


SSR-Zero: Simple Self-Rewarding Reinforcement Learning for Machine Translation

Wenjie Yang, Mao Zheng, Mingyang Song, Zheng Li

Tencent Hunyuan

leonzxyang@tencent.com

Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have recently demonstrated remarkable capabilities in machine translation (MT). However, most advanced MT-specific LLMs heavily rely on external supervision signals during training, such as human-annotated reference data or trained reward models (RMs), which are often expensive to obtain and challenging to scale. To overcome this limitation, we propose a Simple Self-Rewarding ( **SSR**) Reinforcement Learning (RL) framework for MT that is reference-free, fully online, and relies solely on self-judging rewards. Training with SSR using 13K monolingual examples and Qwen-2.5-7B as the backbone, our model SSR-Zero-7B outperforms existing MT-specific LLMs, e.g., TowerInstruct-13B and GemmaX-28-9B, as well as larger general LLMs like Qwen2.5-32B-Instruct in English \leftrightarrow Chinese translation tasks from WMT23, WMT24, and Flores200 benchmarks. Furthermore, by augmenting SSR with external supervision from COMET, our strongest model, SSR-X-Zero-7B, achieves state-of-the-art performance in English \leftrightarrow Chinese translation, surpassing all existing open-source models under 72B parameters and even outperforming closed-source models, e.g., GPT-4o and Gemini 1.5 Pro. Our analysis highlights the effectiveness of the self-rewarding mechanism compared to the external LLM-as-a-judge approach in MT and demonstrates its complementary benefits when combined with trained RMs. Our findings provide valuable insight into the potential of self-improving RL methods. We have publicly released our code, data and models¹.

1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) have recently achieved notable advances in machine translation (MT) (Aryabumi et al., 2024; Rei et al., 2024b; Cui et al., 2025), benefiting greatly from their

ability to scale to extensive training data and effectively leverage pre-trained knowledge. For example, MT-specific LLMs such as Tower and X-ALMA achieve state-of-the-art (SOTA) translation performance across various languages by employing continual pre-training (CPT) on billions of tokens from parallel and monolingual data, followed by fine-tuning on high-quality human-annotated data (Alves et al., 2024; Cui et al., 2025). However, relying on extensive, high-quality training datasets is not sustainable as training scales, as they are expensive and difficult to obtain.

Another recent trend explores improving LLMs through inference-time reasoning, exemplified by models such as OpenAI o1 (Jaech et al., 2024) and DeepSeek R1 (Guo et al., 2025). These models generate a long chain-of-thought (CoT) before giving final answers, which perform especially well in logic, coding, and mathematics (Guo et al., 2025; Xie et al., 2025; Song et al., 2025), suggesting potential for MT tasks (Chen et al., 2025). Typically, reasoning models adopt an R1-like training paradigm, which optimizes models by Reinforcement Learning (RL) algorithms, e.g., GPRO (Shao et al., 2024) and DAPO (Yu et al., 2025) with rewards denoted by the difference between model output and ground-truth data. Recent work extends these reasoning methods to MT by either designing explicit reasoning patterns (Wang et al., 2024, 2025) or allowing models to autonomously learn reasoning steps (Feng et al., 2025). Yet, current approaches still rely heavily on external supervision either from costly human annotations or pre-trained reward models distilled from expensive labeled data, posing ongoing scalability issues.

To address this challenge, we propose a Simple Self-Rewarding (**SSR**) RL framework for MT, eliminating the need for any external supervision. SSR leverages a self-judging mechanism in which the LLM itself evaluates its translation outputs and derives reward signals used in the GRPO algorithm.

¹<https://github.com/Kelaxon/SSR-Zero>

Specifically, we train an uninstructed Qwen2.5-7B model via SSR using 13K monolingual examples (6.5K English and 6.5K Chinese), resulting in SSR-Zero-7B. This model achieves significant improvements in MT quality with gains of 18.11% for English-to-Chinese and 14.74% for Chinese-to-English translation. Extensive experiments on the WMT23, WMT24, and Flores200 benchmarks demonstrate that SSR-Zero-7B surpasses existing MT-specialized LLMs such as TowerInstruct-13B and GemmaX-28-9B, as well as general-purpose LLMs such as Qwen-2.5-32B-Instruct. By further augmenting SSR with external reward signals from COMET, our strongest model SSR-X-Zero-7B achieves SOTA results for English \leftrightarrow Chinese translation among open-source LLMs under 72B parameters, even outperforming closed-source models such as GPT-4o and Gemini-1.5 Pro. Lastly, we conducted comparative analyzes to further explore the effectiveness of the self-reward mechanism versus external reward methods. These include trained MT-evaluation reward models including COMET and COMETKIWI, as well as frozen LLM-as-a-judge models including Qwen2.5-7B and Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct. Additionally, we examine how incorporating reference data in reward methods affects the training models’ translation quality.

In summary, our key contributions are: 1) we develop SSR, a fully online self-assessing RL framework for MT, eliminating reliance on external reward models or reference translations. 2) Our experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of SSR. Our model, SSR-Zero-7B, outperforms many existing advanced open-source MT-specific LLMs and larger general LLMs. 3) We illustrate that SSR-generated rewards effectively complement external rewards, resulting in our model SSR-X-Zero-7B achieving SOTA performance in English \leftrightarrow Chinese translation. 4) We provide a detailed analysis comparing SSR with existing external reward methods, offering insights into effective reward selection for MT systems. By open-sourcing our code, data, and model, our work opens promising new directions towards self-improving MT models without costly supervision.

2 Related Work

2.1 Machine Translation with LLMs

Recent advances in LLMs have substantially improved MT across various pairs of languages

(Costa-Jussà et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2024; Workshop et al., 2022). Many SOTA MT-focused LLMs (Rei et al., 2024b; Cui et al., 2025) employ CPT using extensive mixed parallel and monolingual data (over 10 billion tokens) to achieve outstanding MT performance. Moreover, Rei et al. (2024a) demonstrated that expanding the variety of training tasks—such as translation evaluation, MQM-based error-span detection, and named-entity recognition—can further improve MT’s capabilities. Furthermore, Cui et al. (2025) introduced an optimized sequential data mixing strategy, prioritizing parallel data over monolingual data during CPT. Their model achieves highly competitive results comparable to Google Translate and GPT-4-turbo. Despite producing impressive translation quality, these existing methods rely heavily on vast amounts of high-quality annotated or curated data. Acquiring and scaling such resources has become increasingly expensive and challenging, creating significant bottlenecks for the sustainable development of MT models.

2.2 MT via Reinforcement Learning

Early MT research employed reinforcement learning (RL) to tackle exposure bias, an issue inherent in supervised fine-tuning (Bengio et al., 2015), as RL optimizes models based on their own predictions rather than relying solely on ground-truth inputs. Existing work used RL algorithms such as REINFORCE (Ranzato et al., 2015), Actor-Critic (Bahdanau et al., 2016), and policy gradient methods (Yu et al., 2017), leveraging rule-based metrics (e.g., BLEU, ROUGE) (Ranzato et al., 2015) or trained reward models (Wu et al., 2017) for training.

In the era of LLM, DeepSeek-R1/R1-Zero showed that simple RL methods, such as GRPO combined with verifiable rewards, could significantly enhance reasoning capabilities (Guo et al., 2025). This R1/R1-Zero training paradigm has also been applied to translation tasks recently. For instance, He et al. (2025) fine-tuned their model with manually-crafted chain-of-thought data and trained using COMET-based rewards and REINFORCE++. Feng et al. (2025) utilized BLEU, COMETKiwi, and their combination as reward signals, achieving SOTA performance with MT-R1-Zero-Sem. Wang et al. (2025) developed DeepTrans, applying a large LLM-based judge for evaluating both reasoning steps and translations during RL training, improving literary translation.




Figure 1: Overview of the 🐙 SSR framework. SSR is an R1-Zero-like RL training method for machine translation, which uses the same model as both actor and judge. It does not require external reward models or human-annotated reference data. Prompts shown here are simplified for clarity.

Nevertheless, current RL-based methods for MT still depend heavily on external supervision signals, which often require additional training or are challenging to acquire, especially in a low-resource situation.

2.3 Self-Judging in RL

Recent work has investigated self-rewarding mechanisms, where LLMs generate feedback signals to train themselves (Chen et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2025b). This approach holds promise in reducing dependency on human annotations or frozen reward models distilled from human judgments. For instance, Chen et al. (2024) fine-tuned a Llama 2-70B model by first using seed instruction data, and subsequently iterating self-instruction sampling, self-judging, and DPO training. Their results demonstrated improvements in both instruction-following and evaluation capabilities.

Similar self-improving methods such as self-play and self-judging have enhanced math reasoning (Zhang et al., 2025a; Zhao et al., 2025), visual modality alignment (Zhou et al., 2024), and cross-lingual transfer (Chen et al., 2024; Geng et al., 2024; Yang et al., 2024b). However, these self-judging approaches remain largely underexplored in MT tasks. One exception is Zou et al. (2025), who proposed a self-play framework employing Monte Carlo Tree Search to derive preferences based on cross-lingual semantic consistency from

the model’s own outputs. They then used this data for preference learning. Yet, even with the same base model (Qwen-2.5-7B), their method did not outperform MT-specific LLMs like TowerInstruct as our approach.

Compared to existing MT training methods, our approach eliminates the requirement for external supervision, operates fully online, and achieves strong performance even when trained exclusively on monolingual data. Our results demonstrate that powerful pre-trained models inherently possess sufficient translation and MT-evaluation capabilities. This finding suggests a promising direction toward developing self-improving MT systems that can be effectively trained without relying on human feedback.

3 Methodology

In this section, we first outline the SSR methodology (§3.1), followed by an introduction of the reward design within the RL framework (§3.2). Finally, we introduce the RL algorithm employed in our work (§3.3).

3.1 Simple Self-Rewarding (SSR)

SSR is a fully online, R1-Zero-like RL approach with a novel self-evaluation mechanism that simplifies reward signal acquisition. This mechanism leverages a pre-trained LLM that alternates between acting as both an actor and a judge.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the pretrained model, at each training step, first plays the role of an actor that accepts a batch of translation prompts (①). For each prompt, the model generates a group of N candidate translations (②). These candidate translations are then constructed on LLM-as-a-judge prompts separately (③). Next, the model switches to a judge role, evaluating all prompts to estimate translation quality and generate judgments (④). Each judgment includes a score from 0 to 100, where 0 indicates poor translation and 100 indicates perfect translation. We extract reward scores from judgments using regular expressions (⑤) and then use them in the RL algorithm (i.e., GRPO) to update the actor model’s parameters (⑥). In total, one translation prompt generates N candidate translations and N reward scores. We iterate Step ① through ⑥ multiple times until the model’s performance converges (⑦).

Below are the prompts for generating translations (i.e., *actor prompt*) and evaluations (*judge prompt*) used in SSR training. The actor prompt builds on Deepseek-R1-Zero’s system prompt (Guo et al., 2025), requiring the model to answer within a specific format (i.e., `<answer></answer>`) and think before responding.

Actor Prompt: Generating Translations

A conversation between User and Assistant. The User asks a question, and the Assistant solves it. The Assistant first thinks about the reasoning process in the mind and then provides the User with the answer. The reasoning process is enclosed within `<think></think>` and answer is enclosed within `<answer></answer>` tags, respectively, i.e., `<think> reasoning process here </think><answer> answer here </answer>`.

User:

Translate the following text to {tgt_lang}:
{src_text}

Assistant:

Judge Prompt: Self-Evaluating

A conversation between User and Assistant. The User asks a question, and the Assistant solves it. The Assistant first thinks about the reasoning process in the mind and then provides the User with the answer. The rea-

soning process is enclosed within `<think></think>` and answer is enclosed within `<answer></answer>` tags, respectively, i.e., `<think> reasoning process here </think><answer> answer here </answer>`.

User:

Score the following translation from {src_lang} to {tgt_lang} on a continuous scale from 0 to 100, where a score of zero means “no meaning preserved” and score of one hundred means “perfect meaning and grammar”.

Additionally, give a score of zero if the translation 1) contains irrelevant content, such as interpretations of the translation, 2) does not match the target language, 3) contains multiple translations.

{src_lang} source: {src_text}

{tgt_lang} translation: {translated_text}

Assistant:

The judge prompt is modified from GEMBA-DA (Kocmi and Federmann, 2023), a widely-used LLM-as-a-judge template for direct assessment of translation, which achieved SOTA performance in translation quality assessment using GPT-4. Compared to GEMBA-DA, our judge prompt includes an “think-before-answer” system instruction. This addition explicitly encourages the model to take advantage of the reasoning capabilities acquired during RL training when evaluating translations. Additionally, we instruct the judge to give a zero score for unwanted candidate translations containing irrelevant content or language misalignment. During training, only the content within `<answer></answer>` tags is extracted and incorporated into the judge’s instructions.

3.2 Reward Modeling

Our RL training utilizes two types of rewards: *self-reward* and *format reward*.

Self Reward This reward estimates the quality of the model’s translation using the training model itself, denoted by:

$$r_{\text{self}} = M_{\text{self}}(\text{src}, \text{trans})$$

where M_{self} is the model during the training. Using the judge prompt, the model takes both source

text and model translation (without reference translations) and generates a judgment containing a score on a 100-point scale. We extract this score from the <answer></answer> tags in the judge’s responses using regular expressions.

Format Reward This reward checks whether the model generation follows the format defined in the actor prompt:

$$r_{\text{format}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if format is correct} \\ 0, & \text{if format is incorrect} \end{cases}$$

Overall Reward In training, we combine the two types of rewards to train our SSR-Zero model:

$$r_{\text{all}} = \begin{cases} 1 + r_{\text{self}}, & \text{if } r_{\text{format}} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } r_{\text{format}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

In addition, we investigate integrating external reward signals to further enhance model performance. Our strongest model, SSR-X-Zero (SSR with eXternal rewards), incorporates rewards computed by COMET, an automatic MT evaluation metric (Rei et al., 2022) that scores translation quality using source sentences, machine-generated translations, and reference translations:

$$r'_{\text{all}} = \begin{cases} 1 + r_{\text{self}} + r_{\text{COMET}}, & \text{if } r_{\text{format}} \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } r_{\text{format}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$r_{\text{COMET}} = M_{\text{COMET}}(\text{src}, \text{trans}, \text{ref})$$

3.3 RL algorithm

We follow the work of Shao et al. (2024) and Guo et al. (2025) by adopting the Group Related Policy Optimization (GRPO) algorithm for training, as it demonstrates stability and strong performance. Specifically, for each given translation prompt p , the policy model $\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}$ first samples a group of candidate translations $G \{o^i\}_{i=1}^G$. Then, using the same policy model, we perform the SSR procedure described earlier to obtain rewards $\{r_{\text{all}}^i\}_{i=1}^G$ for all candidate translations. Next, we compute the advantage for the i -th candidate translation by normalizing the group-level rewards:

$$A_i = \frac{r_{\text{all}}^i - \text{mean}(\{r_{\text{all}}^i\}_{i=1}^G)}{\text{std}(\{r_{\text{all}}^i\}_{i=1}^G)}$$

Using these advantages, GRPO optimizes the policy by maximizing the following objective:

$$J_{\text{GRPO}}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{q \sim P(Q), \{o^i\}_{i=1}^G \sim \pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(O|p)} \left[\frac{1}{G} \sum_{i=1}^G \min \left(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(o^i|p)}{\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(o^i|p)} A_i, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{clip} \left(\frac{\pi_{\theta}(o^i|p)}{\pi_{\theta_{\text{old}}}(o^i|p)}, 1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon \right) A_i \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \beta D_{\text{KL}}(\pi_{\theta} \parallel \pi_{\text{ref}}) \right) \right],$$

where ε and β are hyperparameters, π_{ref} is the reference model, and $D_{\text{KL}}(\pi_{\theta} \parallel \pi_{\text{ref}})$ is the KL divergence between π_{θ} and π_{ref} .

4 Experiments

4.1 Experimental Setup

Dataset In this paper, we focus on bidirectional translation between English and Chinese, with potential expansion to other language pairs in future work. We use the training dataset released by Feng et al. (2025), originally collected from WMT 2017 through WMT 2020 for English-Chinese sentence pairs. Following their preprocessing, sentences shorter than 30 characters were filtered out. Unlike the original bilingual setup, we use these data monolingually, splitting sentence pairs into separate English and Chinese examples to serve as monolingual source sentences for training. The resulting dataset comprises 13,130 monolingual examples (6,565 in English and 6,565 in Chinese).

For testing, we evaluate the translation performance on English-to-Chinese (EN-ZH) and Chinese-to-English (ZH-EN) benchmarks from WMT23², WMT24³, and FLORES-200 (Costa-Jussà et al., 2022).

Metrics Following the settings in Rei et al. (2024b), we adopt two widely used automatic MT-evaluation metrics: the reference-based XCOMET-XXL metric (Guerreiro et al., 2024), and the reference-free COMETKIWI-XXL metric (Rei et al., 2023), both in their largest available model size.

Baselines We compare our models with the following baseline model categories:

Closed-source models, including GPT-4o-20241120 (Hurst et al., 2024), Claude-3.5-Sonnet-20240620 (Anthropic, 2024), and Gemini-1.5-Pro.

²<https://www2.statmt.org/wmt23/translation-task.html>

³<https://www2.statmt.org/wmt24/translation-task.html>

Models	ZH→EN							EN→ZH						
	WMT23		WMT24		Flores200		Avg.	WMT23		WMT24		Flores200		Avg.
	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM		KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	
Closed-Source LLMs														
Claude-3.5-Sonnet	81.61	93.06	81.06	90.54	89.41	97.68	88.89	80.15	92.00	80.00	86.31	89.47	94.32	87.04
GPT-4o	80.92	92.15	79.90	89.06	88.94	96.50	87.91	76.71	88.56	77.42	83.95	88.30	93.30	84.71
Gemini-1.5-Pro	80.71	92.44	79.02	88.90	88.15	97.32	87.76	79.80	91.95	79.54	87.11	89.30	94.54	87.04
Open-Source LLMs														
General Purpose LLMs														
Qwen3-32B🤖	79.74	90.79	79.20	88.47	87.68	95.75	86.94	76.94	89.75	76.96	84.10	87.45	92.18	84.56
Qwen3-32B	80.28	91.95	79.95	89.53	88.88	97.18	87.96	79.27	91.28	79.51	86.63	89.69	94.07	86.74
Qwen3-8B🤖	78.30	89.03	77.99	86.94	85.82	93.89	85.33	74.94	88.22	75.39	82.25	86.08	91.02	82.98
Qwen3-8B	79.87	91.42	79.58	89.02	88.61	96.55	87.51	78.59	90.90	78.71	85.31	88.90	93.30	85.95
Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct	80.62	92.14	80.46	90.06	88.90	97.28	88.24	78.18	91.34	78.18	85.13	88.04	93.20	85.68
Qwen2.5-32B-Instruct	77.73	89.28	78.77	88.69	87.13	95.50	86.18	77.73	90.23	78.77	83.48	87.13	91.99	84.89
Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	77.56	89.40	76.71	87.12	86.28	94.06	85.19	73.81	88.11	72.98	80.93	85.18	89.90	81.82
QwQ-32B🤖	74.61	85.12	75.08	84.34	80.88	89.21	81.54	77.33	89.10	78.13	85.03	86.51	90.93	84.51
Gemma2-27B-it	80.32	91.96	79.42	89.14	88.64	96.72	87.70	76.95	90.50	77.38	84.17	87.79	92.51	84.88
Gemma2-9B-it	79.86	91.21	79.25	88.41	88.32	96.25	87.22	75.22	89.66	74.15	81.65	85.95	90.90	82.92
MT-Specific LLMs														
TowerInstruct-7B-v0.2	77.78	89.13	76.96	85.98	86.95	94.88	85.28	73.53	87.46	70.87	77.53	84.39	88.57	80.39
TowerInstruct-13B-v0.1	78.53	89.90	77.57	87.12	87.30	95.80	86.04	75.56	89.28	73.81	80.81	86.22	90.69	82.73
DeepTrans-7B🤖	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	80.01	89.00	78.89	83.85	89.23	92.85	85.64
GemmaX2-28-9B-v0.1	79.40	90.63	78.71	88.60	87.85	96.33	86.92	77.10	90.68	75.88	83.33	87.58	92.83	84.57
Ours														
Qwen2.5-7B	62.62	75.69	69.04	77.33	73.62	85.54	73.97	68.25	81.63	64.28	69.48	82.00	86.07	75.29
SSR-Zero-7B🤖	79.29	92.04	79.04	89.19	87.97	96.70	87.37	79.69	91.18	79.34	85.34	89.25	93.52	86.39
SSR-X-Zero-7B🤖	80.62	91.92	80.56	89.42	88.84	96.62	<u>88.00</u>	81.11	91.56	79.67	86.75	90.08	93.98	87.19

Table 1: Translation quality measured by COMETKIWI-XXL (KIWI) and XCOMET-XXL (XCM) in English-Chinese directions (EN ↔ ZH). **Bold and underlined** indicates the best-performing model, **bold only** the second-best, and underlined only the third-best. “🤖” denotes reasoning models or models operating in thinking mode.

Open-source general-purpose LLMs, including the Qwen3 series (Yang et al., 2025) (Qwen3-32B, Qwen3-8B), Qwen2.5 series (Yang et al., 2024a) (Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct, Qwen2.5-32B-Instruct, Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct, Qwen2.5-7B), Qwen’s reasoning model QwQ-32B (Team, 2025), and the Gemma2 series (Team et al., 2024) (Gemma2-27B-it and Gemma2-9B-it).

Open-source MT-specific LLMs, including the Tower series (Alves et al., 2024) (TowerInstruct-7B-v0.2 and TowerInstruct-13B-v0.1), GemmaX2-28-9B-v0.1 (Cui et al., 2025), and DeepTrans-7B (Wang et al., 2025).

Implementation Details We use Qwen2.5-7B as the backbone model and adopt the GRPO algorithm implemented in the verl⁴ framework. All experiments share the same training settings: a batch size of 128, constant learning rate of 5e-7, rollout number of 16, sampling temperature of 1.0 for generation, and temperature of zero when judging. We set the maximum generation length to 1024 tokens during training. Both KL and entropy

coefficients of GRPO are set to zero, as we observed better performance with this configuration. All models are trained for four epochs using eight GPUs, each providing 148 TFLOPs of computational power when optimizing models with BF16 precision. For training SSR-X-Zero-7B, we add an additional GPU to serve the COMET model. We save checkpoints every 20 steps during training and report the best-performing one according to the aggregated average scores of XCOMET-XXL and COMETKIWI-XXL on test sets. Training SSR-Zero-7B takes about 17 hours, while SSR-X-Zero-7B training takes 42 hours in total.

4.2 Main Results

As shown in Table 1, our SSR-Zero-7B model demonstrates strong translation performance compared to existing open-source models. Specifically, it achieves an average score of 87.37 in the ZH→EN direction, outperforming all MT-specific base-lines, including GemmaX2-28-9B-v0.1 (86.92), TowerInstruct-13B-v0.1 (86.04) and TowerInstruct-7B-v0.2 (85.28). Note that DeepTrans-7B only supports EN→ZH translations and erroneously

⁴<https://github.com/volcengine/verl>




Figure 2: Changes in average response length (a) and training rewards (b) of SSR/SSR-X-Zero-7B during GRPO training.

produces Chinese output for ZH→EN translation tasks. SSR-Zero-7B also surpasses several larger general-purpose LLMs such as Gemma2-9B-it (87.22), QwQ-32B (81.54), Qwen2.5-32B-Instruct (86.18) and Qwen3-32B [thinking mode] (86.94). However, it trails behind models including Qwen3-32B [non-thinking mode] (87.96), Qwen3-8B (87.51), Gemma2-27B-it (87.70) and Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct (88.24), with the latter achieving the highest score in ZH→EN.

In the EN→ZH direction, SSR-Zero-7B achieves a score of 86.39, outperforming all open-source baselines, including Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct (85.93). It only slightly lags behind Qwen3-32B, which achieves 86.74.

Compared to closed-source models, SSR-Zero-7B scores slightly lower in ZH→EN translation (87.37) compared to GPT-4o (87.91), Gemini-1.5-Pro (87.76), and Claude-3.5-Sonnet (88.89). However, in the EN→ZH direction, SSR-Zero-7B surpasses GPT-4o, achieving 86.39 compared to GPT-4o’s 84.71.

Compared with the backbone model (Qwen2.5-7B), SSR-Zero-7B significantly improves translation performance – from 73.97 to 87.37 (+18.11%) in ZH→EN, and from 75.29 to 86.39 (+14.74%) in EN→ZH. These results clearly demonstrate the effectiveness of leveraging the model’s self-generated rewards to enhance MT performance.

Furthermore, augmenting SSR with external reward models yields our strongest model, SSR-X-Zero-7B, which obtains average scores of 88.00 in ZH→EN and 87.19 in EN→ZH. It surpasses nearly all open-source baselines in both ZH↔EN directions, achieving new SOTA performance among open-source models under 72B parameters. It only slightly trails Qwen2.5-72B-Instruct (88.24) in the ZH↔EN direction.




Figure 3: Changes in translation quality during training, measured by the average scores of COMETKIWI-XXL and XCOMET-XXL on the EN→ZH (a) and ZH→EN (b) benchmarks.

4.3 Training Dynamics of SSR

We also report how the response length and test set performance evolve during SSR/SSR-X-Zero-7B training. As shown in Fig. 2, we did not observe the increase in output length typical of R1-like training in mathematics (Guo et al., 2025), nor the curve seen in Feng et al. (2025) which first decreases and then increases. As training progressed, the model quickly reduced the output length from about 200 to 60-70 tokens and did not generate meaningful CoTs. A typical CoT before translation was “<think> I need to translate this sentence from {src_lang} to {tgt_lang}.</think>”.

Despite this, we observed an increase trend in performance in the test set as training progressed, as shown in Figure 3. We also noticed that the performance of SSR-Zero-7B for EN→ZH saturates after approximately 3 epochs (around 300 steps) and decreases afterward, while its ZH→EN performance converges earlier, at roughly 200 steps. In contrast, SSR-Zero-X-7B demonstrates better stability and continuous improvement during training. Upon inspection, we found that SSR-Zero-7B began enclosing translated outputs with extraneous quotation marks (i.e., <answer>“translated text”</answer>) after 300 steps, which our regular expression could not filter out during evaluation. This formatting issue led automated metrics XCOMET-XXL and COMETKIWI-XXL to produce lower evaluation scores. This issue was not observed during the SSR-Zero-X-7B’s training. We leave further exploration in maintaining consistent output formatting of SSR training for future work.

5 Comparative Analysis

Although SSR and its combination with external reward models (RMs) effectively enhance MT per-

Models	ZH→EN							EN→ZH						
	WMT23		WMT24		Flores200		Avg.	WMT23		WMT24		Flores200		Avg.
	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM		KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	KIWI	XCM	
Qwen2.5-7B	62.62	75.69	69.04	77.33	73.62	85.54	73.97	68.25	81.63	64.28	69.48	82.00	86.07	75.29
w/ External trained MT-evaluation RM:														
- COMET	80.71	92.44	79.02	88.90	88.15	97.32	<u>87.76</u>	79.80	91.95	79.54	87.11	89.30	94.54	87.04
- COMETKIWI	79.89	91.80	81.04	89.04	89.12	96.48	87.90	81.40	90.82	80.06	84.81	90.11	93.30	<u>86.75</u>
w/ External LLM-as-a-judge RM (Referenceless):														
- Qwen2.5-7B	78.61	91.30	78.54	87.80	87.96	96.30	86.75	76.31	89.81	75.98	82.21	87.28	92.19	83.96
- Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	79.10	91.58	79.28	88.56	87.98	96.19	87.12	77.03	89.73	76.60	82.16	87.87	92.07	84.24
w/ External LLM-as-a-judge RM (with Reference):														
- Qwen2.5-7B	79.30	91.11	79.33	88.57	88.27	96.54	87.19	77.90	90.00	77.69	83.43	88.38	92.63	85.01
- Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct	79.10	91.58	79.28	88.56	87.98	96.19	87.12	77.03	89.73	76.60	82.16	87.87	92.07	84.24
Ours														
SSR-Zero-7B	79.29	92.04	79.04	89.19	87.97	96.70	87.37	79.69	91.18	79.34	85.34	89.25	93.52	86.39
- Ablation: w/ ref	79.67	92.22	79.75	89.45	88.58	96.69	87.73	77.91	90.62	77.63	84.15	88.25	92.96	85.25
SSR-X-Zero-7B	80.62	91.92	80.56	89.42	88.84	96.62	88.00	81.11	91.56	79.67	86.75	90.08	93.98	87.19

Table 2: Translation quality of models trained via RL with different rewarding methods, measured by COMETKIWI-XXL (KIWI) and XCOMET-XXL (XCM) in English-Chinese directions (EN ↔ ZH). **Bold and underlined** indicates the best-performing model, **bold only** the second-best, and underlined only the third-best.

formance, two research questions (RQs) remain unclear: 1) *How does self-rewarding compare with widely used external RMs?* 2) *How does the inclusion of reference data in RMs affect the final translation performance?* To clarify these points, we conducted a detailed analysis, presented below.

5.1 RQ1: SSR vs. External Reward Models

Specifically, we compare our method with two categories of external frozen RMs: 1) MT-evaluation trained RMs, including COMET⁵ and COMETKIWI⁶, and 2) LLM-based judge RMs, including Qwen2.5-7B and Qwen2.5-7B-Instruct, using the same judge prompts employed by SSR.

Results The evaluation results are summarized in Table 2. As expected, models trained with specialized MT-evaluation RMs (i.e., COMET or COMETKIWI) outperform SSR-Zero-7B – which relies solely on intrinsic judgments from the training model – in average EN → ZH translation scores. Additionally, these specialized RMs also outperform all methods using external LLM-as-a-judge approaches based on the 7B-sized Qwen2.5 model. This indicates that dedicated RMs trained on large annotated datasets possess stronger MT evaluation capabilities compared to general-purpose LLMs such as Qwen2.5-7B(-Instruct). Nevertheless, the SSR mechanism provides complementary benefits.

⁵<https://huggingface.co/Unbabel/wmt22-comet-da>

⁶<https://huggingface.co/Unbabel/wmt22-cometkiwi-da>

This is evidenced by SSR-X-Zero-7B, which integrates self-rewarding with COMET supervision, still achieves the highest scores in both translation directions.

Furthermore, SSR-Zero-7B substantially outperforms models with the same backbone trained using external LLM judges of the same size. This indicates that, during SSR training, improvements in translation capability may simultaneously enhance a model’s judgment ability.

5.2 RQ2: Reference vs. Referenceless Rewarding

We further examine the influence of reference translations on reward signals and their subsequent impact on MT performance. Specifically, we introduce a variant of SSR-Zero that includes a reference translation in the judge prompt. The reference translation is obtained using the original target sentence from the training dataset. We use the same setting for LLM-as-a-judge baselines.

Results As shown in Table 2, the trained reference-based RM (COMET) and referenceless RM (COMETKIWI) yield similar results. For LLM-based external judges, explicitly providing reference translations typically leads to slightly higher performance compared to the reference-less setting. In self-reward training, the use of reference translations marginally improves performance in ZH → EN translation (from 87.37 to 87.73, +0.4%), but lowers the results for EN → ZH translation (from 86.39 to 85.25, -1.3%). In general, intro-

ducing reference translations to different reward methods does not consistently improve the model’s performance, except when using external LLMs as judges. In particular, the addition of external references does not provide significant performance gains for SSR.

6 Conclusion

In this work, we propose 🌟SSR, a simple yet effective reinforcement learning approach for machine translation. SSR does not rely on external reward models (RMs) or reference data; instead, it leverages the actor model itself as a judge to generate rewards and optimize its performance using online GRPO training. Initialized from an unstructured Qwen2.5-7B backbone, our SSR-Zero-7B model outperforms many open-source MT-specific LLMs such as TowerInstruct-13B and larger general LLMs like Qwen2.5-32B-Instruct across different English ↔ Chinese translation benchmarks. Our analysis shows that SSR is more effective than using the same-size external LLM-as-a-judge models. Although SSR alone slightly underperforms dedicated RMs (i.e., COMET and COMETKIWI) trained on extensive annotated MT-evaluation data, combining SSR with these RMs yields additional improvements. Our best-performing model, SSR-X-Zero-7B, incorporates SSR with COMET and achieves state-of-the-art results in English ↔ Chinese translation benchmarks. These findings provide in-depth insight into reward selection for MT via RL and highlight that strong pre-trained LLMs inherently possess reliable MT evaluation capabilities, which can be leveraged to enhance their translation performance. Our work demonstrates the potential for developing self-improving RL methods that reduce the dependency on external supervision from humans or trained RMs.

Limitations

While our work demonstrates the effectiveness of self-reward training for MT, the generalizability of this technique across different languages, model architectures, and model sizes remains unexplored. Specifically, our experiments are limited to pairs of English-Chinese languages. Therefore, it remains unknown whether SSR-based training is effectively generalized to lower-resource languages beyond English and Chinese. Furthermore, previous research has indicated that R1-Zero-like training shows varying levels of performance between

different model families (Gandhi et al., 2025). It is thus unclear whether SSR can consistently incentivize strong MT capabilities from weaker pre-trained models or models with sizes other than 7B parameters. Moreover, our current focuses on zero-shot prompting leaves room for exploring the impact of alternative prompting methods, such as Chain-of-Thought (CoT) and few-shot prompting, for both SSR and external LLM-as-a-judge reward models. However, recent work by Qian et al. (2024) suggests that both CoT and 5-shot prompting do not outperform zero-shot prompting in MT evaluation using 7B models with similar evaluation prompts. Finally, recent research (Liu et al., 2025) indicates that LLM-as-a-judge frameworks can benefit from test-time scaling techniques such as voting (Liu et al., 2025). We leave an exploration of these techniques in the context of SSR-based training for future work.

References

- Duarte M Alves, José Pombal, Nuno M Guerreiro, Pedro H Martins, João Alves, Amin Farajian, Ben Peters, Ricardo Rei, Patrick Fernandes, Sweta Agrawal, and 1 others. 2024. Tower: An open multilingual large language model for translation-related tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17733*.
- Anthropic. 2024. [\[link\]](#).
- Viraat Aryabumi, John Dang, Dwarak Talupuru, Saurabh Dash, David Cairuz, Hangyu Lin, Bharat Venkitesh, Madeline Smith, Jon Ander Campos, Yi Chern Tan, and 1 others. 2024. Aya 23: Open weight releases to further multilingual progress. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15032*.
- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Philemon Brakel, Kelvin Xu, Anirudh Goyal, Ryan Lowe, Joelle Pineau, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2016. An actor-critic algorithm for sequence prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.07086*.
- Samy Bengio, Oriol Vinyals, Navdeep Jaitly, and Noam Shazeer. 2015. Scheduled sampling for sequence prediction with recurrent neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28.
- Andong Chen, Yuchen Song, Wenxin Zhu, Kehai Chen, Muyun Yang, Tiejun Zhao, and 1 others. 2025. Evaluating o1-like llms: Unlocking reasoning for translation through comprehensive analysis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.11544*.
- Zixiang Chen, Yihe Deng, Huizhuo Yuan, Kaixuan Ji, and Quanquan Gu. 2024. Self-play fine-tuning converts weak language models to strong language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.01335*.

- Marta R Costa-Jussà, James Cross, Onur Çelebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Maillard, and 1 others. 2022. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04672*.
- Menglong Cui, Pengzhi Gao, Wei Liu, Jian Luan, and Bin Wang. 2025. Multilingual machine translation with open large language models at practical scale: An empirical study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.02481*.
- Zhaopeng Feng, Shaosheng Cao, Jiahao Ren, Jiayuan Su, Ruizhe Chen, Yan Zhang, Zhe Xu, Yao Hu, Jian Wu, and Zuozhu Liu. 2025. Mt-r1-zero: Advancing llm-based machine translation via r1-zero-like reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.10160*.
- Kanishk Gandhi, Ayush Chakravarthy, Anikait Singh, Nathan Lile, and Noah D Goodman. 2025. Cognitive behaviors that enable self-improving reasoners, or, four habits of highly effective stars. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.01307*.
- Xiang Geng, Ming Zhu, Jiahuan Li, Zhejian Lai, Wei Zou, Shuaijie She, Jiabin Guo, Xiaofeng Zhao, Yinglu Li, Yang Li, and 1 others. 2024. Why not transform chat large language models to non-english? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.13923*.
- Nuno M Guerreiro, Ricardo Rei, Daan van Stigt, Luisa Coheur, Pierre Colombo, and André FT Martins. 2024. xcomet: Transparent machine translation evaluation through fine-grained error detection. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:979–995.
- Daya Guo, Dejian Yang, Haowei Zhang, Junxiao Song, Ruoyu Zhang, Runxin Xu, Qihao Zhu, Shitong Ma, Peiyi Wang, Xiao Bi, and 1 others. 2025. Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.12948*.
- Mingui He, Yilun Liu, Shimin Tao, Yuanchang Luo, Hongyong Zeng, Chang Su, Li Zhang, Hongxia Ma, Daimeng Wei, Weibin Meng, and 1 others. 2025. R1-t1: Fully incentivizing translation capability in llms via reasoning learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.19735*.
- Aaron Hurst, Adam Lerer, Adam P Goucher, Adam Perelman, Aditya Ramesh, Aidan Clark, AJ Ostrow, Akila Welihinda, Alan Hayes, Alec Radford, and 1 others. 2024. Gpt-4o system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.21276*.
- Aaron Jaech, Adam Kalai, Adam Lerer, Adam Richardson, Ahmed El-Kishky, Aiden Low, Alec Helyar, Aleksander Madry, Alex Beutel, Alex Carney, and 1 others. 2024. Openai o1 system card. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.16720*.
- Tom Kocmi and Christian Federmann. 2023. [Large language models are state-of-the-art evaluators of translation quality](#). In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation*, pages 193–203, Tampere, Finland. European Association for Machine Translation.
- Zijun Liu, Peiyi Wang, Runxin Xu, Shirong Ma, Chong Ruan, Peng Li, Yang Liu, and Yu Wu. 2025. Inference-time scaling for generalist reward modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.02495*.
- Yinqian Lu, Wenhao Zhu, Lei Li, Yu Qiao, and Fei Yuan. 2024. [LLaMAX: Scaling linguistic horizons of LLM by enhancing translation capabilities beyond 100 languages](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, pages 10748–10772, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shenbin Qian, Archchana Sindhuja, Minnie Kabra, Diptesh Kanojia, Constantin Orăsan, Tharindu Ranasinghe, and Frédéric Blain. 2024. What do large language models need for machine translation evaluation? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.03278*.
- Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, Sumit Chopra, Michael Auli, and Wojciech Zaremba. 2015. Sequence level training with recurrent neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06732*.
- Ricardo Rei, José G. C. de Souza, Duarte Alves, Chrysoula Zerva, Ana C Farinha, Taisiya Glushkova, Alon Lavie, Luisa Coheur, and André F. T. Martins. 2022. [COMET-22: Unbabel-IST 2022 submission for the metrics shared task](#). In *Proceedings of the Seventh Conference on Machine Translation (WMT)*, pages 578–585, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates (Hybrid). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ricardo Rei, Nuno M. Guerreiro, JosÃ© Pombal, Daan van Stigt, Marcos Treviso, Luisa Coheur, José G. C. de Souza, and André Martins. 2023. [Scaling up CometKiwi: Unbabel-IST 2023 submission for the quality estimation shared task](#). In *Proceedings of the Eighth Conference on Machine Translation*, pages 841–848, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ricardo Rei, Jose Pombal, Nuno M. Guerreiro, João Alves, Pedro Henrique Martins, Patrick Fernandes, Helena Wu, Tania Vaz, Duarte Alves, Amin Farajian, Sweta Agrawal, Antonio Farinhas, José G. C. De Souza, and André Martins. 2024a. [Tower v2: Unbabel-IST 2024 submission for the general MT shared task](#). In *Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on Machine Translation*, pages 185–204, Miami, Florida, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ricardo Rei, José Pombal, Nuno M Guerreiro, João Alves, Pedro Henrique Martins, Patrick Fernandes, Helena Wu, Tania Vaz, Duarte Alves, Amin Farajian, and 1 others. 2024b. [Tower v2: Unbabel-ist 2024 submission for the general mt shared task](#). In

- Proceedings of the Ninth Conference on Machine Translation*, pages 185–204.
- Zhihong Shao, Peiyi Wang, Qihao Zhu, Runxin Xu, Junxiao Song, Xiao Bi, Haowei Zhang, Mingchuan Zhang, YK Li, Y Wu, and 1 others. 2024. Deepseek-math: Pushing the limits of mathematical reasoning in open language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03300*.
- Mingyang Song, Mao Zheng, Zheng Li, Wenjie Yang, Xuan Luo, Yue Pan, and Feng Zhang. 2025. Fastcurl: Curriculum reinforcement learning with progressive context extension for efficient training rl-like reasoning models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.17287*.
- Gemma Team, Morgane Riviere, Shreya Pathak, Pier Giuseppe Sessa, Cassidy Hardin, Surya Bhupatiraju, Léonard Hussenot, Thomas Mesnard, Bobak Shahriari, Alexandre Ramé, and 1 others. 2024. Gemma 2: Improving open language models at a practical size. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.00118*.
- Qwen Team. 2025. [Qwq-32b: Embracing the power of reinforcement learning](#).
- Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, Yunlong Liang, and Jie Zhou. 2024. Drt: Deep reasoning translation via long chain-of-thought. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.17498*.
- Jiaan Wang, Fandong Meng, and Jie Zhou. 2025. Deep reasoning translation via reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.10187*.
- BigScience Workshop, Teven Le Scao, Angela Fan, Christopher Akiki, Ellie Pavlick, Suzana Ilić, Daniel Hesslow, Roman Castagné, Alexandra Sasha Lucioni, François Yvon, and 1 others. 2022. Bloom: A 176b-parameter open-access multilingual language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05100*.
- Lijun Wu, Li Zhao, Tao Qin, Jianhuang Lai, and Tie-Yan Liu. 2017. Sequence prediction with unlabeled data by reward function learning. In *IJCAI*, pages 3098–3104.
- Tianhao Wu, Weizhe Yuan, Olga Golovneva, Jing Xu, Yuandong Tian, Jiantao Jiao, Jason Weston, and Sainbayar Sukhbaatar. 2024. Meta-rewarding language models: Self-improving alignment with llm-as-a-meta-judge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.19594*.
- Tian Xie, Zitian Gao, Qingnan Ren, Haoming Luo, Yuqian Hong, Bryan Dai, Joey Zhou, Kai Qiu, Zhirong Wu, and Chong Luo. 2025. Logic-rl: Unleashing llm reasoning with rule-based reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.14768*.
- An Yang, Anfeng Li, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chang Gao, Chengen Huang, Chenxu Lv, and 1 others. 2025. Qwen3 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.09388*.
- An Yang, Baosong Yang, Beichen Zhang, Binyuan Hui, Bo Zheng, Bowen Yu, Chengyuan Li, Dayiheng Liu, Fei Huang, Haoran Wei, and 1 others. 2024a. Qwen2.5 technical report. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2412.
- Wen Yang, Junhong Wu, Chen Wang, Chengqing Zong, and Jiajun Zhang. 2024b. Language imbalance driven rewarding for multilingual self-improving. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.08964*.
- Lantao Yu, Weinan Zhang, Jun Wang, and Yong Yu. 2017. Seqgan: Sequence generative adversarial nets with policy gradient. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 31.
- Qiyang Yu, Zheng Zhang, Ruofei Zhu, Yufeng Yuan, Xiaochen Zuo, Yu Yue, Tiantian Fan, Gaohong Liu, Lingjun Liu, Xin Liu, and 1 others. 2025. Dapo: An open-source llm reinforcement learning system at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.14476*.
- Qingyang Zhang, Haitao Wu, Changqing Zhang, Peilin Zhao, and Yatao Bian. 2025a. Right question is already half the answer: Fully unsupervised llm reasoning incentivization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.05812*.
- Shimao Zhang, Xiao Liu, Xin Zhang, Junxiao Liu, Zheheng Luo, Shujian Huang, and Yeyun Gong. 2025b. [Process-based self-rewarding language models](#). *ArXiv*, abs/2503.03746.
- Andrew Zhao, Yiran Wu, Yang Yue, Tong Wu, Quentin Xu, Matthieu Lin, Shenzhi Wang, Qingyun Wu, Zilong Zheng, and Gao Huang. 2025. Absolute zero: Reinforced self-play reasoning with zero data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.03335*.
- Yiyang Zhou, Zhiyuan Fan, Dongjie Cheng, Sihan Yang, Zhaorun Chen, Chenhang Cui, Xiyao Wang, Yun Li, Linjun Zhang, and Huaxiu Yao. 2024. Calibrated self-rewarding vision language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14622*.
- Wei Zou, Sen Yang, Yu Bao, Shujian Huang, Jiajun Chen, and Shanbo Cheng. 2025. Trans-zero: Self-play incentivizes large language models for multilingual translation without parallel data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.14669*.