Streaming Diameter of High-Dimensional Points

Magnús M. Halldórsson*¹, Nicolaos Matsakis^{†2}, and Pavel Veselý^{‡3}

¹Reykjavik University, mmh@ru.is ²Reykjavik University, nickmatsakis@gmail.com ³Charles University, vesely@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz

Abstract

We improve the space bound for streaming approximation of Diameter but also of Farthest Neighbor queries, Minimum Enclosing Ball and its Coreset, in high-dimensional Euclidean spaces. In particular, our deterministic streaming algorithms store $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2}\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ points. This improves by a factor of ε^{-1} the previous space bound of Agarwal and Sharathkumar (SODA 2010), while offering a simpler and more complete argument. We also show that storing $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-1})$ points is necessary for a $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)$ -approximation of Farthest Pair or Farthest Neighbor queries.

1 Introduction

In the streaming model the input data is assumed to be vast making it impossible to entirely store it. Therefore, we may store only a sketch of the input while making a few passes over it. The research on streaming algorithms has been remarkably fruitful and we now have optimal or near-optimal algorithms for counting distinct elements, frequency moments, quantiles and a plethora of other problems (see [CY20] for a comprehensive exposition).

We focus on high-dimensional geometric streams, where the input S consists of points in \mathbb{R}^d for d large, a topic of recent interest, e.g., [WY22, EKM⁺24, MMO24, CJK⁺22, MRWZ20, CJLW22, CCJ⁺23, CJK23, JKS24]. Extent measures, such as the Diameter or the Minimum Enclosing Ball, are fundamental statistics of a set of points, having a body of work in both streaming and non-streaming settings [AHV04, Ind03, GIV01, AMS91, BHI02, BC08].

In an influential work, Agarwal and Sharathkumar [AS15] gave a streaming algorithmic framework for several high-dimensional extent problems. Their Blurred Ball Cover data structure maintains a collection of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ balls, whose union approximately covers the input S. It was then used to approximate a number of high-dimensional extent problems. The claim is that each ball is represented by a coreset of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ points of S, for a total space of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ points. It appears though that a somewhat higher space bound is needed for the claimed approximations; see Appendix A.

We give a modified data structure, Guarded Ball Cover, building extensively on [AS15]. It allows for both a simpler and more complete treatment, and also results in the smaller space bound

^{*}Partially supported by Icelandic Research Fund grant 2511609.

[†]Work done in part while the author was at Charles University. Partially supported by Icelandic Research Fund grant 2511609 and by Czech Science Foundation project 22-22997S.

[‡]Partially supported by Czech Science Foundation project 22-22997S, by ERC-CZ project LL2406 of the Ministry of Education of Czech Republic, and by Center for Foundations of Modern Computer Science (Charles Univ. project UNCE 24/SCI/008).

of $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ points. The improved space bound extends to all four applications: approximate Farthest Neighbor queries and maintaining approximate Diameter, Minimum Enclosing Ball and Coreset for Minimum Enclosing Ball. This is feasible by storing only a single point per ball, along with its center and radius. Correctness arguments are simplified by also storing the first point of S as a proxy for all points deleted later from memory.

We also show that $\Omega(\varepsilon^{-1})$ points need to be stored for a comparable $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of Farthest Pair or Farthest Neighbor queries. This applies to a computational model where the algorithm must return an input point upon a query and the space is determined by the number of points stored; crucially, once a point is deleted, it cannot be retrieved.

2 Preliminaries

Let S be a multiset of points in \mathbb{R}^d that arrive sequentially in a stream. Upon arrival, each point $p \in S$ is either stored in memory (and, possibly, deleted later) or irrevocably discarded. We assume one-pass streaming algorithms in the insertion-only setting. By $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we denote an error parameter and by $\alpha > 1$ an approximation guarantee.

An extent measure of a set of points computes certain statistics of either this set or a geometric shape enclosing it [AHV04]. Let $\|pq\|$ denote the Euclidean distance between points $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The *Diameter* is the maximum Euclidean distance between any pair of points in S and the *Farthest Pair* $\mathsf{FP}(S)$ is a pair of points of S having Euclidean distance equal to the Diameter. The *Farthest Neighbor* of a point q is the point p of greatest Euclidean distance from q. An α -farthest-neighbor α - $\mathsf{FN}(q)$ of a query point $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a point $x \in S$ such that for every $p \in S$ it is $\|qp\| \le \alpha \cdot \|xq\|$.

By B(c(B), r(B)) we denote a ball centered at point c(B) with radius r(B). The $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion of B(c, r) is defined as $B(c, (1 + \varepsilon)r)$. The *Minimum Enclosing Ball* $\mathsf{MEB}(S)$ is the ball of minimum radius containing all points of S. A Ball B is α - $\mathsf{MEB}(S)$ if $r(B) \leq \alpha r(\mathsf{MEB}(S))$ and each point of S is within Euclidean distance r(B) from c(B).

For a set of points S, a *coreset* is a set $S' \subseteq S$ that preserves a geometric property of S [HP11]. A set $S' \subseteq S$ is α -coreset(S) for the MEB, if each point of S is contained in the α -expansion of MEB(S').

We compute $\alpha\text{-FN}(q)$ for any query $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and maintain $\alpha\text{-FP}(S)$, $\alpha\text{-MEB}(S)$ and $\alpha\text{-coreset}(S)$. Agarwal and Sharathkumar [AS15] gave streaming algorithms computing $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\text{-FN}(q)$ and maintaining $(\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}+\varepsilon)\text{-MEB}(S)$, $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\text{-coreset}(S)$ and $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)\text{-FP}(S)$. For these approximations, they designed the aforementioned Blurred Ball Cover data structure.

2.1 Related Work

The algorithm of Gonzalez [Gon85] computes a 2-MEB by storing the first point of S and its farthest neighbor, located on the boundary of a ball defined by the first point as center. Zarrabi-Zadeh and Chan [ZC06] improved the guarantee of α -MEB to $\alpha=1.5$ by giving an one-pass algorithm that stores one ball. They also gave a lower bound of $\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}\approx 1.207$ for the guarantee of any deterministic algorithm for the α -MEB that stores only one ball.

Badŏiu et al. [BHI02] showed that the number of coreset points approximating $(1+\varepsilon)$ -MEB(S') for a set S' in \mathbb{R}^d does not depend on d. Improved algorithms were given in [KMY03], [BC03] and [BC08].

Following the conference result of [AS10] that maintains a $(\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}+\varepsilon)$ -MEB(S), Chan and Pathak [CP11] improved this guarantee to $\alpha=1.22+\varepsilon$ by employing a detailed analysis to this

algorithm. Subsequently, Agarwal and Sharathkumar in their journal paper [AS15] observed that this guarantee is slightly greater than $\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2} \approx 1.207$ by presenting an input for d=3 [AS15]; this algorithm outputs the MEB containing all balls stored.

Moreover, a simple $(1/\sqrt{3})$ -approximate two-pass algorithm for the Diameter was given by Egecioglu and Kalantari [EK89], working in space $\mathcal{O}(d)$.

On the negative side, any randomized algorithm that maintains α -FP(S), α -MEB(S) or α -coreset(S) with probability at least 2/3 requires $\Omega(\min\{n, \exp(d^{1/3})\})$ space for certain values of α . These values are $\alpha < \sqrt{2}(1-2/d^{1/3})$ for α -FP(S) and α -coreset(S) and $a < (1+\sqrt{2})(1/2-1/d^{1/3})$ for α -MEB(S), as shown by Agarwal and Sharathkumar [AS15].

For low d, such as d = O(1) or $d = O(\log \log n)$, the lower bound for $\mathsf{FP}(S)$ does not apply as it is possible to maintain $(1+\varepsilon)$ - $\mathsf{FP}(S)$ (or answer $(1+\varepsilon)$ - $\mathsf{FN}(x)$ queries) in a poly-logarithmic space, using an optimized version of the sampling approach of [FIS08]; this applies even to dynamic streams where points may be deleted.

3 The Guarded Ball Cover

The Guarded Ball Cover is a collection \mathcal{B} of balls that approximately cover all points of S. We represent each ball of it by a triplet B = (c, r, q), where c is the center of B (possibly $c \notin S$), r is its radius, and q is a point of S. The point q is referred to as the guard of B. Our algorithm maintains a coreset Q that consists of the guard points. We treat the first point $p_1 \in S$ specially by always having $p_1 \in Q$.

Let $(1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{B} = \{(c, (1+\varepsilon)r, q) : (c, r, q) \in \mathcal{B}\}$ be the collection of the $(1+\varepsilon)$ -expansions of the balls in \mathcal{B} . If the arriving point $p \in S$ belongs to $(1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{B}$, then it is discarded. Otherwise, a new ball is added to \mathcal{B} . Finally, all balls of too small radius are removed from \mathcal{B} .

As the space bound is our primary measure, we assume an exact MEB algorithm, but a good approximation (within, say, a factor of $1 + \varepsilon^2/16$) also suffices.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm for processing a new point $p \in S$ (excluding the first point p_1)

```
1: if p \notin (1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{B} then \triangleright If p is outside of the expansions of all guarded balls

2: (c,r) \leftarrow \mathsf{MEB}(Q \cup \{p\}) \triangleright Compute new MEB

3: \mathcal{B} \leftarrow \{(c,r,p)\} \cup \{(c',r',p') \in \mathcal{B} : r' \geq \varepsilon^2 r/80\} \triangleright Add new ball, delete small balls

4: Q \leftarrow \{p_1\} \cup \bigcup_{(c'',r'',q)\in\mathcal{B}} \{q\} \triangleright Update coreset
```

The following lemma holds for every MEB computed in line 2:

Lemma 1 (Lemma 2.2 in [BHI02]). If B = B(c, r) is the MEB of a set X of points, then any closed half-space containing c also contains a point of X on the boundary of B.

To analyze the algorithm, we first observe that deleted balls are "guarded" by p_1 .

Lemma 2. Suppose ball B is deleted when a ball of radius r is added to B. Then, B is contained in a ball of radius $\varepsilon^2 r/40$ centered at p_1 .

Proof. B contains
$$p_1$$
 and when deleted in line 3, it has radius at most $\varepsilon^2 r/80$.

The main technical part is to show that the radii of new balls increase exponentially:

Lemma 3. If $B_{i+1} = (c_{i+1}, r_{i+1}, p)$ is added to \mathcal{B} following $B_i = (c_i, r_i, p_i)$, then it holds that $r_{i+1} \geq (1 + \varepsilon^2/8) \cdot r_i$.




Figure 1: Left: Case $||c_ic_{i+1}|| \le 5\varepsilon r_i/6$, Right: Case $||c_ic_{i+1}|| > 5\varepsilon r_i/6$ of Lemma 3. The dashed ball is the MEB of all points, assuming that q' is deleted before B_{i+1} is created.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2 in [AS15] and Claim 2.4 in [BHI02]. Let Q, \hat{Q}_D be the point sets such that $B_{i+1} = \mathsf{MEB}(Q \cup \{p\})$ and $B_i = \mathsf{MEB}(Q \cup \hat{Q}_D)$. Consider two cases:

If $||c_i c_{i+1}|| \le 5\varepsilon r_i/6$ then $r_{i+1} \ge ||c_{i+1}p|| \ge ||c_i p|| - ||c_i c_{i+1}|| \ge (1+\varepsilon)r_i - 5\varepsilon r_i/6 \ge (1+\varepsilon^2/6)r_i$ (Figure 1, left), using the triangle inequality and that $p \notin (1+\varepsilon)B_i$ (by line 1).

If $||c_ic_{i+1}|| > 5\varepsilon r_i/6$ then let h be the hyperplane passing through c_i with direction c_ic_{i+1} as its normal and let h^+ be the halfspace bounded by h that does not contain c_{i+1} . There is a point $q' \in (Q \cup \hat{Q}_D) \cap h^+$ at Euclidean distance r_i from c_i , by Lemma 1. Then, $||q'c_{i+1}|| \ge (||c_ic_{i+1}||^2 + ||q'c_i||^2)^{1/2} \ge ((5\varepsilon r_i/6)^2 + r_i^2)^{1/2} \ge (1+\varepsilon^2/4)r_i$ (Figure 1, right), where the first inequality follows from the cosine law. By Lemma 2, there is a point $q \in Q$ such that $||qq'|| \le (\varepsilon^2/40)r_i$. Hence, $r_{i+1} \ge ||q'c_{i+1}|| - ||qq'|| \ge (1+\varepsilon^2/5)r_i$.

If we use a $(1 + \varepsilon^2/16)$ -approximate MEB (utilizing the algorithm of [BC08] as a subroutine), then we still have that $r_{i+1} \ge (1 + \varepsilon^2/5)r_i/(1 + \varepsilon^2/16) \ge (1 + \varepsilon^2/8)r_i$.

Finally, we show that at any time, the $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion of any deleted ball is contained in the $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion of each ball created after the deletion of the former ball.

Lemma 4. If ball \hat{B} was deleted then $(1 + \varepsilon)\hat{B} \subset (1 + \varepsilon)B_i$, for each $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ created after the deletion of \hat{B} .

Proof. Let $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$. By Lemmas 2 and 3, it is $\hat{B} \subset B(p_1, \varepsilon^2 r(B_i)/40)$; therefore, we have $(1+\varepsilon)\hat{B} \subset B(p_1, \varepsilon r(B_i)/20)$. Since p_1 is in B, it follows that $(1+\varepsilon)\hat{B} \subset (1+\varepsilon/20)B_i$.

Our main result follows from the preceding lemmas:

Theorem 5. \mathcal{B} contains $\mathcal{O}((1/\varepsilon^2)\log(1/\varepsilon))$ balls and $S \subset (1+\varepsilon)\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. The first claim follows from Lemma 3 and line 3 of the algorithm. For the second claim, let $p \in S$. By construction, p is in the $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion of a ball B that entered \mathcal{B} . By Lemma 4, $(1 + \varepsilon)B \subset \bigcup_{B' \in \mathcal{B}} (1 + \varepsilon)B'$, whether B was deleted or not.

4 Applications

Farthest Neighbor Queries and Diameter We largely follow the analysis of [AS15]. For a query point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the algorithm computes and returns the farthest point in $Q: q' = \arg\max_{q \in Q} ||qx||$.

We show that $||p'x|| \le (\sqrt{2} + 2\varepsilon)||q'x||$, where $p' = \arg\max_{p \in S} ||px||$ is one of the (optimal) farthest points from x.

Let $B_i = (c_i, r_i)$ be the ball in \mathcal{B} of greatest radius that contains p' in its $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion, which exists by Theorem 5. Applying the triangle inequality, followed by the inequality $x + y \le \sqrt{2(x^2 + y^2)}$, we have that

$$||xp'|| \le ||xc_i|| + ||c_ip'|| \le ||xc_i|| + (1+\varepsilon)r_i \le \sqrt{2}(||xc_i||^2 + r_i^2)^{1/2} + \varepsilon r_i.$$
 (1)

By Lemma 1, when B_i was created, there was a guard z such that: i) $||zc_i|| = r_i$, ii) $||xz|| \ge r_i$, and iii) $\angle zc_ip' \ge 90^\circ$. By iii) and the cosine law, we have

$$||xz|| \ge (||xc_i||^2 + r_i^2)^{1/2} . (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), we get that

$$||xp'|| \le \sqrt{2}||xz|| + \varepsilon r_i \le (\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)||xz||. \tag{3}$$

Note that $\|q'x\| \geq r_m/2$, where r_m is the radius of the largest ball in \mathcal{B} , as otherwise there is a ball containing Q of radius less than r_m . Also, by Lemma 2, there is a point $w \in Q$ (z or p_1) of Euclidean distance at most $\varepsilon^2 r_m/80 \leq \varepsilon^2 \|q'x\|/40$ from z. By definition of q', $\|wx\| \leq \|q'x\|$. Thus, $\|xz\| \leq \|wx\| + \|wz\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon^2/40)\|q'x\|$. Combining this with (3) gives that q' is a $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon')$ -FN(x), for $\varepsilon' = 2\varepsilon$.

Finally, for the closely related problem of Diameter, we return $\mathsf{FN}(p)$ for each point $p \in S$. If $\bar{p} = \mathsf{FN}(p)$ and $||p\bar{p}||$ exceeds the stored Diameter, then we replace the old Farthest Pair with the new pair of points (p,\bar{p}) .

Corollary 6. For a stream S of points in \mathbb{R}^d , the Guarded Ball Cover of $\mathcal{O}((1/\varepsilon)^2 \log(1/\varepsilon))$ stored points answers $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)$ -FN(x) for any query $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and maintains $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)$ -FP(x).

Minimum Enclosing Ball The following theorem was shown by Chan and Pathak [CP11] and improved the guarantee of the approximate MEB algorithm of Agarwal and Sharathkumar to $1.22+\varepsilon$ (see [AS15], p. 91):

Theorem 7 (Theorem 1 in [CP11], Theorem 1 in [Pat11]). Let $K_1, ..., K_u$ be subsets of a point set S in \mathbb{R}^d , with $B_i = \mathsf{MEB}(K_i)$ such that: i) $r(B_i)$ is increasing over i, and ii) $K_i \subset (1+\varepsilon)B_j$, for each i < j. Then, $r(B) \le (1.22 + \varepsilon) \cdot r(\mathsf{MEB}(S))$, where $B = \mathsf{MEB}(\bigcup_{i=1}^u B_i)$.

In our case, K_i is the coreset on the boundary of the MEB computed in line 2 of the algorithm. Therefore, the first requirement of Theorem 7 holds by Lemma 3. The second requirement follows immediately for points in Q and by Lemma 4 for points deleted from Q.

Corollary 8. For a stream S of points in \mathbb{R}^d , the Guarded Ball Cover of $\mathcal{O}((1/\varepsilon^2)\log(1/\varepsilon))$ stored points maintains $(1.22 + \varepsilon)$ -MEB(S).

Coreset for Minimum Enclosing Ball We mostly follow the analysis of [AS15]. Let $B=(c,r_m)$ be the most recently created ball added to \mathcal{B} . The MEB(S) has radius at least r_m , since B is an MEB of a subset of S. We claim that $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)B$ contains all points in S, which implies that it forms a $(\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon)$ -coreset(S). Namely, we show that each point $y \in S$ has $||yc|| \leq (\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon')r_m$, for $\varepsilon'=2\varepsilon$.

Consider a point $y \in S$ that is farthest from c. Let $B_i = (c_i, r_i)$ be a guarded ball that has not been deleted and whose $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -expansion contains y. By the triangle inequality and the definition

of B_i , $||yc|| \le ||cc_i|| + ||yc_i|| \le ||cc_i|| + (1+\varepsilon)r_i$. Let h be the hyperplane passing through c_i with direction cc_i as normal and let h^+ be the halfspace bounded by h that does not contain c. By Lemma 1 there is a guard g in h^+ , and by the cosine law it is $||gc|| \ge \sqrt{||cc_i||^2 + r_i^2}$. Then (using the inequality $a + b \le \sqrt{2(a^2 + b^2)}$),

$$\frac{\|yc\|}{\|gc\|} \le \frac{\|cc_i\| + (1+\varepsilon)r_i}{\sqrt{\|cc_i\|^2 + r_i^2}} \le \sqrt{2} + \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2, there is a guard $q \in Q$ with $||qg|| \le \varepsilon^2 r_m/40$, so by the triangle inequality, $||gc|| \le ||qc|| + ||gq|| \le (1 + \varepsilon^2/40)r_m$. Hence, $||yc|| \le (\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon^2/40)r_m \le (\sqrt{2} + 2\varepsilon)r_m$.

Corollary 9. For a stream S of points in \mathbb{R}^d , the Guarded Ball Cover of $\mathcal{O}((1/\varepsilon)^2 \log(1/\varepsilon))$ stored points maintains $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)$ -coreset(S).

5 Lower Bound for Farthest Pair and Farthest Neighbor Queries

We show that computing a $\sqrt{2}$ -approximation (with $\varepsilon = 0$) of Farthest Neighbor queries or Farthest Pair is impossible in the streaming model without returning points outside of S.

This applies to the computational model of "coreset-based algorithms" in which the space bound is counted in the number of input points stored and the algorithm must return an input point upon a query (or two input points for the Farthest Pair); crucially, once a point is deleted from memory, it cannot be retrieved. This model is akin to comparison-based model in sorting or selection, as used in [CV20] for streaming lower bounds for quantile estimation.

Theorem 10. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d = \Omega(1/\varepsilon)$, any coreset-based randomized streaming algorithm answering approximate Farthest Neighbor queries or maintaining the approximate Farthest Pair in \mathbb{R}^d with multiplicative error $\leq \sqrt{2} + \varepsilon$, has to store $\Omega(1/\varepsilon)$ points.

Proof. We use the easy direction of Yao's minimax principle and design a distribution over instances (points and Farthest Neighbor queries) so that any deterministic streaming algorithm using space $o(1/\varepsilon)$ will, with high constant probability, answer a $\mathsf{FN}(q)$ query incorrectly, i.e., the point returned on the query q will be more than $(\sqrt{2} + \varepsilon)$ -factor closer to q than the farthest point. The same argument applies to Farthest Pair.

Supposing, without loss of generality, that $2/\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$, we insert $k := 1/(2\varepsilon) + 1$ points of the standard basis, i.e., $\mathbf{e}_i = (0,0,\ldots,1,0,\ldots,0)$, where the *i*-th coordinate is 1, for $i=0,\ldots,k-1$ (the order of insertions does not matter). The random part of the construction is to choose $j \in \{0,\ldots,k-1\}$ uniformly at random and make a Farthest Neighbor query for point $q_j = (2\varepsilon,2\varepsilon,\ldots,-1,2\varepsilon,\ldots,2\varepsilon,0,\ldots,0)$, where the coordinate j is -1 and only the first k coordinates are not 0. Clearly, the farthest point from q_j is e_j and their Euclidean distance is $\sqrt{4+(k-1)\cdot 4\varepsilon^2} = \sqrt{4+2\varepsilon}$, using the choice of k.

However, with some constant probability, point e_j is not stored as the algorithm stores $o(1/\varepsilon) = o(d)$ points. Conditioning on the event that e_j is not stored, the algorithm needs to answer the query with a point e_i for $i \neq j$. However, the Euclidean distance between q_j and e_i with $i \neq j$ is $\sqrt{(1-2\varepsilon)^2+1+(k-2)\cdot 4\varepsilon^2}=\sqrt{2-4\varepsilon+(k-1)\cdot 4\varepsilon^2}=\sqrt{2-2\varepsilon}$, using the choice of k. It follows that the approximation ratio of the algorithm is at least $\sqrt{(4+2\varepsilon)/(2-2\varepsilon)}>\sqrt{2}+\varepsilon$. \square

References

- [AHV04] Pankaj K. Agarwal, Sariel Har-Peled, and Kasturi R. Varadarajan. Approximating extent measures of points. *J. ACM*, 51(4):606–635, 2004.
- [AMS91] Pankaj K. Agarwal, Jirí Matousek, and Subhash Suri. Farthest neighbors, maximum spanning trees and related problems in higher dimensions. *Comput. Geom.*, 1:189–201, 1991.
- [AS10] Pankaj K. Agarwal and R. Sharathkumar. Streaming algorithms for extent problems in high dimensions. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1481–1489. SIAM, 2010.
- [AS15] Pankaj K. Agarwal and R. Sharathkumar. Streaming algorithms for extent problems in high dimensions. *Algorithmica*, 72(1):83–98, 2015.
- [BC03] Mihai Badoiu and Kenneth L. Clarkson. Smaller core-sets for balls. In *Proceedings* of the 14th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), pages 801–802. ACM/SIAM, 2003.
- [BC08] Mihai Badoiu and Kenneth L. Clarkson. Optimal core-sets for balls. *Comput. Geom.*, 40(1):14–22, 2008.
- [BHI02] Mihai Badoiu, Sariel Har-Peled, and Piotr Indyk. Approximate clustering via core-sets. In *Proceedings of the 34th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 250–257. ACM, 2002.
- [CCJ⁺23] Xi Chen, Vincent Cohen-Addad, Rajesh Jayaram, Amit Levi, and Erik Waingarten. Streaming euclidean MST to a constant factor. In *Proceedings of the 55th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 156–169. ACM, 2023.
- [CJK⁺22] Artur Czumaj, Shaofeng H.-C. Jiang, Robert Krauthgamer, Pavel Veselý, and Mingwei Yang. Streaming facility location in high dimension via geometric hashing. In *Proceedings of the 63rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 450–461. IEEE, 2022.
- [CJK23] Xiaoyu Chen, Shaofeng H.-C. Jiang, and Robert Krauthgamer. Streaming euclidean max-cut: Dimension vs data reduction. In *Proceedings of the 55th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 170–182. ACM, 2023.
- [CJLW22] Xi Chen, Rajesh Jayaram, Amit Levi, and Erik Waingarten. New streaming algorithms for high dimensional EMD and MST. In Proceedings of the 54th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pages 222–233. ACM, 2022.
- [CP11] Timothy M. Chan and Vinayak Pathak. Streaming and dynamic algorithms for minimum enclosing balls in high dimensions. In *Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures (WADS)*, pages 195–206. Springer, 2011.
- [CV20] Graham Cormode and Pavel Veselý. A tight lower bound for comparison-based quantile summaries. In *Proceedings of the 39th ACM Symposium on Principles of Database Systems (PODS)*, pages 81–93. ACM, 2020.

- [CY20] Graham Cormode and Ke Yi. Small Summaries for Big Data. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [EK89] Ömer Egecioglu and Bahman Kalantari. Approximating the diameter of a set of points in the euclidean space. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 32(4):205–211, 1989.
- [EKM⁺24] Hossein Esfandiari, Praneeth Kacham, Vahab Mirrokni, David P. Woodruff, and Peilin Zhong. High-dimensional geometric streaming for nearly low rank data. In *Proceedings* of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2024.
- [FIS08] Gereon Frahling, Piotr Indyk, and Christian Sohler. Sampling in dynamic data streams and applications. *Int. J. Comput. Geom. Appl.*, 18(1/2):3–28, 2008.
- [GIV01] Ashish Goel, Piotr Indyk, and Kasturi R. Varadarajan. Reductions among high dimensional proximity problems. In *Proceedings of the 12th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 769–778. ACM/SIAM, 2001.
- [Gon85] Teofilo F. Gonzalez. Clustering to minimize the maximum intercluster distance. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 38:293–306, 1985.
- [HP11] Sariel Har-Peled. Geometric Approximation Algorithms. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [Ind03] Piotr Indyk. Better algorithms for high-dimensional proximity problems via asymmetric embeddings. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms* (SODA), pages 539–545. ACM/SIAM, 2003.
- [JKS24] Shaofeng H.-C. Jiang, Robert Krauthgamer, and Shay Sapir. Moderate dimension reduction for k-center clustering. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*, volume 293, pages 64:1–64:16, 2024.
- [KMY03] Piyush Kumar, Joseph S. B. Mitchell, and E. Alper Yildirim. Approximate minimum enclosing balls in high dimensions using core-sets. *ACM J. Exp. Algorithmics*, 8, 2003.
- [MMO24] Yury Makarychev, Naren Sarayu Manoj, and Max Ovsiankin. Near-optimal streaming ellipsoidal rounding for general convex polytopes. In *Proceedings of the 56th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 1526–1537. ACM, 2024.
- [MRWZ20] Sepideh Mahabadi, Ilya P. Razenshteyn, David P. Woodruff, and Samson Zhou. Non-adaptive adaptive sampling on turnstile streams. In *Proceedings of the 52nd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, pages 1251–1264. ACM, 2020.
- [Pat11] Vinayak Pathak. Streaming and dynamic algorithms for minimum enclosing balls in high dimensions. Master's thesis, University of Waterloo, 2011.
- [WY22] David P. Woodruff and Taisuke Yasuda. High-dimensional geometric streaming in polynomial space. In *Proceedings of the 63rd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 732–743. IEEE, 2022.
- [ZC06] Hamid Zarrabi-Zadeh and Timothy M. Chan. A simple streaming algorithm for minimum enclosing balls. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (CCCG)*, 2006.

A A Note on Lemma 2 in [AS15]

We report on a possible issue in the proof of Lemma 2 in [AS15] (a similar issue appears in the conference version [AS10]). Lemma 2 in [AS15] states that for any i, $r(B_{i+1}) \ge (1 + \varepsilon^2/8) \cdot r(B_i)$, where B_i and B_{i+1} are two consecutive balls of the Blurred Ball Cover. The property that the radii of balls increase geometrically is crucially needed to bound the space requirements.

The proof of Lemma 2 goes as follows: Let ball $B_{i+1} = \mathsf{APPROX\text{-}MEB}(\bigcup_{j \leq i} K_j \cup A), \varepsilon/3)$, where K_j is the coreset of B_j , A is a buffer of incoming points, and $\mathsf{APPROX\text{-}MEB}$ is the subroutine of [BC08] that computes it; the computation of B_{i+1} is triggered because each point in A was not contained in any $(1+\varepsilon)$ -expansion of a ball B_j for $j \leq i$. Define ball B' as the MEB of $\bigcup_{j \leq i} K_j \cup A$. It is subsequently claimed that $r(B_{i+1}) \geq r(B')$, without a proof. However, B' is the MEB of $\bigcup_{j \leq i} K_j \cup A$, while B_{i+1} is an approximate MEB for $\bigcup_{j \leq i} K_j \cup A$, namely the $(1+\varepsilon/3)$ -expansion of B_{i+1} contains all these points but a smaller expansion of B_{i+1} may not contain them all.

We observe that this can be fixed by the computation of a tighter MEB approximation $B_{i+1} = \mathsf{APPROX\text{-}MEB}(\bigcup_{j \leq i} K_j \cup A), \varepsilon^2/16)$. That, however, may result in a coreset K_{i+1} of size $\Theta(\varepsilon^{-2})$, which (unlike our approach) increases the space bound in [AS15] to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-4}\log(1/\varepsilon))$. We are unaware of a fix that does not affect the space bound or guarantees.