

# Power-Law Decay Loss for Large Language Model Finetuning: Focusing on Information Sparsity to Enhance Generation Quality

Jintian Shao<sup>1</sup>, YiMing Cheng<sup>5</sup>, Hongyi Huang<sup>1</sup>, Jiayi Wu<sup>1</sup>, Beiwen Zhang<sup>3</sup>,  
Zhiyu Wu<sup>2</sup>, You Shan<sup>4</sup>, Mingkai Zheng<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Southern University of Science and Technology, <sup>2</sup>Fudan University,

<sup>3</sup>Sun Yat-sen University, <sup>4</sup>SenseTime Research

<sup>5</sup>TSingHua University

shaojintian99@gmail.com, hongyi.huang.research@gmail.com  
{12432708, zhengmk}@mail.sustech.edu.cn, wuzy24@m.fudan.edu.cn  
wuzhiyu3@mail2.sysu.edu.cn, youshan@sensetime.com,  
202110121247@stu.shmtu.edu.cn

## Abstract

During the finetuning stage of text generation tasks, standard cross-entropy loss treats all tokens equally. This can lead models to overemphasize high-frequency, low-information tokens, neglecting lower-frequency tokens crucial for specificity and informativeness in generated content. This paper introduces a novel loss function, Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL), specifically designed to optimize the finetuning process for text generation. The core motivation for PDL stems from observations in information theory and linguistics: the informativeness of a token is often inversely proportional to its frequency of occurrence. PDL re-weights the contribution of each token in the standard cross-entropy loss based on its frequency in the training corpus, following a power-law decay. Specifically, the weights for high-frequency tokens are reduced, while low-frequency, information-dense tokens are assigned higher weights. This mechanism guides the model during finetuning to focus more on learning and generating tokens that convey specific and unique information, thereby enhancing the quality, diversity, and informativeness of the generated text. We theoretically elaborate on the motivation and construction of PDL and discuss its potential applications and advantages across various text generation finetuning tasks, such as abstractive summarization, dialogue systems, and style transfer. Project Code: <https://github.com/shaojintian/power-law-decay>

## 1 Introduction

Large-scale pretrained language models (PLMs) have achieved revolutionary advancements in various natural language processing tasks, particularly in text generation (Brown et al. 2020; Raffel et al. 2020). To adapt these general-purpose models to specific downstream tasks or domains, finetuning has become a standard and effective procedure. During finetuning, models are typically optimized on task-specific datasets using a cross-entropy loss function, aiming to maximize the likelihood of target sequences.

However, the standard cross-entropy loss function harbors an inherent limitation: it treats all tokens in the vocabulary equally. This implies that regardless of a token’s commonness in the corpus or its importance in conveying new information, its prediction error contributes to the total loss with the same magnitude if it is a target token. The distribution of tokens in natural language adheres to Zipf’s Law, where a few tokens account for the vast majority of occurrences (Zipf 1949). For instance, in English text, function words like “the,” “a,” “is,” and “in” are extremely high-frequency. Models can easily learn to predict these high-frequency tokens and significantly reduce the overall loss by doing so accurately.

The issue arising from this phenomenon is that models might settle for generating text that is grammatically correct but semantically mediocre and lacking in specificity. Tokens that are less frequent but crucial for expressing specific details, unique perspectives, or domain-specific knowledge (termed “information-dense tokens” hereafter) receive a relatively smaller “penalty” for misprediction in the total loss. Consequently, models may lack sufficient incentive to diligently learn and accurately generate these low-frequency yet critical tokens.

To address this challenge, this paper proposes a novel loss function—**Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL)**—specifically designed for the finetuning stage of text generation tasks. The core idea of PDL is based on a simple yet powerful observation: **the informativeness of a token is generally inversely proportional to its frequency of occurrence**. High-frequency tokens tend to carry less new information (lower entropy), whereas low-frequency tokens are more capable of conveying specific, unique semantic information (higher entropy). PDL re-weights the standard cross-entropy loss, where weights are determined by token frequency according to a power-law decay. This means the loss contribution of high-frequency tokens is attenuated, while that of low-frequency tokens is amplified, thereby guiding the model during finetuning to focus more on information-dense tokens.

\* Corresponding author.

The main contributions of this paper are:

- The proposal of a novel loss function, Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL), for optimizing the finetuning of text generation models.
- A theoretical exposition of PDL’s motivation from information-theoretic and linguistic perspectives, leveraging the inverse relationship between token frequency and informativeness.
- A detailed description of PDL’s mathematical formulation and key parameters.
- A discussion of PDL’s potential advantages and application scenarios in various text generation finetuning tasks.

## 2 Background and Motivation

### 2.1 Standard Cross-Entropy Loss and Its Limitations

For a given target sequence  $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T)$  and a model’s predicted probability distribution  $P(Y|X) = \prod_{t=1}^T P(y_t|y_{<t}, X)$ , where  $X$  is the input context, the standard cross-entropy loss function is defined as:

$$L_{CE} = - \sum_{t=1}^T \log P(y_t|y_{<t}, X) \quad (1)$$

Or, at the vocabulary level, for each target token  $y_i$  (one-hot encoded) and model-predicted probability  $p_i$ :

$$L_{CE} = - \sum_{i \in V} y_i \log(p_i) \quad (2)$$

where  $V$  is the vocabulary. As previously stated, this loss function treats all tokens equally. Figure 1 (conceptual) illustrates the typical token frequency distribution and the hypothesized inverse relationship with information content.

### 2.2 Information-Theoretic Perspective

According to Shannon’s information theory, the information content (or self-information) of an event (here, a token  $w$ ) can be expressed as:  $I(w) = -\log P(w)$ , where  $P(w)$  is the probability (frequency) of token  $w$ . This formula indicates that less probable events (i.e., lower-frequency tokens) carry more information upon occurrence. While self-information focuses on the surprise einzelner of a single token, in text generation, our concern is more about the token’s contribution to conveying specific, distinguishing semantic content.

### 2.3 Linguistic Perspective and Finetuning Goals

The goal of finetuning is not merely to enable models to generate fluent text, but more importantly, to produce text that is accurate, informative, and relevant to the specific task or domain. An ideal finetuning loss function should therefore guide the model to:

1. Maintain basic linguistic fluency (often ensured by pre-training and learning high-frequency words).
2. Focus more on accurately generating tokens that enhance text specificity, information density, and task relevance.




Figure 1: Conceptual illustration of token frequency, information content, and the focus of standard cross-entropy loss.

## 3 Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL)

Based on the aforementioned motivations, we propose the Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL). Its core lies in adjusting a token’s weight in the cross-entropy loss based on its frequency.

### 3.1 Token Frequency Statistics

First, we require a reference corpus to count the frequency,  $\text{freq}(t)$ , of each token  $t$  in the vocabulary. This corpus could be the task-specific dataset used for finetuning, the general large-scale corpus used for pretraining, or a combination. For finetuning, using the task-specific dataset’s token frequency distribution is often more instructive.

### 3.2 Weight Calculation

For each token  $t$  in the vocabulary, its weight  $w(t)$  in PDL is calculated as:

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{(\text{freq}(t) + \epsilon)^\alpha} \quad (3)$$

where:

- $\alpha$  (alpha) is a positive hyperparameter, the “decay factor,” controlling the strength of frequency-based decay. If  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $w(t) \approx 1$ , and PDL degenerates to standard cross-entropy. If  $\alpha > 0$ , higher  $\text{freq}(t)$  leads to lower  $w(t)$ . The choice of  $\alpha$  (e.g., in  $[0.25, 1.5]$ ) is crucial.
- $\epsilon$  (epsilon) is a small positive smoothing constant (e.g.,  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-9}$ ) to prevent division by zero or excessively large weights for zero-frequency or rare tokens.

$\text{freq}(t)$  can be raw counts or normalized probabilities.

### 3.3 PDL Formulation

The Power-Law Decay Loss  $L_{PDL}$  is defined as the weighted cross-entropy loss:

$$L_{PDL} = - \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} w(t_j) \cdot y_j \cdot \log(p_j) \quad (4)$$

where  $t_j$  is the  $j$ -th token in vocabulary  $V$ ,  $y_j$  its true label, and  $p_j$  its predicted probability. In sequence generation, for a target sequence  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_T)$ :

$$L_{PDL} = - \sum_{k=1}^T w(y_k) \log P(y_k | y_{<k}, X) \quad (5)$$

### 3.4 Theoretical Rationale and Intuition

- **Focus on Information Sparsity:** PDL compels models to attend more to tokens that, while infrequent, carry substantial information when they appear.
- **Balancing the Learning Process:** PDL can gradually shift the model’s focus from general patterns learned during pretraining (high-frequency tokens) to task-specific, key low-frequency information.
- **Enhancing Diversity and Specificity:** By ”rewarding” low-frequency tokens, PDL encourages exploration of a broader vocabulary, leading to more diverse and content-specific outputs.
- **Mitigating Overfitting to High-Frequency Patterns:** On smaller finetuning datasets, PDL might help alleviate overfitting to common patterns by down-weighting their loss contributions.

## 4 Application of PDL in Text Generation Finetuning and Discussion

### 4.1 Applicable Scenarios

PDL is particularly suited for finetuning tasks such as: abstractive summarization, long-form text generation (e.g., story/report generation), dialogue systems (especially for empathetic, personalized, or domain-specific responses), style transfer/text rewriting, code generation, and few-shot/domain adaptation finetuning.

### 4.2 Parameter Selection and Tuning ( $\alpha$ and $\epsilon$ )

The optimal value for  $\alpha$  is task-dependent and requires empirical tuning on a validation set. Too small an  $\alpha$  diminishes PDL’s effect, while too large an  $\alpha$  might impair fluency by over-suppressing essential high-frequency function words.  $\epsilon$  is generally not sensitive as long as it’s a small positive constant.

### 4.3 Token Frequency Statistics Strategy

Static, pre-computed frequency tables are usually sufficient for finetuning. Using task-relevant corpora for frequency statistics is ideal. For small finetuning datasets, combining with general corpus frequencies might be beneficial. PDL applies equally well to subword units from BPE or similar tokenization.

### 4.4 Relation to and Distinction from Other Methods

- **Focal Loss (Lin et al. 2017):** Focal Loss addresses class imbalance in object detection by down-weighting well-classified examples (based on prediction confidence). PDL re-weights based on an inherent token property (frequency), not model confidence.
- **Label Smoothing:** A regularization technique that softens one-hot labels to prevent overconfidence. PDL directly modifies loss contributions of different tokens to enhance generation of specific types.
- **Weighted Sampling/Loss:** PDL is a form of token-level weighted loss, but its weighting scheme is a power-law decay based on frequency, not simple class proportions.

## 5 Potential Challenges and Future Directions

- Optimal  $\alpha$  selection remains an empirical challenge.
- Balancing the suppression of high-frequency tokens with the need for grammatical fluency is crucial.
- Investigating the synergy between PDL and pretraining objectives.
- Exploring dynamic adjustments of weights or  $\alpha$  during training.
- Incorporating other token-level information (e.g., POS tags, semantic roles) into more complex weighting schemes.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper introduced the Power-Law Decay Loss (PDL), a novel loss function for optimizing the finetuning of text generation models. By leveraging the inverse relationship between token frequency and informativeness, PDL intelligently re-weights token contributions during learning, guiding models to produce text that is more informative, specific, and diverse. PDL is theoretically well-grounded, simple to implement, and offers a promising new avenue for enhancing the quality of finetuned language models. We believe further empirical validation and parameter optimization will demonstrate PDL’s value across a wide range of text generation applications.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback and suggestions. This research was supported by SenseTime Research.

## References

- Brown, T. B.; Mann, B.; Ryder, N.; Subbiah, M.; Kaplan, J.; Dhariwal, P.; Neelakantan, A.; Shyam, P.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. In *Advances in neural information processing systems (NeurIPS)*, volume 33, 1877–1901.
- Lin, T.-Y.; Goyal, P.; Girshick, R.; He, K.; and Dollár, P. 2017. Focal loss for dense object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision (ICCV)*, 2980–2988.

Raffel, C.; Shazeer, N.; Roberts, A.; Lee, K.; Narang, S.; Matena, M.; Zhou, Y.; Li, W.; and Liu, P. J. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(140): 1–67.

Zipf, G. K. 1949. *Human behavior and the principle of least effort*. Addison-Wesley Press.