



Scaling Hafnium for Advanced Mobile OS Architectures

Qualcomm Core Platform Team

Jack Suann

Snapdragon and Qualcomm branded products are products of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries.





Agenda

- Requirements and Goals
- Proposals
- Memory Management Enhancements
- Questions

Our Requirements and Goals

- Support the use of highly scattered buffers.
 - Demanding memory usage requirements in Normal world OS means carveouts are not acceptable.
 - Standard memory allocation APIs in Linux and other OSes results in highly fragmented memory buffers.
 - DRM and other secure DMA use-cases require these buffers to be virtually contiguous.
- Optimizing memory overheads on memory constrained devices.
 - Limiting memory overhead of firmware is a strong customer requirement.
 - Complex use-cases add heap pressure and can easily result in OOM issues in an SPM.
- Give customers flexibility and scalability for their use-cases.
 - Support multiple SPs efficiently.
 - Support various DMA isolation model for peripheral devices.
 - Simplify implementations by avoid complex memory management within an SP.
- Contribute to Hafnium to help extend compliance with FF-A specification.
 - Without breaking any existing use-cases!

Extending Hafnium For Advanced Mobile OS

- Add support for non-identity memory mappings.
 - Enables virtually contiguous memory buffers in SPs and for secure DMA (without Stage-1 MMU).
 - Virtually contiguous buffers in SPs simplifies their implementation.
 - Contiguous IPA & VA regions reduces SPM's page table memory.
- Enable dynamic memory management for DMA devices.
 - Allow SPM to directly map FF-A buffers to be accessible by DMA.
 - Support more flexible control of DMA mappings from SPs.
- Support deployments with many multi-processor SPs.
 - Ensure Hafnium scales well on multi-core + multi-SP systems.
- Enhance deployment and debug capabilities.

Overview of Proposed Enhancements

Memory Management

- Non-identity mapping support
- Efficient normal world bookkeeping
- Multi-page RX/TX buffers
- Slab allocator

FF-A Proxy Support

- SEPID parsing in DT manifest
- SEPID support in FF-A memory management
- Shared stage-2 for SPs and DMA devices

SMMU Virtualization

- SMMUv3 stage-1 & nested support
- SMMUv3 fault handling
- Virtio-IOMMU for stage-1 management

Other Enhancements

- WCET analysis and improvements
- Debug facilities
- Misc optimizations



Scope of today's presentation

Memory Management



Support non-identity mappings in page table code

- Add non-identity variants of the mapping functions (prepare/commit) in the memory management code.
 - Keep existing identity mapping functions to maintain backwards compatibility.
- Challenges:
 - Some assumptions that input address == output address in page table code, such as during defragmentation.
- Status:
 - Prototype of changes complete.
- Impact:
 - With identity wrappers, impact is limited to page table code. Non-identity use-cases can be introduced as required.
 - Existing test cases pass, may require more extensive unit testing.

```
bool mm_vm_prepare(struct mm_ptable *ptable, ipaddr_t ip_begin, ipaddr_t ip_end,
                  paddr_t p_begin, mm_mode_t mode, struct mpool *ppool)
{
    struct mm_flags flags = mm_mode_to_flags(mode);

    return mm_ptable_prepare(
        ptable, ipa_addr(ip_begin), ipa_addr(ip_end), pa_addr(p_begin),
        arch_mm_mode_to_stage2_attrs(mode), flags, ppool);
}

bool mm_vm_identity_prepare(struct mm_ptable *ptable, paddr_t begin,
                           paddr_t end, mm_mode_t mode, struct mpool *ppool)
{
    return mm_vm_prepare(ptable, ipa_from_pa(begin), ipa_from_pa(end),
                          begin, mode, ppool);
}
```

Allow Borrowers to specify a composite memory descriptor

- Add support for handling composite memory descriptor in FFA_MEM_RETRIEVE_REQ.
 - Maintain existing IPA==PA as default behaviour when no descriptor is provided.
 - Composite memory descriptor allows SPs to control IPA / VA of where they want memory to be mapped.
- Challenges:
 - Requires additional bookkeeping in FF-A share states to track where memory is mapped for each receiver.
 - Need to ensure the SP cannot overwrite existing mappings (and memory states) in stage-2.
 - May require handling of fragmented retrievals – can we restrict the max number of requested regions to avoid this?
- Status:
 - Prototyping of base changes complete, with no support for fragmented retrieve requests.
- Impact:
 - Add checks and handling in FF-A memory retrieve.
 - Use new non-identity mapping page table interface.
 - Comprehensive testing of composite memory descriptor usage is required.

Support for non-identity donations?

- Supporting composite memory descriptors retrieval for donation adds new complexity.
 - SP owned memory may no longer be mapped 1:1.
- Challenges:
 - Need to remove Hafnium assumptions that owned memory is mapped 1:1.
 - SPs might try to use this memory for other purposes: FF-A shares, RX/TX buffers, etc.
 - FF-A memory donate needs to translate IPA to PA when parsing memory region descriptors.
 - Memory regions may not be physically contiguous, so FF-A share states need to support splintering the fragments.
- Status:
 - Prototyped an implementation supporting this.
 - Latest version rejects non-identity donation retrieval for now.
- Impact:
 - Extensive changes required to FF-A memory share states if owned memory can be non-identity mapped.
 - Can we live with 1:1 donation for now?

Alternative address allocation policies for FF-A retrieve requests

- Add support for non 1:1 relay allocated addresses on retrieve.
 - Composite memory descriptors give SPs considerable flexibility in IPA / VA management.
 - This has a complexity cost for SPs that don't have special requirements for mapping memory.
 - Providing alternative retrieve policies and an address space allocator can support common SP requirements such as IPA allocation with virtually contiguous buffer retrieval.
- Challenges:
 - How should SPs choose which allocation policy to use?
 - Provide support for policy selection via the SP manifest.
 - Or specify a hint in FFA_RETRIEVE_REQ – will this require an extension to the FF-A memory management spec?
 - What policies should be implemented?
- Impact:
 - Requires an address allocation policy framework.
 - Requires new modules and data structures for address space management.

Efficient memory bookkeeping for “other world” VM

- Replace page tables of “other world” VM with an optimized bookkeeping structure.
 - Normal world ownership and state tracking is physical; no address translation is needed.
 - By only tracking the necessary page states we can reduce the memory usage of normal world bookkeeping.
 - Other benefits of not re-using the page table interface for this are:
 - Avoiding unnecessary TLBI and sync operations in the page table code.
 - Support more flexible data structures not limited by HW defined formats.
- Design:
 - Page tracking required: validity, ownership, sharing, normal / device attributes, access permissions.
 - Simplified page table-like structure, but entries at leaf levels are much smaller in size.
 - May require a fine-grained memory allocator for more sophisticated designs (structures smaller than 4KiB).
- Impact:
 - Requires new data structure for normal world page state bookkeeping.
 - This may also require a new internal memory allocator.
 - Only affects memory transactions involving normal world.
 - May result in performance improvements.

Multi-page RX/TX buffers

- NS OS and VMs may want larger RX/TX buffers for communication with SPs.
 - Larger than 4K RX/TX buffer support would allow for higher performance scattered buffer sharing.
 - Large memory descriptors requires transmission of descriptors in fragments, adding complexity and time overheads.
 - Fewer fragments reduces the number of world switches required when sharing from normal world.
 - Add support for negotiating larger RX/TX buffers with the normal world and SPs.
- Challenges:
 - Supporting non-contiguous buffers adds further complexity.
 - Limit support to only contiguous RX/TX buffers in Hafnium?
 - Hafnium could enforce that Normal world and SPs provide physically contiguous buffers to reduce complexity.
 - What is a reasonable upper limit for the max RX/TX buffer size?
 - We don't want individual FF-A memory management operations to take too long in Hafnium either.
- Impact:
 - Limited direct impact on RX/TX map & unmap.
 - Need to address assumptions that mailbox size is always 4K.
 - Extensive changes required for removing mailbox size assumptions.

Fine-grained Memory Allocation

- Add a slab allocator for efficient fixed-size object allocations.
 - Support for smaller than 4K page-size allocations.
 - Suitable for kernels – reduces heap fragmentation, performance and efficient.
 - Potential uses:
 - FF-A memory descriptor entries, VCPUs, notifications.
 - Replacing static data structures: e.g. FF-A share states and VMs, improving scalability.
 - New data structures, such as efficient normal world memory bookkeeping proposal.
- Design:
 - Implement as a Safe Heap: storing metadata out-of-band for improved safety.
 - Could leverage the existing page pool allocator as a backend?
- Impact:
 - Depends on complexity of slabbing and how efficient we want allocations to be.
 - Could initially support power-of-2 size allocations, extending later to specific object sizes?

Possible Further Enhancements

- Tracking memory states (owner, shared, etc.) separately from page tables.
 - Moving these states outside of page tables has security / hardening benefits – can better prevent invalid state transitions.
 - Also avoids splitting blocks to pages when updating these memory states.
- Dis-contiguous RX/TX buffer support
 - Some NS VMs may request RX/TX buffers larger than 4K which are not physically contiguous.
 - Requires non-identity mappings in Hafnium EL2&0 address space to map these contiguously.
 - Could use top-half of EL2 stage-1 address space for these mappings?

Questions?



Thank you

Nothing in these materials is an offer to sell any of the components or devices referenced herein.

© Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its affiliated companies. All Rights Reserved.

Qualcomm and Snapdragon are trademarks or registered trademarks of Qualcomm Incorporated.
Other products and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

References in this presentation to “Qualcomm” may mean Qualcomm Incorporated, Qualcomm Technologies, Inc., and/or other subsidiaries or business units within the Qualcomm corporate structure, as applicable. Qualcomm Incorporated includes our licensing business, QTL, and the vast majority of our patent portfolio. Qualcomm Technologies, Inc., a subsidiary of Qualcomm Incorporated, operates, along with its subsidiaries, substantially all of our engineering, research and development functions, and substantially all of our products and services businesses, including our QCT semiconductor business.

Snapdragon and Qualcomm branded products are products of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. Qualcomm patented technologies are licensed by Qualcomm Incorporated.

Follow us on: [in](#) [X](#) [@](#) [v](#) [f](#)

For more information, visit us at qualcomm.com & qualcomm.com/blog

