 Interpreted the question (10pts) /2 points: Asked meaningful clarifying questions /2 points: Identified inputs and outputs /2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain /4 points: Identified correct data structure Notes:
2. Solved the technical problem (12pts)
a. 4 points: Presents a working pseudo-code solution
b. 3_/3 points: Code was syntactically correct
c. 3_/3 points: Code was idiomatically correct
d. 2 /2 points: Solution was the best possible option
e. Notes:
3. Analyzed the proposed solution (6pts)
a. 2 /2 points: Stepped through their solution
b. <u>1</u> /2 points: Big O time and space are analyzed
c. <u>1</u> /2 points: Explain an approach to testing
d. Notes:
4. Communicated effectively throughout (12pts)
a. <u>a</u> /6 points: Verbalized their thought process
b. 2 /2 points: Used correct terminology
c. 1 /1 point: Used the time available effectively
d. <u>1</u> /1 point: Was not overconfident (not listening to suggestions)
e/1 point: Was not under-confident (unsure of known algorithm)
f. <u>o</u> /1 point: Whiteboard was readable (penmanship and spacing)
g. Notes: <u>needs to work on penmanship</u>

Start time: <u>10:30</u> End Time: <u>12:00</u>

Name: Brian Brixby Date: 02/16