

**Last time**  $\mathbb{H}_0 := \{\text{quaternions } q \in \mathbb{H} \mid \bar{q} = -q\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Theorem**  $\forall$  rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \mathbb{H}_0 = R_x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{H}^\times$ ,  $N(x) = 1$  where  $R_x(q) = xqx^{-1}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{H}_0$ .

**Corollary** Let  $u \in \mathbb{H}_0$ ,  $N(u) = 1$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $R_u(\theta) = R_x$ ,  $x = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} u$ .

**Proof** Check that:  $\bar{u} = -u$ .

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &= x\bar{x} = \left( \cos \theta + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} u \right) \left( \cos \theta - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} u \right) \\ &= \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} u^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$1 = u\bar{u} = -u^2 \implies N(x) = \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} = 1.$$

If  $u = i$ , we know that  $R_u(\theta) = R_x$  for the  $x$  above. In general,  $\exists$  rotation  $P$  in  $\mathbb{H}_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$  s.t.  $P(i) = u$ .

Known:  $R_u(\theta) = PR_i(\theta)P^{-1} \stackrel{\text{Thm}}{=} R_y R_i(\theta) R_{y^{-1}} = R_x$  where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= y \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} i \right) y^{-1} \\ &= y \cos \frac{\theta}{2} y^{-1} + y \sin \frac{\theta}{2} i y^{-1} \\ &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} y i y^{-1} \\ &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} u \end{aligned}$$

since  $y i y^{-1} = R_y(i) = P(i) = u$ . □

### Remarks

1.  $\{x \in \mathbb{H}^\times : N(x) = 1\} \xrightarrow{2:1} \{\text{rotations in } \mathbb{H}_0 \simeq \mathbb{R}^3\}$ .
2.  $R_u(\theta) = R_x$  where  $x = e^{\psi u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^n u^n}{n!} = \cos \psi + \sin \psi \cdot u$ ,  $\psi := \frac{\theta}{2}$ . Convergence can be achieved in  $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ , and the proof is same as the proof for  $e^{i\psi} = \cos \psi + \sin \psi \cdot i$ .

## § Symmetric Polynomials

**Recall** A **ring** is a set  $R$  with  $+$  (commutative),  $\cdot$ ,  $0_R, 1_R$  with associativity, distributivity and some other properties. We denote the invertibles of  $R$  as  $R^\times$ . A **division ring** is a non-zero ring s.t.  $R^\times = R \setminus \{0\}$ . A **field** is a commutative division ring.

**Examples**  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  are fields,  $\mathbb{H}$  is a division ring,  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  is a ring for all fields  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $R[x] := \{\text{polynomials } f = c_0 + c_1 X + \dots + c_n X^n \mid c_i \in R\}$  is a commutative ring when  $R$  is also a commutative ring.  $R[X, Y, \dots] = \{\text{polynomials in } X, Y, \dots \text{ with coefficient } \in R\}$  is also a commutative ring.

Now we fix a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . Define  $S_n = \left\{ \text{permutations } \sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \xrightarrow{1:1} \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$ .  $\forall f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $\forall \sigma \in S_n$ , set  $\sigma f := f(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id} \cdot f &= f, \\ \forall \sigma, \tau \in S_n, (\sigma\tau)f &= \sigma(\tau f), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\because \sigma(\tau(f))(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= (\tau f)(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(n)}) \\
&= (\tau f)(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \\
&= f(Y_{\tau(1)}, \dots, Y_{\tau(n)}) \\
&= f(X_{\sigma\tau(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma\tau(n)}).
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition** If  $f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  satisfies  $\forall \sigma \in S_n, \sigma f = f$ , we say  $f$  is a **symmetric polynomial**. All symmetric polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  are denoted as  $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n} := \{\text{symmetric } f\}$ .

### Properties

1. Subring of  $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , since  $\sigma(f + g) = \sigma f + \sigma g, \sigma(fg) = (\sigma f)(\sigma g), \sigma(1) = 1$ .
  2.  $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n} \supset \mathbb{F} = \{\text{const polynomials}\}$ .
- $\implies \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

### Examples

1. Power sum  $p_k := X_1^k + \dots + X_n^k, \quad k \geq 0$ .
2. Elementary symmetric polynomials  $e_k := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k}, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n$ .  
Set  $e_0 := 1$  to get  $(Y + X_1) \dots (Y + X_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i Y^i + e_{n+1} Y^{n+1}$  where  $Y$  is another variable (**Vieta**).

$f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n], g_1 \dots g_n \in \mathbb{F}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ , then evaluation function  $f(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{F}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ .

**Theorem** (对称多项式基本定理, 存在性)  $\forall f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}, \exists g \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  s.t.  $f = g(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ .

$\forall f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , write  $f = \sum_{d \geq 0} f_d$ ,

$$f_d := \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = d} c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

which is called the  $d$ -homogeneous part of  $f$  if  $f = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n \geq 0} c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ .

When  $f = f_d$ , we say  $f$  is **homogeneous** of degree  $d$ .

**Lemma** Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}$ , then  $f(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) = 0 \iff e_n \mid f$ .

**Proof** ( $\Leftarrow$ )  $0 = e_n(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) \mid f(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0)$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ )  $f = \sum c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ . Now  $f(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) = \sum_{i_n=0} c_{i_1, \dots, 0} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} = 0$

implies  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \neq 0 \implies i_n \geq 1$ . Since  $f$  is symmetric,  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \neq 0 \implies i_k \geq 1, \quad \forall k$ . Hence  $e_n \mid f$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem** Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{S_n}$ .  $\forall d \geq 0, f_d$  is symmetric  $\implies$  Reduce to the case  $f = f_d$  for some  $d$ .  $\forall g \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , define its **weight**

$$\text{wt}(g) := \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n k i_k \mid c_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \neq 0 \right\}, & g \neq 0 \\ -\infty, & g = 0. \end{cases}$$

To show: If  $f = f_d$ , then  $\exists g$  s.t.  $\text{wt}(g) \leq d$  and  $f = g(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ .

Induction on  $n + d$  :

- If  $d = 0$  i.e.  $n + d = 1$ , then  $f \in \mathbb{F}$  and we can take  $g = f$ ,  $\text{wt}(g) = 0/\infty$  when  $f \neq 0/f = 0$ , respectively.
- Assume  $d \geq 1$ .  $\forall h \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , define  $h^b := h(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$ , and  $d = 1$  gives elements in  $\mathbb{F}$ .  
 $h$  symmetric  $\implies h^b$  also symmetric in  $n - 1$  variables. Hence  $f^b$  is still homogeneous of degree  $d$ , and  $e_i^b$  is the elementary symmetric polynomial with  $n - 1$  variables.

By induction  $\exists g_1 \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$  s.t.  $f^b = g(e_1^b, \dots, e_{n-1}^b)$ ,  $\text{wt}(g) \leq d$ .

**Observation**  $\deg g_1(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) \leq \text{wt}(g_1) \leq d$ .

Hence

$$f_1 := f - g_1(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$$

with  $\deg \leq d$  is symmetric (in  $n$  variables), and

$$f_1^b = f^b - g_1(e_1^b, \dots, e_{n-1}^b) = 0 \xRightarrow{\text{Lem}} e_n \mid f_1.$$

Note that

$$f_2 := \frac{f_1}{e_n} \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

is symmetric and  $\deg f_2 \leq d - n$ . Write  $f_2 = \sum_{d' \geq 0} f_{2,d'}$ .

By induction (applied to  $\forall f_{2,d'}$ ) we get  $g_2$  s.t.  $f_2 = g_2(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ ,  $\text{wt}(g) \leq d - n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_1 + g_1(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) \\ &= e_n f_2 + g_1(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) \\ &= g(e_1, \dots, e_n), \end{aligned}$$

with  $g = X_n g_2 + g_1$ .

Here  $\text{wt}(g) \leq \max\{\text{wt}(X_n g_2), \text{wt}(g_1)\} \leq d$ . □

**Remark** Can replace  $\mathbb{F}$  by any commutative ring in the above since we did not use any division.

**Theorem** (对称多项式基本定理, 唯一性)  $g_1(e_1, \dots, e_n) = g_2(e_1, \dots, e_n) \implies g_1 = g_2$ .

**Proof**  $(g_1 - g_2)(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 0$ . Suffices to show:  $g \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 0 &\implies g = 0 \\ \text{or} \quad g \neq 0 &\implies g(e_1, \dots, e_n) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof can be completed in the following steps:

1. May enlarge the field  $\mathbb{F} \implies$  may assume  $\mathbb{F}$  is infinite, eg.  $F \hookrightarrow F(t)$  : real functions.
2.  $\mathbb{F}$  infinite,  $g \neq 0 \xRightarrow{\text{Fact}} \exists (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$  s.t.  $g(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0$ .
3. Consider  $p := X^n - y_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n y_n \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ .  
 $\exists$  extension of fields  $F \hookrightarrow L$  s.t.  $p$  splits in  $L$ , i.e.

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - x_i) \implies e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y_k, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Now set  $X_i = x_i$  in step 3 above, then

$$g(e_1, \dots, e_n) = g(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0 \implies g(e_1, \dots, e_n) \neq 0.$$

□

**Fact** Let  $F$  : infinite field,  $g \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n], g \neq 0$ . Then  $\exists (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n, g(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0$ .

**Proof**

- $n = 1$  :  $g$  has at most  $\deg g$  roots in  $F$ .
- $n > 1$  : Let  $g = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_k X_n^k \neq 0, g_k \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] \implies \exists k, g_k \neq 0$ .

By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}), g_k(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \neq 0 \\ \implies & g(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, X_n) \in \mathbb{F}[X_n] \setminus \{0\} \\ \implies & \exists y_n \in \mathbb{F}, g(y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0. \quad (n = 1 \text{ case}) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark** If  $\mathbb{F}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we may work with  $L = \mathbb{C}$ .