Photo-Realistic Single Image Super-Resolution Using a Generative Adversarial Network

Group: C6 | Summer 2019

Group Members

Md.Faisal Mahmud Abid (Id : 011 143 108)

Nopa Islam (Id : 011 151 062)

Nasir Uddin Ahmed (Id : 011 151 086)

Paper Introduction

- Author :

a. *Christian Ledig*

b. Lucas Theis

c. Ferenc Huszar

- *Number Of Citation : 1823*
- *Paper Presented On :* Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference (CVPR) 2017

Dataset

- Name : MIRFLICKR-25000.
- Consists of 25000 images.
- Pre-trained neural network

Abstract

- Main problem; **recovering finer texture** details at **large upscale factors**.
- The paper proposes a generative adversarial network **(GAN).**
- Proposing a perceptual loss function.

Introduction

- High **upscale** factor is a bigger issue.
- Texture details typically absent in reconstructed image.
- Minimizing the mean squared error (MSE).
- Minimizing (MSE) **maximizes** peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR).

Basic Neural Network





Figure : Application Of CNN


CNN for Object
CNN for Grasping Type
$P_{Object1}$
$P_{Object2}$
P_{Action}
$P_{GraspType1}$
$P_{GraspType2}$
Most Likely Parse Tree
HP
AP
HP
A
HP
AP
Cut
AP
H1
G1
O1
H2
G2
O2
Left Hand
Power Small Grasp
Knife
Right Hand
Precision Small Grasp
Tomato
Commands
Viterbi
$AP \rightarrow G_1\, O_1 \mid G_2\, O_2 \mid A\, O_2 \mid A\, HP$ 0.25 (1)
$HP \rightarrow H\, AP \mid HP\, AP$ 0.5 (2)
$H \rightarrow$ 'LeftHand' | 'RightHand' 0.5 (3)
$G_1 \rightarrow$ 'GraspType1' $P_{GraspType1}$ (4)
$G_2 \rightarrow$ 'GraspType2' $P_{GraspType2}$ (5)
$O_1 \rightarrow$ 'Object1' $P_{Object1}$ (6)
$O_2 \rightarrow$ 'Object2' $P_{Object2}$ (7)
$A \rightarrow$ 'Action' P_{Action} (8)

Design Of Convolutional Neural Network

A toy ConvNet: X's and O's

Says whether a picture is of an X or an O



For example



Trickier cases



Deciding is hard



What computers see

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

$$\overset{?}{=}$$

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

What computers see

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	X	-1	-1	-1	-1	X	X	-1
-1	X	X	-1	-1	X	X	-1	-1
-1	-1	X	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	X	-1	-1
-1	-1	X	X	-1	-1	X	X	-1
-1	X	X	-1	-1	-1	-1	X	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Computers are literal

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

$\neq$

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

ConvNets match pieces of the image



Features match pieces of the image

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	1

-1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	-1

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	1

-1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	-1



1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	1

-1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	-1



1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	1

-1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	-1



1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	1

-1	-1	1
-1	1	-1
1	-1	-1





1 -1 -1
-1 1 -1
-1 -1 1
1 -1 1
-1 1 -1
1 -1 1
-1 -1 1
-1 1 -1
1 -1 -1

Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Filtering: The math behind the match

1. Line up the feature and the image patch.
2. Multiply each image pixel by the corresponding feature pixel.
3. Add them up.
4. Divide by the total number of pixels in the feature.

Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

$1 \times 1 = 1$

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

$-1 \times -1 = 1$

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

1	1	1
1	1	1
1		

Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

$-1 \times -1 = 1$

1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1 x 1 = 1

1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	1

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	1

$$\frac{1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1}{9} = \boxed{1}$$

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match



Filtering: The math behind the match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

1	1	-1
1	1	1
-1	1	1

Filtering: The math behind the match



$$\frac{1+1-1+1+1+1-1+1+1}{9} = .55$$

Convolution: Trying every possible match

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

→

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

Convolution: Trying every possible match

-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1

$\otimes$

1	-1	-1
-1	1	-1
-1	-1	1

$=$

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.77 & -0.11 & 0.11 & 0.33 & 0.55 & -0.11 & 0.33 \\ -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.11 & 0.33 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.11 \\ 0.11 & -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.33 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.55 \\ 0.33 & 0.33 & -0.33 & 0.55 & -0.33 & 0.33 & 0.33 \\ 0.55 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.33 & 1.00 & -0.11 & 0.11 \\ -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.33 & -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.11 \\ 0.33 & -0.11 & 0.55 & 0.33 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.77 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.33 & -0.55 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.55 & 0.33 \\ -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.55 & 0.33 & -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.55 \\ 0.11 & -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.77 & 0.55 & -0.55 & 0.11 \\ -0.11 & 0.33 & -0.77 & 1.00 & -0.77 & 0.33 & -0.11 \\ 0.11 & -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.77 & 0.55 & -0.55 & 0.11 \\ -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.55 & 0.33 & -0.55 & 0.55 & -0.55 \\ 0.33 & -0.55 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.55 & 0.33 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.33 & -0.11 & 0.55 & 0.33 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.77 \\ -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.33 & -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.11 \\ 0.55 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.33 & 1.00 & -0.11 & 0.11 \\ 0.33 & 0.33 & -0.33 & 0.55 & -0.33 & 0.33 & 0.33 \\ 0.11 & -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.33 & 0.11 & -0.11 & 0.55 \\ -0.11 & 1.00 & -0.11 & 0.33 & -0.11 & 0.11 & -0.11 \\ 0.77 & -0.11 & 0.11 & 0.33 & 0.55 & -0.11 & 0.33 \end{bmatrix}$$

Convolution layer

One image becomes a stack of filtered images



Convolution layer

One image becomes a stack of filtered images



Pooling: Shrinking the image stack

1. Pick a window size (usually 2 or 3).
2. Pick a stride (usually 2).
3. Walk your window across your filtered images.
4. From each window, take the maximum value.

Pooling

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

maximum

1.00			

Pooling

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

maximum

1.00	0.33		

Pooling

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

maximum

1.00	0.33	0.55	

Pooling

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

maximum

1.00	0.33	0.55	0.33

Pooling

maximum

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

1.00	0.33	0.55	0.33
0.33			

Pooling

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

max pooling

1.00	0.33	0.55	0.33
0.33	1.00	0.33	0.55
0.55	0.33	1.00	0.11
0.33	0.55	0.11	0.77

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

1.00	0.33	0.55	0.33
0.33	1.00	0.33	0.55
0.55	0.33	1.00	0.11
0.33	0.55	0.11	0.77

0.33	-0.55	0.11	-0.11	0.11	-0.55	0.33
-0.55	0.55	-0.55	0.33	-0.55	0.55	-0.55
0.11	-0.55	0.55	-0.77	0.55	-0.55	0.11
-0.11	0.33	-0.77	1.00	-0.77	0.33	-0.11
0.11	-0.55	0.55	-0.77	0.55	-0.55	0.11
-0.55	0.55	-0.55	0.33	-0.55	0.55	-0.55
0.33	-0.55	0.11	-0.11	0.11	-0.55	0.33

0.55	0.33	0.55	0.33
0.33	1.00	0.55	0.11
0.55	0.55	0.55	0.11
0.33	0.11	0.11	0.33

0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33

0.33	0.55	1.00	0.77
0.55	0.55	1.00	0.33
1.00	1.00	0.11	0.55
0.77	0.33	0.55	0.33

Pooling layer

A stack of images becomes a stack of smaller images.



Normalization

- Keep the math from breaking by tweaking each of the values just a bit.
- Change everything negative to zero.
- Using activation function.

Activation Function

- Defines the output of a node.
- Produce a non-linear decision boundary via linear combinations.

Different Types Of Activation Function

Activation Functions

Sigmoid

$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$



tanh

$\tanh(x)$



ReLU

$\max(0, x)$



Leaky ReLU

$\max(0.1x, x)$



Maxout

$\max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2)$

ELU

$$\begin{cases} x & x \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^x - 1) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$



Rectified Linear Units (ReLUs)

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77



Rectified Linear Units (ReLUs)



Rectified Linear Units (ReLUs)



0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77

0.77	0	0.11	0.33	0.55	0	0.33

Rectified Linear Units (ReLUs)

0.77	-0.11	0.11	0.33	0.55	-0.11	0.33
-0.11	1.00	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	0.11	-0.11
0.11	-0.11	1.00	-0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.55
0.33	0.33	-0.33	0.55	-0.33	0.33	0.33
0.55	-0.11	0.11	-0.33	1.00	-0.11	0.11
-0.11	0.11	-0.11	0.33	-0.11	1.00	-0.11
0.33	-0.11	0.55	0.33	0.11	-0.11	0.77



0.77	0	0.11	0.33	0.55	0	0.33
0	1.00	0	0.33	0	0.11	0
0.11	0	1.00	0	0.11	0	0.55
0.33	0.33	0	0.55	0	0.33	0.33
0.55	0	0.11	0	1.00	0	0.11
0	0.11	0	0.33	0	1.00	0
0.33	0	0.55	0.33	0.11	0	0.77

ReLU layer

A stack of images becomes a stack of images with no negative values.



Layers get stacked

The output of one becomes the input of the next.



Deep stacking

Layers can be repeated several (or many) times.



Fully connected layer

Every value gets a vote



Fully connected layer

Vote depends on how strongly a value predicts X or O



Fully connected layer

Vote depends on how strongly a value predicts X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

Future values vote on X or O



Fully connected layer

A list of feature values becomes a list of votes.



Fully connected layer

These can also be stacked.



How neural networks work

A four pixel camera



Categorize images



solid

vertical

diagonal

horizontal

Categorize images



Categorize images



Categorize images



Simple rules can't do it

Simple rules can’t do it

Input neurons



Pixel brightness



Input vector



Receptive fields



A neuron



Sum all the inputs



$$\begin{array}{rr} & .50 \\ & 0.00 \\ & -.75 \\ + & .75 \\ \hline & .50 \end{array}$$

Weights



	.50	x 1.0
	0.00	x 1.0
	-.75	x 1.0
+	.75	x 1.0
	.50	

Weights



	.50	x	-.2
	0.00	x	0.0
	-.75	x	.8
+	.75	x	-.5
	-1.075		

Weights



$$
\begin{array}{lrcr}
 & .50 & \times & -.2 \\
 & 0.00 & \times & 0.0 \\
 & -.75 & \times & .8 \\
+ & .75 & \times & -.5 \\
\hline
 & & -1.075 &
\end{array}
$$

Squash the result



Sigmoid squashing functio



Sigmoid squashing functio



Sigmoid squashing function



Sigmoid squashing function



Sigmoid squashing function



Sigmoid squashing function



No matter what you start with, the answer stays between –1 and 1.



Squash the result



Weighted sum-and-squash neuron



Make lots of neurons, identical except for weights



To keep our picture clear, weights will either be
1.0 (white)
-1.0 (black) or
0.0 (missing)

Receptive fields get more complex



Repeat for additional layers



Receptive fields get still more complex



Repeat with a variation



Rectified linear units (ReLUs)



If your number is positive, keep it.
Otherwise you get a zero.



Add an output layer




solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal


solid
vertical
diagonal
horizontal

Generative Adversarial Network(GAN) Architecture

What Is GAN

- System of two neural networks competing against each other in a zero-sum game framework.

- Can learn to draw samples from a model that is similar to data that we give them.

Overview Of GAN



Discriminative Models

- A **discriminative** model learns a function that maps the input data (*x*) to some desired output class label (*y*).

- In probabilistic terms, they directly learn the conditional distribution $P(y|x)$.

Generative Models

- A **generative** model tries to learn the joint probability of the input data and labels simultaneously i.e. $P(x,y)$.

- Potential to understand and explain the underlying structure of the input data even when there are no labels

Training GAN

- Objective of generative network – increase the error rate of the discriminative network.
- Objective of discriminative network – decrease binary classification loss.
- Discriminator training – backprop from a binary classification loss.
- Generator training – backprop the negation of the binary classification loss of the discriminator.

Loss Function

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{x}) = \min_{x \in data} (x - \hat{x})^2$$

Generator

$$D_G^*(x) = \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_g(x)}$$

Discriminator

Alternate view of GANs

$$\min_G \max_D V(D,G)$$
$$V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z\sim q(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$

$$D^* = \arg\max_D V(D,G) \qquad G^* = \arg\min_G V(D,G)$$

- In this formulation, Discriminator's strategy was $D(x) \rightarrow 1, \quad D(G(z)) \rightarrow 0$

- Alternatively, we can flip the binary classification labels i.e. **Fake = 1**, **Real = 0**

$$V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[\log(1 - D(x))] + \mathbb{E}_{z\sim q(z)}[\log(D(G(z)))]$$

- In this new formulation, Discriminator's strategy will be $D(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad D(G(z)) \rightarrow 1$

Alternate view of GANs (Contd.)

- If all we want to encode is $D(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad D\big(G(z)\big) \rightarrow 1$

$$\boxed{D^* = argmax_D\ \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[\log(1\ - D(x))] + \mathbb{E}_{z\sim q(z)}[\log(D(G(z)))]}$$

We can use this

$$\boxed{D^* = argmin_D\ \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)} \log(D(x)) + \mathbb{E}_{z\sim q(z)}\left[\log\left(1\ - D(G(z))\right)\right]}$$

- Now, we can replace cross-entropy with any loss function **(Hinge Loss)**

$$\boxed{D^* = argmin_D\ \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)} D(x) + \mathbb{E}_{z\sim q(z)} \max\left(0, m - D(G(z))\right)}$$

- And thus, instead of outputting probabilities, Discriminator just has to output :-
    - High values for fake samples
    - Low values for real samples

Generator Network Architecture



Figure : Architecture of Generator and Discriminator Network with corresponding kernel size (k), number of feature maps (n) and stride (s) indicated for each convolutional layer.

Discriminator Network Architecture



Figure : Architecture of Generator and Discriminator Network with corresponding kernel size (k), number of feature maps
(n) and stride (s) indicated for each convolutional layer.

Perceptual Loss Function

$$l^{SR} = \underbrace{\underbrace{l^{SR}_{X}}_{\text{content loss}} + \underbrace{10^{-3} l^{SR}_{Gen}}_{\text{adversarial loss}}}_{\text{perceptual loss (for VGG based content losses)}}$$

Content Loss

$$l_{MSE}^{SR} = \frac{1}{r^2WH}\sum_{x=1}^{rW}\sum_{y=1}^{rH}(I_{x,y}^{HR} - G_{\theta_G}(I^{LR})_{x,y})^2$$

$$l_{VGG/i.j}^{SR} = \frac{1}{W_{i,j}H_{i,j}}\sum_{x=1}^{W_{i,j}}\sum_{y=1}^{H_{i,j}}(\phi_{i,j}(I^{HR})_{x,y} - \phi_{i,j}(G_{\theta_G}(I^{LR}))_{x,y})^2$$

VGG19

- Pretrained VGG-19 convolutional neural network

- Trained on more than a million images from the ImageNet database.

- The network is 19 layers deep and can classify images into 1000 object categories

VGG19 Model


maxpool
maxpool
maxpool
maxpool
maxpool
depth=64
3x3 conv
conv1_1
conv1_2
depth=128
3x3 conv
conv2_1
conv2_2
depth=256
3x3 conv
conv3_1
conv3_2
conv3_3
conv3_4
depth=512
3x3 conv
conv4_1
conv4_2
conv4_3
conv4_4
depth=512
3x3 conv
conv5_1
conv5_2
conv5_3
conv5_4
size=4096
FC1
FC2
size=1000
softmax

Adversarial Loss

$$l_{Gen}^{SR} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} - \log D_{\theta_D}(G_{\theta_G}(I^{LR}))$$

Peak Signal To Noise Ratio

- The ratio between the maximum possible power of an image and a power of corrupting noise.

- Compare image to an “ideal” clean image with the maximum possible power

Peak Signal To Noise Ratio

$$PSNR = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{(L-1)^2}{MSE}\right) = 20\log_{10}\left(\frac{L-1}{RMSE}\right)$$

Mean Squared Error

$$MSE = \frac{1}{NM}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\sum_{j=0}^{M-1}\left(A(i,j) - B(i,j)\right)$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{MSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{NM}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\sum_{j=0}^{M-1}\left(A(i,j) - B(i,j)\right)^2}$$

Peak Signal To Noise Ratio

- PSNR <30.0 (this corresponds to RMSE>11) is commonly considered low. This means the presence of clearly visible noise or smoothing of many edges
- PSNR > 30.0 (this corresponds to RMSE <8.6) is commonly considered as acceptable (some noise is still visible or small details are still smoothed)
- PSNR > 33.0 (this corresponds to RMSE <5.6) is commonly considered as good
- PSNR > 35.0 (this correspond to RMSE <4.5) is commonly considered as excellent (it is usually not possible to find any visual distinction from the "ideal" image)

Related Work

Bicubic

- Image resampling.
- Applying linear interpolation in two directions.
- Different from bi-linear interpolation.

Bicubic



Bicubic







10	10	20	20
10	10	20	20
30	30	40	40
30	30	40	40

Bicubic


(-0.5,-0.5)
(0.5,-0.5)
10
A
10
(0.25,0.25)
P1
10
B
10
(-0.5,0.5)
(0.5,0.5)


10 20
30 40
2x2
2x
10 12 17 20
15 17 22 25
25 27 32 35
30 32 37 40
4x4

Neighborhood embedding approach

- Uses reconstruction methods.
- Upsample lower resolution image patch
- Uses kernel ridge regression.

SRResNet

- Based on ResNet architecture.
- Series of resblocks.
- For upsampling, pixel shuffle operator is used.

SRResNet



pixel shuffle x2
pixel shuffle x2
Skip connection
Discriminator Loss l^{SR}_{Gen}
I^{LR}
I^{HR}
?
I^{SR}
Discriminator
16 residual blocks, 64 ch
I^{SR}
MSE Loss l^{SR}_{MSE}
MSE
I^{HR}
MSE
Perceptual Loss l^{SR}_{VGG}
w
h
ch
Trained VGG

Concept of SISR



Results


SRResNet
SRGAN-MSE
SRGAN-VGG22
SRGAN-VGG54
original HR image

Comparison Table

Set5	nearest	bicubic	SRCNN	SelfExSR	DRCN	ESPCN	SRResNet	SRGAN	HR
PSNR	26.26	28.43	30.07	30.33	31.52	30.76	**32.05**	29.40	∞
SSIM	0.7552	0.8211	0.8627	0.872	0.8938	0.8784	**0.9019**	0.8472	1
MOS	1.28	1.97	2.57	2.65	3.26	2.89	3.37	**3.58**	4.32
Set14									
PSNR	24.64	25.99	27.18	27.45	28.02	27.66	**28.49**	26.02	∞
SSIM	0.7100	0.7486	0.7861	0.7972	0.8074	0.8004	**0.8184**	0.7397	1
MOS	1.20	1.80	2.26	2.34	2.84	2.52	2.98	**3.72**	4.32
BSD100									
PSNR	25.02	25.94	26.68	26.83	27.21	27.02	**27.58**	25.16	∞
SSIM	0.6606	0.6935	0.7291	0.7387	0.7493	0.7442	**0.7620**	0.6688	1
MOS	1.11	1.47	1.87	1.89	2.12	2.01	2.29	**3.56**	4.46