

# Math250aHw9

Trustin Nguyen

October 24, 2023

**Exercise 1:** Recall that  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a UFD. What is the content of the polynomial  $(5 + 5i)X^2 + (-1 + 3i)X + 2 \in R[X]$ ?

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain with a measure of size as the norm. Then we have:

$$5 + 5i = 5(1 + i)$$

where  $1 + i$  is irreducible because

$$\|1 + i\| = 2$$

and then if  $1 + i$  is a product of two complex numbers:  $z_1 z_2$ , then  $\|z_1\| \|z_2\| = 2$ , so one of them is a unit. Now for 5, we see that:

$$5 = 1^2 + 2^2$$

so we can take:

$$(1 + 2i)(1 - 2i) = 5$$

Now for the second coefficient, we have:

$$\|-1 + 3i\| = 10$$

and if  $-1 + 3i = (a + bi)(c + di)$ , then

$$(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = 10$$

which means that either factor is either 1 and 10 or 2 and 5. If it is 1, then one factor is a unit and we are done. If

$$a^2 + b^2 = 2 \text{ and } c^2 + d^2 = 5$$

we have

$$a = \pm 1$$

$$b = \pm 1$$

$$c = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm 2$$

$$d = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm 2$$

We get

$$(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i = -1 + 3i$$

and by trial and error, we find:

$$(1 + i)(1 + 2i) = -1 + 3i$$

and both factors are irreducible. Finally

$$2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$$

So we have

$$(1 + 2i)(1 - 2i)(1 + i)X^2 + (1 + i)(1 + 2i)X + (1 + i)(1 - i)$$

Then we pull out the largest power of each prime that divides each coefficient. We get that the content is

$$(1 + i)$$

so we are done.  $\square$

### Exercise 2:

- (a) Find an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  that cannot be generated by 2-elements.

*Proof.* Consider the ideal  $(4, 2x, x^2)$ . This ideal is not generated by one element, otherwise, the 3 polynomials would share a common root or they would all be constants, which is not the case. We can look at the ideals generated by the leading coefficients which gives  $(4) \subset (2) \subset (1)$ . Now suppose that this was generated by an ideal  $(f, g)$  on two elements. We also consider the ideal generated by their leading coefficients which would be  $(a) \subset (b)$ . Now suppose that the degrees of  $f$  and  $g$  were less than or equal to 2. Then it follows that assuming  $(f, g) \subseteq (4, 2x, x^2)$ , we require that  $a, b \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ . But no matter how we chain the ideals such as:

$$(4) \subseteq (2) \subseteq (2), (4) \subseteq (1) \subseteq (1), (2) \subseteq (1) \subseteq (1)$$

we find that no generators can be found with the corresponding two coefficients that generate  $(4, 2x, x^2)$ . So  $(f, g) \not\subseteq (4, 2x, x^2)$ . If either had degree greater than or equal to 2, then we should be able to reduce them so that their degrees were less than or equal to 2, assuming that  $(4, 2x, x^2) \subseteq (f, g)$  and show that they are not equal by the same process.  $\square$

- (b) Give an example of a prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  that is not maximal.

*Proof.* A prime ideal is  $(x)$  because if  $f \in (x)$ , then  $x \mid f$ . So if  $f = gh$ ,  $h \notin (x)$ , then  $x \mid gh$  means that  $x \mid g$ . So  $(x)$  is prime. But  $(x) \subseteq (x, 2)$  and  $(x, 2) \neq \mathbb{Z}[X]$ . So  $(x)$  is prime but not maximal since  $2 \nmid x$ .  $\square$

- (c) Show that every maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is generated by 2-elements.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ . Consider the set of leading coefficients  $S_i$  attached to the term  $x^i$ . Then we have:

$$S_0 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_n = S_{n+1} \cdots$$

Then it follows that if  $P_m = \bigcup_{i=0}^m S_i$ , then  $P_m$  is generated by one element as  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Euclidean domain. So if  $P_n = (k)$ , then  $(x, k)$  contains the ideal  $I$ . If  $k = 1$ , we say  $P_{n-1} = (k')$  and  $(x, k')$  contains  $I$ .

In either case, we have found an ideal containing  $I$  that is not the whole ring. Furthermore, if  $k, k'$  are not prime, we can find a prime dividing it called  $p$  and  $(x, k) \subseteq (x, p) \neq \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Finally, maximal ideals cannot be one element ideals because either it is a constant and we can adjoin  $x$ , or it is a non constant polynomial, to which we can adjoin the constant to get a two element proper ideal. So this tells us that

So any maximal ideal has at least two elements, and any ideal with more than 2 elements is contained in some proper ideal generated by two elements. So every maximal ideal is generated by 2 elements.  $\square$

**Exercise 3:** Show that the rings  $\mathbb{Z}[2i]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$  are not integrally closed. Give explicit examples where unique factorization fails.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}[2i]$  is not integrally closed because  $1 + i = \frac{2+2i}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[2i]$  is a root of the polynomial:

$$x^2 - 2i \in \mathbb{Z}[2i][x]$$

$\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$  is not integrally closed because we have that  $\frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-7}]$  but it is the root of the polynomial of

$$x^2 + x + 1 - \sqrt{-7}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right) + 1 - \sqrt{-7} &= \frac{1+2\sqrt{-7}-7}{4} + \frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2} + 1 - \sqrt{-7} \\ &= \frac{-6+2\sqrt{-7}}{4} + \frac{2+2\sqrt{-7}}{2} + 1 - \sqrt{-7} \\ &= \frac{-4+4\sqrt{-7}}{4} + 1 - \sqrt{-7} \\ &= -1 + \sqrt{-7} + 1 - \sqrt{-7} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now for the example where unique factorization fails, we have that:

$$2i \cdot 2i = -4 = -1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$$

We know that 2 is prime because if  $2 \mid (x + 2yi)(w + 2zi)$ , then  $2 \mid xw$ . Since 2 is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $2 \mid x$  or  $2 \mid w$ . So 2 divides either  $(x + 2yi)$  or  $(w + 2zi)$ . We also know that  $2i$  is prime because  $\mathbb{Z}[2i]/(2i) = \mathbb{Z}$  which is an integral domain. Since  $2i$  and 2 do not differ by a unit, we have that unique factorization fails.

For the other one, we have:

$$(1 + \sqrt{-7})(1 - \sqrt{-7}) = 8 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$$

which is a decomposition of unequal numbers of irreducible elements.  $\square$

**Exercise 4:** Let  $G$  be the group of complex  $n$ -th roots of unity. Let  $\zeta \in G$  act on  $k[X, Y]$  by  $\zeta \cdot X = \zeta X$  and  $\zeta \cdot Y = \zeta^{-1}Y$ . Show that there is an isomorphism  $k[X, Y]^G = k[U, V, W]/(UW - V^n)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the term  $X^i Y^j$ . Then if  $\varphi : G \curvearrowright k[X, Y]$  as defined above, we have:

$$\varphi(X^i Y^j) = \varphi(X^i) \varphi(Y^j) = \zeta^i \zeta^{-j} X^i Y^j$$

We require  $\zeta^i \zeta^{-j} = \zeta^{i-j} = 1$ . We have that  $\zeta^z = 1$  exactly when  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $i - j = zn$ . So  $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$ . So this tells us that:

$$k[X, Y]^G = k[X^n, XY, Y^n] = k[U, V, W]/\sim$$

where  $\sim$  is the relation,  $UW = V^n$ . So we have:

$$k[X, Y]^G = k[U, V, W]/(UW - V^n)$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise 5:** Write  $X_1^3 + X_2^3$  as a polynomial in  $e_1 = X_1 + X_2$  and  $e_2 = X_1 X_2$ .

*Proof.* We first note that

$$e_1^3 = (X_1 + X_2)^3 = X_1^3 + 3X_1^2X_2 + 3X_1X_2^2 + X_2^3$$

Then

$$e_1e_2 = (X_1 + X_2)X_1X_2 = X_1^2X_2 + X_1X_2^2$$

So

$$3e_1e_2 = 3X_1^2X_2 + 3X_1X_2^2$$

and so

$$e_1^3 - 3e_1e_2 = X_1^3 + X_2^3$$

which is what we wanted. □