

# TMA4267 - Linear statistical models

Trond Skaret Johansen

Spring semester 2024

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Course progress</b>	<b>2</b>
Keywords to know . . . . .	2
<b>Part 1 -</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Multiple hypothesis testing</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Design of experiment</b>	<b>8</b>
Two level factorial design . . . . .	8
Inference about effect . . . . .	8

# Introduction

This is a brief summary of the course TMA4267 about linear statistical models. It includes the main content from the lecture held by ... recorded in, where some examples etc... are excluded.

The purpose of the notes is to give a good overview of the syllabus. I intend to add summaries of the lectures as I review them. I hope to include insights from projects / exercises where it is appropriate.

§

## Course progress

- |                                     |                                     |                                     |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| • First reading                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 4  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 15 |
| ✓ Lecture 1-21                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 5  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 16 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 22 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 6  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 17 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 23 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 7  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 18 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 24 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 8  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 19 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 25 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 9  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 20 |
| • Skikkelig TeXing                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 10 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 21 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 1  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 11 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 22 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 12 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 23 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 3  | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 13 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 24 |
|                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 14 | <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture 25 |

## Keywords to know

## Part 1 -

dette er en test

$\hat{\beta}, \beta, \sigma, \hat{\sigma}, \varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}$

$\hat{\beta}, \beta, \sigma, \hat{\sigma}, \varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}$

theorem - trace formula

**Theorem 1.** (Trace formula)

$$\varepsilon(Y^T C Y) = \text{tr}(C \Sigma) + \mu^T C \mu$$

*Proof.* **TODO:**

□

theorem - ...

## Lecture 8

**Theorem 2.**  $Z \sim N(0, I)$  and  $R$  symmetric and idempotent of rank  $r$ . Then

$$Z^T R Z \sim \chi_r^2.$$

## Lecture 9

Assumptions

1.  $X$  is of full column rank
2.  $E\varepsilon = 0$
3. Homoskedastic:  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2 \quad \forall i$ .
4. If  $X$  is random, then 2 and 3 are conditioned on  $X$ .
5. Normality of errors:  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ .

... obtain least squares estimators  $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2$  of  $\beta, \sigma^2$

Residuals ...

### Parameter estimation

Two approaches: LSE and MLE ...

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2$$

... deducing that LSE and MLE give the same result ...

...

Hat matrix

ghjfiodeifgoerjfkdworw9u0gryhj

Du fulgte ikke med nei

## Lecture 10

## Lecture 11

## Lecture 12

questions about independence. Detour into sigma algebras etc ...

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $X, Y$  are independent random variables and that  $f, g$  are two measurable functions. Then  $f(X), g(Y)$  are also independent.

ANOVA - Analysis of variance

**Theorem 4.** (ANOVA decomposition) Assuming the necessary assumptions,

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}_{\text{SST}} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}_{\text{SSR}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}_{\text{SSE}}.$$

*Proof.* TODO: there aint space in the margin

□

R2 score ...

## Lecture 13

### Fictional model

"Fictional model" using  $x_{ij}$  as response for some fixed feature  $j$ .

The diagram shows the equation  $y = c_1 p^1 + c_2 p^2 + \dots + c_n p^n + c_{n+1} x^{n+1}$ . Annotations include: a red box labeled "First scalar" pointing to  $c_1$ ; a green box labeled "Lowest exponent" pointing to  $p^1$ ; and a blue box labeled "A bunch of different scalars" with four blue arrows pointing to  $c_1, c_2, c_n,$  and  $c_{n+1}$ .

... TODO:

### General F-test

TODO: important to have on yellow paper

We set up a much more general problem. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times p}$ ,  $r < p$ ,  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ ,  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We test the hypothesis:

$$H_0 : A\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}, \quad H_1 : A\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{d}.$$

Some special cases of this general setup are.

1.  $r = 1, d = 0, A = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  with 1 at index  $i$ , gives the test

$$H_0 : \beta_i = 0, \quad H_1 : \beta_i \neq 0.$$

2.  $r = 1, d = 0, A = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, -1, \dots, 0)$  with 1 at index  $i$  and  $-1$  at index  $j$ , gives the test

$$H_0 : \beta_i = \beta_j, \quad H_1 : \beta_i \neq \beta_j.$$

3.  $r = k, d = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^k, A = (\mathbf{0}, \text{diag}(1)) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times p}$ , gives the test

$$H_0 : \beta_i = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}, \quad H_1 : \beta_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

## Lecture 14

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the space of  $\beta$  satisfying  $H_0$ . The restricted problem is:

$$\hat{\beta}^R = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta).$$

Using lagrange multipliers and a bag of tricks, we obtain:

$$\hat{\beta}^R = \hat{\beta} - (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{A} \hat{\beta} - \mathbf{d}).$$

Denoting  $\Delta = \hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}^R$ , we find:

$$\text{SSE}^R = \text{SSE} + \Delta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \Delta$$

... IMPORTANT: the concrete expressions for the F statistic...

We claim that the under  $H_0$ , we have

$$F = \frac{\text{SSE}^R - \text{SSE}/r}{\text{SSE}/(n-p)} \sim F_{r, n-p}.$$

*Proof.* what the

□

## Lecture 15

... example ...

### Transformations of data

Motivation: ...

box cox transformation

variance stabilising transformation

Suppose  $\mu = \varepsilon(Y_i)$  and that  $\text{Var}(Y_i)$  depends on  $\mu$ . ...

## Lecture 16

...

## Lecture 17

Suppose  $k$  covariates. Then  $2^k$  possible models from maximal:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ik}.$$

to minimal:

$$Y_i = \beta_0.$$

We want to arrive at a compromise between simplicity and goodness of fit.

1. Adjusted coefficient of determination:

$$R_{\text{adj}}^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}/(n - k - 1)}{\text{SST}/(n - 1)}$$

- 2.

- 3.

- 4.

example...

# Multiple hypothesis testing

motivation ...

## Lecture 18

...

FWER = probability of at least one false positive finding

... two representations

The *Bonferroni method*

The *Šidák method*

...

example 2019

...

example 2020

## Lecture 19

Example with three groups and their means ... rewrite to regression problem ...

### Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

p treatments, samples ...

## Lecture 20

... cont ... + brief on two way ANOVA

# Design of experiment

## Lecture 21

### Two level factorial design

We suppose we have  $k$  main factors  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  making up a model of the form:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \beta_k \mathbf{x}_k + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Further we suppose a feature matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfying:

1. Each column has entries  $\pm 1$ .
2. The columns are orthogonal, i.e.  $\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_{ij} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j = n \delta_{ij}$ .

This in particular implies that we have  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = nI_n$ . Using results from regression analysis, this significantly simplifies our estimators:

**TODO: expressions**

**Definition 1.** The *main effect* of main factor  $j$  is defined as:

$$\text{effect}_j = \text{response at high level} - \text{response at low level} = 2\beta_j.$$

The estimated effect is naturally

$$\widehat{\text{effect}}_j = \text{estimated response at high level} - \text{estimated response at low level} = 2\hat{\beta}_j.$$

To go from this to a  $2^k$ -design, we take into account interactions of the factors modelled as products of main factors:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \beta_{1,2} x_1 x_2 + \dots + \beta_{k-1,k} x_{k-1} x_k + \dots + \beta_{1,2,\dots,k} x_1 \dots x_k.$$

We extend the design matrix accordingly, and note that we still satisfy the assumptions.

**TODO: example ?**

### Inference about effect

Need inference about  $\sigma^2$ ... cannot use estimator from multiple linear regression since for MLR we have  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\text{SSE}}{n-p}$  and here  $n = p$ . We have to resort to one of two methods.

1. neglect some effects ... then these are normally dist ... use these as estimator ...
2. Lenth's method ...

## Lecture 22

### Resolution

*resolution*

### Blocking

Vi tester en sitering [1].



## References

- [1] test. *test bok*. Ed. by Trond. UiO, 2030.

# Index

(ANOVA decomposition), 4

(Trace formula), 3

Bonferrony method, 7

main effect, 8

resolution, 8

Šidák method, 7