

56

25

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEALS NOS. 91-95 OF 1973.

Jati Ram & Ors. etc.

Appellants

V E R S U S

State of Uttar Pradesh

Respondent

O R D E R

Mr. Goyal does not press the appeal in respect of the law point on which the High Court had issued a certificate of fitness for appeal to this Court. Taking into account all the circumstances of the case, including the fact that the accused has since been arrested, tried and sentenced to imprisonment and a fine, we think that interests of justice call for a reduction in the amount of penalty levied on the sureties. Accordingly, in exercise of our powers under subsection (3) of Section 456 of Criminal Procedure Code of 1973, we reduce the penalty in the case of each of the sureties to *A foreign bond Rs.* Rs.500/- and remit the balance. The attachment shall cease only on the payment of the reduced amount. The appeal is thus partly allowed.

R. S. SARKARIA
.....J.
(R.S.SARKARIA)

P. N. SHINGHAL
.....J.
(P.N.SHINGHAL)

O. CHINNAPPA REDDY
.....J.
(O.CHINNAPPA REDDY)

New Delhi.
August 1, 1979.