

The Two Speed Standard

A Framework for Mechanical Clarity, Serviceability, and Honest Torque Delivery

1. Why This Standard Exists

Modern vehicle drivetrains are increasingly difficult to understand, repair, or evaluate in isolation. Over time, mechanical function has been buried behind software layers, sealed assemblies, and tightly coupled systems that favor integration over clarity.

These choices weren't accidents. They're responses to real pressures: emissions regulations, efficiency targets, packaging constraints, manufacturing economics. But the side effects are here now and they're hard to ignore.

Repair has been replaced by replacement. Diagnosis has been replaced by software interpretation. Mechanical accountability has been distributed across opaque systems. Owners and builders have lost a common language to compare designs honestly.

The result isn't just complexity... it's unverifiable complexity.

The Two Speed Standard exists to define a space outside those failure modes. It doesn't try to undo modern engineering. It tries to reintroduce mechanical clarity as a first-order design constraint, right alongside strength, efficiency, and performance.

This standard exists because without one, there's no consistent way to ask whether a drivetrain is complicated because it has to be, or because nobody stopped it from getting that way.

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

2. What "Standard" Means Here

This document doesn't define a product, a certification, or a regulatory requirement.

The Two Speed Standard is a filter, not a prescription.

It provides a set of principles, a shared vocabulary, and a binary method for evaluating mechanical designs.

It doesn't dictate which axle family you should use, which power plant is preferred, which actuation method is superior, or which commercial path is valid.

A drivetrain component either aligns with the standard's principles or it doesn't. There's no partial compliance and no scoring curve.

3. Core Principles

These principles are non-negotiable. They're deliberately simple and hard to misinterpret.

3.1 Torque-Source Agnosticism

The drivetrain component must not assume where torque comes from.

If a power plant can deliver a wide, honest torque band, it belongs upstream of the drivetrain. Combustion engines, electric motors, hybrids, and future power plants must all be mechanically acceptable without redesigning the drivetrain component's core.

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

3.2 Control Agnosticism

Mechanical function must not depend on a specific control system.

Actuation can be manual, electrical, hydraulic, whatever, but the mechanical state has to remain valid and intelligible if control systems are removed, powered down, or replaced.

3.3 Mechanical Transparency

A drivetrain component must be understandable when it's stationary, disconnected, and unpowered.

If you can't reason about a component on a bench with basic tools and documentation, it fails this principle.

3.4 Modular Accountability

Each mechanical function must live in a discrete, isolatable module.

A failure needs a clear physical boundary. Multi-function components that obscure responsibility for motion, load, or failure violate this principle.

3.5 Fail-Safe Mechanical Behavior

In the absence of control input, the system must default to a mechanically stable state.

Catastrophic ambiguity isn't acceptable. Neutral, passthrough, or locked states must be intentional and predictable.

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

4. Mechanical Transparency Metrics (Optional Tools)

To support comparison without marketing distortion, this standard introduces some descriptive metrics. These metrics don't define quality. They define transparency.

Mechanical Transparency Coefficient (MTC): The number of discrete mechanical energy transformations required to deliver torque from input to output. Lower numbers mean fewer hidden states, fewer failure paths, and better mechanical legibility.

System Mechanical Transparency Coefficient (SMTC): Same concept applied to a complete drivetrain assembly.

Mechanical Transparency Index (MTI): An averaged, human-readable representation for comparative discussion. It gives builders and consumers a shared yardstick without obscuring the underlying math.

These metrics are descriptive, not prescriptive. Their presence is encouraged, not required.

5. Binary Qualification Questions

This section is the enforcement mechanism.

Each question must be answered yes or no. One "no" means the design doesn't meet the standard.

5.1 Mechanical Clarity

- ☐ Can the component be understood without software tools?
- ☐ Can its operating states be enumerated mechanically?
- ☐ Can torque paths be traced physically?

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

5.2 Serviceability

- ☐ Can the component be removed and serviced on a bench?
- ☐ Can wear components be replaced individually?
- ☐ Can service be performed without proprietary tooling?

5.3 Modularity

- ☐ Does the component perform a single primary function?
- ☐ Can it be removed without disabling unrelated systems?
- ☐ Does its failure boundary stop at its housing?

5.4 Control Independence

- ☐ Can the component function with manual actuation?
- ☐ Can control be changed without redesigning internals?
- ☐ Does loss of control default to a safe state?

5.5 Longevity Intent

- ☐ Is the component designed to be rebuilt?
- ☐ Are wear paths intentional and accessible?
- ☐ Is repair favored over replacement?

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

6. Application: Two-Speed Ford 9-Inch Third Member

The Two-Speed Ford 9-Inch Third Member is a reference implementation, not a mandate.

It was selected because the Ford 9-inch ecosystem is widely available, third-member modularity allows isolated development, aftermarket support enables rapid iteration, and integration risk is low for proof-of-concept work.

This choice doesn't imply superiority. Other axle families, including heavier-duty full-floating designs, may ultimately beat it in strength or longevity. The 9-inch is the starting point, not the destination.

When evaluated against the standard:

1. The two-speed third member passes modular accountability
2. Manual external actuation preserves control agnosticism
3. Planetary reduction provides clear, inspectable torque paths
4. Bench serviceability is preserved by third-member architecture

Limitations are acknowledged: packaging constraints exist, ratio range is finite, and actuation refinement remains an open area. These limitations are acceptable within a reference implementation.

7. What This Standard Does Not Decide

The Two Speed Standard doesn't select winners among drivetrain component designs. It doesn't prevent proprietary or commercial development. It doesn't require open-source publication. It doesn't restrict innovation beyond its principles.

A design can exceed the standard, ignore it, or deliberately violate it. This document exists to make those choices explicit.

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>

8. A Living Standard

This standard isn't complete.

It's expected to evolve through practical builds, documented failures, community challenge, and measured results.

No single author owns its future. The role of this document is to start the conversation with enough structure that it can survive disagreement.

The standard lives where its builders live. Its authority comes from use, not endorsement.

Closing

The Two Speed Standard exists to build a world where drivetrains can once again be judged by what they do, how they do it, and how honestly they reveal their behavior.

If a design meets the standard, it deserves consideration. If it doesn't, the reasons should be clear.

Everything that follows builds on this foundation.

Ben Trommater
Copyright 2025
(313) 552-4171

TwoSpeedStandard@gmail.com

<https://github.com/TwoSpeedStandard/TwoSpeedStandard>