

Math250aHw2

Trustin Nguyen

September 11, 2023

Exercise 1: Direct sums:

- Prove in detail that the conditions given in Proposition 3.2 for a sequence to split are equivalent. Show that a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

splits if and only if there exists a submodule N of M such that M is equal to the direct sum $\mathcal{I}f \oplus N$, and that if this is the case, then N is isomorphic to M'' . Complete all the details of the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Proof. First, suppose that there is a homomorphism $\varphi : M'' \rightarrow M$ such that $g \circ \varphi = \text{id}$. Then we have the diagram:

$$M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g} \\ \xleftarrow{\varphi} \end{array} M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

Suppose that $x \in M$. Then we have that $x - \varphi(g(x))$ is in the kernel of g . So x is written as the sum of an element of the kernel above, and an element of the image of φ . To see that it is direct, suppose that $m \in \ker g, \mathcal{I}\varphi$. Then $\varphi(m_0) = m$. Therefore, $g(\varphi(m_0)) = m_0 = g(m) = 0$. Then $m_0 = 0$ which implies that $\varphi(m_0) = m = 0$. So we have that $M = \ker g \oplus \mathcal{I}\varphi$.

Now consider the mapping f which is isomorphic to $\ker g$ because the mapping is a homomorphism that is bijective. Then we have an inverse mapping from $M \rightarrow M'$ which sends all elements of $\mathcal{I}f$ to 0 and acts like the inverse of f for elements in $\ker g$. Then this means that there is a homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\psi \circ f = \text{id}$. So we have proved that one of the conditions implies the other. Now suppose that $x \in M$. Then

$$x - f(\psi(x))$$

is in the kernel of ψ and $f(\psi(x))$ is in the image of f . So therefore:

$$M = \mathcal{I}f \oplus \ker \psi$$

By the fact that $M' \cong \mathcal{I}f = \ker g$ and $M'' \cong \mathcal{I}\varphi$, we have

$$M \cong M' \oplus M''$$

Now suppose that we started with statement 2 which was that there was a ψ where $\psi \circ f = \text{id}$. Then we get that $M \cong \mathcal{I}f \oplus \ker \psi$ which we already showed. To prove that statement 2 implies statement 1, consider the mapping of g restricted to $\ker \psi$. Since $\ker \psi \cap \mathcal{I}f = 0$, then the mapping is injective. Since it is also surjective, we have an isomorphism and therefore, an inverse. So we have shown the details of the proof. \square

Here is the proof of the next biconditional:

Proof. (\rightarrow) We have already proved the first part as $M \cong \ker g \oplus \mathcal{I}\varphi$. We know that $\mathcal{I}\varphi \cong M''$.

(\leftarrow) Suppose that

$$M \cong \mathcal{I}f \oplus N \text{ or } M \cong \ker g \oplus N$$

where N is a submodule of M . Then let $m \in M$ where $m = g_0 + n$ and $g_0 \in \ker g, n \in N$. Notice that we have:

$$g(m) = g(g_0) + g(n) = g(n)$$

Since $\ker g \cap N = 0$, the mapping is injective and furthermore, g is surjective since our sequence is direct. Therefore, we have an isomorphism with $N \cong M''$. This also means that there is a homomorphism $\varphi : M'' \rightarrow M$ such that $g \circ \varphi = \text{id}$. We have therefore shown that the sequence splits. \square

- Let E and $E_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$ be modules over a ring. Let $\varphi_i : E_i \rightarrow E$ and $\psi_i : E \rightarrow E_i$ be homomorphisms having the following properties:

$$\psi_i \circ \varphi_i = \text{id}, \quad \psi_i \circ \varphi_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i \circ \psi_i = \text{id}$$

Show that the map $x \mapsto (\psi_1 x, \dots, \psi_m x)$ is an isomorphism of E onto the direct product of the $E_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$, and that the map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \varphi_1 x_1 + \dots + \varphi_m x_m$$

is an isomorphism of this direct product onto E .

Proof. Suppose that we have the conditions listed above. Clearly,

$$x \mapsto (\psi_1 x, \dots, \psi_m x)$$

is a homomorphism as each ψ_i are homomorphisms. We will show injectivity. Suppose that $x \mapsto (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then we must have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi_i \circ \psi_i)(x)$$

But computing this sum using $\psi_1 x = 0, \dots, \psi_m x = 0$, we get that $x = 0$. Now to prove surjectivity, suppose we desired a mapping

$$x \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

Then consider $x = \varphi_1 y_1 + \dots + \varphi_m y_m$. Then we have:

$$\psi_1 x = \psi_1 \varphi_1 y_1 = y_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\psi_m x = \psi_m \varphi_m y_m = y_m$$

So we are done. Now we just need to show that the other mapping is an inverse, because the inverse of an isomorphism is an isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (\psi_1 x, \dots, \psi_m x) \\ (\psi_1 x, \dots, \psi_m x) &\mapsto \varphi_1 \psi_1 x + \dots + \varphi_m \psi_m x \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi_i \circ \psi_i)(x) = x \end{aligned}$$

So the mapping

$$(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto \varphi_1 x_1 + \dots + \varphi_m x_m$$

was indeed an inverse and therefore also an isomorphism. \square

Conversely, if E is equal to the direct product (or direct sum) of submodules $E_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$, if we let φ_i be the inclusion of E_i in E , and ψ_i the projection of E on E_i , then these maps satisfy the above-mentioned properties.

Proof. Suppose that we had an isomorphism. So there are inverse from $\varphi : E \rightarrow \bigoplus E_i$ and $\psi : \bigoplus E_i \rightarrow E$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$. Now define φ as

$$\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1 x, \dots, \varphi_n x)$$

and ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : E_i &\rightarrow E \\ \psi &:= e_i \mapsto \psi(e_i) \end{aligned}$$

Then action of φ :

$$\varphi(e_1) = (\varphi_1 e_1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Then we have the action of ψ :

$$(\varphi_1 e_1, 0, \dots, 0) \mapsto \psi_1 \varphi_1 e_1 = e_1$$

Since φ and ψ are inverses, we conclude that $\psi_1 \varphi_1 = \text{id}$. We can generalize this for any i . In the previous proof, we also concluded that $\varphi \circ \psi = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i \circ \psi_i$. Since it is an isomorphism, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i \circ \psi_i = \text{id}$. Now with the first condition we proved and this condition, we have

$$\psi_1 \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i \circ \psi_i = \text{id}$$

so we can prove that for each $i \neq j$, $\psi_i \circ \varphi_j = 0$. \square

Exercise 2: Let R be a principal ideal domain, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. Show that $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is a free R -module.

Proof. We will show that $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) = \bigoplus \text{Hom}_R((f_i), R)$ for a certain set of generators f_i of R . Let f_1, \dots, f_m be the set of generators of M . Let f_1, \dots, f_n be the maximal set of independent generators of M , which we can see, is non-empty. Then we have:

$$a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n = 0$$

implies that all $a_i f_i$ are 0. Since the set is maximal, suppose that $f_r \notin f_1, \dots, f_n$. Then

$$a f_r + a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n = 0$$

means that at least two terms are nonzero. We note that $a f_r \neq 0$, otherwise, f_r belongs in the set of independent elements. So we can therefore write $a f_r$ as a combination of the other generators. Suppose that we had some homomorphism in $\text{Hom}_R((f_r), R)$ determined by:

$$\varphi := \varphi(f_r) \mapsto m$$

To show surjectivity, we must show that we can add homomorphisms from $\text{Hom}_R((f_1), R), \dots, \text{Hom}_R((f_n), R)$ to obtain φ shown above. Notice that we can simply map:

$$\psi(a f_r) = a m$$

We have

$$\psi(af_r) = a\psi(f_r) = am$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(f_r) \mapsto m$$

Since this is a module homomorphism, and that af_r can be written as a combination of the other generators, then the homomorphism action on the generators f_1, \dots, f_n also determine the homomorphism action on the generators f_r for $f_r \notin f_1, \dots, f_n$.

So $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) = \text{Hom}_R((f_1, R)) + \dots + \text{Hom}_R((f_n, R))$. The sum is direct because the intersection of any two $\text{Hom}_R((f_i), R)$ and $\text{Hom}_R((f_j), R)$ is $\{0\}$ since none of the generators are multiples of each other. So we are done. \square

Exercise 3: Let R be a principal ideal domain, and let M be a finitely generated R -module. If $0 \neq r \in R$, show that $\text{Hom}_R(R/(r), M) \cong M_r$, the set of elements of M annihilated by r .

Proof. Suppose that we have a module homomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R/(r), M)$. Then we consider what the multiplicative identity in R gets mapped to:

$$\varphi(1) = m \in M$$

Note that because this is a module homomorphism, we have:

$$r\varphi(1) = \varphi(r) = 0 = rm$$

Then it must be that $m \in M_r$. So every homomorphism is determined uniquely by what the identity in R maps to in M_r . So we have a bijection between these sets. Let φ_{m_1} be the notation for the homomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R/(r), M)$ which sends the identity in $R/(r)$ to $m_1 \in M_r$. Consider the mappings:

$$\pi : \text{Hom}_R(R/(r), M) \rightarrow M_r$$

$$\pi := \varphi_{m_1} \mapsto m_1$$

To prove this is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\varphi_{m_1} \varphi_{m_2}) &= \pi(\varphi_{m_1 m_2}) \\ &= m_1 m_2 \\ &= \pi(\varphi_{m_1}) \pi(\varphi_{m_2}) \end{aligned}$$

and for the additive part:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\varphi_{m_1} + \varphi_{m_2}) &= \pi(\varphi_{m_1 + m_2}) \\ &= m_1 + m_2 \\ &= \pi(\varphi_{m_1}) + \pi(\varphi_{m_2}) \end{aligned}$$

And as a module homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a\varphi_{m_1}) &= \pi(\varphi_{am_1}) \\ &= am_1 \\ &= a\pi(\varphi_{m_1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since it is a module homomorphism and a bijection, it is an isomorphism. \square

Exercise 4: Let R be an integral domain (Lang: entire ring), and let

$$Q := \{(r, s) \in R^2 : s \neq 0\} / \sim$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation $(r, s) \sim (u, v)$ if $rv = su$. Show that the map $R \rightarrow Q : r \mapsto (r, 1)$ is a monomorphism (that is, a homomorphism of rings that is one-to-one as a map of sets), and that Q is a field. The field Q is called the quotient field of R .

Proof. We first show that Q is a ring. Define addition to be such that:

$$(r, s) + (t, v) = (rv + st, sv)$$

and multiplication to be:

$$(r, s)(t, v) = (rt, sv)$$

Then the additive identity is $(0, m)$, because $(0, m) + (r, s) = (r, s) + (0, m) = (rm, sm) = (r, s)$. The inverse of an element (r, s) is then $(-r, s)$, and the addition commutes from the fact that R is commutative. The multiplicative identity is $(1, 1)$ since $(r, s)(1, 1) = (r, s)$. We have both closure under addition and multiplication, so we have a ring. Now define the mapping in the question $R \rightarrow Q : r \mapsto (r, 1)$ to be φ . This is a homomorphism because:

$$\varphi(rs) = (rs, 1) = (r, 1)(s, 1) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s)$$

and

$$\varphi(r + s) = (r + s, 1) = (r, 1) + (s, 1) = \varphi(r) + \varphi(s)$$

and to check injectivity, suppose $\varphi(r_1) = \varphi(r_2)$. Then $(r_1, 1) = (r_2, 1)$. By the equivalence relation, it follows that $r_1 \cdot 1 = r_2 \cdot 1$ so $r_1 = r_2$. So φ is a monomorphism. Q is a field because the multiplicative inverse an element $(r, s) \in Q$ is (s, r) as:

$$(r, s)(s, r) = (1, 1)$$

This completes the proof. \square

Exercise 5: Let R be a principal ideal domain, let M be a finitely generated torsion R -module, and let Q be the quotient field of R . Show that $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$. Then for an arbitrary $m \in M$, we have:

$$\varphi(m) = q$$

for some $q \in Q$. Because φ is a module homomorphism, we have for some $r \neq 0$, $rm = 0$:

$$r\varphi(m) = \varphi(rm) = 0 = rq$$

But Q is an integral domain, therefore, $q = 0$ which means that the only homomorphism of $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ is the 0 map. So $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q) = 0$. \square

Exercise 6: Let R be a principal ideal domain, let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let Q be the quotient field of R . Show that $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M/M_{\text{tors}}, Q)$. (Hint: Start with the result of the previous problem, which is a special case).

Proof. By a theorem in the book, M is isomorphic to the direct sum of its torsion submodule and free module:

$$M \cong M_{\text{tors}} \oplus M/M_{\text{tors}}$$

So now let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ and let $x \in M$ such that $x = x_{\text{tors}} + f$. So we have:

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_{\text{tors}}) + \varphi(f)$$

But $\varphi(x_{\text{tors}}) = 0$ by the previous part. So the homomorphisms of $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ are exactly the ones in $\text{Hom}_R(M/M_{\text{tors}}, Q)$. \square

Exercise 7: Let \mathbb{R} be a field of real numbers, and let A be a 4×4 matrix with real entries and minimal polynomial $(x^2 + a)^2$, where a is a positive number. Use the structure theorem for modules of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ to produce the \mathbb{R} -canonical form for A . (The answer should be a 4×4 matrix with real entries similar to A).

Proof. We can define $A : V \rightarrow V$ where V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} . Consider the module structure of the modules A with minimal polynomial $(x^2 + a)^2$ on $\mathbb{R}[x]$ by the action of x as A :

$$x \cdot v = Av$$

So we have a module over a PID. Then M is a torsion module that can be decomposed with respect to some basis. We have

$$M = M(p)$$

for $p = (x^2 + a)$ and so:

$$M = \mathbb{R}[x]/(p) \oplus \mathbb{R}[x]/(p^2)$$

The first summand has elements of degree 1 and 0 and the second has degree ≤ 3 . So maybe:

$$1, x, (x^2 + a), x(x^2 + a)$$

So since action of A is determined by multiplication by x , we look at the basis elements times x to get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a & 0 & 3a^2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is the canonical form?

□