1 2 3 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 6 DEPARTMENT 507 7 ---000---8 9 DEREK CALLAWAY, 10 Petitioner, 11 No. RG19020080 vs. 12 ASTER TESFAI, 13 Respondent. 14 15 16 17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 18 Before: HON. JENNA WHITMAN, Judge 19 Date: June 12, 2019 20 Hayward Hall of Justice 21 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, California 22 23 APPEARANCES 24 25 For the Petitioner: IN PROPRIA PERSONA 26 For the Respondent: Nonappearance 27 28 The Reporter: Kimberly M. Steinbach, CSR No. 4592 June 12, 2019

## PM SESSION

## PROCEEDINGS

3

1

2

---000---

45

THE COURT: Calling Callaway versus Tesfai, RG19020080.

6

Mr. Callaway.

7

THE CLERK: He needs to be sworn.

8

(Party sworn.)

9

THE PETITIONER: As I understand it.

10

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat.

11

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Callaway.

12

Could you state and spell your name for the record,

13

please.

14

THE PETITIONER: My name is Derek Hyland Callaway.

15

That's D-E-R-E-K, H-Y-L-A-N-D, C-A-L-L-A-W-A-Y.

16

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I've read your petition, and

17

I'm also aware that you filed a very similar petition, which

18

was heard a little over a month ago by Judge Schwartz.

19

THE PETITIONER: That's right.

20

Umm, at the time I had some pictures that I wanted to show, but my cell phone died by the time I got here, but

22

21

today I have a charged cell phone with me.

23

THE COURT: Okay. So can you start perhaps by explaining to me who is Aster Tesfai.

2425

THE PETITIONER: She's a former neighbor that lived

26

below me a couple years ago. I moved here from Delaware to

27

Oakland for work.

I lived in the Merritt on 3rd building in Oakland

near Lake Merritt. It's on East 12th and 3rd. I was on the 1 2 11th floor in unit 1103, and she and, I guess, her roommates or family or -- were in unit 1003. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. And where do you live presently? 5 THE PETITIONER: I'm presently homeless. THE COURT: Okay. So do you have any occasion to be 6 7 back where you lived before around East 12th and 3rd? THE PETITIONER: No, I don't. 8 9 After I moved out of that apartment building, I 10 moved into another building, umm, the Uptown, which is near 11 20th and Telegraph in Oakland. It's actually on the street. I 12 had a unit there for a while, and then I was kicked out of 13 there as well with issues related to this restraining order. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And I've read your complaint, and 15 it's not exactly clear to me, umm, how and when Ms. Tesfai 16 engaged in the conduct that you're talking about. So when is the most recent time that you were 17 18 harassed by Tesfai? 19 THE PETITIONER: This morning, today. Some of them. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And where were you? 21 THE PETITIONER: Umm, I was sleeping at a friend's 22 house on Montgomery Street in Oakland. 23 THE COURT: On what street? 24 THE PETITIONER: On Montgomery Street in Oakland. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And you were in your friend's 26 house? 27 THE PETITIONER: That's correct. 28 THE COURT: What happened?

THE PETITIONER: Umm, so I was -- there was, like, a bed set up for me there, and I was laying there, and some sort of substance was being thrown on me by someone that I was unable to see, and I was having an allergic reaction to it, umm, which I believe I still have a rash from now.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.2

THE PETITIONER: Then, just for the record, I'm not claiming that it was Aster that was doing this. It may have been one of her associates.

All I know is that when I hear the voices talking, I hear her name being mentioned.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And so before today what was the next most recent incident?

THE PETITIONER: Yesterday.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE PETITIONER: It's a daily basis.

THE COURT: All right. So why don't you describe what happened yesterday.

Was it the same or different?

THE PETITIONER: It was similar.

THE COURT: And so you're telling me that on a daily basis you're experiencing voices?

THE PETITIONER: Umm, so I actually wrote up a statement on my cell phone that gives a lot of background information on this that might help you understand what's going on. I didn't understand what was going on myself until maybe several months ago. I started having experiences, and the cumulative effect of those made me begin to understand

what was going on.

THE COURT: Well, maybe could you just tell me more generally what you think is going on.

THE PETITIONER: Okay. Initially I thought that, you know, I was just crazy and I was hearing things or seeing things, and I kind of wish I was because that would be the easy way out. I would just go to the psychiatrist, you know, and get my antidepressants or whatever pills I need, and then that would be the end of it.

What I believe is going on, based on my experiences and research, is that Aster has a roommate in the -- I'm not -- I don't want to say that it's the United States military. It might be the military from another country. I don't know. But it's some kind of advanced camouflaging or stealth technology that they are using to harass me.

Umm, and the reason that I say that is because I can hear conversations that are going on in their apartment or wherever they are staying. Even if I'm, like, out in the street, like, it doesn't matter where I am.

Anyway, I heard what sounded like a conference call on speakerphone, and I heard somebody say "mission command."

And I looked up that phrase, and there's a lot of stuff coming up for the United States Army.

THE COURT: Okay. But what you suspect is that, umm, this former neighbor has some problem with you and is working with others to harass you using some kind of stealth technology?

THE PETITIONER: Yes. Umm, I don't -- I don't

believe that, you know, this is some kind of test being carried out by the military. And I'm not claiming to be part of the gang stalking phenomenon. I don't know if you are familiar with that.

What I'm -- what I'm claiming is that -- this is difficult -- I'm not even going to claim that Aster has a particular problem with me.

There's a -- one of the reasons I don't want to go into too many details about this is that my relationship with her and other of her female roommates began sexually. And what I thought was going on at first was that the walls were thin between our apartments. Like, my floor, which would have been her ceiling, was thin, and that was the reason that I was hearing their voices.

Umm, we -- this was actually two years ago. This wasn't recently. But we began having a relationship where I would, like, put my hand on the floor and she would put her hand on the ceiling and we would be, like, holding hands, and one thing led to another. I don't mean to go into too many details. And, umm, this kind of progressed and evolved.

And, I mean, today this is just more of a stalking and harassment thing to the point that I'm unable to work or have any sense of normalcy in my life.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm not sure if when you saw Judge Schwartz -- well, let me back up.

Do you have photos that you want to show me today that are on your phone?

THE PETITIONER: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. If you could give them to the 1 2 court attendant. 3 I need you to queue up the pictures on the phone for him so that I can look at them. 4 5 THE PETITIONER: Okay. THE COURT: Then you can explain to me what they 6 7 are. THE PETITIONER: Okay. One second. 8 9 (Reviewing cell phone.) 10 (Discussion off the record between Petitioner and 11 the court attendant.) 12 THE PETITIONER: I was just telling him that I 13 actually had a lot more media images and videos and 14 audiotape, but being that I'm homeless, I recently lost the 15 original cell phone that I had when this started happening. 16 So the media that I have now is only from within 17 the past month or two, but it's still relevant. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I'll take a look at it. 19 THE PETITIONER: (Reviewing cell phone.) 20 Some of the images have things circled to point out, umm, where the interesting part of the capture is. 21 2.2 Others you kind of need to zoom in to see exactly what's 23 going on. THE COURT: Umm, I have one problem, which is that 24 25 your -- that it's so dim, the screen is so dim. 26 THE PETITIONER: Yeah. I did that to save the

battery. You can turn up the brightness.

THE COURT: Can you tell me how to do that on your

27

phone?

THE PETITIONER: You swipe down from the top, and then there's a slider that --

THE COURT: Got it.

THE PETITIONER: -- you can push all the way to the right.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.

So there's what looks like a picture of the floor with a bench that looks like it was taken in the courtroom.

And then --

THE PETITIONER: That's right.

And if you'll see on the floor there, there's a reflection. And, umm, I don't know what causes this, but for some reason, umm, whenever I take a photo with a flash, there's characters that appear from a video game that I've never played before. It's called Garry's Mod.

THE COURT: Okay. Umm, I see a photo taken at the BART station, and you circled something in red. It looks like there's a BART train there.

Is that something you want me to look at?

THE PETITIONER: Umm, in red, that's actually a friend's RV. That is the side-view mirror that if you look at that, I think there's some more of those characters that I was talking about. It doesn't look like a normal side-view mirror.

One of the things that I discovered as time unfolded is that I wasn't able to view these things straight on, but if I used, like, a mirror or a viewfinder on a camera

1 2

to kind of redirect or bend the light, then I was able to, umm, kind of detect if they were there or where they were.

THE COURT: And you have a photo of your own head, it looks like?

THE PETITIONER: Yeah. Umm, that's right.

So in that photo, umm, if you look at my forehead, it's just -- it doesn't look very natural.

There's some more of those faces from the video game that I was talking about. But most importantly in this photo is that if you look at my hair, it's standing straight up.

One of the things that I noticed as I was walking on the sidewalk one day, by looking at my shadow, is I noticed that my hair would stand up on end occasionally as if I was being electrocuted or electrified.

And I think this may be related to -- there's some sort of hypnosis that's being performed. And, umm, they are trying to get the password to a hard drive that was stolen from me when I moved out of my last apartment.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to hand this back to you. Thank you.

So when you met and appeared before Judge Schwartz, did she explain to you the legal standard that we have to apply to petitions like this?

THE PETITIONER: She said it was 50/50 plus one.

THE COURT: So that's not probably what she said.

THE PETITIONER: Okay.

THE COURT: She's very knowledgeable about these

things, but let me re-explain it to you and see if I can make it a little more clear.

2.2

50/50 plus one is what we call the preponderance of the evidence or more likely than not. Okay? And that's what applies in most civil cases.

But petitions for civil harassment are different. We apply a much higher standard. We call the standard clear and convincing evidence. There's no numerical value that's attached in a civil harassment petition, but it is much higher than simply a 51-percent-type approach.

And I have to find that it is highly probable that the facts are true that you are telling me, and one of the things I have to be able to -- there has to be some evidence that would meet that standard as to who is doing these things. Okay?

So putting aside -- you know, assuming that someone is doing what you are telling me they are doing, assuming that there's someone out there who is utilizing high-tech stalking methods against you, I need to have some evidence, and really what I need is clear and convincing evidence of who it is. And I don't believe that you have that. You certainly haven't given it to me yet today.

THE PETITIONER: Understood.

Umm, perhaps I misunderstood the previous judge that was handling the case.

I have done some research online, however, and this is, umm, one of the best pathways that I can use to prove this is that I did a reverse address look-up online for

Aster's location. And I used that reverse address book to find out who her roommates were.

I looked up -- Googled one of her roommate's names, and I found an Instagram account. And it was for an individual that is deceased now. He passed away in November 2016.

I was looking at some of the photos that were posted online of him, and I noticed there -- that there was some of the characters from the video game I just mentioned, Garry's Mod, that show up in the pictures that I take of myself as well. That's the closest thing, umm, I can come up with.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I -- I cannot find that that type of evidence is sufficient --

THE PETITIONER: Okay.

THE COURT: -- to meet that kind of -- make that kind of connection under the applicable burden of proof.

I'm sorry for what you are going through. And I am going to have to deny your petition.

You did mention mental health care, and it may be that that would be helpful for you in coping with what's going on at a minimum since I can understand, you know, that this is very difficult for you. So I urge you if that's a possibility for you to seek that out. Okay?

THE PETITIONER: Umm, yeah.

So I talked to a police officer in Oakland about this, and he said that I should commit myself and have myself evaluated just to prove that, umm, I'm not crazy. Because I

don't like saying these things in public because they make me look crazy when I put things on the record like this. But, as I said, I don't have much -- any other chance to get a semblance of normalcy back in my life.

I have one further question, and that is, umm, if I'm able to prove that there -- whoever is doing this is doing this, am I able to resubmit the application for the restraining order?

THE COURT: You may. I would just caution you to make sure that you gather all the evidence that you can and think, you know, hard about whether you think you have enough to meet the burden of proof that I described to you today. Not because I don't want you to come to court if you truly have a problem, just that it could end up being a waste of your time if you don't have enough of the right kind of evidence.

THE PETITIONER: And I don't want to waste your time either by coming here because I know that your time is valuable, so, umm, I may have to hire some legal counsel.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

And I wish you good luck. Okay?

THE PETITIONER: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE PETITIONER: Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded at 2:15 p.m.)

---000---

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 2 3 I, KIMBERLY M. STEINBACH, do hereby certify: 4 5 That I am a Certified Official Shorthand Reporter 6 of the State of California and duly appointed Court Reporter 7 for the Superior Court, County of Alameda, State of California, and that as such I fully and correctly reported 8 the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter at the time 9 10 and place set forth herein; 11 That my stenograph notes were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my direction, and that the 12 13 foregoing pages constitute to the best of my ability, a full, true and correct transcription of my said notes. 14 15 December 3, 2020. DATED: 16 17 18 (Electronically sent to Mr. Callaway.) 19 20 21 KIMBERLY M. STEINBACH, CSR No. 4592 2.2 23 24 Government Code 69954: 25 (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the 26 reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for 27 internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other party or person. 28