Generative AI-Aided QoE Maximization for RIS-Assisted Digital Twin Interaction

Jiayuan Chen*, Yuxiang Li*, Changyan Yi* and Shimin Gong[†]

*College of Computer Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China

†School of Intelligent Systems Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China
Email: {jiayuan.chen, yuxiangli, changyan.yi}@nuaa.edu.cn, gongshm5@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract—In this paper, we investigate a quality of experience (QoE)-aware resource allocation problem for reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS)-assisted digital twin (DT) interaction with uncertain evolution. In the considered system, mobile users are expected to interact with a DT model maintained on a DT server that is deployed on a base station, via effective uplink and downlink channels assisted by an RIS. Our goal is to maximize the sum of all mobile users' joint subjective and objective QoE in DT interactions across various DT scenes, by jointly optimizing phase shift matrix, receive/transmit beamforming matrix, rendering resolution configuration and computing resource allocation. While solving this problem is challenging mainly due to the uncertain evolution of the DT model, which leads to multiple scene-specific problems, and require us to constantly re-solve each of them whenever DT model evolves. To this end, leveraging the dynamic optimization capabilities of decision transformers and the generalization strengths of generative artificial intelligence (GAI), we propose a novel GAIaided approach, called the prompt-guided decision transformer integrated with zero-forcing optimization (PG-ZFO). Simulations are conducted to evaluate the proposed PG-ZFO, demonstrating its effectiveness and superiority over counterparts.

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital twin (DT) is a groundbreaking technology that can create high-fidelity and interactive virtual counterparts of physical entities, known as DT models. DT is driving a paradigm shift across a wide-range of industries, such as remote education, personalized healthcare, and intelligent manufacturing, by delivering DT interaction services powered by these advanced DT models [1]. The native way of providing DT interaction services is through wireless networks, with the reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS)-assisted DT interactions being particularly important.

Although the RIS-assisted virtual-reality interaction services has been widely studied in literature [2], [3], they cannot directly suit for the DT interaction services due to their unique and critical features that have not yet been well investigated. Specifically, different from the classical RIS-assisted virtual-reality interaction services, RIS-assisted DT interactions must consider the end-to-end round-trip quality due to their joint uplink and downlink communications. Moreover, beyond the objective experiences of mobile users commonly considered in virtual-reality interaction services, the subjective experiences are vital important in DT interaction services. Additionally, distinct from most of service providers, the DT models evolves uncertainly with the ever-changing corresponding physical

entities to real-time map them, resulting in different and uncertainly changing DT scenes in DT interaction services. To fill the gap in the literature, in this paper, we study a quality of experience (OoE)-aware resource allocation problem for RISassisted DT interaction with uncertain evolution, with the goal of maximizing the sum of all mobile users' mixed subjective and objective OoE in DT interactions across various DT scenes, by determining the phase shift matrix, receive/transmit beamforming matrix, rendering resolution configuration and computing resource allocation. However, simultaneously considering all aforementioned features of RIS-assisted DT interaction in our problem is very challenging because of the following reasons. i) The decision variables are not only highdimensional but also tightly coupled, meaning that they have to be jointly optimized. ii) The uncertain evolution of DT models leads to multiple scene-specific problems, each of them with distinct problem formulation. This requires us to constantly re-solve each of them whenever the DT model evolves.

To tackle these challenges, in this paper, we propose a novel generative artificial intelligence (GAI)-aided approach to solve QoE-aware resource allocation problem for RIS-assisted DT interaction with uncertain evolution. In the considered system, mobile users are expected to interact with a DT model maintained on a DT server that is deployed on a base station, via effective uplink and downlink channels assisted by an RIS. By taking into account the uncertain evolution of the DT model, we formulate a OoE-aware resource allocation problem, which consists of unbounded growing amount of different scene-specific problems. We aim to maximize the sum of all mobile users' mixed subjective and objective QoE in DT interactions across all scene-specific problems. To circumvent the difficulty in solving this complicated problem, we propose a GAI-aided approach, called prompt-guided decision transformer integrated with zero-forcing optimization (PG-ZFO). Specifically, we first reformulate each individual scenespecific problem into an Markov decision process (MDP). Then, we design a prompt to elaborately capture the scenespecific information. Based on this, we build a prompt-guided decision transformer to generate phase shift matrix, rendering resolution configuration and computing resource allocation. On top of this, we further develop a zero-forcing (ZF)-based optimization algorithm to derive receive/transmit beamforming matrix. Such a decision-making process is iteratively conducted during the DT scene, and thus the scene-specific




Fig. 1. An illustration of the considered RIS-assisted DT interaction system.

problem is solved. The most significant advantage of the proposed PG-ZFO is its superior generalization ability, which enables it to efficiently solve various scene-specific problems without the need for time-consuming re-training.

The main contributions of this paper are summarized in the following. i) To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to study the RIS-assisted DT interaction with uncertain evolution. We formulate a QoE-aware resource allocation problem, consisting of unbounded growing amount of different scene-specific problems caused by the uncertain evolution of the DT model. ii) We propose a novel GAI-aided approach, called PG-ZFO. With its strong generalization capabilities, PG-ZFO efficiently solve various scene-specific problem triggered by the uncertain evolution of the DT model without time-consuming re-training. iii) We conduct simulations to evaluate the performance of proposed PG-ZFO, and the results have shown its effectiveness and superiority over counterparts.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Overview

Consider an RIS-assisted DT interaction system, as illustrated in Fig. 1, consisting of a DT server a deployed on a base station with a uniform linear array (ULA) of M receive/transmit antennas, located at $\mathbf{q_a} = [x_a, y_a, z_a]$, a set of single-antenna mobile users \mathcal{K} with $|\mathcal{K}| = K$, and an RIS r with N passive reflecting elements at $\mathbf{q_r} = [x_r, y_r, z_r]$. The DT server maintains a high-fidelity and interactive DT model that mirrors the real-time status of a physical entity

to provide engaging DT interaction services [4]. To ensure seamless connectivity and real-time interaction, the RIS assists uplink and downlink.

It is worth noting that the DT model uncertainly evolves with the ever-changing physical entity. Consequently, the DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$ in which users engage continuously evolves, with the cardinality of \mathcal{I} grows with the evolution, i.e., $|\mathcal{I}| \to +\infty$. Mobile users interact with the DT server at a frequency \mathcal{F}_i during each DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$. dividing it into $T_i = 1/\mathcal{F}_i$ time slots with $\mathcal{T}_i = \{1, 2, ..., T_i\}$. Moreover, each mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ has a personalized weight for subjective and objective experiences, defined as $\mathcal{W}_k(i) = (\varpi_k^\epsilon(i), \varpi_k^\iota(i))$, where $\varpi_k^\epsilon(i)$ and $\varpi_k^\iota(i)$ represent their degrees of attentions to subjective and objective experiences towards DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$, respectively, and satisfying $\varpi_k^\epsilon(i) + \varpi_k^\iota(i) = 1$.

B. Uplink and Downlink Transmissions in DT Interaction

Within any time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$ when engaging in DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$, each mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$, located at $\mathbf{q_k}(i) =$ $[x_k(i), y_k(i), z_k(i)]$ transmits its interaction signals to the DT server through an effective uplink channel $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{M\times 1}$, while obtaining feedback signals from the DT server through an effective downlink channel $\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$, both assisted by RIS, which can be respectively expressed as $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t) = \mathbf{h}_{k,a}(i,t) + \mathbf{H}_{r,a}(i,t)\mathbf{\Theta}(i,t)\mathbf{h}_{k,r}(i,t)$, and $\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t) = \mathbf{h}_{a,k}(i,t) + \mathbf{H}_{a,r}(i,t)\mathbf{\Theta}(i,t)\mathbf{h}_{r,k}(i,t), \text{ where}$ vectors $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}(i,t)$ and $\mathbf{h}_{a,k}(i,t)$ specify the direct uplink and downlink channels between mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and the DT server; matrices $\mathbf{H}_{r,a}(i,t)$, $\mathbf{H}_{a,r}(i,t)$ indicate the uplink and downlink channels between the RIS and the DT server; vectors $\mathbf{h}_{k,r}(i,t)$, $\mathbf{h}_{r,k}(i,t)$ stand for the uplink and downlink channels between mobile user k and the RIS; $\Theta(i,t) \triangleq$ $diag(A_1(i,t)e^{j\theta_1(i,t)},...,A_N(i,t)e^{j\theta_N(i,t)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{N\times N}$ is the phase shift matrix of the RIS, where $A_n(i,t)$ and $\theta_n(i,t)$ represent the amplitude reflection coefficient and the phase shift induced by n-th RIS element. Similar to [5], [6], ideal reflections are assumed, satisfying $|A_n(i,t)e^{j\theta_n(i,t)}|^2 = 1$.

For direct uplink communications of the DT interaction, the channel between mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and the DT server is modeled as $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}(i,t) = \sqrt{\rho d_{k,a}^{-\alpha_{k,a}}(i)} \mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{NLoS}(i,t)$, where ρ is the path loss coefficient, $\alpha_{k,a}$ is the path loss exponent, $d_{k,a}(i) = \sqrt{||\mathbf{q_k}(i) - \mathbf{q_a}||^2}$ is the distance between mobile user k and the DT server, and $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{NLoS}(i,t) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1)$ is the non-LoS (NLoS) component [7]. Meanwhile, the channel between mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and the RIS is given by $\mathbf{h}_{k,r}(i,t) = \sqrt{\rho d_{k,r}^{-\alpha_{k,r}}(i)} (\sqrt{\frac{G_{k,r}}{G_{k,r+1}}} \mathbf{h}_{k,r}^{LoS}(i) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{G_{k,r}+1}} \mathbf{h}_{k,r}^{NLoS}(i,t))$, where $d_{k,r}(i) = \sqrt{||\mathbf{q_k}(i) - \mathbf{q_r}||^2}$ is the distance between mobile user k and the RIS, $\mathbf{h}_{k,r}^{NLoS}(i,t) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1)$, and $G_{k,r}$ is the Rician factor. Besides, $\mathbf{h}_{k,r}^{LoS}(i)$ is the LoS component based on angle of arrival (AoA). Furthermore, the channel between the RIS and the DT server can be modeled as $\mathbf{H}_{r,a}(i,t) = \sqrt{\rho d_{r,a}^{-\alpha_{r,a}}} (\sqrt{\frac{G_{r,a}}{G_{r,a}+1}} \mathbf{H}_{r,a}^{LoS} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{G_{r,a}+1}} \mathbf{H}_{r,a}^{NLoS}(i,t))$ where $d_{r,a} = \sqrt{||\mathbf{q_r} - \mathbf{q_a}||^2}$ is the distance between the RIS and

the DT server, $\mathbf{H}_{r,a}^{NLoS}(i,t) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1)$, $G_{r,a}$ is the Rician factor, and $\mathbf{H}_{r,a}^{LoS}$ includes AoA and angle of departure (AoD).

Then, we can express the interaction signals of mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ received by the DT server via $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t)$ as $y_k^{UL}(i,t) = \sqrt{p_k^{UL}}(\mathbf{v}_k(i,t))^H \mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t) \delta_k^{UL}(i,t) + (\mathbf{v}_k(i,t))^H (\sum_{m=1,m\neq k}^{\mathcal{K}} \sqrt{p_m} \mathbf{h}_{m,a}^{UL}(i,t) \delta_m^{UL}(i,t)) + (\mathbf{v}_k(i,t))^H (\mathbf{n}_k^{UL}(i,t)), \quad \text{where} \quad p_k^{UL} \quad \text{is the uplink transmission power,} \quad \delta_k^{UL}(i,t) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1) \quad \text{is the symbol,} \quad \mathbf{v}_k(i,t) \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times 1} \quad \text{is the receive beamforming vector,} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{n}_k^{UL}(i,t) \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,(\sigma_k^{UL})^2) \quad \text{is noise.}$

Accordingly, the transmission rate of uplink interaction is $v_k^{UL}(i,t) = b \log_2(1+\gamma_k^{UL}(i,t))$, where b is the uplink channel bandwidth, and $\gamma_k^{UL}(i,t)$ is the uplink signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR), calculated as $\gamma_k^{UL}(i,t) = (p_k^{UL}|(\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t))^H\mathbf{v}_k(i,t)|^2)/((\mathbf{v}_k(i,t))^H((\sigma_k^{UL})^2\mathbf{I}_M) + \sum_{k=0}^{K} p_k^{UL}\mathbf{h}_k^{UL}(i,t)(\mathbf{h}_k^{UL}(i,t))^H\mathbf{v}_k(i,t)).$

 $\sum_{m=1,m\neq k}^{\mathcal{K}} p_m^{UL} \mathbf{h}_{m,a}^{UL}(i,t) (\mathbf{h}_{m,a}^{UL}(i,t))^H) \mathbf{v}_k(i,t)).$ Similar to effective uplink channel, we can obtain the downlink transmission rate as $v_k^{DL}(i,t) = b \log_2(1 + \gamma_k^{DL}(i,t)),$ where $\gamma_k^{DL}(i,t) = (|(\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t))^H \mathbf{w}_k(i,t)|^2)/((\sigma_k^{DL})^2 + \sum_{m=1,m\neq k}^{\mathcal{K}} |(\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t))^H \mathbf{w}_m(i,t)|^2).$

C. QoE Model Analysis for DT Interaction

We introduce a novel user-centric QoE metric, i.e., $QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i),t), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}$. Particularly, we consider two key performance indicators, i.e., the Weber-Fechner Law based human perception quality $\mathcal{E}_k(i,t)$ and the interaction round-trip latency $\mathcal{L}_k(i,t)$, to quantify subjective and objective experiences for DT interactions [8], respectively.

According to Weber-Fechner Law [9], we model the physical stimulus intensity as the rendering resolution $E_k(i,t)$, which determines the authenticity of the service. Based on this, $\mathcal{E}_k(i,t) = \ln(\frac{E_k(i,t)}{E_{min}})$, where E_{min} is the minimum resolution that mobile users demand.

Within each time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$ when engaging in any DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$, each mobile user $k \in \mathcal{K}$ first transmits interaction signal with size $D_k^{UL}(i)$ via $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t)$ to the DT server, with uplink latency $\mathcal{L}_k^{UL}(i,t) = D_k^{UL}(i)/v_k^{UL}(i,t)$.

Then, the DT server generates and renders the feedback signal with resolution $E_k(i,t)$. The processing latency is $\mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t) = \xi c E_k(i,t)/f_k(i,t)$, where ξ is the per-sample data size in bits, c is the CPU cycles per bit, and $f_k(i,t)$ is the allocated computation frequency.

Subsequently, the rendered feedback signal with size $\varsigma E_k(i,t)$ is transmitted back to $k \in \mathcal{K}$ via the effective downlink channel $\mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{DL}(i,t)$, resulting in a downlink latency $\mathcal{L}_k^{DL}(i,t) = \varsigma E_k(i,t)/v_k^{DL}(i,t)$

To sum up, the interaction round-trip latency is $\mathcal{L}_k(i,t) = \frac{D_k^{UL}(i)}{v_k^{UL}(i,t)} + \frac{\xi c E_k(i,t)}{f_k(i,t)} + \frac{\xi E_k(i,t)}{v_k^{DL}(i,t)}$. By integrating subjective and objective experience met-

By integrating subjective and objective experience metrics through the personalized weight $\mathcal{W}_k(i)$, we define $QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i),t) = \varpi_k^\epsilon(i)F^{'}(\mathcal{E}_k(i,t)) + \varpi_k^\iota(i)F^{''}(\mathcal{L}_k(i,t))$, where $F^{'}(\mathcal{E}_k(i,t)) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_k(i,t)}{\mathcal{E}_{max}}$, $F^{''}(\mathcal{L}_k(i,t)) = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{L}_k(i,t)}{\mathcal{L}_{max}}$. \mathcal{E}_{max} is the maximal perception quality that each mobile user

can achieve, and \mathcal{L}_{max} is the maximal interaction round-trip latency that each mobile user can tolerate.

D. Problem Formulation

For engaging in each DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$, we aim to maximize the sum of all mobile users' QoE of DT interaction across all time slots, by jointly optimizing phase shift matrix $\Theta(i,t)$, receive beamforming matrix $\mathbf{V}(i,t) := \{\mathbf{v}_1(i,t),...,\mathbf{v}_K(i,t)\}$ and transmit beamforming matrix $\mathbf{W}(i,t) := \{\mathbf{w}_1(i,t),...,\mathbf{w}_K(i,t)\}$, rendering resolution configuration $E_k(i,t)$ and computing resource allocation $f_k(i,t)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}(i): \max_{\mathbf{\Theta}(i,t), \mathbf{V}(i,t), \mathbf{W}(i,t), E_k(i,t), f_k(i,t)} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_i} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i), t)$$

(1)

$$s.t., \ \theta_n(i,t) \in [0,2\pi), \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, i \in \mathcal{I}, t \in \mathcal{T}_i,$$
 (1a)

$$E_k(i,t) \in [E_{min}, E_{max}], \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, i \in \mathcal{I}, t \in \mathcal{T}_i,$$
 (1b)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} f_k(i, t) \le C, f_k(i, t) > 0, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, t \in \mathcal{T}_i, \quad (1c)$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} ||\mathbf{w}_k(i,t)||^2 \le P^{max}, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, t \in \mathcal{T}_i,$$
 (1d)

$$\mathcal{L}_k(i,t) \le \mathcal{L}_{max}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, t \in \mathcal{T}_i,$$
 (1e)

where constraint (1a) signifies the continuous phase shift control; (1b) defines the range for feedback signal resolution; (1c) limits computational resource allocation, with C as the computational capacity of the DT server [10]; (1d) imposes a downlink transmission power constraint, with P^{max} as the maximum transmit power of the DT server; (1e) guarantees that the interaction round-trip latency of each mobile user stays within a pre-determined threshold.

It is worth noting that, due to the uncertain DT evolution, the optimization of the considered system actually involves a series of problems different scene-specific problems: $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}(1), \mathcal{P}(2), ..., \mathcal{P}(|\mathcal{I}|)\}_{|\mathcal{I}| \to +\infty}$. However, solving \mathcal{P} is very challenging because i) each $\mathcal{P}(i) \in \mathcal{P}$ is NP-hard due to non-convex quadratic terms, and spans multiple time slots requiring a dynamic online algorithm. ii) Constant re-solving $\mathcal{P}(i) \in \mathcal{P}$ is needed as new DT scenes emerge, exacerbating the computational complexity.

For solving different scene-specific problem within \mathcal{P} , and inspired by prompt-based learning in GAI models like Chat-GPT and DALL-E [11], we extend the traditional decision transformer [12] to a prompt-guided decision transformer. This model leverages prompts to encode and exploit scene-specific information, enabling it to generalize and efficiently solve new scene-specific problems without re-training after being trained on historical data.

III. PROMPT-GUIDED DECISION TRANSFORMER INTEGRATED WITH ZERO-FORCING OPTIMIZATION

A. Problem Reformulation

We reformulate each scene-specific problem $\mathcal{P}(i) \in \mathcal{P}$ into an MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$ over time slot $\mathcal{T}_i, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}$. Each MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$ is formulated as follows:

States: Within each time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$, current state s(i,t) can be represented as s(i,t)

Actions: The action space is denoted as A(i). Within time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$, the action a(i,t) can be defined as a(i,t) = $\{\Theta(i,t), \{E_k(i,t)\}_{\forall k \in \mathcal{K}}, \{f_k(i,t)\}_{\forall k \in \mathcal{K}}, \mathbf{V}(i,t), \mathbf{W}(i,t)\}.$

Rewards: Observing from the formulation of $\mathcal{P}(i) \in$ \mathcal{P} , within each time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$, reward r(i,t) = $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i), t) - \delta \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} l_k(i, t) \text{ if (1d) is met,}$ and $r(i, t) = -\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i), t)$ otherwise, where $-\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}}QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i),t)$ and $-\delta\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}}l_k(i,t)$ are the penalties for violating constraints (1d) and (1e), respectively. δ is the penalty coefficient, and the penalty term $l_k(i,t) = 0$ if $\mathcal{L}_k(i) \leq \mathcal{L}_{max}$, and $l_k(i,t) = \mathcal{L}_{max}$ otherwise.

State transition probabilities: The state transition probabilities is denoted as $Pr(i, s(i, t+1)|s(i, t), a(i, t)) \in [0, 1]$.

B. Prompt-Guided Decision Transformer in PG-ZFO

1) Design of Prompt: We use "decision-making trajectory" in designing the prompt. Specifically, the prompt $\tau_{\star}(i)$ consists of a sequence of decision-making trajectory tuples. Following this, $\tau_{\star}(i) = (r_{\star}(i,t), s_{\star}(i,t), \vartheta_{\star}(i,t), ..., r_{\star}(i,t+1), ...,$ T_{\star}), $s_{\star}(i, t + T_{\star})$, $\vartheta_{\star}(i, t + T_{\star})$), where $T_{\star} < T_{i}$ denotes the amount of tuples stored in the prompt, and the subscript \cdot_{\star} indicates the elements related to prompts. $\tau_{\star}(i)$ implicitly captures the reward function and state transition probabilities of $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$, thereby encoding the scenespecific information of $\mathcal{P}(i) \in \mathcal{P}$. To offer the prompt-guided decision transformer a more forward-looking scene-specific information, we replace $r_{\star}(i,t)$ with the returns-to-go (RTG), $\hat{r}_{\star}(i,t)$, defined as $\hat{r}_{\star}(i,t) = \hat{R}(i) - \sum_{j=1}^{j=t} r_{\star}(i,j)$, where $\hat{R}(i) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_i} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \varpi_k^{\epsilon}(i) + \varpi_k^{\iota}(i)$ denotes the maximum total rewards over for $\mathcal{M}(i)$.

2) Structure of Prompt-Guided Decision Transformer: Computing embeddings for input tokens: We take the prompt $\tau_{\star}(i)$ of MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$ and the most recent decisionmaking trajectory with length of L prior to the current time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$, i.e., $\tau(i,t)$. To process the RTG, states, and decisions in the prompt, which represent three distinct token modalities, we employ modality-specific trainable linear layers for embedding. A trainable linear layer is also used to add positional embeddings to token embeddings within the same decision-making trajectory tuple in the prompt. Similarly, trainable linear layers are applied to $\tau(i,t)$.

Obtaining decisions using causal transformer: The embedded input tokens are fed into a causal transformer comprising G-stacked identical decoders. Each decoder processes the tokens through a masked multi-head self-attention module to capture dependencies across tokens. A feedforward layer enhances these representations through position-wise transformations, while layer normalization stabilizes training and facilitates gradient updates. The causal transformer processes tokens sequentially across the \mathcal{G} -stacked decoders, ultimately outputting the hidden states. Then, we input them to a trainable linear decision prediction layer to generate the decisions.

C. ZF-based Optimization Algorithm Integrated in PG-ZFO

The prompt-guided decision transformer struggles to gener- $\{\mathcal{W}_k(i), D_k^{UL}(i), \mathbf{h}_{k,a}^{UL}(i,t), \mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t), QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i),t-1)\}_{\forall k \in \mathcal{K}}$ at receive/transmit beamforming matrix due to the curse of dimensionality. To address this, we propose a low-complexity ZF-based optimization algorithm.

> For each time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$ of MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$, given the decisions $\vartheta(i,t) = \{ \Theta(i,t), \{ E_k(i,t) \}_{\forall k \in \mathcal{K}}, \{ f_k(i,t) \}_{\forall k \in \mathcal{K}} \},$ the optimization of $\kappa(i,t) = \{\mathbf{V}(i,t), \mathbf{W}(i,t)\}$ for MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$ can be formulated as

$$\min_{\mathbf{V}(i,t),\mathbf{W}(i,t)} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \varpi_k^{\iota}(i) \left(\frac{D_k^{UL}(i)}{v_k^{UL}(i,t)} + \frac{\varsigma E_k(i,t)}{v_k^{DL}(i,t)} \right), \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_k(i,t) \le \mathcal{L}_{max} - \mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t), \forall k \in \mathcal{K}.$$
 (2a)

Deriving V(i,t): Based on the ZF-based optimization algorithm for the receive beamforming matrix [13], we can obtain $\mathbf{V}(i,t) = \mathcal{H}_{UL}(i,t)((\mathcal{H}_{UL}(i,t))^H\mathcal{H}_{UL}(i,t))^{-1}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{UL}(i,t) = \{\mathbf{h}_{1,a}^{UL}(i,t),...,\mathbf{h}_{K,a}^{UL}(i,t)\} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times K}$.

Deriving W(i,t): Given V(i,t), problem (2) can be reformulated as

$$\min_{\mathbf{W}(i,t)} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \varpi_k^{\iota}(i) \frac{\varsigma E_k(i,t)}{v_k^{DL}(i,t)},\tag{3}$$

s.t., (1d),

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{DL}(i,t) \le \mathcal{L}_{max} - \mathcal{L}_k^{UL}(i,t) - \mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t).$$
 (3a)

Based on the ZF-based optimization algorithm [13], we can obtain as $\mathbf{W}(i,t)$ $= \mathbf{W}(i,t)\mathbf{P}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ where}$ $\begin{array}{lll} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{DL}(i,t) & = & \{\mathbf{h}_{1,a}^{DL}(i,t),....,\mathbf{h}_{K,a}^{DL}(i,t)\} & \in & \mathbb{C}^{M\times K},\\ \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(i,t) & = & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{DL}(i,t)((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{DL}(i,t))^H\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{DL}(i,t))^{-1}, & \text{and} \end{array}$ \mathbf{P} is a diagonal matrix whose k-th diagonal element is the receive power at the mobile user kwithin time slot $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$ under DT scene $i \in \mathcal{I}$, i.e., $p_k^{DL}(i,t)$. Additionally, the following constraints should be satisfied: $|(\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t))^H \mathbf{w}_k(i,t)| = \sqrt{p_k^{DL}(i,t)}$ and $|(\mathbf{h}_{a,k}^{DL}(i,t))^H \mathbf{w}_m(i,t)| = 0, \forall k \neq m$. Then, with these two constraints, we reformulated problem (3) as:

$$\max_{\{p_k^{DL}(i,t)\}} \sum_{k \in K} \frac{b \log_2(1 + \frac{p_k^{DL}(i,t)}{(\sigma_k^{DL})^2})}{\varsigma E_k(i,t) \varpi_k^{\iota}(i)},\tag{4}$$

$$\begin{split} &\log_2(1+\frac{p_k^{DL}(i,t)}{(\sigma_k^{DL})^2})\\ \geq &\frac{\varsigma E_k(i,t)}{b(\mathcal{L}_{max}-\mathcal{L}_k^{UL}(i,t)-\mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t))}, \forall k \in \mathcal{K}. \end{split} \tag{4a}$$

We apply the water-filling algorithm to derive problem (4). The closed-form solution can be obtained as $p_k^{DL}(i,t) = \frac{1}{v_k(i,t)} \max\{\frac{1}{\varrho} - v_k(i,t)(\sigma_k^{DL})^2, v_k(i,t)p_k^{DL,min}(i,t)\}$, where $v_k(i,t)$ is the k-th diagonal element of $(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(i,t))^H \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(i,t)$, ϱ is normalization factor which is selected for ensuring that $\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\max\{\frac{1}{\varrho}-v_k(\sigma_k^{DL})^2,v_kp_k^{DL,min}\}=P^{max}.$ Besides, $p_k^{DL,min}(i,t)=(\sigma_k^{DL})^2(2^{\frac{\varsigma E_k(i,t)}{b(\mathcal{L}_{max}-\mathcal{L}_k^{UL}(i,t)-\mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t))}}-1)$ is

$$p_k^{DL,min}(i,t) = (\sigma_k^{DL})^2 (2^{\frac{\sum_k (i,t)}{b(\mathcal{L}_{max} - \mathcal{L}_k^{UL}(i,t) - \mathcal{L}_k^{PRO}(i,t))}} - 1)$$
 i

the minimum received power constraint.

D. Implementation of PG-ZFO

1) Offline Training: We provide a dataset $\mathcal{D}^{tra} = \{\mathcal{D}(1^{tra}), \mathcal{D}(2^{tra}), ..., \mathcal{D}(|\mathcal{I}^{tra}|)\}$ for offline training. Each $\mathcal{D}(i^{tra}) \in \mathcal{D}^{tra}$ contains multiple episodes corresponding to MDP $\mathcal{M}(i^{tra}) \in \mathcal{M}^{tra}$. We further divide each $\mathcal{D}(i^{tra})$ into $\mathcal{D}_{\star}(i^{tra})$ for sampling the prompt, and $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond}(i^{tra})$ for sampling the most recent decision-making trajectory.

Batching: For each $\mathcal{M}(i^{tra}) \in \mathcal{M}^{tra}$, we build a minibatch $\mathcal{B}(i^{tra}) = \{In(\tau_{\star}(i^{tra},g),\tau(i^{tra},t_g,g))\}_{g=1}^G$ includes G input tokens. Each token $In(\tau_{\star}(i^{tra},g),\tau(i^{tra},t_g,g)),g \in \{1,...,G\}$ consists of a prompt $\tau_{\star}(i^{tra},g)$ sampled from $\mathcal{D}_{\star}(i^{tra})$, and a most recent decision-making trajectory $\tau(i^{tra},t_g,g)$ sampled from $\mathcal{D}_{\diamond}(i^{tra})$. By combining all minibatches, we can obtain a batch $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}(i^{tra}), \forall i^{tra} \in \mathcal{I}^{tra}\}$.

Model training: We allow the prompt-guided decision transformer \mathcal{X}_{ω} to traverse all input tokens in the batch \mathcal{B} . For each input token, the prompt-guided decision transformer generates a set of decisions. Then, we combine them as a vector of generated decisions, represented as $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{gen}$. After that, we compute the mean-squared error (MSE) loss L_{MSE} between $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{gen}$ and the corresponding decisions $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ in \mathcal{B} , which is calculated as $L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum (\boldsymbol{\vartheta}^{gen} - \boldsymbol{\vartheta})^2$. With the aim of minimizing L_{MSE} , we update the prompt-guided decision transformer using Adam optimizer with learning rate β .

2) Online Execution: In the online execution of PG-ZFO, we integrate the offline-trained prompt-guided decision transformer \mathcal{X}_{ω}^{*} with the ZF-based optimization algorithm.

Input token acquisition: Specifically, for any $\mathcal{P}(i) \in \mathcal{P}$, we first reformulate it as MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, we obtain the prompt $\tau_{\star}(i)$ through a deep reinforcement learning (DRL) integrated with ZF-based optimization algorithm based prompt acquisition approach [13]. Then, we take current state s(i,t) and the RTG $\hat{r}(i,t) = \hat{R}(i) - \sum_{j=1}^{j=T_{\star}} r_{\star}(i,j)$, where current time slot $t = T_{\star} + 1$, forming the most recent decision-making trajectory $\tau(i,t)$ with sequence length of L.

Action generation: We input $In(\tau_{\star}(i), \tau(i,t))$ to PG-ZFO, which use the prompt-guided decision transformer \mathcal{X}_{ω}^{*} to generate $\vartheta(i,t) = \{\Theta(i,t), E_k(i,t), f_k(i,t)\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$. Then, it uses ZF-based optimization algorithm to derive $\kappa(i,t) = \{\mathbf{V}(i,t),\mathbf{W}(i,t)\}$ by taking $\vartheta(i,t)$ as the input. Subsequently, PG-ZFO outputs the action $a(i,t) = \{\vartheta(i,t),\kappa(i,t)\}$ for the MDP $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$, obtaining the new RTG and next state, and update the input. We interactively conduct such decision-making process until reach T_i .

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Experimental Settings

We consider a RIS-assisted DT interaction system in a $100m \times 100m$ area, where the DT server is located at $\mathbf{q_a} = [0m, 0m, 40m]$ and the RIS is located at $\mathbf{q_r} = [75m, 100m, 20m]$. The DT server maintains a DT model that evolves in response to the uncertain changes of an educational system to provide DT interaction services [1]. Besides, to construct the dataset \mathcal{D}^{tra} , we take $|\mathcal{I}^{tra}| = 40$ DT scenes arisen

TABLE I
MAIN SIMULATION SETTING PARAMETERS.

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
\overline{K}	10	M	64
N	16	B	2 MHz
ξ	8 Mb	P^{max}	43 dBm
p_k^{UL}	500 mW	C	10 GHz
c	50 cycle/bit	$D_k(i)$	[0.1, 1.0] MB
$E_k(i)$	[1.0, 2.0]	E_{th}	1.0
ς	1 MB	\mathcal{L}_{max}	0.5 s
$(\sigma_k^{UL})^2$	-60 dBm	$(\sigma_k^{DL})^2$	-50 dBm
ρ	-20 dB	$\{G_{k,r}, G_{r,k}\}_{k=1}^{K}$	8 dB
$G_{r,a}$	6 dB	$G_{a,r}$	7 dB
T_i	100	T_{\star}	10
${\cal G}$	12	L	20
G	16	β	1e-4




Fig. 2. Convergence of the proposed PG-ZFO approach.

from the DT model and collect 100 episodes per scene-specific problem $\mathcal{P}(i^{tra})$. Additionally, 3 distinct DT scenes from \mathcal{I} are selected as examples for performance evaluation, with corresponding problems denoted as $\mathcal{P}(1^{new}), \mathcal{P}(2^{new}), \mathcal{P}(3^{new})$. All simulations are implemented using PyTorch and Hugging-face Transformers on an NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU. Table I lists the values of main simulation setting parameters, and all simulation results are obtained by taking averages over 1000 runs.

The following schemes are simulated as benchmarks.

Rigid optimization method (ROM) [14]: ROM tailors a solution policy for a random historical scene-specific problem through DRL, and then the solution policy is deployed to solve any new scene-specific problem.

Decision transformer without prompt (DF-WP) [12]: DF-WP is first offline trained on the same dataset used in PG-ZFO, and then deployed for online execution to solve any new scene-specific problem. SDF does not consider the augmentation of prompts during either offline training and online execution.

B. Performance Evaluations

Fig. 2 illustrates the convergence of PG-ZFO. The MSE loss L_{MSE} decreases steadily and converges to a small value. This indicates that PG-ZFO learns a generalized solution




Fig. 3. Illustration of the sum of all mobile users' QoE of DT interaction across all time slots under various DT scenes within \mathcal{I} .




Fig. 4. Comparison of the sum of all mobile users' QoE of DT interaction across all time slots w.r.t. maximum transmit power.

policy applicable across historical scene-specific problems $\{\mathcal{P}(i^{tra})\}_{\forall i^{tra} \in \mathcal{I}^{tra}}$. Additionally, we evaluate the PG-ZFO in the unseen problem $\mathcal{P}(i+1)$ during the offline training. The results shows that $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_{i+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i+1),t)$, increases as L_{MSE} decreases and stabilizes when L_{MSE} converges. This indicates that PG-ZFO generalizes well to unseen problems, attributed to its use of prompts in PG-ZFO, which enriches the representation of scene-specific information and enhance generalization.

Fig. 3 illustrates the sum of all mobile users' QoE in DT interactions across all time slots under various DT scenes in \mathcal{I} , optimized by ROM, DF-WP and the proposed PG-ZFO. The proposed PG-ZFO outperforms DF-WP, as its prompts provide rich scene-specific information to guide action generation, improving policy generalization. DF-WP performs better than ROM, as its RTG mechanisms partially captures scene-specific information, helping it maximize rewards, which helps guide it to generate actions toward the maximum rewards $\hat{\mathcal{R}}(i)$ that expected to be achieved in the $\mathcal{M}(i) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Fig. 4 examines the sum of all mobile users' QoE in DT interactions across all time slots under various DT scenes within \mathcal{I} with different maximum transmit power P^{max} .

As P^{max} increases, $\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_i} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} QoE(\mathcal{W}_k(i),t), i \in \mathcal{I}$ increases because higher P^{max} reduces downlink latency $\mathcal{L}_k^{DL}(i,t)$, enhancing mobile users' objective experience. The proposed PG-ZFO outperforms both ROM and DF-WP. The explanations for these results are similar to those for Fig. 3.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigate a QoE-aware resource allocation problem for RIS-assisted DT interaction with uncertain evolution. We aim to maximize the sum of all mobile users' QoE in DT interactions across all scene-specific problems, by jointly determining phase shift matrix, receive/transmit beamforming matrix, rendering resolution configuration and computing resource allocation. To solve this complicated problem, we propose a novel GAI-aided approach, called PG-ZFO. Simulation results show that, the proposed PG-ZFO has superior performance compared to counterparts.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province No.KYCX24_0596.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Mihai, M. Yaqoob, D. V. Hung et al., "Digital twins: A survey on enabling technologies, challenges, trends and future prospects," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 2255–2291, 2022.
- [2] S. Jiang, X. Wang, J. Lin et al., "A delay-oriented joint optimization approach for RIS-assisted MEC-MIMO system," *IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput.*, pp. 1–15, 2024.
- [3] X. Zhang, H. Zhang, K. Sun et al., "Human-centric irregular RIS-assisted multi-UAV networks with resource allocation and reflecting design for metaverse," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 603–615, 2024.
- [4] J. Chen, C. Yi, S. D. Okegbile, J. Cai, and X. Shen, "Networking architecture and key supporting technologies for human digital twin in personalized healthcare: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 706–746, 2024.
- [5] Q. Chen, R. Li, X. Xu, J. Wu, H. Jiang, and M. Qiu, "Human-aware dynamic hierarchical network control for distributed metaverse services," *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 629–642, 2024.
- [6] S. Kurma, M. Katwe, K. Singh et al., "RIS-empowered MEC for URLLC systems with digital-twin-driven architecture," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 1983–1997, 2024.
- [7] S. Gong, X. Lu, D. T. Hoang et al., "Toward smart wireless communications via intelligent reflecting surfaces: A contemporary survey," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2283–2314, 2020.
- [8] Y. Yang, Y. Shi, C. Yi et al., "Dynamic human digital twin deployment at the edge for task execution: A two-timescale accuracy-aware online optimization," *IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 12262– 12279, 2024.
- [9] P. Reichl, B. Tuffin, and R. Schatz, "Logarithmic laws in service quality perception: where microeconomics meets psychophysics and quality of experience," *Telecommun. Syst.*, vol. 52, pp. 587–600, 2013.
- [10] Y. Shi, C. Yi, R. Wang et al., "Service migration or task rerouting: A two-timescale online resource optimization for MEC," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 1503–1519, 2024.
- [11] T. Brown, B. Mann et al., "Language models are few-shot learners."
- [12] L. Chen, K. Lu, A. Rajeswaran et al., "Decision transformer: Reinforcement learning via sequence modeling," vol. 34, 2021, pp. 15 084–15 097.
- [13] C. B. Peel, B. M. Hochwald, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "A vector-perturbation technique for near-capacity multiantenna multiuser communication-Part I: channel inversion and regularization," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 195–202, 2005.
- [14] R. Chen, C. Yi, K. Zhu et al., "A three-party hierarchical game for physical layer security aware wireless communications with dynamic trilateral coalitions," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 4815–4829, 2024.