

Peer Review Rubric

Peer Review is assessed based on the quality of the reviewer's evaluation of the code – not the quality of the code being reviewed.

Each review will be assessed using the following rubric:

Max Score	Criterion	Description
0.33	Proof of testing	Clear screenshot of code running on reviewer's computer with timestamp clearly shown within the screenshot i.e. the computer's date and time or displayed.
0.33	Strengths	At least <u>two</u> specific, relevant strengths are identified (e.g., clear logic, good variable naming, comprehensive testing).
0.33	Suggestions	At least <u>two</u> specific, actionable suggestions are provided (e.g., handle edge cases, improve comments, optimise performance). Alternatively, If the reviewer believes that no improvements are needed, provide at least <u>two</u> specific justifications explaining why the code is already optimal.

Total Marks (Individual marks for the reviewer only):

Per review: 1 mark

Two reviews: 2 marks