

# Practical1

## LICENSE DESCRIPTION

Sr. No	License	Short Description
1	No license	Code cannot be used, modified, or shared
2	MIT License	Permissive; reuse with attribution
3	Apache 2.0	Permissive; includes patent protection
4	BSD 2-Clause	Permissive with minimal conditions
5	BSD 3-Clause	No endorsement allowed
6	Boost License	Very permissive
7	CC0	Public domain
8	EPL 2.0	Weak copyleft
9	MPL 2.0	File-level copyleft
10	GPL v2	Strong copyleft
11	GPL v3	GPL + patent rules
12	LGPL v2.1	Allows proprietary linking
13	AGPL v3	GPL for network apps
14	Unlicense	Public domain dedication

## LICENSE COMPARISON

Sr. No	License	Commercial Use	Must Share Source	Patent Protection
1	No license	No	No	No
2	MIT	Yes	No	No
3	Apache 2.0	Yes	No	Yes
4	BSD	Yes	No	No
5	Boost	Yes	No	No
6	CC0	Yes	No	No
7	EPL	Yes	Partial	Yes
8	MPL	Yes	File-level	Yes
9	GPL v2	Yes	Yes	No
10	GPL v3	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	LGPL	Yes	Partial	No
12	AGPL	Yes	Yes (Network)	Yes
13	Unlicense	Yes	No	No

## ALTERNATIVES TO GITHUB

Sr. No	Platform	Hosting Type	Best Use Case
1	GitLab	Cloud / Self-hosted	Complete DevOps workflow
2	Bitbucket	Cloud / Self-hosted	Atlassian users
3	Azure DevOps	Cloud	Microsoft ecosystem
4	AWS CodeCommit	Cloud	AWS-based projects
5	Gitea	Self-hosted	Private lightweight repos
6	Gogs	Self-hosted	Small teams
7	SourceForge	Cloud	Open-source distribution
8	Codeberg	Cloud	Students & open source
9	Launchpad	Cloud	Ubuntu projects

## GITHUB ALTERNATIVES – COMPARISON TABLE

Sr. No	Platform	Hosting	CI/CD	Issue Tracking	Self-Hosted
1	GitLab	Cloud / Self	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Bitbucket	Cloud / Self	Limited	Yes	Yes
3	Azure DevOps	Cloud	Yes	Yes	No
4	AWS CodeCommit	Cloud	Limited	No	No
5	Gitea	Self-hosted	No	Yes	Yes
6	Gogs	Self-hosted	No	Basic	Yes
7	SourceForge	Cloud	No	Yes	No
8	Codeberg	Cloud	No	Yes	No
9	Launchpad	Cloud	No	Yes	No