

Math143Hw7

Trustin Nguyen

October 21, 2023

Exercise 1: Given a variety X , recall that we defined the field of rational functions on X to be $k(X) = \text{Frac } \Gamma(X)$. Let $X = V(xw - yz) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^4$ and let $f = \bar{x}/\bar{y} \in k(X)$.

- (a) Prove that the poles of f are exactly $V(y, w) \subseteq X$, equivalently the open set where f is defined is the complement of $V(y, w) \subseteq X$.

Proof. We have that in $k(X)$, $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{z}{w}$ because of the fact that:

$$xw - zy = 0 \implies xw = zy \implies \frac{x}{y} = \frac{z}{w}$$

Therefore, we look for when the denominators for both of these vanish. This is just $V(y) \cap V(w) = V(y, w)$. \square

- (b) Show it is impossible to write $f = a/b$ for $a, b \in \Gamma(X)$ where $b(P) \neq 0$ for every P where f is defined.

Proof. Suppose that $f = a/b$. Then by the relation:

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{x}{y},$$

we get:

$$ay = bx$$

Now for a point in $V(y) - V(x) - V(w)$, which is non-empty, we have

$$ay(p) = 0 = bx(p)$$

But p does not vanish on x , so it must vanish on b . \square

Exercise 2: Practice with the local ring

- (a) Let X be a variety. In class we defined $\mathcal{O}_P(X) \subseteq k(X)$ as the subset of rational functions that are defined at $P \in X$. Prove that $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$ is in fact a subring.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$. We have commutativity, associativity. We need to prove that the identity exists, for both multiplication, addition, and that it is closed under these operations.

- Because $\Gamma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P(X)$, we have that $1, 0$ as polynomials are in $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$. We see that $1 \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$ because $1(p) = 1 \neq 0$. So therefore, a denominator of 1 is always possible, and we just choose our numerator to be elements of $\Gamma(X)$.
- If $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$, we have:

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} + \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{f(x)g'(x) + f'(x)g(x)}{g(x)g'(x)}$$

and because both $g(p) \neq 0, g'(p) \neq 0$, we are over a field, which is an integral domain, so $g(p)g'(p) \neq 0$. So this sum is in $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$.

– If $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$, we have:

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \cdot \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{f(x)f'(x)}{g(x)g'(x)}$$

where again, $g(p)g'(p) \neq 0$, so the product is in $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$.

– We also have that additive inverses exist, because -1 is in $\Gamma(X)$, which means that $-f(x)/g(x) \in \mathcal{O}_P(X)$.

So $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$ is a ring. □

(b) Let

$$R = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in k(x) : a, b \in k[x] \text{ and } b(0) \neq 0 \right\}$$

Prove that R is a local ring (i.e. that R has a unique maximal ideal, or equivalently that the non-units form an ideal).

Proof. The non-units are elements a/b where $x \mid a$. If $x \nmid a$, the a has a constant term, non-zero, so b/a exists in R . Also if $x \mid a$, then it is a non-unit because b/a has $a(0) = 0$ so $b/a \notin R$. So we have found the non-units. Now we just need to show that they form an ideal. So if

$$N = \{\text{non-units of } R\}$$

Then suppose that $f/g, f'/g' \in N$. Then:

$$\frac{f}{g} + \frac{f'}{g'} = \frac{fg' + f'g}{gg'}$$

But $x \mid f, x \mid f'$, so $x \mid fg' + f'g$. Additionally, $gg'(0) \neq 0$ because we are over a field. So therefore, the sum is a non-unit also and therefore, is in N . Now if $a/b \in R$, $f/g \in N$, then:

$$\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{f}{g} = \frac{af}{bg}$$

and by the same idea, $x \mid af, bg(0) \neq 0$ so it is closed under multiplication from the ring R . □

Exercise 3: Let k be algebraically closed. Let $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$ be the local ring of a variety X at a point P . Show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$ and the subvarieties of X that pass through P .

Proof. Let I be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$. We have that:

$$\Gamma(X) \cap I = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}_P(X) : \frac{f}{g} \in I \right\}$$

or the intersection is the set of numerators of I . Then if $f_1 f_2 \in \Gamma(X) \cap I$, then we have:

$$\frac{f_1}{1} \cdot \frac{f_2}{1} \in I$$

and since I is prime, wlog, $f_1/1 \in I$. Then $f_1 \in \Gamma(X) \cap I$, so $\Gamma(X) \cap I$ is prime also. Prime ideals of $\Gamma(X)$ are radical ideals. We know that there is a bijection between radical ideals of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and radical ideals of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X)$. Furthermore, there is a bijection between radical ideals and algebraic sets by taking the vanishing. So if I is prime of $\mathcal{O}_P(X)$, then we map it to

$$I \cap \Gamma(X) \rightarrow I \cap \Gamma(X) + I(X) \rightarrow V(I \cap \Gamma(X) + I(X))$$

And since

$$I \cap \Gamma(X) + I(X) \supseteq I(X)$$

then

$$V(I \cap \Gamma(X) + I(X)) \subseteq V(I(X)) = X$$

To go backwards, we can try:

$$Y \subseteq X \mapsto J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P(X)$$

if $Y \subseteq X$, then we have $I(Y) \supseteq I(X)$ which means $\Gamma(Y) \subseteq \Gamma(X)$ so indeed $\mathcal{O}_P(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P(X)$. As a local ring, we can only map it to the ideal of non-units, which is prime, because if $f_1 f_2 \in J$, then f_1, f_2 cannot both be units, otherwise, we have a unit in J . So there is an inverse map, and notice that the composition is the identity:

$$J \cap \Gamma(X) = J \cap \Gamma(Y) = I(Y)$$

since $I(Y)$ is the pole we then have:

$$I(Y) \longrightarrow I(Y) + I(X) \longrightarrow V(I(Y) + I(X)) = V(I(X)) \cap V(I(Y)) = Y$$

$$\Gamma(X) \quad k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \quad \mathbb{A}^n$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Exercise 4: Let $k = \mathbb{C}$. For each polynomial f below, find all singular points of $V(f)$. For each singular point of $V(f)$, find the multiplicity and the tangent cone. Write the tangent cone as a union of lines.

(a) $y^3 - y^2 + x^3 - x^2 + 3xy^2 + 3x^2y + 2xy$

Answer. We have:

$$f_x = 3x^2 - 2x + 3y^2 + 6xy + 2y$$

$$f_y = 3y^2 - 2y + 6xy + 3x^2 + 2x$$

so when $f_x = 0$, we have:

$$3x^2 + 3y^2 + 6xy = 2x - 2y$$

So that also means:

$$f_y = 3y^2 + 6xy + 3x^2 - 2y + 2x = 2x - 2y - 2y + 2x$$

So if $f_y = 0$ also,

$$-4y + 4x = 0 \implies 4x = 4y \implies x = y$$

Plugging this back into f , we get:

$$y^3 - y^2 + y^3 - y^2 + 3y^3 + 3y^3 + 2y^2 = 8y^3$$

Now if $f = 0$, we have:

$$8y^3 = 0$$

which means that $y = 0$, $x = 0$. Then $(0, 0)$ is the only singular point. The multiplicity is the minimal degree of the terms which is 2. The tangent cone is $V(f_2) = V(x^2 - 2xy + y^2) = V(x - y)$. So the tangent cone is $y = x$.

(b) $x^4 + y^4 - x^2y^2$

Proof. Same process as above:

$$f_x = 4x^3 - 2y^2$$

$$f_y = 4y^3 - 2x^2$$

and

$$f_x = 0 \implies 2y^2 = 4x^3$$

so we get:

$$f_y = 2x^3y - 2x^2$$

Now if $f_y = 0$ also:

$$2x^3y - 2x^2 = 2x^2(xy - 1) = 0$$

So $x = 0$ or $xy = 1$. The singular points are the points in $V(x^4 + y^4 - 1)$ and $(0, 0)$. The multiplicity of the point $(0, 0)$ is 2 while it is 1 for $V(x^4 + y^4 - 1)$ after performing some shift of coordinates I think. And the tangent cone is $V(x^2y^2) = V(x) \cup V(y)$. So the lines $y = 0$ and $x = 0$ make up the tangent cone. \square

(c) $x^3 + y^3 - 3x^2 - 3y^2 + 3xy + 1$.

Proof. We have:

$$f_x = 3x^2 - 6x + 3y$$

$$f_y = 3y^2 - 6y + 3x$$

And if $f_x = 0$:

$$3y = 6x - 3x^2 \implies y = 2x - x^2 \implies y = 1 - (x - 1)^2$$

so

$$f_y = 6xy - 3x^2y - 9x + 6x^2$$

and if $f_y = 0$:

$$3x(y - xy - 3 + 2x) = 0$$

Didn't Finish \square

Exercise 5: Let $\varphi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a polynomial map and let $0 \neq f \in k[x, y]$ be a nonzero polynomial with no repeated factors. Let $P \in \mathbb{A}^2$ and let $Q = \varphi(P)$.

(a) Suppose $Q \in V(f)$. Show that $P \in V(\varphi^*f)$.

Proof. Since $Q \in V(f)$, $f(Q) = 0$. Then $f(\varphi(P)) = 0$. So $(f\varphi)(P) = 0$ and $\varphi^*f(P) = 0$. So $P \in V(\varphi^*f)$. \square

(b) Prove that if φ is a translation, then the multiplicity of $V(\varphi^*f)$ at P equals the multiplicity of $V(f)$ at Q

Proof. Suppose that the multiplicity of $V(f)$ at Q is m . Let $Q = (q_1, q_2)$. Then consider the pullback of f :

$$\psi : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$$

$$\psi(x, y) = (x - q_1, y - q_2)$$

and

$$\psi^* : k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, y]$$

$$\psi^*f(x, y) = f(x - q_1, y - q_2)$$

Then if f' is such that $\varphi^*f = f'$, Then the multiplicity of Q at f is the multiplicity of $(0,0)$ at f' . So denote:

$$f' = f_m + f_{m+1} + \dots + f_n$$

Then now we take the translation of f' which preserves multiplicity, but this time, we send $(0,0) \rightarrow P = (p_1, p_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : \mathbb{A}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \\ \pi(x, y) &= (x + p_1, y + p_2)\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^* : k[x, y] &\rightarrow k[x, y] \\ \pi^*f(x, y) &= f(x + p_1, y + p_2)\end{aligned}$$

So π^*f' gives us that the multiplicity of $(0,0)$ in $V(f')$ is the multiplicity of P in $V(\pi^*f')$. But this is just:

$$V(\pi^*\psi^*f) = V(\varphi^*f)$$

where φ translates $Q \rightarrow P$. So multiplicity is preserved under translation. \square

- (c) Prove that if φ is any polynomial map, then the multiplicity of $V(\varphi^*f)$ at P is greater than or equal to the multiplicity of $V(f)$ at Q .

Proof. If φ is a polynomial map, we have:

$$\varphi(p) = (\varphi_1(p), \varphi_2(p), \dots, \varphi_m(p))$$

Then φ_i are all polynomials. Since translation preserves multiplicity, we can take

$$\varphi'(p) = (\varphi'_1(p), \dots, \varphi'_m(p))$$

where φ'_i have no constant terms. So

$$\varphi'^*(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)) = f(\varphi'_1(p), \dots, \varphi'_m(p))$$

Now if we consider the pullback of map of the translation map $\psi : Q \rightarrow 0$, and consider the pullback of f , let that have multiplicity $m = \text{multiplicity of } V(f) \text{ at } Q$. Then if we take $\varphi'^*\psi^*f$, and another pullback, this time sending $\pi : P \rightarrow 0$, we have $\pi^*\varphi'^*\psi^*f$ with the same multiplicity as $V(\varphi^*f)$ at P . We know that the lowest non-zero homogeneous term of the polynomial $\pi^*\varphi'^*\psi^*f$ is at least greater than or equal to that of ψ^*f because $\varphi'^*\psi^*f$ preserves the degree of the polynomial f . \square