

ECMT3150: The Econometrics of Financial Markets

1c. Linear Time Series Analysis

Simon Kwok
University of Sydney

Semester 1, 2021

Outline

1. Regression with Time Series Errors
 - 1.1 When would a regression break down?
 - 1.2 Spurious Regression
 - 1.3 Cointegration
2. Unit Root Models
 - 2.1 Stochastic vs Deterministic Trends
 - 2.2 Unit Root Tests
3. Seasonal Models
4. Long Memory Models

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Let $\{x_t\}$ and $\{y_t\}$ be two time series. Let's say we run the regression

$$y_t = \underset{1 \times k}{x_t'} \cdot \underset{k \times 1}{\beta} + \varepsilon_t. \quad (1)$$

The OLS estimate of β is

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t. \quad (2)$$

Suppose the conditional covariance of $\hat{\beta}$ is estimated by

$$\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x) = \hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1},$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2$ is the OLS variance estimator of the residuals $\{\hat{\varepsilon}_t\}$, given by $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{T-k} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2$.

Q: Upon diagnostic checks, we may find that $\{\hat{\varepsilon}_t\}$ are heteroskedastic and/or serially correlated. Is $\hat{\beta}$ consistent for β , and $\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)$ consistent for $Cov(\hat{\beta}|x)$?

A: It depends on the true data generating process (DGP).

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Scenario 1: Let $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T]$, a $k \times T$ matrix of full row rank. The true DGP is (1), where $\{x_t\}$ is a covariance stationary process with $E(\|x_t\|^2) < \infty$ and $E[x_t x_t']$ being positive definite, $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$, and the two processes $\{x_t\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ are independent.

Consequence: $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent, and $\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)$ is consistent.

Sketch of proof: Substitute (1) into (2).

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t.$$

Rewrite the equation as

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta = \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \right).$$

Since $E(\|x_t\|^2) < \infty$ and $E(\varepsilon_t^2) < \infty$, which imply that $E(\|x_t \varepsilon_t\|) < \infty$ by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we apply the strong law of large numbers and obtain

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(x_t x_t'), \quad \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{a.s.} E(x_t \varepsilon_t) = 0,$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\hat{\beta} - \beta \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Conditional on $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T]$, the covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x) &= E[(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)'] \\ &= \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \text{Cov} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \middle| x \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \middle| x \right) &= E \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t' \varepsilon_t \right) \middle| x \right] \\ &= E \left[\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \varepsilon_t^2 + \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{t=s+1}^T (x_s x_t' + x_t x_s') \varepsilon_s \varepsilon_t \middle| x \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' E(\varepsilon_t^2 | x) + \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{t=s+1}^T (x_s x_t' + x_t x_s') E(\varepsilon_s \varepsilon_t | x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ and $E(x_t \varepsilon_t) = 0$, we have $E(\varepsilon_t^2 | x) = E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2$ and $E(\varepsilon_s \varepsilon_t | x) = E(\varepsilon_s \varepsilon_t) = 0$ for all $s \neq t$, and so $\text{Cov} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t \varepsilon_t \middle| x \right) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)$. It follows that $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1}$.

Since $E(\varepsilon_t^2) < \infty$, we have, by the strong law of large numbers, $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_\varepsilon^2$, and hence,

$$\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\beta}|x) = \hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} = \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Scenario 2: The true DGP is (1) with serially uncorrelated but heteroskedastic ε_t .

Consequence: $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent, but $\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)$ is inconsistent.

Solution: Use the White (1980) heteroskedasticity consistent (HC) estimator for $Cov(\hat{\beta}|x)$:

$$\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)_{HC} = \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2 x_t x_t' \right) \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1}.$$

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Scenario 3: The true DGP is (1) with serially correlated and heteroskedastic $\{\varepsilon_t\}$.

Consequence: $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent, but $\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)$ and $\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)_{HC}$ are inconsistent.

Solution: Use the Newey and West (1987) heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent (HAC) estimator:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}|x)_{HAC} &= \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1} \hat{C}_{HAC} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T x_t x_t' \right)^{-1}, \\ \hat{C}_{HAC} &= \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t^2 x_t x_t' + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} w_j \sum_{t=j+1}^T \hat{\varepsilon}_t \hat{\varepsilon}_{t-j} (x_t x_{t-j}' + x_{t-j} x_t').\end{aligned}$$

One needs to pick the truncation parameter ℓ and the weights $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\ell}$ (e.g., $\ell = \left\lfloor 4 \left(\frac{T}{100} \right)^{2/9} \right\rfloor$ and $w_j = 1 - \frac{j}{\ell+1}$).

Regression Model with Time Series Errors

Scenario 4: The true DGP contains lagged values of y_t , and $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ is serially correlated. E.g.,

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \beta y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \\ \varepsilon_t &= \theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t,\end{aligned}$$

where $\{u_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_u^2)$.

Consequence: $\hat{\beta}$ is inconsistent.

To see this, first note that this model is the same as regression (1) with one regressor $x_t = y_{t-1}$ and an ε_t with $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim AR(1)$. Now, let us compute

$$\begin{aligned}E(x_t \varepsilon_t) &= E[y_{t-1} \varepsilon_t] \\ &= E[y_{t-1} (\theta \varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t)] \\ &= \theta E(y_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-1}) + E[y_{t-1} u_t].\end{aligned}$$

However, $E(y_{t-1} \varepsilon_{t-1}) = E[(\beta y_{t-2} + \varepsilon_{t-1}) \varepsilon_{t-1}] \neq 0$, and $E[y_{t-1} u_t] = 0$, so that $E(x_t \varepsilon_t) \neq 0$. As a result, the proof of the consistency of $\hat{\beta}$ under scenario 1 breaks down.

Solution: Use MLE instead of OLS.

Unit Root Nonstationarity

A process is nonstationary if some of its unconditional moments vary with time. Some examples are:

- ▶ random walk (unit root / stochastic trend process):
 $y_t = y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, where $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$.
- ▶ random walk with drift: $y_t = c + y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, where $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$.
- ▶ trend-stationary time series: $y_t = a + bt + u_t$, where u_t is stationary.
- ▶ ARIMA(p, d, q): $\{\Delta^d u_t\} \sim ARMA(p, q)$, where $\Delta^d y_t$ is the d^{th} order difference of y_t .¹ i.e.,
 $[1 - \phi(L)] (\Delta^d u_t) = [1 + \theta(L)] \varepsilon_t$, where $\phi(\cdot)$ and $\theta(\cdot)$ are polynomial function of orders p and q , and $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$.

¹For any positive integer $d \geq 1$, the d^{th} order difference is defined as
 $\Delta^d y_t = (1 - L)^d y_t$, where L is the lag operator. E.g.,
 $\Delta^1 y_t = \Delta y_t = (1 - L)y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$, and
 $\Delta^2 y_t = (1 - L)^2 y_t = y_t - 2y_{t-1} + y_{t-2}$.

Random Walk (RW)

For $t \geq 1$, $y_t = y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, where $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$. y_0 = initial value

Write y_t in terms of the noises: $y_t = y_0 + \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \varepsilon_1$.

Interpretation: any previous shock ε_{t-j} has a permanent effect on y_t .

Conditional on \mathcal{F}_0 , the mean is $E(y_t|\mathcal{F}_0) = y_0$ and the variance is $Var(y_t|\mathcal{F}_0) = t\sigma_\varepsilon^2$. The variance grows linearly with time.

Ex: Show that $\hat{y}_t(\ell) = E[y_{t+\ell}|\mathcal{F}_t] = y_t$ for all $\ell > 0$.

This shows that $\{y_t\}$ is a *martingale*. Interpretation: the best point forecast of a RW is given by its current value.

Ex: Show that the forecast error $\hat{e}_t(\ell) = y_{t+\ell} - \hat{y}_t(\ell)$ has variance $\ell\sigma_\varepsilon^2$, which diverges as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ (hopeless to forecast RW in the distant future).

Ex: Show that the ACF is $\rho_j = 1$ for all integers j (long memory).

Random Walk with Drift

For $t \geq 1$, $y_t = c + y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$, where $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$. $y_0 =$ initial value

Write y_t in terms of the noises:

$$y_t = \underbrace{y_0}_{\text{initial point}} + \underbrace{ct}_{\text{deterministic trend}} + \underbrace{\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots \varepsilon_1}_{\text{stochastic trend}}.$$

$E(y_t | \mathcal{F}_0) = y_0 + ct$ (so $c =$ average rate of change in y_t over time).

Conditional on \mathcal{F}_0 , $y_0 + ct$ is deterministic, so $Var(y_t | \mathcal{F}_0) = t\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ (same as RW without drift).

Ex: Show that $\hat{y}_t(\ell) = E[y_{t+\ell} | \mathcal{F}_t] = c\ell + y_t$ for all $\ell > 0$.

Ex: Show that $Var[\hat{\varepsilon}_t(\ell)] = \ell\sigma_\varepsilon^2$.

Trend-Stationary Time Series

For $t \geq 1$, $y_t = a + bt + u_t$, where $\{u_t\}$ is stationary (e.g., an ARMA model) with mean zero and variance σ_u^2 . $\{y_t\}$ has a deterministic linear trend $a + bt$ but no stochastic trend.

$E(y_t) = a + bt$ (so b = average rate of change in y_t over time).
As $a + bt$ is deterministic, $\text{Var}(y_t) = \sigma_u^2$, which is time-invariant if it exists.

Ex: Show that $\hat{y}_t(\ell) = E[y_{t+\ell} | \mathcal{F}_t] = a + b(t + \ell)$ for all $\ell > 0$.

Ex: Show that $\text{Var}[\hat{e}_t(\ell)] = \sigma_u^2$.

Dickey-Fuller (DF) Test

Consider the regression

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

We want to test: $H_0 : \phi_1 = 1$ vs $H_a : \phi_1 < 1$.

Run the regression, get the OLS estimate $\hat{\phi}_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}$, and obtain the residual variance $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2$.

The standard error of $\hat{\phi}_1$ is $s.e.(\hat{\phi}_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}}$.

The DF test statistic is the t ratio of $\hat{\phi}_1$ under H_0 :

$$DF = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s.e.(\hat{\phi}_1)} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1}}{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}}.$$

Under H_0 , DF converges to a nonstandard distribution (function of standard Brownian motion) as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (need to use simulation to get critical value).

Dickey-Fuller Test

$$DF = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t y_{t-1}}{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}}.$$

Q: What is the asymptotic distribution of DF under H_0 ?

Sketch of proof: Let $W(t)$ be the standard Brownian motion (in continuous time). As $T \rightarrow \infty$, by the strong law of large numbers,

$$\blacktriangleright \hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2 \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_\varepsilon^2.$$

Also, applying the *functional central limit theorem*,

$$\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{2} [W(1)^2 - 1],$$

$$\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 W(s)^2 ds.$$

Combining the limits using Slutsky's theorem, we obtain

$$DF \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\frac{1}{2} [W(1)^2 - 1]}{\sqrt{\int_0^1 W(s)^2 ds}}.$$

Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

We augment the regression model with a deterministic intercept c_t and $p - 1$ lagged differenced series $\Delta y_{t-1}, \dots, \Delta y_{t-p+1}$

$$y_t = c_t + \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \phi_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t.$$

We want to test: $H_0 : \beta = 1$ vs $H_a : \beta < 1$.

The ADF test statistic is the t ratio of $\hat{\beta}$ (OLS estimate of β):

$$ADF = \frac{\hat{\beta} - 1}{s.e.(\hat{\beta})}.$$

Under H_0 , ADF converges to a different nonstandard distribution as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (need to use simulation to get critical value).

Equivalently, we may run the *error-correction* regression of Δy_t :

$$\Delta y_t = c_t + \beta_c y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \phi_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t.$$

Note that $\beta_c = \beta - 1$.

Spurious Regression

Suppose $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$ contain a unit root.

Q: After running the regression (1), we may detect a unit root in the residuals (e.g., as revealed by ADF test), and find a statistically significant $\hat{\beta}$. Is the inference on $\hat{\beta}$ reliable?

A: $\hat{\beta}$ can be spuriously significant, and R^2 spuriously high. This is known as *spurious regression*.

Solutions:

- ▶ Take the first-order difference of $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$, and run the regression $\Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta \Delta x_t + \varepsilon_t$. Check for serial correlations of the residuals by looking at their ACF. Add lags of Δy_t , Δx_t and ε_t if necessary. The OLS estimates $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are \sqrt{T} -consistent and asymptotically normal as $T \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ Add lagged values of y_t and x_t to regression (1). However, the asymptotic distributions of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are non-standard.
- ▶ Apply Cochrane-Orcutt adjustment.

Cointegration

Suppose $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$ contain a unit root.

Q: After running regression (1), we find that the residuals are stationary. What does $\hat{\beta}$ represent?

A: In this case, $\{y_t\}$ and $\{x_t\}$ are *cointegrated*. We say that the $\{(y_t, x_t)\}$ pair displays a *cointegrating relationship* given by (1) with cointegrating vector $(1, -\beta)$. As for inference, the OLS estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is super-consistent (T -consistent), and the asymptotic distribution is non-standard.

Seasonal Models

Time series may exhibit cyclical patterns (e.g., weekly pattern for daily series, monthly pattern for weekly series).

Q: Suppose $\{y_t\}$ has a cyclical pattern of periodicity s . How to carry out analysis?

A: If $\{y_t\}$ is stationary, we may remove the cyclicity by applying the seasonal adjustment:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_s y_t &= (1 - L^s)y_t \\ &= y_t - y_{t-s}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\{y_t\}$ has a unit root, we may apply the seasonal adjustment to the first-differenced series:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_s(\Delta y_t) &= (1 - L^s)(1 - L)y_t = (y_t - y_{t-1}) - (y_{t-s} - y_{t-s-1}) \\ &= y_t - y_{t-1} - y_{t-s} + y_{t-s-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Seasonal Models

Multiplicative season model:

$$w_t := (1 - L^s)(1 - L)y_t = (1 - \theta L)(1 - \lambda L^s)u_t \quad (3)$$

Ex: What is the ACF of $\{w_t\}$?

If $\lambda = 1$, then the seasonal factor $(1 - L^s)$ appears on both sides of (3). This suggests that the seasonal pattern is deterministic. Exact-likelihood estimation can reveal this and is recommended.

Long Memory / Fractionally differenced Model

Let $\{\varepsilon_t\} \sim wn(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$, and suppose that $\phi(\cdot)$ and $\theta(\cdot)$ are polynomial functions of orders p and q . We say that y_t follows an *autoregressive fractionally integrated moving-average* model, $ARFIMA(p, d, q)$, if

$$[1 - \phi(L)]\Delta^d y_t := [1 + \theta(L)]\varepsilon_t.$$

- ▶ $d \in (-0.5, 0)$: long-range negative dependence, with ACF $\rho_j \sim j^{2d-1}$ (hyperbolic decay) as $j \rightarrow \infty$.²
- ▶ $d = 0$: e.g., for $AR(1)$, $\rho_j = \phi_1^{|j|}$ (exponential decay).
- ▶ $d \in (0, 0.5)$: long-range positive dependence, $\rho_j \sim j^{2d-1}$ (hyperbolic decay) as $j \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ $d \in [0.5, 1)$: mean-reverting, non-stationary process.
- ▶ $d = 1$: martingale, unit root process, $\rho_j \equiv 1$ for all integers j .

² “ \sim ” means “is proportional to”.