Percentage Change in Prices

Jiarui, Weixuan, Elsia, Haris, Shi Wei

I. Introduction

The Singapore public-housing market has undergone pronounced shifts from 2020 to 2024, driven by demographic trends such as population ageing, household "rightsizing," and policy changes like the rollout of the 2-Room Flexi Scheme. Our project builds on a Straits Times graphic (STRAITS TIMES GRAPHICS, 2025) that maps the percentage change in HDB resale prices by flat type over this period. While that original visualization adeptly highlights the surge in small-flat prices, it omits context on transaction volumes, orders categories counter-intuitively, and relies on a uniform grey palette (with minimal accenting) that obscures meaningful above-/below-average patterns.

We set out to reconstruct and enhance this chart in R, creating a **publication-ready bar chart** that: 1. Orders flat types by descending price growth

- 2. Uses a diverging/single-accent palette to spotlight key deviations from the mean
- 3. Directly labels every bar with its exact percentage and average annual deals
- 4. Anchors the narrative with a clear mean-change reference line

II. Original Visualisation

Original Visualisation 1

Percentage change in resale price of HDB flats

From 2020 to 2024 1-room




Chart: STRAITS TIMES GRAPHICS • Source: DATA.GOV.SG, ORANGETEE & TIE RESEARCH & ANALYTICS

Figure 1: Percentage Change in Resale Price of HDB flats

Figure 1: Original Visualization

- Flat types: Seven categories from 1-room up to Multi-generation
- Horizontal bars: Length proportional to percent change; 2-room (45.4 %) and 3-room (41 %) highlighted in blue as the highest-growth segments
- Baseline marker: Vertical zero line to distinguish growth from decline (although all segments appreciated)
- Annotations: Exact percentages labelled at bar ends for quick value retrieval
- Color scheme: Grey for most categories, blue for top two to draw attention
- Source & Credits: Chart: STRAITS TIMES GRAPHICS Source: DATA.GOV.SG, ORANGETEE & TIE RE-SEARCH & ANALYTICS

III. CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE ORIGINAL VISUALIZA-**TION**

1. Unordered Categories

Flat types appear in an arbitrary sequence, forcing readers to search for the top and bottom performers rather than seeing them at a glance.

2. Uniform Grey Bars

Except for two blue bars, all categories share the same grey, making it hard to discern above- vs. belowaverage growth.

3. Lack of Volume Context

Percentage changes can be misleading when based on very few transactions (e.g. 1-Room). No indication of deal counts appears.

4. Clipped & Inconsistent Labels

Some annotations overlap the mean-line or the frame, and small-change bars carry labels that are too close to the axis cut-off.

5. Static, Print-Focused

No interactive features to reveal exact values, drill into regional breakdowns, or display uncertainty around medians.

i. Weaknesses

1. Lack of Temporal Granularity

Aggregating from 2020–2024 hides year-by-year or quarter-by-quarter volatility.

2. No Geographic Context

Performance may vary by estate or region; this chart treats all transactions as homogeneous.

3. Missing Transaction Volume

Percentage change alone masks the underlying trade volume, which influences interpretation.

4. Accessibility Concerns

The blue/grey palette may challenge viewers with color-vision deficiencies; no alternative encoding is provided.

5. Unexplained Highlight Choice

Only two categories are colored blue—there is no in-chart legend explaining why.

IV. SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS

1. Descending Bar Order

Reorder flat types by pct_change so the largest growth tops the chart.

2. Single-Accent Highlight

Render all bars in light grey, with 2-ROOM in a bold red—drawing immediate attention to the strongest gainer.

Diverging Palette (Optional)

For a richer narrative, use a blue-grey-red gradient centered at the mean change (~39%) to show who outperformed or underperformed.

Direct Labels & Consistent Placement

Place every % change label to the right of its bar, with a consistent nudge (e.g. 2 pts) and uniform font & color, avoiding overlap.

5. Annotate Average Annual Deals

Show "Avg deals: XXX" beneath each bar in muted grey, so readers immediately gauge sample robustness.

6. Mean Reference Line

Add a dashed vertical line at the overall mean % change, with its value stated in the subtitle for clarity.

7. Academic Typography & Alignment

Left-justify the title, subtitle, and caption using plot.title.position = "plot" and hjust = 0, adopt sentence case, and set a clear size hierarchy.

V. Implementation for the Bar Graph

1) Data Sources:

• Weekly population counts by planning area

Obtained from the Singapore Department of Statistics.

- ► Each record includes: week identifier, planning area name, total population, and age-group breakdowns.
- Planning-area boundaries (Master Plan 2019)

Downloaded as a KML from the Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA).

▶ Provides precise polygons for all 55 planning areas.

2) Software:

- **dplyr** data manipulation (filtering, grouping, summarizing)
- \mathbf{sf} import and handle spatial (KML) data as simple features
- **leaflet** render interactive choropleth maps in R
- **shiny** build an interactive web dashboard for exploration

VI. IMPROVED VISUALISATION

VII. FURTHER SUGGESTIONS FOR INTERACTIVITY

VIII. REFERENCES

- STRAITS TIMES GRAPHICS. (2025, May 20). Older buyers, smaller households among factors driving demand for smaller flats [Bar chart]. The Straits Times.
- DataGov.SG. (n.d.). HDB Resale Flat Prices [Data set]. https://data.gov.sg/dataset/hdb-resale-flat-prices