow$  type-union list  $\Rightarrow$  (char list *  $\tau$ ) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

  variant-conv - Nil Nil

  [
    trace ("variant-convI" @ (show typ));
    t-conv Nil typ ta;
    variant-conv env tu-list dclist
  ]  $\Rightarrow$  variant-conv env (( (Tu-ty-id typ ( (id ctor) )) ) # tu-list) ((String.explode ctor, ta) # dclist)

code-pred (modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses]
  variant-conv .

fun lookup-fun-ty :: env  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  (typquant * typ) option where
  lookup-fun-ty env f = get-val-spec-env env (SailAST.id f)

datatype ms-def =
  MS-type-def MiniSailAST.type-def
  | MS-fun-def MiniSailAST.fun-def
  | MS-val-spec f x b c  $\tau$ 
  | MS-register u  $\tau$  v

definition extract-pexp :: (tannot funcl) list  $\Rightarrow$  (tannot pexp-funcl) list where
  extract-pexp fcls = List.map ( $\lambda pe.$  case pe of (FCL-Funcl - - pe)  $\Rightarrow$  pe) fcls

fun extract-tan :: tannot  $\Rightarrow$  (tannot funcl) list  $\Rightarrow$  tannot where
  extract-tan tan [] = tan
  | extract-tan tan ((FCL-Funcl tan' - - )#fcls) = extract-tan tan' fcls

```

inductive *def-conv* :: *env* \Rightarrow *tannot def* \Rightarrow *ms-def option* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

```

| [
  tan' = extract-tan tan fcls;
  Some env = get-env tan';
  trace ("def-conv-annot-none env=" @ (show-env env));
  Some (tyq,fntyp) = lookup-fun-typ env f ;
  trace ("def-conv-annot-none typ=" @ (show fntyp));
  def-funtyp tyq fntyp ba ca ta;
  type-vars = mk-tq-map tyq;
  pexp-funcls = extract-pexp fcls;
  funcl-conv env type-vars (pexp-funcl#pexp-funcls) sa ]
 $\Rightarrow$  def-conv - (DEF-fundef ( (FD-function - rec-opt ( ( -) effect-opt
  ((FCL-Funcl tan ( (id f ) ) pexp-funcl)#fcls)) ))
  (Some (MS-fun-def (AF-fundef (String.explode f) (MiniSailAST.AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ
(mk-x 1) ba ca ta (sa)))))))

| variant-conv env tu-list dclist  $\Rightarrow$  def-conv env
  (DEF-type (TD-aux (TD-variant (SailAST.id tyid) - tu-list - ) ) )
  (Some (MS-type-def (AF-typedef (String.explode tyid) dclist)))

| [
  tu-list = List.map ( $\lambda i$ . Tu-ty-id unit-typ i) id-list;
  variant-conv env tu-list dclist
]  $\Rightarrow$  def-conv env
  (DEF-type (TD-aux (TD-enum (SailAST.id tyid) id-list - ) ) )
  (Some (MS-type-def (AF-typedef (String.explode tyid) dclist)))

| [
  def-funtyp tyq typ ba ca ta
]  $\Rightarrow$  def-conv env (DEF-spec (VS-aux ((TypSchm-ts tyq typ) ,id f, -, -)))
(Some (MS-val-spec (String.explode f) (mk-x 0) ba ca ta))

| [
  t-conv [] typ t;
  u = mk-u 0 ;
  v = V-lit (L-bitvec [])
]  $\Rightarrow$  def-conv env ((DEF-reg-dec ( (DEC-reg - - - typ rid) )))
  (Some (MS-register u t v))

| def-conv env (DEF-overload - - ) None
| def-conv env (DEF-default - ) None
| def-conv env (DEF-type (TD-aux (TD-abbrev - - - ))) None

```

code-pred (*modes*: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool*) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*]

def-conv .

values { *dc* . *def-conv emptyEnv* (*DEF-type* (*TD-aux* (*TD-variant* (*id* (*STR* "ast"))
TypQ-no-forall [(*Tu-ty-id* (*bool-all-ty*) (*id* (*STR* "bob"))] *False*))) *dc* }

values { *dc* . *def-conv emptyEnv* (*DEF-type* (*TD-aux* (*TD-variant* (*id* (*STR* "ast"))
TypQ-no-forall [(*Tu-ty-id* (*bv-ty2* 2) (*id* (*STR* "bob"))] *False*))) *dc* }

values { *dc* . *def-conv emptyEnv* (*DEF-type* (*TD-aux* (*TD-variant* (*id* (*STR* "ast"))
TypQ-no-forall [
(*Tu-ty-id* (*Typ-tup* [*unit-ty*,*unit-ty*,*unit-ty*]) (*id* (*STR* "bob"))),
(*Tu-ty-id* (*Typ-tup* [*unit-ty*,*unit-ty*,*unit-ty*]) (*id* (*STR* "bill")))
] *False*))) *dc* }

values { *dc* . *def-conv emptyEnv* (*DEF-spec* (*VS-aux* (
(*TypSchm-ts* (*TypQ-tq* [
(*QI-id* (*KOpt-kind* *K-int* (*var* (*STR* "n")))),
(*QI-constraint* (*NC-bounded-ge* (*Nexp-var* (*var* (*STR* "n")) (*Nexp-constant* 0)))
])
(*Typ-fn* [*Typ-app* (*id* (*STR* "atom")) [*A-nexp* (*Nexp-var* (*var* (*STR* "n")))] *int-ty*
(*Effect-set* []))
),
(*id* (*STR* "foo")), (*λ*-. *None*), *False*))
) *dc* }

definition *tq1* **where**

tq1 = (*TypQ-tq* [(*QI-id* (*KOpt-kind* *K-int* (*var* (*STR* "n")))),
(*QI-constraint* (*NC-bounded-ge* (*Nexp-var* (*var* (*STR* "n")) (*Nexp-constant* 0)))
])

value *mk-tq-map tq1*

values { (*T,G,c*) . *c-conv* (*mk-tq-map tq1*) (*NC-bounded-ge* (*Nexp-constant* 0) (*Nexp-constant* 0)) *T G c* }

values { (*T,G,c*) . *ce-conv* (*mk-tq-map tq1*) (*Nexp-var* (*var* (*STR* "n")) *T G c* }

values { (*T,G,c*) . *c-conv* (*mk-tq-map tq1*) (*NC-bounded-ge* (*Nexp-var* (*var* (*STR* "n")) (*Nexp-constant* 0)) *T G c* }

values { *c* . *mk-tq-c* (*mk-tq-map tq1*) *tq1 c* }

values {*ta* . *t-conv* [] *unit-ty ta* }

values {(*t,g,ba,ca*) . *t-conv-raw Nil* ((*Typ-tup* [*unit-ty*]) (*CE-val* (*V-var* (*mk-x* 1))) *t g ba ca* }

abbreviation

$env1 \equiv emptyEnv \ [] \ locals := [((id (STR "x'))), Immutable, (bool-ty True))] , typ-vars := [(var STR "n') ,$
 $K-int \)]$

abbreviation $tan1 \equiv (add-local (set-type None unit-ty) ((id (STR "x'))) (unit-ty))$

abbreviation $tan2 \equiv (add-local (set-type None int-ty) ((id (STR "x'))) (unit-ty))$

abbreviation $perp1 \equiv ((Pat-exp unk ((P-id (set-type None unit-ty) ((id (STR "x'))))) ((E-id tan1 ((id (STR "x')))))))$

abbreviation $perp2 \equiv ((Pat-exp unk ((P-ty (set-type None unit-ty) unit-ty (P-id (set-type None unit-ty) ((id (STR "x')))))) ((E-block tan1 [(E-lit tan1 (SailAST.L-unit))]))))$

abbreviation $perp3 \equiv ((Pat-exp unk ((P-ty (set-type None unit-ty) unit-ty (P-id (set-type None unit-ty) ((id (STR "x')))))) ((E-block tan2 [(E-lit tan1 (SailAST.L-num 42))]))))$

abbreviation $funcl1 \equiv (FCL-Funcl unk ((id (STR "f"))) (PEXP-funcl perp1))$

abbreviation $funcl2 \equiv (FCL-Funcl unk ((id (STR "f"))) (PEXP-funcl perp2))$

abbreviation $funcl3 \equiv (FCL-Funcl unk ((id (STR "f"))) (PEXP-funcl perp3))$

values $\{ (va,t,g) . v-conv env1 \ [] \ ((E-id tan1 ((id (STR "x'))))) t g va \}$

values $\{ (t,p,b,g,d,sa,i) . s-conv 0 \ [] \ ((E-id tan1 ((id (STR "x'))))) t p b g d sa i \}$

values $\{ (pm,i) . perp-list-conv 0 emptyEnv \ [] [perp1] (mk-x 1) pm i \}$

values $\{ sa . funcl-conv emptyEnv \ [] [(PEXP-funcl perp1)] sa \}$

values $\{ fa . def-conv emptyEnv (DEF-fundef ((FD-function unk Rec-nonrec ((Typ-annot-opt-some ((TypQ-tq [(QI-id ((KOpt-kind (K-int) ((var (STR "x')))))))) ((Typ-fn [unit-ty] unit-ty ((Effect-set Nil))))) (Effect-opt-none) [funcl1])) fa \}$

values $\{ fa . def-conv emptyEnv (DEF-fundef ((FD-function unk Rec-nonrec (Typ-annot-opt-some TypQ-no-forall unit-ty) Effect-opt-none [funcl2])) fa \}$

values $\{ fa . def-conv emptyEnv (DEF-fundef ((FD-function unk Rec-nonrec (Typ-annot-opt-some TypQ-no-forall int-ty) Effect-opt-none [funcl3])) fa \}$

abbreviation $perp4 \equiv ((Pat-exp unk (P-tup (set-type None (Typ-tup [int-ty,int-ty])) [P-id (set-type None int-ty) ((id (STR "x'))), P-id (set-type None int-ty) ((id (STR "y')))]))$

(*E-block* *tan2* [(*E-if* *tan1* (*E-lit* *tan1* *SailAST.L-true*)

(*E-lit* *tan1* (*SailAST.L-num* 42)) (*E-lit* *tan1* (*SailAST.L-num* 42))

)])))

abbreviation *env4* \equiv *emptyEnv* (\lfloor *top-val-specs* :=

[(*id* (*STR* "f"), *TypQ-no-forall* ,

((*Typ-fn* [*int-typ*,*int-typ*] *int-typ* ((*Effect-set* *Nil*))))) \rfloor

abbreviation *tan4* \equiv (\lfloor *tannot-env* = *env4* , *tannot-typ* = *int-typ*,

tannot-effect = ((*Effect-set* [])), *tannot-expected* = *None*, *tannot-instantiations* = *None* \rfloor)

abbreviation *funcl4* \equiv (*FCL-Funcl* (*Some* *tan4*) ((*id* (*STR* "f"))) (*PEXP-funcl* *perp4*))

value *collect-ids* *funcl4*

values { *fa* . *def-conv* *env4* (*DEF-fundef* ((*FD-function* *unk* *Rec-nonrec*

(*Typ-annot-opt-none*) *Effect-opt-none*

[*funcl4*]))) *fa* }

value *mk-fresh-many* 0 2

value *int-typ*

value *unit-typ*

values { *fa* . *def-conv* *emptyEnv* (*DEF-fundef* ((*FD-function* *unk* *Rec-nonrec* ((*Typ-annot-opt-some*

TypQ-no-forall

((*Typ-fn* [*int-typ*] *int-typ* ((*Effect-set* *Nil*))))) ((*Effect-opt-none*) [*funcl1*]))) *fa* }

17.10 Programs

17.11 Examples

values { *G*. *g-conv* *env1* [] *G* }

values { (*sa*,*T*,*P*,*B*,*G*,*D*,*i*) . *s-conv* 0 [(*id* *STR* "x", *mk-x* 1)]

(*E-id*

(*add-local* (*set-type* *None* (*bool-typ* *True*)) ((*id* (*STR* "x"))) (*bool-typ* *True*))

(*id* (*STR* "x")))

T P B G D sa i }

values { (*sa*,*T*,*P*,*B*,*G*,*D*,*i*) . *s-conv* 0 [(*id* *STR* "x", *mk-x* 1)]

((*E-id*

(*add-local* (*set-type* *None* (*bool-typ* *True*)) ((*id* (*STR* "x"))) (*bool-typ* *True*))

((*id* (*STR* "x"))))

T P B G D sa i }

```

values { (sa,T,P,B,G,D,i) . s-conv 0 [( id STR "x", mk-x 1)]
  ( E-case (set-type None (bool-typ True)) e-unit [ Pat-exp unk (pat-id (STR "x")) e-true ])
  T P B G D sa i }

```

```

values { (sa,T,P,B,G,D,i) . s-conv 0 [] (e-let (bv-lit (STR "0101")) (bv-typ 4)) T P B G D sa i }

```

```

values { (sa,T,P,B,G,D,i) . s-conv 0 []
  (bv-lit (STR "0101"))
  T P B G D sa i }

```

definition *match1* **where**

```

match1 = E-case int-tannot (bv-lit (STR "0101")) [
  Pat-exp unk (pat-lit-bv (STR "0101")) e-true,
  Pat-exp unk (pat-lit-bv (STR "0100")) e-false ]

```

```

values { (sa,T,P,B,G,D,i) . s-conv 0 [] match1 T P B G D sa i }

```

```

value x-pp (mk-x 0)

```

end

theory *Validator*

imports *SailEnv Native-Word.Uint32 SailASTUtils ShowAST HOL-Library.Debug*

begin

Chapter 18

Sail Validator

18.1 Wellformedness

Use mutual recursion to make this align with MiniSail's equivalent

inductive $wfNE :: env \Rightarrow nexp \Rightarrow kind \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $wfNC :: env \Rightarrow n\text{-constraint} \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $wfTyp :: env \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $wfLocals :: env \Rightarrow (id * mut * typ) \text{ list} \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $wfE :: env \Rightarrow bool$

where

$wfNE\text{-}constI: wfNE \ env \ (\ (Nexp\text{-}constant \ n \) \) \ K\text{-}int$

| $wfNE\text{-}timesI: [[$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp1 \ (\ K\text{-}int \);$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp2 \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$
 $] \implies wfNE \ env \ (\ (Nexp\text{-}times \ nexp1 \ nexp2 \) \) \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$

| $wfNE\text{-}sumI: [[$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp1 \ (\ K\text{-}int \);$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp2 \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$
 $] \implies wfNE \ env \ (\ (Nexp\text{-}sum \ nexp1 \ nexp2 \) \) \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$

| $wfNE\text{-}minusI: [[$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp1 \ (\ K\text{-}int \);$
 $wfNE \ env \ nexp2 \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$
 $] \implies wfNE \ env \ (\ (Nexp\text{-}minus \ nexp1 \ nexp2 \) \) \ (\ K\text{-}int \)$

| $wfNE\text{-}kid: [[\ Some \ (\ kind \) = lookup \ (typ\text{-}vars \ env) \ kid$
 $] \implies wfNE \ env \ (\ (Nexp\text{-}var \ kid) \) \ kind$

| $wfNC\text{-}eqI: [[wfNE \ env \ nexp1 \ k1 \ ; \ wfNE \ env \ nexp2 \ k2 \] \implies wfNC \ env \ (\ (NC\text{-}equal \ nexp1 \ nexp2) \)$

| $wfTyp\text{-}idI: \ Some \ kd = lookup \ (typ\text{-}vars \ env) \ x \implies wfTyp \ env \ (\ (\ Typ\text{-}var \ x \) \)$
| $wfTyp\text{-}fnI: wfTyp \ env \ (\ (Typ\text{-}fn \ typs\text{-}in \ typ\text{-}ret \ - \) \)$
| $wfTyp\text{-}bidirI: wfTyp \ env \ (\ (Typ\text{-}bidir \ t1 \ t2 \ - \) \)$
| $wfTyp\text{-}tup: wfTyp \ env \ (\ (Typ\text{-}tup \ typs) \)$
| $wfTyp\text{-}app: wfTyp \ env \ (\ (Typ\text{-}app \ x \ args \) \)$

```

| wfTyp-exist: wfTyp env ( (Typ-exist kids nc t ) )

| wfLocals-nilI: wfLocals env []
| wfLocals-consI: [
  wfTyp env typ;
  wfLocals env locs
] => wfLocals env ((x,(mut,typ))#locs)

| wfEI: wfLocals env (locals env) => wfE env

```

code-pred (*modes*:

```

  wfNE: i => i => o => bool and
  wfNC: i => i => bool and
  wfTyp : i => i => bool and
  wfLocals : i => i => bool and
  wfE : i => bool ) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses] wfNC .

```

18.2 Subtyping

hide-const *id*

fun *env-of* :: *tannot exp* => *env option* **where**
env-of exp = *get-env (annot-e exp)*

fun *type-of-exp-lb* :: *tannot letbind* => *typ option* **where**
type-of-exp-lb lb = *get-type (annot-letbind lb)*

fun *env-of-lb* :: *tannot letbind* => *env option* **where**
env-of-lb lb = *get-env (annot-letbind lb)*

inductive *eq-id* :: *id* => *id* => *bool* **where**
eq-id ((*id x*)) ((*id y*))

inductive *eq-kid* :: *kid* => *kid* => *bool* **where**
eq-kid ((*var x*)) ((*var y*))

definition *nc-and* :: *n-constraint* => *n-constraint* => *n-constraint* **where**
nc-and nc1 nc2 ≡ (*NC-and nc1 nc2*)

definition *nc-or* :: *n-constraint* => *n-constraint* => *n-constraint* **where**
nc-or nc1 nc2 ≡ (*NC-or nc1 nc2*)

definition *mk-id* **where** *mk-id x* = (*id (String.implode x)*)

definition *arg-bool* **where** *arg-bool nc* = (*A-bool nc*)

definition $nc\text{-}not :: n\text{-}constraint \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint$ **where**
 $nc\text{-}not\ nc \equiv (NC\text{-}app\ (mk\text{-}id\ \text{"not"})\ [arg\text{-}bool\ nc])$

definition $nc\text{-}between :: nexp \Rightarrow nexp \Rightarrow nexp \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint$ **where**
 $nc\text{-}between\ n1\ n\ n2 = nc\text{-}and\ ((NC\text{-}bounded\text{-}le\ n1\ n))\ ((NC\text{-}bounded\text{-}ge\ n\ n1))$

definition $nc\text{-}bool\text{-}equiv :: n\text{-}constraint \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint$ **where**
 $nc\text{-}bool\text{-}equiv\ nc1\ nc2 = (nc\text{-}or\ (nc\text{-}and\ nc1\ nc2)\ (nc\text{-}and\ (nc\text{-}not\ nc1)\ (nc\text{-}not\ nc2)))$

inductive

$match :: typ \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $match\text{-}list :: typ\ list \Rightarrow typ\ list \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $match\text{-}arg :: typ\text{-}arg \Rightarrow typ\text{-}arg \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $match\text{-}arg\text{-}list :: typ\text{-}arg\ list \Rightarrow typ\text{-}arg\ list \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $match\text{-}nc :: n\text{-}constraint \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $match\text{-}nexp :: nexp \Rightarrow nexp \Rightarrow n\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow bool$
where

$match\text{-}arg\text{-}typ: match\ t1\ t2\ ms \Longrightarrow match\text{-}arg\ ((A\text{-}typ\ t1))\ ((A\text{-}typ\ t2))\ ms$

$| match\text{-}arg\text{-}nexpI: match\text{-}nexp\ ne1\ ne2\ ms \Longrightarrow match\text{-}arg\ ((A\text{-}nexp\ ne1))\ ((A\text{-}nexp\ ne2))\ ms$

$| match\text{-}arg\text{-}ncI: match\text{-}nc\ nc1\ nc2\ ms \Longrightarrow match\text{-}arg\ ((A\text{-}bool\ nc1))\ ((A\text{-}bool\ nc2))\ ms$

$| match\text{-}arg\text{-}orderI: match\text{-}arg\ ((A\text{-}order\ ord))\ ((A\text{-}order\ ord))\ []$

$| match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\text{-}nilI: match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\ []\ []\ []$

$| match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\text{-}consI:$
 $\quad []$
 $\quad match\text{-}arg\ a1\ a2\ ms1;$
 $\quad match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\ as1\ as2\ ms2$
 $] \Longrightarrow match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\ (a1\ \#as1)\ (a2\ \#as2)\ ((ms1@ms2))$

$| match\text{-}appI: []\ match\text{-}arg\text{-}list\ args1\ args2\ ms ; eq\text{-}id\ id1\ id2$
 $] \Longrightarrow match\ ((Typ\text{-}app\ id1\ args1))\ ((Typ\text{-}app\ id2\ args2))\ ms$

$| match\text{-}app1I: []\ eq\text{-}id\ id1\ id2$
 $] \Longrightarrow match\ ((Typ\text{-}id\ id1))\ ((Typ\text{-}app\ id2\ []))\ []$

$| match\text{-}app2I: []\ eq\text{-}id\ id1\ id2$
 $] \Longrightarrow match\ ((Typ\text{-}app\ id1\ []))\ ((Typ\text{-}id\ id2))\ []$

$| match\text{-}idI: []\ eq\text{-}id\ id1\ id2$
 $] \Longrightarrow match\ ((Typ\text{-}id\ id1))\ ((Typ\text{-}id\ id2))\ []$

| *match-varI*: $\llbracket \text{eq-kid kid1 kid2} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{match } ((\text{Typ-var kid1})) ((\text{Typ-var kid2})) \llbracket$

| *match-tupleI*: $\llbracket \text{match-list ts1 ts2 bs} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{match } ((\text{Typ-tup ts1})) ((\text{Typ-tup ts2})) \text{ bs}$

| *match-intI*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "int"))))) ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) -)) \llbracket$

| *match-intI2*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) -))$
 $((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "int"))))) [(\text{NC-true})]$

| *match-nat1I*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) [(\text{A-nexp nexp})])) ((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "nat"))))) [$
 $\text{nc-pos nexp}]$

| *match-nat2I*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "nat"))))) ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) -)) \llbracket$

| *match-range1I*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) [((\text{A-nexp ne}))]))$
 $((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "range"))) [((\text{A-nexp ne1})), ((\text{A-nexp ne2}))])) [\text{nc-between ne1 ne}$
 $\text{ne2}]$

| *match-range2I*:
 match
 $((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "range"))) [((\text{A-nexp ne1})), ((\text{A-nexp ne2}))]))$
 $((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom"))) [((\text{A-nexp ne}))]))$
 $[\text{nc-between ne1 ne ne2}]$

| *match-range3I*:
 match
 $((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "range"))) [((\text{A-nexp ne1})), ((\text{A-nexp ne2}))]))$
 $((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "int")))))$
 $[\text{NC-true}]$

| *match-bool1I*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom-bool"))) -)) ((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "bool"))))) [(\text{NC-true})]$

| *match-bool2I*:
 $\text{match } ((\text{Typ-id } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "bool"))))) ((\text{Typ-app } ((\text{id } (\text{STR } "atom-bool"))) [(\text{A-bool nc})]))$
 $[\text{nc}]$

| *match-list-nilI*: $\text{match-list } \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket$

```

| match-list-consI: [
  match t1 t2 b;
  match-list ts1 ts2 bs
] ==> match-list (t1#ts1) (t2#ts2) (b@bs)

| match-nexp: match-nexp ne1 ne2 [ (NC-equal ne1 ne2) ]

| match-ncI: match-nc nc1 nc2 [(nc-bool-equiv nc1 nc2)]

```

```

inductive normalise :: env => typ => typ => bool where
normalise env ( ( Typ-exist x y z)) (Typ-exist x y z)
| normalise env ( ( Typ-id i)) (Typ-exist [] ( NC-true) ( (Typ-id i)))
| normalise env ( ( Typ-var k)) (Typ-exist [] ( NC-true) ( (Typ-var k )))
| normalise env ( ( Typ-tup ts)) (Typ-exist [] ( NC-true) ( (Typ-tup ts)))
| normalise env ( ( Typ-app idd tas)) (Typ-exist [] ( NC-true) ( (Typ-app idd tas)))

```

18.3 Printing

```

fun trace :: char list => bool where
  trace s = (let - = Debug.trace (String.implode s) in True)

fun nc-and-list :: n-constraint list => n-constraint where
nc-and-list ncs = List.fold nc-and ncs ( NC-true)

```

```

inductive subtype :: env => typ => typ => bool where
[
  normalise env t1 (Typ-exist x1 y1 t1') ;
  normalise env t2 (Typ-exist x2 y2 t2') ;
  trace ("t1=" @ show t1);
  trace ("t2=" @ show t2);
  match t1' t2' bs;
  trace ("ncs=" @ (List.concat (List.map show bs))) ;
  prove env (nc-and-list bs)
] ==> subtype env t1 t2

```

```

inductive subtype-exp :: tannot exp => typ => bool where
[
  Some env = get-env-exp exp;
  Some typ1 = type-of-exp exp;
  subtype env typ1 typ2

```

]] \Rightarrow *subtype-exp exp typ2*

code-pred (*modes:*

subtype : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
subtype-exp : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
match : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
match-list : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
match-nc : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
match-arg : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
match-arg-list : *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool*

) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *subtype* .

value *bool-typ* *True*

values {*ms* . *match unit-typ unit-typ ms*}

values {*ms* . *match (bool-typ True) (bool-typ True) ms*}

values {*ms* . *match-nexp ((Nexp-constant 10)) ((Nexp-constant 10)) ms* }

values {*ms* . *match-arg-list [((A-nexp ((Nexp-constant 10)))) [((A-nexp ((Nexp-constant 10))))]*
ms }

values {*ms* . *match ((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom")) [(A-nexp ((Nexp-constant 10))))]*
((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom")) [(A-nexp ((Nexp-constant 11))))]) ms }

values {*ms* . *match ((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom-bool")) [arg-bool (NC-true)])]*
((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom-bool")) [arg-bool (NC-false)])) ms }

18.4 Checking

18.4.1 Literals

fun *integer-of-int2* :: *int* \Rightarrow *integer* **where**

integer-of-int2 x = *integer-of-int x*

inductive *check-lit* :: *env* \Rightarrow *lit* \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

check-lit-unitI: *check-lit env (L-unit) ((Typ-id ((id (STR "unit")))))*

| *check-lit-numI*: *check-lit env ((L-num num)) ((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom")))*
[((A-nexp ((Nexp-constant num))))]))

| *check-lit-trueI*: *check-lit env (L-true) ((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom-bool")))*
[((A-bool (NC-true)))]))

| *check-lit-falseI*: *check-lit env (L-false) ((Typ-app ((id (STR "atom-bool")))*
[((A-bool (NC-false)))]))

| *check-lit-bitoneI*: *check-lit env (L-one) ((Typ-id ((id (STR "bit")))))*


```

| check-lit-bitzeroI: check-lit env ( L-zero ) ( (Typ-id ( (id (STR "bit")) ) ) )

| check-lit-binI: check-lit env ( (L-bin s) ) ( (Typ-app ( (id (STR "bitvector")) )
  [( (A-nexp ( (Nexp-constant (integer-of-int2 ( (int (length (String.explode s)))) ) ) ) , (A-order (
- )) ) ] ) )

| check-lit-hexI: check-lit env ( (L-hex s) ) ( (Typ-app ( (id (STR "bitvector")) )
  [( (A-nexp ( (Nexp-constant (4*(integer-of-int2 (int (length (String.explode s)))) ) ) ) , (A-order
( - )) ) ] ) )

| check-lit-stringI: check-lit env ( (L-string -) ) ( (Typ-id ( (id (STR "string")) ) ) )

code-pred (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ bool) check-lit .

values { True. check-lit emptyEnv ( L-unit ) unit-typ }

values { True. check-lit emptyEnv ( (L-num 43) ) (num-typ 43) }


fun print-type :: typ ⇒ bool where
  print-type - = True


code-printing
  constant subtype → (OCaml) Type'-check.subtype'-check


code-printing
  constant print-type → (OCaml) Utils2.print'-type


type-synonym bindings = (id*mut*typ) list


fun locals-in :: env ⇒ bindings ⇒ bool where
  locals-in - [] = True
| locals-in env ((x,mu,t,typ)#gs) = (case lookup-local-id-env env x of
  Some t ⇒ locals-in env gs | None ⇒ False)


18.4.2 Patterns


inductive check-pat :: tannot pat ⇒ bindings ⇒ bool ( ⊢ - ⇝ - ) and
  check-pat-list :: tannot pat list ⇒ bindings ⇒ bool
where
  check-pat-litI: [ Some (env,t) = get tan ; check-lit env lit t ] ⇒ check-pat ( (P-lit tan lit) ) []

| check-pat-wildI: check-pat ( P-wild - ) []
| check-pat-orI: [
  check-pat p1 bs1;
  check-pat p2 bs2
] ⇒ check-pat ( (P-or - p1 p2) ) (bs1@bs2)

```

$| \text{check-pat-notI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } p1 \text{ } bs1$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-not} - p1)) (bs1)$

$| \text{check-pat-asI}: \text{check-pat } pat \text{ } bindings \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-as} - pat -)) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-typI}: \text{check-pat } pat \text{ } bindings \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-typ} - - pat)) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-idI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{Some } (-,t) = \text{get } tan;$
 $\quad \text{None} = \text{lookup-enum } tan \text{ } x$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-id } tan \text{ } x)) [(x, \text{Immutable}, t)]$

$| \text{check-pat-enumI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{Some } (env, t1) = \text{get } tan;$
 $\quad \text{Some } t2 = \text{lookup-enum } tan \text{ } x ;$
 $\quad \text{subtype } env \text{ } t2 \text{ } t1$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-id } tan \text{ } x)) \llbracket$

$| \text{check-pat-varI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } pat \text{ } bindings$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-var} - pat -)) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-appI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } parg \text{ } bindings$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-app} - \text{ctor } [parg])) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-vectorI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat-list } pats \text{ } bs$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-vector} - pats)) bs$

$| \text{check-pat-vector-concatI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat-list } pats \text{ } bs$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-vector-concat} - pats)) bs$

$| \text{check-pat-tupI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat-list } pat\text{-list } bindings$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-tup} - pat\text{-list})) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-listI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat-list } pat\text{-list } bindings$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-list} - pat\text{-list})) bindings$

$| \text{check-pat-consI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } p1 \text{ } bs1;$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } p2 \text{ } bs2$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-cons} - p1 \text{ } p2)) (bs1 @ bs2)$

$| \text{check-pat-string-appendI}: \llbracket$
 $\quad \text{check-pat-list } pat\text{-list } bindings$

$\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow \text{check-pat } ((P\text{-string-append } - \text{ pat-list})) \text{ bindings}$

| *check-pat-list-nilI*: *check-pat-list* [] []
 | *check-pat-list-consI*: \mathbb{I}
 check-pat pat bindings1;
 check-pat-list pats bindings2
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow \text{check-pat-list } (\text{pat}\#\text{pats}) (\text{bindings1}@\text{bindings2})$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) [*show-steps*, *show-mode-inference*, *show-invalid-clauses*] *check-pat*
 .

The type we get from the type annotation on a node is a subtype of the supplied type

inductive *subtype-tan* :: *typ* \Rightarrow *tannot* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $\mathbb{I} \text{ Some env} = \text{get-env tan} ; \text{Some } t' = \text{get-type tan} ; \text{subtype env } t \ t' \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow \text{subtype-tan } t \ tan$

18.4.3 L-values

18.4.4 Expressions

inductive *check-lexp-vector-list* :: (*tannot lexp*) *list* \Rightarrow *order* \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

check-lexp-vector-list-nilI: *check-lexp-vector-list* [] - -

| *check-lexp-vector-list-consI*: \mathbb{I}
Some t = *type-of-lexp lexp*;
Some (-, *order*, *typ*) = *deconstruct-vector-type t*;
check-lexp-vector-list lexs order typ
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow \text{check-lexp-vector-list } (\text{lexp}\#\text{lexps}) \text{ order typ}$

inductive *check-local-binds* :: (*tannot exp*) *list* \Rightarrow *bindings* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
check-local-binds [] -

| \mathbb{I} *Some env* = *get-env-exp exp*;
 locals-in env bindings;
 check-local-binds exps bindings
 $\mathbb{I} \Rightarrow \text{check-local-binds } (\text{exp}\#\text{exps}) \text{ bindings}$

fun *add-locals* :: *env* \Rightarrow *bindings* \Rightarrow *env* **where**
add-locals env - = *env*

Second environment contains at the least the bindings that the first does and the constraints
 FIXME

inductive *subenv* :: *env* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

subenv e1 e2

inductive *check-exp* :: *tannot exp* \Rightarrow *bindings* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\vdash - \rightsquigarrow -$) **and**
check-exp-typ :: *tannot exp* \Rightarrow *typ* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\vdash - : -$) **and**

$check_exp_typ_env :: env \Rightarrow tannot\ exp \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow bool\ (\ - \vdash - : -)$ **and**
 $check_exp_list :: (tannot\ exp)\ list \Rightarrow typ\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $check_letbind :: tannot\ letbind \Rightarrow bindings \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash - \rightsquigarrow -)$ **and**
 $check_fexp :: tannot\ fexp \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash - <: -)$ **and**
 $check_fexp_list :: tannot\ fexp\ list \Rightarrow typ \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $check_pexp :: tannot\ pexp \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash -)$ **and**
 $check_pexps :: tannot\ pexp\ list \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash -)$ **and**
 $check_lexp :: tannot\ lexp \Rightarrow bindings \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash - \rightsquigarrow -)$ **and**
 $check_lexp_list :: (tannot\ lexp)\ list \Rightarrow bindings \Rightarrow bool$ **and**
 $check_exp_bindings :: tannot\ exp \Rightarrow bindings \Rightarrow bool$

where

$check_lexp_id_notbI :: [$
 $\quad Some\ (_,t) = get\ tan;$
 $\quad trace\ "check_lexp_id_notbI";$
 $\quad None = lookup_mutable\ tan\ x$
 $]\implies \vdash\ (\ (LEXP_id\ tan\ x)\) \rightsquigarrow [(x,Mutable,t)]$

 $| check_lexp_id_bI :: [$
 $\quad Some\ (env,t1) = get\ tan;$
 $\quad trace\ "check_lexp_id_bI";$
 $\quad Some\ t2 = lookup_mutable\ tan\ x;$
 $\quad trace\ ("check_lexp_id_bI\ found\ mut" @ show\ t2);$
 $\quad subtype\ env\ t1\ t2;$
 $\quad trace\ ("check_lexp_id_bI\ subtype\ ok")$
 $]\implies \vdash\ (\ (LEXP_id\ tan\ x)\) \rightsquigarrow []$

 $| check_lexp_cast_notbI :: [$
 $\quad Some\ (env,t') = get\ tan;$
 $\quad None = lookup_mutable\ tan\ x;$
 $\quad subtype\ env\ t2\ t'$
 $]\implies \vdash\ (\ (LEXP_cast\ tan\ t2\ x)\) \rightsquigarrow [(x,Mutable,t2)]$

 $| check_lexp_cast_bI :: [$
 $\quad Some\ (env,t') = get\ tan;$
 $\quad Some\ t'' = lookup_mutable\ tan\ x;$
 $\quad subtype\ env\ t\ t'';$
 $\quad subtype\ env\ t'\ t$
 $]\implies check_lexp\ (\ (LEXP_cast\ tan\ t\ x)\) []$

 $| check_lexp_derefI :: [$
 $\quad Some\ (env,t2) = get\ tan;$
 $\quad check_exp\ exp\ bs;$
 $\quad Some\ t = type_of_exp\ exp;$
 $\quad Some\ t1 = deconstruct_register_type\ t;$
 $\quad subtype_tan\ t1\ tan$
 $]\implies check_lexp\ (\ (LEXP_deref\ tan\ exp)) []$

 $| check_lexp_list_nilI :: [$
 $\quad trace\ "check_lexp_list_nilI"$
 $]\implies$

```

check-lexp-list [] []

| check-lexp-list-consI: [
  trace "check-lexp-list-consI";
  check-lexp lexp binding;
  check-lexp-list lexps bindings
] ==> check-lexp-list (lexp#lexps) (binding@bindings)

| check-lexp-tupI: [
  trace "check-lexp-tupI";
  Some (env,t2) = get tan;
  check-lexp-list lexps bindings;
  Some ts1 = those (List.map (λl. type-of-lexp l) lexps);
  trace ("check-lexp-tupI types=" @ show ts1);
  subtype-tan ( (Typ-tup ts1) ) tan
] ==> check-lexp ( (LEXP-tup tan lexps)) bindings

| check-lexp-vector-concatI: [
  trace "check-lexp-vector-concatI";
  Some (nexp, order, typ) = is-vector-type tan;
  check-lexp-list lexps bindings;
  check-lexp-vector-list lexps order typ
] ==> check-lexp ( (LEXP-vector-concat tan lexps)) bindings

| check-lexp-vectorI: [
  trace "check-lexp-vectorI";
  Some (-,typ) = get tan;
  check-lexp lexp bindings;
  trace "check-lexp-vectorI 2";
  Some t = type-of-lexp lexp;
  trace ("check-lexp-vectorI vectype=" @ show t);
  Some (nexp', order, typ) = deconstruct-vector-type t;
  trace ("check-lexp-vectorI typ=" @ show typ);
  ⊢ exp : int-typ
] ==> check-lexp ( (LEXP-vector tan lexp exp) ) bindings

| check-lexp-vector-rangeI: [
  Some (-,t2) = get tan;
  ⊢ exp1 : int-typ;
  ⊢ exp2 : int-typ;
  check-lexp lexp bindings ;
  Some t1 = type-of-lexp lexp;
  Some (nexp', order, typ) = deconstruct-vector-type t1;
  Some (nexp , order, typ) = deconstruct-vector-type t2
] ==> check-lexp ( (LEXP-vector-range tan lexp exp1 exp2) ) []

| check-fexpI: [

```

Some *recid* = *deconstruct-record-type* *rtyp*;
Some *env* = *get-env-exp* *exp*;
Some *t2* = *lookup-record-field-env* *env* *recid* *x*;
check-exp-typ *exp* *t2*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \text{check-ferp } ((FE\text{-Ferp } \text{tan } x \text{ exp})) \text{ rtyp}$

$| \text{check-ferp-list-nillI}: \text{check-ferp-list } [] -$

$| \text{check-ferp-list-consI}: \mathbb{I}$
check-ferp *ferp* *typ*;
check-ferp-list *ferp-list* *typ*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \text{check-ferp-list } (\text{ferp}\#\text{ferp-list}) \text{ typ}$

$| \text{check-lexp-fieldI}: \text{check-lexp } ((LEXP\text{-field } \text{tan } \text{lexp } \text{fid})) \mathbb{I}$

$| \text{check-litI}: \mathbb{I}$
Some (*env*,*t*) = *get* *tan* ;
check-lit *env* *lit* *t*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \vdash ((E\text{-lit } \text{tan } \text{lit})) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{I}$

$| \text{check-idI}: \mathbb{I}$
trace "check-idI";
Some (*env*,*t2*) = *get* *tan*;
Some *t1* = *lookup-id* *tan* *x*;
subtype *env* *t1* *t2*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \vdash ((E\text{-id } \text{tan } x)) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{I}$

$| \text{check-tupleI}: \mathbb{I}$
Some (*-,t*) = *get* *tan*;
(*Typ-tup* *typs*) = *t*;
check-exp-list *exps* *typs*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \vdash ((E\text{-tuple } \text{tan } \text{exps})) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{I}$

$| \text{check-castI}: \mathbb{I}$
check-exp *exp* *bs*;
subtype-exp *exp* *t*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \vdash ((E\text{-cast } \text{tan } t \text{ exp})) \rightsquigarrow bs$

$| \text{check-exp-env-typI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\mathbb{I} \vdash \text{exp} \rightsquigarrow bs$;
Some (*e*,*t*) = *get-e* *exp*;
subtype *env* *t* *typ*;
subenv *env* *e*
 $\mathbb{I} \implies \text{check-exp-typ-env } \text{env } \text{exp } \text{typ}$

$| \text{check-exp-typI}: \mathbb{I}$
 $\mathbb{I} \vdash \text{exp} \rightsquigarrow bs$;

```

    subtype-exp exp typ
  ] ==> check-exp-typ exp typ

| check-exp-list-nilI: check-exp-list [] []

| check-exp-list-consI: [
  check-exp-typ exp typ;
  check-exp-list exps typs
] ==> check-exp-list (exp#exps) (typ#typs)

| check-appI: [
  Some (env,t) = get tan;
  Some (in-typs,rett-typ) = lookup-fun tan fid;
  trace ("E-app " @ (show-tannot tan));
  Some in-typs2 = subst-inst-list tan in-typs;
  trace "E-app after subst-inst in args";
  check-exp-list exps in-typs2;
  Some ret-typ2 = subst-inst tan rett-typ;
  trace "E-app after subst-inst ret";
  trace ("E-app subtype" @ "t1=" @ show ret-typ2 @ "t2=" @ show t);
  subtype-tan ret-typ2 tan
] ==> check-exp ( (E-app tan fid exps) ) []

| check-recordI: [
  Some (-,typ) = get tan;
  check-fexp-list fexp-list typ
] ==> check-exp ( (E-record tan fexp-list) ) []

| check-record-updateI: [
  ⊢ exp ~> bs ;
  check-fexp-list fexp-list typ;
  Some (-,typ) = get tan
] ==> check-exp ( (E-record-update tan exp fexp-list) ) []

| check-fieldI: [
  Some (env,t1) = get tan;
  ⊢ exp ~> bs;
  Some rtype = type-of-exp exp;
  Some recid = deconstruct-record-type rtype;
  Some t2 = lookup-record-field-env env recid fid;
  subtype env t1 t2
] ==> check-exp ( (E-field tan exp fid) ) []

| check-returnI: [
  Some r-typ = ret-type tan;
  check-exp-typ exp r-typ

```

```

]] ==> check-exp ( (E-return tan exp) ) []

| check-exitI: [
  check-exp-typ exp unit-typ
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-exit tan exp) ) []

| check-throwI: [
  check-exp-typ exp ( (Typ-id ( (id (STR "exception")))))
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-throw tan exp) ) []

| check-tryI: [
  check-exp exp bs;
  check-pexps pexps
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-try tan exp pexps) ) []

| check-refI: [
  Some t1 = lookup-register tan x;
  subtype-tan t1 tan
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-ref tan x) ) []

| check-vectorI: [
  Some (env,-) = get tan;
  Some (len,ord,typ) = is-vector-type tan;
  check-exp-list exps (replicate (length exps) typ);
  prove env (nc-eq (nint (integer-of-int2 (int (length exps)))) (len))
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-vector tan exps) ) []

| check-listI: [
  Some elem-typ = is-list-type tan;
  check-exp-list exps (replicate (length exps) elem-typ)
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-list tan exps) ) []

| check-list-consI: [
  Some (_,t) = get tan;
  Some elem-typ = is-list-type tan;
  check-exp-list [exp1] [elem-typ];
  check-exp-typ exp2 t
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-cons tan exp1 exp2) ) []

| check-letI: [
  trace "check-letI";
  Some (env,t1) = get tan;
  check-letbind lb bindings;
  check-exp-typ-env (add-locals env bindings) exp t1
]] ==> check-exp ( (E-let tan lb exp) ) []

```



```

| check-ifI: [
  Some (env,t) = get tan;
  check-exp exp1 bs1;
  Some t-exp1 = type-of-exp exp1 ;
  Some nc = deconstruct-bool-type t-exp1;
  (add-constraint nc env) ⊢ exp2 : t;
  (add-constraint (nc-not nc) env) ⊢ exp3 : t
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-if tan exp1 exp2 exp3) ) []

| check-varI: [
  Some (env,t1) = get tan;
  check-exp exp1 bs1;
  check-exp exp2 bs2;
  Some t2 = type-of-exp exp1;
  subtype env t1 t2
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-var tan ( (LEXP-cast typ mvar) -) exp1 exp2) ) []

| check-assignI: [
  check-exp exp bs;
  check-lexp lexp bindings
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-assign tan lexp exp) ) bindings

| check-caseI: [
  trace "check-caseI";
  check-exp exp bs;
  check-perps perps
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-case tan exp perps) ) []

| check-loop1I: [
  Some (env,-) = get tan;
  check-exp exp1 bs1;
  check-exp exp2 bs2;
  Some t1 = type-of-exp exp1 ;
  Some nc = deconstruct-bool-type t1;
  add-constraint nc env ⊢ exp2 : unit-typ
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-loop tan lp lm exp1 exp2) ) []

| check-forI: [
  ⊢ exp1 : int-typ;
  ⊢ exp2 : int-typ;
  ⊢ exp3 : int-typ;
  ⊢ exp4 : unit-typ
] ⇒ check-exp ( (E-for tan x exp1 exp2 exp3 ord exp4) ) []

```

$| \text{check-peyps-nilI}: \text{check-peyps } []$
 $| \text{check-peyps-conI}: [\text{check-perp } \text{perp}; \text{check-peyps } \text{peyps}] \implies \text{check-peyps } (\text{perp} \# \text{peyps})$

$| \text{check-block-singleI}: [$
 $\quad \text{Some } (-, t) = \text{get tan};$
 $\quad \vdash \text{exp} : t ;$
 $\quad \text{trace } ("block \text{ single } t=" @ \text{show } t)$
 $] \implies \text{check-exp } ((E\text{-block tan } [\text{exp}])) []$

$| \text{check-block-consI}: [$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{exp1 } \text{bindings} ;$
 $\quad \text{subtype-exp } \text{exp1 } \text{unit-tyt};$
 $\quad \text{check-local-binds } (\text{exp2} \# \text{exps}) \text{ bindings};$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } ((E\text{-block tan } (\text{exp2} \# \text{exps}))) \text{ bs}$
 $] \implies \text{check-exp } ((E\text{-block tan } (\text{exp1} \# \text{exp2} \# \text{exps}))) []$

$| \text{check-assertI}: [$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{assert-exp } \text{bs};$
 $\quad \text{Some } t = \text{type-of-exp } \text{assert-exp}$
 $] \implies \text{check-exp } ((E\text{-assert tan } \text{assert-exp } \text{msg-exp})) []$

$| \text{check-letbindI}: [$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } \text{pat } \text{bindings};$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{exp } \text{bs}$
 $] \implies$
 $\quad \text{check-letbind } ((LB\text{-val tan pat exp})) \text{ bindings}$

$| \text{check-perpI}: [$
 $\quad \text{trace } "check-perpI";$
 $\quad \text{Some env} = \text{env-of exp};$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } \text{pat } \text{bindings};$
 $\quad \text{locals-in env bindings};$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{exp } \text{bs}$
 $] \implies \text{check-perp } ((Pat\text{-exp tan pat exp}))$

$| \text{check-perp-whenI}: [$
 $\quad \text{Some env} = \text{env-of exp};$
 $\quad \text{check-pat } \text{pat } \text{bindings};$
 $\quad \text{locals-in env bindings};$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{exp } \text{bs};$
 $\quad \text{locals-in envg bindings};$
 $\quad \text{Some envg} = \text{env-of expg};$
 $\quad \text{check-exp } \text{expg } \text{bsg}$
 $] \implies \text{check-perp } ((Pat\text{-when tan pat expg exp}))$

```

code-pred (modes:
  check-exp:  $i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-letbind:  $i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-pexp :  $i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-pexps :  $i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-fexp :  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-fexp-list :  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-exp-list :  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-lexp :  $i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-lexp-list :  $i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-exp-typ :  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and
  check-exp-typ-env :  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses] check-exp .

```

```

value True

```

```

values {x . subtype emptyEnv unit-typ unit-typ }

```

```

values { x. check-exp
  ( (E-lit (set-type None unit-typ) L-unit)) x }

```

```

value add-local (set-type None (bool-typ True))
  ( (id (STR "x")) ) (bool-typ True)

```

```

inductive check-funcls :: tannot funcl list  $\Rightarrow$  tannot-opt  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
check-funcls-emptyI: trace "check-funcls-emptyI"  $\Longrightarrow$  check-funcls [] -

```

```

| check-funcls-consI: [
  trace "check-funcls-consI";
  check-funcls fs to;
  trace "check-funcl";
  check-pexp pexp
]  $\Longrightarrow$  check-funcls (( (FCL-Funcl - fid ((PEXP-funcl pexp) )) )#fs) to

```

18.4.5 Definitions

```

inductive check-sd :: env  $\Rightarrow$  tannot scattered-def  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
check-sd env ( (SD-function ro to eo fid) tan)
| [
  check-pexp pexp
]  $\Longrightarrow$  check-sd env ( (SD-funcl tan ((FCL-Funcl ftan fid (PEXP-funcl pexp))) )) )

```

| *check-sd env* ((*SD-variant tan tyid tq*))
 | *check-sd env* ((*SD-unioncl tan tyid tu*))

| *check-sd env* ((*SD-mapping tan mapid to*))
 | *check-sd env* ((*SD-mapcl tan mapid mapcl*))

| *check-sd env* ((*SD-end tan tid*))

code-pred (*modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ bool*) [*show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses*] *check-sd*
 .

inductive *check-def* :: *env ⇒ tannot def ⇒ bool* (- ⊢ -) **where**

check-typedefI:
check-def env (*DEF-type tdef*)

| *check-fundefI*:
 [*trace "check-fundefI"* ; *check-funcls funcls tannot-opt*] ⇒ *check-def e* (*DEF-fundef* ((*FD-function*
 - *rec-opt tannot-opt effect-top funcls*)))

| *check-mapdefI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-mapdef md*)

| *check-letbindI*:
 [*check-letbind letbind bindings*] ⇒ *check-def e* (*DEF-val letbind*)

| *check-valspectI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-spec -*)

| *check-fixityI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-fixity - -*)
 | *check-overloadI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-overload - -*)
 | *check-set-orderI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-default (-)*)
 | *check-sdI*: *check-sd env sd* ⇒ *check-def env* (*DEF-scattered sd*)
 | *check-measureI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-measure - -*)
 | *check-loop-measureI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-loop-measures - -*)
 | *check-reg-decI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-reg-dec -*)
 | *check-internal-mutrecI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-internal-mutrec -*)
 | *check-pragmaI*: *check-def env* (*DEF-pragma - -*)

code-pred (*modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ bool*) [*show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-invalid-clauses*] *check-def*
 .

definition *env2* ≡ *add-local* (*set-type None unit-typ*) ((*id* (*STR "z"*))) *unit-typ*

```
code-printing
  constant Debug.trace  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Utils2.trace -
```

18.5 Examples

```
end
theory CodeGen
imports SailToMs Validator
begin

export-code open check-def def-conv-i-i-o s-conv-i-i-i-o-o-o-o-o-o set-of-pred emptyEnv SailEnv.constraints

in OCaml file minisail-isa.ml

end
```

