

# Homework 11

## Question 1:

**Express the following base 10 number in IEEE 754 single-precision floating-point format. Express your answer in Hexadecimal.**

$$-13.5625 \Rightarrow (?)$$

### **solution**

## Integer conversion:

- ⇒ (13) / 2 ⇒ 6 r 1
- ⇒ (6) / 2 ⇒ 3 r 0
- ⇒ (3) / 2 ⇒ 1 r 1
- ⇒ (1) / 2 ⇒ 0 r 1

Result: 1101

## Decimal conversion:

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow (.5625) \times 2 &= 1.125 \\ \Rightarrow (.125) \times 2 &= 0.25 \\ \Rightarrow (.25) \times 2 &= 0.5 \\ \Rightarrow (.5) \times 2 &= 1.0\end{aligned}$$

Result: .1001

## Combining:

$\Rightarrow 1101.1001$

$$\Rightarrow 1101.1001 = 1.1011001 \times 2^3$$

**We can create a generalized representation:**

```
(* Sign bit for negative number *)
let Sign = 1;
let Bias = 127;
let Exponent = 3;
(* Biased exponent = 130 = 10000010 *)
let BiasedExp = Bias + Exponent;
(* Normalized mantissa (after 1.) = 1011001 *)
let Normalized = 1011001;
let zeroPadding = 00000000000000000000000;
(* Mantissa = normalized + padding (23 bits total) *)
let Mantissa = Normalized + zeroPadding;

(* Combine: {Sign | BiasedExp | Mantissa}
   → 1 10000010 10110010000000000000000000000000 *)
let Result = Sign + BiasedExp + Mantissa;
```

Q, E, D.

⇒ Convert binary to Hexadecimal:

Binary (4 bits)	Hexadecimal
1100	C

Binary (4 bits)	Hexadecimal
0001	1
0101	5
1001	9
0000 ( $\times 4$ )	0000

$\therefore -13.5625 \Rightarrow \text{0xC1590000}$

## Question 2:

Convert the following IEEE 754 single-precision floating-point number to decimal format.

0x40980000

### solution

Starting with: 0x40980000

Hex	Binary
4	0100
0	0000
9	1001
8	1000
0 ( $\times 4$ )	0000 ( $\times 4$ )

⇒ Binary representation: 0100 0000 1001 1000 0000 0000 0000 0000

```
let Sign = 0;          (* Positive number *)
let BiasedExp = 10000001; (* 129 in decimal *)
let Mantissa = 00110000000000000000000000000000;

(* Exponent = BiasedExp - 127 = 129 - 127 = 2 *)
let Exponent = 2;
```

### Reconstructing the value:

Mantissa in decimal:

$$\begin{aligned}1.00110000\dots &= 1 + \frac{0}{2} + \frac{0}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} \\&= 1 + 0.125 + 0.0625 = 1.1875\end{aligned}$$

Final calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{value} &= (+1) \times 1.1875 \times 2^2 \\&= 1.1875 \times 4 = 4.75\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \mathbf{0x40980000 = 4.75}$$

### Question 3:

Translate this C++ code into RISC-V assembly language with correct use of Floating-Point instructions where necessary. Submit your code and screenshot of the outputs.

```
int main() {
    float value1 = 3.5;
    float result = 0;

    if (value1 < 7)
        result = 7 + value1;
    else
        result = value1 / 7;

    cout << result << endl;
}
```

### solution

Integer conversion:

#### Step-by-step translation:

1. Load `value1 = 3.5` into FP register `f0`
2. Load constant `7.0` into `f1` (IEEE-754)
3. Compare: `flt.s` checks if `f0 < f1`
4. Branch: If false, jump to ELSE
5. THEN: `fadd.s` computes  $7 + 3.5 = 10.5$
6. ELSE: `fdiv.s` computes  $3.5 / 7 = 0.5$
7. Print result using syscall

#### Expected outputs:

- `value1 = 3.5: Result = 10.5`
- `value1 = 35.0: Result = 5.0`

Decimal conversion:

```
.data
value1: .float 3.5
result: .float 0.0
.text
.globl main
main:
    flw    f0, value1, t0
    li     t1, 0x40E00000
    fmv.w.x f1, t1
    flt.s  t2, f0, f1
    beq   t2, x0, ELSE
THEN:
    fadd.s f2, f1, f0
    fsw   f2, result, t0
    j      END
ELSE:
    fdiv.s f2, f0, f1
    fsw   f2, result, t0
END:
    flw    f10, result, t0
    li     a7, 2
    ecall
    li     a7, 10
    ecall
```

## Question 4:

Part (i): Suppose one of the following control signals in the multi-cycle RISC-V processor has a stuck-at-0 fault, meaning the signal is always 0. What instructions (R-Type, lw, sw, beq) would malfunction? Why?

Part (ii): Repeat assuming the signal has a stuck-at-1 fault.

Control signals: (a) RegWrite (b) PCUpdate (c) Branch (d) AdrSrc (e) MemWrite (f) IRWrite

Multi-cycle RISC-V Control Signals

## Solution

### Part (i): Stuck-at-0 Faults

Signal	Instructions Affected	Reason
(a) RegWrite	R-type, lw	Cannot write results to register file. R-type ALU results and lw loaded data are lost. sw/beq unaffected (don't write registers).
(b) PCUpdate	All instructions	PC never advances - processor keeps fetching same instruction repeatedly. Program flow frozen.
(c) Branch	beq	PCWriteCond = Branch & Zero always 0, so conditional branches never taken. Other instructions unaffected.
(d) AdrSrc	lw, sw	Memory address forced to use PC instead of register base. lw/sw access wrong memory addresses.
(e) MemWrite	sw	Store operations do nothing - memory never updated. lw and fetch reads unaffected.
(f) IRWrite	All after first	Instruction register never updated with new instructions. CPU repeats old instruction.

### Part (ii): Stuck-at-1 Faults

Signal	Instructions Affected	Reason
(a) RegWrite	All (corruption)	Register file written at wrong times with incorrect/partial data. sw/beq may spuriously overwrite registers.

<b>Signal</b>	<b>Instructions Affected</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>(b) PCUpdate</b>	All	PC updated in wrong cycles (during memory access, write-back). Causes skipped/repeated instructions or jumps to garbage addresses.
<b>(c) Branch</b>	beq + others	PCWriteCond = Zero (Branch gating lost). Any ALU operation producing Zero=1 causes spurious branch.
<b>(d) AdrSrc</b>	All (fetch broken)	Instruction fetch uses register address instead of PC. Fetches wrong instructions, corrupting entire program.
<b>(e) MemWrite</b>	All memory ops	Memory written during lw reads and instruction fetches, corrupting both instruction and data memory.
<b>(f) IRWrite</b>	All	IR overwritten at wrong times (e.g., with lw data). Control unit decodes wrong instruction, breaking flow.