egg: Fast and Extensible Equality Saturation - Summary

Concepts

- E-graphs graph structure used to represent programms that allows for equality saturation
- E-class all expressions that are equivalent belong to an e-class, each e-node starts in its own e-class
- E-node represents an expression, along with its subexpressions

Equality Saturation

- 1. Start by translating our expression (programm) into an e-graph representation
- 2. Define a set of equality rules for expression matching that will be used to extend (saturate) the e-graph
- 3. Egg maintains a UnionFind like structure to remember which e-nodes belong to which e-classes
 - For example expressions (e-nodes) 2 * x and x * x are equivalent and thus would be merged into a single e-class
- 4. Merging nodes is done by pattern matching against the rules. First the matches are found and then their e-classes are merged together.
- 5. After 2 e-classes get merged it may happen that the "parent" expression becomes equivalent
 - For exmaple we have $e_1 = 2 * x_1$ and $e_2 = 2 * x_2$; then we discover that $x_1 = x_2$; therefore we also need to merge the parent expressions e_1 and e_2 ; this upwards merging should be applied recursively to parent expressions
- 6. After fully saturating the graph by applying the rules (or a timeout), the e-graph contains our original expression, as well as the derived equivalent ones
- 7. Lastly we can extract an optimal (according to some cost function) expression that is equivalent to the original expression

egg nuances

- The upwards merging operation is "computationally expensive". In order to mitigate this egg saturates the e-graph in iterations. Each iteration consists of finding matches in the e-graph, then applying the merges to matched e-nodes, and lastly fix the e-graph invariant the merging of other e-nodes that got implicitly merged.
- The equality saturation is easily extensible by e-class analysis to allow optimisations like constant folding to be an inherent part of equality saturation

- The rewrites of the e-graph are truly unordered by separating the expression matching phase from the rewrite (merging phase). This way rules that are later are not prioritised by having more opportunities to match.
- Rules that are matched very often are scheduled to pattern match more rarely. This is a heuristic that often leads to faster equality saturation or to more meaningful and useful e-graph if the saturation time-outs.