

1. SELF/PEER ASSESSMENT FORM

Project Name:	I42 – Improving personalised elderly care using AI		
Your Name:	Nguyen Nam Tung		
Your ID	103181157	Date	23/05/2024

Self/Peer Assessment Form

The main purpose of this form is for all Team members to reflect on their interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving disputes over the relative contributions of Team members.

Using the **Self and Peer Assessment Form** below

1. List the members of your Project Team
2. Enter a score between 0 and 5, for categories A to J for all members of the team (see Section 3 for definitions)
3. Further provide detailed comments on each member

Please include yourself, ie, self-assessment.

(adapted from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout Modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.)

No.	Team Member Names (including yourself)	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Total
1	Nguyen Nam Tung	5	5	3	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	40
2	Garrich Farrell Hardjojuwono	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	48
3	Leon Nguyen	3	4	3	3	2	3	3	4	2	4	31
4	Nick Bui	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50
5	Rehman Ali	1	1	3	2	3	3	4	4	2	3	26
6	Chirag Chirag	4	4	4	3	3	2	5	3	3	4	35
7												
8												
9												
10												

Detailed Comments:

No.	Team Member Names	Comments
1	Nguyen Nam Tung	Reflecting on my performance for the project, I believe that my performance in terms of the quantity and quality of work was very good, meeting and surpassing the productivity and quality expectation. My high efficiency in completing tasks ahead of schedule has significantly propelled our project forward. However, the disadvantage that I had faced is the fact that I needed to be more involved in helping other team members, especially Rehman, to help him understand what he was required to complete in his documentation section and helped him understand about the system design architecture.
2	Garrich Farrell Hardjojuwono	Garrich stands out as an exceptional member of our team. His work is consistently top-notch, showcasing his deep understanding and skills. Garrich has a unique way of inspiring everyone around him while also taking the time to really listen to others, which has greatly improved our team's unity and motivation. His drive and proactive approach help him creatively solve tough problems with ease
3	Leon Nguyen	Leon Nguyen consistently delivers solid work, but the amount of work and the quality of works that Leon provided can be increasingly enhanced in the next semester. On the other hands, her biggest problems was the fact that she did not put much effort into her works and the work was always generated 1 days before the deadlines of the group, which required a lot of adjustments from the other team members.
4	Nick Bui	Nick Bui has been fantastic in our project. He consistently delivers excellent work, setting a high standard for the team. He's great at communicating and really connects with everyone, which motivates us all. Nick always goes above and beyond, pushing our project to new heights. His reliability and ability to inspire our team have been essential in keeping everyone motivated and focused.
5	Rehman Ali	Rehman was the member with the worst performance in the group. He was given the least amount of work and the easiest parts of the reports. However, the work that he produced was always below the group's quality expectation, which indicates that he did not really put any efforts into the work.
6	Chirag Chirag	Chirag Chirag is a steady and reliable force on our team. He consistently meets basic expectations. His positive outlook help create a supportive and stable team atmosphere. However, sometimes, he did not listen to advices of the other team members, which caused conflicts between him and the team members.

Self/Peer Review

7		
8		
9		
10		

2. Project Client Review

Review the Project/Client experience below. Be critical but fair in your assessment

Questions	Yes/No
Client provided a clear vision for the students	Yes
Client was available to the students in the first week	No
Client is available for every meeting	Yes
You felt Client answers all students questions satisfactorily and clearly	No
You felt Client provides complete and clear requirements	Yes
The Client usually answers all the emails	No
The Client usually answers all the emails within a few days	Yes
The Client keeps changing the requirements	Yes
The Client communicates regularly	Yes
It is easy to communicate with the Client	Yes
The Client gives conflicting statements in different meetings	No
The Client provides constructive feedback	Yes
The Client provides (or helps in acquiring) all the required resources for the project (e.g. hardware, software, reading material etc.)	Yes
Based on the outcome produced are the project expectations realistic for the first 12 weeks involvement?	Yes
I am finding it a good experience with the Client	Yes
Dealing with the Client is relatively easy	Yes
Issues with the Client are dealt with professionally	Yes
Any Additional Remarks!	

3. Categories

A. Quantity of Work

- 0 – Did nothing, was uninvolved.
- 1 – Does enough to get by.
- 2 – Occasionally exceeds standards, needs improvement.
- 3 – Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 – Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 – Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

B. Quality of Work

- 0 – Careless. Makes frequent mistakes. Assignment suffers.
- 1 – Mistakes frequent enough to question results.
- 2 – Work is basically correct.
- 3 – Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 – Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 – Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes.

C. Communication Skills

- 0 – Blunt, discourteous, does not listen, antagonistic, distant, aloof.
- 1 – Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 – Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 – Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 – Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 – Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands.

D. Initiative

- 0 – Displays no self-starting characteristics. Acts without purpose.
- 1 – Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding, sets no speed records.
- 2 – Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 – Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 – High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 – Sets high goals. Self-starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty.

E. Efficiency

- 0 – Work is invariably late.
- 1 – Work occasionally completed on schedule.
- 2 – Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 – Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 – Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 – Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

F. Personal Relations

- 0 – A very disruptive influence
- 1 – Is a source of some friction
- 2 – Causes no problems.
- 3 – Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 – Is a positive factor.
- 5 – Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability.

G. Group Meeting Attendance

- 0 – Never attended any meetings. Showed no interest.
- 1 – Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 – Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.

Self/Peer Review

- 3 – Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 – Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 – Never missed a meeting. Always on time.

H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 0 – Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 1 – Unenthusiastic, biased.
- 2 – Half hearted.
- 3 – Positive demeanour.
- 4 – Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 – Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

I. Effort

- 0 – Puts forth no effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 1 – Puts forth some effort.
- 2 – Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 – Solid contributions.
- 4 – Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 – Self starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

J. Dependability

- 0 – Uninvolved. Unreliable.
- 1 – Unsteady, but tries somewhat.
- 2 – Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 – Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 – Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 – Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.