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# Introduction

The term “Legacy code” is not clearly defined. Some say it is code without tests. Some extreme definitions say code becomes legacy code as soon as it is written. The definition I like the best is “Legacy code is valuable code that you’re afraid to change” (Carlo, 2024). This definition leaves room for improvement.

Legacy code comes with several hindrances that have business impact. Legacy code cause longer development times, longer QA times, and difficulties implementing continuous integration practises. The development team can simply do less in a given amount of time. This in turn can have customers feeling ignored and unhappy. (Magalhães, 2020)

This thesis explores what kinds of issues can occur when sticking with legacy codebases. The focus is on a codebase written in Visual Basic 6. VB6 is a programming language that was developed by Microsoft in 1998. This thesis will cover what kind of support is expected from Microsoft, how modern tools apply for VB6, and some modern programming concepts that VB6 fails to deliver.

The goal of this thesis is to create a plan forward for the target company’s codebase. Literature review will be undertaken to gain information about modern practices, migrating codebase to a different language, and modern software architectures.

## Background

Winpos released its first POS system in the early 1990s. In the beginning the POS machines were large and the software within was concise. Since then, Winpos has grown to be one of the leading POS system providers in the Nordics. Winpos offers solutions to a varied customer base, such as restaurants, ferries, theme parks, and several more sectors, all requiring their own set of features. One of Winpos’s strengths has been the ability to extend the software to serve new clientele.

Over the years Winpos too has needed to make technological leaps in order to provide modern solutions. Today Winpos provides highly scalable systems for large restaurant- and ferry chains, mobile applications, and cloud-based solutions. Even though core codebase has been rewritten multiple times to accommodate changing times, developing modern concepts often results in more work needed than it should.

For this thesis, an interview was conducted with the sales- and marketing teams at Winpos. The aim was to identify business needs, potential pain points, and avenues for further growth. Additionally, the technical team was consulted on several occasions. The information gathered was used to design a suggestion for a new architecture for the Winpos software.

## Research methods

The research methods used in this thesis are generally qualitative. Software architecture is very contextual, and decisions made always reflect on the environment. The project for making changes in architecture and codebase will likely take even years to complete. In an agile and iterative process, changes can be made during the project. This is why it is best to apply the best practices and latest methods as a starting point.

This thesis will include a proof-of-concept on how to separate a section of the main program. This separated section will implement many of the methods and strategies discussed in the thesis. To guide the work, following research questions will be answered:

1. How to migrate from legacy software into modern software?
2. What can be done to ensure codebase remains maintainable?
3. What is the business impact of a legacy codebase, and conversely what kinds of benefits could a modern software architecture bring?

# LegaCy woes

## Visual Basic 6 deprecation

Microsoft has reduced the amount of support they are providing for VB6. In an article Microsoft published, they say they are committed to “It just works” compatibility for VB6 Windows systems up to Windows 11. The article has been revised several times to include the latest Windows version. (Microsoft, 1.4.2024)

Despite the promising name of the support scheme, the support only extends to the VB6 runtime files. Runtime files will work for a minimum of 5 years after the release of Windows 11. After 5 years, Microsoft promises 5 years of extended support. The support offered by Microsoft is limited to serious regressions and critical security issues. Development platform for Visual Basic 6 has been out of support since 2008. (Microsoft, 1.4.2024)

### VB6 shortcomings

Due to the lack of further development, several modern concepts and architectures are not supported on VB6. These shortcomings can make developing applications more difficult and costly. For example, multi-threading is not supported by VB6. Multi-threading is an important feature to fully make use of a computer’s resources, or to build listeners that launch tasks as they get requested. In software development, nothing is impossible, but poor starting point increases the costs.

### 32-bit environment

Visual Basic 6 and any application written on it, can only be run as a 32-bit application (Microsoft, 1.4.2024). 32-bit applications have several disadvantages compared to the newer 64-bit architecture. One disadvantage a developer may run into is the limited memory capacity of the 32-bit architecture. 32-bit applications can only reserve up to 4GB RAM. This can be a limiting factor and a liability if applications cannot handle the limited environment. (GeeksForGeeks, 5.2.2024)

### IDE

Several modern IDEs support direct integration with the version control system GIT. Since git was developed later than the end of support for VB6 IDE, they cannot be integrated directly. This is the case for several other modern third-party technologies as well. Several third-party extensions exist for VB6. These are often used to enable some very rudimentary features that the VB6 IDE is missing. These features include the functionality of mouse scroll wheel and the inclusion of tabs in the IDE.

### Business impact

Finding skilled professionals becomes more difficult as the popularity of a technology decreases. This can make finding candidates for hire take longer and increase candidate’s expectations of salary. Additionally, the total cost of ownership increases as legacy systems are more difficult to maintain.

Codebase with a lot of technical debt makes it more difficult to implement new features. Repairing technical issues with a codebase itself has risks involved. Common issues caused by repairing technical debt include accidentally removing features still in use and regression. Inability to quickly implement features runs the risk of being left behind competitors. (Birchal, chapter 1)

TIOBE is an organization that tracks and analyses the popularity of programming languages. TIOBE’s data is based on availability of skilled engineers, courses, and third-party vendors. According to their statistics, Classic Visual Basic has fallen from 2% popularity in 2015, to 1% popularity in 2024. They define Classic Visual Basic to include both VB6 and VBA, a programming language used withing applications such as Excel. Additionally, they make it known that due to ambiguity between all the versions of Visual Basic, there is only 50% confidence in assigning which Visual Basic should be credited. (TIOBE, 5/2024)

The number of job postings for VB6 has decreased. Meanwhile, salaries for VB6 developers have increased faster than some its peers. In the UK, the proportion of job postings in IT sector citing VB6 has decreased from roughly 1.8% to less than 0.1%. In the same period, salaries have increased from roughly 30 000£ to 60 000£. In the same period, C# developer salaries have increased from 40 000£ to 60 000£. (ITJobsWatch, 10.5.2024)

Total cost of ownership adds up as inefficiencies increase. Nearly half of UK employees say they waste more than 3 hours a day due to inefficient systems. This amounts to nearly half of those worker’s working hours. Employees also report dissatisfaction about the tools they use. Maintenance of legacy systems alone can account for 10-15% of a company's budget. These costs arise from cross-platform interfaces, ongoing management, and complex integrations among other things. (Audacia)

## Forced to upgrade

There are several reasons why companies may want to start a modernization project. It is important to identify which needs the business has and work accordingly to remedy the issue. It is important to realize that a modernization project cannot be just about choosing new technologies. Leaving everything else the same eventually leads to the same outcomes.

Developing legacy systems may be costly. Developing minor business needs may end up costing a lot of money. This can lead to situations where small changes are not worth doing on their own. Instead, small changes are lumped together with larger projects. This complicates larger projects further, increasing their risk and cost. (Cartwright, Horn, Lewis)

Mounting costs and difficulties in supporting old systems can be a reason for modernization. System built on older technology may not be problematic because it’s older, it’s a problem when it’s expensive or out of support. Conversely, adoption of newer technologies is not beneficial on its own. Offering competitive advantage compared to competitors, keeping up with competitors, lower running costs, or developmental benefits, such as speed and cost, can be worthwhile reasons for modernization. External pressure from competitors’ ability to offer modern systems can be a crisis for a stale company. Another source of external pressure can come from regulators. (Cartwright, Horn, Lewis)

Modernization in these situations is best done early before it reaches a crisis point. When a crisis point is reached, modernization efforts need to be low hanging fruits and need to cause as little disruption as possible. (Cartwright, Horn, Lewis)

# Upgrading is difficult

Previous chapters established the shortcomings of using dated technologies and how they increase costs for the organization. Even with all the good upgrading would do, risks and costs have deterred many organizations from moving forward with upgrades.

## High risk of failure

Complex codebases are difficult to migrate to a newer standard. Older codebases often lack documentation. Details about the system, its integrations, and interactions can only be read from the code. Manually migrating code to a newer language is prone to errors, often leads to missing features, and in general takes a significant amount of effort. This significant amount of effort could instead be going towards new marketable features. This can make the whole project seem like a high-risk low-reward situation. (Mathijs T. W.)

Automating the migration process can help reduce change of failure. Automating the migration can be done iteratively until the correct result is achieved. This can be much faster than learning each part of the code and the manually programming it again. For large projects automation can be the only feasible way to accomplish a migration project. (Mathijs T. W.)

## Wrong approach

Code migration projects can fail for many reasons. Sometimes the failure is a project that get cancelled due to problems. Even a completed migration project may not always provide the expected benefits.

It is difficult to commit to a full rewrite of the current system. During the rewrite, developing of new features may need to be halted, especially for monolithic code architectures. The time that development needs to be halted can be long for large applications. During this time critical bugs may be discovered and some features that have been sold to customers may have to be implemented. This creates the need to fix the same bugs and develop the same features on 2 systems: the production system and the new one, still under construction. Extra development and other delays can stretch the timetable to the point that development of the new system is simply stopped. At worst the company can be left in a situation where they will need to maintain two separate systems and consider building a third system to replace them both. (Carlo)

Instead of fully rewriting a system in one go, the project can sometimes be split into multiple smaller phases. Smaller rewrites can reduce the amount of risk. Each phase should provide value and if the project is discontinued, some benefits will still have been gained. One way to divide the work is to split the codebase into logical components such as billing, till, and article management. (Birchal, chapter 3)

# modernizing the architecture

Software architecture is designed with a purpose in mind, as such it is a reflection of its time. As time passes technology advances and adjustments need to be made to the codebase. Adding to an older codebase creates complexities that might not exist in a more recent codebase. Additionally, the outcomes may not be ideal for modern times. It can be justified to change the underlying architecture during a rewriting process.

## Starting point

Any modernization effort must begin by getting to know the system that is getting modernised. It is important to gather information about the current state of the system, where to focus efforts first, and set up monitoring to verify progress.

Automated tools can provide information about various aspects of the codebase. Automated tools are particularly useful in detecting code that violates styling rules and certain types of bugs in the code. If tools flag a certain area of the code, it can be a good indication to focus efforts there. Tools should not be fully trusted to provide all the information needed and can flag code that is working well as a bug. (Birchal, chapter 2)

Performance of code should be monitored and measured. Performance tests should exist in both production systems and in systems before and after refactoring. Performance tests should be written into code and batches of data can be processed to measure the time it takes for the program to complete. Results of the experiment can be written into a log file for human verification. (Birchal, chapter 2)

## Ensuring high quality codebase

### Continuous inspection

Tools can be integrated into the IDE that flag code that does not abide by rules set by the organisation or code that may introduce bugs and vulnerabilities. By themselves they rely on programmers frequently checking the output of the tools. Continuous inspection helps ensure that no problem flagged by the tools goes unnoticed. Continuous inspection can be a part of continuous integration. Continuous inspection makes use of an automated workflow that gives feedback to the developer upon completion. (Birchal, chapter 2)

The automated workflow (Figure 1) for continuous integration makes use of several different components. When the developer is ready with a feature, they can commit the code to a version control system. Committing code to version control system triggers an automatic build in the build server. Any problems with the build get noted and feedback is sent to the developer. The committed code is optionally rejected, and developer can fix any issues and recommit the code. (Birchal, chapter 2)
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**Figure 1** Continuous integration workflow

### Testing the code

The most important purpose of testing is to find bugs before they make it into a production environment. In the context of this thesis, tests are snippets of code that test the outcome of a function in code. Several different types of tests are available.

Unit testing is about testing the inputs of a function and verifying their outputs. Unit tests can be set up in a way that tests both regular cases and edge cases. Edge cases are typically more laborious to test in other types of testing. To make effective tests, each part of the code should only address one concern. Unit test’s data can be mock data. Mock data is intended to simulate a real database connection. Mock data is data that uses the classes and functions of a real-life scenario, but the data is engineered for testing purposes. (Schneider) In the case of Winpos, instead of testing whether adding payment and ending the receipt, one should test all individual parts of the process, such as adding payment to the basket, sending a receipt to a receipt control unit, and creating a creating a new task in the kitchen display unit.

Code sample 1 and Figure 2 showcase unit testing in a simple way. The code tests another piece of code that adds items to sales basket. Figure 2 shows if the tests were successfully completed. If a programmer makes changes to the sales basket logic and gets the test passed, they should be confident that no logic was broken.
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**Code Sample 1** Simple test to verify sales basket total is added correctly
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**Figure 2** Unit test result window

One way to ensure tests are implemented systematically, and are effective, is the method test-driven development. In TDD a list of tests is written before the actual function is written. A test is then chosen, and the function is implemented until the test is passed successfully. Both the code written, and the existing code is then refactored to form a cohesive unit. (Fowler)
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**Figure 3** Test-driven development cycle

### Single-responsibility principle and code isolation

Single-responsibility principle is about reducing the effect changes into software have on the codebase. SRP advocates that a class should only have one responsibility, a function, and only one reason for changing it. The benefits of SRP are ease of implementation and help with preventing unexpected results caused by changes. Single-responsibility principle can make the codebase easier to understand. Easily understandable code can help reduce bugs, makes development faster, and improves the developer experience. (Thorben)

Single-responsibility principle can be used as a guide rather than a strict rule. Too many classes can create a feeling where reading the code becomes more difficult as the developer can only see a small part of the code at once. Defining what a responsibility is difficult and subjective.

Breaking code into smaller pieces improves the testability of software. Some types of functions are easier to test using unit testing. By isolating unit testable code from a larger function, unit tests can be made more easily. This also creates a clear boundary between unite testable code and code that needs to be integration tested. (Wagner)

Kuva, joka sisältää kohteen teksti, kuvakaappaus, diagrammi, Fontti

Kuvaus luotu automaattisesti

**Figure 4** Refractoring for code isolation

### Code review

Code review is the practice of reviewing another programmer’s code before it can be merged into the master branch of version control system. Code review is useful for increasing cohesion in the codebase. If a piece of that is being reviewed does not follow the patterns agreed by the team, the reviewer can reject it. Suggestions can also be given if the reviewed code has mistakes in it, this can be particularly useful when onboarding junior programmers. When putting code up for review, the programmer is also sharing information about changes they are making to the codebase. (Birchal, chapter 3)

## Software architectures

Making changes to architecture is a large project. It is paramount to establish clear goals before beginning the work. The goals should be tangible and actionable properties such as splitting the codebase into modules or improvements to the build process. To have a cohesive end product, it is important to agree within the team how the codebase needs to look like in the end. (Birchal, chapter 5)

The target company of this thesis is more or less built as a monolithic application. Architectures most worth considering are keeping the current architecture, a monolithic architecture. Second option is to change the architecture to an architecture with front-end and back-end separated into their own projects. Third option would be to opt for a service-oriented architecture.

Different software architectures bring with different benefits and challenges. Any benefit obtained by changing the architecture needs to outweigh the workload changing the architecture brings. (Birchal, chapter 5)

### Monolithic architecture

Monolithic architecture (Figure 2) is the simplest architecture to develop. This is due to functions being readily available in the same codebase. Monolithic architecture should result in fast applications as there is reduced need to talk to other services or applications. (Birchal, chapter 5)

Downsides of monolithic architecture include unexpected interactions in the codebase. Because codebase is a single entity, making changes to code has more opportunities to unintentionally affect other code. Increasing isolation in the code is one reason to avoid monolithic architecture. Another byproduct of low isolation is catastrophic failures when one part of the code is failing. If the application is run as a server, monolithic architecture is the least efficient to scale up. (Birchal, chapter 5)
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**Figure 5** Monolithic architecture

### Separated front-end and back-end

Presenting user interface and business logic can be separated into their own application tiers (Figure 3). This type of architecture is typically used in web applications. Communication between tiers happens through APIs. Separating the two tiers makes the code easier to understand. User interface and business logic existing in separate applications promotes separation of concerns. Often there are two developing teams focused on user interface and business logic. The technology stack can vary significantly between the two tiers. (Birchal, chapter 5)

Compared to a monolithic architecture, communication though APIs is more complicated. Communication must be carefully engineered for it to work as expected. Additionally, error handling must be much more thorough as communicating over network APIs can cause issues that are out of hands of the programmer. (Birchal, chapter 5)

This approach could be the best middle ground solution for the target company. POS systems require several different user interfaces for mobile, browser, and local installations. One of the recent challenges the target company has had is that the customers expect to be able to access their POS system anywhere. This style of architecture supports running the back end as a service and accessing it through a browser in a customer’s home, for example.

Back-end running as a service with APIs used for communication supports all types of UIs without the need for modification. Local installations would suffer very little as running the front end as well as the back end on the same computer offline is still an option.
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**Figure 6** Architecture with separated front-end and back-end

### Service-oriented architecture and micro services

Service-oriented architecture (Figure 4) is composed of several services that are isolated from the rest of the architecture. Developing services in this way makes it harder to accidentally introduce regression in the rest of the ecosystem. Scaling the application is also much improved as you only need to scale the services that need more resources. (Birchal, chapter 5)

Service-oriented architecture adds operational overhead. Developing and testing all the different services becomes more complicated. Additionally, tracking metrics and bugs is more difficult. Because of the isolation in SOA, code is often duplicated in the different services. This is due to multiple teams working in different services have limited knowledge of each other’s work. (Birchal, chapter 5)

Service-oriented architecture is the best choice for any application deployed in a cloud platform. Software split into multiple services can be cost optimized better than a back end that is built out of one part. Offering software as a service in a cloud platform, however, is about much more than mere software. SaaS model is a decision that affects the way a business operates, and the revenue streams would be completely different. As such, it is not a model that can be covered by this thesis. Multiple services would also want to make use of multiple databases. Other options listed before make use of a single database. Redesigning the database structure is not a part of this thesis.

Kuva, joka sisältää kohteen teksti, diagrammi, viiva, kuvakaappaus

Kuvaus luotu automaattisesti

**Figure 7** Service oriented architecture

## Migrating the architecture

Large-scale modernization projects are complex and in many cases should not be attempted in a single project. Instead, modernization effort should be considered a process that takes place over a long period of time.

### Strangler fig pattern

Strangler fig pattern is an idea in which a portion of a software is “strangled” and migrated to a new architecture. The pattern is repeated until the entire software is migrated. Both new and legacy software will be in use until the migration is fully completed. This will require a mechanism to allow communication to be directed to where it is needed. (Microsoft)
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Strangler fig pattern is a pattern that helps minimize the risks involved in legacy modernization projects. Timeline of the modernization process can be extended without negative business impact. The legacy software and modernized parts can exist side-by-side, routing more and more traffic to the modern platform as it builds. One goal of the strangler fig pattern is that the migration process is not visible to the end user. (Microsoft)
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**Figure 8** Modernization over time using strangler fig pattern

### Transitional architecture

During the migration process, legacy applications will need to work together with the new applications. For this to be possible, transitional architecture will need to be in place to enable the communication between the applications. The key feature of transitional architecture is that it is temporary, and only serves to enable the migration process.

What transitional architecture offers, is speed of delivery. New features can be developed for the new platform, while it is still in an unfinished state. New features can include an interpreter for the legacy system that is designed for removal once it’s no longer needed. Another benefit of the transitional architecture is further derisking of the migration.

### Targets for displacement

## Programming language

When choosing a programming language, several technical and non-technical factors need to be considered. Non-technical factors are all about the team working on a project. Team’s existing knowledge plays a big role in which language is the best choice. Every new technology has a learning curve, pre-existing expertise reduces friction at the start of the project. Additionally, not all programming languages are equally difficult to get started with. When considering new hires, lower barrier of entry increases the number of candidates that can be considered. (Fauerbach)

From a technical standpoint, language selection depends on the solution that is being built. Different languages are specialized in different types of applications, such as desktop or web applications. (Fauerbach) Another factor that should be considered is how well the language integrates with existing systems.

# decision time

## Architecture

When designing software architecture, business need defines the product. At Winpos the system has moulded to its current form as a result of business needs and opportunities. Still the current state makes implementing some modern concepts more difficult than they should be.

Winpos POS system is a large software product with numerous components that need to be supported. The most basic use case for customers is locally making sales using a POS pc, and being able to manage products, run reports, and perform other general maintenance. Additionally, customers would like to be able to do maintenance from home, make sales using mobile devices, and general functionality available in an offline environment. While all the aforementioned is possible it is not without significant development overhead.

What this thesis proposes is conversion of the existing structure to a 3-tier architecture. 3-tier architecture consists of web-, business logic-, and data-tiers. Each tier is physically separated, albeit there is nothing stopping one from running them on a single machine. 3-tier architecture benefits over a lesser tier architecture include faster development, improved reliability, scalability, and security. (IBM)

In figure 8, parts of the POS system have been divided into tiers and layers. Layers represent a logical component of the application. In the presentation layer, a shop manager can, for example, connect through a web service to the Backoffice application to edit product information. Firewalls are present to deny access from unauthorized traffic to the internal network. Changes made to products are forwarded to the Business logic layer. Business logic layer contains the back-end software in one or more individual applications. Data is formatted and saved in the data layer, which houses databases.

Kuva, joka sisältää kohteen teksti, Tarralappu, diagrammi, Suunnitelma

Kuvaus luotu automaattisesti

**Figure 9** 3-tier architecture

## Technologies

Back-end is a restful web application

Today, a lot of developer’s time is spent making integrations to other systems. Winpos has integrations to many services in different financial sector operators. Integrating other systems to Winpos requires a significant effort. Easy integrability may bring new business opportunities.

Asp.net

Unit testing project

Continuous inspection tools integrated. automation for nerds to figure out

## Language and IDE

Changing the architecture to a 3-tier system requires that the existing software needs to be split into front- and back-end applications.

### Backend

Winpos POS system today is primarily written using two languages. The core components are written in Visual Basic 6. Significant portion of integrations and other components are written in C#. These lay a foundation where either language can be used. Two options rise above the rest for modernizing the Winpos POS system: adopting Twinbasic or migrating fully to C#.

Twinbasic is a new basic language that aims to be fully backwards compatible with VB6 projects. Twinbasic aims to implement several features that VB6 is missing, such as a modern IDE, 64-bit support, and multi-threading. Twinbasic is still in development, with version 1 anticipated in 2024. (Twinbasic)

Twinbasic is an option because of the backwards compatibility with VB6. In a perfect scenario, upgrading to a modern language and IDE would be a simple conversion of the existing codebase. Twinbasics small development team and its early release status brings some risks as the main development language. Some features might not be fully functional, and it might lack wide third-party support tools and libraries.

Another option would be to use Microsoft’s Visual Studio IDE and C#. Visual Studio is currently in use at Winpos, as several applications have been written in C#. There is no reliable way to translate VB6 code C# code. To maintain full functionality code must be rewritten to the new language. Any rewrite-project is bound to be resource intensive and time consuming.

Effort that is required to fully migrate to C# will likely be greater. Despite this, rewriting to C# would likely be a better choice due to its potential to yield superior results. Visual Studio has garnered a well-established, robust ecosystem. Most modern tools and libraries are readily available to be integrated into Visual Studio. For Winpos specifically C# is the superior choice as there is little learning curve for new tools and environments. Additionally, for a developer, a backend written in one language makes it easier to switch between different projects.

### Frontend

Backend built using restful APIs supports any number of frontends. The focus of this thesis is on the core shop interface. In a shop environment, a browser-based user interface is suitable, as the same interface can be used remotely over the internet. Crucially, in the interim period, the existing user interface needs to be connected to the new backend. In the proof-of-concept section of this thesis, connectivity of a browser-based GUI and the connectivity of existing GUI is presented.

Web-based interfaces at Winpos today are written in Angular. Angular is a modern and widely adopted framework of TypeScript. It is designed to make building user-interfaces both over the web and natively. For proof-of-concept, Angular will be used due to its suitability for the job and history within Winpos.

## Methodologies

For the longevity of any codebase, it is vital to adopt practises that promote well written code. Starting a new project is an opportune time to apply methodologies and tools that help ensure the code is written well and stays high quality throughout the development process.

In a rewriting project, the end result of the new code is particularly well known. This makes it a good opportunity to practise test-driven-development.

# proof of concept
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# Appendices

APPENDIX 1

**WHAT TO INCLUDE TO APPENDICES**

You can enclose as appendices for example a questionnaire used in the study or other material that is related to the study.

Material that the client wished to classify can be enclosed as an appendix; in this case, the appendix is not included in the published version submitted to Theseus or in the hardbound version.

**THE TITLES AND NUMBERING OF APPENDICES**

The appendices are numbered and given a title. When you refer to an appendix in the text, use the correct referencing practice. Remember to mention the number of the appendix.

APPENDIX 2