

# Algebraic Geometry

Mark Gross

These notes, taken by Markus Himmel, will at times differ significantly from what was lectured. In particular, all errors are almost certainly my own.

## Contents

Introduction	5
Chapter 1. Sheaves	7
Exercises	13
Example Sheet 1	13



## Introduction

DEFINITION 0.1. Let  $A$  be a ring. Then  $\text{Spec } A := \{p \subseteq A \mid p \text{ a prime ideal}\}$ . For  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal, define

$$V(I) := \{p \subseteq A \mid p \text{ prime}, p \supseteq I\}.$$

PROPOSITION 0.2. The sets  $V(I)$  form the closed sets of a topology on  $\text{Spec } A$ , called the Zariski topology.

PROOF. (1)  $V(A) = \emptyset$

(2)  $V(0) = \text{Spec } A$

(3) If  $\{I_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a collection of ideals, then  $V(\sum_{i \in J} I_i) = \bigcap V(I_i)$ .

(4) We claim:  $V(I_1 \cap I_2) = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2)$ .

“ $\supseteq$ ” is obvious.

“ $\subseteq$ ”: Follows from the fact that  $p \supseteq I_1 \cap I_2$  is prime, then  $p \supseteq I_1$  or  $p \supseteq I_2$ .

□

EXAMPLE 0.3. Let  $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  with  $k$  algebraically closed. Let  $I \subseteq A$  be an ideal. Then the maximal ideals  $m$  of  $A$  containing  $I$  are in one-to-one correspondence with  $V(I)$  in  $\mathbb{A}^n(k)$ : by Nulstellensatz, every maximal ideal is of the form  $(X_1 - a_1, \dots, X_n - a_n)$ , which corresponds to  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  in the old  $V(I)$ .

The new  $V(I)$  now extends this notion of zero set by including other prime ideals.

EXAMPLE 0.4. If  $k$  is a field, then  $\text{Spec } k = \{0\}$ , so the topological space cannot see the field. We fix this by also thinking about what functions are on these spaces.



## CHAPTER 1

### Sheaves

REMARK. Fix a topological space  $X$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  consists of

- (1) For every open set  $U \subseteq X$  an abelian group  $\mathcal{F}U$ ,
- (2) for every inclusion  $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$  a restriction map  $\rho_{UV}: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}V$  such that  $\rho_{UU} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}U}$  and  $\rho_{UV} = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV}$ .

REMARK 1.2. A presheaf is just a contravariant functor from the poset category of open sets of  $X$  to the category of abelian groups.

We can generalize this to any contravariant functor  $X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  for some category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. A morphism of presheaves  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  on  $X$  is a collection of morphisms  $f_U: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}U$  such that for all  $V \subseteq U$  the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{f_U} & \mathcal{G}U \\ \downarrow \rho_{UV} & & \downarrow \rho_{UV} \\ \mathcal{F}V & \xrightarrow{f_V} & \mathcal{G}V \end{array}$$

commutes.

DEFINITION 1.4. A presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a sheaf if it satisfies additional axioms:

- (S1) If  $U \subseteq X$  is covered by an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  satisfies  $s|_{U_i} := \rho_{UU_i}(s) = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $s = 0$
- (S2) If  $U$ , and  $U_i$  are as before, and if  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}U_i$  such that for all  $i$  and  $j$  we have  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , then there is some  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  such that  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$  for all  $i$ .

REMARK 1.5. (1) If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf, then  $\emptyset \subseteq X$  is covered by the empty covering; hence  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$ .

- (2) The two sheaf axioms can be described as saying that given  $U, \{U_i\}$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}U \xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_i \mathcal{F}U_i \xrightarrow[\beta_2]{\beta_1} \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact, where  $\alpha(s) = (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I}$ ,  $\beta_1((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})$ ,  $\beta_2((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i,j}$ .

Exactness means that  $\alpha$  is injective,  $\beta_1 \circ \alpha = \beta_2 \circ \alpha$ , and for any  $(s_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}U_i$ , with  $\beta_1((s_i)) = \beta_2((s_i))$ , there exists  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  with  $\alpha(s) = (s_i)$ .

This is all subsumed by saying that  $\alpha$  is the equalizer of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .

EXAMPLE. (1) Let  $X$  be any topological space,  $\mathcal{F}U$  the continuous functions  $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

This is a sheaf:  $\rho_{UV}: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}V$  is just the restriction.

The first sheaf axiom says that a continuous function is zero if it is zero on every open set of cover.

The second sheaf axiom says that continuous functions can be glued.

- (2) Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  with the Euclidean topology.

Define  $\mathcal{F}U$  to be the set of bounded analytic functions  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

This is a presheaf, since the restriction of bounded analytic functions is bounded analytic. It also satisfies the first sheaf axiom. However, it does not satisfy the second sheaf axiom.

For example, consider the cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  given by  $U_i = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < i\}$ . Define  $s_i: U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $z \mapsto z$ . Note that if  $i < j$ , then  $U_i \cap U_j = U_i$  and  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . However, gluing yields the identity function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is not bounded (note that complex analysis tells us that  $\mathcal{F}\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$ ).

The underlying problem is that sheafs can only track properties that can be tested locally.

- (3) Let  $G$  be a group and set  $\mathcal{F}U := G$  for any open set  $U$ . This is called the constant presheaf. This is in general not a sheaf (unless  $G$  is trivial).

Take  $U$  to be a disjoint union of open sets  $U_1 \cup U_2$ . If  $\mathcal{F}U_1 = G$  and  $\mathcal{F}U_2 = G$ , then we need  $\mathcal{F}(U_1 \cap U_2) = 0$ .

If the second sheaf axiom was to be satisfied, we would want  $s_1 \in \mathcal{F}U_1$  and  $s_2 \in \mathcal{F}U_2$  to glue, so we should have  $\mathcal{F}U = G \times G$ .

Now give  $G$  the discrete topology, and define instead  $\mathcal{F}U$  to be the set of continuous maps  $f: U \rightarrow G$ . By our choice of topology, this means that  $f$  is locally constant, i.e., for every  $x \in U$  we have a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $x$  such that  $f|_V$  is constant.

This is called the constant sheaf and if  $U$  is nonempty and connected then  $\mathcal{F}U = G$ .

- (4) If  $X$  is an algebraic variety,  $U \subseteq X$  a Zariski open subset, then define  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  to be the regular functions  $f: U \rightarrow k$ .

Roughly,  $f$  regular means that every point of  $U$  has an open neighborhood on which  $f$  is expressed as a ratio of polynomials  $g/h$  with  $h$  nonvanishing on the neighborhood.

$\mathcal{O}_X$  is a sheaf, called the structure sheaf of  $X$ .

**DEFINITION 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf on  $X$  and let  $x \in X$ . Then the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $x$  is  $\mathcal{F}_x := \{(U, s) \mid U \subseteq X \text{ open neighborhood at } x, s \in \mathcal{F}U\} / \sim$ , where  $(U, s) \sim (V, s')$  if there is a neighborhood  $W \subseteq U \cap V$  of  $x$  such that  $s|_W = s'|_W$ . An equivalence class of a pair  $(U, s)$  is called a germ.

**REMARK.**  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is just the colimit of  $\mathcal{F}U$  where  $U$  ranges over the open neighborhoods of  $x$ .

Note that a morphism  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  of presheaves induces a morphism  $f_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$  via  $f_p(U, s) := (U, f_U(s))$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then  $f$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for every  $p \in X$ .

**PROOF.** “ $\implies$ ” is obvious.

“ $\impliedby$ ”: Assume that  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . Need to show that  $f_U: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}U$  is an isomorphism for all  $U \subseteq X$ , as then we can define  $(f^{-1})_U = (f_U)^{-1}$ . This defines a morphism of sheaves, as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ f_U^{-1} &= f_V^{-1} \circ f_V \circ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ f_U^{-1} \\ &= f_V^{-1} \circ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}} \circ f_U \circ f_U^{-1} \\ &= f_V^{-1} \circ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

We will first check that  $f_U$  is injective. Suppose  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  and  $f_U(s) = 0$ . Then for all  $p \in U$ , we have  $f_p(U, s) = (U, f_U(s)) = (U, 0) = 0 \in \mathcal{F}_p$ . Since  $f_p$  is injective,



this means that  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{F}_p$ . This means that there is an open neighborhood  $V_p$  of  $p$  in  $U$  such that  $s|_{V_p} = 0$ . Since the sets  $\{V_p\}_{p \in U}$  cover  $U$ , we see by sheaf axiom 1 that we have  $s = 0$ .

Next, we will show that  $f_U$  is surjective. Let  $t \in \mathcal{G}U$  and write  $t_p := (U, t) \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . Since  $f_p$  is surjective, we find  $s_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  with  $f_p(s_p) = t_p$ . This means that we find an open neighborhood  $V_p \subseteq U$  of  $p$  and a germ  $(V_p, s_p)$  such that  $(V_p, f_{V_p}(s_p)) \sim (U, t)$ . By shrinking  $V_p$  if necessary we can assume that  $t|_{V_p} = f_{V_p}(s_p)$ .

Now on  $V_p \cap V_q$ ,  $f_{V_p \cap V_q}(s_p|_{V_p \cap V_q} - s_q|_{V_p \cap V_q}) = t|_{V_p \cap V_q} - t|_{V_p \cap V_q} = 0$  and hence by injectivity of  $f_{V_p \cap V_q}$  already proved, we have  $s_p|_{V_p \cap V_q} = s_q|_{V_p \cap V_q}$ . By the second sheaf axiom, the  $s_p$  glue to give an element  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  with  $s|_{V_p} = s_p$  for every  $p \in U$ .

Now  $f_U(s)|_{V_p} = f_{V_p}(s|_{V_p}) = f_{V_p}(s_p) = t|_{V_p}$ . By the first sheaf axiom applied to  $f_U(s) - t$  we get  $f_U(s) = t$ . This shows surjectivity of  $f_U$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.8.** Given a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  there is a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^+$  and a morphism  $\theta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  satisfying the following universal property:

For any sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  and morphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  there is a unique morphism  $\varphi^+: \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\varphi^+ \circ \theta = \varphi$ .

The pair  $(\mathcal{F}^+, \theta)$  is unique up to unique isomorphism and is called the sheafification of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**PROOF.** See exercises.  $\square$

**DEFINITION.** Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of presheaves on a space  $X$ . We define

- (1) The presheaf kernel of  $f$ ,  $\ker f$ , is the presheaf given by

$$(\ker f)(U) := \ker f_U.$$

One should check that this is a presheaf.

- (2) The presheaf cokernel of  $f$ ,  $\operatorname{coker} f$ , is the presheaf given by

$$(\operatorname{coker} f)(U) := \operatorname{coker} f_U.$$

- (3) The presheaf image  $\operatorname{im} f$  is the presheaf given by

$$(\operatorname{im} f)(U) = \operatorname{im} f_U.$$

**REMARK.** If  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism of sheaves, then  $\ker f$  is also a sheaf. The identity axiom is certainly satisfied: If  $s \in (\ker f)(U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}U$  satisfies  $s|_{U_i} = 0$  for all  $U_i$  in a cover of  $U$ , then we use the identity axiom for  $\mathcal{F}$  to find that  $s = 0$ .

Given  $s_i \in (\ker f)(U_i)$  with  $\{U_i\}$  an open cover of  $U$ , and with  $s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ , then we find  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  with  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ . But  $f_U(s) = 0$  since

$$f_U(s)|_{U_i} = f_{U_i}(s|_{U_i}) = f_{U_i}(s_i) = 0,$$

and we can use the identity axiom to conclude that  $f_U(s) = 0$ .

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  (or think of the Riemann sphere). Let  $P, Q \in X$  be distinct points. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the sheaf of regular functions on  $X$  (alternatively, think of holomorphic functions on the Riemann sphere). Next, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the sheaf of regular functions which vanish on  $P$  and  $Q$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{F}U = \mathcal{G}U$  if  $U \cap \{P, Q\} = \emptyset$ .

Let  $U := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{P\}$ ,  $V = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{Q\}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}^1) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{P}^1) = k$ , because regular functions on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  are constants. Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be the inclusion.

Then  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong k$ ,  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(U) = \mathcal{G}U/\mathcal{F}U = k[X]/(X) \cong ka$ ,  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(V) \cong k$ . However,  $(\operatorname{coker} f)(U \cap V) = \mathcal{G}(U \cap V)/\mathcal{F}(U \cap V) \cong 0$ .

Therefore, if the gluing axiom held, then we could need to have

$$(\operatorname{coker} f)(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong k \oplus k.$$

Note that this failure to be a sheaf is not a bug, but a feature!

DEFINITION. Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. The sheaf kernel of  $f$  is just the presheaf kernel.

The sheaf cokernel is the sheaf associated to the presheaf kernel of  $f$ .

The sheaf image is the sheaf associated to the presheaf image of  $f$ .

We can check that these notions give kernels, cokernels and images in the category of sheaves.

EXERCISE. The sheaf image  $\text{im } f$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$  if we have a morphism  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f_U$  is a monomorphism for every open set  $U$ .

DEFINITION. We say that  $f$  is injective if  $\ker f = 0$ . We say that  $f$  is surjective if  $\text{im } f = \mathcal{G}$ .

Note that surjectivity does not imply that  $f_U$  is surjective for every  $U$ .

We say that a sequence of morphisms of sheaves

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{f^i} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

If  $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is a subsheaf, then we write  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$  for the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}U/\mathcal{F}'U$ , so  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$  is the cokernel of the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ .

LEMMA 1.9. Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then for all  $p \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\ker f)_p &= \ker(f_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p) \\ (\text{im } f)_p &= \text{im } f_p \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We first define a map  $(\ker f)_p \rightarrow \ker f_p$ . If  $(U, s) \in (\ker f)_p$ , then  $(U, s) \in \mathcal{F}_p$  then  $(U, s) \in \mathcal{F}_p$  and

$$f_p(U, s) = (U, f_U(s)) = (U, 0) = 0 \in \mathcal{G}_p.$$

Therefore,  $(U, s) \in \ker f_p$ .

We will check injectivity and surjectivity of this map.

For injectivity, assume that  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , then there is  $V \subseteq U$  of  $p$  such that  $s|_V = 0$ . Then we also have the equality

$$(U, s) = (V, s|_V) = (V, 0) = 0$$

in  $(\ker f)_p$ .

For surjectivity, assume that  $(U, s) \in \ker f_p$ . This means that  $(U, f_U(s)) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_p$ , so there is  $p \in V \subseteq U$  such that  $0 = f_U(s)|_V = f_V(s|_V)$ . Thus,  $s|_V \in (\ker f)(V)$ , and  $(V, s|_V) \in (\ker f)_p$ , and  $(V, s|_V)$  maps to the element in  $\ker f_p$  represented by  $(U, s)$ .

For images: Let  $\text{im}' f$  be the presheaf image.

From the exercises we know that  $\theta_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^+$  is an isomorphism for every  $p$ .

Therefore  $(\text{im } f)_p \cong (\text{im}' f)_p$ , so we need to show that  $(\text{im}' f)_p \cong \text{im } f_p$ . Define a map  $(\text{im}' f)_p \rightarrow \text{im } f_p$  by

$$(U, s) \in (\text{im}' f)_p \mapsto (U, s) \in \text{im } f_p.$$

Once again, we will check that this is injective and surjective.

For injectivity: if  $(U, s) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_p$  then there is a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $p$  such that  $s|_V = 0$ . Then  $(U, s) = (V, 0)$  in  $(\text{im}' f)_p$ .

For surjectivity: if  $(U, s) \in \text{im } f_p$ , then there is  $(V, t) \in \mathcal{F}_p$  with  $(V, f_V(t)) = f_p(V, t) = (U, s)$ , so after shrinking  $U$  and  $V$  if necessary, then we can take  $U = V$  and  $f_U(t) = s$ . Then  $(U, s) \in (\text{im}' f)_p$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION. Let  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves. Then  $f$  is injective if and only if for every  $p \in X$  the map  $f_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$  is injective and  $f$  is surjective if for every  $p \in X$  the map  $f_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$  is surjective.

PROOF.  $f_p$  is injective for every  $p$  if and only if  $\ker f_p = 0$  for every  $p$  if and only if  $(\ker f)_p = 0$  for every  $p$ . By identity this is equivalent to  $\ker f = 0$  (TODO: check this!), which is the definition of injectivity.

Similarly  $f_p$  is surjective for every  $p$  iff  $(\operatorname{im} f)_p = \mathcal{G}_p$  for every  $p$  iff  $\operatorname{im} f = \mathcal{G}$  (TODO: check this), but this is the definition of surjectivity.  $\square$

EXERCISE. Given  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{G}/\operatorname{im} f \cong \operatorname{coker} f$ .



# Exercises

## Example Sheet 1

### Exercise 4.

NOTATION. For  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  and  $p \in U$  we will write  $s_p := (U, s) \in \mathcal{F}_p$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf and  $U \subseteq X$  an open set. Define

$$\mathcal{F}^+U := \{s: U \rightarrow \prod_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p \mid \forall p \in U: s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_p, (\star)\},$$

where  $(\star)$  is the following statement: for every  $p \in U$  there is an open  $p \in V_p \subseteq U$  and a section  $s_{V_p} \in \mathcal{F}U$  such that for every  $q \in V_p$  we have  $(s_{V_p})_q = s(q)$ .

EXERCISE.  $\mathcal{F}^+$  together with the obvious restriction maps forms a sheaf.

SOLUTION.  $\mathcal{F}^+U$  is an abelian group with pointwise addition, as the sum of  $s, t \in \mathcal{F}^+U$  still satisfies  $(\star)$  by taking the intersection of the  $V_p$  obtained from  $s$  and  $t$ .

It is obvious that  $\mathcal{F}^+$  is a presheaf.

Next, let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$  and  $\{U_i\}$  an open cover such that  $\forall i, s|_{U_i} = 0$ . Let  $p \in U$ . Then  $p \in U_i$  for some  $i$  and we have  $s(p) = (s|_{U_i})(p) = 0$ , so  $s = 0$ , so the identity axiom is satisfied.

Next, let  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a cover,  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}^+U_i$  such that  $\forall i, j: s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ . Given  $p \in U$ , define  $s(p) := s_i(p)$  for  $p \in U_i$ . This is well-defined because of the compatibility condition. We need to show that  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$ . Indeed, let  $p \in U$ . Then  $s(p) = s_i(p)$  for some  $i$ , and since  $s_i \in \mathcal{F}^+U_i$  and taking stalks is compatible with restrictions, we get a neighborhood that satisfies the required condition. It remains to show that for all  $i$ ,  $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ , but that is true by definition.  $\square$

DEFINITION. For a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and an open set  $U$ , define

$$\theta_U: \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+U; \quad s \mapsto (p \mapsto s_p).$$

This is obviously a homomorphism of groups. It also defines a morphism of shaves, because for  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$ ,  $V \subseteq U$  and  $p \in V$  we have

$$\theta_U(s)|_V(p) = \theta_U(s)(p) = s_p = (s|_V)_p = \theta_V(s|_V)(p).$$

LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf and  $U$  an open set. Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{F}U \rightarrow \prod_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p$$

is injective.

PROOF. Let  $s, t \in \mathcal{F}U$  such that  $s_p = t_p$  for every  $p$ . Let  $p \in U$ . By definition of a stalk,  $s_p = t_p$  means that there is an open  $p \in V_p \subseteq U$  such that  $s|_{V_p} = t|_{V_p}$ . These  $V_p$  cover  $U$  so by the identity axiom we have  $s = t$ .  $\square$

LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf. Let  $U$  be an open set. Let  $s: U \rightarrow \prod_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p$  such that for every  $p \in U$  we have  $s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_p$  and there is an open  $p \in V_p \subseteq U$  together with  $s_{V_p} \in \mathcal{F}V_p$  such that for every  $q \in V_p$  we have  $(s_{V_p})_q = s(q)$ . Then there is a unique  $t \in \mathcal{F}U$  such that  $t_q = s(q)$  for every  $q \in U$ .

PROOF. Uniqueness follows from the previous lemma. For existence, notice that the  $V_p$  cover  $U$ . Let  $p, q \in U$ . The  $s_{V_p}$  are glueable because their stalks agree on the intersection, so the conditions of the gluing axiom are satisfied by the previous lemma. Since talking stalks is compatible with restrictions, the glued section has the correct stalks.  $\square$

EXERCISE. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf,  $\mathcal{G}$  a sheaf and  $\varphi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  a morphism of presheaves. Then there is a unique morphism of sheaves  $\varphi^+: \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\varphi = \varphi^+ \circ \theta$ .

SOLUTION. Let  $U$  be an open and let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$ . Cover  $U$  with the  $V_p$  from the definition of  $\mathcal{F}^+$  and obtain the associated  $s_{V_p} \in \mathcal{F}V_p$ . Define  $t_{V_p} := \varphi_{V_p}(s_{V_p}) \in \mathcal{G}V_p$ . We can calculate that for  $q \in V_p$  we have

$$(t_{V_p})_q = (\varphi_{V_p}(s_{V_p}))_q = \varphi_q((s_{V_p})_q) = \varphi_q(s(q)).$$

Therefore, Lemma 2 gives us a unique  $t_U \in \mathcal{G}U$  such that

$$(\star) \quad \forall q \in U: (t_U)_q = \varphi_q(s(q)).$$

We define  $\varphi_U^+(s) = t_U$ .

This is indeed a morphism of sheaves: if  $V \subseteq U$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$ , then

$$\varphi^+(s|_V) = \varphi^+(s)|_V$$

follows from the fact that, using  $(\star)$ , the germ of both sides at  $p \in V$  is just  $\varphi_p(s(p))$ . By Lemma 1, the two sides are equal.

Similarly, if  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$  and  $p \in U$ , then

$$(\varphi_U^+ \theta_U(s))_p \stackrel{(\star)}{=} \varphi_p(\theta(s)(p)) = \varphi_p(s_p) = (\varphi_U(s))_p,$$

so  $\varphi_U^+ \circ \theta_U = \varphi_U$  by Lemma 1, so  $\varphi^+ \circ \theta = \varphi$ .

Finally, to see uniqueness, assume that  $\varphi^\#$  satisfies  $\varphi^\# \circ \theta = \varphi$ . Let  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$  and  $p \in U$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{F}^+$  there is  $p \in V_p \subseteq U$ ,  $s_{V_p} \in \mathcal{F}V_p$  such that  $\forall q \in V_p: (s_{V_p})_q = s(q)$ . The condition can be rephrased as  $s|_{V_p} = \theta(s_{V_p})$  and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_U^\#(s))_p &= (\varphi_U^\#(s)|_{V_p})_p = (\varphi_{V_p}^\#(s|_{V_p}))_p = (\varphi_{V_p}^\#(\theta(s_{V_p})))_p \\ &= (\varphi_{V_p}^+(\theta(s_{V_p})))_p = \dots = (\varphi_U^+(s))_p, \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 1, we have  $\varphi_U^+ = \varphi_U^\#$ , so  $\varphi^+ = \varphi^\#$ , completing the proof of uniqueness.  $\square$

EXERCISE. We have  $(\mathcal{F}^+)_p = \mathcal{F}_p$  for  $p \in X$ . Show that if  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism of presheaves, then there is an induced morphism  $f^+: \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$  with  $(f^+)_p = f_p$ .

SOLUTION. Let  $p \in X$ . Of course,  $(\mathcal{F}^+)_p$  and  $\mathcal{F}_p$  cannot be literally equal. Instead, we show the following more precise statement: The map  $\theta_p: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^+$  is an isomorphism.

Indeed, we define  $g_p: \mathcal{F}_p^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p$  as follows: for an open  $U$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$  we define  $g_p(s_p) := s(p)$ . This is well-defined because sections  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+U$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{F}^+V$  that have the same germ at  $p$  must satisfy  $s|_W = t|_W$  for some  $W$  that contains  $p$ , so  $s(p) = s|_W(p) = t|_W(p) = t(p)$ .

Next, let  $U$  be an open and  $s \in \mathcal{F}_p^+$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{F}^+$ , there is some  $p \in V_p \subseteq U$  open,  $s_{V_p} \in \mathcal{F}V_p$  such that for all  $q \in V_p$  we have  $(s_{V_p})_q = s(q)$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $s|_{V_p} = \theta_{V_p}(s_{V_p})$ , so in particular, in  $\mathcal{F}_p^+$ , we have  $s_p = (\theta_{V_p}(s_{V_p}))_p$ . This lets us calculate

$$\theta_p(g_p(s_p)) = \theta_p(s(p)) = \theta_p((s_{V_p})_p) = (\theta_{V_p}(s_{V_p}))_p = s_p,$$

so we have  $\theta_p \circ g_p = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_p^+}$ .

Next, let  $U$  be an open and  $s \in \mathcal{F}U$ . Then we have

$$g_p(\theta_p(s_p)) = g_p(\theta_U(s)_p) = g_p((q \mapsto s_q)_p) = (q \mapsto s_q)(p) = s_p,$$

so  $g_p \circ \theta_p = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ , and  $\theta_p$  is an isomorphism as required.

Next, let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be presheaves and let  $\theta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  and  $\iota: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$  denote the natural maps to the associated sheaf. If  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a map of presheaves, we can invoke the universal property of  $\mathcal{F}^+$  on the composite  $\iota \circ f$  and find a morphism  $f^+: \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$  making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{F}^+ \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^+ \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{G}^+ \end{array}$$

commute.

On stalks, we have

$$f_p^+ \circ \theta_p = (f^+ \circ \theta)_p = (\iota \circ f)_p = \iota_p \circ f_p,$$

and since  $\theta_p$  is an isomorphism, we have

$$f_p^+ = \iota_p \circ f_p \circ \theta_p^{-1},$$

which is how we should interpret the “equality”  $(f^+)_p = f_p$  under the natural identifications  $\theta_p$  and  $\iota_p$ .  $\square$