An Exploratory Reference.
Not a specification.
Not a product.
Not a commitment.

1. Why This Document Exists

The *Two Speed Standard* defines rules. The Two Speed Third Member demonstrates a concrete starting point.

This document exists to explore what becomes possible once you take those two things seriously.

It doesn't define what must be built. It doesn't claim optimal solutions. It doesn't try to predict commercial outcomes.

Its purpose is to map the mechanical territory that opens up when drivetrain components are allowed to be modular, transparent, and torque agnostic.

These aren't finished architectures. They're thinking tools.

2. Reframing the Power Source

One of the first mental constraints you have to drop is the identity of the power source.

Engines and motors are usually discussed by fuel type, brand, or peak output. That framing hides the only characteristic that actually matters to the drivetrain: torque behavior.

For the purposes of this architecture, I'm calling power sources "torque plants."

A torque plant is defined by behavior, not ideology.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

Relevant characteristics:

1. Width and shape of the usable torque band
2. Predictability of output
3. Ability to operate continuously
4. Compatibility with limited gear ratios
5. Durability relative to its role

Fuel type is secondary. Control method is secondary. Even peak power is secondary.

Once you adopt this framing, a lot of power sources that modern vehicles have excluded become viable again.

3. Baseline Architecture

Single Torque Plant, No Transmission

This is the simplest useful application of the system.

Architecture overview:

1. One torque plant
2. A Forward/Neutral/Reverse module
3. A torque isolation or fluid coupling module if required
4. A two-speed axle assembly
5. No conventional transmission

The torque plant can be diesel, gasoline, electric, or something else entirely. The only requirement is that it can deliver a usable torque band without constant ratio changes upstream.

This architecture intentionally moves ratio reduction downstream and eliminates the need for multi-speed transmissions.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

4. Why This Feels Wrong at First

Modern vehicles train users and engineers to accept several assumptions:

1. High performance requires many gear ratios
2. Large engines are necessary to cover all use cases
3. Complexity is the cost of capability

This architecture breaks those assumptions.

The torque plant isn't responsible for covering every operating condition alone anymore. The axle isn't a passive endpoint. The drivetrain stops pretending that gear multiplication has to happen near the engine.

There's no magic here. The work is just being done in a different place.

5. Performance Reality

This architecture doesn't rely on peak numbers.

With appropriate ratios and cooling, it provides:

1. Strong low-speed pulling capability
2. Stable cruising at modern highway speeds
3. Fewer shift events
4. Predictable throttle response

The system performs well not because it's aggressive, but because it's rarely operating outside its comfort zone. It doesn't win by surprise. It wins by staying in range.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

6. Psychological Impact

This matters more than it seems.

When a modern chassis performs expected tasks using visibly simpler mechanical systems, something breaks. Not a part, an assumption.

It becomes harder to argue that sealed complexity is inevitable. It becomes harder to defend replacement over repair. It becomes harder to claim that mechanical clarity is obsolete.

This architecture doesn't attack modern vehicles. It exposes the choices that shaped them.

7. Dual Torque Plant Architectures

Once the baseline system exists, adding a second torque plant becomes mechanically reasonable instead of exotic.

Typical configuration:

1. Primary torque plant for continuous operation
2. Secondary torque plant for transient demand
3. Dual-input differential or summing module
4. Two-speed axle remains unchanged

The important point is role separation. No plant is oversized. No plant is forced to operate outside its strengths.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

8. Cooperative Energy Flow

In a dual-plant system, behavior is clearer when roles are explicit.

A steady torque plant might:

1. Maintain vehicle systems
2. Provide base propulsion
3. Operate at stable operating points
4. Charge onboard electrical systems

A responsive torque plant might:

1. Handle acceleration and passing
2. Absorb regenerative energy
3. Enable short-duration high output
4. Remain inactive when unnecessary

The plants don't compete. They don't replace one another. They cooperate mechanically.

9. Smaller Torque Plants Re-Enter the Conversation

When torque demand is shared, smaller plants become viable.

Examples include:

1. Small industrial diesels
2. Tractor-class three-cylinder engines
3. Inline-four or inline-six gasoline engines
4. Alternative fuel engines
5. Electric motors sized for transients

None of these plants need to cover the full envelope alone. The drivetrain stops demanding heroics from individual components.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

10. Mixed Torque Plant Pairings

One of the more interesting outcomes is that mixed-plant systems become practical without extreme control complexity.

Possible pairings:

1. Diesel base load with gasoline peak support
2. Combustion base load with electric assist
3. Dual combustion plants with complementary characteristics

Historically, these combinations required complex transmissions and software coordination. Here, honest gearing and mechanical summation do most of the work.

11. Peripheral Modules as First-Class Components

This architecture depends on several modules that are usually treated as afterthoughts.

These include:

1. Dual-input differentials
2. Torque converters or fluid couplings
3. Forward/Neutral/Reverse gearboxes
4. Combined FNR and transfer modules
5. Divorced transfer cases

Each of these modules has to stand on its own under the Two Speed Standard. They're not accessories. They're architectural elements.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

12. Transfer Cases and Directional Modules

Transfer cases deserve special attention.

They already contain torque splitting, directional control, neutral states, and mechanical isolation.

Whether divorced or integrated, they might naturally satisfy parts of the Forward/Neutral/Reverse function.

This document doesn't mandate integration or separation. It just recognizes that existing gearsets may serve multiple roles if evaluated honestly.

13. Why the Two-Speed Axle Remains the Keystone

All of these architectures rely on reducing downstream complexity.

The two-speed axle anchors the entire system, prevents uncontrolled upstream gear multiplication, preserves transparency, and simplifies power plant requirements.

You can change the plants. You can change the modules. But don't abandon the philosophy that ratio reduction belongs where it can be seen and serviced.

14. Relationship to the *Two Speed Standard*

Every architecture described here still has to answer every binary question in the standard.

If an idea fails the standard, it doesn't matter how clever it is.

The standard remains the governor, not the goal.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard

15. Closing

This document isn't a roadmap. It's a field notebook.

It exists to show that once you remove unnecessary constraints, drivetrain design becomes wider, not narrower.

Small torque plants cooperating mechanically change the problem space.

That's the opportunity.

Ben Trommater

(313) 552-4171
TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com
https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard