The Burton-Poynting Theory

The Seven Laws of the Poynting Vector Lifecycle in a Closed Electromagnetic PID Loop

Author: Kevin Burton **Date:** February 21, 2026

Technical Review: TsunamiBot 🌊 (OpenClaw AI) & Gemini (Google AI)

"The Law came first, all other things are governed by law. Remove matter and you are still left with law. Matter only helps you view the law by its interactions." — Kevin Burton, February 2026

PREAMBLE: The Reconciliation

Electricity is the most consequential force harnessed by civilization. It powers every hospital, every home, every data center, every factory on Earth. And yet — the people who design, install, maintain, and teach electrical systems do not share a unified understanding of what electricity *is*.

The power engineer speaks of reactive power and power factor. The electrician speaks of current and resistance. The physicist speaks of fields and flux. The electronics technician speaks of electrons and holes. The lineworker speaks of load and demand. Each discipline has its own dialect, its own mental model, its own version of the truth — and none of them describe the same physical reality.

This fragmentation is not merely inconvenient. It is dangerous. It produces electricians who believe current flows *through* conductors. It produces engineers who model "leading power factor" as though an effect can precede its cause. It produces teachers who draw animated dots marching through copper, reinforcing a nineteenth-century fiction that was convenient for calculation but catastrophic for

comprehension. It produces an entire workforce that can *calculate* correctly while *understanding* incorrectly — and the gap between those two states is where accidents, inefficiency, and ignorance live.

Consider the ammeter — the most ubiquitous instrument in the electrical trade. Every electrician, every engineer, every student clamps one onto a conductor and reads "current" — believing they are measuring the flow of charged particles through the wire. They are not. The ammeter measures the **magnetic field intensity surrounding the conductor**. It is, and has always been, a magnetic field instrument mislabelled as a current meter. This single misnomer has done more to perpetuate the drift-velocity fiction than any textbook. When your primary instrument of truth is named after a lie, every reading it produces reinforces that lie. Generations of electricians have trusted the ammeter's reading without questioning its name — and in doing so, have unknowingly cemented the very misconception that prevents them from seeing what is actually happening in the field around the conductor.

Consider what happens at the end of a series circuit after all Harvesters have extracted their share of the energy. Every volt of potential has been harvested — the Harvest Potential (**E**) is zero. Under the conventional model, voltage is the "pressure" that "pushes" current through the conductor. No pressure, no push, no flow — that is the foundational logic of Ohm's Law as it is taught. And yet, the ammeter clamped on the return conductor reads the *same value* as it did on the supply side. The conventional model has no coherent answer for this. If there is no voltage to push the current, why does current remain? The Burton-Poynting framework answers it immediately: what the ammeter reads on the return path is not current — it is the **Widowed Field** (**H**), the magnetic component of the Poynting Vector completing its mandated return to the source (Law 0). The ammeter reads it because it has always been a magnetic field instrument. But because it is called an "ammeter" — a meter of amperes, a unit of charge flow — the reading is interpreted as current, and the paradox is never recognized.

A case in point: it is taught as foundational knowledge that current *cannot flow through* a capacitor — that the dielectric barrier is, by definition, an insulator that blocks the passage of charge. And yet, when three capacitors are connected in series, every textbook instructs the student to calculate the voltage across the *middle* capacitor as though current somehow arrived there, charged its plates, and continued onward — without destroying the dielectric of the capacitors on either side. The student performs the calculation, gets the right answer, and never asks the obvious question: *how did the current reach the middle capacitor if it cannot pass through the first one?* The answer, of course, is that it didn't. The Poynting Vector's field propagated through the dielectric space surrounding the conductors, and the displacement field ($\partial\mathbf{D}/\partial t$) — not charge flow — is what affects each capacitor. But the language of "current flow" makes the paradox invisible, and so it is never questioned.

The debate over "conventional current versus electron flow" — a debate that has consumed countless classroom hours across generations — is itself a symptom of this foundational mislabelling. Both sides argue passionately about which direction particles move through the wire, while neither side recognizes that the energy never travelled through the wire at all. The argument is not wrong in its conclusions — it is

wrong in its *premise*. And an instrument bearing the name "ammeter" — *ampere meter*, named for a unit of charge flow — ensures that the false premise is reinforced every time a journeyperson opens their tool bag.

Historical Context: How We Arrived at This Juncture

The terminology in use today is a product of its time, and the scientists who created it were working within the limits of what was then known. Their contributions must be understood in context:

André-Marie Ampère (1775–1836) observed and quantified the magnetic effect surrounding conductors connected in a circuit. His experiments on the force between current-carrying conductors remain valid and repeatable. However, Ampère was working thirty years before Maxwell's field equations (1865) and fifty years before Poynting's energy flux theorem (1884). The framework of electromagnetic field propagation did not yet exist. The dominant scientific analogy of Ampère's era was fluid mechanics, and he described his observations accordingly — coining the term *courant électrique* ("electric current"), modelling the phenomenon as a flow of charge through the conductor. The unit bearing his name, the Ampere, correctly quantifies the magnetic field intensity at a conductor surface. The description of that quantity as a measure of charge flow was the best interpretation available at the time.

Michael Faraday (1791–1867) introduced the concept of "lines of force" as a visualization tool for magnetic and electric fields. These were drawing aids — a method of sketching the direction and relative intensity of the field on paper. The magnetic field itself is a continuous spatial tension, not a collection of discrete lines. Faraday's visualization was effective for its purpose, but subsequent generations adopted the "lines" language as though it described physical structures within the field.

James Clerk Maxwell (1831–1879) unified electricity and magnetism into four field equations and introduced the term "displacement current" ($\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$) to describe the rate of change of the electric field across a dielectric gap. Maxwell acknowledged that no charge physically traverses the dielectric — the term "current" was applied because the mathematical behaviour of the changing electric field is equivalent to a conduction current in the equations. The field reaction was named using the only available vocabulary: the language of flow.

John Henry Poynting (1852–1914) derived the energy flux vector $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ in 1884, establishing that electromagnetic energy propagates through the field surrounding the conductor, not through the conductor itself. This was the critical proof that energy transport is a field phenomenon. However, by 1884, the terminology of current flow, resistance, and capacitance was already entrenched in engineering practice, education, and industrial standards. Poynting's theorem did not displace the existing vocabulary — it existed alongside it, taught in physics departments while the engineering trades continued to use the older language.

Emmy Noether (1882–1935) proved in 1918 that every differentiable symmetry of a physical system corresponds to a conservation law. Time-translation symmetry yields conservation of energy. This theorem establishes why the electrical component of the Poynting Vector is locked to the temporal dimension — a fact with direct implications for understanding phase relationships in electromagnetic systems, but one that was never integrated into the practical vocabulary of the electrical trades.

The result is the present condition: the mathematical foundations have been established and verified for over a century, but the working vocabulary of the electrical industry still reflects the pre-Maxwell, pre-Poynting understanding. The instruments are named for a fluid model. The components are described in terms of charge storage and flow. The teaching materials animate particles moving through conductors. The physics has advanced; the language has not. This document addresses that gap.

The root cause is historical. When the electrical industry was born, the tools of measurement came before the tools of understanding. We could measure current before we understood that energy propagates through the field, not through the wire. We named "alternating current" before we understood the Poynting Vector lifecycle. We built an entire technical vocabulary — amperage, resistance, capacitance, reactance — around a model of charged particles drifting through metal, because that model was *useful*. And useful lies have long half-lives.

The mathematics has always known the truth. Maxwell's Equations (1865) describe electromagnetic propagation through fields. Poynting's Theorem (1884) identifies the energy flux density vector $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ as the mechanism of power transfer. Noether's Theorem (1918) establishes that conservation of energy is a consequence of time-translation symmetry. These are not new discoveries. They are established, verified, immovable foundations of physics.

What has been missing — for over a century — is the **reconciliation**.

No unified framework existed that connects Maxwell's field equations to the electrician's voltmeter reading. No coherent lifecycle narrative explained *why* the magnetic field returns to the source, *why* an inductor creates a 90° offset, *why* a capacitor appears to "lead" (it doesn't), and *why* power factor correction works at the fundamental field level. The mathematics existed in pieces. The physical intuition was fractured across disciplines. The language actively obscured the truth.

The Burton-Poynting Theory is that reconciliation.

It establishes seven Constitutional Laws that govern the complete lifecycle of the Poynting Vector — from conception at the source, through propagation along the field guide, through harvest at the load, through the magnetic return of the Widowed Field to the source. It introduces the Burton-Poynting Lexicon: a technical vocabulary that describes what *actually happens* in electromagnetic systems, replacing terms built on the electron-drift fiction with terms built on field-propagation reality. And it provides the mathematical proof that each of its seven Laws is a direct, verifiable consequence of the established foundations — Maxwell, Poynting, Noether, and Newton.

This is not a theory seeking to replace physics. It is a framework seeking to *unify understanding* — so that the physicist, the engineer, the electrician, the student, and the lineworker can all speak the same language about the same reality.

The Law came first. All other things are governed by Law. Remove matter and you are still left with Law.

— Kevin Burton, February 2026

DEFINITIONS: Core Terms and Physical Quantities

The following definitions establish the precise meaning of terms used throughout this document. Where a Burton-Poynting term replaces a conventional term, both are listed. The reader should internalize these definitions before proceeding to the Codex.

Foundational Physical Quantities

Electric Field Intensity (E) A vector quantity representing the force per unit charge at a point in space. In the Burton-Poynting framework, **E** is the *electrical component* of the Poynting Vector — the harvestable energy potential. Units: Volts per metre (V/m).

Magnetic Field Intensity (H) A vector quantity representing the magnetic field strength at a point in space, independent of the medium's permeability. In the Burton-Poynting framework, **H** is the *magnetic component* of the Poynting Vector — the carrier that must complete its return journey. Units: Amperes per metre (A/m).

Magnetic Flux Density (B) The magnetic field as experienced by the medium: $\mathbf{B} = \mu\mathbf{H}$, where μ is the permeability of the medium. Describes the actual flux through a surface. Units: Tesla (T) or Weber per square metre (Wb/m^2).

Poynting Vector (S) The cross product $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$, representing the directional energy flux density — the rate of energy transfer per unit area through the electromagnetic field. This is the *mechanism* by which electrical energy propagates. Energy does not travel inside conductors; it travels in the field described by **S**. Units: Watts per square metre (W/m^2).

Electromagnetic Energy Density (u) The energy stored per unit volume in the electromagnetic field:

$u = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu|\mathbf{H}|^2$

The first term is the energy in the electric field; the second is the energy in the magnetic field. At any point in space, this quantity tells you how much energy the field contains.

The Burton-Poynting Lifecycle Terms

Field Pulse (replaces: "Alternating Current cycle") One complete lifecycle of the Poynting Vector: Conception → Propagation → Harvest → Widowed Return. In a 60 Hz system, one Field Pulse occupies 16.67 milliseconds.

Unity The state in which **E** and **H** are perfectly coupled — born at the same temporal moment, maintaining zero phase offset. Unity is the *only* condition under which the full field power can be harvested as productive work. Harvest Ratio = 1.0 in the state of Unity.

Dis-Unity Any state in which **E** and **H** are temporally decoupled — a phase offset exists between the time-locked electrical component and the geometry-shifted magnetic component. Dis-Unity produces Echo Power (reactive power) and reduces the Harvest Ratio below 1.0.

Temporal Offset (replaces: "Phase Angle") The angular measure of the temporal gap between **E** and **H**, expressed in degrees. 0° = Unity. 90° = Saboteur-induced Dis-Unity (H lags E). 270° = Repeller-induced Recoil Echo (appears as 90° "lead" but is a causal delay).

Widowed Field The magnetic component (**H**) of the Poynting Vector after its electrical partner (**E**) has been fully harvested. The Widowed Field carries zero power ($\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} = 0$ because $\mathbf{E} = 0$) but retains its full magnetic flux amplitude for the return journey to the source. The Widowed Field returning to the generator stator creates **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole** — an opposing magnetic polarity that builds pulse by pulse, swing by swing, from magnetic neutrality to full mechanical opposition. This is the physical enforcement mechanism of conservation of energy in electromagnetic systems (Law 0).

Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole (replaces: "Back-EMF") The physical mechanism by which the Widowed Field enforces conservation of energy at the creation point. As each Widowed Field pulse returns to the generator stator, it carries the opposite magnetic polarity to the field that conceived it. These successive returns — alternating in polarity, 120 per second at 60 Hz — accumulate in the stator coil, building from magnetic neutrality to a fully developed Counter-Pole that directly opposes the rotor's field tension. The conventional term "back-EMF" describes the mathematical result (an opposing voltage); **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole** describes the physical reality: an opposing magnetic pole, constructed pulse by pulse from the accumulated returns of every Widowed Field that completed its journey home. (Law 0)

Imposter Widow (replaces: "Reactive Current") A returning magnetic field that was not widowed by productive harvest, but by Dis-Unity. The Imposter Widow demands capacity from the source (the generator must produce mechanical input to service it) while delivering zero productive work. The economic cost of Dis-Unity.

Echo Power (replaces: "Reactive Power" / VAR) The oscillating energy exchange between source and Dis-Unity device. Energy shuttles back and forth each half-cycle — never converted to work, never consumed, but always demanding capacity from the source, the Field Guides, and the protection infrastructure.

Harvest Power (replaces: "Real Power" / Watts) Energy successfully converted to useful work at a Harvester. The productive output of the field lifecycle.

Total Field Power (replaces: "Apparent Power" / VA) The full amplitude the source sees: the vector sum of Harvest Power and Echo Power. This is what sizes the generator, the Field Guides, and the protection devices.

Harvest Ratio (replaces: "Power Factor") The ratio of Harvest Power to Total Field Power. Numerically: cos(φ), where φ is the Temporal Offset. A Harvest Ratio of 1.0 means perfect Unity — all field power is productive. A Harvest Ratio of 0 means total Dis-Unity — all field power is Echo Power.

Echo Cancellation (replaces: "Power Factor Correction") The process of restoring Unity by deploying an opposing Dis-Unity engine. A Saboteur's 90° offset is cancelled by a Repeller's 270° recoil, and vice versa: 90° + 270° = 360° = 0° = Unity restored.

Device Terms

Harvester (replaces: "Resistor") — Prefix: H A device that converts the electrical component (**E**) of the Poynting Vector into work (heat, light, mechanical motion) through the mechanism $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J} > 0$. The Harvester is the only device that performs productive energy conversion. Its magnetic component (**H**) is widowed and returns to the source. (Law III)

Saboteur (replaces: "Inductor") — Prefix: SB An In-cursive Dis-Unity Engine — a miniature inverse creation engine. Its coiled geometry causes the magnetic component (**H**) to radiate from the conductor skin and cut through adjacent turns, creating an inverse field through the same coupling mechanism as the alternator's creation point. This inverse field opposition creates a 90° Temporal Offset (H lags E). The Saboteur cannot harvest — it can only create Dis-Unity. Its inductance scales with N^2 because each turn's expanding field tension couples with every other turn — a geometric amplification of the inverse field reaction. (Law IV)

Repeller (replaces: "Capacitor") — Prefix: RP A Repelling Dis-Unity Engine. Its dielectric barrier refuses passage of the Poynting Vector, creating a 270° Recoil Echo. The Repeller does not "store charge" — it is a **field mirror**, continuously reflecting the Poynting Vector at the dielectric boundary. The electrical component (**E**) echoes back immediately, perfectly in phase with itself (time-locked by Noether's Theorem), while the magnetic component (**H**) acquires a 270° geometric delay from the physical structure of the barrier. This reflection is instantaneous and continuous — it does not wait for a zero-crossing or cycle reversal. The conventional appearance of "90° leading" is a temporal illusion caused by the 270° delay arriving just ahead of the next cycle's reference. Causality is absolute. (Law V)

Field Scaler (replaces: "Transformer") — Prefix: FS A magnetic bridge that reshapes the Poynting Vector envelope — redistributing the ratio of **E** and **H** while conserving total Poynting flux. Energy crosses from primary to secondary winding through mutual magnetic coupling in the core. The Field Scaler does not create energy; it rescales the geometry of the field. (Law VI)

Path Gate (replaces: "Switch") — Prefix: PG A device that opens or closes the field propagation path, permitting or interrupting the Poynting Vector lifecycle.

Field Return (replaces: "Ground / Neutral") — Prefix: FR The return path for the Widowed Field. Completion of the magnetic lifecycle mandated by Law 0. Without the Field Return, the loop is open and conservation cannot be enforced.

Field Guide (replaces: "Wire / Conductor") The physical structure that guides the Poynting Vector. Energy does not travel inside the Field Guide — it propagates through the dielectric space surrounding it. The conductor provides boundary conditions that confine and direct the field.

Propagation Path (replaces: "Circuit") The complete route of the Poynting Vector from source through devices and back. Also called Field Path or Field Loop. A closed Propagation Path is required for conservation of energy (Law 0).

Instruments

Field Amplitude Meter — F.A.M. (replaces: "Ammeter") Measures the total magnetic field amplitude at a point — productive field and reactive echoes combined. What the conventional ammeter reads is not "current flowing through a wire" but the magnetic field intensity surrounding the Field Guide, which is proportional to the total field amplitude including echoes.

Potential Meter — P.M. (replaces: "Voltmeter") — Prefix: PM Measures the electrical potential difference (**E**) between two points — the harvestable energy available across a device or section of the Propagation Path.

Burton Echo Cancellation Meter — B.E.C.M. — Prefix: ECM A diagnostic instrument (physical and software) that measures the impedance triangle of a Saboteur-loaded Field Path and calculates the exact Repeller value required to cancel the echo and restore Unity. The B.E.C.M. is the practical application of Law VII.

Foundational Authorities

Maxwell's Equations The four partial differential equations governing electromagnetic field behavior: Gauss's Law (Electric), Gauss's Law (Magnetic), Faraday's Law, and the Ampère-Maxwell Law. These equations describe *how* electromagnetic fields propagate, interact, and relate to charges and currents. They are the physics upon which the Poynting Vector is derived.

Poynting's Theorem The energy conservation law for electromagnetic fields, derived from Maxwell's Equations. It states that the rate of energy loss from a volume equals the flux of the Poynting Vector through its surface plus the work done on charges within it. This is the *mechanism* statement: energy moves through fields.

Noether's Theorem Emmy Noether's 1918 proof that every differentiable symmetry of a physical system's action has a corresponding conservation law. Time-translation symmetry yields conservation of energy. In the Burton-Poynting framework: **E** is locked to the temporal dimension because energy conservation is a consequence of time symmetry. This is why **E** cannot be advanced or delayed — only **H** (which is spatial, not temporal) can be shifted by geometry.

Lenz's Law The direction of an induced EMF opposes the change in flux that produced it. In the Burton-Poynting framework: the Widowed Field returning to the generator stator develops an opposing magnetic polarity — **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole**. This is not a voltage "pushing back" — it is a physical magnetic opposition that builds pulse by pulse as each successive Widowed return adds its opposite polarity to the stator, creating direct mechanical resistance at the rotor. The conventional term "back-EMF" describes the mathematical result; **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole** describes the physical mechanism.

PART V: MATHEMATICAL FOUNDATIONS

From Maxwell to Burton — The Derivation Chain

This section demonstrates that each Burton-Poynting Law is a direct, verifiable consequence of established electromagnetic theory. No new physics is assumed. The derivations proceed from Maxwell's Equations through Poynting's Theorem to each Constitutional Law, establishing both the theoretical basis and the mathematical expressions that will power the Burton Field Simulator (B.F.S.) physics engine.

The Foundations: Maxwell's Equations

All of classical electromagnetism is contained in four equations. In their differential form, using SI units:

Gauss's Law (Electric Field)

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho / \varepsilon$

The divergence of the electric field equals the charge density divided by permittivity. The electric field emerges from and converges upon charges — it is a continuous spatial tension, not discrete "lines."

Gauss's Law (Magnetic Field)

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

The divergence of the magnetic field is always zero. The magnetic field is a continuous spatial tension that has no origin and no terminus — it is an unbroken, closed presence in space. There are no magnetic monopoles.

Burton Significance: This is the mathematical bedrock of **Law 0 (The Mandate)**. Because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, every portion of the magnetic field that extends outward from a region must return to it. The field is not a stream that "flows" or a set of "lines" that travel — it is a spatial tension that exists as a closed, continuous whole. The Widowed Field *must* complete its journey — this is not a Burton postulate, it is a Maxwell requirement.

A note on language: The conventional term "field lines" (introduced by Faraday as a visualization aid) is deliberately avoided in this document. The magnetic field is a continuous spatial force — a tension that

fills space. It does not consist of discrete lines, it does not flow, and it contains no particles. One can feel this reality directly by pressing two magnets together in opposition: the force resisting your hands is not carried by "lines" — it is the pure spatial tension of the field itself. When coupled with the electrical component to form a Poynting Vector, a portion of this field tension accompanies the energy — but what departs is a continuous field presence, not a discrete line.

Faraday's Law of Induction

$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t$

A changing magnetic field produces a curling electric field. The rate of change of B determines the magnitude and direction of the induced E.

Burton Significance: This is the mechanism behind **Law IV (The Sabotage)** and the **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole** component of **Law 0**. The Saboteur's expanding magnetic field tension creates an inverse field through the same creation mechanism as the alternator (see Ampère-Maxwell Law above). The Widowed Field's return to the stator develops an opposing magnetic polarity — a Counter-Pole — that directly resists the rotor's field tension, creating mechanical opposition.

The Ampère-Maxwell Law

$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$

A curling magnetic field is produced by conduction density (J) and the rate of change of the electric field (∂D/∂t). Maxwell's addition of the ∂D/∂t term completed the equations and predicted electromagnetic wave propagation.

A note on "displacement current": Maxwell named the $\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$ term "displacement current," but this is another historical misnomer. There is no current — no charge flows across the dielectric. What occurs is the **rate of change of the electric field tension** across the gap, which produces a magnetic field effect mathematically equivalent to a conduction density. The word "current" was grafted onto a field reaction because the only language available in Maxwell's era was the language of flow. The Burton-Poynting framework names this what it is: a **field reaction**, not a current.

Burton Significance: The $\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t$ term is the mechanism behind **Law V (The Repulsion)**. At the Repeller's dielectric barrier, no charge traverses the gap ($\mathbf{J} = 0$). The changing electric field tension across the dielectric sustains the magnetic field's continuity — a pure field-to-field reaction with no particle transport of any kind.

Burton Significance (Law IV — The Sabotage): Faraday's Law and the Ampère-Maxwell Law together describe the Saboteur's mechanism. As the Poynting Vector enters the coil, the magnetic component (**H**) radiates outward from the conductor skin. This expanding field tension cuts through the adjacent turns of

the same coil — the **identical mechanism** by which the alternator creates the original Vector at the source. Conductor geometry immersed in a changing magnetic field tension produces an EMF. But in the Saboteur, the created field is **inverse** to the one that created it — an opposing EMF born from the coil's own expanding field. This is not "induced current." It is a **field reaction**: magnetic tension creating inverse electrical tension through the same coupling mechanism that created the original Vector at the source. The N^2 scaling factor (the geometric amplification) arises because each turn's expanding field cuts through every other turn — an amplifying chain reaction of opposition. Each turn is simultaneously a source and a receiver of the inverse field, and the total opposition scales as the square of the number of turns. The Saboteur is, in essence, a **miniature inverse creation engine** — replicating the alternator's field-coupling process in opposition.

Poynting's Theorem: The Energy Transport Mechanism

Derived directly from Maxwell's Equations by taking the dot product of **H** with Faraday's Law and **E** with the Ampère-Maxwell Law, then subtracting:

Differential Form

$$-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = \partial u/\partial t + \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

where: - $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ (the Poynting Vector — energy flux density, W/m²) - $u = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu|\mathbf{H}|^2$ (electromagnetic energy density, J/m³) - $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ (power density dissipated/harvested, W/m³)

In words: The rate at which energy flows out of a region ($-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}$) equals the rate of change of stored field energy ($\partial u/\partial t$) plus the rate of energy conversion to work ($\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$).

Integral Form (over a closed volume V bounded by surface A)

$$-\oint_A \mathbf{S} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = d/dt \int_V u \, dV + \int_V \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, dV$$

In words: The total power flowing into a volume through its surface equals the rate of energy storage inside plus the power delivered to charges inside.

Burton Significance: This is the *master equation* of the Burton-Poynting Theory. Every Law is a specific application of Poynting's Theorem to a particular device or condition:

Poynting's Theorem Term	Burton-Poynting Interpretation
$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$	The Field Pulse — energy in transit
$\partial u/\partial t$	Energy being stored/released by Saboteur ($\frac{1}{2}\mu\|\mathbf{H}\|^2$) or Repeller ($\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\|\mathbf{E}\|^2$)
$\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$	Energy Harvest at a Harvester (Law III)
$-\oint \mathbf{S} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = 0$ (closed system)	Law 0 — The Mandate (total flux in = total flux out)

The Derivation Chain: Maxwell → Poynting → Burton

Law 0 — The Mandate (Magnetic Reciprocity)

Claim: The magnetic component must return to the source in a closed field loop.

Derivation from Maxwell:

Starting from Gauss's Law for magnetism:

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

Integrating over any closed volume and applying the divergence theorem:

$\oint \_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = 0$

This states that the net magnetic flux through *any* closed surface is zero. Every portion of the magnetic field tension that extends outward through a surface must return through it. This is not an approximation — it is exact, always, everywhere.

For a complete field loop (source → propagation → harvest → return), apply Poynting's Theorem in steady-state ($\partial u/\partial t = 0$ averaged over a full cycle):

$\oint \mathbf{S} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = -\int\_V \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}\, dV$

The total Poynting flux exiting the source equals the total energy harvested. The magnetic field, carrying zero power after harvest ($\mathbf{E} = 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{S} = 0$), nevertheless persists and completes its return path because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ demands it.

The Creation Point at Rest (Open Circuit):

Before the Propagation Path is closed, the generator's rotor is forced mechanically through the stator's magnetic field tension. A Poynting Vector is conceived at the conductor surface — **E** and **H** born coupled

in Unity. But with no closed path, no Harvesting occurs. The stator coil is magnetically **neutral**. It presents no significant opposing polarity to the rotor's field tension. The prime mover turns freely against negligible resistance — only mechanical friction, windage, and minor core losses. A Potential Meter placed across the open terminals measures the Vector's full potential through a minuscule leak (the meter's extremely high resistance acts as a near-zero Harvester), confirming the Vector exists without meaningfully loading the system.

This is the state of pure potential. The Vector is conceived but unconsummated. The field tension at the stator is neutral. The Reaper holds the blade but the grass is dry and weightless.

The Path Gate Closes (Load Applied) — The First Swing:

The moment the Path Gate closes and connects a Harvester, the first Poynting Vector pulse enters the Propagation Path. It propagates through the field surrounding the Field Guide, arrives at the Harvester, and its electrical component (**E**) is converted to work. The magnetic component (**H**) — now widowed — begins its mandated return to the source.

This first Widowed Field arrives at the stator coil carrying **opposite polarity** to the field tension that conceived it. The once-neutral stator conductor now holds a faint magnetic opposition — the ghost of the first harvest. The prime mover, on its very next swing, feels this opposition. It is slight. But it is there. The Reaper's blade has entered the wet grass.

The Alternating Buildup — Swing by Swing:

What follows is not a gradual, smooth ramp. It is a **pulse-by-pulse, alternating negotiation** between the creation point and the load, operating at twice the line frequency — 120 discrete feedback events per second at 60 Hz.

Each half-cycle of the Electromagnetic Cycle is a complete, independent Vector lifecycle:

First half-cycle (the left swing): A Vector is conceived in one polarity. It propagates. **E** is harvested. The Widowed **H** returns to the stator with opposite polarity to the field that created it. The stator coil now holds a small opposing field in this direction. The creation point feels the resistance.

Second half-cycle (the right swing): The field reverses. A new Vector is conceived in the opposite polarity. It propagates. **E** is harvested. The Widowed **H** returns — opposite to THIS swing's polarity. The stator coil now holds a small opposing field in this direction too. The creation point feels resistance on both swings.

Third half-cycle: The blade swings left again — but now it encounters not just the opposition from the first left swing, but the accumulated magnetic tension from every previous return in this polarity. The opposition is greater. The prime mover pushes harder. A stronger Vector is conceived. More energy is harvested. A stronger Widowed Field returns. The opposition grows.

Fourth half-cycle: The blade swings right — same escalation, same accumulation, same growing opposition.

This is two interleaved exponential buildups — one for each polarity of the Electromagnetic Cycle — rising together like two staircases spiralling around each other. Each step is a single half-cycle (8.33 milliseconds at 60 Hz). Each step adds the Widowed return from that swing to the accumulated opposition for that polarity. The feedback is not averaged, not smoothed, not delayed — it is **immediate and discrete**, pulse by pulse, swing by swing.

The mathematical envelope of this alternating staircase is the exponential transient:

$i(t) = I_{steady} \times (1 - e^{(-t/\tau)})$

where $\tau = L/R$ is the time constant of the circuit. At each time constant, the opposition closes 63.2% of the remaining gap to steady state. After five time constants, the opposition has reached 99.3% of its final value. But this smooth curve is the *average* — the physical reality beneath it is 120 discrete pulses per second, alternating in polarity, each one a complete Vector lifecycle with its own Widowed return, each one adding its opposite-polarity contribution to the growing resistance at the creation point.

Steady State — The Equilibrium:

After approximately five time constants, the stator coil that was once magnetically neutral now carries a fully developed opposing magnetic field — built entirely from the accumulated Widowed returns of thousands of individual Vector pulses, alternating in polarity, each one opposite to the field that conceived it.

The Vector amplitude (**E** coupled with **H**) now matches the Harvester's demand exactly. The opposition at the rotor has reached its maximum for this load. Every left swing conceives a Vector whose Widowed return maintains the left-polarity opposition. Every right swing does the same for the right. The system is in dynamic equilibrium — not static, but a continuous, alternating, pulse-by-pulse balance between creation and opposition.

The Closed PID Loop — Why This System Enforces Conservation:

This is a **closed Proportional-Integral-Derivative control loop** operating at the fundamental frequency of the Electromagnetic Cycle. It is not a metaphor. It is the literal control architecture:

Sensing (Proportional): The returning Widowed Field's opposite polarity is felt at the rotor as direct mechanical resistance — not through a sensor, not through a wire, not through a measurement. The magnetic field tension of the Widowed return physically opposes the magnetic field tension of the rotor. This opposition is felt at the speed of field propagation — effectively instantaneous.

Accumulation (Integral): Each successive Widowed return adds to the accumulated opposing field in the stator. The total opposition is the integral — the sum of all previous returns. This is why the buildup is exponential: each pulse adds to the total, and the total determines the opposition felt on the next pulse.

Rate Response (Derivative): A sudden change in load (a new Harvester switched in, or an existing one removed) produces a sudden change in the rate of Widowed returns. The creation point feels this rate

change immediately — the derivative of the opposition. The prime mover responds before the integral has time to fully adjust.

The governor's fuel adjustment is the outer loop. But the inner loop — the field-level opposition between the Widowed return and the creation field — operates at 120 Hz with effectively zero latency. This is why the generator responds to load changes within a single cycle. This is why the frequency dip on a diesel genset is measurable within milliseconds of a motor starting. This is why conservation of energy is not a passive accounting principle but an **actively enforced physical mechanism**, closed-loop, pulse by pulse, swing by swing, from the first Vector to the last.

The Derivation (Faraday's Law applied to the return):

$$\text{EMF}_{\text{opposition}} = -N \frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -N \frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{A}$$

This equation describes the **Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole** — the instantaneous opposing polarity generated by each returning Widowed Field pulse. The $d\Phi/dt$ term is not a smooth function — it is the discrete, alternating, exponentially growing staircase of accumulated Widowed returns. Each pulse of opposite polarity adds to Φ, increasing $d\Phi/dt$, increasing the Counter-Pole's strength, increasing the mechanical opposition, demanding more from the prime mover.

The dead short — the runaway condition: If the Propagation Path is closed through near-zero resistance (a dead short), the PID loop searches for an equilibrium point that does not exist. Without a meaningful Harvester to define the setpoint, the Widowed Opposition by Counter-Pole continues to build without limit. The Field Amplitude escalates exponentially — each swing of the Reaper meets no fence, no boundary, no equilibrium. The conductor heats with I^2R losses that scale as the square of the ever-increasing Field Amplitude until the Field Guide physically melts. This is the enforcement mechanism taken to destruction — the closed loop demands a Harvester to define its equilibrium. Without one, conservation is enforced to the point of annihilation.

The generator does not "sense" voltage at its terminals. It does not "measure" current. It **feels** — at the most fundamental field level — the direct mechanical consequence of every Widowed Field that returns. Each one develops an opposing magnetic polarity at the stator. Each one says: *this much energy was harvested; apply this much opposition.* And the creation point responds on the very next swing.

This is Law 0. This is The Mandate. Not as an abstract conservation principle, but as a physical, mechanical, pulse-by-pulse enforcement mechanism operating in closed-loop at twice the line frequency, building from magnetic neutrality to full opposition through the accumulated returns of every Widowed Field that ever completed its journey home.

Conservation of energy is not a given. It is not a universal constant floating in the abstract. It is **enforced** — actively, mechanically, alternately, exponentially — by the closed PID loop of the Poynting Vector lifecycle. Open the loop, and conservation has no mechanism. Close the loop, and the Widowed Field enforces it, swing by swing, from the first pulse to steady state and every pulse thereafter. ∎

Law I — Initiation (Coupled Origin)

Claim: In a lossless Field Guide, the Poynting Vector maintains full magnitude without attenuation.

Derivation from Poynting's Theorem:

In a lossless medium surrounding the conductor: - σ_dielectric = 0 (no conduction in the insulation) → **J** = 0 - ∂u/∂t = 0 (steady-state propagation, no net storage)

Poynting's Theorem reduces to:

$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0$

The divergence of the Poynting Vector is zero — no energy is gained, lost, or stored. The field propagates without attenuation.

For a TEM (transverse electromagnetic) wave on a transmission line, the propagation velocity is:

$v = 1/\sqrt{(\mu\varepsilon)}$

and the characteristic impedance is:

$Z_0 = \sqrt{(\mu/\varepsilon)} = |\mathbf{E}|/|\mathbf{H}|$

The ratio of E to H is fixed by the medium — they are *born coupled* by the medium's properties and maintain this coupling throughout propagation. This is the mathematical statement of Unity at birth. ∎

Law II — Branching (Division)

Claim: At a junction, the Poynting flux divides but total flux is conserved.

Derivation from Poynting's Theorem:

Apply the integral form to a small volume enclosing the junction, in steady state:

$\oint_A \mathbf{S} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = 0$ (no energy stored at the junction itself)

The Poynting flux entering through the input conductor's surrounding surface must equal the total flux exiting through all branch conductor surfaces:

$\mathbf{S}\_in \cdot A\_in = \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot A_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 \cdot A_2 + ... + \mathbf{S}\_N \cdot A\_N$

This is the field-theoretic form of Kirchhoff's Junction Rule. It is not a statement about charge conservation (electrons dividing at a junction) — it is a statement about *energy flux conservation* in the field surrounding the junction.

For the magnetic return (Law 0 applied to branching):

$\sum \mathbf{H}_{return,i} = \mathbf{H}_{source}$

Every branched Widowed Field must reconverge. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ guarantees this for the magnetic component; Poynting's Theorem guarantees it for the energy flux. ∎

Law III — Exhaustion (The Harvest)

Claim: At a Harvester, **E** is converted to work while **H** is widowed and continues to the return.

Derivation from Poynting's Theorem:

At a Harvester (resistive element where $\mathbf{J} = \sigma\mathbf{E}$):

$\int_V \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}\, dV = \int_V \sigma|\mathbf{E}|^2\, dV > 0$

Energy is being converted from the field to heat/work. This is the *only* mechanism by which the Poynting Vector's energy is harvested — through the $\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ term.

Applying Poynting's Theorem across the Harvester volume:

$\mathbf{S}_{in} - \mathbf{S}_{out} = \int_V \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}\, dV$

The Poynting Vector's magnitude decreases across the Harvester by exactly the amount of energy harvested. After passing through all Harvesters in series:

$\sum \int \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}\, dV = \mathbf{S}_{source}$ (total harvest = source output)

At this point, $\mathbf{E} \to 0$ (all electrical potential exhausted), but **H** persists because $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. The Poynting Vector $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \to 0$ (zero power), but the magnetic field retains its full amplitude for the return:

$|\mathbf{H}_{widowed}| = |\mathbf{H}_{source}|$ (in an ideal system)

This is the Widowed Field — carrying no power, but carrying the full magnetic accounting back to the source. ∎

Kirchhoff's Voltage Law (KVL) as a field integral:

For a closed loop, from Faraday's Law in integral form:

$\oint \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -d\Phi/dt$

In the quasi-static approximation ($\lambda >>$ circuit dimensions):

$\sum V_{drop} = V_{source}$

This is KVL — not as an accounting rule, but as a direct consequence of Faraday's Law. Burton's contribution: recognizing that this equation describes the *progressive exhaustion* of the electrical component along the propagation path, with the magnetic component surviving. ∎

Law IV — Self-Inversion (The Sabotage)

Claim: The Saboteur creates a 90° temporal offset between H and E through recursive self-opposition.

Derivation from Faraday's Law:

For a coil of N turns, area A, in a time-varying field, Faraday's Law gives the induced (counter) EMF:

$v_L(t) = -N\, d\Phi/dt = L\, di(t)/dt$

where $L = \mu_0\mu_r N^2 A/l$ is the inductance.

The N^2 scaling (Geometric Amplification): As the Poynting Vector enters the coil, the magnetic component (**H**) radiates outward from the conductor skin of each turn. This expanding field tension cuts through every other turn — the same creation mechanism as at the alternator, but inverse. Each turn is simultaneously a source and a receiver of the opposing field:

- Turn 1's expanding **H** cuts turns 2, 3, 4... N → creates inverse EMF in each
- Turn 2's expanding **H** cuts turns 1, 3, 4... N → creates inverse EMF in each
- The reaction is *mutual and simultaneous* across all N turns

Total flux linkage: $\lambda = N\Phi = \mu_0\mu_r N^2 Ai/l = Li$

This is why inductance scales as N^2 — it is a geometric amplification of the field reaction. Each turn's expanding field tension couples with every other turn through the same mechanism that creates the original Vector at the source. The Saboteur is not "carrying current through a coiled wire" — it is an amplifying chain reaction of inverse field creation, with N^2 as the amplification factor.

Phase relationship: For sinusoidal excitation $v(t) = V_{peak} \sin(\omega t)$:

$v(t) = L\, di/dt \rightarrow i(t) = (V_{peak} / \omega L) \cdot (-\cos(\omega t)) = (V_{peak} / \omega L) \cdot \sin(\omega t - 90°)$

The field amplitude (proportional to i) lags the harvest potential (v) by exactly 90°. In Burton-Poynting terms: **H** lags **E** by 90° — the Saboteur has created Dis-Unity.

Energy oscillation: The energy stored in the Saboteur's field:

$W_L(t) = \tfrac{1}{2}Li^2(t) = (V^2_{peak} / 2\omega^2 L) \cdot \cos^2(\omega t)$

This oscillates between zero and maximum twice per cycle — energy shuttles between the source field and the Saboteur field. No net energy is consumed. The time-averaged harvest is zero:

$\langle P \rangle = \langle v(t) \cdot i(t) \rangle = (V^2\_peak / 2\omega L) \cdot \langle \sin(\omega t) \cdot \sin(\omega t - 90°) \rangle = 0$

Quality Factor: The ratio of peak stored energy to energy dissipated per radian in the winding resistance:

$Q = \omega L / R\_winding = 2\pi f L / R\_winding$

A high-Q Saboteur is a more efficient Dis-Unity engine — its inverse field reaction dominates over the waste heat harvested by the winding's own resistance. The field tension echoes back and forth with minimal loss. ∎

Law V — Dielectric Suspension (The Repulsion)

Claim: The Repeller creates a 270° recoil echo (not a 90° "lead") through field refusal at the dielectric barrier.

Derivation from the Ampère-Maxwell Law:

At the Repeller's dielectric barrier, no conduction current flows ($\mathbf{J} = 0$). The Ampère-Maxwell Law:

$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \partial \mathbf{D} / \partial t$

The displacement current $\partial \mathbf{D}/\partial t = \varepsilon \, \partial \mathbf{E}/\partial t$ maintains the continuity of the magnetic field across the gap. This is not physical charge transport — it is the rate of change of the electric field creating a magnetic effect equivalent to a current.

The constitutive equation:

$i\_C(t) = C \, dv(t)/dt$

The Field Mirror Model:

The Repeller is not a storage vessel that fills and empties with the cycle. It is a **field mirror** — a dielectric boundary that continuously reflects the Poynting Vector. When the field arrives at the barrier:

- The electrical component (**E**) is reflected immediately, perfectly in phase with itself. **E** is time-locked (Noether's Theorem) — it echoes back at its original temporal moment. It does not wait for a zero-crossing or cycle reversal to be refused.
- The magnetic component (**H**), being spatial and geometry-susceptible, acquires a 270° delay imposed by the physical structure of the dielectric barrier.

This reflection is **instantaneous and continuous** at the boundary — it occurs at every point of the cycle, not only at reversal. The Repeller does not "charge up" and then "discharge." It refuses the field *now*, and the echo propagates back with **E** intact and **H** delayed.

Phase relationship: For sinusoidal excitation $v(t) = V_{peak} \sin(\omega t)$:

$i_C(t) = C\omega V_{peak} \cos(\omega t) = C\omega V_{peak} \sin(\omega t + 90°)$

Conventional analysis states "current leads voltage by 90°." The Burton-Poynting interpretation:

The 270° Recoil Echo Proof:

Consider the causal chain: 1. At $\omega t = 0°$: A new field pulse begins. $v(t) = 0$ and rising. 2. The Repeller's displacement response (i_C) is maximal — but this is the *echo from the previous cycle's field*, not a response to the current pulse. 3. The previous cycle's field pulse peaked at $\omega t = -90°$ (i.e., 270° earlier in the current cycle's frame). 4. The Repeller's dielectric reflected that field, and the 270°-delayed magnetic component arrives at $\omega t = 0°$ of the *next* cycle.

The temporal accounting: - Event (cause): Field pulse arrives at dielectric boundary → **E** reflected immediately, **H** delayed by geometry - Event (effect): The delayed **H** component appears 270° later - Time between cause and effect: 270° of the cycle period (NOT −90°)

The "90° lead" is a *mathematical convenience* of phasor notation, which treats phase as circular and makes no distinction between +270° and −90° (they are the same point on the unit circle). But *physically*, the effect (delayed **H**) occurs 270° AFTER its cause (field arrival). Causality demands this: **an effect can never precede its cause**.

Energy exchange: The energy associated with the Repeller's reflected field:

$W_C(t) = \frac{1}{2}Cv^2(t) = \frac{1}{2}CV^2_{peak} \sin^2(\omega t)$

The field echoes back and forth between source and Repeller boundary — continuously reflected, never consumed. No net energy is harvested:

$\langle P \rangle = \langle v(t) \cdot i_C(t) \rangle = (CV^2_{peak}\, \omega / 2) \cdot \langle \sin(\omega t) \cdot \cos(\omega t) \rangle = 0$

The Repeller is a Dis-Unity engine — a field mirror that reflects energy, performs no work, and creates an Imposter Widow. ∎

Law VI — Flux-Field Scaling (The Bridge)

Claim: The Field Scaler conserves total Poynting flux while redistributing E and H.

Derivation from Faraday's Law applied to coupled coils:

For an ideal Field Scaler (no leakage flux, no winding resistance), both windings share the same core flux $\Phi(t)$:

$v_1(t) = N_1 \, d\Phi/dt$ $v_2(t) = N_2 \, d\Phi/dt$

Therefore:

$v_1/v_2 = N_1/N_2 = n$ (the turns ratio)

The Harvest Potential scales by the turns ratio. For the magnetic component, conservation of magnetomotive force (MMF) in the core:

$N_1 i_1 = N_2 i_2$ (ideal, no magnetizing current)

Therefore:

$i_1/i_2 = N_2/N_1 = 1/n$

The Field Amplitude scales inversely with the turns ratio.

Poynting flux conservation:

Power in = Power out (ideal):

$|\mathbf{S}_1| = |\mathbf{E}_1||\mathbf{H}_1| = |\mathbf{E}_2||\mathbf{H}_2| = |\mathbf{S}_2|$

If E scales by n, and H scales by 1/n, the product is unchanged. The Poynting Vector's *magnitude* is conserved; only its geometric distribution (the ratio of E to H) changes.

The magnetic bridge: Energy crosses from primary to secondary through mutual magnetic coupling:

$M = k\sqrt{(L_1 L_2)}$

where k is the coupling coefficient ($k \approx 1$ for a well-designed core). The mechanism is magnetic induction through the shared core — not dielectric coupling, not physical charge transport. The Widowed Field from the secondary load returns through the core and appears as reflected impedance on the primary:

Z_1_reflected $= n^2 \times$ Z_load

This is how the source "feels" the secondary load — through the magnetic return path in the core. ∎

Law VII — Unity and Dis-Unity (The Coupling Law)

Claim: E is time-locked (Noether's Theorem); H is spatial and shiftable; Echo Cancellation restores Unity.

Derivation from Noether's Theorem and resonance analysis:

Noether's Theorem (applied):

If the Lagrangian L of a system is invariant under time translation ($t \rightarrow t + \delta t$), then energy is conserved:

$dE/dt = 0$ (for a closed system)

This means the energy component (**E**, the harvestable potential) is *defined by* and *locked to* the temporal symmetry. You cannot advance or delay the energy component without violating the time-translation symmetry that gives rise to energy conservation itself. **E** is time-locked.

The magnetic component (**H**) is a *spatial* quantity — it is produced by spatial distributions of current and displacement current (Ampère-Maxwell Law). Its phase can be shifted by changing the spatial geometry of the medium (coiling → Saboteur, plate separation → Repeller). **H** is geometry-shiftable.

This is the fundamental asymmetry: **Energy is temporal. Magnetism is spatial. Dis-Unity exists because Space can lag behind Time.**

Echo Cancellation (resonance condition):

For a series circuit with a Saboteur (L) and Repeller (C):

The Saboteur's impedance: $Z_L = j\omega L$ (H lags E by 90°) The Repeller's impedance: $Z_C = 1/(j\omega C) = -j/(\omega C)$ (270° recoil = −90° in phasor notation)

Net reactive impedance:

$Z_{reactive} = Z_L + Z_C = j(\omega L - 1/\omega C)$

Unity condition (Echo Cancellation):

$Z_{reactive} = 0$ when $\omega L = 1/(\omega C)$

Solving: $\omega_0 = 1/\sqrt{(LC)} \rightarrow f_0 = 1/(2\pi\sqrt{(LC)})$

At this frequency, the Saboteur's 90° offset is exactly cancelled by the Repeller's 270° recoil:

$90° + 270° = 360° = 0° \rightarrow$ Unity restored

The total impedance is purely resistive: $Z = R$ (Harvester only)

The Harvest Ratio:

$PF = \cos(\varphi) = \cos(0°) = 1.0$

All field power is Harvest Power. Echo Power = 0. The Imposter Widow is eliminated. ∎

B.E.C.M. calculation (practical application):

Given a Saboteur-loaded circuit at operating frequency f:

1. Measure: V (line potential), I (field amplitude), R (Harvester resistance)
2. Calculate impedance: $Z = V/I$
3. Extract Saboteur reactance: $X_L = \sqrt{(Z^2 - R^2)}$

4. Required Repeller for Echo Cancellation: $C = 1/(2\pi f \times X_L)$
5. Verify: At this C value, $X_C = 1/(2\pi fC) = X_L \rightarrow$ Echo Cancellation $\rightarrow$ Unity ∎

Summary of the Derivation Chain

Burton Law	Derived From	Key Equation
Law 0 — The Mandate	$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ + Poynting's Theorem	$\oint \mathbf{S} \cdot d\mathbf{A} = 0$ (closed system)
Law I — Initiation	Poynting's Theorem (lossless medium)	$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0 \rightarrow$
Law II — Branching	Poynting's Theorem (junction volume)	$\mathbf{S}_{in} = \Sigma\, \mathbf{S}_{branch}$
Law III — Exhaustion	Poynting's Theorem ($\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ term)	$\Sigma \int \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}\, dV = \mathbf{S}_{source}$
Law IV — Self-Inversion	Faraday's Law (coil geometry)	$v = L\, di/dt$; $\varphi = 90°$ lag
Law V — Dielectric Suspension	Ampère-Maxwell (displacement current)	$i = C\, dv/dt$; $\varphi = 270°$ recoil
Law VI — Flux-Field Scaling	Faraday's Law (coupled coils)	$\lvert\mathbf{S}_1\rvert = \lvert\mathbf{S}_2\rvert$; $v_1/v_2 = N_1/N_2$
Law VII — Unity/Dis-Unity	Noether's Theorem + Resonance	$\omega L = 1/(\omega C) \rightarrow \varphi = 0° \rightarrow$ Unity

Every Burton-Poynting Law is traceable to Maxwell's Equations, Poynting's Theorem, or Noether's Theorem. No new physics is assumed. The contribution is the **unified lifecycle framework** — the reconciliation that connects these established principles into a coherent, teachable, simulatable narrative.

The Generator Response: Physical Evidence of the Imposter Widow

A question frequently raised in the field: *does the generator actually respond mechanically to poor power factor?* The answer is yes — through two distinct mechanisms that are well-documented in the literature but rarely explained to the working electrician.

The Governor Response (Prime Mover)

The mechanical torque on the prime mover shaft is proportional to Harvest Power (real power):

$\tau = P_{harvest} / \omega$

Pure Echo Power (reactive power at PF = 0) produces *zero net torque* averaged over a full Field Pulse — the energy shuttles forward and back, and the mechanical pushes and pulls cancel over the cycle. However, the Imposter Widow inflates the total Field Amplitude (current) flowing through the stator windings. These windings have resistance — they are Harvesters of waste heat — and the power dissipated in them scales with the *square* of the total Field Amplitude:

$P_{stator\_loss} = I^2R_{winding}$

This is real Harvest Power — converted irreversibly to heat. The governor must increase fuel input to the prime mover to compensate for these additional copper losses. More reactive load means higher Field Amplitude, which means exponentially higher stator losses, which means more fuel burned to service energy that performs zero productive work.

The Excitation Response (Automatic Voltage Regulator)

The second and more direct mechanism operates through the generator's Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR). Reactive load causes voltage depression at the generator terminals. The AVR responds by increasing the DC field excitation current in the rotor windings to maintain terminal voltage. This increased rotor current produces additional I^2R losses in the rotor, creating mechanical drag that the prime mover must overcome. At severe levels of Dis-Unity, the AVR can reach its excitation ceiling — the generator physically cannot maintain rated voltage, and the system enters voltage collapse.

In Burton-Poynting Terms

The Imposter Widow does not directly demand torque — it carries no Harvest Power. But it inflates the Field Amplitude through every Field Guide, winding, and protection device in the system. The real losses caused by this inflated amplitude — stator copper losses, rotor copper losses, transmission losses — are all genuine Harvest (heat) that the prime mover must fuel. The generator burns fuel not to do useful work, but to escort the Imposter back and forth, heating every conductor it touches along the way.

Any diesel generator operator on an oil rig, mine site, or construction project will confirm the physical evidence: when large Saboteur-loaded motors start (high inrush, near-zero Harvest Ratio), you can *hear* the engine labour and *see* the frequency dip on the panel — even though the motor has not yet performed any useful mechanical work. The governor ramps fuel to recover. When Echo Cancellation is applied

(capacitor bank switched in), the engine audibly relaxes, the frequency steadies, and the stator temperature drops. The Imposter Widow has been silenced.

This is Law 0 made audible. The closed PID loop responding in real time to the magnetic return.

References

The following established works provide the foundational physics, mathematical frameworks, and engineering documentation upon which the Burton-Poynting Theory builds:

Foundational Physics

1. **Maxwell, J.C.** — *"A Dynamical Theory of the Electromagnetic Field"* (1865). Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 155, pp. 459–512. The original four equations governing electromagnetic field propagation. The bedrock of all electromagnetic theory.
2. **Poynting, J.H.** — *"On the Transfer of Energy in the Electromagnetic Field"* (1884). Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 175, pp. 343–361. The derivation of the Poynting Vector (**S** = **E** × **H**) and the theorem establishing that energy propagates through the electromagnetic field surrounding the conductor, not through the conductor itself.
3. **Noether, E.** — *"Invariante Variationsprobleme"* (1918). Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, pp. 235–257. The proof that every differentiable symmetry of a physical system has a corresponding conservation law. Time-translation symmetry yields conservation of energy — the foundation of Law VII's assertion that **E** is time-locked.
4. **Newton, I.** — *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (1687). The First Law of Motion (inertia): a body maintains its state unless acted upon by an external force. Applied in Law I: the Poynting Vector maintains full potentiality until harvested.

Electromagnetic Theory and Engineering

1. **Griffiths, D.J.** — *"Introduction to Electrodynamics"* (4th Ed., Cambridge University Press, 2017). The standard graduate reference for Maxwell's Equations, Poynting's Theorem, and electromagnetic wave propagation. Chapters 8–9 provide the derivation chain used in Part V.

2. **Hayt, W.H. & Buck, J.A.** — *"Engineering Electromagnetics"* (9th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2018). Engineering treatment of field theory with emphasis on energy transport via the Poynting Vector in transmission lines and waveguides.
3. **Heaviside, O.** — *"Electromagnetic Theory"* (3 volumes, 1893–1912). Heaviside's reformulation of Maxwell's Equations into their modern vector calculus form, and his independent derivation of the energy flux vector contemporaneous with Poynting.

Power Systems and Generator Response

1. **Chapman, S.J.** — *"Electric Machinery Fundamentals"* (6th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2021). Chapter 5: synchronous generator operation under varying power factor loads, including capability curves, excitation response, and the mechanical torque relationship to real and reactive power.
2. **Kundur, P.** — *"Power System Stability and Control"* (McGraw-Hill, 1994). The definitive reference on synchronous generator excitation dynamics, AVR response to reactive power demand, and the mechanical consequences of poor power factor on prime mover fuel consumption.
3. **Concordia, C.** — *"Synchronous Machines: Theory and Performance"* (Wiley, 1951). Classical treatment of torque components under reactive loading, including transient mechanical response to step changes in power factor.
4. **IEEE Std 3002.2-2018** — *"Recommended Practice for Conducting Load-Flow Studies and Analysis of Industrial and Commercial Power Systems."* Documents generator response to reactive loading in industrial applications, including capacity limitations and efficiency penalties.

Power Factor and Echo Cancellation

1. **IEEE Std 18-2012** — *"Standard for Shunt Power Capacitors."* The engineering standard for capacitor bank sizing and application for power factor correction in industrial and commercial installations.
2. **IEEE Std 1036-2020** — *"Guide for the Application of Shunt Power Capacitors."* Practical guidance for capacitor placement, switching, and coordination — the engineering application of Echo Cancellation (Law VII).
3. **Burton, K.** — *"A New Methodology for Power Factor Correction"* (Published in a major Canadian electrical trade magazine, 2025). The precursor work establishing the impedance-triangle approach to capacitor sizing that became the Burton Echo Cancellation Meter (B.E.C.M.).

End of Mathematical Foundations — Draft V1.0 February 21, 2026