

Türker Tercan 171044032

1- Array = {6, 5, 3, 11, 7, 5, 2}

procedure InsertionSort (L[1:n])

end for

current = L[i]

position = i - 1

while (position > 1 and current < L[position]) do

L[position + 1] = L[position]

position = position - 1

end while

L[position + 1] = current

end for

end

1-

6	5	3	11	7	5	2
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

- first element counted as sorted.

2-

6	5	3	11	7	5	2
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

- if key is smaller than its predecessor,
Move the greater elements one position up
to make space for key elements3-

5	6	3	11	7	5	2
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

4-

	8	6	11	7	5	2
--	---	---	----	---	---	---

 5-

8	5	6	11	7	5	2
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

Since 11 is greater than its predecessor
We can say that list is sorted up
to element 116-

8	5	6	11	7	5	2
---	---	---	----	---	---	---

7-

3	5	6	7	11	5	2
---	---	---	---	----	---	---

8-

3	5	5	6	7	11	2
---	---	---	---	---	----	---

9-

2	3	5	5	6	7	11
---	---	---	---	---	---	----

List is now sorted

2-a)

```
function (int n) {
```

```
    if (n == 1)
```

```
        return;
```

```
    for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) { ——— Outer for loop runs n times
```

```
        for (int j = 1; j <= n; j++) { ——— Inner for loop runs
```

```
            print("*"); ——— n
```

n.1 times because
of break statement

```
            break;
```

```
        }
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

$$f(n) = n \in O(n)$$

b)

```
void function (int n) {
```

```
    int count = 0;
```

```
    for (int i = n/3; i <= n; i++) ——— Approximately takes  $O(n)$ 
```

```
        for (int j = 1; j + n/3 <= n; j++) ——— Approximately takes  $O(n)$ 
```

```
            for (int k = 1; k <= n; k = k * 3) ———  $\log_3 n$ 
```

```
                count++;
```

$$f(n) \in O(n^2 \log n)$$

```
}
```

3-

```

procedure QuickSort(L[low:high])
  if high > low
    call Rearrange(L[low:high], position)
    call QuickSort(L[low:position-1])
    call QuickSort(L[position+1:high])
  end if
end QuickSort

```

$B(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$ $W(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$ $A(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$

```

procedure Rearrange(L[low, high], position)
  right = low
  left = high + 1
  x = L[low]
  while right < left do
    repeat right++ until L[right] >= x
    repeat left-- until L[left] <= x
    if right < left
      Interchange(L[left], L[right])
    end if
  end while
  position = left
  L[low] = L[position]
  L[position] = x
end Rearrange

```

```

procedure Binary Search (Sorted Array [low:high], target)
  if high >= low
    mid = (high + low) / 2
    if (arr[mid] == target)
      return mid
    end if
    else if (arr[mid] > target)
      return Binary Search (Sorted Array [low:mid-1], target)
    end else if
    else
      return Binary Search (Sorted Array [mid+1:high], target)
    end else
  end if
  else
    return -1
  end else
end Binary Search

```

Binary Search

$B(n) \in \Theta(1)$
 $W(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$
 $A(n) \in \Theta(\log n)$

```

procedure pair_search (L[0:n], target)
  QuickSort (L[0:n])
  for i = 0 to n do
    index = Binary Search (L[i+1:n-1], target / L[i])
    if (index != -1)
      print (L[i], L[index])
    end if
  end for
end pair_search

```

First, I sorted the array with QuickSort algorithm. After sorting we can use Binary Search. Traverse the array for every element $arr[i]$, we need to find for pairs whose multiplication yields in desired numbers.

$$\text{desired number} = L[i] \cdot L[x]$$

We do binary search for $x / L[i]$ in the right sub-array

QuickSort's average case complexity is $\Theta(n \log n)$

for $i = 0$ to n do

index = BinarySearch($L[i+1:n-1]$, target / $L[i]$)

Binary Search's average time complexity is $\Theta(\log n)$ but it runs n times so its $\Theta(n \log n)$

$$F(n) = n \log n + n \log n \in \Theta(n \log n) \text{ and also it is } O(n \log n)$$

4-

First, do inorder traversal to small tree and store it to an array. Assume that it's size n . It takes $O(n)$ time. Do the same thing to bigger array and assume that its size is m . This takes also $O(m)$. Merge these two array together. The arrays are already sorted so it takes $O(n+m)$ time. Create another binary search tree, get the middle of the array and make it root and recursively do same for left and right half. And also this step takes $O(n+m)$ time. All process is done in $O(n)$ time.

5-

procedure find_elements(arr1[], arr2[])

n = arr1.length

m = arr2.length

HashSet<int> hash1 = new HashSet<>()

HashSet<int> hash2 = new HashSet<>()

for i=0 to n do

if (!hash1.contains(arr1[i]))

hash1.add(arr1[i])

end if

end for

for i=0 to m do

if (!hash1.contains(arr2[i]))

hash2.add(arr2[i])

end if

end for

for-each i in hash2

print(i)

end for

end

Since I use HashSet average time complexity of adding and if contains a given element is $O(1)$ time. So all this procedure

$B(n) \in O(n)$ $A(n) \in O(n)$

But if too many elements were hashed same key it may take $O(n)$ time so worst case of this algorithm is $O(n^2)$