

**Question 0.** See Michael Levet lecture notes pp. 105 - 110.

**Question 1.** You are given a string  $s$  with  $n$  elements and asked to convert it into a palindrome. You are allowed to insert characters at various positions of this string.

For example, to make a palindrome out of the string “BLAIR”, we proceed as follows: 1) insert characters “RIA” at position 0, 2) insert character “B” at position 2. The result is the string “RIABLBAIR” which is a palindrome.

There are other ways to make “STAIR” (what is a trivial one?) a palindrome but we are interested in finding minimum number of insertions we need to implement to turn a given string into a palindrome.

Formulate a dynamic programming algorithm for finding the smallest number of such insertions.

(i) Write a recursive definition of the function  $\text{MinPalindromeIns}(s)$  which counts the minimum number of insertions needed to make a string  $s$  a palindrome.

(ii) How do you use the recurrence above to construct a memo table? How do you fill in this table? What is the runtime complexity? How do you check the solution?

**Answer:** Compare the first and last symbols,  $i$  and  $j$ , of the current (sub)string. If  $i = j$ , increase  $i$  by 1 and decrease  $j$  by 1, i.e., look at the substring ranging from the position  $i + 1$  to  $j - 1$  at the next iteration. If  $i \neq j$ , insert a symbol at the position specified by  $j$  ( $i$ ) such that the inserted character will be equivalent to  $i$  ( $j$ ), and count this insertion with 1 cost. After this, look at two different substrings for the next iteration: one with indices  $[i + 1, j]$ , and the other with indices  $[i, j - 1]$ .  $\text{MinPalindromeIns}(s)$  repeats these steps until the base case is reached, this happens for string with length 0, 1 (see Part B for implementation with a memotable).

So the recursive process to count the minimum number of insertions  $c[i, j]$  needed to form a palindrome from a given string is characterized by:

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} c[i + 1, j - 1] & \text{if } S[i] = S[j] \\ \min(c[i + 1, j], c[i, j - 1]) + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For memoization, for a given string  $s$  with length  $n$ , we proceed with constructing a table of size  $n \times n$ , whose  $i$ - $j$ th entries consist of  $c[i, j]$ . From the above, we know that  $c[i, j] = 0$  if  $i \geq j \forall i, j > 0$ , so we fill this table diagonally, knowing that the lower triangular part of the table will consist of zeroes. The time complexity of this algorithm is  $O(n^2)$ .

```
def min_insert(s):
    n = len(s)
    memo = np.zeros([n, n])
    for y in range(1, n):
        x = 0
        for t in range(y, n):
            if s[x] == s[t]:
                memo[x][t] = memo[x+1][t+1]
            else:
                memo[x][t] = min(memo[x][t-1], memo[x+1][t]) + 1
            x += 1
    return memo[0][n - 1]
```

Calling the function above results in looking at the entry characterized by  $\text{memo}[0][n - 1]$ , thus providing the solution to the problem.

**Question 2.** The edit distance  $d(x, y)$  of two strings of text,  $x[1 \dots m]$  and  $y[1 \dots n]$ , is defined as the minimum possible cost of a sequence of transformation operations which convert a given string  $x[1 \dots m]$  into another string  $y[1 \dots n]$ . Show that the problem of calculating the edit distance  $d(x, y)$  exhibits optimal substructure.

**Answer:** We must show that computing edit distance for strings  $x$  and  $y$  can be done by finding the edit distance of subproblems.

Define a cost function

$$c_{xy}(i, j) = d(x, y[1 \dots i] \| x[j + 1 \dots m])$$

where  $c_{xy}(i, j)$  is the minimum cost of converting the first  $j$  characters of  $x$  into the first  $i$  characters of  $y$ . Then  $d(x, y) = c_{xy}(n, m)$ . Consider a sequence of operations  $S = \langle o_1, o_2, \dots, o_k \rangle$  that transforms  $x$  to  $y$  with cost  $C(S) = d(x, y)$ . Let  $S_i$  be the subsequence of  $S$  containing the first  $i$  operations of  $S$ . Let  $z_i$  be the auxilliary string after performing operations  $S_i$ , where  $z_0 = x$  and  $z_k = y$ .

Using this construction, we want to prove that if  $C(S_i) = d(x, z_i)$ , then  $C(S_{i-1}) = d(x, z_{i-1})$ . We prove this by contradiction using cut and paste.

Assume that  $C(S_{i-1}) \neq d(x, z_{i-1})$ . There are two cases,  $C(S_{i-1}) < d(x, z_{i-1})$  or  $C(S_{i-1}) > d(x, z_{i-1})$ . If  $C < d(x, z_{i-1})$ , then we can transform  $x$  to  $z_{i-1}$  using operations  $S_{i-1}$  with lower cost than  $d(x, z_{i-1})$ , which is a contradiction. If  $C(S_{i-1}) > d(x, z_{i-1})$ , then we could replace  $S_{i-1}$  with the sequence of operations  $S'$  that transforms  $x$  to  $z_{i-1}$  with cost  $d(x, z_{i-1})$ . Then the sequence of operations  $S' \cup o_i$  transforms  $x$  to  $y$  with cost  $C(S' \cup o_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} C(S' \cup o_i) &= d(x, z_{i-1}) + C(o_i) \\ &< C(S_{i-1}) + C(o_i) \\ &= C(S_i) \\ &= d(x, z_i) \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $d(x, z_i)$  is not the edit distance between  $x$  and  $z_i$ , which is yet another contradiction. Therefore our assumption that  $C(S_{i-1}) \neq d(x, z_{i-1})$  must be wrong, and the edit distance exhibits optimal substructure.