

### Ans. to the Ques.no-1.

Shor's algorithm runs on a quantum computer and can factor large integers and compute discrete logarithm in polynomial time

→ RSA security is based on the hardness of factoring large semiprimes.

→ ECC security is based on the hardness of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem.

Both problems are efficiently solvable by Shor's algorithm on a sufficiently large quantum computer.

### Consequences:

→ Current public-key systems like RSA-2048 and ECC-256 would be broken in hours or hours on seconds on a large quantum computer.

→ Digital certificates, TLS/SSL, email encryption and blockchain systems relying on these schemes would be compromised.



## Ans. to the Ques. no -2

- Role of QKD :
- Uses quantum mechanics to securely exchange a secret key.
  - Example : BB84 protocol.
  - Any eavesdropping attempt disturbs the quantum state and can be detected.

Difference :

- classical PKC relies on hard math problems.
- QKD relies on physics law - theoretically unbreakable even by quantum computers.
- QKD secures the key exchange, not the message encryption itself.

### Ans. to the Ques. no -3

Difference between lattice-based cryptography and RSA/ECC in the context of quantum resistance:

#### Hardness basis:

→ RSA/ECC → based on factoring or discrete log; broken by quantum computers using Shor's algorithm.

→ Lattice-based: based on lattice problems with no known efficient quantum attack.

#### Security:

→ RSA/ECC → not quantum safe.

→ Lattice-based → considered quantum-safe.

#### Key size:

→ RSA/ECC → smaller keys.

→ Lattice-based → larger keys, but still practical.

Speed: ~~8-10 times faster~~

↳ Lattice → often faster for encryption/  
signatures than RSA with large  
keys.

Extra Features:

↳ Lattice allows advanced things like fully  
homomorphic encryption; RSA/ECC don't.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 4

python PRNG using time + seed:

import time

def custom\_prng(seed):

    current\_time = int(time.time()) \* 1000

    combined = seed ^ current\_time

    a = 1664525

    b = 1013904223

    m = 2^32



```

random_num = (a * combined + c) % m
return random_num if q not in numbers
seed = 12345
print("Random Number:", custom_prng(seed))

```

Output : Random Number : 2783789124

Tanzina, IT21005

### Ans. to the Ques. no - 5

Sieve of Eratosthenes algorithm:

→ Create a list of numbers from 2 to n.

→ Repeatedly remove multiples of each prime starting from 2.

→ Remaining numbers are primes.

### Python Implementation:

```

def sieve(n):
    primes = [True] * (n+1)
    primes[0] = primes[1] = False
    for i in range(2, int(n**0.5)+1):
        if primes[i]:
            for j in range(i*i, n+1, i):

```

$$\Rightarrow 561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$$

it passes all the rules

so, this one is also canonical.

$$\Rightarrow 1105 = 5 \times 13 \times 17$$

it also passes all the rules.

so, this one is also canonical.

$$\Rightarrow 1729 = 7 \times 13 \times 19.$$

it also canonical.

Two

15/10/02

Tanzina, I

Ans. to the Ques. no-7

(a) Is  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  with  $(+, \cdot)$  a ring?

Yes.  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  (integers modulo 11) with addition and multiplication mod 11 is a ring. In fact, since

11 is prime, every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. So,  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$  is a field.

Date:

S S M T W C

## Ans. to the Ques. no - 8.

Remainder of  $-5^2$  modulo 31:  $(-8 \times 8) \mod 31$

$$-5^2 \bmod 31$$

$$-5^2 + 6^2 = 10$$

$$6^2 = 2 \cdot 31$$

$$-5^2 \equiv 10 \pmod{31}$$

Remainder = 10.

MONDAY 22nd Oct. 2014

Tanzina, IT

### Ans. to the Ques. no - 9

$$7x \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

As  $\gcd(7, 26) = 1$ , so inverse exists.

$$7 \cdot 15 \equiv 105 \equiv 1 \pmod{26}$$

$$105 - 4 \cdot 26 = 105 - 104 = 1$$

$$\text{Inverse} = 15$$



### Ans. to the Ques. no - 10

$$(-8 \times 5) \bmod 17$$

$$-8 \times 5 = -40$$

$$-40 \equiv -40 + 3 \cdot 17 = -40 + 51 = 11.$$

$$\text{so, } (-8 \times 5) \bmod 17 = 11.$$

Tanzina, IT21005

### Ans. to the Ques. no - 11

Bézout's Theorem: For integers  $a, b$  not both

zero, there exist integers  $x, y$  such that,

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$

If,  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$

Bézout gives  $ax + my = 1$ .

So,  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  :  $x$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $a \pmod{m}$ .



Date:

S S M T W C

Inverse of 97 modulo 385: not strong

We find integers  $x, y$  with

$$97x + 385y = 1$$

One correct pair is

$$97 \cdot (-127) + 385 \cdot 32 = 1$$

$$-127 \bmod 385 = 385 - 127 = 258$$

$$\text{so, inverse} = 258$$

## Ans. to the ques. no. 12

Tanzing,

Existence of integer solutions for  $ax+by = \gcd(a,b)$

Bézout's identity guarantees integer solutions  $x, y$  to  $ax+by = \gcd(a,b)$ . Constructed by the extended Euclidean algorithm!

Now,  $43x \equiv 1 \pmod{240}$ .

We need the inverse of  $43 \pmod{240}$ .

$$\therefore 43 \cdot 67 + 240(-12) = 1$$

$$43^{-1} \equiv 67 \pmod{240}$$

Date

S S M T W T F

### Ans. to the Ques. no. 13

#### Fermat's Little Theorem

If  $P$  is prime and  $\gcd(a, P) = 1$ ,

$$\text{then, } a^{P-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$$

using in primality test:

Suppose,  $a^{n-1} \pmod{n} \neq 1$ . If not,  $n$  is composite.

If yes,  $n$  is probably prime.

561 is composite because  $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$

$$\text{Now, } 5^{123} \pmod{17}$$

$$5^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

$$5^{123} \pmod{17} = 5^{11} \cdot 5^4 \cdot 5^8$$

$$\text{So, } 5^{123} \equiv 5^{11} \pmod{17}$$

$$5^2 \equiv 8, 5^4 \equiv 13, 5^8 \equiv 16$$

$$5^{11} \equiv 16 \times 8 \times 5 \equiv 9 \times 5 \equiv 11$$

$$\text{So, } 5^{123} \pmod{17} = 11$$

## Ans. to the Ques. no-14

Chinese Remainders Theorem:

If  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  are pairwise coprime positive integers, then for any integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  the system  $x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ )

$$\text{Let, } M = \prod_{i=1}^k m_i$$

$$M_i = M/m_i$$

$\gcd(M_i, m_i) = 1$ ; there exists an inverse  $y_i$  with  $M_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{m_i}$ .

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i y_i$$

Now,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}, x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ .

Here,  $m_1 = 3, m_2 = 5, m_3 = 7$  are pairwise coprime.

$$M = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$$

$$M_1 = 105/3 = 35$$

$$888 = 08 + 85 + 051 \pmod{105}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 \text{ multiple of } 08 \\ & (201 \text{ bony}) 88 = x \end{aligned}$$

Tanzina, IT21005

Finding  $y_1$  with  $35y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  + . en A

$$35 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$2y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$y_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$\therefore a_1 m_1 y_1 = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 = 140$$

$$M_1 = 105 / 5 = 21$$

$$21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$y_2 \equiv 1$$

$$a_2 m_2 y_2 = 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 = 63$$

$$M_2 = 105 / 7 = 15 \quad \text{as } x \pmod{15} \text{ will be } 0, 1, 2, \dots, 14$$

$$15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$y_3 \equiv 1$$

$$a_3 m_3 y_3 = 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 = 30$$

$$\text{sum} = 140 + 63 + 30 = 233$$

$$\text{Reduce modulo 105: } 233 - 2 \cdot 105 = 233 - 210 = 23.$$

so, the solution is,

$$x \equiv 23 \pmod{105}.$$



Ans. to the Ques. no - 15.

### CIA triad:

- Confidentiality: keep data secret from unauthorized users. Achieved by encryption, access control, authentication.
- Integrity: Ensure data is correct and unaltered. Achieved by checksums, hashes, digital signatures, versioning.
- Availability: Ensure systems and data are accessible when needed. Achieved by backups, redundancy, failover, DDOS protection.

## Ans. to the Ques. no-16

Steganography vs cryptography + common

hiding techniques.

⇒ Difference:

↳ Cryptography hides the content of a message but does not hide that a message exists.

↳ Steganography hides the existence of the message by embedding it inside innocuous data. They can be combined: First, encrypt the secret, then hide it.

Common techniques for hiding data in digital media

→ LSB (Least significant Bit) in images: modify least significant bits of pixel values to store bits of secret data.

- Audio steganography: alters LSBs of audio samples or use ~~imp~~ imperceptible frequency changes.
- Video steganography: hide data across frames or in motion vectors.
- Metadata hiding: place messages in file metadata field.
- Text steganography: Whitespace or font/formatting changes, synonyms, or deliberate typos to encode bits.

### Ans. to the Ques. no 17

Key differences between phishing, malware and DoS attacks:

phishing → Tricks users into revealing sensitive information.

Compromises confidentiality.

Malware → Malicious software installed on a

hosting system.

Can affect confidentiality, integ-

ity and availability.

Dos → overloads a system or network with excessive requests to make it unavailable.

Primarily affects availability.

Tanzina, I

### Ans. to the ques. no-18

Role of GDPR in mitigating cyber attacks & protecting privacy.

User consent: Requires organizations to

get clear permission before collecting personal

data.

Data Minimization: Encourages storing only

necessary data.



Security measures: Mandates technical and organizational safeguards.

Breach Notification: Organizations must report breaches quickly.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 19

IT 21005  
Fanzing  
DES encrypts 64-bit plaintext using a 56-bit key in 16 rounds.

steps:

1. Initial Permutation (IP).

2. Round functions (16 rounds).

3. Final permutation (FP).

Security role of each step:

→ IP & FP

→ Round Function

→ Key schedule

Ans. to the Ques. no-20

DES first round (XOR only)

$$f(R_0, k_1) = 0xFOFOFOFO \oplus 0xFOFOFOFO = 0xFFFFFFFF$$

$$L_1 = R_0 = 0xFOFOFOFO$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= L_0 \oplus f(R_0, k_1) = 0xAFFFFFFF \oplus 0xFFFFFFFF \\ &= 0x55555555 \end{aligned}$$

Ans. to the Ques. no-21

AES subBytes (partial S-box)

Input : [0x23, 0xA7, 0x4C, 0x19]

• 0x23 → Row 2, col 3 → 0xD4

• 0xA7 → Row A, col 7 → 0x63

• 0x4C → Row 4, col C → 0x2E

• 0x19 → Row 1, col 9 → 0xC6

Output : [0xD4, 0x63, 0x2E, 0xC6]

Date

S S M T W T F

### Ans. to the Ques. no. 13

#### Fermat's Little Theorem

If  $P$  is prime and  $\gcd(a, P) = 1$ ,

$$\text{then, } a^{P-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$$

using in primality test:

Suppose,  $a^{n-1} \pmod{n} \neq 1$ . If not,  $n$  is composite.

If yes,  $n$  is probably prime.

561 is composite because  $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$

$$\text{Now, } 5^{123} \pmod{17}$$

$$5^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

$$5^{123} \pmod{17} = 5^{11} \cdot 5^4 \cdot 5^8$$

$$\text{So, } 5^{123} \equiv 5^{11} \pmod{17}$$

$$5^2 \equiv 8, 5^4 \equiv 13, 5^8 \equiv 16$$

$$5^{11} \equiv 16 \times 8 \times 5 \equiv 9 \times 5 \equiv 11$$

$$\text{So, } 5^{123} \pmod{17} = 11$$

Ans. to the Ques. no -22

AES AddRoundKey (XOR)

$$[0x1A \oplus 0x55, 0x2B \oplus 0x66, 0x3C \oplus 0x77, \\ 0x4D \oplus 0x88]$$

$$= [0x4F, 0x4D, 0x4B, 0xC5]$$

Ans. to the Ques. no -23

AES mixcolumns example:

Matrix  $\times [0x01, 0x02, 0x03, 0x04]$  over GF( $2^8$ ):

$$\text{Row 1: } (02 \times 01) \oplus (01 \times 02) \oplus (01 \times 03) \oplus (03 \times 04) = 0x0E$$

$$\text{Row 2: } (03 \times 01) \oplus (02 \times 02) \oplus (01 \times 03) \oplus (01 \times 04) = 0x0B$$

$$\text{Row 3: } (01 \times 01) \oplus (03 \times 02) \oplus (02 \times 03) \oplus (01 \times 04) = 0x0D$$

$$\text{Row 4: } (01 \times 01) \oplus (01 \times 02) \oplus (03 \times 03) \oplus (02 \times 04) = 0x09$$

$$\text{Output: } [0x0E, 0x0B, 0x0D, 0x09]$$

Ans. to the Ques. no - 24

Encrypts an IV with the key to get key-stream blocks, XOR with plaintext. Next key-stream block = encrypt previous keystream.  
Synchronization is ensured by using the same IV and keystream sequence at both ends.

Tanira, IT21005

Ans. to the Ques. no - 25

AES modes with error propagation:

- CBC : 1 block error  $\rightarrow$  current block corrupted + 1 block partially corrupted.
- CFB : 1 byte error  $\rightarrow$  current byte corrupted + next few bytes partially wrong.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 24

Encrypts an IV with the key to get key-stream blocks, XOR with plaintext. Next key-stream block = encrypt previous keystream.  
Synchronization is ensured by using the same IV and keystream sequence at both ends.

Tanzila, IT21005

Ans. to the Ques. no - 25

AES modes with error propagation:

- CBC : 1 block error  $\rightarrow$  current block corrupted + 1 block partially corrupted.
- CFB : 1 byte error  $\rightarrow$  current byte corrupted + next few bytes partially wrong.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 26

Recommended mode for large files with parallel processing CTR mode - allows independent encryption / decryption of blocks and no error propagation beyond affected block.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 27

RSA encryption & decryption ( $M=1, e=5$ ,

$n=14, d=11$ )

Encryption:

$$C = M^e \bmod n = 1^5 \bmod 14 = 1$$

The ciphertext is 1.

Decryption:

$$M' = C^d \bmod n = 1^{11} \bmod 14 = 1$$

The decrypted message matches the original.

So, RSA works here.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 28

$$H(M) = 5, d = 3, n = 33$$

A digital signature is created by raising the hash to the private key power.

$$S = H(M)^d \bmod n$$

$$= 5^3 \bmod 33$$

$$= 125 \bmod 33$$

$$= 26$$

Signature = 26; which the receiver can verify using the public key.

Ans. to the ques. no - 29Diffie-Hellman key exchange

$$P = 17, g = 3, a = 4, b = 5$$

→ Aleya's public key:

$$A = g^a \bmod P = 3^4 \bmod 17 = 81 \bmod 17 = 13$$

→ Badal's public key : 13 - answer sent of. am

$$B = g^b \bmod p = 3^5 \bmod 17 = 5$$

As public key of Aleyna = 13 and Badal = 5.

These will be exchanged to compute the shared secret.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 30

Simple Hash function

$$H(x) = (\sum \text{ASCII}) \bmod 100$$

• "AB" :

$$65 + 66 = 131$$

$$\Rightarrow 131 \bmod 100 = 31$$

• "BA" :

$$66 + 65 = 131 \bmod 100 = 31$$

→ Both give the same hash(31), even though the messages are different → this is called a collision

→ This shows weak hash functions are vulnerable to collisions, making them insecure for cryptographic use.

Date:

S S M T W T F

Ans. to the Ques. no - 31:

### MAC Calculation & Forgery:

- MAC = (Message + Secret key)

$$\text{mod } 17 = (15 + 7) \text{ mod } 17 = 22 \text{ mod } 17 = 5$$

- If attacker changes message to 10, they'd need the key to compute correct MAC. Without key, they can't easily forge the right MAC.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 32

TLS Handshake:

1. Client Hello: Client sends supported cipher suites and a random number.

2. Server Hello: Server selects cipher suite, sends certificate and random number.

3. key exchange: Client and server use asymmetric encryption (e.g., RSA or Diffie-Hellman) to securely share a session key.

4. Session key Generated: Both sides compute the same symmetric key.

5. Handshake complete: Secure communication begins using symmetric encryption.

Ans. to the ques. no-33

SSH protocol stack

1. Transport Layer Protocol: handles encryption, integrity, compression and key exchange.

2. User authentication protocol: verifies the user (password, public key, etc).

3. Connection Protocol: manages multiple channels (e.g., shell, file transfer) over the secure connection.

Ans. to the Ques. no 34

TLS Handshake steps:

Client Hello → Server Hello → certificate → key Exchange → Session key  
→ Encrypted communication.

Algorithm selection : 128bit export fragment . L

Protocol level : SSL/TLS version , cipher suite , hash function , MAC function

3. key exchange: Client and server use asymmetric encryption (e.g., RSA or Diffie-Hellman) to securely share a session key.

4. Session key Generated: Both sides compute the same symmetric key.

5. Handshake complete: Secure communication begins using symmetric encryption.

Ans. to the ques. no-33

### SSH protocol stack

1. Transport Layer Protocol: handles encryption, integrity, compression and key exchange.

Ans. to the Ques. no-35Elliptic Curve General FormEquation:

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \quad \text{over a finite field.}$$

Why in crypto: Provides strong security with small keys using the hardness of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem.

Ans. to the Ques. no-36

ECC achieves same security as RSA with much smaller keys because solving elliptic curve problems is much harder than factoring large integers.

Example: ECC 256-bit  $\approx$  RSA 3072-bit in security strength.

Ans. to the Ques. no-37

Curve:

$$y^2 \equiv x^3 + 2x + 3 \pmod{97}$$

$$\text{For, } P = (3, 6)$$

$$\text{L.H.S. } y^2 = 6^2 = 36$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{R.H.S. } & x^3 + 2x + 3 \\ &= 27 + 6 + 3 \\ &= 36 \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$36 \equiv 36 \pmod{97} ; P \text{ lies on the curve.}$$

Ans. to the Ques. no-38

EIGamal encryption

$$P = 23, g = 5, h = 8, m = 10, k = 6$$



Tanzina, IT21005

$$\cdot c_1 = g^k \bmod p = 5^6 \bmod 23 \quad \text{int of } 23$$

$$5^2 = 25 \equiv 2, ;$$

$$5^4 \equiv 4, ;$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \text{ int } 5^6 \equiv 4 \cdot 2 \equiv 8 \bmod 23, \text{ then } 8 \equiv 8$$

$$\text{so, } c_1 = 8.$$

$$\cdot c_2 = m \cdot h^k \bmod p = 10 \cdot 8^6 \bmod 23 \quad \text{int of } 23$$

$$8^2 = 64 \equiv 18, \quad \text{area triplication -}$$

$$8^4 \equiv 18^2 = 324 \equiv 2, \quad \text{but not}$$

$$8^6 \equiv 2 \cdot 18 = 36 \equiv 13$$

$$c_2 = 10 \cdot 13 = 130 \equiv 15 \quad \text{int of } 23$$

Ciphertext:  $(c_1, c_2) = (8, 15)$



Date \_\_\_\_\_

S S M L W T F

Ans. to the Ques. no - 39

IOT devices have limited CPU, memory, power and bandwidth, so algorithms must be small, fast and low energy while still secure.

Example : Ascon (NIST qLWC winner).

- lightweight AEAD and hash, designed for constrained devices.

Ans. to the Ques. no - 40

Here are three IOT-specific attacks & mitigations:

1. Firmware hijacking (malicious unauthorized updates):

Mitigate: Secure boot, signed firmware  
+ verified updates, rollback protection.

2. Physical tampering (opening device, probing/  
debug ports).

Mitigate: Tamper-evident seals / enclosures,  
disable/lock JTAG / UART, secure  
elements / TPM, sensor tamper alarms.

3. Botnets (e.g., Mirai via default creds  
& open Telnet).

Mitigate: Unique strong credentials,  
disable telnet / use SSH, rate-  
limit logins, auto-patching,



network segmentation, IoT, firewall /

IDS, signed firmware.

protection

device logic (firmware) protection, e.g.,

update policy

communications / access control: topology: two-level

HW, SW, TRAU / RAN interface

service request response, MFT / interface

3. Bottlenecks via roaming, e.g., 3G, 4G, 5G

(fiber, TDM)

availability limits; unique

HW, SW and interface

printing - other, similar limit

