**Prop** Let  $T:V\to W, r\coloneqq \mathrm{rk}T.$  From SVD we can get ONBs  $\underline{v},\underline{w}$  and singular values  $\sigma_i.$  Define  $S:W\to V,$ 

$$Sw_j = \begin{cases} \sigma_j^{-1}v_j, & 1 \leq j \leq r \\ 0, & j > r \end{cases}$$

Then S is an MP inverse of T.

In terms of matrices,  $\exists P \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{R}), Q \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , both orthogonal,  $Q^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_i)$ . Define  $S \leftrightarrow \operatorname{matrix} B$  such that  $P^{-1}BQ = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_i^{-1})$ .

**Proof** Use the explicit definition construction of MP inverse Sw = v''.

$$\begin{split} \forall w \in W, w &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j w_j, \text{im}\, T = \langle w_1,..,w_r \rangle \\ \Longrightarrow w' &= \sum_{j=1}^r a_j w_j, \quad \text{Set} \ v \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j^{-1} a_j v_j, Tv = w' \\ v &\in \langle v_{r+1},..,v_m \rangle^\perp = (\ker T)^\perp \\ \Longrightarrow v &= 0 + v = v' + v'' \end{split}$$

Therfore the definition of S agrees with the construction of MP inverse of T.  $\blacksquare$ 

## § Min-Max principle

Let V be an inner product space on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let B be a symmetric bilinear form  $V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\exists ! S \in \operatorname{End}(V), S^* = S$  such that  $B(v_1, v_2) = (v_1 \mid Sv_2)$ . In fact, we can identify V with  $\mathbb{R}^n + \operatorname{std} \operatorname{IP}$ . Then we observe that

$$\exists ! A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}), B(v_1, v_2) = v_1^T A v_2 = v_1 \cdot A v_2.$$

Therefore S is the A in the equation above, and S is self-adjoint since B is symmetric.

 $\exists$  ONB  $\underline{v}$  of  $V, \lambda_1 \geq ... \geq \lambda_n$  such that  $Sv_i = \lambda_i v_i$ .

A simple observation:

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{\|v\|=1} B(v,v), \lambda_n = \min_{\|v\|=1} B(v,v).$$

 $\label{eq:proof} \mathbf{Proof} \quad \text{ Let } v = a_1v_1 + \ldots + a_nv_n. \ \|v\| = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 1.$ 

$$\lambda_n \leq \left( B(v,v) = (v \mid Sv) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \right) \leq \lambda_1. \blacksquare$$

## Remark

- $\{v \in V | \|v\| = 1\}$  is compact (if  $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ ) and B(v, v) is continuous  $\Longrightarrow$  extrema exists.
- Given  $S \in \operatorname{End}(V), S = S^*,$  can show  $\max_{\|v\|=1}(v \mid Sv)$  attained at v : eigenvector of S (Set  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$

and use Lagrange multipiers). Can get another real proof of diagonalizable theorem.

•  $\max_{\|v\|=1} B(v,v) = \max_{v \neq 0} \frac{B(v,v)}{(v|v)}$ , since  $B(tv,tv) = t^2 B(v,v)$ ,  $(tv|tv) = t^2 (v|v)$ .

**Theorem(Courant-Fischer)** Given  $S: V \to W, S = S^*, \forall 1 \le k \le n := \dim V$ :

$$\begin{split} \lambda_k &= \min_{\substack{U \subset V,\\ \dim U = n - k + 1}} \biggl\{ \max_{\|v\| = 1, v \in U} B(u, v) \biggr\}, \\ \lambda_k &= \max_{\substack{U \subset V,\\ \lim U, \ k \text{ of } u \in U,\\ \lim U, \ k \text{ of } u \in U,\\ k \text{ of } u \in U, \ k \text{ of } u \text{ of }$$

**Proof** Replace S by -S: min  $\leftrightarrow$  max. Suffices to prove the first equation.

Assume  $U \in V$ , dim U = n - k + 1.

$$\begin{split} \dim U \cap \langle v_1,..,v_k \rangle &= \dim U + k - \dim U \cup \langle v_1,..,v_k \rangle \\ &\geq \dim U + k - n \\ &= 1. \end{split}$$

So we can take  $v = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i v_i \in U, \|v\| = 1.$ 

$$B(v,v) = (v|Sv) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i a_i^2 \ge \lambda_k \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 = \lambda_k.$$

$$\max_{\|v\|=1,v\in U} B(v,v) \geq \lambda_k \Longrightarrow \inf_{\dim U=n-k+1} \biggl\{ \max_{\|v\|=1,v\in U} B(v,v) \geq \lambda_k \biggr\}.$$

To show that minimum can be attained, take  $U=\langle v_k,..,v_n\rangle. \forall v=\sum_{i=1}^n a_iv_i,\|v\|=1,$ 

$$B(v,v) = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \sigma_i a_i^2 \le \lambda_k \sum_{i=k}^{n} a_i^2 = \lambda_k.$$

Therefore  $\max_{\|v\|=1,v\in U} B(v,v) = \lambda_k$  for this U.

This is also related to SVD.

**Proposition** Let V, W be IPSs on  $\mathbb R$  with  $\dim < \infty$ . Let T be a linear map  $V \to W$  with singular values  $\sigma_1 \ge ... \ge \sigma_i \ge ...$  and set  $\sigma_i = 0$  when  $i > \max\{\dim V, \dim W\}$ . Then

$$\sigma_1 = \max_{v \neq 0} \frac{\|Tv\|}{\|v\|}, \qquad \sigma_{\dim V} = \min_{v \neq 0} \frac{\|Tv\|}{\|v\|}.$$

**Proof** Take  $S = T^*T \in \text{End}(V), S = S^*$  and let B be the bilinear form corresponding to S.

$$B(v_1,v_2) = (v_1|Sv_2) = (Tv_1|Tv_2), B(v,v) = \|Tv\|^2, \frac{B(v,v)}{(v|v)} = \left(\frac{\|Tv\|}{\|v\|}\right)^2.$$

Recall that  $\sigma_1^2 \ge ... \ge \sigma_{\dim V}^2$  are the eigenvalues of S. From the simple observation above we proved this proposition.  $\blacksquare$ 

## § Positive matrices

Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}), A \geq B$  means  $\forall i, j, a_{ij} \geq b_{ij}. A > 0, A \geq 0$  is defined similarly, not to be mistaken by positive- or semi-positive definite.

Lemma  $\forall A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}), x \in \mathbb{R}^n = M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{R}).$ 

- $A > 0, x \ge 0, x \ne 0 \Longrightarrow Ax > 0$ ,
- $A > 0, x \ge 0 \Longrightarrow Ax \ge 0$ .

**Proof** The conclusion is obvious. ■

To study the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of positive matrices  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}), A > 0$ , we give the following definition:

**Definition** For  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ , its spectral radius  $\rho(A) = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \text{ eigenvalue}} |\lambda|$ .

**Theorem** (Perron) Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}), A > 0$ .

- 1.  $\rho(A) > 0, \exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n, v > 0, Av = \rho(A)v.$
- 2. If  $\mu$ : eigenvalue of A satisfies  $\mu \neq \rho(A)$ , then  $|\mu| < \rho(A)$ .
- 3. The  $\rho(A)$ -eigenspace of A has dim = 1.
- 4.  $\rho(A)$  is a single root of Char<sub>A</sub>.

After adding more conditions, can get a more general version for  $A \geq 0$  (Perron-Frobenius Theorem).

**Lemma**  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}), A > 0 \Longrightarrow \exists v \in \mathbb{R}^n, v > 0, Av = \rho(A)v.$ 

**Proof** Let  $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x\| = 1, x \ge 0\}$ . Consider the continuous map

$$L:S\to\mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

$$x \mapsto \min_{1 \le i \le n, x_i \ne 0} \frac{(Ax)_i}{x_i}$$

Since S is compact,  $\exists v \in S$ , s.t. L attains its maximum  $\rho > 0$  at v. Here we claim that

$$Av = \rho v \wedge v > 0.$$

From  $L(v) = \rho$  we know  $Av \ge \rho v$ . If  $Av \ne \rho v$ ,  $Av - \rho v \ge 0$ , then  $A(Av - \rho v) > 0$ .

Therfore for some small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$A(Av - \rho v) > \varepsilon Av \Longrightarrow A^2 v > (\varepsilon + \rho)Av \Longrightarrow Aw > (\varepsilon + \rho)w$$

where  $w = tAv, t \in \mathbb{R}, w \in S$ . Hence we reach a contradiction  $\Longrightarrow Av = \rho v$ .

 $v=
ho^{-1}\underbrace{A}_{>0}\underbrace{v}_{\neq 0}\Longrightarrow v>0.$  Also from definition of  $\rho(A)$  we have  $\rho\leq\rho(A).$ 

To show that  $\rho = \rho(A)$ , let  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $Aw = \mu w$ .

For this equation we can show  $\forall i, |\mu| |w_i| = |(\mu w)_i|$ 

$$= |\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} w_j| \le \sum_{j} a_{ij} |w_j|.$$

Set  $w' = (|w_1|, ..., |w_n|) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and scale it to ensure ||w'|| = 1.

 $w' \geq 0, Aw' \geq \mu w', w' \in S \Longrightarrow \rho(A) \geq \rho \geq L(w') \geq |\mu|$  for all eigenvalues  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $\rho = \rho(A)$ .

**Proof of Theorem** (1) done. (2) continue the previous proof.

We already showed  $\rho(A) = \max_{v \in S} L(v) \ge |\mu|$ , and we need to prove  $|\mu| = \rho(A) \Longrightarrow \mu = \rho(A)$ . We reason that  $|\mu| = \rho(A) \Longrightarrow L(w') = \rho(A)$  from the inequality above, therefore

$$Aw' = \rho(A)w' \Longrightarrow \forall i, \sum_j a_{ij}|w_j| = \rho(A)w_i = |\mu w_i| = |\sum_j a_{ij}w_j|$$

Hence all  $w_i$  are on the same ray in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Take some nonzero number c on this ray and  $\forall i, c^{-1}w_i \in \mathbb{R}, c^{-1}w_i \geq 0$ . This shows that  $\mu$  is a real number.